Absorption of Near-Infrared Energy by Certain Glasses

By Jack M. Florence, Charles C. Allshouse, Francis W. Glaze, and Clarence H. Hahner

An analysis has been made of the absorption bands of various glasses by calculation of the internal transmittances and surface losses. The wavelengths, in microns, associated with broad absorption bands have been measured, and the active vibrating groups causing the absorption are as follows: 2.7, CO₂; 2.75, OH; 2.75, CO₂; 2.9, OH associated; 3.5, CO₃⁻⁻; 3.65, (NO₃⁻); 3.8, Si-O bond; 4.0, CO₃⁻⁻; 4.15, (NO₃⁻); 4.25, CO₂; 4.5, Si-O bond; and 4.7, OH associated. The greatest loss of infrared energy for a number of glasses is caused by surface losses, rather than by true internal absorption.

I. Introduction

1. Gaseous Components of Glasses

Glasses contain, in solution and as bubbles, small amounts of gaseous components. These are residues of the decomposition products of the original batch materials or the result of contact with the furnace atmosphere. The gaseous components in the body of the glass may be considered as present in two conditions: namely, in true solution and as a part of the internal structure. The internal transmittances for any glass, when plotted against wavelength, will contain absorption bands in the region of the spectrum in which these gases absorb. The intensity of the absorption bands depends upon the concentration and the absorbing power of the gas.

Because the surfaces of many glasses are chemically active toward the atmosphere, they also become of importance in the transmission of near-infrared energy. Weyl [1] explains that the configuration of the ions in the surface layer of a glass is not identical with that in the internal structure. He has explained the presence of OH⁻ in a glass as being a surface phenomenon. The surface of silica glass has a film of OH⁻ formed from the hydrolysis of Si-O-X bonds, where X is either an alkali or an alkaline earth ion. The X forms a water soluble salt that is leached out and replaced by a hydroxonium ion (H₃O⁺). The surface contains those Si-O-Si bonds that were originally present in the glass and a number of the Si-OH groups.

The above explanation may be carried further by X forming first a hydroxide and then a carbonate. Taking a lithium silicate glass as an example, it follows:

\[ -\text{Si-O-Li} + 2\text{HOH} \rightarrow \text{SiO-OH}_3 + \text{LiOH} \]
\[ -\text{SiO-OH}_3 \rightarrow \text{SiOH} + \text{HOH} \]
\[ 2\text{LiOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{HOH} \]

The products of the reaction, plus any sorbed water from the atmosphere, remain on or near the surface of the glass.

Roman, Marboe, and Weyl also found that glass surfaces containing Ba⁺⁺ and Pb⁺⁺ ions, among others, showed decreasing hygroscopicity with decreasing ionic potential [2]. It has been found that glasses containing BaO and PbO have a high transmissivity for infrared energy for wavelengths of 2.5 to 4.5 μ [3, 4].

2. Characteristic Wavelengths of the Vibrating Groups

The identification of the vibrating groups has been made from the knowledge of the gaseous components that may be expected to be in a glass and their characteristic wavelengths. It has been possible to identify the vibrating groups to be expected in a glass through a knowledge of the decomposition products of the batch materials used and their characteristic absorption bands. The precise location of the wavelengths at which these absorption bands occur has been amply discussed by Herzberg [5].

The wavelength of the fundamental vibration of OH in water vapor has been identified at 2.66 μ. The hydroxyl vibration becomes broader and stronger and moves to a longer wavelength when “hydrogen bonding” occurs [5]. The substitution of a silicon atom for one of the hydrogen atoms of the HOH dipole also creates a difference in the internuclear distance of the OH bond, thereby changing the observed wavelength for this vibration. The wavelength for the OH vibration in the vapor phase, obtained from glasses, is 2.75 μ. When the water is
in the liquid state, the characteristic vibrations occur at wavelengths of 2.9, 4.7, and 6.25 μ [6]. Dorsey gives the wavelengths from several sources as 2.663 μ for the vapor phase, and 2.97 μ for the liquid phase for pure water [7].

