

NIST Special Publication 800 NIST SP 800-172Ar3 ipd

Assessing Enhanced Security Requirements for Controlled Unclassified Information

Initial Public Draft

Ron Ross Victoria Pillitteri

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-172Ar3.ipd



NIST Special Publication 800 NIST SP 800-172Ar3 ipd

Assessing Enhanced Security Requirements for Controlled Unclassified Information

Initial Public Draft

Ron Ross
Victoria Pillitteri
Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-172Ar3.ipd

September 2025



U.S. Department of Commerce Howard Lutnick, Secretary Certain equipment, instruments, software, or materials, commercial or non-commercial, are identified in this paper in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement of any product or service by NIST, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at https://csrc.nist.gov/publications.

Authority

This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3551 et seq., Public Law (P.L.) 113-283 [1]. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials exercising policy authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130 [2].

Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. Attribution would, however, be appreciated by NIST.

NIST Technical Series Policies

<u>Copyright, Use, and Licensing Statements</u> <u>NIST Technical Series Publication Identifier Syntax</u>

How to Cite this NIST Technical Series Publication:

Ross R, Pillitteri V (2025) Assessing Enhanced Security Requirements for Controlled Unclassified Information. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-172Ar3 ipd. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-172Ar3.ipd

Author ORCID iDs

Ron Ross: 0000-0002-1099-9757 Victoria Pillitteri: 0000-0002-7446-7506 NIST SP 800-172Ar3 ipd (Initial Public Draft) September 2025

Public Comment Period

September 29, 2025 – November 14, 2025

Submit Comments

800-171comments@list.nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory 100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

All comments submitted during the public comment period will be posted to the NIST Protecting Controlled Unclassified Information Project page. with contact information redacted. All technical content will be posted as submitted, so commenters should not include information they do not wish to be posted (e.g., personal or business information).

Additional information about this publication is available at https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/sp/800/172/A/r3/ipd, including related content, potential updates, and document history.

All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

Abstract

1

- 2 The protection of controlled unclassified information (CUI) resident in nonfederal systems and
- 3 organizations is of paramount importance to federal agencies and can directly impact the ability
- 4 of the Federal Government to successfully conduct its essential missions and functions. This
- 5 publication provides federal agencies with assessment procedures for the security
- 6 requirements in NIST SP 800-172. The assessment procedures are flexible and can be tailored to
- 7 the needs of federal agencies and assessors. Security requirement assessments can be
- 8 conducted as (1) self-assessments; (2) independent, third-party assessments; or (3)
- 9 government-sponsored assessments. The assessments can be conducted with varying degrees
- of rigor based on federal agency-defined depth and coverage attributes. The findings and
- 11 evidence produced during the assessments can be used to facilitate risk-based decisions by
- organizations related to the security requirements.

Keywords

13

- assessment; assessment procedure; assurance; enhanced security requirement; enhanced
- security requirement assessment; controlled unclassified information; Executive Order 13556;
- 16 nonfederal organization; nonfederal system; security assessment.

17 Reports on Computer Systems Technology

- 18 The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and
- 19 Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical
- 20 leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test
- 21 methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance
- 22 the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include
- 23 the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and
- 24 guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related
- 25 information in federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's
- 26 research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative
- 27 activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

28 Audience

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

41

42

43

- This publication serves a diverse group of individuals and organizations in the public and private sectors, including individuals with:
 - System development life cycle responsibilities (e.g., program managers, mission/business owners, information owners/stewards, system designers and developers, system/security engineers, systems integrators)
 - Acquisition or procurement responsibilities (e.g., contracting officers)
 - System, security, or risk management and oversight responsibilities (e.g., authorizing officials, chief information officers, chief information security officers, system owners, information security managers)
 - Security assessment and monitoring responsibilities (e.g., auditors, system evaluators, assessors, independent verifiers/validators, analysts)
- 40 The above roles and responsibilities can be viewed from two perspectives:
 - Federal perspective: The entity establishing and conveying security assessment requirements in contractual vehicles or other types of agreements
 - Nonfederal perspective: The entity responding to and complying with the security assessment requirements set forth in contracts or agreements

45 Note to Reviewers

- The following significant changes have been made in the initial public draft (ipd) of SP 800-
- 47 172Ar3 (Revision 3):
- The restructuring of the assessment procedure syntax to align with SP 800-53A [5]
- The addition of assessment procedures for the new and revised enhanced security requirements in draft SP 800-172r3 [3]
- The addition of a references section to provide source assessment procedures from SP
 800-53A [5]
- A one-time change to the publication version number to align with SP 800-172, Revision 3 [3]
- NIST is specifically interested in comments, feedback, and recommendations on the following topics:
- The alignment of the assessment procedures to SP 800-53A [5]
- The ease-of-use of the assessment procedures in conducting assessments of the CUI enhanced security requirements
- The usefulness of the information in supplementary Appendices C, D, and E
- Reviewers are encouraged to comment on all or parts of SP 800-172Ar3 ipd. NIST requests that
- all comments be submitted to 800-171comments@list.nist.gov by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Standard
- 63 Time (EST) on November 14, 2025. Commenters are encouraged to use the comment template
- 64 provided with the document announcement.
- 65 Comments received in response to this request will be posted on the Protecting CUI project site
- after the due date. Submitters' names and affiliations (when provided) will be included, while
- 67 contact information will be removed.

Call for Patent Claims

- 69 This public review includes a call for information on essential patent claims (claims whose use
- would be required for compliance with the guidance or requirements in this Information
- 71 Technology Laboratory (ITL) draft publication). Such guidance and/or requirements may be
- 72 directly stated in this ITL Publication or by reference to another publication. This call also
- 73 includes disclosure, where known, of the existence of pending U.S. or foreign patent
- 74 applications relating to this ITL draft publication and of any relevant unexpired U.S. or foreign
- 75 patents.

68

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

- ITL may require from the patent holder, or a party authorized to make assurances on its behalf,in written or electronic form, either:
 - a) assurance in the form of a general disclaimer to the effect that such party does not hold and does not currently intend holding any essential patent claim(s); or
 - b) assurance that a license to such essential patent claim(s) will be made available to applicants desiring to utilize the license for the purpose of complying with the guidance or requirements in this ITL draft publication either:
 - i. under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination; or
 - ii. without compensation and under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination.
 - Such assurance shall indicate that the patent holder (or third party authorized to make assurances on its behalf) will include in any documents transferring ownership of patents subject to the assurance, provisions sufficient to ensure that the commitments in the assurance are binding on the transferee, and that the transferee will similarly include appropriate provisions in the event of future transfers with the goal of binding each successor-in-interest.
- 92 The assurance shall also indicate that it is intended to be binding on successors-in-interest
- 93 regardless of whether such provisions are included in the relevant transfer documents.
- 94 Such statements should be addressed to: 800-171comments@list.nist.gov

Table of Contents

96	1. Introduction	1
97	1.1. Purpose and Applicability	1
98	1.2. Organization of This Publication	1
99	2. The Fundamentals	3
100	2.1. Assessment Procedures	3
101	2.2. Assurance Cases	5
102	3. The Procedures	7
103	3.1. Access Control	7
104	3.2. Awareness and Training	18
105	3.3. Audit and Accountability	21
106	3.4. Configuration Management	24
107	3.5. Identification and Authentication	30
108	3.6. Incident Response	36
109	3.7. Maintenance	39
110	3.8. Media Protection	40
111	3.9. Personnel Security	43
112	3.10. Physical Protection	45
113	3.11. Risk Assessment	47
114	3.12. Security Assessment and Monitoring	54
115	3.13. System and Communications Protection	57
116	3.14. System and Information Integrity	69
117	3.15. Planning	83
118	3.16. System and Services Acquisition	85
119	3.17. Supply Chain Risk Management	86
120	References	92
121	Appendix A. Acronyms	93
122	Appendix B. Glossary	94
123	Appendix C. Summary of Enhanced Security Requirements	96
124	Appendix D. Security Requirement Assessments	100
125	Appendix E. Organization-Defined Parameters	104
126	Appendix F. Change Log	112

127	List of Tables	
128	Table 1. Enhanced security requirement families	3
129	Table 2. Enhanced security requirements	96
130	Table 3. Summary of assessment preparation phase	101
131	Table 4. Summary of assessment plan development phase	102
132	Table 5. Summary of assessment execution phase	103
133	Table 6. Summary of assessment analysis, documentation, and reporting phase	103
134	Table 7. Organization-defined parameters	104

136 Acknowledgments

137	The authors gratefully acknowledge and appreciate the contributions from individuals and
138	organizations in the public and private sectors whose constructive comments improved the
139	overall quality, thoroughness, and usefulness of this publication. The authors also wish to thank
140	the NIST technical editing and production staff — Jim Foti, Jeff Brewer, Eduardo Takamura,
141	Jeremy Licata, Isabel Van Wyk, and Cristina Ritfeld — for their outstanding support in preparing
142	this document for publication.

1. Introduction

143

146

149

150

151

152

153

154

164

165

166

167

168169

170

171

172

173

174

- The security assessment process gathers information and produces evidence to determine the effectiveness of security requirements by:
 - Identifying potential problems or shortfalls in security and risk management programs
- Identifying security weaknesses and deficiencies in systems and the environments in
 which those systems operate
 - Prioritizing risk mitigation decisions and activities
 - Confirming that identified security weaknesses and deficiencies in the system and environment of operation have been addressed
 - Supporting continuous monitoring activities and providing information security situational awareness

1.1. Purpose and Applicability

- 155 The purpose of this publication is to provide procedures for assessing the security requirements
- in NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-172, Enhanced Security Requirements for Protecting
- 157 Controlled Unclassified Information [3]. Organizations can use the assessment procedures to
- 158 generate evidence that the security requirements have been satisfied. The scope of the security
- assessments conducted using the procedures described in this publication is guided and
- informed by the system security plans for systems that process, store, or transmit CUI. The
- assessment procedures offer the flexibility to customize assessments based on organizational
- policies and requirements, known threat and vulnerability information, system and platform
- dependencies, operational considerations, and tolerance for risk.¹

1.2. Organization of This Publication

- The remainder of this special publication is organized as follows:
 - Section 2 describes the fundamental concepts associated with assessments of security requirements, including assessment procedures, methods, objects, and assurance cases that can be created using the evidence produced during assessments. This section mirrors the material included SP 800-171A, Sec. 2, with minor updates to reflect the enhanced security requirements and assessment procedures.
 - Section 3 provides assessment procedures for the security requirements in SP 800-172
 [3], including assessment objectives and potential assessment methods and objects for each procedure.

¹ The term *risk* refers to risks to organizational operations (i.e., mission, functions, image, and reputation), organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation. See SP 800-39 [4] for additional information on organizational risk management and risk tolerance.

- The following sections provide additional information to support the assessment of security requirements for the protection of CUI:
- 177 References
- Appendix A: Acronyms
- Appendix B: Glossary
- Appendix C: Summary of Enhanced Security Requirements
- Appendix D: Security Requirement Assessments
- Appendix E: Organization-Defined Parameters
- 183 Appendix F: Change Log

The contents of this publication can be used for many different assessment-related purposes to determine organizational compliance with the security requirements. The broad range of potential assessment methods and objects listed in this publication does not necessarily reflect and should not be directly associated with actual compliance or noncompliance. Rather, the selection of specific potential assessment methods and objects from the list provided can help generate a picture of overall compliance with the security requirements. There is no expectation about the number of methods or objects needed to determine compliance with the security requirements. Moreover, the entire list of potential assessment objects should not be viewed as required artifacts needed to determine compliance. Organizations have the flexibility to determine the specific methods and objects that provide sufficient evidence to support claims of compliance.

2. The Fundamentals

185

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

The process used by organizations and assessors to assess the security requirements in SP 800-172 [3] includes (1) preparing for the assessment, (2) developing a security assessment plan, (3) conducting the assessment, and (4) documenting, analyzing, and reporting the assessment results. The remainder of this section describes the structure and content of the procedures used to assess the security requirements and the importance of assurance cases in providing the evidence necessary to determine compliance with the requirements.

2.1. Assessment Procedures

The enhanced security requirements in SP 800-172 [3] are organized into 17 families, as illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Enhanced security requirement families

Access Control	Maintenance	Security Assessment and Monitoring
Awareness and Training	Media Protection	System and Communications Protection
Audit and Accountability	Personnel Security	System and Information Integrity
Configuration Management	Physical Protection	Planning
Identification and Authentication	Risk Assessment	System and Services Acquisition
Incident Response		Supply Chain Risk Management

The assessment procedures in Sec. 3 are grouped by similar family designations to ensure the completeness and consistency of assessments. The procedures have been derived from and are sourced to the assessment procedures in SP 800-53A [5].

