Withdrawn Draft

Warning Notice

The attached draft document has been withdrawn and is provided solely for historical purposes. It has been followed by the document identified below.

Withdrawal Date July 15, 2024

Original Release Date September 27, 2023

The attached draft document is followed by:

Status Final

Series/Number NIST SP 800-73pt2-5

Title Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification: Part 2 – PIV Card Application Card Command Interface

Publication Date July 2024

DOI https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-73pt2-5

CSRC URL https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/sp/800/73/pt2/5/final

Additional Information

NIST NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NIST Special Publication
NIST SP 800-73pt2-5 ipd

Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification

Part 2 – PIV Card Application Card Command Interface

Initial Public Draft

Hildegard Ferraiolo
Ketan Mehta
Salvatore Francomacaro
Ramaswamy Chandramouli
Sarbari Gupta

This publication is available free of charge from:
https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-73pt2-5.ipd

NIST NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NIST Special Publication
NIST SP 800-73pt2-5 ipd

Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification

Part 2 – PIV Card Application Card Command Interface

Initial Public Draft

Hildegard Ferraiolo
Ketan Mehta
Salvatore Francomacaro
Ramaswamy Chandramouli
Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory

Sarbari Gupta
Electrosoft Services, Inc.

This publication is available free of charge from:
https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-73pt2-5.ipd

September 2023

U.S. Department of Commerce
Gina M. Raimondo, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Laurie E. Locascio, NIST Director and Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, software, or materials, commercial or non-commercial, are identified in this paper in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement of any product or service by NIST, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at https://csrc.nist.gov/publications.

Authority

This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3551 et seq., Public Law (P.L.) 113-283. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials exercising policy authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130.

Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. Attribution would, however, be appreciated by NIST.

NIST Technical Series Policies

Copyright, Use, and Licensing Statements
NIST Technical Series Publication Identifier Syntax

Publication History

Approved by the NIST Editorial Review Board on YYYY-MM-DD [Will be added on final publishing]
Supersedes NIST Series XXX (Month Year) DOI [Will be added on final publishing]

How to Cite this NIST Technical Series Publication:

Ferraiolo H, Mehta K, Francomacaro S, Chandramouli R, Gupta S (2023) Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification: Part 2 – PIV Card Application Card Command Interface. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-73pt2-5 ipd. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-73pt2-5.ipd

Author ORCID iDs

Hildegard Ferraiolo: 0000-0002-7719-5999
Ketan Mehta: 0009-0001-1191-8656
Salvatore Francomacaro: 0009-0009-0487-2520
Ramaswamy Chandramouli: 0000-0002-7387-5858
Sarbari Gupta: 0000-0003-1101-0856

Public Comment Period
September 27, 2023 – November 15, 2023

Submit Comments
piv_comments@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

Abstract

FIPS 201 defines the requirements and characteristics of government-wide interoperable identity credentials. It specifies that these identity credentials must be stored on a smart card and that additional common identity credentials, known as derived PIV credentials, may be issued by a federal department or agency and used when a PIV Card is not practical. This document contains the technical specifications to interface with the smart card to retrieve and use the PIV identity credentials. The specifications reflect the design goals of interoperability and PIV Card functions. The goals are addressed by specifying a PIV data model, card edge interface, and application programming interface. Moreover, this document enumerates requirements for the options and branches in international integrated circuit card standards. The specifications go further by constraining interpretations of the normative standards to ease implementation, facilitate interoperability, and ensure performance in a manner tailored for PIV applications.

Keywords

authentication; FIPS 201; identity credential; logical access control; on-card biometric comparison; Personal Identity Verification (PIV); physical access control; smart cards; secure messaging.

Reports on Computer Systems Technology

The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in Federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

Call for Patent Claims

This public review includes a call for information on essential patent claims (claims whose use would be required for compliance with the guidance or requirements in this Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) draft publication). Such guidance and/or requirements may be directly stated in this ITL Publication or by reference to another publication. This call also includes disclosure, where known, of the existence of pending U.S. or foreign patent applications relating to this ITL draft publication and of any relevant unexpired U.S. or foreign patents.

ITL may require from the patent holder, or a party authorized to make assurances on its behalf, in written or electronic form, either:

a) assurance in the form of a general disclaimer to the effect that such party does not hold and does not currently intend holding any essential patent claim(s); or

b) assurance that a license to such essential patent claim(s) will be made available to applicants desiring to utilize the license for the purpose of complying with the guidance or requirements in this ITL draft publication either:

   i. under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination; or

   ii. without compensation and under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination.

Such assurance shall indicate that the patent holder (or third party authorized to make assurances on its behalf) will include in any documents transferring ownership of patents subject to the assurance, provisions sufficient to ensure that the commitments in the assurance are binding on the transferee, and that the transferee will similarly include appropriate provisions in the event of future transfers with the goal of binding each successor-in-interest.

The assurance shall also indicate that it is intended to be binding on successors-in-interest regardless of whether such provisions are included in the relevant transfer documents.

Such statements should be addressed to: piv_comments@nist.gov

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Purpose 1
1.2. Scope 1
1.3. Audience and Assumptions 2
1.4. Content and Organization 2
2. Overview: Concepts and Constructs 3
2.1. Platform Requirements 3
2.2. Namespaces of the PIV Card Application 3
2.3. Card Applications 4
2.3.1. Default Selected Card Application 4
2.4. Security Architecture 4
2.4.1. Access Control Rule 4
2.4.2. Security Status 5
2.4.3. Authentication of an Individual 5
2.5. Current State of the PIV Card Application 6
3. PIV Card Application Card Command Interface 7
3.1. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Data Access 8
3.1.1. SELECT Card Command 8
3.1.2. GET DATA Card Command 10
3.2. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Authentication 11
3.2.1. VERIFY Card Command 11
3.2.2. CHANGE REFERENCE DATA Card Command 14
3.2.3. RESET RETRY COUNTER Card Command 15
3.2.4. GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Card Command 17
3.3. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Credential Initialization and Administration 18
3.3.1. PUT DATA Card Command 18
3.3.2. GENERATE ASYMMETRIC KEY PAIR Card Command 19
4. Secure Messaging 21
4.1. Key Establishment Protocol 21
4.1.1. Client Application Steps 22
4.1.2. PIV Card Application Protocol Steps 23
4.1.3. Notations 24
4.1.4. Cipher Suite 25
4.1.5. Card Verifiable Certificates 25

4.1.6. Key Derivation 28
4.1.7. Key Confirmation 28
4.1.8. Command Interface 28
4.2. Secure Messaging 29
4.2.1. Secure Messaging Data Objects 30
4.2.2. Command and Response Data Confidentiality 30
4.2.3. Command Integrity 32
4.2.4. Command With PIV Secure Messaging 33
4.2.5. Response Integrity 34
4.2.6. Response With PIV Secure Messaging 35
4.2.7. Error Handling 36
4.3. Session Key Destruction 37
References 38
Appendix A. Examples of the Use of the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Command 40
Appendix B. List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms 52
Appendix C. Glossary 55
Appendix D. Notation 56

List of Tables

Table 1. State of the PIV Card Application 6
Table 2. PIV Card Application card commands 7
Table 3. Data objects in the PIV Card Application property template (Tag '61') 9
Table 4. Data objects in a coexistent tag allocation authority template (Tag '79') 9
Table 5. Data objects in a cryptographic algorithm identifier template (Tag 'AC') 9
Table 6. Data objects in the data field of the GET DATA card command 10
Table 7. Data objects in the dynamic authentication template (Tag '7C') 18
Table 8. Data field of the PUT DATA card command for the Discovery Object 19
Table 9. Data field of the PUT DATA card command for the BIT Group template 19
Table 10. Data field of the PUT DATA card command for all other PIV data objects 19
Table 11. Data objects in the template (Tag 'AC') 20
Table 12. Data objects in the template (Tag '7F49') 20
Table 13. Public-key encoding for ECC 20
Table 14: Key Establishment Protocol for PIV Card Application 21
Table 15: Protocol Steps for Client Application 22
Table 16: Protocol Steps for PIV Card Application 23
Table 17: Notations used in Protocol Description 24
Table 18. Cipher suite for PIV secure messaging 25
Table 19. Secure messaging card verifiable certificate format 26
Table 20. Intermediate card verifiable certificate format 27
Table 21. Secure messaging data objects 30
Table 22. Authentication of PIV Card Application Administrator 40

Table 23. Mutual authentication of client application and PIV Card Application 41
Table 24. Validation of the PIV Card Application using GENERAL AUTHENTICATE 42
Table 25: PIV Cardholder Authentication over Virtual Contact Interface 48
Table 26: PIV Cardholer Authentication using Secure Messaging Key 51

List of Figures

Fig. 1. PIV Data Confidentiality 31
Fig. 2. PIV Data Integrity of Command 33
Fig. 3. Single Command under Secure Messaging 34
Fig. 4. Chained Command under Secure Messaging 34
Fig. 5. PIV Data Integrity of Response 35
Fig. 6. Single Response under Secure Messaging 36
Fig. 7. Chained Response under Secure Messaging 36

Acknowledgments

The authors — Hildegard Ferraiolo, Ketan Mehta, Salvatore Francomacaro, and Ramaswamy Chandramouli of NIST and Sarbari Gupta of Electrosoft Services, Inc. — gratefully acknowledge the contributions of David Cooper, James Dray, William MacGregor, Scott Guthery, Teresa Schwarzhoff, and Jason Mohler, who co-authored prior versions of this three-part publication. The authors also gratefully acknowledge and appreciate the many contributions from the public and private sectors whose thoughtful and constructive comments improved the quality and usefulness of this publication.

1. Introduction

Homeland Security Presidential Directive-12 (HSPD-12) called for the adoption of a common identification standard to govern the interoperable use of identity credentials to allow physical and logical access to federally controlled facilities and information systems. In response, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 201 [FIPS201], *Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors*, was developed to define reliable, government-wide identity credentials for use in applications such as access to federally controlled facilities and information systems. FIPS 201 supports multiple types of authenticators, including authenticators on smart cards (also known as PIV cards) and derived PIV credential authenticators in various other form factors. This publication contains technical specifications to interface with PIV Cards to retrieve and use identity credentials. Other specifications, such as NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-157r1 (Revision 1), contain procedures and life cycle activities to issue, maintain, and use derived PIV credentials.

1.1. Purpose

FIPS 201 defines processes for binding identities to authenticators, such as the PIV Card and derived PIV credentials used in the federal PIV system. SP 800-73-5 contains the technical specifications to interface with the PIV Card to retrieve and use the identity credentials. The specifications reflect the design goals of interoperability and PIV Card functions. The goals are addressed by specifying a PIV data model, card edge interface, and application programming interface. Moreover, SP 800-73-5 enumerates requirements for the options and branches in international integrated circuit card (ICC) standards [ISO7816]. The specifications go further by constraining interpretations of the normative standards to ease implementation, facilitate interoperability, and ensure performance in a manner tailored for PIV applications.

1.2. Scope

SP 800-73-5 specifies the PIV data model, application programming interface (API), and card interface requirements necessary to comply with the use cases, as defined in Section 6 of FIPS 201 and further described in Appendix B of SP 800-73-5 Part 1. Interoperability is defined as the use of PIV identity credentials such that client-application programs, compliant card applications, and compliant ICCs CAN be used interchangeably by all information processing systems across federal agencies. SP 800-73-5 defines the PIV data elements' identifiers, structure, and format, as well as the client API and card command interface for use with the PIV Card.

This document — SP 800-73-5, *Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification: Part 2 – PIV Card Application Card Command Interface* — contains the technical specifications for the PIV Card command interface to the PIV Card. The specifications define the set of commands surfaced by the PIV Card Application at the card edge of the ICC.

1.3. Audience and Assumptions

This document is intended for federal agencies and implementers of PIV systems. Readers are assumed to have a working knowledge of smart card standards and applications.

Readers should also be aware of the following important content in SP 800-73-5 Part 1:

- The front matter describes configuration management recommendations.
    - Section 1.3 specifies the effective date of SP 800-73-5.
- The front matter also specifies NPIVP conformance testing procedures.
- Appendix G provides the full Revision History of SP 800-73.

1.4. Content and Organization

All sections in this document are *normative* (i.e., mandatory for compliance) unless specified as *informative* (i.e., non-mandatory) and are structured as follows:

- Section 1, *Introduction*, provides the purpose, scope, audience, and assumptions of the document and outlines its structure.
- Section 2, *Overview: Concepts and Constructs,* describes the model of computation of the PIV Card Application and the PIV client application programming interface, including information processing concepts and data representation constructs.
- Section 3, *PIV Card Application Card Command Interface*, describes the set of commands accessible by the PIV Middleware to communicate with the PIV Card Application.
- Section 4, *Secure Messaging*, describes the secure messaging protocol that is used to enable data confidentiality and integrity.
- Appendix A demonstrates the GENERAL AUHTENTICATE command. This section is *informative*.
- Appendix B contains the list of acronyms used in this document. This section is *informative*.
- Appendix C contains a Glossary of terms used in this document. This section is *informative*.
- Appendix D explains the notation in use in this document. This section is *informative*.

2. Overview: Concepts and Constructs

SP 800-73-5 Parts 2 and 3 define two interfaces to an ICC that contain the PIV Card Application: a low-level card command interface (Part 2) and a high-level client API (Part 3). SP 800-73-5 Part 3 is optional, and NIST Personal Identity Verification Program (NPIVP) conformance testing for PIV Middleware in accordance with SP 800-73 Part 3 is discontinued since endpoints support high level-client API natively at the time of this publication.

The information processing concepts and data constructs on both interfaces are identical and MAY be referred to generically as the information processing concepts and data constructs on the *PIV interfaces* without specific reference to the client API or the card command interface.

The client API provides task-specific programmatic access to these concepts and constructs, and the card command interface provides communication access. The client API is used by client applications using the PIV Card Application. The card command interface is used by software that implement the client API (middleware).

The client API is thought of as being at a higher level than the card command interface because access to a single entry point on the client API may cause multiple card commands to traverse the card command interface. In other words, it may require more than one card command on the card command interface to accomplish the task represented by a single call on an entry point of the client API.

The client API is a program execution, call/return style interface, whereas the card command interface is a communication protocol, command/response style interface. Because of this difference, the representation of the PIV concepts and constructs as bits and bytes on the client API may be different from the representation of these same concepts and constructs on the card command interface.

