Melting Point, Normal Spectral Emittance (at the Melting Point), and Electrical Resistivity (above 1900 K) of Titanium by a Pulse Heating Method*
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A subsecond duration pulse heating method was used to measure the melting point, the normal spectral emittance (at the melting point), and the electrical resistivity (above 1900 K) of 99.9 + percent pure titanium. The results, based on the International Practical Temperature Scale of 1968, yield a value of 445 K for the melting point. The normal spectral emittance (at 653 nm) at the melting point is 0.40. Estimated inaccuracies are: 5 K in the melting point, 5 percent in the normal spectral emittance, and 3 percent in the electrical resistivity.
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1. Introduction

Because of the reactive nature of the Group IV B metals, it is important that their properties at high temperatures are measured by techniques which eliminate (or at least minimize) contact with other substances. Recently, the melting points and other related properties of zirconium [1],1 of hafnium-3 (wt. %) zirconium [2] and of several other refractory metals [3–5] were measured using a subsecond-duration pulse heating technique in which the “effective” specimen melts without being in contact with other substances that are likely to react with the specimen. In the present study, the same technique was used for the measurements of the melting point, the normal spectral emittance (at 653 nm) at the melting point, and the electrical resistivity (above 1900 K) of titanium.

The method involves measuring the specimen temperature, the current through and potential difference across the specimen as it undergoes rapid resistive self-heating from room temperature to its melting point in less than one second. The experimental quantities are recorded digitally every 0.4 ms with a full scale resolution of about 1 part in 8000. Details regarding the construction and operation of the measurement system, the methods of measuring experimental quantities, and other pertinent information, including error analysis, are given in earlier publications [6, 7].

2. Measurements

The measurements were performed on three tubular specimens fabricated by an electro-erosion technique from cylindrical rods. Nominal dimensions of the tubes were: length, 75 mm; outside diameter, 6.4 mm; wall thickness, 0.5 mm. The pyrometric temperature measurements were made by sighting through a small rectangular hole (1 × 0.5 mm) in the wall at the middle of the specimen thereby approximating blackbody conditions. The heat loss due to thermal radiation was reduced by polishing the outer surface of each specimen. The results of a typical analysis furnished by the manufacturer indicated that the material was 99.9 + percent pure with the following impurities present (in ppm by weight): O, 360; Zr, 30; Fe, Ni, Cu, 20 each; C, 15; Al, S, 10 each; Si, V, Mn, Sn, 5 each; Cr, 3; H, 3; N, 2; all other detected elements were less than 1 ppm each.

The experiments were conducted with the specimens in an argon environment at atmospheric pressure. The average heating rate for each specimen was about 2400 K·s⁻¹, corresponding to a heating period (from room temperature to its melting point) of about 600 ms. The high-speed pyrometer [8] was calibrated prior to starting the experiments, using a tungsten filament reference lamp which in turn was calibrated against the NBS “Temperature Standard.”

An oscilloscope trace photograph showing the variation in radiation from a specimen, as seen by the pyrometer, is presented in figure 1. The high and low plateaus correspond to the true (blackbody) temperature and the radiance temperature2 (at 653 nm), respectively, of the specimen during melting. Radiance temperature plateaus were observed only in two (out of three possible) cases because of the unpredictable collapse of the melting specimens.

The true temperatures, obtained from digitally recorded data, of a specimen during the transition from solid to liquid phase are plotted in figure 2. The dashed line indicates the mean melting temperature as well as the segment of the plateau included in computing the average. Similar results for the radiance temperature of a specimen are shown in figure 3.

All temperatures reported in this paper, except where explicitly noted, are based on the International Practical Temperature Scale of 1968 [9].
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3. Results

**Melting Point:** The true temperatures at the plateau of each specimen were averaged to obtain its melting point. The same procedure was adopted to obtain the radiance temperature at the melting point. The final results, presented in table 1, indicate that the average melting point and average radiance temperature at the melting point for the specimens studied in this work are 1945.3 K and 1799.0 K, respectively, with an average absolute deviation from the mean of 0.4 K in each case. It may be concluded that the melting point of titanium is 1945 K and that its radiance temperature (at 653 nm) at the melting point is 1799 K.

**Table 1. Experimental results for the melting point and the radiance temperature (at 653 nm) at the melting point of titanium**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Number of temp.</th>
<th>Melting point (K)</th>
<th>Standard dev. (K)</th>
<th>Radiance temp. (K)</th>
<th>Standard dev. (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1944.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1944.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1945.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1945.5</td>
<td>1799.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1945.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1945.7</td>
<td>1798.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Normal Spectral Emittance at the Melting Point:** From the true temperature (1945 K) and the radiance temperature (1799 K), Planck's radiation equation yields a value of 0.40 for the normal spectral emittance (at 653 nm) of titanium at its melting point.