An assessment procedure consists of an assessment *objective* and a set of potential assessment methods and objects that can be used to conduct the assessment. Each potential assessment objective includes a determination statement related to the security requirement. If there is an organization-defined parameter (ODP) in the security requirement, then the assessment objective begins with a determination statement related to the definition of the ODP. The determination statements are linked to the content of the security requirements to help ensure traceability of the assessment results to the requirements.

Assessment objects identify the specific items being assessed and can include specifications, mechanisms, activities, and individuals. Specifications are the documented artifacts² (e.g., plans, policies, procedures, requirements, functional and assurance specifications, design documentation, architectures) associated with a system. Mechanisms are the hardware, software, and firmware safeguards implemented within a system. Activities are the protection-related actions supporting a system that involve people (e.g., conducting system backup

² Artifacts may be in formats other than documents (e.g., databases; Governance, Risk, and Compliance [GRC] tools; Open Security Controls Assessment Language [OSCAL]).

212 213	•	s, exercising an incident respections applying the specifications		network traffic). Individuals are ties described above.	
214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223	Assessment methods define the nature and extent of the assessor's actions and are used to facilitate understanding, achieve clarification, or obtain evidence. The assessment methods include <i>examine</i> , <i>interview</i> , and <i>test</i> . The examine method is the process of reviewing, studying, inspecting, or analyzing assessment objects. The interview method is the process of holding discussions with individuals or groups about assessment objects. The test method is the process of exercising assessment objects (i.e., activities, mechanisms) under specified conditions to compare actual with expected behavior. Assessment methods include attributes of <i>depth</i> and <i>coverage</i> , which define the rigor, scope, and level of effort for the assessment as well as the degree of assurance that the security requirements have been satisfied. See SP 800-53A, Appendix D [5].				
224	The struct	ure and content of an assess	sment procedure are p	rovided in the example below.	
225	03.01.01E	Dual Authorization		Security Requirement Name	
226		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE			
227		Determine if:	Determination Statem	ent for Security Requirement	
228 229		A.03.01.01E.ODP[01]: privation are defined.	-	or other actions requiring dual	
230 231		A.03.01.01E: dual authorize commands and/or other a		A.03.01.01E.ODP[01]: privileged	
232		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT I	METHODS AND OBJEC	гѕ	
233		Examine			
234 235 236 237 238		[SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing access enforcement and dual authorization; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of actions requiring dual authorization; list of privileged commands requiring dual authorization; list of approved authorizations (user privileges); system security plan; other relevant documents or records].			
239		Interview			
240 241		-		nt responsibilities; system/network in security responsibilities].	(
242		Test			
243		[SELECT FROM: Dual autho	rization mechanisms ir	nplementing access control policy]	
244		REFERENCES			
245		Source Assessment Proced	ures: AC-03(02)		

Determination statements have alphanumeric identifiers. Each determination statement begins with the letter "A" to indicate that it is part of an assessment procedure. The next sequence of numbers followed by the letter "E" indicates the enhanced security requirement identifier from SP 800-172 [3] (and the specific control item if it is a multi-part requirement) that is the target of the assessment. Organization-defined parameters are indicated by the letters "ODP." If there are multiple ODPs in the determination statement, the ODP number is indicated in a square bracket (e.g., A.03.01.04E.ODP[01]). Square brackets are also used to denote when an assessment procedure further decomposes a requirement into more granular determination statements (e.g., A.03.10.03E.a[01], A.03.10.03E.a[02], A.03.10.03E.a[03], A.03.10.03E.a[04]).

The application of an assessment procedure to a security requirement produces assessment results or *findings*. The findings are compiled and used as evidence to determine whether the security requirement has been *satisfied* or *other than satisfied*. A finding of satisfied indicates that the assessment objective has been met, producing a fully acceptable result. A finding of other than satisfied indicates that there are potential anomalies that may need to be addressed by the organization. A finding of other than satisfied may also indicate that the assessor was unable to obtain sufficient information to make the specific determination called for in the determination statement.

2.2. Assurance Cases

Building an effective assurance case to determine compliance with security requirements involves compiling evidence from a variety of sources and conducting different types of activities during an assessment. An assurance case is a body of evidence organized into an argument demonstrating that some claim about a system is true. For security assessments conducted using the procedures in this publication, that claim is "compliance" with the security requirements in SP 800-172 [3]. Assessors obtain evidence during security assessments to allow designated officials³ to make objective determinations about compliance with the security requirements. The evidence needed to make such determinations can be obtained from various sources, including independent, third-party assessments or other types of assessments, depending on the needs of the organization establishing the requirements and the organization conducting the assessments.

For example, many technical security requirements are satisfied by security capabilities that are built into commercial information technology products and systems. Product assessments are typically conducted by independent, third-party testing organizations. These assessments examine the security functions of products and established configuration settings. Assessments can also be conducted to demonstrate compliance with industry, national, or international security standards as well as developer and vendor claims. Since many information technology products are assessed by commercial testing organizations and then subsequently deployed in

³ A designated official is either internal or external to a nonfederal organization and has the responsibility to determine organizational compliance with the security requirements.

⁴ Examples of third-party testing organizations include Common Criteria Testing Laboratories that evaluate IT products in accordance with ISO/IEC 15408 [6] and Cryptographic Module Validation Program Testing Laboratories that evaluate cryptographic modules in accordance with Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140 [7].

290

291

292

293

294

295296

297

298

299

- hundreds of thousands of systems, these types of assessments can be carried out at a greater level of depth and provide deeper insights into the security capabilities of the products.
- The evidence needed to determine compliance with the security requirements is obtained by assessing the implementation of the safeguards and countermeasures selected to satisfy the requirements. Assessors can build on previously developed materials that started with the specification of the information security needs of the organization and were further improved during the design, development, and implementation of the system. These materials provide the initial evidence for an assurance case.
 - Assessments can be conducted by system developers, system integrators, auditors, system owners, or the security staffs of organizations. The assessors or assessment teams bring available information about the system together, such as the results of component product assessments. The assessors can conduct additional system-level assessments using the assessment methods and procedures contained in this publication and the implementation information provided by the nonfederal organization in its system security plan. Assessments can be used to compile and evaluate the evidence needed by organizations to help determine the effectiveness of the safeguards implemented to protect CUI, the actions needed to mitigate security risks to the organization, and compliance with the security requirements.

The assessment procedures in this publication are based on and sourced to the assessment procedures in SP 800-53A [5]. For additional information and guidance on preparing for security assessments, developing assessment plans, conducting assessments, and analyzing assessment report results, consult SP 800-53A [5].

300 3. The Procedures This section provides assessment procedures for the security requirements defined in SP 800-301 302 172 [3]. Organizations that conduct security requirement assessments can develop their 303 security assessment plans by using the information provided in the assessment procedures and 304 selecting the specific POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS that meet the 305 organization's needs. Organizations also have flexibility in defining the level of rigor and detail 306 associated with the assessment based on the assurance requirements of the organization. 307 3.1. Access Control 308 03.01.01E Dual Authorization 309 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 310 Determine if: 311 A.03.01.01E.ODP[01]: privileged commands and/or other actions requiring dual 312 authorization are defined. 313 A.03.01.01E: dual authorization is enforced for <A.03.01.01E.ODP[01]: privileged 314 commands and/or other actions>. 315 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 316 Examine 317 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing access enforcement 318 and dual authorization; system design documentation; system configuration settings 319 and associated documentation; list of actions requiring dual authorization; list of 320 privileged commands requiring dual authorization; list of approved authorizations 321 (user privileges); system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 322 Interview 323 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with access enforcement responsibilities; system/network 324 administrators; system developers; personnel with information security 325 responsibilities]. 326 Test 327 [SELECT FROM: Dual authorization mechanisms implementing access control policy]. 328 **REFERENCES** 329 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-03(02)

03.01.02E Non-Organizationally Owned Systems - Restricted Use 330 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 331 332 Determine if: 333 A.03.01.02E.ODP[01]: restrictions on the use of non-organizationally owned 334 systems or system components to process, store, or transmit CUI are defined. 335 **A.03.01.02E:** the use of non-organizationally owned systems or system components 336 to process, store, or transmit CUI is restricted using **<A.03.01.02E.ODP[01]**: 337 restrictions>. 338 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 339 Examine 340 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing the use of external 341 systems; system design documentation; system configuration settings and 342 associated documentation; system connection or processing agreements; account management documents; system audit records; other relevant documents or 343 344 records]. 345 Interview 346 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with responsibilities for restricting or prohibiting the use 347 of non-organizationally owned systems, system components, or devices; 348 system/network administrators; personnel with information security 349 responsibilities]. 350 Test 351 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing restrictions on the use of non-352 organizationally owned systems, components, or devices]. 353 REFERENCES 354 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-20(03) 03.01.03E Withdrawn 355 356 Addressed by 03.01.09E, 03.01.10E, and 03.01.03. 03.01.04E Concurrent Session Control 357 358 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 359 Determine if: A.03.01.04E.ODP[01]: accounts and/or account types for which to limit the number 360 361 of concurrent sessions is defined.

362 A.03.01.04E.ODP[02]: the number of concurrent sessions to be allowed for each 363 account and/or account type is defined. 364 A.03.01.04E: the number of concurrent sessions for each < A.03.01.04E.ODP[01]: account and/or account types> is limited to <A.03.01.04E.ODP[02]: number>. 365 366 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 367 Examine 368 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing concurrent session control; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated 369 370 documentation; security plan; system security plan; other relevant documents or 371 records]. 372 Interview 373 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers]. 374 375 Test 376 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing access control policy for concurrent 377 session control]. 378 **REFERENCES** 379 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-10 03.01.05E Remote Access Monitoring and Control 380 381 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 382 Determine if: 383 A.03.01.05E[01]: automated mechanisms are employed to monitor remote access 384 methods. 385 A.03.01.05E[02]: automated mechanisms are employed to control remote access methods. 386 387 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 388 Examine 389 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing remote access to the 390 system; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated 391 documentation; system audit records; system monitoring records; system security 392 plan; other relevant documents or records].

393 Interview 394 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 395 responsibilities; system developers]. 396 Test 397 [SELECT FROM: Automated mechanisms monitoring and controlling remote access 398 methodsl. REFERENCES 399 400 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-17(01) 03.01.06E Protection of Remote Access Mechanism Information 401 402 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 403 Determine if: 404 A.03.01.06E: information about remote access mechanisms is protected from unauthorized use and disclosure. 405 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 406 407 Examine [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing remote access to the 408 system; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 409 410 Interview 411 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with responsibilities for implementing or monitoring 412 remote access to the system; system users with knowledge of information about 413 remote access mechanisms; personnel with information security responsibilities]. 414 **REFERENCES** 415 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-17(06) 416 03.01.07E Automated Audit Actions for Account Management ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 417 418 Determine if: 419 A.03.01.07E[01]: automated mechanisms are used to audit account creation actions. 420 A.03.01.07E[02]: automated mechanisms are used to audit account modification 421 actions. 422 A.03.01.07E[03]: automated mechanisms are used to audit account enabling 423 actions.