2.1. Platform Requirements

The PIV Card Application places the following requirements on the ICC platform on which it is implemented or installed:

- Global security status that includes the security status of a global cardholder PIN
- Application selection using a truncated Application Identifier (AID)
- Ability to reset the security status of an individual application
- Indication to applications as to which physical communication interface — contact versus contactless — is in use
- Support for the default selection of an application upon warm or cold reset

2.2. Namespaces of the PIV Card Application

Part 1 specifies the AID, names, Tag-Length-Value (BER-TLV) tags [ISO8825], ASN.1 Object Identifiers (OIDs) [ISO8824], and Proprietary Identifier eXtensions (PIXes) of the NIST Registered Application Provider IDentifier (RID) used on the PIV interfaces. Part 1 also states

that all unspecified names, BER-TLV tags, OIDs, and values of algorithm identifiers, key references, and cryptographic mechanism identifiers are reserved for future use.

2.3. Card Applications

Each command that appears on the card command interface SHALL be implemented by a *card application* that is resident on the ICC. The card command enables operations on and with the data objects to which the card application has access.

Each card application SHALL have a globally unique name called its Application Identifier (AID) [ISO7816, Part 4]. Except for the default applications, access to the card commands and data objects of a card application SHALL be gained by selecting the card application using its application identifier.[1] The PIX of the AID SHALL contain an encoding of the version of the card application. The AID of the PIV Card Application is defined in Part 1.

The card application whose commands are currently being used is called the *currently selected application*.

2.3.1. Default Selected Card Application

The card platform SHALL support a default selected card application. In other words, there SHALL be a currently selected application immediately after a cold or warm reset. This card application is the default selected card application. The default card application MAY be the PIV Card Application, or it MAY be another card application.

2.4. Security Architecture

The security architecture of an ICC is the means by which the security policies governing access to each data object stored on the card are represented within the card. These security policy representations are applied to all PIV card commands, thereby ensuring that the prescribed data policies for the card applications are enforced.

The following subsections describe the security architecture of the PIV Card Application.

2.4.1. Access Control Rule

An *access control rule* SHALL consist of an *access mode* and a *security condition*. The access mode is an operation that CAN be performed on a data object. A security condition is a Boolean expression using variables called security statuses (see Section 2.4.2).

According to an access control rule, the action described by the access mode CAN be performed on the data object if and only if the security condition evaluates to TRUE for the current values of the security statuses. If there is no access control rule with an access mode that describes a particular action, then that action SHALL never be performed on the data object.

[1] Access to the default application, its commands, and its objects occurs immediately after a warm or cold card reset without an explicit SELECT command.

2.4.2. Security Status

A set of one or more Boolean variables — each called a *security status indicator* of the authenticable entity — SHALL be associated with each authenticable entity. Each security status indicator is, in turn, associated with a credential that CAN be used to authenticate the entity. The security status indicator of an authenticable entity SHALL be TRUE if the credentials associated with the security status indicator of the authenticable entity have been authenticated and FALSE otherwise.

A successful execution of an authentication protocol SHALL set the security status indicator associated with the credential used in the protocol to TRUE. An aborted or failed execution of an authentication protocol SHALL set the security status indicator associated with the credential used in the protocol to FALSE.

As an example, the credentials associated with three security status indicators of the cardholder might be a PIN, fingerprint, and pairing code. Demonstrating knowledge of the PIN is the authentication protocol for the first security status indicator wherein the PIN is the credential. Comparing the fingerprint template on the card with a fingerprint acquired from the cardholder is the authentication protocol for the second security status indicator wherein the fingerprint is the credential. Demonstrating knowledge of the pairing code is the authentication protocol for the third security status indicator wherein the pairing code is the credential. A security condition using these three security status indicators might be "pairing code **AND** (PIN **OR** fingerprint)."

A security status indicator SHALL be said to be a *global* security status indicator if it is not changed when the currently selected application changes from one application to another. In essence, when changing from one application to another, the global security status indicators SHALL remain unchanged.

A security status indicator is said to be an *application* security status indicator if it is set to FALSE when the currently selected application changes from one application to another. Every security status indicator is either a global security status indicator or an application security status indicator. The security status indicators associated with the PIV Card Application PIN, the PIN Unblocking Key (PUK), OCC, pairing code, and the PIV Card Application Administration Key are application security status indicators for the PIV Card Application, whereas the security status indicator associated with the Global PIN is a global security status indicator.

The term *global security status* refers to the set of all global security status indicators. The term *application security status* refers to the set of all application security status indicators for a specific application.

2.4.3. Authentication of an Individual

Knowledge of a PIN is the means by which an individual CAN be authenticated to the PIV Card Application.

The pairing code SHALL be exactly 8 bytes in length, and the PIV Card Application PIN SHALL be between 6 and 8 bytes in length. If the actual length of the PIV Card Application PIN is less than 8 bytes, it SHALL be padded to 8 bytes with 'FF' when presented to the card command interface. The 'FF' padding bytes SHALL be appended to the actual value of the PIN.

The bytes that comprise the PIV Card Application PIN and pairing code SHALL be limited to values 0x30 – 0x39, the ASCII values for the decimal digits '0' – '9'. For example:

- Actual PIV Card Application PIN: “123456” or '31 32 33 34 35 36'
- Padded PIV Card Application PIN presented to the card command interface: '31 32 33 34 35 36 FF FF'

The PIV Card Application SHALL enforce the minimum length requirement of 6 bytes for the PIV Card Application PIN (i.e., SHALL verify that at least the first 6 bytes of the value presented to the card command interface are in the range 0x30 – 0x39) and the other formatting requirements specified in this section.

If the Global PIN is used by the PIV Card Application, then the above encoding, length, padding, and enforcement of minimum PIN length requirements for the PIV Card Application PIN SHALL apply to the Global PIN.

The PUK SHALL be 8 bytes in length and MAY be any 8-byte binary value. That is, the bytes that comprise the PUK MAY have any value in the range 0x00 – 0xFF.

2.5. Current State of the PIV Card Application

The elements of the *current state* of the PIV Card Application when the PIV Card Application is the currently selected application are described in **Table 1**.

Table 1. State of the PIV Card Application

State Name	Always Defined	Comment	Location of State
Global security status	Yes	Contains security status indicators that span all card applications on the platform	PIV Platform
Currently selected application	Yes	The platform SHALL support the selection of a card application using the full application identifier or by providing the right-truncated version, and there SHALL always be a currently selected application.	PIV Platform
Application security status	Yes	Contains security status indicators local to the PIV Card Application	PIV Card Application

3. PIV Card Application Card Command Interface

Table 2 lists the card commands surfaced by the PIV Card Application at the card edge of the ICC when it is the currently selected card application. All PIV Card Application card commands SHALL be supported by a PIV Card Application. Card commands indicated by a "Yes" in the Command Chaining column SHALL support command chaining for transmitting a data string that is too long for a single command, as defined in [ISO7816].

Table 2. PIV Card Application card commands

Type	Name	Contact Interface	Contactless Interface	Security Condition for Use	Command Chaining
PIV Card Application Card Commands for Data Access	**SELECT**	Yes	Yes	Always	No
	GET DATA	Yes	Yes	Data Dependent. See Table 2, Part 1.	No
PIV Card Application Card Commands for Authentication	**VERIFY**	Yes	SM or VCI (see Note 1)	Always	Yes[2]
	CHANGE REFERENCE DATA	Yes	VCI	PIN or OCC	Yes[3]
	RESET RETRY COUNTER	Yes	No	PIN Unblocking Key	No
	GENERAL AUTHENTICATE	Yes	Yes (See Note 2)	Key Dependent. See Table 5, Part 1.	Yes
PIV Card Application Card Commands for Credential Initialization and Administration	**PUT DATA**	Yes	No	PIV Card Application Administrator	Yes
	GENERATE ASYMMETRIC KEY PAIR	Yes	No	PIV Card Application Administrator	Yes

The PIV Card Application shall return the status word of '6A 81' (Function not supported) when it receives a card command on the contactless interface marked "No" in the Contactless Interface column in **Table 2**. The PIV Card Application may return a different status word (e.g., '69 82') if the card command can be performed over the contactless interface in support of card management. The PIV Card Application will only perform the command in support of card management if the requirements specified in Section 2.9.2 of FIPS 201-2 are satisfied.

Note 1: For SM, OCC and pairing code alone CAN be submitted via secure messaging (SM) over the contactless interface. All other key references require VCI for communication over the contactless interface.

Note 2: Cryptographic protocols using private/secret keys that require the "PIN" or "OCC" security condition SHALL only be used on the contactless interface after a virtual

[2] The VERIFY command is only required to support command chaining if the PIV Card Application supports OCC.
[3] The CHANGE REFERENCE DATA command is only required to support command chaining if the PIV Card Application supports OCC.

contact interface (VCI) has been established. The VCI[4] is established when the following security condition is met:

> (command is submitted over secure messaging) **AND** (the Discovery Object is present) **AND** (Bit 4 of the first byte of the PIN Usage Policy is one) **AND** ((the security status indicator associated with the pairing code is TRUE) **OR** (Bit 3 of the first byte of the PIN Usage Policy is one))

3.1. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Data Access

3.1.1. SELECT Card Command

The SELECT card command sets the currently selected application. The PIV Card Application SHALL be selected by providing its application identifier (see Part 1, Section 2.2) in the data field of the SELECT command.

There SHALL be at most one PIV Card Application on any ICC. The PIV Card Application CAN also be made the currently selected application by providing the right-truncated version (see Part 1, Section 2.2) — that is, without the 2-byte version number in the data field of the SELECT command.

The complete AID, including the 2-byte version, of the PIV Card Application that became the currently selected card application upon successful execution of the SELECT command (using the full or right-truncated PIV AID) SHALL be returned in the application property template.

If the currently selected application is the PIV Card Application when the SELECT command is given and the AID in the data field of the SELECT command is either the AID of the PIV Card Application or the right-truncated version thereof, then the PIV Card Application SHALL continue to be the currently selected card application, and the setting of all security status indicators in the PIV Card Application SHALL be unchanged.

If the currently selected application is the PIV Card Application when the SELECT command is given and the AID in the data field of the SELECT command is not the PIV Card Application (or the right-truncated version thereof) but a valid AID supported by the ICC, then the PIV Card Application SHALL be deselected, and all the PIV Card Application security status indicators in the PIV Card Application SHALL be set to FALSE.

If the currently selected application is the PIV Card Application when the SELECT command is given and the AID in the data field of the SELECT command is an invalid AID not supported by the ICC, then the PIV Card Application SHALL remain the currently selected application, and all PIV Card Application security status indicators SHALL remain unchanged.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00'
INS	'A4'
P1	'04'
P2	'00'
L_c	Length of application identifier

[4] The VCI is explained in further details in SP 800-73-5 Part 1, Section 5.5.

Data Field	AID of the PIV Card Application using the full AID or the right-truncated AID (See Section 2.2, Part 1)
L_e	'00'

Response Syntax

Data Field	Application property template (APT). See Table 3 below
SW1-SW2	Status word

Upon selection, the PIV Card Application SHALL return the application property template described in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Data objects in the PIV Card Application property template (Tag '61')

Description	Tag	M/O/C	Comment
Application identifier of application	'4F'	M	The PIX of the AID includes the encoding of the version of the PIV Card Application. See Section 2.2, Part 1.
Coexistent tag allocation authority	'79'	M	Coexistent tag allocation authority template. See Table 4.
Application label	'50'	O	Text describing the application (e.g., for use on a human-machine interface)
Uniform resource locator	'5F50'	O	Reference to the specification describing the application
Cryptographic algorithms supported	'AC'	C	Cryptographic algorithm identifier template. See Table 5.

Table 4. Data objects in a coexistent tag allocation authority template (Tag '79')

Name	Tag	M/O	Comment
Application identifier	'4F'	M	See Section 2.2, Part 1

A PIV Card Application MAY use a subset of the cryptographic algorithms defined in SP 800-78. Tag 0xAC encodes the cryptographic algorithms supported by the PIV Card Application. The encoding of tag 0xAC SHALL be as specified in **Table 5**. Each instance of tag 0x80 SHALL encapsulate one algorithm. The presence of algorithm identifier '27' or '2E' indicates that the corresponding cipher suite is supported by the PIV Card Application for secure messaging and that the PIV Card Application possesses a PIV Secure Messaging key of the appropriate size for the specified cipher suite. Tag 0xAC SHALL be present and indicate algorithm identifier 0x27 or 0x2E (but not both) when the PIV Card Application supports secure messaging.

Table 5. Data objects in a cryptographic algorithm identifier template (Tag 'AC')

Name	Tag	M/O	Comment
Cryptographic algorithm identifier	'80'	M	For values, see [SP800-78, Table 9]
Object identifier	'06'	M	Its value is set to 0x00

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'6A'	'82'	Application not found
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

3.1.2. GET DATA Card Command

The GET DATA card command retrieves the data content of the single data object whose tag is given in the data field.[5]

Command Syntax

CLA	'00' or '0C' for secure messaging
INS	'CB'
P1	'3F'
P2	'FF'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	See Table 6
L_e	'00'

The L_c value is '05' for all PIV data objects except for the 0x7E interindustry tag (Discovery Object), which has an L_c value of '03', and the 0x7F61 interindustry tag (Biometric Information Templates (BIT) Group Template), which has an L_c value of '04'.

Table 6. Data objects in the data field of the GET DATA card command

Name	Tag	M/O	Comment
Tag list	'5C'	M	BER-TLV tag of the data object to be retrieved. See Table 3, Part 1.

Response Syntax

For the 0x7E Discovery Object (if present) and the 0x7F61 BIT Group Template (if present):

Data Field	- BER-TLV of the 0x7E Discovery data object (see Section 3.3.2, Part 1 for a description of the Discovery Object's structure returned in the data field) or - BER-TLV of the 0x7F61 BIT Group Template (see Table 7 of SP 800-76)
SW1-SW2	Status word

For all other PIV data objects (if present):

Data Field	BER-TLV with the tag '53' containing in the value field of the requested data object.
SW1-SW2	Status word

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'61'	'xx'	Successful execution where SW2 encodes the number of response data bytes still available
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'6A'	'82'	Data object not found
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

[5] The GET RESPONSE command is used in conjunction with GET DATA to read larger PIV data objects. The GET RESPONSE command is illustrated in Appendix A.4.1 (Command 3).

3.2. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Authentication

3.2.1. VERIFY Card Command

The VERIFY card command initiates the comparison in the card of the reference data indicated by the key reference with authentication data in the data field of the command.

Key reference '80' specific to the PIV Card Application (i.e., local key references) and, optionally, the Global PIN with key reference '00', the OCC data (key references '96' and '97'), and pairing code (key reference '98') are the only key references that MAY be verified by the PIV Card Application's VERIFY command. The PIV Card Application MAY allow other key references to be verified by the PIV Card Application's VERIFY command if they are used for card management operations.

