On Cauchy-Riemann Equations in Higher Dimensions'

E. Stiefel²

The *n* linear partial differential equations with constant complex coefficients

$$l_i = \sum_{ab}^n a_{ib}^a \frac{\partial u_b}{\partial x_i} = 0,$$

 $(j=1,\ldots,n)$ are said to form a system of generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations, if there exist constants fa such that

$$\Delta u_i = \sum_{h,k}^{n} f_{h}^{a} \frac{\partial l_{h}}{\partial x_{h}}$$

It is proved that such systems exist for n=1,2,4,8 only. In the cases n=2,4 there are three essentially inequivalent systems; n = 8, only two. If the coefficients are required to be real, there exist only the classic system of two equations, the two systems of Dirac-Fueter equations, and two systems of eight equations.

If two real functions u_1 , u_2 of the real variables | in such a way that x_1, x_2 satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} = 0, \qquad (1)$$

they are harmonic, that is, from (1) follows Laplace's equation by differentiation:

$$\Delta u_1 = \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_2^2} = 0, \qquad \Delta u_2 = \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x_2^2} = 0.$$
(2)

Introducing the left sides of the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$l_1 = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2}, \qquad l_2 = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2}, \tag{3}$$

we observe that this statement is an immediate consequence of the relations

$$\Delta u_1 = \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_2}, \qquad \Delta u_2 = \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial x_2}, \qquad (4)$$

that is to say, that the Laplacians of w_1 , w_2 are linear combinations of the derivatives of the left sides of the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

In 1939 Olga Taussky-Todd³ studied the following general problem. Let u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n be functions of the independent variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Is it possible to find a system of *n* linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients

$$I_{j} \equiv \sum_{i,k}^{n} a_{ik}^{i} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} = 0, \qquad (5)$$

$$\Delta u_j = \sum_{k=k}^{n} b_{jk}^* \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial x_k}, \qquad (6)$$

the b_{ik}^{*} again being constant coefficients? If a set of functions u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n satisfies (5), it follows then from (6) that they are harmonic. So we may say that (5) are Cauchy-Riemann equations in n-dimensional space and generate a theory of functions in this space reasonably related to potential theory. O. Taussky proved that this problem can only be solved in spaces of dimension $n=2^{n}$. In this paper the better result is established that n must be I, \mathcal{E} , 4, or 8 and moreover all Cauchy-Riemann systems (5) will be classified. In our discussion we admit that the x_{0} , u_{k} and the coefficients in (5), (6) are complex. We will use methods of representation theory introduced by Wigner and Eckmann⁴ for the solution of problems of an analogous type, but we shall simplify matters a little by dealing with algebras instead of groups.

1. Introducing the *n*-row matrices

$$A_t = (a'_{jk}), B_h = (b'_{jk}), \quad i, h = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
 (7)

and the vectors

$$l = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n), \qquad u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n), \qquad (8)$$

relations (5), (6) can be written

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \qquad \Delta u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{k} \frac{\partial l}{\partial x_{k}} \qquad (9)$$

Inserting the second equation into the first we get

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + \ldots + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} = \sum_{k,l} B_k A_l \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n \partial x_l}$$
(10)

¹ This work was performed on a National Eureal of Standards ontract with the University of California at Los Angeles, and was appropriet (in part) by the Office of Naval Research. ³ University of California, Los Angeles, Cal., and Eidgenössiehe Technische Houbshelle, Zurich, Switzerfaud. ³ O. Thusky, An algebraic property of Laplace's differential equation, Quark. J. Math. 10, 96 (1939).

⁴ B. Eckmann, Gruppenikessetisther Beweis des Satzes von Hurwitz-Radou über die Kompösisjon quadralisiher Formen, Conduct Math. Helv. 15, 868 (1942).

This identity holds for every vector u. Comparing coefficients it turns out that

$$B_s A_s = I, \quad B_h A_i + B_i A_h = 0, \qquad i \neq h, \qquad (11)$$

I being the *n*-row unit matrix. Thus the matrix B_i is the inverse of A_i , and our question is reduced to the problem of constructing *n* matrices A_i of *n* rows satisfying the relation

$$A_{b}^{-1}A_{t}+A_{t}^{-1}A_{b}=0, \qquad i\neq b, \qquad (12)$$

2. In order to solve this problem we observe that (12) is invariant under a general equivalence transformation

$$A_i \rightarrow S A_i T, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,n, \quad (13)$$

where S, T are two matrices with nonvanishing determinants. We do not distinguish between two Cauchy-Riemann systems (5) related to each other by such a transformation but call them essentially equal. Using this equivalence, we may transform by (13) one of our matrices—say A_n —into the unit matrix. For h=n we have then