424 A.03.01.07E[04]: automated mechanisms are used to audit account disabling 425 actions. 426 A.03.01.07E[05]: automated mechanisms are used to audit account removal actions. 427 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 428 Examine 429 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing account management; 430 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; notifications or alerts of account creation, modification, enabling, 431 432 disabling, and removal actions; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 433 434 Interview 435 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with account management responsibilities; system/network administrators; personnel with information security 436 437 responsibilities]. 438 Test 439 [SELECT FROM: Automated mechanisms implementing account management 440 functions]. 441 **REFERENCES** 442 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-02(04) 03.01.08E Account Monitoring for Atypical Usage 443 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 444 445 Determine if: 446 A.03.01.08E.ODP[01]: atypical usage for which to monitor system accounts is defined. 447 448 A.03.01.08E.ODP[02]: personnel or roles to report atypical usage are defined. 449 A.03.01.08E.a: system accounts are monitored for <A.03.01.08E.ODP[01]: atypical 450 usage>. 451 **A.03.01.08E.b:** atypical usage of system accounts is reported to <A.03.01.08E.ODP[02]: personnel or roles>. 452 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 453 454 **Examine** 455 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing account management; 456 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated

457 documentation; system monitoring records; system audit records; audit tracking and 458 monitoring reports; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 459 Interview 460 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with account management responsibilities; system/network administrators; personnel with information security 461 462 responsibilities]. 463 Test [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing account management functions]. 464 465 REFERENCES 466 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-02(12) 467 03.01.09E Attribute-Based Access Control 468 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 469 Determine if: 470 A.03.01.09E.ODP[01]: attributes to assume access permissions are defined. 471 A.03.01.09E.a[01]: the attribute-based access control policy is enforced over defined 472 subjects. 473 A.03.01.09E.a[02]: the attribute-based access control policy is enforced over defined 474 objects. 475 A.03.01.09E.b: access is controlled based upon < A.03.01.09E.ODP[01]: attributes >. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 476 **Examine** 477 478 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; procedures addressing access enforcement; 479 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of subjects and objects (i.e., users and resources) requiring 480 481 enforcement of attribute-based access control policies; system audit records; system 482 security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 483 Interview [SELECT FROM: Personnel with access enforcement responsibilities; system/network 484 administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities]. 485 486 Test 487 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing access enforcement functions]. 488 **REFERENCES**

489 Source Assessment Procedures: AC-03(13) 490 03.01.10E Object Security Attributes 491 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 492 Determine if: 493 A.03.01.10E.ODP[01]: security attributes to be associated with information, source, 494 and destination objects are defined. 495 A.03.01.10E.ODP[02]: information objects to be associated with information 496 security attributes are defined. 497 A.03.01.10E.ODP[03]: source objects to be associated with information security 498 attributes are defined. 499 A.03.01.10E.ODP[04]: destination objects to be associated with information 500 security attributes are defined. 501 A.03.01.10E.ODP[05]: information flow control policies as a basis for the enforcement of flow control decisions are defined. 502 503 A.03.01.10E: < A.03.01.10E.ODP[01]: security attributes> associated with 504 <a.03.01.10E.ODP[02]: information objects>, <a.03.01.10E.ODP[03]: source 505 objects>, and <A.03.01.10E.ODP[04]: destination objects> are used to enforce 506 < A.03.01.10E.ODP[05]: information flow control policies > as a basis for flow control 507 decisions. 508 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 509 **Examine** 510 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 511 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system 512 configuration settings and associated documentation; list of security attributes and 513 associated source and destination objects; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 514 515 Interview 516 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 517 responsibilities; system developers]. 518 Test 519 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy]. 520 **REFERENCES** 521 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(01)

522 03.01.11E Role-Based Access Control 523 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 524 Determine if: 525 A.03.01.11E.ODP[01]: roles and users authorized to assume such roles are defined. 526 A.03.01.11E.a: a role-based access control policy over defined subjects and objects 527 is enforced. 528 A.03.01.11E.b: access is controlled based upon <A.03.01.11E.ODP[01] roles and 529 authorized users>. 530 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 531 **Examine** 532 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; role-based access control policies; procedures 533 addressing access enforcement; system design documentation; system configuration 534 settings and associated documentation; list of roles, users, and associated privileges 535 required to control system access; system audit records; system security plan; other 536 relevant documents or records]. 537 Interview 538 [SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with access enforcement responsibilities; 539 system/network administrators; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers]. 540 541 Test 542 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing role-based access control policy]. **REFERENCES** 543 544 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-03(07) 03.01.12E Physical or Logical Separation of CUI Flows 545 546 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 547 Determine if: A.03.01.12E.ODP[01]: mechanisms and/or techniques to separate CUI flows are 548 549 defined. 550 A.03.01.12E: CUI flows are logically or physically separated using 551 <A.03.01.12E.ODP[01] mechanisms and/or techniques>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 552 553 Examine 554 [SELECT FROM: Information flow enforcement policy; information flow control

555 policies; procedures addressing information flow enforcement; system design 556 documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of 557 required separation of information flows by information types; list of mechanisms 558 and/or techniques used to logically or physically separate information flows; system 559 audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. Interview 560 561 [SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with information flow enforcement 562 responsibilities; system/network administrators; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers]. 563 564 Test 565 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement 566 functionsl. 567 **REFERENCES** 568 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(21) 569 03.01.13E Metadata 570 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 571 Determine if: 572 A.03.01.13E.ODP[01]: metadata on which to base enforcement of information flow control is defined. 573 574 A.03.01.13E: information flow control based on <A.03.01.13E.ODP[01]: metadata> 575 is enforced. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 576 577 Examine 578 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 579 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system 580 configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; system 581 security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 582 Interview 583 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers]. 584 585 Test 586 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy]. **REFERENCES** 587 588 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(06)

589 03.01.14E Security Policy Filters 590 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 591 Determine if: 592 A.03.01.14E.ODP[01]: security policy filters are defined. 593 A.03.01.14E.ODP[02]: information flows are defined. 594 A.03.01.14E.ODP[03]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 595 selected: {Block; Strip; Modify; Quarantine} in response to a filter processing 596 failure. 597 A.03.01.14E.ODP[04]: security policy addressing a filter processing failure is 598 defined. 599 A.03.01.14E.a: information flow control is enforced using < A.03.01.14E.ODP[01] 600 security policy filters> as a basis for flow control decisions for <A.03.01.14E.ODP[02] information flows>. 601 602 A.03.01.14E.b: <A.03.01.14E.ODP[03]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)> data after 603 a filter processing failure in accordance with <A.03.01.14E.ODP[04] security policy>. 604 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 605 Examine 606 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 607 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system 608 configuration settings and associated documentation; list of security policy filters 609 regulating flow control decisions; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 610 611 Interview 612 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; organizational personnel with 613 information security responsibilities; system developers]. 614 Test 615 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy; 616 security policy filters]. 617 **REFERENCES** 618 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(08) 03.01.15E Data Type Identifiers 619 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 620 621 Determine if: 622 A.03.01.15E.ODP[01]: data type identifiers are defined.

623 **A.03.01.15E:** when transferring information between security domains, 624 <A.03.01.15E.ODP[01]: data type identifiers> are used to validate data that is 625 essential for information flow decisions. 626 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 627 Examine 628 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 629 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system 630 configuration settings and associated documentation; list of data type identifiers; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 631 632 Interview 633 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers]. 634 635 Test 636 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy]. 637 REFERENCES 638 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(12) 639 **03.01.16E** Decomposition Into Policy-Relevant Subcomponents 640 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** Determine if: 641 642 A.03.01.16E.ODP[01]: policy-relevant subcomponents into which to decompose CUI for submission to policy enforcement mechanisms are defined. 643 644 A.03.01.16E: when transferring information between different security domains, CUI 645 is decomposed into <A.03.01.16E.ODP[01]: policy-relevant subcomponents> for submission to policy enforcement mechanisms 646 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 647 648 Examine 649 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 650 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system 651 configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 652 653 Interview 654 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 655 responsibilities; system developers]. 656 Test

[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy]. 657 658 REFERENCES 659 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(13) 660 03.01.17E Detection of Unsanctioned CUI 661 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 662 Determine if: 663 **A.03.01.17E.ODP[01]:** unsanctioned CUI to be detected is defined. 664 A.03.01.17E.ODP[02]: a security policy that prohibits the transfer of unsanctioned information is defined. 665 666 A.03.01.17E.a: when transferring information between different security domains, information is examined for the presence of <A.03.01.17E.ODP[01] unsanctioned 667 information>. 668 669 **A.03.01.17E.b:** the transfer of CUI defined in 03.01.17E.a is prohibited in accordance 670 with <A.03.01.17E.ODP[02] security policy>. 671 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 672 **Examine** 673 [SELECT FROM: Access control policy; information flow control policies; procedures 674 addressing information flow enforcement; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of unsanctioned 675 information types and associated information; system audit records; system security 676 plan; other relevant documents or records]. 677 678 Interview 679 [SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; 680 system developers]. 681 Test 682 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing information flow enforcement policy]. **REFERENCES** 683 684 Source Assessment Procedure: AC-04(15) 3.2. Awareness and Training 685 686 03.02.01E Advanced Literacy and Awareness Training 687 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE**

688 Determine if: 689 A.03.02.01E.ODP[01]: indicators of malicious code are defined. 690 A.03.02.01E.ODP[02]: the frequency at which to update security literacy training 691 content is defined. 692 A.03.02.01E.ODP[03]: events which cause security literacy training content to be 693 updated are defined. 694 A.03.02.01E.a.01: security literacy training on the advanced persistent threat is 695 provided. 696 A.03.02.01E.a.02: security literacy training on recognizing suspicious 697 communications and anomalous behavior in systems using < A.03.02.01E.ODP[01]: 698 indicators of malicious code> is provided. 699 A.03.02.01E.a.03: security literacy training on the cyber threat environment is 700 provided. 701 A.03.02.01E.b: security literacy training content is updated < A.03.02.01E.ODP[02]: 702 frequency> and following < A.03.02.01E.ODP[03]: events>. 703 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 704 **Examine** 705 [SELECT FROM: System security plan; security literacy and awareness training policy; 706 procedures addressing security literacy and awareness training implementation; 707 security literacy and awareness training curriculum; security literacy and awareness 708 training materials; other relevant documents or records]. 709 Interview 710 [SELECT FROM: Personnel who receive security literacy and awareness training; 711 personnel with responsibilities for security literacy and awareness training; 712 personnel with information security responsibilities]. 713 **REFERENCES** 714 Source Assessment Procedures: AT-02(04), AT-02(05), AT-02(06) 715 **03.02.02E** Literacy and Awareness Training Practical Exercises 716 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 717 Determine if: 718 A.03.02.02E: practical exercises in literacy training that simulate events and 719 incidents are provided. 720 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS

721 Examine 722 [SELECT FROM: System security plan; security literacy and awareness training policy; 723 procedures addressing security literacy and awareness training implementation; 724 security awareness training curriculum; security awareness training materials; other 725 relevant documents or records]. 726 Interview [SELECT FROM: Personnel who receive security literacy and awareness training; 727 728 personnel with responsibilities for security awareness training; personnel with 729 information security responsibilities]. 730 Test 731 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing cyber-attack simulations in practical 732 exercises]. 733 **REFERENCES** 734 Source Assessment Procedures: AT-02(01) 735 03.02.03E Literacy and Awareness Training Feedback **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 736 737 Determine if: 738 A.03.02.03E.ODP[01]: personnel to whom feedback on organizational training 739 results will be provided are assigned. 740 **A.03.02.03E:** feedback on organizational training results is provided to <A.03.02.03E.ODP[01]: personnel>. 741 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 742 743 **Examine** 744 [SELECT FROM: Security awareness training policy; procedures addressing security 745 literacy and awareness training records; security literacy and awareness training 746 records; security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 747 Interview [SELECT FROM: Personnel with security and awareness training record retention 748 749 responsibilities]. 750 Test 751 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting the management of security literacy and 752 awareness training records]. 753 **REFERENCES**

754 Source Assessment Procedures: AT-06 755 03.02.04E Anti-Counterfeit Training 756 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 757 Determine if: 758 A.03.02.04E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles requiring training to detect counterfeit 759 system components are defined. 760 A.03.02.04E: <A.03.02.04E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles> are trained to detect 761 counterfeit system components. 762 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 763 **Examine** 764 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy and procedures; supply chain 765 risk management plan; system and services acquisition policy; anti-counterfeit plan; 766 anti-counterfeit policy and procedures; media disposal policy; media protection policy; incident response policy; training materials addressing counterfeit system 767 768 components; training records on the detection and prevention of counterfeit 769 components entering the system; system security plan; other relevant documents or 770 records]. 771 Interview 772 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with 773 supply chain risk management responsibilities; personnel with responsibilities for anti-counterfeit policies, procedures, and training]. 774 775 Test 776 [SELECT FROM: Processes for anti-counterfeit training]. 777 **REFERENCES** 778 Source Assessment Procedures: SR-11(01) 779 3.3. Audit and Accountability 780 **03.03.01E** Audit Record Storage in Separate Environment 781 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 782 Determine if: 783 **A.03.03.01E:** audit records are stored in a repository that is part of a physically 784 different system or system component than the system or component being 785 audited.