Key reference '80' SHALL be able to be verified by the PIV Card Application VERIFY command. If the PIV Card Application does not contain the Discovery Object as described in Part 1, then no other key reference SHALL be able to be verified by the PIV Card Application VERIFY command. If the PIV Card Application contains the Discovery Object, then the ability of the PIV Card Application's VERIFY command to verify key references '00', '96', '97', and '98' SHALL be as specified by the first byte of the Discovery Object's PIN Usage Policy value:

- If Bit 6 is one, then key reference '00' SHALL be able to be verified by the PIV Card Application VERIFY command.
- If Bit 5 is one, then key references '96' and/or '97', as specified in the Biometric Information Templates Group Template, SHALL be able to be verified by the PIV Card Application VERIFY command.
- If Bit 4 is one, then key reference '98' SHALL be able to be verified by the PIV Card Application VERIFY command.

If any key reference value is specified that CANNOT be verified by the PIV Card Application, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 88'.

The VERIFY command MAY be submitted over the contact interface and, under some conditions, over the contactless interface. The card command SHALL fail if:

- The key reference is '00' or '80', and the command is not submitted over either the contact interface or the VCI, or
- The key reference is '96', '97', or '98', and the command is submitted over the contactless interface without secure messaging.

The P1 parameter SHALL be either '00' or 'FF'. If any other value is specified for the P1 parameter, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 86'.

If the VERIFY command fails for one of the reasons specified above, then the security status and the retry counter of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.

If P1='00' and L_c and the command data field are absent, the command CAN be used to retrieve the number of further retries allowed ('63 CX') or to check whether verification is not needed ('90 00').

If P1='00' and L_c and the command data field are present, then the authentication data in the command data field SHALL be compared against the reference data associated with the key reference, as specified in the following subsections. However, if the key reference is '00', '80', '96', or '97' and the current value of the retry counter associated with the key reference is zero, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '69 83'.[6] In order to protect against blocking over the contactless interface, PIV Card Applications that implement secure messaging SHALL define an issuer-specified intermediate retry value for each of these key references and return '69 83' if the command is submitted over the contactless interface (over secure messaging or the VCI, as required for the key reference) and the current value of the retry counter associated with the key reference is at or below the issuer-specified intermediate retry value. If status word '69 83' is returned, then the comparison SHALL NOT be made, and the security status and the retry counter of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.

If P1='FF', and L_c and the command data field are absent, the command SHALL reset the security status of the key reference in P2. The security status of the key reference specified in P2 SHALL be set to FALSE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.

3.2.1.1. PIV Card Application PIN and Global PIN

If the key reference is '00' or '80' and the authentication data in the command data field does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, then the card command SHALL fail, and the PIV Card Application SHALL return either the status word '6A 80' or '63 CX'. If status word '6A 80' is returned, the security status and the retry counter of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.[7] If status word '63 CX' is returned, the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to FALSE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be decremented by one.

If the authentication data in the command data field is properly formatted (see previous paragraph) and does not match reference data associated with the key reference, then the card command SHALL fail, the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '63 CX', the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to FALSE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be decremented by one.

If the card command succeeds, then the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to TRUE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be set to the reset retry value associated with the key reference. The initial value of the retry counter and the reset retry value associated with the key reference (i.e., the number of successive failures/retries before the retry counter associated with the key reference reaches zero) is 10 or less for both key references in accordance with FIPS 201 Section 2.9.3.

3.2.1.2. On-Card Biometric Comparison

If the key reference is '96' or '97' and the authentication data in the command data field is not of length 3N, where N satisfies the requirements for the minimum and maximum number of minutiae specified in the BIT, then the card command SHALL fail, and the PIV Card

[6] There is no retry counter associated with the pairing code, so the authentication method cannot be blocked for that key reference.
[7] It is recommended that in this case the authentication data not be compared to the on-card reference data.

Application SHALL return the status word '6A 80'. The security status and the retry counter of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.

If the authentication data in the command data field is properly formatted (see previous paragraph) and does not match the reference data associated with the key reference, then the card command SHALL fail, the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '63 CX', the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to FALSE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be decremented by one.

If the card command succeeds, then the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to TRUE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be set to the reset retry value associated with the key reference. The initial value of the retry counter and the reset retry value associated with the key reference (i.e., the number of successive failures/retries before the retry counter associated with the key reference reaches zero) are 10 or less in accordance with FIPS 201 Section 2.9.3.

3.2.1.3. Pairing Code

If the key reference is '98' and the authentication data in the command data field does not match the reference data associated with the key reference, the command SHALL fail, and the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '63 00'. If the authentication data in the command data field does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, then the PIV Card Application MAY return the status word '6A 80' instead of '63 00'. If status word '6A 80' is returned, the security status of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged. If status word '63 00' is returned, the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to FALSE.

If the card command succeeds, then the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to TRUE.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00' or '10' indicating command chaining '0C' or '1C' for secure messaging
INS	'20'
P1	'00' or 'FF'
P2	Key reference. See Part 1, Table 4.
L_c	Absent[8] – for absent command data field '08' – for PIV Card Application PIN, Global PIN, or pairing code 3N – for OCC data (where N is the number of minutiae)
Data Field	Absent,[7] PIV Card Application PIN, Global PIN, pairing code authentication data as described in Section 2.4.3, or OCC data as described in Section 5.5.2 of [SP800-76]
L_e	Absent

Response Syntax

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'63'	'00'	Verification failed
'63'	'CX'	Verification failed, X indicates the number of further allowed retries

[8] If P1='00' and L_c and the command data field are absent, the command can be used to retrieve the number of further retries allowed ('63 CX') or to check whether verification is not needed ('90 00').

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'69'	'83'	Authentication method blocked
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field
'6A'	'81'	Function not supported
'6A'	'86'	Incorrect parameter in P1
'6A'	'88'	Key reference not found
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

3.2.2. CHANGE REFERENCE DATA Card Command

The CHANGE REFERENCE DATA card command initiates the comparison of the authentication data in the command data field with the current value of the reference data and replaces the reference data with new reference data if the comparison is successful. This command CAN be used by the PIV Card Application Administrator and the Cardholder.

Only reference data associated with key references '80', '81' specific to the PIV Card Application (i.e., local key reference), and the Global PIN with key reference '00' MAY be changed by the PIV Card Application CHANGE REFERENCE DATA command. The PIV Card Application MAY allow the reference data associated with other key references (e.g., ‘96’ and ‘97’) to be changed by the PIV Card Application CHANGE REFERENCE DATA if they are used for card management operations and the requirements specified in Section 2.9.2 of FIPS 201-3 are satisfied. If any key reference value is specified that is not supported by the card, the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 88'. Key reference '80' reference data SHALL be changed by the PIV Card Application CHANGE REFERENCE DATA command. The ability to change reference data associated with key references '81' and '00' using the PIV Card Application CHANGE REFERENCE DATA command is optional.

If key reference '81' is specified and the command is not submitted over the contact interface, then the card command SHALL fail. If key reference '00' or '80' is specified and the command is not submitted over either the contact interface or the VCI, then the card command SHALL fail. In each case, the security status and the retry counter of the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.

If the current value of the retry counter associated with the key reference is zero, then the reference data associated with the key reference SHALL NOT be changed, and the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '69 83'. If the command is submitted over the contactless interface (VCI) and the current value of the retry counter associated with the key reference is at or below the issuer-specified intermediate retry value (see Section 3.2.1), then the reference data associated with the key reference SHALL NOT be changed, and the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '69 83'.

If the authentication data in the command data field does not match the current value of the reference data or if either the authentication data or the new reference data in the command data field of the command does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, the PIV Card Application SHALL NOT change the reference data associated with the key reference and SHALL return either status word '6A 80' or '63 CX', with the following restrictions. If the authentication data in the command data field satisfies the criteria in Section 2.4.3 and matches the current value of the reference data, but the new reference data in the command data field of the command does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, the PIV Card Application SHALL return status word '6A 80'.

If the authentication data in the command data field does not match the current value of the reference data, but both the authentication data and the new reference data in the command data field of the command satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, the PIV Card Application SHALL return status word '63 CX'. If status word '6A 80' is returned, the security status and retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL remain unchanged.[9] If status word '63 CX' is returned, the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to FALSE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be decremented by one.

If the card command succeeds, then the security status of the key reference SHALL be set to TRUE, and the retry counter associated with the key reference SHALL be set to the reset retry value associated with the key reference.

The initial value of the retry counter and the reset retry value associated with the key reference (i.e., the number of successive failures/retries before the retry counter associated with the key reference reaches zero) are issuer-dependent.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00' or '0C' for secure messaging
INS	'24'
P1	'00'
P2	'00' (Global PIN), '80' (PIV Card Application PIN), or '81' (PUK)
L_c	'10'
Data Field	Current PIN authentication data concatenated without delimitation with the new PIN reference data, both PINs as described in Section 2.4.3
L_e	Absent

Response Syntax

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'63'	'CX'	Reference data change failed, X indicates the number of further allowed retries or resets
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'69'	'83'	Reference data change operation blocked
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field
'6A'	'81'	Function not supported
'6A'	'88'	Key reference not found
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

3.2.3. RESET RETRY COUNTER Card Command

The RESET RETRY COUNTER card command resets the retry counter of the PIN to its initial value and changes the reference data. The command enables recovery of the PIV Card Application PIN if the cardholder forgets it.

The only key reference allowed in the P2 parameter of the RESET RETRY COUNTER command is '80', the PIV Card Application PIN. The PIV Card Application MAY allow the reference data associated with other key references to be changed by the PIV Card Application RESET RETRY but only if the requirements specified in Section 2.9.2 of FIPS 201-2 are

[9] It is recommended that in this case the authentication data not be compared to the on-card reference data.

satisfied. If a key reference is specified in P2 that is not supported by the card, the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 88'.[10]

If the reset retry counter authentication data (PUK) in the command data field of the command does not match the reference data associated with the PUK, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '63 CX'. If the current value of the PUK's retry counter is zero, then the PIN's retry counter shall not be reset, the PIV Card Application shall return the status word '69 83', and the reset operation shall be blocked.

If the new reference data (PIN) in the command data field of the command does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 80'. If the reset retry counter authentication data (PUK) in the command data field of the command does not match the reference data associated with the PUK and the new reference data (PIN) in the command data field of the command does not satisfy the criteria in Section 2.4.3, then the PIV Card Application SHALL return status word '6A 80' or '63 CX'. If the PIV Card Application returns status word '6A 80', then the retry counter associated with the PIN SHALL NOT be reset, the security status of the PIN's key reference SHALL remain unchanged, and the PUK's retry counter SHALL remain unchanged.[11] If the PIV Card Application returns status word '63 CX', then the retry counter associated with the PIN SHALL NOT be reset, the security status of the PIN's key reference SHALL be set to FALSE, and the PUK's retry counter SHALL be decremented by one.

If the card command succeeds, then the PIN's retry counter SHALL be set to its reset retry value. Optionally, the PUK's retry counter MAY be set to its initial reset retry value. The security status of the PIN's key reference SHALL NOT be changed.

The initial retry counter associated with the PUK (i.e., the number of failures of the RESET RETRY COUNTER command before the PUK's retry counter reaches zero) is issuer-dependent.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00'
INS	'2C'
P1	'00'
P2	'80' (PIV Card Application PIN).
L_c	'10'
Data Field	Reset retry counter authentication data (PUK) concatenated without delimitation with the new reference data (PIN) (both PUK and PIN as described in Section 2.4.3)
L_e	Absent

Response Syntax

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'63'	'CX'	Reset failed, X indicates the number of further allowed resets
'69'	'83'	Reset operation blocked
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field
'6A'	'81'	Function not supported
'6A'	'88'	Key reference not found
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

[10] The PIV Card Application may be implemented to reset the retry counter associated with OCC data when new OCC data is loaded onto the card.
[11] It is recommended that in this case the authentication data not be compared to the on-card reference data.

3.2.4. GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Card Command

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE card command performs a cryptographic operation, such as an authentication protocol, using the data provided in the data field of the command and returns the result of the cryptographic operation in the response data field.[12]

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command SHALL be used with the PIV authentication keys ('9A', '9B', '9E') to authenticate the card or a card application to the client application (INTERNAL AUTHENTICATE), to authenticate an entity to the card (EXTERNAL AUTHENTICATE), and to perform a mutual authentication between the card and an entity external to the card (MUTUAL AUTHENTICATE).

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command SHALL be used with the digital signature key ('9C') to realize the signing functionality on the PIV client application programming interface. Data to be signed is expected to be hashed off-card. Appendix A.4 illustrates the use of the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command for signature generation.

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command SHALL be used with the key management key ('9D') and the retired key management keys ('82' – '95') to realize the key establishment schemes specified in SP 800-78 (ECDH and RSA). Appendix A.5 illustrates the use of the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command for key establishment schemes aided by the PIV Card Application.

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command SHALL be used with the PIV Secure Messaging key ('04') and cryptographic algorithm identifier '27' or '2E' to establish session keys for secure messaging, as specified in Section 4. If key reference '04' is specified in P2, then algorithm identifiers in P1 other than '27' and '2E' SHALL NOT be permitted, and the PIV Card Application SHALL return the status word '6A 86'.

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command supports command chaining to permit the uninterrupted transmission of long command data fields to the PIV Card Application. If a card command other than the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is received by the PIV Card Application before the termination of a GENERAL AUTHENTICATE chain, the PIV Card Application SHALL roll back to the state it was in immediately prior to the reception of the first command in the interrupted chain. In other words, an interrupted GENERAL AUTHENTICATE chain has no effect on the PIV Card Application.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00' or '10' indicating command chaining '0C' or '1C' for secure messaging
INS	'87'
P1	Algorithm reference. See **Table 1**8 and [SP800-78, Table 9]
P2	Key reference. See Table 5, Part 1 for key reference values
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	See **Table 7**
L_e	Absent or '00'

[12] The GET RESPONSE command is used to return the complete result of the cryptographic operation with keys sizes such as 2048 or 3072 bits RSA. The GET RESPONSE command is illustrated in Appendix A.4.1 (Command 3).