$$A_t + A_t^{-1} = 0$$
 or $A_t^{-1} = -A_t$ or $A_t^{-1} = -I$

and for $h=1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ this gives

$$A_{a}A_{i}+A_{i}A_{a}=0.$$

Thus we may restrict ourselves to the problem of constructing (n-1) matrices $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ having the property

$$A_i^2 = -I, \quad A_h A_i + A_i A_h = 0, \qquad i \neq h. \tag{14}$$

If we have only these special systems under consideration the general equivalence transformation (13) will be restricted to a similarity transformation

$$A_i \to S A_i S^{-1}, \tag{15}$$

because the unit matrix must be left invariant. Matrices of the type (14) have been studied at first by Hurwitz⁵ in the special case where the A_i are real and orthogonal.

3. From the basic relations (14) it follows that the 2^{n-1} matrices

$$I, A_{1}, A_{2}, \dots, A_{n-1};$$

$$A_{1}A_{2}, A_{1}A_{3}, \dots, A_{n-2}A_{n-1};$$

$$A_{1}A_{2}A_{2}, \dots, A_{n-3}A_{n-2}A_{n-1};$$

$$(16)$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_{1}A_{2}, \dots, A_{n-1};$$

⁴ A. Hurwitz, Über die Komposition der quödrötischen Formen, collested papers 3, 641 (1988).

this is to say, all products with increasing subscripts of the factors, form a matrix algebra of order 2^{n-1} . Indeed, the product of two matrices of the set (16) is (up to the sign) again a matrix of the set. Let us now consider the abstract associative algebra H of order 2^{n-1} over the complex field given by the basic elements

$$\begin{array}{c}
1, e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, \\
e_{1}e_{2}, e_{1}e_{3}, \ldots, e_{n-2}e_{n-1}, \\
e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}, \ldots, e_{n-3}e_{n-2}e_{n-1}, \\
\ldots \\
e_{1}e_{3}e_{3}, \ldots \\
e_{n-1}e_{n-1}.
\end{array}$$
(17)

and the multiplication rules

$$e_i^2 = -1, \qquad e_n e_i + e_i e_k = 0, \qquad i \neq h. \tag{18}$$

Thus our problem is finally to construct a representation of the algebra H by *n*-row matrices. In order to do this we use the following well-known theorems of representation theory:

Theorem I. There is —up to similarity transformation (15)—only a finite number m of irreducible representations, where m is the order of the center of the given algebra H. Any representation is the sum of irreducible representations.

Theorem II. Let f be the degree of a representation (number of rows of the representing matrices). Then the degrees f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m of the irreducible representations satisfy the relation

$$f_1^n + f_2^n + \dots + f_n^n = \text{order of } H = 2^{n-1}.$$

4. Let us discuss first the case that the number n of Cauchy-Riemann eq (5) is even. Then the last element $(e_1e_2 \ldots e_{n-1})$ of the sequence (17) commutes with e_1,e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} and is therefore a center element of the algebra H. It is not difficult to show that the elements 1 and $(e_1e_2 \ldots e_{n-1})$ span the center of H, that is to say that the general center element is of the form $\alpha + \beta(e_1e_2 \ldots e_{n-1})$, where α , β are complex numbers. The order of this center being m=2, we learn from theorem I, that our algebra H has exactly two irreducible representations, D_1 and D_2 . They are related in the following way. If D_1 is given by

$$D_1: \quad 1 \to I, \qquad e_i \to E_i, \tag{19}$$

(E_t being the representing matrices) then D_2 is given by

$$D_{2}: \quad 1 \to I, \qquad e_{t} \to -E_{t}. \tag{20}$$

In order to prove this let us observe that if the E_s satisfy the basic relations (14)

$$E_i^* = -I, \qquad E_k E_i + E_i E_k = 0,$$

396

the same is true for the matrices $(-E_i)$. Hence, if (19) is a representation, then (20) is another. Furthermore, if D_1 is irreducible, the same is true for D_2 and, finally, D_1 , D_2 are not similar. Indeed, the center element $(e_1e_2 \ldots e_{n-1})$ is represented in D_1 by the matrix $E_1E_2 \ldots E_{n-1}$, which must be a multiple cI of the unit matrix, since it commutes with the whole irreducible set of representing matrices. In D_2 the representing matrix is

$$-E_1E_2\ldots E_{n-1}=-cI.$$

But (cI) and (-cI) are not similar because, certainly, $c \neq 0$. This finishes our proof.