786 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 787 **Examine** 788 [SELECT FROM: Audit and accountability policy; system security plan; procedures 789 addressing protection of audit information; system design documentation; system 790 configuration settings and associated documentation; system or media storing 791 backups of system audit records; system audit records; other relevant documents or 792 records]. 793 Interview 794 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with audit and accountability responsibilities; personnel 795 with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 796 developers]. 797 Test 798 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing the backing up of audit records]. 799 **REFERENCES** 800 Source Assessment Procedures: AU-09(02) 801 03.03.02E Real-Time Alerts for Audit Processing Failures 802 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 803 Determine if: 804 A.03.03.02E.ODP[01]: real-time period requiring alerts when audit failure events 805 (defined in A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]) occur is defined. 806 A.03.03.02E.ODP[02]: personnel, roles, and/or locations to be alerted in real time 807 when audit failure events (defined in A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]) occur are defined. 808 A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]: audit logging failure events requiring real-time alerts are 809 defined. 810 A.03.03.02E: an alert is provided within < A.03.03.02E.ODP[01]: real-time period > to <a.03.03.02E.ODP[02]: personnel, roles, and/or locations> when 811 812 <a.03.03.02E.ODP[03]: audit logging failure events> occur. 813 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS **Examine** 814 815 [SELECT FROM: Audit and accountability policy; procedures addressing response to 816 audit processing failures; system design documentation; system security plan; 817 system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; 818 other relevant documents or records]. 819 Interview

820 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with audit and accountability responsibilities; personnel 821 with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 822 developers]. 823 **REFERENCES** Source Assessment Procedures: <u>AU-0</u>5(02) 824 825 03.03.03E Dual Authorization for Audit Information and Actions 826 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 827 Determine if: A.03.03.03E.ODP[01]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 828 829 selected: {movement; deletion}. A.03.03.03E.ODP[02]: audit information for which dual authorization is to be 830 enforced is defined. 831 832 A.03.03.03E: dual authorization is enforced for the < A.03.03.03E.ODP[01]: 833 SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)> of <A.03.03.03E.ODP[02]: audit information>. 834 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 835 **Examine** 836 [SELECT FROM: Audit and accountability policy; system security plan; access control 837 policy and procedures; procedures addressing protection of audit information; 838 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated 839 documentation; access authorizations; system audit records; other relevant documents or records]. 840 841 Interview 842 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with audit and accountability responsibilities; personnel 843 with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators]. 844 Test 845 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing the enforcement of dual authorization]. 846 REFERENCES 847 Source Assessment Procedures: AU-09(05) 03.03.04E Integrated Analysis of Audit Records 848 849 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 850 Determine if:

851 852 853 854		A.03.03.04E.ODP[01]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {vulnerability scanning information; performance data; system monitoring information; <a.03.03.04e.odp[02] collected="" data="" from="" information="" or="" other="" sources="">}.</a.03.03.04e.odp[02]>
855 856		A.03.03.04E.ODP[02]: data or information collected from other sources to be analyzed is defined (if selected).
857 858 859		A.03.03.04E: analysis of audit records is integrated with analysis of <a.03.03.04e.odp[01]: parameter="" selected="" value(s)=""> to further enhance the ability to identify inappropriate or unusual activity.</a.03.03.04e.odp[01]:>
860		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
861		Examine
862 863 864 865 866 867		[SELECT FROM: Audit and accountability policy; system security plan; procedures addressing audit review, analysis, and reporting; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; integrated analysis of audit records, vulnerability scanning information, performance data, network monitoring information and associated documentation; other relevant documents or records].
868		Interview
869 870		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with audit review, analysis, and reporting responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities].
871		Test
872 873		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms implementing the capability to integrate analysis of audit records with analysis of data or information sources].
874		REFERENCES
875		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>AU-06(05)</u>
876	3.4. Config	guration Management
877	03.04.01E	Withdrawn
878 879		Addressed by 03.04.08E, 03.14.04E, 03.17.03E, 03.17.04E, 03.17.05E, 03.04.01 (SP 800-171), 03.04.03 (SP 800-171), and 03.04.10 (SP 800-171).
880	03.04.02E	Automated Unauthorized Component Detection
881		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
882		Determine if:

A.03.04.02E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms used to detect the presence of unauthorized or misconfigured system components are defined. A.03.04.02E.ODP[02]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {disable network access by unauthorized or misconfigured system components; isolate unauthorized or misconfigured system components; notify <A.03.04.02E.ODP[03] personnel or roles>. A.03.04.02E.ODP[03]: personnel or roles to be notified when unauthorized or misconfigured system components are detected are defined (if selected). A.03.04.02E.a: the presence of unauthorized or misconfigured system components is detected using <A.03.04.02E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms>. A.03.04.02E.b: one or more of the following actions is/are taken when unauthorized or misconfigured system components are detected: <A.03.04.02E.ODP[02]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS

Examine

[SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing system component inventory and configuration settings; configuration management plan; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system component inventory; system design documentation; change control records; common secure configuration checklists; alerts or notifications of unauthorized components within the system; system monitoring records; system maintenance records; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].

Interview

[SELECT FROM: Personnel with component inventory and security configuration management responsibilities; personnel with responsibilities for managing automated mechanisms implementing unauthorized system component detection; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system developers].

Test

[SELECT FROM: Processes for the detection of unauthorized or misconfigured system components; automated processes for taking action when unauthorized or misconfigured system components are detected; automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the detection of unauthorized or misconfigured system components; automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing actions taken when unauthorized or misconfigured system components are detected].

919 REFERENCES 920 Source Assessment Procedure: CM-06(01), CM-6(02), CM-08(03) 921 03.04.03E Automated Maintenance of System Component Inventory 922 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 923 Determine if: 924 A.03.04.03E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms used to maintain the currency of the 925 system component inventory are defined. 926 A.03.04.03E.ODP[02]: automated mechanisms used to maintain the completeness 927 of the system component inventory are defined. 928 A.03.04.03E.ODP[03]: automated mechanisms used to maintain the accuracy of 929 the system component inventory are defined. 930 A.03.04.03E.ODP[04]: automated mechanisms used to maintain the availability of 931 the system component inventory are defined. 932 A.03.04.03E[01]: <A.03.04.03E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms> are used to 933 maintain the currency of the system component inventory. 934 A.03.04.03E[02]: <A.03.04.03E.ODP[02]: automated mechanisms> are used to 935 maintain the completeness of the system component inventory. 936 A.03.04.03E[03]: <A.03.04.03E.ODP[03]: automated mechanisms> are used to maintain the accuracy of the system component inventory. 937 938 A.03.04.03E[04]: <A.03.04.03E.ODP[04]: automated mechanisms> are used to 939 maintain the availability of the system component inventory. 940 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 941 **Examine** 942 [SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing system 943 component inventory; configuration management plan; system security plan; 944 system design documentation; system component inventory; change control 945 records; system maintenance records; system audit records; other relevant 946 documents or records]. 947 Interview 948 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with component inventory management responsibilities; 949 personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers; 950 system/network administrators]. 951 Test 952 [SELECT FROM: Processes for maintaining the system component inventory;

953 automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the system component 954 inventory]. 955 **REFERENCES** 956 Source Assessment Procedures: CM-08(02) 957 03.04.04E Automation Support for Baseline Configuration 958 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 959 Determine if: 960 A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms for maintaining the baseline configuration of the system are defined. 961 962 A.03.04.04E[01]: the currency of the baseline configuration of the system is maintained using < A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms >. 963 964 A.03.04.04E[02]: the completeness of the baseline configuration of the system is 965 maintained using < A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms>. A.03.04.04E[03]: the accuracy of the baseline configuration of the system is 966 967 maintained using < A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms >. 968 A.03.04.04E[04]: the availability of the baseline configuration of the system is 969 maintained using < A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms>. 970 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 971 **Examine** 972 [SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing the 973 baseline configuration of the system; configuration management plan; system 974 design documentation; system architecture and configuration documentation; 975 system configuration settings and associated documentation; system component 976 inventory; configuration change control records; system security plan; other 977 relevant documents or records]. 978 Interview 979 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with configuration management responsibilities; 980 personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 981 administrators]. 982 Test 983 [SELECT FROM: Processes for managing baseline configurations; automated 984 mechanisms implementing baseline configuration maintenance]. 985 REFERENCES 986 Source Assessment Procedures: CM-02(02)

987 03.04.05E Dual Authorization for System Changes **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 988 989 Determine if: 990 A.03.04.05E.ODP[01]: system components requiring dual authorization for changes 991 are defined. 992 A.03.04.05E.ODP[02]: system-level information requiring dual authorization for 993 changes is defined. 994 A.03.04.05E[01]: dual authorization for implementing changes to 995 <a.03.04.05E.ODP[01]: system components> is enforced. 996 A.03.04.05E[02]: dual authorization for implementing changes to 997 <a.03.04.05E.ODP[02]: system-level information> is enforced. 998 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 999 **Examine** 1000 [SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing access 1001 restrictions for changes to the system; configuration management plan; system 1002 design documentation; system architecture and configuration documentation; 1003 system configuration settings and associated documentation; change control 1004 records; system audit records; system component inventory; system information 1005 types; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1006 Interview 1007 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with dual authorization enforcement responsibilities for 1008 implementing system changes; personnel with information security responsibilities; 1009 system/network administrators]. 1010 Test 1011 [SELECT FROM: Processes for managing access restrictions to change; mechanisms implementing dual authorization enforcement]. 1012 1013 **REFERENCES** 1014 Source Assessment Procedures: CM-05(04) 1015 **03.04.06E** Retention of Previous Configurations 1016 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1017 Determine if:

1018 A.03.04.06E.ODP[01]: the number of previous baseline configuration versions to be 1019 retained is defined. 1020 A.03.04.06E: <A.03.04.06E.ODP[01]: number> previous versions of baseline 1021 configurations of the system are retained to support rollback. 1022 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1023 **Examine** 1024 [SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing the 1025 baseline configuration of the system; configuration management plan; system 1026 architecture and configuration documentation; system configuration settings and 1027 associated documentation; copies of previous baseline configuration versions; 1028 system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1029 Interview 1030 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with configuration management responsibilities; 1031 personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 1032 administrators]. Test 1033 1034 [SELECT FROM: Processes for managing baseline configurations]. 1035 **REFERENCES** 1036 Source Assessment Procedures: CM-02(03) 03.04.07E Testing, Validation, and Documentation of Changes 1037 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1038 1039 Determine if: 1040 A.03.04.07E[01]: changes to the system are tested before finalizing the implementation of the changes. 1041 A.03.04.07E[02]: changes to the system are validated before finalizing the 1042 1043 implementation of the changes. 1044 A.03.04.07E[03]: changes to the system are documented before finalizing the 1045 implementation of the changes. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1046 1047 **Examine** 1048 [SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; configuration management plan; 1049 procedures addressing system configuration change control; system architecture 1050 and configuration documentation; system design documentation; test records; 1051 system configuration settings and associated documentation; validation records;

1052 1053		change control records; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1054		Interview
1055 1056 1057		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with configuration change control responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; members of change control board or similar; system/network administrators; system developers].
1058		Test
1059 1060		[SELECT FROM: Processes for configuration change control; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing, testing, validating, and documenting system changes].
1061		REFERENCES
1062		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>CM-03(02)</u>
1063	03.04.08E	Centralized Repository
1064		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1065		Determine if:
1066 1067		A.03.04.08E: a centralized repository for the inventory of system components is provided.
1068		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
1069		Examine
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074		[SELECT FROM: Configuration management policy; procedures addressing system component inventory; configuration management plan; system design documentation; system security plan; system component inventory; system configuration settings and associated documentation; change control records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1075		Interview
1076 1077		[SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with component inventory management responsibilities; organizational personnel with security responsibilities].
1078		Test
1079 1080 1081		[SELECT FROM: Organizational processes for managing the system component inventory; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing system component inventory].
1082		REFERENCES
1083		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>CM-08(07)</u>
1084	3.5. <u>Identi</u>	ification and Authentication

1085 03.05.01E Cryptographic Bidirectional Authentication 1086 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1087 Determine if: 1088 A.03.05.01E.ODP[01]: devices and/or types of devices requiring the use of 1089 cryptographically based bidirectional authentication to authenticate before 1090 establishing a system connection are defined. 1091 **A.03.05.01E**: **<A.03.05.01E**.**ODP**[**01**]: **devices and/or types of devices>** are 1092 authenticated before establishing a system connection using bidirectional 1093 authentication that is cryptographically based. 1094 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1095 Examine 1096 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; system security plan; 1097 procedures addressing device identification and authentication; system design 1098 documentation; configuration settings and associated documentation; list of devices 1099 requiring unique identification and authentication; device connection reports; other 1100 relevant documents or records]. 1101 Interview 1102 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with operational responsibilities for device identification 1103 and authentication; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system developers]. 1104 1105 Test 1106 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing device authentication 1107 capabilities; cryptographically based bidirectional authentication mechanisms]. REFERENCES 1108 1109 Source Assessment Procedures: IA-03(01) 1110 03.05.02E Password Managers 1111 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1112 Determine if: 1113 A.03.05.02E.ODP[01]: password managers employed for generating and managing passwords are defined. 1114 1115 A.03.05.02E: <A.03.05.02E.ODP[01]: password managers> are employed to 1116 generate and manage passwords. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1117

1118 Examine 1119 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; procedures addressing 1120 identifier management; system security plan; system design documentation; 1121 mechanisms providing dynamic binding of identifiers and authenticators; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; other 1122 1123 relevant documents or records]. 1124 Interview 1125 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with identification and authentication management responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; 1126 1127 system/network administrators]. 1128 Test 1129 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing account management 1130 capabilities; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing identification and 1131 authentication management capabilities for the system]. 1132 REFERENCES 1133 Source Assessment Procedures: IA-05(18) 1134 03.05.03E Device Attestation 1135 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** Determine if: 1136 1137 A.03.05.03E.ODP[01]: the configuration management process employed to handle device identification and authentication based on attestation is defined. 1138 1139 A.03.05.03E: device identification and authentication are handled based on 1140 attestation by **<A.03.05.02E.ODP[01]: configuration management process>**. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1141 1142 **Examine** 1143 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; system security plan; 1144 procedures addressing device identification and authentication; procedures 1145 addressing device configuration management; system design documentation; 1146 system configuration settings and associated documentation; configuration 1147 management records; change control records; system audit records; other relevant 1148 documents or records].