700

701 **Table 7.** Data objects in the dynamic authentication template (Tag '7C')

Name	Tag	M/O	Description
Witness	'80'	C	Demonstration of knowledge of a fact without revealing the fact. An empty witness is a request for a witness.
Challenge	'81'	C	One or more random numbers or byte sequences to be used in the authentication protocol
Response	'82'	C	A sequence of bytes encoding a response step in an authentication protocol
Exponentiation	'85'	C	A parameter used in ECDH key agreement protocol

702 The data objects that appear in the dynamic authentication template (tag '7C') in the data field of
703 the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE card command depend on the authentication protocol being
704 executed. The Witness (tag '80') contains encrypted data (unrevealed fact), which is decrypted by
705 the card. The Challenge (tag '81') contains clear data (byte sequence), which is encrypted by the
706 card. The Response (tag '82') contains either the decrypted data from tag '80' or the encrypted
707 data from tag '81'. Note that the empty tags (i.e., tags with no data) return the same tag with
708 content (they CAN be seen as “requests for requests”):

709 • '80 00' Returns '80 TL <encrypted random>' (as per definition)

710 • '81 00' Returns '81 TL <random>' (as per external authenticate example)

711 **Response Syntax**

Data Field	Absent, authentication-related data, signed data, shared secret, or transported key
SW1-SW2	Status word

712

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'61'	'xx'	Successful execution where SW2 encodes the number of response data bytes still available
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field
'6A'	'86'	Incorrect parameter in P1 or P2
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

713 ## 3.3. PIV Card Application Card Commands for Credential Initialization and
714 Administration

715 ### 3.3.1. PUT DATA Card Command

716 The PUT DATA card command completely replaces the data content of a single data object in
717 the PIV Card Application with new content.

718 **Command Syntax**

CLA	'00' or '10' indicating command chaining
INS	'DB'
P1	'3F'
P2	'FF'

L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	See Tables 8, 9, and 10
L_e	Absent

719 For the 0x7E Discovery Object:

720 **Table 8.** Data field of the PUT DATA card command for the Discovery Object

Tag	M/O	Description
'7E'	M	BER-TLV of tag '7E' as illustrated in Section 3.3.2, Part 1

721 For the 0x7F61 BIT Group template:

722 **Table 9.** Data field of the PUT DATA card command for the BIT Group template

Tag	M/O	Description
'7F61'	M	BER-TLV of tag '7F61' as illustrated in Table 7 of SP 800-76

723 For all other PIV data objects:

724 **Table 10.** Data field of the PUT DATA card command for all other PIV data objects

Name	Tag	M/O	Description
Tag list	'5C'	M	Tag of the data object whose data content is to be replaced. See Table 3, Part 1.
Data	'53'	M	Data with tag '53' as an unstructured byte sequence

725 **Response Syntax**

Data Field	Absent
SW1-SW2	Status word

726

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'6A'	'81'	Function not supported
'6A'	'84'	Not enough memory
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

727 ### 3.3.2. GENERATE ASYMMETRIC KEY PAIR Card Command

728 The GENERATE ASYMMETRIC KEY PAIR card command initiates the generation and
729 storage of the reference data of an asymmetric key pair (i.e., a public key and a private key) in
730 the card. The public key of the generated key pair is returned as the response to the command. If
731 there is reference data currently associated with the key reference, it is replaced in full by the
732 generated data.

733 **Command Syntax**

CLA	'00' or '10' indicating command chaining
INS	'47'
P1	'00'

P2	Key reference '04', '9A', '9C', '9D', or '9E'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	Control reference template. See **Table 11**.
L_e	'00'

734 **Table 11.** Data objects in the template (Tag 'AC')

Name	Tag	M/O	Description
Cryptographic mechanism identifier	'80'	M	See Part 1, Table 6
Parameter	'81'	C	Specific to the cryptographic mechanism

735 **Response Syntax**

Data Field	Data objects of public key of generated key pair. See **Table 12**
SW1-SW2	Status word

736 **Table 12.** Data objects in the template (Tag '7F49')

Name	Tag
Public-key data objects for RSA	
Modulus	'81'
Public exponent	'82'
Public key data objects for ECC	
Point	'86'

737 The public-key data object in tag '86' is encoded as follows:

738 **Table 13.** Public-key encoding for ECC

Tag	Length	Value
'86'	L	04 || X || Y [SECG, Section 2.3.3]

739 The octet '04' indicates that the X and Y coordinates of point P are encoded without the use of
740 point compression. The length L is 65 bytes for points on Curve P-256 and 97 bytes for points on
741 Curve P-384.

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'61'	'xx'	Successful execution where SW2 encodes the number of response data bytes still available
'69'	'82'	Security status not satisfied
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field (e.g., unrecognized cryptographic mechanism)
'6A'	'81'	Function not supported
'6A'	'86'	Incorrect parameter P2; the cryptographic mechanism of the reference data to be generated is different than the cryptographic mechanism of the reference data of a given key reference
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

742

4. Secure Messaging

If a PIV Card Application implements the optional secure messaging protocol for non-card management operations, it SHALL be implemented as specified in this section. Secure messaging is initiated through the use of a key establishment protocol. The key establishment protocol defined here is a one-way authentication protocol that authenticates the PIV Card Application to the client application and establishes a set of session keys that MAY be subsequently used to protect the communication channel between the two parties.[13] PIV Cards MAY implement a different secure messaging protocol for card management operations. Such a protocol is outside of the scope of this document. However, if it is to be used for remote post-issuance updates, it SHALL satisfy the requirements of [FIPS201, Section 2.9.2].

Section 4.1 describes the key establishment protocol used to support secure messaging in the PIV Card Application. Section 4.2 describes the use of secure messaging to protect the commands and responses sent between the client application and the PIV Card Application.

4.1. Key Establishment Protocol

The key establishment protocol for the PIV Card Application uses the One-Pass Diffie-Hellman, C(1e, 1s, ECC CDH) Scheme from [SP800-56A] in a manner that is based on a simplified profile of OPACITY with Zero Key Management [ANSI504-1], as depicted in below.

Table 14: Key Establishment Protocol for PIV Card Application

Client Application (H)			PIV Card Application (ICC)
CB_H = 0x00 H1 Generate an ephemeral key pair (d_{eH}; Q_{eH}) from the domain H2 parameters specified in the response to the SELECT command Send $CB_H \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH}$ H3	$CB_H \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH}$	→	
			$ID_{sICC} = T_8(SHA256(C_{ICC}))$ C1 $CB_{ICC} = CB_H$ & 'F0' C2 Check that CB_{ICC} is 0x00 C3 Verify that Q_{eH} is a valid public key for the domain C4 parameters of Q_{sICC} $Z = ECC\_CDH(d_{sICC}, Q_{eH})$ C5 Generate nonce N_{ICC} C6 $SK_{CFRM} \| SK_{MAC} \| SK_{ENC} \| SK_{RMAC} =$ KDF(Z, *len*, *OtherInfo*) C7 Zeroize Z C8 $AuthCryptogram_{ICC} =$ C9 $CMAC(SK_{CRFM}, \text{“KC\_1\_V”} \| ID_{sICC} \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH})$ Zeroize SK_{CFRM} C10 Return $CB_{ICC} \| N_{ICC} \| AuthCryptogram_{ICC} \| C_{ICC}$ C11
	$CB_{ICC} \| N_{ICC} \| AuthCryptogram_{ICC} \| C_{ICC}$	←	
Check that CB_{ICC} is 0x00 H4 Verify C_{ICC} signature and subject public key H5 $ID_{sICC} = T_8(SHA256(C_{ICC}))$ H6 Extract Q_{sICC} from C_{ICC} H7 $Z = ECC\_CDH(d_{eH}, Q_{sICC})$ H8 Zeroize d_{eH} H9			

[13] The protocol does not provide forward secrecy.

$SK_{CFRM} \| SK_{MAC} \| SK_{ENC} \| SK_{RMAC}$ = KDF(Z, *len*, *OtherInfo*) H10 Zeroize Z H11 Check that $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ equals H12 $CMAC(SK_{CFRM}, \text{“KC\_1\_V”} \| ID_{sICC} \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH})$ Zeroize SK_{CFRM} H13			

Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 provide additional details about each of the protocol steps performed by the client application and the PIV Card Application. Section 4.1.3 defines the notations used in the description of the protocol. Section 4.1.4 provides details about the two cipher suites that MAY be supported by the PIV Card Application. Section 4.1.5 specifies the format for the secure messaging card verifiable certificate (CVC) that is used to authenticate the PIV Card Application and for the optional Intermediate CVC that is used to verify the signature on the secure messaging CVC when the public key needed to verify the signature on the secure messaging CVC does not appear in an X.509 content signing certificate. Section 4.1.6 provides additional information about the key derivation function (KDF) used to derive the session keys that are used during secure messaging. Section 4.1.7 provides additional information about the computation of the authentication cryptogram for key confirmation. Section 4.1.8 demonstrates the use of the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command to perform the key establishment protocol.

4.1.1. Client Application Steps

Table 15: Protocol Steps for Client Application

Step #	Description	Comment
H1	Set CB_H to 0x00	The client application’s control byte is set to 0x00 to indicate that the client application does not support persistent binding.
H2	Generate an ephemeral key pair (d_{eH}; Q_{eH})	Generate an ephemeral ECC key pair for the client application using an **approved** method [FIPS186, Appendix B], and perform partial public-key validation [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.3.2], either as part of the key generation process or as a separate process. If the 0xAC tag of the application property template (APT) includes '27', then generate an ephemeral key pair over Curve P-256. If the 0xAC tag of the APT includes '2E', then generate an ephemeral key pair over Curve P-384.
H3	Send $CB_H \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH}$	
Wait for response from PIV Card Application: $CB_{ICC} \| N_{ICC} \| AuthCryptogram_{ICC} \| C_{ICC}$		

Step #	Description	Comment
H4	Check that CB_{ICC} is 0x00	Verify that the card executed the protocol in accordance with the parameters specified in Step H1. Return an authentication error if check fails.
H5	Verify C_{ICC} signature and subject public key	Verify signature on C_{ICC} and, using standards-compliant PKI path validation, validate the content signing certificate needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC}.[14,15] Verify that the domain parameters of the subject public key in C_{ICC} are the same as the domain parameters for Q_{eH} by checking the Algorithm OID in the CardHolderPublicKey data object (see **Table 19**). Return an authentication error if either verification fails.
H6	$ID_{sICC} = T_8(SHA256(C_{ICC}))$	ID_{sICC} — the leftmost 8 bytes of the SHA-256 hash of C_{ICC} — is used as an input for session key derivation.
H7	Extract Q_{sICC} from C_{ICC}	
H8	$Z = ECC\_CDH(d_{eH}, Q_{sICC})$	Compute the shared secret Z using the ECC CDH primitive [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2].
H9	Zeroize d_{eH}	Destroy the ephemeral private key generated in Step H2.
H10	$SK_{CFRM} \| SK_{MAC} \| SK_{ENC} \| SK_{RMAC} = KDF(Z, len, OtherInfo)$	Compute the key confirmation key and the session keys. See Section 4.1.6.
H11	Zeroize Z	Destroy the shared secret generated in Step H8.
H12	Check that $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ equals $CMAC(SK_{CFRM}, "KC\_1\_V" \| ID_{sICC} \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH})$	Perform key confirmation by verifying the authentication cryptogram, as described in Section 4.1.7. Return an authentication error if verification fails.
H13	Zeroize SK_{CFRM}	Destroy the key confirmation key derived in Step H10.

4.1.2. PIV Card Application Protocol Steps

Table 16: Protocol Steps for PIV Card Application

Step #	Description	Comment
C1	$ID_{sICC} = T_8(SHA256(C_{ICC}))$	ID_{sICC} — the leftmost 8 bytes of the SHA-256 hash of C_{ICC} — is used as an input for session key derivation. (Note that ID_{sICC} is static and MAY be pre-computed off-card.)

[14] If the public key needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC} appears in an Intermediate CVC, then verify the signatures on both C_{ICC} and the Intermediate CVC and — using standards-compliant PKI validation — validate the content signing certificate needed to verify the signature on the Intermediate CVC.

[15] Validation of the content signing certificate does not need to be performed at the time of signature verification if the certificate has been previously validated or if the public key needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC} has been previously obtained from a trusted source.

Step #	Description	Comment
C2	$CB_{ICC} = CB_H$ & 'F0'	Create the PIV Card Application's control byte from the client application's control byte, indicating that persistent binding has not been used in the transaction even if CB_H indicates that the client application supports it. This MAY be done by setting CB_{ICC} to the value of CB_H and then setting the four least significant bits of CB_{ICC} to 0.
C3	Check that CB_{ICC} is 0x00	Return an error ('6A 80') if CB_{ICC} is not 0x00.
C4	Verify that Q_{eH} is a valid public key for the domain parameters of Q_{sICC}	Perform partial public-key validation of Q_{eH} [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.3.3],[16] where the domain parameters are those of Q_{sICC}. Verify that P1 is '27' if the domain parameters of Q_{sICC} are those of Curve P-256 or that P1 is '2E' if the domain parameters of Q_{sICC} are those of Curve P-384. Return '6A 86' if P1 has the incorrect value. Return '6A 80' if public-key validation fails.
C5	$Z = ECC\_CDH (d_{sICC}, Q_{eH})$	Compute the shared secret Z using the ECC CDH primitive [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2].
C6	Generate nonce N_{ICC}	Create a random nonce, where the length is as specified in **Table 18**. The nonce should be created using an **approved** random bit generator where the security strength supported by the random bit generator is at least as great as the bit length of the nonce being generated [SP800-56A, Section 5.3].
C7	$SK_{CFRM} \| SK_{MAC} \| SK_{ENC} \| SK_{RMAC} =$ KDF (Z, *len*, *Otherinfo*)	Compute the key confirmation key and the session keys. See Section 4.1.6.
C8	Zeroize Z	Destroy the shared secret generated in Step C5.
C9	$AuthCryptogram_{ICC} =$ $CMAC(SK_{CFRM}, "KC\_1\_V" \| ID_{sICC} \| ID_{sH} \| Q_{eH})$	Compute the authentication cryptogram for key confirmation, as described in Section 4.1.7.
C10	Zeroize SK_{CFRM}	Destroy the key confirmation key derived in Step C7.
C11	Return $CB_{ICC} \| N_{ICC} \| AuthCryptogram_{ICC} \| C_{ICC}$	

4.1.3. Notations

Table 17: Notations used in Protocol Description

Name	Comment	Format	Size (in bytes)
ICC	Integrated Circuit Card (PIV Card)	N/A	N/A
ID_{sICC}	Static, non-anonymous PIV Card identifier, which is the truncated hash of C_{ICC}	Binary	8 bytes
GUID	Card UUID (see Section 3.4.1 of Part 1)	Binary	16 bytes
C_{ICC}	Secure messaging card verifiable certificate, which is authenticated by client application. See Section 4.1.5.	CVC	
ID_{sH}	Client application identifier. This is a locally assigned identifier for the client application. If none is available, it could be set to all zeros.	Binary	8 bytes

[16] The PIV Card Application may perform full public-key validation instead [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.3.2].