Any caronate ion (CO₃²⁻) that may be absorbed on a glass surface will have characteristic absorption bands. It has strong absorption bands at about 3.5 μ, the first harmonic of the intense fundamental vibration for pure water [7].

The glasses were melted and fined in either a platinum resistance or a Globar furnace in about 6 hr and then poured into steel molds to form samples approximately ½ in. thick. The quality of the samples generally equaled that of the requirements for optical glass. Samples were prepared for measurement by grinding and polishing into plates, with plane parallel faces, 2 in. square.

The spectral transmittances from 2 μ and beyond were determined by a Baird automatic infrared spectrometer. Where values below 2 μ are reported, these data were determined radiometrically by means of a fluorite prism mirror spectrometer, Nernst glower, and a vacuum thermopile. All of the infrared transmission data was supplied by the Radiometry Section of the Bureau.

The compositions of the glasses discussed in this paper are given in table 1.

### Table 1.—Glass Composition (weight percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass type</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>B₂O₃</th>
<th>Li₂O</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>BeO</th>
<th>BaO</th>
<th>PbO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>MnO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fused silica</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium silicate</td>
<td>80.72</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium-beryllium silicate</td>
<td>84.84</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium-barium silicate</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium silicate</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrex No. 774 [14]</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS-IR-1</td>
<td>48.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Calculation of Internal Transmittances

Because the absorption of near-infrared energy by glasses may, in part, be a surface phenomenon, it becomes highly desirable to determine the internal transmittance of the glass itself. A method used for the determination of the transmittance of visible light for optical glass, and also used by Rubens for the infrared spectra, is to measure the total transmittance ³ for two thicknesses of a given glass sample [12].

The total transmittance of a sample of glass is defined as

$$ T = (1 - S)^2 T_i $$  \(1\)

³ Total transmittance, as used in this paper, means the percentage of incident energy emerging perpendicular to the surface of the sample and parallel to the direction of propagation of the energy.

The glasses, with the exception of the fused silica and Pyrex glass No. 774 samples, were prepared for this work and were melted in platinum crucibles of 300- to 500-g capacity. The batch materials, in most cases, were those used in the production of precision optical glass; hence they were of high commercial purity. No attempt was made to further purify these materials prior to use. The only metallic oxide believed to be present in sufficient quantities to affect the transmission was iron. The amount of iron present, as Fe₂O₃, in the glass is estimated to be less than 0.02 percent. Its presence is shown by an absorption band at or near 1.0 μ (fig. 13).

The glasses were melted and fined in either a platinum resistance or a Globar furnace in about 6 hr and then poured into steel molds to form samples approximately ½ in. thick. The quality of the samples generally equaled that of the requirements for optical glass. Samples were prepared for measurement by grinding and polishing into plates, with plane parallel faces, 2 in. square.

The spectral transmittances from 2 μ and beyond were determined by a Baird automatic infrared spectrometer. Where values below 2 μ are reported, these data were determined radiometrically by means of a fluorite prism mirror spectrometer, Nernst glower, and a vacuum thermopile. All of the infrared transmission data was supplied by the Radiometry Section of the Bureau.

The compositions of the glasses discussed in this paper are given in table 1.
where \( T \) is the total transmittance, \( T_i \) the internal transmittance, \( S \) the fractional surface loss at, and \((1-S)\) the fraction transmitted through, one surface of the sample. Then, for two thicknesses of the same glass

\[
\frac{T_1}{T_2} = \frac{(1-S)^2 T_1}{(1-S)^2 T_2} = \frac{T_1}{T_2},
\]

and

\[
\log T_1 - \log T_2 = \log T_{13} = \log T_{12},
\]

where the subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the thin and thick samples, respectively. According to Lambert's law,

\[
-\log T_i = a_i b_i,
\]

where \( a_i \) is the internal absorbance index, and \( b_i \) is the thickness in millimeters. Therefore, \( \log T_1 - \log T_2 = -a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 = a_i (b_2 - b_1) \), and solving for \( a_i \),

\[
a_i = -\log T_1 - \log T_2 \over b_2 - b_1.
\]