1149 Interview 1150 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with operational responsibilities for device identification 1151 and authentication; personnel with information security responsibilities; 1152 system/network administrators]. 1153 Test 1154 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing device identification 1155 and authentication capabilities; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing configuration management; cryptographic mechanisms supporting device 1156 1157 attestation]. 1158 **REFERENCES** 1159 Source Assessment Procedures: IA-03(04) 1160 03.05.04E No Embedded Unencrypted Static Authenticators **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1161 1162 Determine if: 1163 A.03.05.04E: unencrypted static authenticators are not embedded in applications or 1164 other forms of static storage. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1165 1166 **Examine** 1167 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; system security plan; 1168 procedures addressing authenticator management; system design documentation; 1169 system configuration settings and associated documentation; logical access scripts; 1170 application code reviews for detecting unencrypted static authenticators; other 1171 relevant documents or records]. 1172 Interview 1173 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with authenticator management responsibilities; 1174 personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; 1175 system developers]. 1176 Test 1177 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing authenticator 1178 management capabilities; mechanisms implementing authentication in 1179 applications]. 1180 **REFERENCES** 1181 Source Assessment Procedures: IA-05(07)

1182 **03.05.05E** Expiration of Cached Authenticators 1183 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1184 Determine if: 1185 A.03.05.05E.ODP[01]: the time period after which the use of cached authenticators 1186 is prohibited is defined. 1187 A.03.05.05E: the use of cached authenticators is prohibited after 1188 <A.03.05.05E.ODP[01]: time period>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1189 1190 **Examine** 1191 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; procedures addressing 1192 authenticator management; system security plan; system design documentation; 1193 system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; 1194 other relevant documents or records]. Interview 1195 1196 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with authenticator management responsibilities; 1197 personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system developers]. 1198 1199 Test 1200 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing authenticator 1201 management capabilities]. 1202 REFERENCES 1203 Source Assessment Procedures: IA-05(13) 1204 03.05.06E Identity Proofing 1205 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1206 Determine if: 1207 A.03.05.06E.a: users who require accounts for logical access to systems based on 1208 appropriate identity assurance level requirements as specified in applicable 1209 standards and guidelines are identity-proofed. 1210 **A.03.05.06E.b:** user identities are resolved to a unique individual. **A.03.05.06E.c[01]:** identity evidence is collected. 1211 A.03.05.06E.c[02]: identity evidence is validated. 1212 1213 A.03.05.06E.c[03]: identity evidence is verified.

1214 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1215 **Examine** 1216 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; procedures addressing 1217 identity proofing; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1218 Interview 1219 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system operations responsibilities; personnel with 1220 information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system developers; personnel with identification and authentication responsibilities]. 1221 1222 Test 1223 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing identification and 1224 authentication capabilities]. 1225 REFERENCES 1226 Source Assessment Procedure: IA-12 1227 **03.05.07E Identity Providers and Authorization Servers** 1228 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1229 Determine if: A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]: an identification and authentication policy is defined. 1230 1231 A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]: mechanisms supporting authentication and authorization 1232 decisions are defined. 1233 A.03.05.07E[01]: identity providers are employed to manage user, device, and nonperson entity identities, attributes, and access rights supporting authentication 1234 1235 decisions in accordance with < A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]: policy > using 1236 <A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]: mechanisms>. 1237 A.03.05.07E[02]: identity providers are employed to manage user, device, and non-1238 person entity identities, attributes, and access rights supporting authorization 1239 decisions in accordance with < A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]: policy > using 1240 <A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]: mechanisms>. 1241 A.03.05.07E[03]: authorization servers are employed to manage user, device, and 1242 non-person entity identities, attributes, and access rights supporting authentication 1243 decisions in accordance with < A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]: policy > using <A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]: mechanisms>. 1244 1245 A.03.05.07E[02]: authorization servers are employed to manage user, device, and 1246 non-person entity identities, attributes, and access rights supporting authorization 1247 decisions in accordance with < A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]: policy > using 1248 <A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]: mechanisms>.

1249 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1250 **Examine** 1251 [SELECT FROM: Identification and authentication policy; procedures addressing user 1252 and device identification and authentication; system security plan; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; other 1253 1254 relevant documents or records]. Interview 1255 1256 [SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with system operations responsibilities; 1257 organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 1258 administrators; organizational personnel with account management responsibilities; 1259 system developers]. 1260 Test [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing identification and 1261 1262 authentication capabilities and access rights]. REFERENCES 1263 1264 Source Assessment Procedure: IA-13 1265 3.6. Incident Response 1266 03.06.01E Security Operations Center **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1267 1268 Determine if: 1269 **A.03.06.01E[01]:** a security operations center is established. 1270 **A.03.06.01E[02]:** a security operations center is maintained. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1271 **Examine** 1272 1273 [SELECT FROM: Incident response policy; contingency planning policy; procedures 1274 addressing incident handling; procedures addressing the security operations center 1275 operations; mechanisms supporting dynamic response capabilities; system security 1276 plan; contingency plan; incident response plan; other relevant documents or 1277 records].

1278		Interview
1279 1280 1281		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with incident handling responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; security operations center personnel; personnel with contingency planning responsibilities].
1282		Test
1283 1284 1285		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms that support and/or implement the security operations center capability; mechanisms that support and/or implement the incident handling process].
1286		REFERENCES
1287		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>IR-04(14)</u>
1288	03.06.02E	Integrated Incident Response Team
1289		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1290		Determine if:
1291 1292		A.03.06.02E.ODP[01]: the time period within which an integrated incident response team can be deployed is defined.
1293		A.03.06.02E[01]: an integrated incident response team is established.
1294		A.03.06.02E[02]: an integrated incident response team is maintained.
1295 1296		A.03.06.02E[03]: the integrated incident response team can be deployed to any location identified by the organization in <A.03.06.02E.ODP[01]: time period>.
1297		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
1298		Examine
1299 1300 1301		[SELECT FROM: Incident response policy; procedures addressing incident handling; procedures addressing incident response planning; incident response plan; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1302		Interview
1303 1304 1305		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with incident handling responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; members of the integrated incident response team].
1306		REFERENCES
1307		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>IR-04(11)</u>
1308	03.06.03E	Behavior Analysis

1309 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1310 Determine if: A.03.06.03E.ODP[01]: environments or resources that may contain or be related to 1311 1312 anomalous or suspected adversarial behavior are defined. A.03.06.03E: anomalous or suspected adversarial behavior in or related to 1313 <a.03.06.03E.ODP[01]: environments or resources> is analyzed. 1314 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1315 1316 Examine 1317 [SELECT FROM: Incident response policy; procedures addressing system monitoring 1318 tools and techniques; incident response plan; system monitoring logs or records; 1319 system monitoring tools and techniques documentation; system configuration 1320 settings and associated documentation; system security plan; system component 1321 inventory; network diagram; system protocols documentation; list of acceptable 1322 thresholds for false positives and false negatives; other relevant documents or 1323 records]. Interview 1324 1325 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 1326 administrators]. 1327 Test 1328 [SELECT FROM: Processes for detecting anomalous behavior]. 1329 **REFERENCES** 1330 Source Assessment Procedures: IR-04(13) 1331 03.06.04E Automated Tracking, Data Collection, and Analysis for Incident Monitoring **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1332 1333 Determine if: 1334 A.03.06.04E.ODP[01]: automated mechanisms used to track incidents are defined. 1335 A.03.06.04E.ODP[02]: automated mechanisms used to collect incident information 1336 are defined. A.03.06.04E.ODP[03]: automated mechanisms used to analyze incident 1337 1338 information are defined. 1339 A.03.06.04E[01]: incidents are tracked using <A.03.06.04E.ODP[01]: automated 1340 mechanisms>. 1341 A.03.06.04E[02]: incident information is collected using <A.03.06.04E.ODP[02]: 1342 automated mechanisms>.

A.03.06.04E[03]: incident information is analyzed using <A.03.06.04E.ODP[03]: 1343 1344 automated mechanisms>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1345 1346 **Examine** [SELECT FROM: Incident response policy; procedures addressing incident 1347 1348 monitoring; incident response records and documentation; system security plan; incident response plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1349 1350 Interview 1351 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with incident monitoring responsibilities; personnel with 1352 information security responsibilities]. 1353 Test [SELECT FROM: Incident monitoring capability for the organization; automated 1354 1355 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the tracking and documenting of 1356 system security incidents]. 1357 REFERENCES 1358 Source Assessment Procedures: IR-05(01) 1359 3.7. Maintenance 1360 **03.07.01E** Software Updates and Patches for Maintenance Tools 1361 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1362 Determine if: 1363 A.03.07.01E: maintenance tools are inspected to ensure that the latest software updates and patches are installed. 1364 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1365 1366 **Examine** 1367 [SELECT FROM: Maintenance policy; procedures addressing system maintenance 1368 tools; system maintenance tools and associated documentation; list of personnel authorized to use maintenance tools; maintenance tool usage records; maintenance 1369 1370 records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1371 Interview 1372 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system maintenance responsibilities; personnel with 1373 information security responsibilities]. 1374 Test

1375 [SELECT FROM: Processes for inspecting maintenance tools; processes for 1376 maintenance tool updates; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the 1377 inspection of maintenance tools; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing maintenance tool updates]. 1378 1379 REFERENCES 1380 Source Assessment Procedures: MA-03(06) 1381 3.8. Media Protection 1382 03.08.01E Dual Authorization for Media Sanitization **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1383 1384 Determine if: 1385 A.03.08.01E.ODP[01]: system media containing CUI to be sanitized requiring dual 1386 authorization is defined. 1387 **A.03.08.01E**: dual authorization for the sanitization of **<A.03.08.01E.ODP[01]**: 1388 **system media>** is enforced. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1389 1390 **Examine** 1391 [SELECT FROM: System media protection policy; procedures addressing media 1392 sanitization and disposal; dual authorization policy and procedures; list of system 1393 media requiring dual authorization for sanitization; authorization records; media 1394 sanitization records; audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents 1395 or records]. 1396 Interview 1397 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system media sanitization responsibilities; personnel 1398 with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators]. 1399 Test 1400 [SELECT FROM: Processes requiring dual authorization for media sanitization; 1401 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing media sanitization; mechanisms 1402 supporting and/or implementing dual authorization]. **REFERENCES** 1403 1404 Source Assessment Procedures: MP-06(07)