Name	Comment	Format	Size (in bytes)
N_{ICC}	PIV Card Application nonce. See **Table 18** for the length.	Binary	16 or 24 bytes
SK_{CFRM}	Key confirmation key used to compute authentication cryptogram. See **Table 18** for the length.		16 or 32 bytes
SK_{MAC}, SK_{RMAC}, SK_{ENC}	Secure messaging session keys. See **Table 18** for encryption or MAC session key length.		16 or 32 bytes
T_8*(Data)*	Leftmost 8 bytes of *Data*.	Binary	8 bytes
T_{16}*(Data)*	Leftmost 16 bytes of *Data*.	Binary	16 bytes
KDF(Z, len, OtherInfo)	Key Derivation Function (KDF) specified in Section 4.1.6.	N/A	N/A
ECC_CDH	Elliptic curve cryptography cofactor Diffie-Hellman (ECC CDH) primitive, as specified in [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2].	N/A	N/A
OtherInfo	Input parameters to the KDF. See Section 4.1.6.	N/A	N/A
len	The length (in bits) of the secret keying material to be generated using the KDF (*len* = 512 for cipher suite 2 and 1024 for cipher suite 7).	N/A	N/A
CB_{ICC}	Protocol control byte returned by the PIV Card	Binary	1 byte
CB_H	Protocol control byte sent by client application (host)	Binary	1 byte

4.1.4. Cipher Suite

This document specifies two cipher suites (see **Table 18**) that MAY be used for key establishment and secure messaging: one that provides 128 bits of channel strength and one that provides 192 bits of channel strength. If the PIV Card Application supports the VCI and either the digital signature key ('9C'), the key management key ('9D'), or one of the retired key management keys ('82' – '95') is an ECC (Curve P-384) key, then PIV Card Application SHALL only support cipher suite CS7. Otherwise, the PIV Card Application MAY support either CS2 or CS7.

Table 18. Cipher suite for PIV secure messaging

Cipher suite properties	128 bit channel strength	192 bit channel strength
Cipher Suite ID	CS2	CS7
Algorithm Identifier (P1)	'27'	'2E'
Key confirmation and session keys (SK_{CFRM}, SK_{MAC}, SK_{RMAC}, SK_{ENC})	AES 128	AES 256
C_{ICC} signature	ECDSA with SHA-256 using an ECDSA (Curve P-256) key	ECDSA with SHA-384 using an ECDSA (Curve P-384) key
C_{ICC} public key	ECDH (Curve P-256)	ECDH (Curve P-384)
KDF hash	SHA-256	SHA-384
Nonce (N_{ICC})	16 bytes	24 bytes

4.1.5. Card Verifiable Certificates

Table 19 specifies the format for the secure messaging CVC, C_{ICC}. **Table 20** specifies the format for the optional Intermediate CVC.

C_{ICC} is used to authenticate the PIV Card Application. The specific data object tags and specified order must be used for both CVCs to allow the CVC processing within authentication protocols. The specific data object tags for C_{ICC} and the optional Intermediate CVC are provided in **Table 19** and **Table 20**, respectively.

The signature of the secure messaging CVC (DigitalSignature object) is calculated over the concatenation of the TLV-encoded Credential Profile Identifier, Issuer Identification Number, Subject Identifier, CardHolderPublicKey Data Object, and Role Identifier (i.e., { '5F29' '01' '80' } || { '42' '08' IIN } || { '5F20' '10' GUID } || { '7F49' L1 { { '06' L2 OID } { '86' L3 '04' X Y } } } { '5F4C' '01' '00' }). Before signing the CVC, the signer SHALL perform partial public-key validation [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.3.2] for the public key that will be placed in the public-key object and SHALL verify that the PIV Card is in possession of the corresponding private key (see [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.2.3.2] and [SP800-57, Section 8.1.5.1.1.2] for discussions on methods to obtain assurance of private-key possession).

Table 19. Secure messaging card verifiable certificate format

Tag	Tag	Tag	Length	Name	Value
0x7F21				Card Verifiable Certificate	
	0x5F29		1	Credential Profile Identifier	0x80
	0x42		8	Issuer Identification Number	The leftmost 8 bytes of the subjectKeyIdentifier in the content signing certificate needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC} [17]
	0x5F20		16	Subject Identifier	GUID (Card UUID)
	0x7F49		Variable	CardHolderPublicKey Data Object	
		0x06	Variable	Algorithm OID	Possible values are: 0x2A8648CE3D030107 for ECDH (Curve P-256) or 0x2B81040022 for ECDH (Curve P-384)
		0x86	Variable	Public-key object	Coded as follows: 04 || X || Y, where X and Y are the coordinates of the point on the curve. See the "Value" column of **Table 13**.
	0x5F4C		1	Role Identifier	0x00 for card-application key CVC

	0x5F37		Variable	DigitalSignature object	DigitalSignature ::= SEQUENCE {
					signatureAlgorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
					signatureValue BIT STRING
					}
					AlgorithmIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
					algorithm OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
					parameters ANY DEFINED BY algorithm OPTIONAL
					}
					algorithm is 1.2.840.10045.4.3.2 for ECDSA with SHA-256 (cipher suite 2) and 1.2.840.10045.4.3.3 for ECDSA with SHA-

[17] If the public key needed to verify the signature on the secure messaging CVC appears in an Intermediate CVC, then the Issuer Identification Number SHALL be the value of the Subject Identifier in the Intermediate CVC.

Tag	Tag	Tag	Length	Name	Value

					384 (cipher suite 7). For both algorithms, the parameters field is absent.
					signatureValue is the DER encoding of signature result ECDSA-Sig-Value defined below.
					ECDSA-Sig-Value ::= SEQUENCE {
					r INTEGER,
					s INTEGER
					}

807 **Table 20.** Intermediate card verifiable certificate format

Tag	Tag	Tag	Length	Name	Value
0x7F21			Variable	Card Verifiable Certificate	
	0x5F29		1	Credential Profile Identifier	0x80
	0x42		8	Issuer Identification Number	The leftmost 8 bytes of the subjectKeyIdentifier in the content signing certificate needed to verify the signature on the Intermediate CVC
	0x5F20		8	Subject Identifier	The leftmost 8 bytes of the SHA-1 hash of the public-key object
	0x7F49		Variable	PublicKey Data Object	
		0x06	Variable	Algorithm OID	Possible values are: 0x2A8648CE3D030107 for ECDH (Curve P-256) or 0x2B81040022 for ECDH (Curve P-384)
		0x86	Variable	Public-key object	Coded as follows: 04 || X || Y, where X and Y are the coordinates of the point on the curve. See the "Value" column of **Table 13**.
	0x5F4C		1	Role Identifier	0x12 for card-application root CVC

	0x5F37		Variable	DigitalSignature object	DigitalSignature ::= SEQUENCE {
					signatureAlgorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
					signatureValue BIT STRING
					}
					AlgorithmIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
					algorithm OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
					parameters ANY DEFINED BY algorithm OPTIONAL
					}
					algorithm is 1.2.840.113549.1.1.11 for RSA with SHA-256 and PKCS #1 v1.5 padding. The parameters field SHALL be NULL.

808 The signature of the Intermediate CVC (DigitalSignature object) is calculated over the
809 concatenation of the TLV-encoded Credential Profile Identifier, Issuer Identification Number,
810 Subject Identifier, PublicKey Data Object, and Role Identifier (i.e., { '5F29' '01' '80' } || { '42' '08'
811 IIN } || { '5F20' '08' SI } || { '7F49' L1 { { '06' L2 OID } { '86' L3 '04' X Y } } } { '5F4C' '01' '12'
812 }). Before signing the CVC, the signer SHALL perform partial public-key validation [SP800-
813 56A, Section 5.6.2.3.2] for the public key that will be placed in the public-key object and

SHALL verify that the subject is in possession of the corresponding private key (see [SP800-56A, Section 5.6.2.2.3.2] and [SP800-57, Section 8.1.5.1.1.2] for discussions on methods to obtain assurance of private-key possession).

4.1.6. Key Derivation

The session keys SHALL be derived in Steps C7 and H10 of the protocol using the key derivation function from [SP800-56A, Section 5.8.1], with the auxiliary function H being the hash function specified as the KDF hash in **Table 18**, the length of the keying material to be derived (*len*) being 512 bits for CS2 and 1024 bits for CS7, and *OtherInfo* being constructed using the following concatenation format:

Cipher Suite ID	*OtherInfo*
CS2	0x04 || 0x09 || 0x09 || 0x09 || 0x09 || 0x08 || ID_{sH} || 0x01 || CB_H || 0x10 || $T_{16}(Q_{eH})$ || 0x08 || ID_{sICC} || 0x10 || N_{ICC} || 0x01 || CB_{ICC}
CS7	0x04 || 0x0D || 0x0D || 0x0D || 0x0D || 0x08 || ID_{sH} || 0x01 || CB_H || 0x10 || $T_{16}(Q_{eH})$ || 0x08 || ID_{sICC} || 0x18 || N_{ICC} || 0x01 || CB_{ICC}

For Q_{eH}, the coordinates of the ephemeral public key are converted from field elements to byte strings (as specified in [SP800-56A, Appendix C.2]), Field-Element-to-Byte String Conversion, and concatenated (with *x* first) to form a single byte string. The first 16 bytes from this byte string are included in *OtherInfo*.

4.1.7. Key Confirmation

Key confirmation SHALL be performed in Steps C9 and H12 of the protocol by the generation of $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ in accordance with Sections 5.9.1.1 and 6.2.2.3 of [SP800-56A]. $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ SHALL be computed as CMAC(*MacKey*, *MacLen*, $MacData_p$), where *MacKey* is SK_{CFRM}, *MacLen* is 128 bits, and $MacData_p$ is "KC_1_V" || ID_{sICC} || ID_{sH} || Q_{eH}. "KC_1_V" is a 6-byte ASCII string ('4B 43 5F 31 5F 56'). For Q_{eH}, the coordinates of the ephemeral public key are converted from field elements to byte strings (as specified in [SP800-56A, Appendix C.2]), Field-Element-to-Byte String Conversion, and concatenated (with *x* first) to form a single byte string. CMAC is a cipher-based message authentication code from [SP800-38B], where the block cipher is AES.

4.1.8. Command Interface

The following command interface SHALL be used for the key establishment protocol.

Command Syntax

CLA	'00'
INS	'87'
P1	Algorithm reference ('27' or '2E'), as specified in the 0xAC tag of the application property template
P2	'04' (PIV Secure Messaging key).
L_c	Length of data field

Data Field	'7C' L1 { '81' L2 { CB_H || ID_{sH} || Q_{eH} } '82 00' }, where CB_H is 0x00, ID_{sH} is an 8-byte client application identifier as described in Section 4.1.3, and Q_{eH} is an ephemeral public key encoded as 04 || X || Y, as specified in the "Value" column of **Table 13**.
L_e	'00'

Response Syntax

Data Field	'7C' L1 { '82' L2 { CB_{ICC} || N_{ICC} || $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ || C_{ICC} } }
SW1-SW2	Status word

SW1	SW2	Meaning
'61'	'xx'	Successful execution, where SW2 encodes the number of response data bytes still available
'6A'	'80'	Incorrect parameter in command data field
'6A'	'86'	Incorrect parameter in P1 or P2
'90'	'00'	Successful execution

4.2. Secure Messaging

PIV secure messaging is used to protect the integrity and confidentiality of the PIV data being transmitted between the card and the relying system. PIV secure messaging SHALL be provided using symmetric session keys derived through the key establishment protocol defined Section 4.1.

Once session keys are established and the card is authenticated as specified in Section 4.1, subsequent communication with the card CAN be performed using secure messaging by setting bits b3 and b4 of the CLA byte of the command APDU to 1, resulting in a '0C' or '1C' CLA byte. If bits b3 and b4 of the CLA byte are set, then both the command and the response SHALL be encrypted and integrity protected, as described in this section. If the PIV Card Application CANNOT encrypt and integrity protect the response (e.g., because it does not support secure messaging or no session keys have been established), the PIV Card Application SHALL return an error (see Section 4.2.7). In the case of command chaining, if bits b3 and b4 of the CLA are set in any command in the chain, then they SHALL be set in every command in the chain.

When secure messaging is used, the data field of the card command (or response) is encrypted first and then a message authentication code (MAC) is applied to the entire command (or response). When command (or response) chaining is required, the encryption and MAC are applied to the entire message and the result is then fragmented into separate command (or response) data fields.

In order to ensure that message reordering or replay attacks CAN be detected, a 16-byte MAC chaining value (MCV) is used. For the first command, and for the first response, sent after successful completion of the key establishment protocol the MCV consists of 16 bytes of '00'. For each subsequent command the MCV is the 16-byte MAC value computed on the previous command, and for each subsequent response the MCV is the 16-byte MAC value computed on the previous response. The MCV is included as part of the message over which the MAC value for each command (or response) is computed.

The SK_{ENC} session key SHALL be used to encrypt the command data field and response data field, as described in Section 4.2.2. The SK_{MAC} session key SHALL be used to add integrity to

the command, as described in Section 4.2.3. The SK_{RMAC} session key SHALL be used to add integrity to the response, as described in Section 4.2.5.

Secure messaging specified in this section CAN be applied to the following commands:

- GET DATA
- VERIFY
- CHANGE REFERENCE DATA
- GENERAL AUTHENTICATE

4.2.1. Secure Messaging Data Objects

The command and response messages SHALL be BER-TLV encoded according to **Table 21**.

Table 21. Secure messaging data objects

Tag	Description
'87'	Padding content indicator byte followed by the encrypted data
'8E'	Cryptographic checksum (MAC)
'97'	L_e
'99'	Status word

4.2.2. Command and Response Data Confidentiality

Under secure messaging, the PIV data is encrypted using AES in Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode with the SK_{ENC} session key, where SK_{ENC} is a 128-bit key for CS2 and a 256-bit key for CS7, as per **Table 18**. The encryption and encoding process for command data and response data SHALL be the same. The encryption of the command data or response data and encoding in BER-TLV format is illustrated **Fig. 1**. The encryption SHALL be computed over the entire message before applying fragmentation for data transportation.