The internal transmittance, \( T_{13} \), can then be calculated from the absorbance index for any given thickness, \( b_i \), by means of the following:

\[
T_{13} = \text{antilog} \left(-a_i b_i\right).
\]

The loss of energy at one surface of the sample by reflection, scattering and absorption of the film is obtained from the equation

\[
S = 1 - \left(\frac{T_i}{T_1}\right)^{1/2}.
\]

The above equation is based on the assumption that the surface losses for samples of the same glass, but of different thicknesses, are the same. Calculations of absorbance indices from several thicknesses of the same melt of glass (NBS-IR-1) vary, but the curves drawn from these data tend to show the same maxima.

The above method of calculating the internal absorbance index of a glass is not too accurate when the total absorbance \((\log T_i)\) is small or is changing rapidly. In part, this is due to the degree of accuracy of the infrared spectrometer, which is of the order of \( \pm 1 \) percent; also, instrument lag in the automatic instruments, shifts caused by temperature variations within the spectrometer, etc., enter into the picture.

The results obtained by the preceding method are not reproducible when applied to glasses of high hygroscopicity, such as those of some of the binary systems, because of the changes in water sorbed during preparation and measurement of the samples. These data should only be used qualitatively to show absorption bands and regions of high surface loss when comparing two different glasses. Recent evidence indicates that more consistent results are obtained with fused silica and complex glasses of low hygroscopicity.

IV. Absorption for Wavelengths from 2.7 to 3.0 Microns

The fused silica sample reported in a previous paper [3] had an absorption band at 2.74 to 2.80 \( \mu \) (fig. 3), which could be either OH or CO\(_2\). Subsequent measurements on two fused silica samples of different thicknesses (fig. 4) have shown this band as occurring at 2.75 \( \mu \). The binary glass of lithium silicate (fig. 5) has an absorption band at 2.9 \( \mu \), indicating that the water contained by this glass is predominantly in the liquid phase.

The absorption band of the water, in the liquid phase, in a glass is so strong that it usually overlaps the absorption band for water in the vapor phase. However, in an experimental glass of the composition Li\(_2\)O·BeO·5SiO\(_2\) (fig. 6), the two bands at 2.75 and 2.9 \( \mu \) appear almost equal in intensity for the particular sample measured. The absence of the 4.25 band (CO\(_2\)) eliminates the possibility that the 2.75 band might also be caused by CO\(_2\). It is suggested that the 2.75-\( \mu \) band represents water in the vapor phase. However, this should not be considered as a proof of its existence, as it is possible that certain constituents of this glass might have weak bands in this region.

V. Absorption for Wavelengths Longer Than 3.0 Microns

The carbonate ion has two strong absorption bands (at 3.5 and 4.0 \( \mu \)) that shift with the increase or decrease in equivalent weight of the metallic cation. In figure 5 the lithium silicate glass has absorption bands at 3.5 and 4.0 \( \mu \), corresponding to those of the carbonate ion. However, the mere presence of absorption bands at 3.5 and 4.0 \( \mu \) is not positive identification of the carbonate ion, since the nitrate ion absorption bands occur at approximately the same wavelengths. The batch ingredients must be known before these absorption bands can be positively associated with the carbonate ion alone. An example of the 4.25 band for carbon dioxide is shown in figure 7 for a glass of the sodium-barium silicate system made from a batch containing both sodium carbonate and barium carbonate. This band has also been observed on a number of other glasses made from batch materials containing carbonates.