1405 03.08.02E Dual Authorization for System Backup Deletion and Destruction 1406 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1407 Determine if: 1408 A.03.08.02E.ODP[01]: system backup information for which to enforce dual authorization in order to delete or destroy is defined. 1409 1410 A.03.08.02E: dual authorization for the deletion or destruction of <a.03.08.02E.ODP[01]: system backup information> is enforced. 1411 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1412 1413 **Examine** 1414 [SELECT FROM: Contingency planning policy; procedures addressing system backup; 1415 contingency plan; system design documentation; system configuration settings and 1416 associated documentation; system-generated list of dual authorization credentials 1417 or rules; logs or records of the deletion or destruction of backup information; system security plan; other relevant documents or records] 1418 Interview 1419 1420 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system backup responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities]. 1421 1422 Test 1423 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing dual authorization; 1424 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the deletion and/or destruction of 1425 backup information]. 1426 **REFERENCES** 1427 Source Assessment Procedures: CP-09(07) 1428 03.08.03E Testing System Backups for Reliability and Integrity 1429 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1430 Determine if: 1431 A.03.08.03E.ODP[01]: the frequency at which to test backup information for media 1432 reliability is defined. 1433 A.03.08.03E.ODP[02]: the frequency at which to test backup information for 1434 information integrity is defined. A.03.08.03E[01]: backup information is tested < A.03.08.03E.ODP[01]: frequency > to 1435 verify media reliability. 1436 1437 A.03.08.03E[02]: backup information is tested < A.03.08.03E.ODP[02]: frequency > to

1438 verify information integrity. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1439 1440 Examine [SELECT FROM: Contingency planning policy; procedures addressing system backup; 1441 1442 contingency plan; system backup test results; contingency plan test documentation; 1443 contingency plan test results; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1444 Interview 1445 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system backup responsibilities; personnel with 1446 1447 information security responsibilities]. 1448 Test 1449 [SELECT FROM: Processes for conducting system backups; mechanisms supporting 1450 and/or implementing system backups]. 1451 **REFERENCES** 1452 Source Assessment Procedures: CP-09(01) 1453 03.08.04E System Recovery and Reconstitution 1454 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1455 Determine if: 1456 A.03.08.04E.ODP[01]: a time period consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives for the recovery of the system is determined. 1457 1458 A.03.08.04E.ODP[02]: a time period consistent with recovery time and recovery 1459 point objectives for the reconstitution of the system is determined. 1460 A.03.08.04E[01]: the recovery of the system to a known state is provided within 1461 < A.03.08.07E.ODP[01]: time period > after a disruption, compromise, or failure. 1462 A.03.08.04E[02]: the reconstitution of the system to a known state is provided within < A.03.08.07E.ODP[02]: time period > after a disruption, compromise, or 1463 1464 failure. 1465 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1466 Examine

1467 1468 1469 1470 1471		[SELECT FROM: Contingency planning policy; procedures addressing system backup; contingency plan; system backup test results; contingency plan test results; contingency plan test documentation; redundant secondary system for system backups; locations of redundant secondary backup systems; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1472		Interview
1473 1474 1475		[SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with contingency planning, recovery, and/or reconstitution responsibilities; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities].
1476		Test
1477 1478 1479		[SELECT FROM: Organizational processes implementing system recovery and reconstitution operations; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing system recovery and reconstitution operations].
1480		REFERENCES
1481		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>CP-10</u>
1482	3.9. <u>Perso</u>	nnel Security
1483	03.09.01E	Withdrawn
1484		Addressed by 03.09.01.
1485	03.09.02E	Withdrawn
1486		Addressed by 03.01.01 and 03.09.01.
1487	03.09.03E	Access Agreements
1488		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1489		Determine if:
1490 1491		A.03.09.03E.ODP[01]: the frequency at which to review and update access agreements is defined.
1492 1493		A.03.09.03E.ODP[02]: the frequency at which to re-sign access agreements to maintain access systems processing, storing, or transmitting CUI is defined.
1494 1495		A.03.09.03E.a: access agreements are developed and documented for systems processing, storing, or transmitting CUI.
1496 1497		A.03.09.03E.b[01]: access agreements are reviewed < A.03.09.03E.ODP[01]: frequency>.

1498 **A.03.09.03E.b[02]**: access agreements are updated **<A.03.09.03E.ODP[01]**: 1499 frequency>. 1500 A.03.09.03E.c.01: individuals requiring access to CUI and systems processing, 1501 storing, or transmitting CUI sign appropriate access agreements prior to being 1502 granted access. 1503 A.03.09.03E.c.02: individuals requiring access to CUI and systems processing, 1504 storing, or transmitting CUI re-sign access agreements to maintain access when 1505 access agreements have been updated or < A.03.09.03E.ODP[02]: frequency>. 1506 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS **Examine** 1507 1508 [SELECT FROM: Personnel security policy; personnel security procedures; procedures 1509 addressing access agreements for systems processing, storing, or transmitting CUI; 1510 access control policy; access control procedures; access agreements (including non-1511 disclosure agreements, acceptable use agreements, rules of behavior, and conflict-1512 of-interest agreements); documentation of access agreement reviews, updates, and 1513 re-signing; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1514 Interview 1515 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with personnel security responsibilities; personnel who 1516 have signed and/or resigned access agreements; personnel with information 1517 security responsibilities]. 1518 Test 1519 [SELECT FROM: Processes for reviewing, updating, and re-signing access agreements; 1520 mechanisms supporting reviewing, updating, and re-signing of access agreements]. **REFERENCES** 1521 1522 Source Assessment Procedures: PS-06 1523 03.09.04E Citizenship Requirements 1524 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1525 Determine if: 1526 A.03.09.04E.ODP[01]: citizenship requirements to be met by individuals to access a 1527 system processing, storing, or transmitting CUI are defined. 1528 A.03.09.04E: individuals accessing a system processing, storing, or transmitting CUI 1529 meet < A.03.09.04E.ODP[01] citizenship requirements>. 1530 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1531 Examine

1532 [SELECT FROM: Personnel security policy; access control policy, procedures 1533 addressing personnel screening; records of screened personnel; screening criteria; 1534 records of access authorizations; system security plan; other relevant documents or 1535 records]. 1536 Interview [SELECT FROM: Personnel with personnel security responsibilities; personnel with 1537 1538 information security responsibilities]. Test 1539 1540 [SELECT FROM: Processes for ensuring valid access authorizations for accessing CUI 1541 and systems requiring citizenship; processes for additional personnel screening]. 1542 **REFERENCES** Source Assessment Procedures: PS-03(04) 1543 1544 3.10. Physical Protection 1545 03.10.01E Intrusion Alarms and Surveillance Equipment **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1546 1547 Determine if: 1548 A.03.10.01E[01]: physical access to the facility where the system resides is 1549 monitored using physical intrusion alarms. 1550 A.03.10.01E[02]: physical access to the facility where the system resides is 1551 monitored using physical surveillance equipment. 1552 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1553 **Examine** 1554 [SELECT FROM: Physical and environmental protection policy; procedures addressing physical access monitoring; physical access monitoring records; physical access log 1555 1556 reviews; physical access logs or records; system security plan; other relevant 1557 documents or records]. 1558 Interview 1559 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with physical access monitoring responsibilities; personnel with incident response responsibilities; personnel with information security 1560 1561 responsibilities]. 1562 Test 1563 [SELECT FROM: Processes for monitoring physical intrusion alarms and surveillance 1564 equipment; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing physical intrusion alarms

and surveillance equipment; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing physical 1565 1566 access monitoring]. 1567 REFERENCES 1568 Source Assessment Procedures: PE-06(01) **03.10.02E** Delivery and Removal of System Components 1569 1570 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1571 Determine if: A.03.10.02E.ODP[01]: the types of system components to be authorized and 1572 controlled when entering the facility are defined. 1573 1574 A.03.10.02E.ODP[02]: the types of system components to be authorized and 1575 controlled when exiting the facility are defined. 1576 **A.03.10.02E.a[01]**: **<A.03.10.02E.ODP[01]**: **types of system components>** are 1577 authorized when entering the facility. **A.03.10.02E.a[02]**: <**A.03.10.02E.ODP[01]**: types of system components> are 1578 1579 controlled when entering the facility. 1580 **A.03.10.02E.a[03]**: **<A.03.10.02E.ODP[02]**: **types of system components>** are 1581 authorized when exiting the facility. **A.03.10.02E.a[04]**: **<A.03.10.02E.ODP[02]**: **types of system components>** are 1582 1583 controlled when exiting the facility. 1584 **A.03.10.02E.b:** records of the system components entering and exiting the facility 1585 are maintained. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1586 1587 Examine 1588 [SELECT FROM: Physical and environmental protection policy; procedures addressing 1589 the delivery and removal of system components from the facility; facility housing the 1590 system; records of items entering and exiting the facility; system security plan; other 1591 relevant documents or records]. 1592 Interview 1593 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with responsibilities for controlling system components entering and exiting the facility; personnel with information security 1594 1595 responsibilities]. 1596 Test 1597 [SELECT FROM: Process for authorizing, monitoring, and controlling system-related 1598 items entering and exiting the facility; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing,

1599 authorizing, monitoring, and controlling system components entering and exiting 1600 the facility]. 1601 **REFERENCES** 1602 Source Assessment Procedures: PE-16 3.11. Risk Assessment 1603 1604 03.11.01E Threat Awareness Program 1605 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1606 Determine if: 1607 **A.03.11.01E:** a threat awareness program that includes a cross-organization 1608 information-sharing capability for threat intelligence is implemented. 1609 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1610 Examine 1611 [SELECT FROM: Information security program plan; threat awareness program 1612 policy; threat awareness program procedures; risk assessment results relevant to threat awareness; documentation about the cross-organization information-sharing 1613 1614 capability; other relevant documents or records]. 1615 Interview 1616 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security program planning and plan 1617 implementation responsibilities; personnel responsible for the threat awareness 1618 program; personnel responsible for the cross-organization information-sharing 1619 capability; personnel with information security responsibilities; external personnel 1620 with whom threat awareness information is shared by the organization]. 1621 Test 1622 [SELECT FROM: Processes for implementing the threat awareness program; 1623 processes for implementing the cross-organization information-sharing capability; 1624 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the threat awareness program; 1625 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the cross-organization information-1626 sharing capability]. 1627 **REFERENCES** 1628 Source Assessment Procedures: PM-16 03.11.02E Threat Hunting 1629 1630 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE**

1631	Determine if:
1632 1633	A.03.11.02E.ODP[01]: the frequency at which to employ the threat-hunting capability is defined.
1634 1635	A.03.11.02E.a.01[01]: a cyber threat capability is established to search for indicators of compromise in organizational systems.
1636 1637	A.03.11.02E.a.01[02]: a cyber threat capability is maintained to search for indicators of compromise in organizational systems.
1638 1639	A.03.11.02E.a.02[01]: a cyber threat capability is established to detect, track, and disrupt threats that evade existing safeguards.
1640 1641	A.03.11.02E.a.02[02]: a cyber threat capability is maintained to detect, track, and disrupt threats that evade existing safeguards.
1642 1643	A.03.11.02E.b: the threat-hunting capability is employed < A.03.11.02E.ODP[01]: frequency >.
1644	POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
1645	Examine
1646 1647 1648	[SELECT FROM: Risk assessment policy; assessment reports; audit records and/or event logs; threat-hunting capability; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1649	Interview
1650 1651	[SELECT FROM: Personnel with threat-hunting responsibilities; system/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities].
1652	Test
1653 1654	[SELECT FROM: Processes for assessments and audits; mechanisms or tools supporting and/or implementing threat-hunting capabilities].
1655	REFERENCES
1656	Source Assessment Procedures: <u>RA-10</u>

03.11.03E Predictive Cyber Analytics 1657 1658 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1659 Determine if: 1660 A.03.11.03E.ODP[01]: advanced automation capabilities to predict and identify 1661 risks are defined. 1662 A.03.11.03E.ODP[02]: systems or system components in which advanced 1663 automation and analytics capabilities are to be employed are defined. 1664 A.03.11.03E.ODP[03]: advanced analytics capabilities to predict and identify risks are defined. 1665 1666 A.03.11.03E[01]: <A.03.11.03E.ODP[01]: advanced automation capabilities> are employed to predict and identify risks to <A.03.11.03E.ODP[02]: systems or system 1667 1668 components>. 1669 A.03.11.03E[02]: <A.03.11.03E.ODP[03]: advanced analytics capabilities> are 1670 employed to predict and identify risks to < A.03.11.03E.ODP[02]: systems or system 1671 components>. 1672 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1673 Examine 1674 [SELECT FROM: Risk assessment policy; security planning policy and procedures; 1675 procedures addressing organizational assessments of risk; risk assessment; risk 1676 assessment results; risk assessment reviews; risk assessment updates; risk reports; 1677 system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1678 Interview 1679 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with risk assessment responsibilities; personnel with 1680 information security responsibilities]. 1681 Test 1682 [SELECT FROM: Processes for risk assessment; mechanisms supporting and/or 1683 conducting, documenting, reviewing, disseminating, and updating the risk 1684 assessment]. **REFERENCES** 1685 1686 Source Assessment Procedures: RA-03(04) 03.11.04E Withdrawn 1687 Addressed by 03.15.01E, 03.11.01 (SP 800-171), 03.11.04 (SP 800-171), and 03.15.02 1688 1689 (SP 800-171).