Fig. 1. PIV data confidentiality

Initialization Vector (IV). The IV for the AES CBC encryption of command data SHALL be generated by applying the AES block cipher to a 16-byte encryption counter. The initial value of the encryption counter upon successful completion of the key establishment protocol SHALL be '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01'. The encryption counter SHALL be incremented by one after each APDU sent over secure messaging (except for the GET RESPONSE command and APDUs with a CLA of '1C'), and it SHALL be reset to its initial value after each successful completion of the key establishment protocol. The 16-byte IV SHALL be created by encrypting the encryption counter with SK_{ENC} using AES in the electronic codebook (ECB) mode of operation.

The IV for the AES CBC encryption of response data SHALL also be generated by encrypting an encryption counter with SK_{ENC} using AES in the ECB mode of operation. The encryption counter value used to generate the IV to encrypt the response data SHALL be the same as the encryption counter value used to generate the IV to encrypt the corresponding request data, with the exception that the most significant byte of the 16-byte counter SHALL be set to '80' (i.e., the IV used to encrypt the first response after successful completion of the key establishment protocol SHALL be generated by encrypting '80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01' with SK_{ENC}).

Padding. Prior to encryption, 1 – 16 bytes of padding data SHALL be appended to the PIV data. The padding SHALL be '80' followed by the number of zeros needed to make the total length of the message to be encrypted (i.e., PIV data plus padding) a multiple of 16 bytes. The first byte of the value field of tag '87' — the padding content indicator byte — SHALL be '01' to indicate that padding has been applied.

As illustrated in **Fig. 1**, the input and output of encryption is as follows:

- **Encryption input:**

  Plain Text

- **Encryption output:**

  BER-TLV-encoded encrypted message, which consists of tag '87' followed by the length of the encoded encrypted message (L_{cc} + 1), the padding indicator byte ('01'), and then the encrypted data. L_{cc} is the length of the encrypted PIV data; it SHALL be a multiple of 16.

4.2.3. Command Integrity

The Command MAC (C-MAC) SHALL be generated by applying the cipher-based MAC (CMAC) [SP800-38B] to the header and data field of a command using the SK_{MAC} session key. If fragmentation is required for data transmission, the command SHALL be constructed without fragmentation for the purposes of computing the MAC, and the CLA byte used in the computation of the MAC SHALL be '0C'.

The data to be MACed, $M_{C\text{-}MAC}$, SHALL be constructed by concatenating the following:

1. The 16-byte MAC chaining value (MCV). For the first command sent after successful completion of the key establishment protocol the MCV consists of 16 bytes of '00'. For each subsequent command the MCV is the 16-byte MAC value computed for the previous command.
2. A 16-btye encoded header. The encoded header SHALL consist of the CLA byte ('0C'), the INS byte, P1, and P2, followed by twelve bytes of padding, consisting of '80' followed eleven bytes of '00'. (The length of the data field, L_c, is not included in the data to be MACed.)
3. The data field, which is the BER-TLV-encoded encrypted message.[18]
4. L_e encapsulated in BER-TLV format with tag '97' if the L_e field is included in the command.[19]

Let $T_{C\text{-}MAC}$ = CMAC(SK_{MAC}, $M_{C\text{-}MAC}$), as described in [SP800-38B]. The BER-TLV-encoded C-MAC for the command SHALL be the 8 most significant bytes of $T_{C\text{-}MAC}$ encapsulated in BER-TLV format with tag '8E'. The entire 16-byte value $T_{C\text{-}MAC}$ will be the MCV for the next command.

Figure 2 illustrates how the C-MAC is generated for each command.

[18] The data field may be absent in the case of the VERIFY command.
[19] As noted in Sections 3.1.2 and 3.2.4, the value of L_e will always be '00' when it is present.



Fig. 2. PIV data integrity of command

4.2.4. Command With PIV Secure Messaging

For secure messaging, the secure messaging data field SHALL be constructed as the concatenation of the following:

- The BER-TLV-encoded encrypted PIV data;[20]
- The 3-btye BER-TLV-encoded L_e, as described in Section 4.2.3, if L_e would have been included in a message sent without secure messaging;
- The 10-byte BER-TLV-encoded C-MAC of the command, as described in Section 4.2.3; and
- A new L_e field, which SHALL be 1 byte and SHALL have a value of '00'.[21]

[20] The data field may be absent in the case of the VERIFY command.
[21] Note that the new L_e field is always included in the command — even if L_e would have been absent if the command were sent without secure messaging — since a response is always expected, even if the expected response only consists of the BER-TLV-encoded status word and response MAC (R-MAC).

Figure 3 shows the APDU for secure messaging when command chaining is not required. The APDU consists of the CLA byte ('0C'), INS, P1, P2, the length of the secure messaging data field (L_c'), the secure messaging data field, and the new L_e field ('00').



Fig. 3. Single command under secure messaging

Command chaining will be needed if the secure messaging data field to be transported is larger than 255 bytes. **Figure 4** shows the APDUs for secure messaging when the length of the secure messaging data field is between 256 and 510 bytes, which requires the data to be fragmented across two APDUs. The APDUs are constructed in the same manner as when fragmentation is not required, except that the CLA byte for the first APDU is '1C', the first APDU contains the first 255 bytes of the secure messaging data field, and the second APDU contains the remaining bytes of the secure messaging data field and the new L_e field ('00'). The PIV Card Application provides a 2-byte response of '90 00' for the first APDU. After receiving the second APDU, the PIV Card Application reconstructs and processes the entire command.



Fig. 4. Chained command under secure messaging

4.2.5. Response Integrity

The response MAC (R-MAC) SHALL be generated by applying CMAC [SP800-38B] to the data field and status bytes of the response using the SK_{RMAC} session key. An R-MAC SHALL be generated for each response that corresponds to a command that was sent to the card using secure messaging.

The data to be MACed, $M_{R\text{-}MAC}$, SHALL be constructed by concatenating the following:

1. The 16-byte MAC chaining value (MCV). For the first response sent after successful completion of the key establishment protocol the MCV consists of 16 bytes of '00'. For each subsequent response the MCV is the 16-byte MAC value computed for the previous response.
2. The data field (if present), which is the BER-TLV-encoded encrypted message

3. The status word, SW1, and SW2 encapsulated in BER-TLV format with tag '99'

Let $T_{R\text{-}MAC}$ = CMAC(SK_{RMAC}, $M_{R\text{-}MAC}$), as described in [SP800-38B]. The BER-TLV-encoded R-MAC for the response SHALL be the 8 most significant bytes of $T_{R\text{-}MAC}$ encapsulated in BER-TLV format with tag '8E'. The entire 16-byte value $T_{R\text{-}MAC}$ will be the MCV for the next response.

Figure 5 illustrates how the R-MAC is generated for the response.



Fig. 5. PIV data integrity of response

4.2.6. Response With PIV Secure Messaging

For secure messaging, the secure messaging data field that is sent by the PIV Card Application SHALL be constructed as the concatenation of the following:

- The BER-TLV-encoded encrypted message (when present);
- The 4-byte BER-TLV-encoded status word, as described in Section 4.2.5; and
- The 10-byte BER-TLV-encoded R-MAC of the response, as described in Section 4.2.5.

Figure 6 illustrates a response under secure messaging when response chaining is not required. The APDU consists of the secure messaging data field and the 2-byte SW processing status ('90 00'), which indicates that the PIV Card Application successfully verified the C-MAC on the

command and decrypted the data field in the command (if present). If the PIV Card Application was unable to verify the C-MAC on the command or decrypt the data field in the command, then it SHALL return a 2-byte error response, as described in Section 4.2.7.



Fig. 6. Single response under secure messaging

Response chaining[22] will be needed if the secure messaging data field to be transported is larger than 256 bytes. **Figure 7** shows the APDUs for secure messaging that are sent by the PIV Card Application when the length of the secure messaging data field is between 513 and 768 bytes, which requires the data to be fragmented across three APDUs. After the first response, an APDU of '00 C0 00 00 00' will be sent to request the second response. After the second response, an APDU of '00 C0 00 00 xx' will be sent to request the third response.



Fig. 7. Chained response under secure messaging

4.2.7. Error Handling

The SW processing status is the status word of the overall secure messaging command and response processing. It indicates whether the secure messaging was performed successfully. If the processing was successful, it SHALL be '90 00'. Otherwise, it SHALL be as follows:

- '68 82' – Secure messaging not supported
- '69 82' – Security status not satisfied[23]
- '69 87' – Expected secure messaging data objects are missing
- '69 88' – Secure messaging data objects are incorrect

[22] Response chaining is accomplished by issuing several GET RESPONSE commands to the card.
[23] Status word '69 82' is used when secure messaging is requested but no session keys have been established.

If the command processing was unsuccessful, the card SHALL return one of the above status words without performing further secure messaging.

4.3. Session Key Destruction

The session keys established after successful execution of the key establishment protocol in Section 4.1 SHALL be zeroized in the following circumstances:

- The card is reset,
- An error occurs in secure messaging,[24] or
- New session keys are requested by the client application by sending a GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command to the card to perform the key establishment protocol using the PIV Secure Messaging key.

[24] An error has occurred in secure messaging if the SW processing status in the response to a command sent with secure messaging is other than '61 XX' or '90 00'.

References

[ANSI504-1] Information Technology - Generic Identity Command Set – *Part 1: Card Application Command Set,* 13th Edition, 2018.

[FIPS201] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2022) Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 201-3. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.201-3

[ISO7816] International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2004-2020) ISO/IEC 7816 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards. (multiple parts):

- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2020) ISO/IEC 7816-4:2020 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards — Part 4: Organization, security and commands for interchange. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/77180.html
- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2004) ISO/IEC 7816-5:2004 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards — Part 5: Registration of application providers. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/34259.html
- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2016) ISO/IEC 7816-6:2016 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards — Part 6: Interindustry data elements for interchange. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/64598.html
- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2016) ISO/IEC 7816-8:2021 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards — Part 8: Commands and mechanisms for security operations. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/79893.html
- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2017) ISO/IEC 7816-9:2017 — Identification cards — Integrated circuit cards — Part 9: Commands for card management. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/67802.html

[ISO8824] International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2021) ISO/IEC 8824-2:2021 — Information technology — Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) – Part 2: Information object specification. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva,

Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/81417.html

[ISO8825] International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (2015) ISO/IEC 8825-1:2015— Information technology — ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER) Part 1. (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland) [or as amended]. Available at https://www.iso.org/standard/81420.html

[PKCS1] K. Moriarty, B. Kaliski, J. Jonsson, and A. Rusch, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2," RFC 8017, November 2016. Available at https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8017

[SECG] Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG), "SEC 1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography," Version 2.0, May 2009.

[SP800-38B] Dworkin MJ (2005) Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: the CMAC Mode for Authentication. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-38B, Includes updates as of October 6, 2016 [or as amended]. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-38B

[SP800-56A] Barker EB, Chen L, Roginsky AL, Vassilev A, Davis R (2018) Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key-Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-56A, Rev. 3 [or as amended]. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Ar3

[SP800-76] Grother PJ, Salamon WJ, Chandramouli R (2013) Biometric Specifications for Personal Identity Verification. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-76-2 [or as amended]. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-76-2

[SP800-78] Polk WT, Dodson DF, Burr WE, Ferraiolo H, Cooper DA (2015) Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-78-4 [or as amended]. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-78-4

Appendix A. Examples of the Use of the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Command

A.1. Authentication of the PIV Card Application Administrator

The PIV Card Application Administrator is authenticated by the PIV Card Application using a challenge-response protocol. A challenge retrieved from the PIV Card Application is encrypted by the client application and returned to the PIV Card Application associated with key reference '9B', which is the key reference of the PIV Card Application Administration key. The PIV Card Application decrypts the response using this reference data and the algorithm associated with the key reference (e.g., AES-128 – ECB, algorithm identifier '08'). If this decrypted value matches the previously provided challenge, then the security status indicator of the PIV Card Application Administration key is set to TRUE within the PIV Card Application.

Table 22 shows the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE card commands sent to the PIV Card Application to realize this particular challenge-response protocol.

Table 22. Authentication of PIV Card Application Administrator

Command	Response	Comment
'00 87 08 9B 04 7C 02 81 00 00'		The client application requests a challenge from the PIV Card Application.
	'7C 0A 81 08 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 90 00'	The challenge ('01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08') returned to client application by the PIV Card Application.
'00 87 08 9B 0C 7C 0A 82 08 88 77 66 55 44 33 22 11'		The client application returns the encryption of the challenge ('88 77 66 55 44 33 22 11') referencing algorithm '08' and key reference '9B' [SP800-78, Tables 8 and 9].
	'90 00'	The PIV Card Application indicates successful authentication of PIV Card Application Administrator after decrypting '88 77 66 55 44 33 22 11' using the referenced algorithm and key and getting '01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08'.

A.2. Mutual Authentication of Client Application and Card Application

The PIV Card Application Administrator and the PIV Card Application authenticate each other using a challenge-response protocol. A witness retrieved from the PIV Card Application is decrypted by the client application and returned to the PIV Card Application associated with key reference '9B', the key reference of the PIV Card Application Administration key. The command includes the decrypted witness and a challenge for the PIV Card Application. The PIV Card Application verifies that the decrypted witness matches the value that it encrypted to create the witness. If it does, then the security status indicator of the PIV Card Application Administration key is set to TRUE within the PIV Card Application, and the PIV Card Application encrypts the challenge that it received from the client application and returns the result. The witness and challenge are encrypted and decrypted using the same the key and algorithm. **Table 23** shows the

GENERAL AUTHENTICATE card commands sent to the PIV Card Application to realize mutual authentication using AES – ECB (algorithm identifier '08').

Table 23. Mutual authentication of client application and PIV Card Application

Command	Response	Comment
'00 87 08 9B 04 7C 02 80 00 00'		The client application requests a witness from the PIV Card Application.
	'7C 0A 80 08 88 77 66 55 44 33 22 11 90 00'	The PIV Card Application returns a witness that is created by generating 8 bytes of random data ('01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08') and encrypting it using the referenced key ('9B') and algorithm ('08') [SP800-78, Tables 8 and 9].
'00 87 08 9B 18 7C 16 80 08 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 81 08 09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F 10 82 00 00'		The client application returns the decrypted witness ('01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08'), which references algorithm '08' and key reference '9B'. The client application requests the encryption of challenge data ('09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F 10') from the card using the same key.
	'7C 0A 82 08 11 FF EE DD CC BB AA 99 90 00'	The PIV Card Application authenticates the client application by verifying the decrypted witness. The PIV Card Application indicates the successful authentication of the PIV Card Application Administrator and sends back the encrypted challenge ('11 FF EE DD CC BB AA 99'). The client application authenticates the PIV Card Application by decrypting the encrypted challenge and getting ('09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F 10').