The substitution of nitrates for carbonates in the glass batch improves the transmission of infrared energy of the resulting glass. This is very evident from a comparison of figure 8, A and B. The glass from which the internal transmittance curves in figure 8, A were obtained was made with potassium carbonate in its batch; that from which the curves in

\[1] The nomenclature used follows closely that of NBS Circular 83 (May 19, 1947).
figure 8, B were obtained was made with potassium nitrate. The total ionic charge of the carbonate ions in the one batch was made equal to the total ionic charge of the nitrate ions in the other batch. The 3.5- and 4.0-μ absorption bands for CO$_3^{--}$ in the first instance and the 3.65 and 4.15 bands for NO$_3^-$ in the second are evident. These curves show rather conclusively that the CO$_3^{--}$ is a much stronger absorbent of infrared energy than the NO$_3^-$. The carbonate ion also produces greater surface losses.

Fused silica has strong absorption bands at 3.8 and 4.45 μ, as indicated in figures 3 and 4. These two absorption bands are caused by the silicon-oxygen bond [11] and are of sufficient intensity to be identified in more complex glasses.

In the following tabulation the above absorption bands observed for glasses are listed by wavelengths and vibrating groups for reference:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Vibrating group</th>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Vibrating group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Si–O bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>OH associated</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>CO$_3^{--}$</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>Si–O bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>OH associated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. Individual Glasses

1. Fused Silica

In figure 4 the two transmittance curves for two thicknesses of fused silica are shown with a curve for the calculated internal transmittance. Figure 4 also shows the calculated surface losses. The curves show the following absorption bands: 2.75, 3.0, 3.5, 3.8, 4.0, 4.45, and 4.7 μ. With the exception of the 2.75-, 3.5-, 4.0- and 4.7-μ bands, these absorption bands more or less coincide with the stronger bands of crystalline quartz [13]. The absorption bands at 3.5 and 4.0 μ might indicate the presence of a small amount of the carbonate ion. The absorption band of silica at 4.45 μ is very definite. It is important to note that apparently the OH is present in the internal structure of the glass, as indicated by the internal transmittances and the absence of an increase in surface losses in the region of 2.75 μ.

2. K$_2$O-SiO$_2$ Glass

The calculation of the internal transmittances and the surface losses for a glass from the potash-silica system shows that the greater loss of energy is at the surface of the glass. These results are plotted in figure 8, A and B. The internal transmittance curves show considerable absorption for wavelengths longer than 2.7 μ, indicating that some of the absorbing media is contained in the internal structure of the glass. The curves for the surface losses indicate the presence of carbonate ions and nitrate ions on or near the surface. The magnitude of the surface losses appears to be greater than that which could be reasonably attributed to reflection by the glass alone. This glass is, therefore, unsuitable for the transmission of infrared energy.

3. Corning Pyrex glass No. 774

The samples of untreated and vacuum-degassed Pyrex glass No. 774 used by Harrison [11] were measured at this Bureau in 1935. Applying the mathematical analysis to these data gives the transmittance curves shown in figures 9, 10, and 11. These curves indicate that the OH is present. A comparison of the internal transmittances for two samples, shown in figure 11, indicates that the vacuum treatment at the temperatures used considerably improved the internal transmittance of the glass; figure 12 shows that the treatment practically removed the surface loss caused by the OH. It seems, therefore, that the presence of the OH, is in part, a surface phenomenon, corroborating Weyl's statement [1].

4. IR Filter No. 1

Filter glass NBS–IR–1, developed for the Bureau of Ships, Department of the Navy, absorbs the visible spectrum but has a high transmittance of the near-infrared energy. The transmittance curves for this glass, figure 13, show the absorption bands in the tabulation above, including the band for CO$_2$ at 4.25 μ. This CO$_2$ absorption is indicated by the internal transmittance curve. The surface losses, shown in figure 13, indicate very little water on the surface of the glass. These data indicate that a considerable amount of the absorbing media is contained in the internal structure of this particular glass.