1690	03.11.05E	Withdrawn
1691 1692		Addressed by 03.11.01E, 03.11.03E, 03.11.01 (SP 800-171), 03.11.04 (SP 800-171), 03.12.01 (SP 800-171), and 03.12.03 (SP 800-171).
1693	03.11.06E	Withdrawn
1694 1695		Addressed by 03.12.03E, 03.11.01 (SP 800-171), 03.11.04 (SP 800-171), 03.12.01 (SP 800-171), 03.12.03 (SP 800-171), and 03.17.03 (SP 800-171).
1696	03.11.07E	Withdrawn
1697		Addressed by 03.17.01 (SP 800-171).
1698	03.11.08E	Dynamic Threat Awareness
1699		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1700		Determine if:
1701 1702		A.03.11.08E.ODP[01]: the means to determine the current cyber threat environment on an ongoing basis are defined.
1703 1704		A.03.11.08E: the current cyber threat environment is determined on an ongoing basis using <A.03.11.08E.ODP[01]: means > .
1705		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
1706		Examine
1707 1708 1709 1710		[SELECT FROM: Risk assessment policy; security planning policy and procedures; procedures addressing organizational assessments of risk; risk assessment; risk assessment results; risk assessment reviews; risk assessment updates; risk reports; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1711		Interview
1712 1713		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with risk assessment responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities].
1714		Test
1715 1716 1717		[SELECT FROM: Processes for risk assessment; mechanisms supporting and/or conducting, documenting, reviewing, disseminating, and updating the risk assessment].
1718		REFERENCES
1719		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>RA-03(03)</u>

1720 03.11.09E Indicators of Compromise **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1721 1722 Determine if: 1723 A.03.11.09E.ODP[01]: sources that provide indicators of compromise are defined. 1724 A.03.11.09E.ODP[02]: personnel or roles to whom indicators of compromise are to 1725 be distributed are defined. 1726 A.03.11.09E[01]: indicators of compromise provided by <A.03.11.09E.ODP[01]: 1727 **sources>** are discovered. 1728 **A.03.11.09E[02]:** indicators of compromise provided by **<A.03.11.09E.ODP[01]:** 1729 sources> are collected. 1730 A.03.11.09E[03]: indicators of compromise provided by <A.03.11.09E.ODP[01]: sources> are distributed to <A.03.11.09E.ODP[02]: personnel or roles>. 1731 1732 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1733 Examine 1734 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 1735 integrity procedures; procedures addressing system monitoring; system design 1736 documentation; system monitoring tools and techniques documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system monitoring logs or 1737 1738 records; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or 1739 records]. Interview 1740 1741 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 1742 responsibilities; system developers; personnel installing, configuring, and/or maintaining the system; personnel responsible for monitoring system hosts]. 1743 1744 Test 1745 [SELECT FROM: Processes for system monitoring; processes for the discovery, collection, distribution, and use of indicators of compromise; mechanisms 1746 1747 supporting and/or implementing a system monitoring capability; mechanisms 1748 supporting and/or implementing the discovery, collection, distribution, and use of 1749 indicators of compromise]. **REFERENCES** 1750 1751 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-04(24)

03.11.10E Criticality Analysis 1752 1753 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1754 Determine if: 1755 A.03.11.10E.ODP[01]: systems, system components, or system services to be 1756 analyzed for criticality are defined. 1757 A.03.11.10E.ODP[02]: decision points in the system development life cycle when a 1758 criticality analysis is to be performed are defined. 1759 **A.03.11.10E:** critical system components and functions are identified by performing a criticality analysis for <A.03.11.10E.ODP[01]: systems, system components, or 1760 system services> at <A.03.11.10E.ODP[02]: decision points>. 1761 1762 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1763 Examine 1764 [SELECT FROM: Risk assessment policy; assessment reports; criticality analysis and/or finalized criticality for each component and/or subcomponent; audit records 1765 and/or event logs; analysis reports; system security plan; other relevant documents 1766 1767 or records]. 1768 Interview [SELECT FROM: Personnel with assessment and auditing responsibilities; personnel 1769 1770 with criticality analysis responsibilities; system/network administrators; personnel 1771 with information security responsibilities]. 1772 Test 1773 [SELECT FROM: Processes for assessments and audits; mechanisms and/or tools 1774 supporting and/or implementing assessments and auditing]. 1775 REFERENCES 1776 Source Assessment Procedures: RA-09 1777 03.11.11E Discoverable Information 1778 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1779 Determine if: 1780 A.03.11.11E.ODP[01]: corrective actions to be taken if information about the 1781 system is discoverable are defined. **A.03.11.11E[01]:** discoverable information about the system is identified. 1782 1783 **A.03.11.11E[02]**: <**A.03.11.11E.ODP[01]**: corrective actions> are taken when information about the system is confirmed as discoverable. 1784

1785 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1786 **Examine** 1787 [SELECT FROM: Procedures addressing vulnerability scanning; assessment report; 1788 penetration test results; vulnerability scanning results; risk assessment report; records of corrective actions taken on discoverable information; incident response 1789 1790 records; audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1791 Interview 1792 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with vulnerability scanning and/or penetration testing 1793 responsibilities; personnel with vulnerability scan analysis responsibilities; personnel 1794 responsible for risk response; personnel responsible for incident management and 1795 response; personnel with information security responsibilities]. 1796 Test 1797 [SELECT FROM: Processes for vulnerability scanning; processes for risk response; 1798 processes for incident management and response; mechanisms and/or tools 1799 supporting and/or implementing vulnerability scanning; mechanisms supporting 1800 and/or implementing risk response; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing incident management and response]. 1801 1802 REFERENCES 1803 Source Assessment Procedures: RA-05(04) 1804 03.11.12E Automated Means for Sharing Threat Intelligence **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1805 1806 Determine if: 1807 A.03.11.12E: automated mechanisms are employed to maximize the effectiveness of sharing threat intelligence information. 1808 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1809 1810 **Examine** 1811 [SELECT FROM: Information security program plan; threat awareness program 1812 policy; threat awareness program procedures; risk assessment results related to 1813 threat awareness; documentation about the cross-organization information-sharing 1814 capability; other relevant documents or records].

1815 Interview 1816 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security program planning and plan 1817 implementation responsibilities; personnel responsible for the threat awareness 1818 program; personnel responsible for the cross-organization information-sharing capability; personnel with information security responsibilities; external personnel 1819 1820 with whom threat awareness information is shared by the organization]. 1821 Test 1822 [SELECT FROM: Processes for implementing the threat awareness program; 1823 processes for implementing the cross-organization information-sharing capability; 1824 automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the threat awareness 1825 program; automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the cross-1826 organization information-sharing capability]. **REFERENCES** 1827 1828 Source Assessment Procedures: PM-16(01) 1829 3.12. Security Assessment and Monitoring 1830 03.12.01E Penetration Testing 1831 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1832 Determine if: A.03.12.01E.ODP[01]: the frequency at which to conduct penetration testing on 1833 1834 systems or system components is defined. 1835 A.03.12.01E.ODP[02]: systems or system components on which penetration testing 1836 is to be conducted are defined. 1837 A.03.12.01E: penetration testing is conducted < A.03.12.01E.ODP[01]: frequency > on 1838 <A.03.12.01E.ODP[02]: systems or system components>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1839 1840 **Examine** 1841 [SELECT FROM: Assessment and monitoring policy; procedures addressing 1842 penetration testing; assessment plan; system security plan; penetration test rules of engagement; penetration test report; assessment report; assessment evidence; 1843 1844 other relevant documents or records]. 1845 Interview 1846 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with assessment responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators]. 1847

1848 Test 1849 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting penetration testing]. 1850 **REFERENCES** 1851 Source Assessment Procedures: <u>CA-08</u> 1852 **03.12.02E** Independent Assessors 1853 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 1854 Determine if: 1855 A.03.12.02E: independent assessors or assessment teams are used to conduct 1856 security requirement assessments. 1857 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1858 **Examine** 1859 [SELECT FROM: Assessment and monitoring policy; procedures addressing 1860 assessments; previous assessment plan; previous assessment report; plan of action 1861 and milestones; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1862 Interview 1863 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with assessment responsibilities; personnel with 1864 information security responsibilities]. 1865 REFERENCES 1866 Source Assessment Procedures: CA-02(01) 1867 03.12.03E Risk Monitoring **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1868 1869 Determine if: 1870 A.03.12.03E[01]: risk monitoring is an integral part of the continuous monitoring 1871 strategy. 1872 **A.03.12.03E[02]:** effectiveness monitoring is included in risk monitoring. 1873 **A.03.12.03E[03]:** compliance monitoring is included in risk monitoring. 1874 A.03.12.03E[04]: change monitoring is included in risk monitoring. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1875 1876 Examine 1877 [SELECT FROM: Assessment and monitoring policy; organizational continuous

1878 1879 1880 1881		monitoring strategy; system-level continuous monitoring strategy; procedures addressing continuous monitoring of system; assessment report; plan of action and milestones; system monitoring records; impact analyses; status reports; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1882		Interview
1883		[SELECT FROM: Personnel with continuous monitoring responsibilities; personnel
1884		with information security responsibilities].
1885		Test
1886		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting risk monitoring].
1887		REFERENCES
1888		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>CA-07(04)</u>
1889	03.12.04E	Internal System Connections
1890		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1891		Determine if:
1892 1893		A.03.12.04E.ODP[01]: system components or classes of components requiring internal connections to the system are defined.
1894 1895		A.03.12.04E.ODP[02]: conditions requiring the termination of internal connections are defined.
1896 1897		A.03.12.04E.ODP[03]: the frequency at which to review the continued need for each internal connection is defined.
1898 1899		A.03.12.04E.a: internal connections of <a.03.12.04e.odp[01]: classes="" components="" of="" or="" system=""> to the system are authorized.</a.03.12.04e.odp[01]:>
1900 1901		A.03.12.04E.b[01]: for each internal connection, the interface characteristics are documented.
1902 1903		A.03.12.04E.b[02]: for each internal connection, the security requirements are documented.
1904 1905		A.03.12.04E.b[03]: for each internal connection, the nature of the information communicated is documented.
1906 1907		A.03.12.04E.c: internal system connections are terminated after <a.03.12.04e.odp[02]: conditions="">.</a.03.12.04e.odp[02]:>
1908 1909		A.03.12.04E.d: the continued need for each internal connection is reviewed < A.03.12.04E.ODP[03]: frequency >.
1910		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS

1912 [SELECT FROM: Assessment and monitoring policy; access control policy; procedures 1913 addressing system connections; system and communications protection policy; 1914 system design documentation; system audit records; list of components or classes of 1915 components authorized as internal system connections; system security plan; 1916 system configuration settings and associated documentation; assessment report; 1917 other relevant documents or records]. 1918 Interview 1919 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with responsibilities for developing, implementing, or 1920 authorizing internal system connections; personnel with information security and 1921 responsibilities]. 1922 Test 1923 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting internal system connections]. 1924 **REFERENCES** 1925 Source Assessment Procedures: CA-09 1926 3.13. System and Communications Protection 1927 03.13.01E Heterogeneity 1928 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1929 Determine if: 1930 A.03.13.01E.ODP[01]: system components requiring a diverse set of information 1931 technologies to be employed in the implementation of the system are defined. 1932 **A.03.13.01E:** a diverse set of information technologies is employed for 1933 < A.03.13.01E.ODP[01]: system components > in the implementation of the system. 1934 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1935 **Examine** 1936 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; system design 1937 documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of 1938 technologies deployed in the system; acquisition documentation; acquisition 1939 contracts for system components or services; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 1940 Interview 1941 1942 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 1943 responsibilities; personnel with system acquisition, development, and 1944 implementation responsibilities].