A.3. Authentication of PIV Cardholder

The PIV cardholder is authenticated by first retrieving and validating either the X.509 Certificate for PIV Authentication or the X.509 Certificate for Card Authentication. Assuming that the certificate is valid, the client application requests the PIV Card Application to sign a challenge using the private key associated with this certificate (i.e., key reference '9A' or '9E') and the appropriate algorithm (e.g., algorithm identifier '07'[25]), which CAN be determined from the certificate, as described in SP 800-73-5 Part 1, Appendix C.1. The response from the card is verified using the public key in the certificate. If the signature is verified, then the PIV cardholder is authenticated.

Table 24 shows the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE card commands sent to the PIV Card Application to realize cardholder authentication when the X.509 Certificate for PIV

[25] Higher strength keys are recommended starting in 2031, per SP 800-56 Part 1. See SP 800-78-5 Tables 9 and 10, which reflect support for higher strength keys for PIV cards and supporting systems, where applicable.

Authentication includes a 2048-bit[26] RSA public key. It is assumed that the cardholder PIN or OCC data has been successfully verified prior to sending the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command.

Table 24. Validation of the PIV Card Application using GENERAL AUTHENTICATE

Command	Response	Comment
'10 87 07 9A FF 7C 82 01 06 82 00 81 82 01 00 00 01 FF FF FF FF ... FF FF FF FF FF 00 9D F4 6E 09 E7 D6 19 18 53 1E 6E 1C 66 87 C4 3E CF FF 7D 53 47 BD 2E 93 19' ("..." represents 208 bytes of challenge data)		The client application sends a challenge to the PIV Card Application indicating that the reference data associated with key reference '9A' is to be used with algorithm '07' [SP800-78, Tables 9 and 10]. The challenge data, which in this example is encoded as specified for TLS version 1.3 client authentication, is '00 01 FF ... 18 BC A7'. Bit 5 of the CLA byte is set to one to indicate that command chaining is needed. L_e is absent to indicate that no data is expected.
	'90 00'	The PIV Card Application indicates that it received the command successfully.
'00 87 07 9A 0B 94 53 76 FE A7 91 72 14 18 BC A7 00'		The client application sends the remaining data with the second and last command of the chain. L_e is '00' to indicate that the expected length of the response data field is 256 bytes.
	'7C 82 01 04 82 82 01 00 29 69 44 3B 49 AC 5B 70 63 51 A1 5B B5 … AD F7 0B 7D A6 4C 6C AA 62 40 C5 FA A8 7E A2 2B DC 92 18 56 8B CE F4 69 14 D9 83 61'08" ("".." represents 208 bytes of response data)	The PIV Card Application returns the result of signing the challenge using the indicated key reference data and algorithm ('29 69 44 3B 49 AC…'). The last 2 bytes '61 08' indicate that 8 more bytes are available to read from the card.
'00 C0 00 00 08'		The GET RESPONSE command is used to request the remaining 8 bytes.
	'30 1B 11 06 AE E2 F1 2E 90 00'	The PIV Card Application sends the remaining 8 bytes.

A.4. Signature Generation With the Digital Signature Key

The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command CAN be used to generate signatures. The pre-signature hash and padding (if applicable) are computed off-card. The PIV Card Application receives the hashed value of the original message, applies the private signature key (key reference '9C'), and returns the resulting signature to the client application.

[26] Higher strength keys are recommended starting in 2031, per SP 800-56 Part 1. See SP 800-78-5 Tables 9 and 10, which reflect support for higher strength keys for PIV cards and supporting systems, where applicable.

The card commands sent to the PIV Card Application to generate a signature are listed below. It is assumed that the cardholder PIN or OCC data has been successfully verified prior to sending the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command.

A.4.1. RSA

This example illustrates signature generation using RSA 2048[27] (i.e., algorithm identifier '07'). Command chaining is used in the first command since the padded hash value sent to the card for signature generation is bigger than the length of the data field.

Command 1 — GENERAL AUTHENTICATE (first chain)

CLA	'10' indicating command chaining
INS	'87'
P1	'07'
P2	'9C'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	'7C' – L1 { '82' '00' '81' L2 {first part of the PKCS #1 v1.5 or PSS padded message hash value }}
L_e	Absent (no response expected)

Response 1

Data Field	Absent
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

Command 2 — GENERAL AUTHENTICATE (last chain)

CLA	'00' indicates last command of the chain
INS	'87'
P1	'07'
P2	'9C'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	{second and last part of the PKCS #1 v1.5 or PSS padded message hash value}
L_e	'00'

Response 2

Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' L2 {first part of signature} }
SW1-SW2	'61 xx', where xx indicates the number of bytes remaining to send by the PIV Card Application

Command 3 — GET RESPONSE APDU)

CLA	'00'
INS	'C0'
P1	'00'
P2	'00'
L_e	xx length of remaining response as indicated by previous SW1-SW2

[27] Higher strength keys are recommended per SP 800-56 Part 1 starting in 2031. See SP 800-78-5 Tables 9 and 10 which reflect support for higher strength keys for PIV cards and supporting system, where applicable.

Response 3

Data Field	{second and last part of signature}
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

A.4.2. ECDSA

The following example illustrates signature generation with ECDSA using ECC: Curve P-256 (i.e., algorithm identifier '11'). Command chaining is not used in this example, as the hash value fits into the data field of the command. Padding does not apply to ECDSA.

Command — GENERAL AUTHENTICATE

CLA	'00'
INS	'87'
P1	'11'
P2	'9C'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	'7C' – L1 { '82' '00' '81' L2 {hash value of message}}
L_e	'00'

Response

Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' L2 (r,s)}, where • (r,s) is DER-encoded with the following ASN.1 structure: Ecdsa-Sig-Value ::= SEQUENCE { r INTEGER, s INTEGER } • L1 is the length of tag '82' TLV structure • L2 is the length of the DER-encoded Ecdsa-Sig-Value structure
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

A.5. Key Establishment Schemes With the PIV Key Management Key

FIPS 201 specifies a public key pair and associated X.509 Certificate for Key Management. The key management key (KMK) is further defined in SP 800-78, which defines two distinct key establishment schemes for the KMK:

1. RSA key transport and
2. Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key agreement.

The use of the KMK for RSA key transport and ECDH key agreement is discussed in Appendices A.5.1 and A.5.2, respectively.

A.5.1. RSA Key Transport

In general, RSA transport keys are used to establish symmetric keys, where a sender encrypts a symmetric key with the receiver's public key and sends the encrypted key to the receiver. The receiver decrypts the encrypted key with the corresponding private key. The decrypted symmetric key is subsequently used by both parties to protect further communication between

them. Many types of security protocols employ the RSA key transport technique, such as Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) for secure email.

A.5.1.1. RSA Key Transport With the PIV KMK

As specified in SP 800-78, the on-card private KMK CAN be an RSA transport key that complies with [PKCS1]. In the scenario described above, a sender encrypts a symmetric key with the public RSA transport key of the recipient's KMK. The role of the on-card KMK private RSA transport key is to decrypt the sender's symmetric key on behalf of the cardholder and provide it to the client application cryptographic module.

A.5.1.1.1 GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Command

The card commands sent to the PIV Card to decrypt the symmetric key are listed below. It is assumed that the cardholder's PIN or OCC data has been successfully verified prior to sending the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command to the card.

Command 1 — GENERAL AUTHENTICATE (first chain)

CLA	'10' indicates command chaining
INS	'87'
P1	'07'[28]
P2	'9D'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' '00' '81' L2 {first part of C}}, where C is the ciphertext to be decrypted, as defined in [PKCS1, Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2.2]
L_e	Absent (no response expected)

Response 1

Data Field	Absent
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

Command 2 — GENERAL AUTHENTICATE (last chain)

CLA	'00' indicates last command of the chain
INS	'87'
P1	'07'[29]
P2	'9D'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	{second and last part of ciphertext to be decrypted C }}
L_e	'00'

Response 2

Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' L2 {first part of encoded message EM}}, where EM is as defined in [PKCS1, Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2.2]
SW1-SW2	'61 xx', where x indicates the number of bytes remaining to send

[28] Higher strength keys are recommended starting in 2031, per SP 800-56 Part 1. See SP 800-78-5 Tables 9 and 10, which reflect support for higher strength keys for PIV cards and supporting systems, where applicable.
[29] Higher strength keys are recommended starting in 2031, per SP 800-56 Part 1. See SP 800-78-5 Tables 9 and 10, which reflect support for higher strength keys for PIV cards and supporting systems, where applicable.

Command 3 — GET RESPONSE APDU

CLA	'00'
INS	'C0'
P1	'00'
P2	'00'
L_e	xx length of remaining response, as indicated by previous SW1-SW2

Response 3:

Data Field	{second and last part of encoded message EM}
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

A.5.2. Elliptic Curve Cryptography Diffie-Hellman

An ECDH key agreement scheme does not send an encrypted symmetric key to the participating entities. Instead, the two entities involved in the key agreement scheme compute a shared secret by combining their ECC private key(s) with the other party's public key(s). The resulting shared secret (Z) serves as an input to a key derivation function (KDF), which each entity independently invokes to derive a common secret key. The secret key MAY be used as a session key or to encrypt a session key.

A.5.2.1. ECDH With the PIV KMK

The PIV Card supports ECDH key agreement by performing the elliptic curve cryptography cofactor Diffie-Hellman (ECC CDH) primitive [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2] using its ECC KMK private key and an ECC public key that is provided as input to the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command. All other procedures required to complete key agreement are performed by the cardholder's client application and its associated cryptographic module.

A.5.2.1.1 GENERAL AUTHENTICATE Command

The sequence of commands to perform the ECC CDH primitive from [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2] with the private ECC KMK is illustrated below for ECC: Curve P-256.

Command – GENERAL AUTHENTICATE

CLA	'00'
INS	'87'
P1	'11'
P2	'9D'
L_c	Length of data field
Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' '00' '85' L2 { '04' || X || Y}}, where • '04' || X || Y is the other party's public key, a point on Curve P-256, encoded without the use of point compression, as described in [SECG, Section 2.3.3]. • The length of each coordinate (X and Y) is 32 bytes. • The value of L2 is 65 bytes.
L_e	'00'

Response:

Data Field	'7C' – L1 {'82' L2 {shared secret Z}}, where • Z is the X coordinate of point P, as defined in [SP800-56A, Section 5.7.1.2] • L2 is 32 bytes.
SW1-SW2	'90 00' (Status word)

A.5.2.2. PIV KMK-Specific ECDH Key Agreement Schemes

SP 800-56A describes five different ECDH key agreement schemes that a client application cryptographic module MAY implement. These schemes differ in the number of keys (i.e., 1 or 2) and the type of keys (i.e., ephemeral or static) used by each party. Since the PIV Card only computes the ECC CDH primitive using its static private key, the client application cryptographic module only employs the PIV Card to implement an ECDH key agreement scheme when the scheme involves the use of the cardholder's static key pair. The ECDH key agreement schemes that involve the use of at least one party's static key pair and, thus, MAY involve the use of the PIV Card are:

- C(2e, 2s) — Each party has a static key pair and generates an ephemeral key pair [SP800-56A, Section 6.1.1].

  In this scheme, the information sent between the client application and the PIV Card is the same when acting as the initiator or the responder. The other party's static public key is sent to the PIV Card, and a static shared secret is returned by the PIV Card in plaintext. Note that an ephemeral key pair is generated by the client application, and the private key of that key pair is combined with the other party's ephemeral public key to produce an ephemeral shared secret.

- C(1e, 2s) — The initiator has a static key pair and generates an ephemeral key pair, while the responder has a static key pair [SP800-56A, Section 6.2.1].

  When the cardholder is acting as the initiator, the other party's static public key is sent to the PIV Card, and a static shared secret is returned in plaintext by the PIV Card. Note that, in this case, an ephemeral key pair is generated by the client application's cryptographic module, and the corresponding ephemeral private key is combined with the other party's static public key to produce a second shared secret.

  When the cardholder is acting as the responder, two public keys are sent by the client application to the PIV Card (i.e., the other party's static and ephemeral public keys), and two shared secrets are returned in plaintext (i.e., the static shared secret and the ephemeral shared secret). Note that two GENERAL AUTHENTICATE commands are required to provide the two shared secrets to the client application's cryptographic module.

- C(1e, 1s) — The initiator only generates an ephemeral key pair, while the responder only has a static key pair [SP800-56A, Section 6.2.2].

  In this scheme, the PIV Card is only employed by the client application if the cardholder is acting as the responder. In this case, the other party's ephemeral public key is sent to the PIV Card, and the shared secret is returned by the PIV Card in plaintext.

- C(0e, 2s) — Both the initiator and responder use only static key pairs [SP800-56A, Section 6.3].

  In the C(0e, 2s) scheme, the information sent between the client application's cryptographic module and the PIV Card is the same when acting as the initiator or the responder. The other party's static public key is sent to the PIV Card, and the static shared secret is returned in plaintext. Note that, for this scheme, the client application's cryptographic module also generates a nonce when acting as the initiator of the scheme.

The C(2e, 0s) scheme does not involve the use of static keys, so the PIV Card would not be involved in the implementation of this scheme.

A.6. Authentication of the PIV Cardholder Over the Virtual Contact Interface

If the PIV Card supports the virtual contact interface, then all non-card management operations of the PIV Card Application MAY be performed over the contactless interface. In order to perform an operation that would otherwise be restricted to the contact interface, the key establishment protocol in Section 4.1 needs to be performed to establish session keys for secure messaging, and the pairing code needs to be submitted over secure messaging in order to establish a virtual contact interface.[30]

This appendix shows an example of the establishment of a VCI and its use to perform cardholder authentication using the PIV Authentication key. First, the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to perform the key establishment protocol. The VERIFY command is then used to submit the pairing code and establish the VCI. At that point, the GET DATA command is used to read the X.509 Certificate for PIV Authentication. The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to perform a challenge/response with the PIV Authentication key after the PIN is submitted using the VERIFY command.

Table 25: PIV Cardholder Authentication over Virtual Contact Interface

Command	Response	Comment
00 87 27 04 50 7C 4E 81 4A 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 04 X Y 82 00 00		The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to perform the key establishment protocol, as specified in Section 4.1.8, where cipher suite CS2 is being used, ID_{sH} is all zeros, and X and Y are the coordinates of Q_{eH}. X and Y are 32 bytes each.
	7C L1 82 L2 00 N_{ICC} $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ C_{ICC}	The response for the key establishment protocol, as specified in Section 4.1.8, where N_{ICC} and $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ are 16 bytes each and C_{ICC} is as specified in Section 4.1.5.
After the client application verifies C_{ICC} and the authentication cryptogram and validates the certificate(s) needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC}, the PIV Card has been authenticated, and session keys for secure messaging have been established (SK_{ENC}, SK_{MAC}, and SK_{RMAC}).		