VII. Conclusions

A study of the transmittance of near-infrared energy by glasses of different compositions has been made from data available in the literature and experimental glasses prepared at this Bureau. The internal transmittance and surface losses of the glasses were calculated for specific wavelengths of radiant energy. It was found that, at certain wavelengths, much of the energy was reflected, scattered, and absorbed by identified groups that occurred at or near the surface of the glass. Other conditions being similar, the total transmittance of a glass for infrared energy, in the range from 2.5 to 5.0 μ, varies inversely with the hygrosopicity. This absorption of near-infrared energy may be attributed to the free water in the liquid phase, OH, CO$_3^{--}$, and NO$_3^-$ ions, free CO$_2$, and the silicon-oxygen bond.

The authors are indebted to the Radiometry Section for supplying the data on the transmittances of these glasses, and Mason H. Black for this assistance in making some of the glasses.
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Figure 1. Composite transmittance curve for metal carbonates.

Figure 2. Total transmittance of infrared energy by alkali nitrates.
- KNO₃ as determined by Coblentz
- NaN₃ as determined by Schaefer, Bormuth, and Matossi.

Figure 3. Total transmittance of infrared energy by fused silica.
Thickness of sample=2.85 mm.
Figure 4. Transmittance of infrared energy and surface losses by fused silica for two thicknesses of sample

- ○, Total transmittance, thickness of sample = 0.5 mm; ●, total transmittance, thickness of sample = 5.0 mm; △, internal transmittance, equivalent thickness = 5.0 mm; □, calculated surface losses, one surface.

Figure 5. Total transmittance of infrared energy by a lithium silicate experimental glass.
Thicknness of sample = 3.74 mm.

Figure 6. Total transmittance of infrared energy by a lithium-beryllium silicate glass.
Thickness of sample = 2.23 mm.

Figure 7. Total transmittance of infrared energy by a sodium-barium silicate glass showing a carbon dioxide absorption band at 4.25 μ.
Thickness of sample = 2.02 mm.
Figure 8. Transmittance of infrared energy by a potassium silicate experimental glass for two thicknesses of the sample, the internal transmittance, and surface losses.

A. Made with potassium carbonate: • total transmittance, thickness of sample=2.02 mm; ○ total transmittance, thickness of sample=7.57 mm; ▲ internal transmittance, thickness of sample=2.02 mm; ◇ calculated surface losses, one surface. B. Made with potassium nitrate: ● total transmittance, thickness of sample=1.97 mm; ○, total transmittance, thickness of sample=8.18 mm; ▲ internal transmittance, thickness of sample=1.97 mm; ◇ calculated surface losses, one surface.

Figure 9. Transmittance of infrared energy by untreated Pyrex glass No. 774.

● Total transmittance, thickness of sample=2 mm; ○ total transmittance, thickness of sample=5 mm; ▲ internal transmittance, equivalent thickness=2 mm; ◇ calculated surface losses, one surface.
Figure 10. Transmittance of infrared energy by degassed Pyrex glass No. 774.

- Total transmittance, thickness of sample=2 mm; ○, total transmittance, thickness of sample=5 mm; ▲, internal transmittance, equivalent thickness=2 mm; ▲, calculated surface losses, one surface.

Figure 11. Comparison of internal transmittances of untreated and degassed Pyrex glass No. 774.

- Internal transmittance untreated sample, thickness of sample=2 mm; ▲, internal transmittance degassed sample, thickness of sample=2 mm.

Figure 12. Calculated surface losses, on one surface, of infrared energy by untreated and degassed Pyrex glass No. 774.

- □, Untreated; ■, treated.

Figure 13. Transmittance of infrared energy by NBS-IR-1 filter, and surface losses.

- Total transmittance, thickness of sample=1.08 mm; ○, total transmittance, thickness of sample=4.00 mm; ▲, internal transmittance, equivalent thickness=1.08 mm; □, calculated surface losses, one surface.

Washington, March 27, 1950.