This discussion shows, in particular, that D_1 and D_2 have the same degree f. From theorem II we have

$$2f^{2} = 2^{n-1}$$
 and so $f = 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. (21)

As stated in section 3, our basic problem is to find a representation D of the algebra H by *n*-row matrices. From theorem I it follows that D must be the sum of the representations D_i , D_j , each of them perhaps repeated several times. So the degree n of D is a multiple of f:

$$n = k \cdot 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$
 (22)

But this is only possible for n=2,4,8, and the multiplicities k are 2, 2, 1, respectively. In the cases n=2,4 we have for D the three inequivalent possibilities

$$D_1 + D_1, \quad D_1 + D_2, \quad D_2 + D_2$$
 (23)

and in the case n=8 the two possibilities D_1 , D_2 .

5. The case of odd n is rather trivial because the center of H in this case is formed only by the multiples of the unity element. So we have only one irreducible representation. Its degree f is given by

$$f^2 = 2^{*-1}, \quad f = 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}},$$

and the wanted representation D must be a multiple of this unique irreducible representation:

$$n=k\cdot 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

This leads to n=1.

Collecting the results we get the theorem:

A system of n Cauchy-Riemann equations of the type (5), (6) is only possible for n=1,2,4,8. In the cases n=2,4, there are three inequivalent systems, in the case n=8, only two.

6. In this section we establish the Cauchy-Riemann systems explicitly and discuss especially the real ones.

(a) In the case n=2 the degree f of the irreducible representation D_1 is f=1 according to (21). So D_1 , D_2 may be given by

$$D_1$$
: $e_1 \rightarrow i$, D_2 : $e_1 \rightarrow -i$, (24)

i being the imaginary unit. Our first possibility (23) $D=D_1+D_1$ is then

$$e_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i \ 0 \\ 0 \ i \end{pmatrix} = A_1, \qquad A_2 = I \tag{25}$$

and yields the Cauchy-Riemann system (5)

$$i\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} = 0, \qquad i\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} = 0 \qquad (26)$$

consisting of two separate equations for u_1 and u_2 . Hence, we may restrict ourselves to the single equation

 $i\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0, \qquad (27)$

which expresses the fact (if x_1 , x_2 are real variables) that u is a complex analytic function of x_1-ix_2 . By differentiation of (27) it follows of course $\Delta u = 0$. The two other possibilities (23) may be established by changing i into (-i) in one or both equations (26).

In order to find the real Cauchy-Riemann systems—that is to say real representations of H—we must form the sum of one of our irreducible representations and its complex conjugate representation, Taking into account that D_1 and D_2 are complex conjugates, we have finally only the unique real representation $D=D_1+D_2$ and only one real Cauchy-Riemann system, which is, of course, the system (1).

(b) For n=4 the representation D_i may be given by the so-called Pauli-matrices

$$D_{i}: \quad e_{1} \rightarrow E_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{2} \rightarrow E_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$e_{4} \rightarrow E_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

with

$$e_1e_2e_3 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

 D_2 is obtained by changing the sign of those matrices The Cauchy-Riemann system corresponding to $D=D_1+D_1$ splits again into the equations

$$i\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} + i\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} + \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{4}} = 0$$

$$i\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} - i\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{4}} = 0$$
(30)

for u_1 , u_2 alone and the same equations for u_3 , u_4 . In this case, however, D_1 and D_2 are not conjugate complex, but D_1 is similar to its own conjugate complex and the same is true for D_2 . This follows from the fact that in D_1 the center-element $e_1e_2e_4$ is represented by a real matrix according to (29). So we have two nonequivalent real Cauchy-Riemann systems corresponding to the representations $D_1 + D_1$ and $D_2 + D_2$. They are R. Fueter's equations for right and left regular functions of a quaternion variable, and they are closely related to Dirac's equations in quantum mechanics. The first system may be derived from our equations (30) in the following way. Let x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 be real variables and u_1 , u_2 complex functions:

 $u_1 = v_1 + iv_2, \quad u_2 = v_3 + iv_4.$

Splitting the eq (30) into their real and imaginary parts we get the four equations wanted for v_1, v_2, v_0, v_4 . The second system follows in the same way if we replace (30) by the equations corresponding to $D_2 + D_2$.

(c) The case n=8 is entirely different from the previous cases, because we found in section 4 that the representation D solving our problem is either D_1 or

 D_2 and hence irreducible. Thus the Cauchy-Riemann systems of this case will not split into systems of fewer equations. We omit the computation of the matrices of D_1 , which is closely related to the socalled Cayley numbers building a nonassociative algebra and mention only the result that those matrices may be constructed as real matrices. They yield two Cauchy-Riemann systems.

As a final result we have the following statement: The only generalized real Cauchy-Riemann systems are 1. the classic system of two equations; 2. the two systems of Dirac-Fueter equations, each system having four equations; and 3. two systems of eight equations.

Los Angeles, December 4, 1951.

0