[30] As noted in SP 800-73-5 Part 1, Section 5.5, the pairing code does not need to be submitted if the Bit 3 of the first byte of the PIN Usage Policy is set to one.

Command	Response	Comment
The VERIFY command is used to submit the pairing code (“65135275”) to the PIV Card Application. For the command, ENC_{C1} is the result of encrypting '36 35 31 33 35 32 37 35 80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00' using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01') and $T_{C\text{-}MAC,1}$ = CMAC(SK_{MAC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0C 20 00 98 80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 87 11 01' || ENC_{C1}). For the response, $T_{R\text{-}MAC,1}$ = CMAC(SK_{RMAC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 99 02 90 00').		
0C 20 00 98 1D 87 11 01 ENC_{C1} 8E 08 $T_8(T_{C\text{-}MAC,1})$ 00		The VERIFY command is used over secure messaging to submit the pairing code to the card.
	99 02 90 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{R\text{-}MAC,1})$ 90 00	The card responds that the command has been successfully executed and that the VCI has been established.
Once the VCI has been established, the GET DATA command MAY be used to retrieve the X.509 Certificate for PIV Authentication. For the command, ENC_{C2} is the result of encrypting '5C 03 5F C1 05 80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00' using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 02'), and $T_{C\text{-}MAC,2}$ is computed using $T_{C\text{-}MAC,1}$ as the MCV. For the response, ENC_{R2} is the result of encrypting the X.509 Certificate for the PIV Authentication data object encapsulated in BER-TLV format with tag '53' using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 02'), and $T_{R\text{-}MAC,2}$ is computed using $T_{R\text{-}MAC,1}$ as the MCV.		
0C CB 3F FF 20 87 11 01 ENC_{C2} 97 01 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{C\text{-}MAC,2})$ 00		The GET DATA command is used to request the X.509 Certificate for PIV Authentication. The command is submitted over VCI.
	87 82 05 91 01 <bytes 1 – 251 of ENC_{R2}> 61 00	The response includes the tag, length, and padding indicator bytes of the BER-TLV-encoded encrypted response data, the first 251 bytes of the encrypted response, and an indicator that at least 256 bytes of additional data is available. The padding indicator is '01' to indicate that padding was applied.
00 C0 00 00 00		Request the next 256 bytes of the response.
	<bytes 252 – 507 of ENC_{R2}> 61 00	Return the next 256 bytes of the response.
…	…	
00 C0 00 00 A3		Request the final 163 bytes of the response.
	<bytes 1276 – 1424 of ENC_{R2}> 99 02 90 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{R\text{-}MAC,2})$ 90 00	Return the final 163 bytes of the response, including the BER-TLV-encoded status word for the command and the BER-TLV-encoded R-MAC.
At this point, the VERIFY command could be used to submit the PIV Card Application PIN to the PIV Card Application. However, in this example and for illustrative purposes only, a VERIFY command is sent to the card without a data field in order to retrieve the current value of the retry counter associated with the PIV Card Application PIV.		
0C 20 00 80 0A 8E 08 $T_8(T_{C\text{-}MAC,3})$ 00		The VERIFY command is used to retrieve the number of additional retries allowed for the PIV Card Application PIN.

Command	Response	Comment
	99 02 63 C3 8E 08 $T_8(T_{R\text{-}MAC,3})$ 90 00	The PIV Card Application indicates that three additional retries are allowed ('63 C3').
The VERIFY command is used to submit the PIV Card Application PIN to the PIV Card Application. Other than the key reference and the PIN value, the command and response are the same as when using the VERIFY command to submit the pairing code. For the command, ENC_{C4} is the result of encrypting the PIN value along with the padding bytes using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 04'), and $T_{C\text{-}MAC,4}$ is computed using $T_{C\text{-}MAC,3}$ as the MCV. (Note that the encryption counter used to generate the IV was incremented as a result of the previous VERIFY command even though no encryption was performed for that command.) For the response, $T_{R\text{-}MAC,4}$ is computed using $T_{R\text{-}MAC,3}$ as the MCV.		
0C 20 00 80 1D 87 11 01 ENC_{C4} 8E 08 $T_8(T_{C\text{-}MAC,4})$ 00		The VERIFY command is used to submit the PIV Card Application PIN to the card.
	99 02 90 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{R\text{-}MAC,4})$ 90 00	The card responds that the command has been successfully executed.
Now that a virtual contact interface has been established and the PIV Card Application PIN has been verified, privileged operations MAY be performed over the contactless interface. The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to perform a challenge/response with the PIV Authentication key. For the command, ENC_{C5} is the result of encrypting the challenge along with the padding bytes using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 05'), and $T_{C\text{-}MAC,5}$ is computed using $T_{C\text{-}MAC,4}$ as the MCV. The challenge to be encrypted is '7C 82 01 06 82 00 81 82 01 00 00 01 FF FF … BC A7' from the example in **Table 24**. For the response, ENC_{R5} is the result of encrypting the response along with the padding bytes using an IV of AES(SK_{ENC}, '80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 05'), and $T_{R\text{-}MAC,5}$ is computed using $T_{R\text{-}MAC,4}$ as the MCV. The response to be encrypted is '7C 82 01 04 82 82 01 00 29 69 44 3B … E2 F1 2E' from the example in **Table 24**.		
1C 87 07 9A FF 87 82 01 11 01 <bytes 1 – 250 of ENC_{C5}>		The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to send a challenge to the PIV Card. This command includes the first part of the challenge.
	90 00	The PIV Card Application indicates that it received the first part of the command successfully.
0C 87 07 9A 23 <bytes 251 – 272 of ENC_{C5}> 97 01 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{C\text{-}MAC,5})$ 00		The remaining challenge data is sent, including the BER-TLV-encoded L_e and the BER-TLV-encoded C-MAC.
	87 82 01 11 01 <bytes 1 – 251 of ENC_{R5}> 61 1B	The PIV Card Application sends the first part of the result of signing the challenge. The padding indicator is '01' to indicate that padding was applied.
00 C0 00 00 1B		The remaining portion of response is requested.
	<bytes 252 – 272 of ENC_{R5}> 99 02 90 00 8E 08 $T_8(T_{R\text{-}MAC,5})$ 90 00	The PIV Card Application sends the final portion of the result of signing the challenge, along with the BER-TLV-encoded status word and R-MAC.

A.6.1. Authentication of the PIV Cardholder Using SM-AUTH

PIV Cards that implement VCI or OCC use the key establishment protocol described Section 4.1 to establish a secure messaging key and subsequently protect communication between the PIV Card and the host. During the key establishment protocol, the PIV Card and the Cardholder are authenticated. Departments and agencies CAN use these authentication steps as a stand-alone authentication mechanism known as SM-AUTH.

The SM-AUTH authentication mechanism is performed with the GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command as follows:

Table 26: PIV Cardholder Authentication using Secure Messaging Key

Command	Response	Comment
00 87 27 04 50 7C 4E 81 4A 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 04 X Y 82 00 00		The GENERAL AUTHENTICATE command is used to perform the key establishment protocol, as specified in Section 4.1.8, where cipher suite CS2 is being used, ID_{sH} is all zeros, and X and Y are the coordinates of Q_{eH}. X and Y are 32 bytes each.
	7C L1 82 L2 00 N_{ICC} $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ C_{ICC}	The response for the key establishment protocol, as specified in Section 4.1.8, where N_{ICC} and $AuthCryptogram_{ICC}$ are 16 bytes each, and C_{ICC} is as specified in Section 4.1.5
After the client application verifies C_{ICC} and the authentication cryptogram and validates the certificate(s) needed to verify the signature on C_{ICC}, the PIV Card has been authenticated, and session keys for secure messaging have been established (SK_{ENC}, SK_{MAC}, and SK_{RMAC}). The session keys are zeroized since they are not used[31] in subsequent communication.		

[31] Bits b3 and b4 of the CLA byte are set to zero to indicate that further communication with the card will not be encrypted.

Appendix B. List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

AES
Advanced Encryption Standard

AID
Application Identifier

APDU
Application Protocol Data Unit

API
Application Programming Interface

APT
Application Property Template

ASCII
American Standard Code for Information Interchange

ASN.1
Abstract Syntax Notation One

BER
Basic Encoding Rules

BIT
Biometric Information Template

CLA
Class (first) byte of a card command

CMAC
Cipher-Based Message Authentication Code

C-MAC
Command Message Authentication Code

CVC
Card Verifiable Certificate

DER
Distinguished Encoding Rules

ECB
Electronic Codebook

ECC
Elliptic Curve Cryptography

ECDSA
Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm

ECDH
Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman

EC CDH
Elliptic Curve Cryptography Cofactor Diffie-Hellman

FIPS
Federal Information Processing Standard

FISMA
Federal Information Security Management Act

HSPD
Homeland Security Presidential Directive

ICC
Integrated Circuit Card

IEC
International Electrotechnical Commission

IETF
Internet Engineering Task Force

INS
Instruction (second) byte of a card command

INCITS
InterNational Committee for Information Technology Standards

ISO
International Organization for Standardization

ITL
Information Technology Laboratory

KDF
Key Derivation Function

LSB
Least Significant Bit

MAC
Message Authentication Code

MSB
Most Significant Bit

MCV
MAC Chaining Value

NIST
National Institute of Standards and Technology

OCC
On-Card Biometric Comparison

OID
Object Identifier

OMB
Office of Management and Budget

OPACITY
Open Protocol for Access Control, Identification, and Ticketing with privacY

P1
First parameter of a card command

P2
Second parameter of a card command

PKCS
Public-Key Cryptography Standards

PIN
Personal Identification Number

PIV
Personal Identity Verification

PIX
Proprietary Identifier extension

PUK
PIN Unblocking Key

RFU
Reserved for Future Use

RID
Registered Application Provider Identifier

R-MAC
Response Message Authentication Code

RSA
Rivest–Shamir–Adleman

SM
Secure Messaging

S/MIME
Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions

SP
Special Publication

SW1
First byte of a 2-byte status word

SW2
Second byte of a 2-byte status word

TLS
Transport Layer Security

TLV
Tag-Length-Value

VCI
Virtual Contact Interface

Appendix C. Glossary

application identifier
A globally unique identifier of a card application. [ISO7816, Part 4, adapted]

algorithm identifier
A 1-byte identifier that specifies a cryptographic algorithm and key size. For symmetric cryptographic operations, the algorithm identifier also specifies a mode of operation (i.e., ECB).

Authenticable entity
An entity that can successfully participate in an authentication protocol with a card application.

BER-TLV data object
A data object coded according to ISO/IEC 8824-2:2021.

Card
An integrated circuit card.

Card application
A set of data objects and card commands that can be selected using an application identifier.

Card management operation
Any operation involving the PIV Card Application Administrator.

Card Verifiable Certificate
A certificate stored on the card that includes a public key, the signature of a certification authority, and the information needed to verify the certificate.

Data object
An item of information seen at the card command interface for which is specified a name, a description of logical content, a format, and a coding.

Key reference
A 1-byte identifier that specifies a cryptographic key according to its PIV Key Type. The identifier is part of cryptographic material used in a cryptographic protocol, such as an authentication or a signing protocol.

MAC Chaining Value
A 16-byte value that is an input to the CMAC function and used to detect communication errors in duplicate or missing commands.

Object identifier
A globally unique identifier of a data object. [ISO8824, adapted]

reference data
Cryptographic material used in the performance of a cryptographic protocol, such as an authentication or a signing protocol. The reference data length is the maximum length of a password or PIN. For algorithms, the reference data length is the length of a key.

status word
Two bytes returned by an integrated circuit card after processing any command that signify the success of or errors encountered during said processing.

template
A (constructed) BER-TLV data object whose value field contains specific BER-TLV data objects.

Appendix D. Notation

The 16 hexadecimal digits SHALL be denoted using the alphanumeric characters 0, 1, 2, …, 9, A, B, C, D, E, and F. A byte consists of two hexadecimal digits, such as '2D'. The two hexadecimal digits are represented in quotations '2D' or as 0x2D. A sequence of bytes MAY be enclosed in single quotation marks (e.g., 'A0 00 00 01 16') rather than given as a sequence of individual bytes (e.g., 'A0' '00' '00' '01' '16').

A byte can also be represented by bits b8 to b1, where b8 is the most significant bit (MSB) and b1 is the least significant bit (LSB) of the byte. In textual or graphic representations, the leftmost bit is the MSB. Thus, for example, the most significant bit b8 of '80' is 1, and the least significant bit b1 is 0.

All bytes specified as RFU SHALL be set to '00', and all bits specified as RFU SHALL be set to 0.

All lengths SHALL be measured in number of bytes unless otherwise noted.

The expression 'X' & 'Y' is a bitwise AND operation between bytes 'X' and 'Y'.

The symbol || means concatenation of byte strings. For example, if X is '00 01 02' and Y is '03 04 05', then X || Y is '00 01 02 03 04 05'.

Data objects in templates are described as being mandatory (M), optional (O), or conditional (C). Mandatory means that the data object SHALL appear in the template. Optional means that the data object MAY appear in the template. For conditional data objects, the conditions under which they are required are provided.

In other tables, the M/O/C column identifies properties of the PIV Card Application that SHALL be present (M), may be present (O), or are conditionally required to be present (C).

BER-TLV data object tags are represented as byte sequences, as described above. Thus, for example, 0x4F is the interindustry data object tag for an application identifier, and 0x7F60 is the interindustry data object tag for the Biometric Information Templates Group template.

This document uses the following typographical conventions in text:

- ASN.1 data types are represented in a monospaced font. For example, *SignedData* and *SignerInfo* are data types defined for digital signatures.
- Specific terms in **CAPITALS** represent normative requirements. When these same terms are not in **CAPITALS**, the term does not represent a normative requirement.
- The terms **SHALL** and **SHALL NOT** indicate requirements to be strictly followed in order to conform to the publication and from which no deviation is permitted.
- The terms **SHOULD** and **SHOULD NOT** indicate that among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable without mentioning or excluding others, that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that — in the negative form — a certain possibility or course of action is discouraged but not prohibited.
- The terms **MAY** and **NEED NOT** indicate a course of action that is permissible within the limits of the publication.

- The terms **CAN** and **CANNOT** indicate a material, physical, or causal possibility or capability or — in the negative — the absence of that possibility or capability.