**Electrical Resistivity:** The electrical resistivity of titanium near its melting point was determined from the measured resistance and the room temperature dimensions of the specimens. It was found that repeated heating and cooling through the solid-solid phase transformation point at about 1166 K [10] produced permanent distortions (elongations) in the specimens. The resistivity values were corrected for
these geometrical changes which, at temperatures near the melting point, gave rise to systematic shifts of less than 2 percent. The (corrected) results for a specimen are shown in figure 4. The maximum difference between the measured values of the resistivity for the three titanium specimens in the range 1900 to 1940 K was approximately 1 percent. The final results, obtained by averaging the (corrected) resistivity values for the three specimens, are 160.7 $\mu\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ at 1900 K and 161.5 $\mu\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ at 1940 K.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{resistivity_graph.png}
\caption{Variation of the electrical resistivity as a function of temperature near and at the melting point of titanium (Specimen 1).}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Estimate of Errors:} A detailed analysis of errors in such experimental quantities as temperature, voltage and current measured using the present pulse heating system was given in an earlier publication [7]. Specific items in the error analysis were recomputed whenever the present conditions differed from those in the earlier publication. The resultant estimated maximum errors in the reported values are: 5 K in the true temperature and radiance temperature at the melting point, 5 percent in the normal spectral emissance and 3 percent in the electrical resistivity.

4. Discussion

Results for the titanium melting point as reported in the literature are given in table 2 along with the corresponding in IPTS-68.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Investigator & Ref. & Year & Melting point (K) \\
\hline
Burgess and Waldenberg & 11 & 1913 & 2068 $\pm$ 15 \\
Hansen et al. & 12 & 1952 & 1993 $\pm$ 15 \\
Adenstedt et al. & 13 & 1952 & 1973 $\pm$ 15 \\
Maykuth et al. & 14 & 1953 & 1953 $\pm$ 10 \\
Schofield and Bacon & 15 & 1953 & 1953 $\pm$ 10 \\
Oriani and Jones & 16 & 1954 & 1945 \\
Deardorff and Hayes & 17 & 1956 & 1941 $\pm$ 10 \\
Bickerdike and Hughes & 18 & 1959 & 1940 $\pm$ 8 \\
Rudy and Pogulske & 19 & 1967 & 1941 $\pm$ 8 \\
Berezn et al. & 20 & 1974 & 1939 $\pm$ 4 \\
Present work & & & 1945 $\pm$ 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Values of the melting point of titanium reported in the literature}
\end{table}

for pyrometric temperature measurements. In addition, Deardorff and Hayes [17], Rudy and Pogulske [19], and Berezn et al. [20] have all utilized experimental techniques which preclude contact between the molten titanium and other substances likely to react with the specimen. The average value of the melting points obtained in the above three investigations is 1943 K, the average and maximum difference from the mean being 1 K and 2 K, respectively. The melting point determined by the present study is 2 K higher than the above average.

Bonnell et al. [21] have measured the radiance temperature of melting titanium to be 1814 K at an effective wavelength of 645 nm. Using the above value for the radiance temperature and an average melting point (1946 K) from the literature, they obtained a value of 0.434 for the normal spectral emissance. Subsequent measurements by Berezn et al. [20] of the true temperature (1941 $\pm$ 4 K) and radiance temperature (1801 $\pm$ 4 K) at the melting point yield an emissivity value of 0.412 $\pm$ 0.017 for 630 nm, which is 3 percent higher than the value of the present work. Recently, Righini et al. [22] have determined the emissivity at 633 nm to be 0.401, using their measurement of radiance temperature (1800 $\pm$ 6 K) at the melting point and the melting temperature (1945 $\pm$ 5 K) obtained in the present study.

There appears to be no data in the literature for electrical resistivity of titanium in the range 1900 to 1940 K. For comparison, measurements by Wyat [23], Zhorov [24], and Arutyunov et al. [25], on specimens with respective purities of 99.74 percent (and 99.96%), 99.82 percent, and 99.8 percent extending respectively to temperatures of 1775 K (and 1624 K), 1800 K and 1700 K were extrapolated linearly to the temperature range of the present work; the extrapolated values were found to be 2.7 percent (and 12.3%), 3.3 percent and 2.8 percent, respectively, above those obtained in the present study. With one exception, the agreement among all of the resistivity values above 1900 K is consistent with the combined experimental and extrapolation errors.
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