1945 Test 1946 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the use of a diverse 1947 set of information technologies]. 1948 **REFERENCES** 1949 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-29 1950 03.13.02E Randomness **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 1951 1952 Determine if: A.03.13.02E.ODP[01]: the techniques employedd to introduce randomness into 1953 organizational operations and assets are defined. 1954 1955 A.03.13.02E: <A.03.13.02E.ODP[01]: techniques> are employed to introduce 1956 randomness into organizational operations and assets. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 1957 1958 Examine 1959 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 1960 addressing concealment and misdirection techniques for the system; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; 1961 1962 system architecture; list of techniques to be used to introduce randomness into 1963 organizational operations and assets; system audit records; system security plan; 1964 other relevant documents or records]. 1965 Interview 1966 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with the responsibility to 1967 implement concealment and misdirection techniques for systems; personnel with 1968 information security responsibilities]. 1969 Test 1970 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing randomness as a 1971 concealment and misdirection technique]. 1972 **REFERENCES** 1973 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-30(02)

1974	03.13.03E	Concealment and Misdirection
1975		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
1976		Determine if:
1977 1978		A.03.13.03E.ODP[01]: the concealment and misdirection techniques employed to mislead adversaries potentially targeting systems are defined.
1979 1980		A.03.13.03E : < A.03.13.03E . ODP[01] : concealment and misdirection techniques> are used to mislead adversaries.
1981		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
1982		Examine
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988		[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures addressing concealment and misdirection techniques for the system; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system architecture; list of concealment and misdirection techniques to be used for organizational systems; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
1989		Interview
1990 1991 1992		[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with the responsibility to implement concealment and misdirection techniques for systems].
1993		Test
1994 1995		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing concealment and misdirection techniques].
1996		REFERENCES
1997		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-30</u>
1998	03.13.04E	Isolation of System Components
1999		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2000		Determine if:
2001 2002		A.03.13.04E.ODP[01]: system components to be isolated by boundary protection mechanisms are defined.
2003 2004		A.03.13.04E: boundary protection mechanisms are employed to isolate < <i>A.03.13.04E.ODP[01]: system components></i> .
2005		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2006		Examine

2007 2008 2009 2010 2011		[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures addressing boundary protection; system design documentation; system hardware and software; enterprise architecture documentation; system architecture; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
2012		Interview
2013 2014		[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with boundary protection responsibilities].
2015		Test
2016 2017		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the capability to separate system components].
2018		REFERENCES
2019		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-07(21)</u>
2020	03.13.05E	Change Processing and Storage Locations
2021		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2022		Determine if:
2023 2024		A.03.13.05E.ODP[01]: processing and/or storage locations to be changed are defined.
2025 2026		A.03.13.05E.ODP[02]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: { <a.03.13.05e.odp[03] frequency="" time="">; at random time intervals}.</a.03.13.05e.odp[03]>
2027 2028		A.03.13.05E.ODP[03]: the time frequency at which to change the location of processing and/or storage is defined (if selected).
2029 2030		A.03.13.05E: the location of <a.03.13.05e.odp[01]:< b=""> processing and/or storage> is changed <a.03.13.05e.odp[02]:< b=""> SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE>.</a.03.13.05e.odp[02]:<></a.03.13.05e.odp[01]:<>
2031		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2032		Examine
2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038		[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; configuration management policy and procedures; procedures addressing concealment and misdirection techniques for the system; list of processing and/or storage locations to be changed at organizational time intervals; change control records; configuration management records; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].

2039		Interview
2040 2041 2042		[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with the responsibility to change processing and/or storage locations].
2043		Test
2044 2045		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing changing processing and/or storage locations].
2046		REFERENCES
2047		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-30(03)</u>
2048	03.13.06E	Platform-Independent Applications
2049		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2050		Determine if:
2051 2052		A.03.13.06E.ODP[01]: platform-independent applications to be included within organizational systems are defined.
2053 2054		A.03.13.06E : <A.03.13.06E . ODP[01] : platform-independent applications> are implemented within organizational systems.
2055		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2056		Examine
2057 2058 2059 2060 2061		[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures addressing platform-independent applications; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; list of platform-independent applications; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
2062		Interview
2063 2064		[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; system developers].
2065		Test
2066 2067		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing platform-independent applications].
2068		REFERENCES
2069		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-27</u>
2070	03.13.07E	Virtualization Techniques

2096

03.13.08E Decoys

2071	ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2072	Determine if:
2073 2074	A.03.13.07E.ODP[01]: the frequency at which to change the diversity of operating systems and applications deployed using virtualization techniques is defined.
2075 2076 2077	A.03.13.07E: virtualization techniques are employed to support the deployment of a diverse range of operating systems and applications that are changed <a.03.13.07e.odp[01]: frequency="">.</a.03.13.07e.odp[01]:>
2078	POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2079	Examine
2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085	[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; configuration management policy and procedures; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system architecture; list of operating systems and applications deployed using virtualization techniques; change control records; configuration management records; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
2086	Interview
2087 2088 2089	[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with responsibilities for implementing approved virtualization techniques to the system].
2090	Test
2091 2092 2093	[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the use of a diverse set of information technologies; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing virtualization techniques].
2094	REFERENCES
2095	Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-29(01)</u>

2097 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2098 Determine if: 2099 A.03.13.08E[01]: components within organizational systems specifically designed to 2100 be the target of malicious attacks are included to detect such attacks. 2101 A.03.13.08E[02]: components within organizational systems specifically designed to be the target of malicious attacks are included to deflect such attacks. 2102 2103 A.03.13.08E[03]: components within organizational systems specifically designed to 2104 be the target of malicious attacks are included to analyze such attacks. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2105 2106 Examine 2107 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 2108 addressing the use of decoys; system design documentation; system configuration 2109 settings and associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; 2110 other relevant documents or records]. 2111 Interview 2112 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 2113 responsibilities; system developers]. 2114 Test 2115 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing decoys]. 2116 REFERENCES 2117 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-26 2118 03.13.09E Isolation of Security Tools, Mechanisms, and Support Components 2119 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2120 Determine if: 2121 A.03.13.09E.ODP[01]: information security tools, mechanisms, and support 2122 components to be isolated from other internal system components are defined. 2123 A.03.13.09E: <A.03.13.09E.ODP[01]: information security tools, mechanisms, and support components are isolated from other internal system components by 2124 implementing physically separate subnetworks with managed interfaces to other 2125 2126 components of the system. 2127 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2128 Examine 2129 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures

2130 addressing boundary protection; system design documentation; system hardware 2131 and software; system architecture; system configuration settings and associated 2132 documentation; list of security tools and support components to be isolated from 2133 other internal system components; system audit records; system security plan; other 2134 relevant documents or records]. Interview 2135 2136 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 2137 responsibilities; personnel with boundary protection responsibilities]. 2138 Test 2139 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the isolation of 2140 information security tools, mechanisms, and support components]. 2141 REFERENCES 2142 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-07(13) 2143 03.13.10E Separate Subnetworks 2144 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 2145 Determine if: 2146 A.03.13.10E: separate network addresses are implemented to connect to systems in 2147 different security domains. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2148 2149 **Examine** 2150 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 2151 addressing boundary protection; system design documentation; system hardware 2152 and software; system architecture; system configuration settings and associated 2153 documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant 2154 documents or records]. 2155 Interview 2156 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 2157 responsibilities; system developers; personnel with boundary protection 2158 responsibilities]. 2159 Test 2160 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing separate network 2161 addresses or different subnets]. 2162 REFERENCES 2163 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-07(22)

2164	03.13.11E	Thin Nodes
2165		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2166		Determine if:
2167 2168		A.03.13.11E.ODP[01]: system components to be implemented with minimal functionality and information storage are defined.
2169 2170		A.03.13.11E[01]: minimal functionality for <a.03.13.11e.odp[01]: components="" system=""> is employed.</a.03.13.11e.odp[01]:>
2171 2172		A.03.13.11E[02]: minimal information storage on <a.03.13.11e.odp[01]: components="" system=""> is employed.</a.03.13.11e.odp[01]:>
2173		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2174		Examine
2175 2176 2177 2178		[SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures addressing use of thin nodes; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records].
2179		Interview
2180 2181		[SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities].
2182		Test
2183		[SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing thin nodes].
2184		REFERENCES
2185		Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-25</u>
2186	03.13.12E	Denial-of-Service Protection
2187		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2188		Determine if:
2189 2190		A.03.13.12E.ODP[01]: the types of denial-of-service events to be protected against or limited are defined.
2191 2192		A.03.13.12E.ODP[02]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {protected against; limited}.
2193 2194		A.03.13.12E.ODP[03]: the safeguards to prevent the denial-of-service objective by type of denial-of-service event are defined.
2195 2196		A.03.13.12E.a: the effects of <a.03.13.12e.odp[01]: denial-of-service="" events="" of="" types=""> are <a.03.13.12e.odp[02]: parameter="" selected="" value="">.</a.03.13.12e.odp[02]:></a.03.13.12e.odp[01]:>

2197 A.03.13.12E.b: <A.03.13.12E.ODP[03]: safequards> are employed to protect against 2198 or limit the effects of denial-of-service events. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2199 2200 **Examine** 2201 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 2202 addressing denial-of-service protection; list of denial-of-service attacks requiring 2203 employment of security safeguards to protect against or limit effects of such attacks; 2204 system design documentation; list of security safeguards protecting against or 2205 limiting the effects of denial-of-service attacks; system configuration settings and 2206 associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other 2207 relevant documents or records]. 2208 Interview 2209 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security 2210 responsibilities; personnel with incident response responsibilities; system 2211 developers]. 2212 Test 2213 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms protecting against or limiting the effects of denial-of-2214 service attacks]. 2215 REFERENCES 2216 Source Assessment Procedure: SC-05 2217 03.13.13E Port and Input/Output Device Access 2218 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 2219 Determine if: 2220 A.03.13.13E.ODP[01]: connection ports or input/output devices to be disabled or 2221 removed are defined. 2222 A.03.13.13E.ODP[02]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: 2223 {physically; logically}. 2224 A.03.13.13E.ODP[03]: systems or system components with connection ports or 2225 input/output devices to be disabled or removed are defined. 2226 A.03.13.13E: <A.03.13.13E.ODP[01]: connection ports or input/output devices> are <A.03.13.13E.ODP[02]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE> disabled or removed on 2227 2228 <A.03.13.13E.ODP[03]: systems or system components>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2229 2230 Examine

2231 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; access control policy 2232 and procedures; procedures addressing port and input/output device access; system 2233 design documentation; system architecture; system configuration settings and 2234 associated documentation; systems or system components; list of connection ports 2235 or input/output devices to be physically disabled or removed on systems or system 2236 components; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2237 Interview 2238 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel installing, configuring, and/or maintaining the system]. 2239 2240 Test 2241 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the disabling of 2242 connection ports or input/output devices]. REFERENCES 2243 2244 Source Assessment Procedure: <u>SC-41</u> 2245 03.13.14E Detonation Chambers **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2246 2247 Determine if: 2248 A.03.13.14E.ODP[01]: the system, system component, or location in which a detonation chamber capability is to be employed is defined. 2249 2250 A.03.13.14E: a detonation chamber capability is employed within the 2251 <A.03.13.14E.ODP[01]: system, system component, or location>. 2252 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2253 Examine 2254 [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 2255 addressing detonation chambers; system configuration settings and associated 2256 documentation; system audit records; system design documentation; system 2257 security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2258 Interview 2259 [SELECT FROM: system/network administrators; personnel with information security 2260 responsibilities; personnel installing, configuring, and/or maintaining the system]. 2261 Test 2262 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the detonation 2263 chamber capability]. 2264 REFERENCES

2265 Source Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-44</u> 2266 **03.13.15E** Separate Subnets to Isolate System Components and Functions 2267 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2268 Determine if: 2269 A.03.13.15E.ODP[01]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: 2270 {physically; logically}. 2271 A.03.13.15E.ODP[02]: critical system components and functions to be isolated are 2272 defined. A.03.13.15E: subnetworks are separated < A.03.13.15E.ODP[01]: SELECTED 2273 2274 PARAMETER VALUE> to isolate < A.03.13.15E.ODP[02]: critical system components 2275 and functions>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2276 2277 Examine [SELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures 2278 2279 addressing boundary protection; system design documentation; system hardware 2280 and software; system architecture; system configuration settings and associated 2281 documentation; criticality analysis; system audit records; system security plan; other 2282 relevant documents or records]. 2283 Interview 2284 [SELECT FROM: System/network administrators; organizational personnel with 2285 information security responsibilities; system developer; organizational personnel 2286 with boundary protection responsibilities]. 2287 Test [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms separating critical system components and functions]. 2288 2289 **REFERENCES** 2290 Source Assessment Procedures: SC-07(29) 2291 03.13.16E System Partitioning 2292 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2293 Determine if: 2294 A.03.13.16E.ODP[01]: system components to reside in separate physical or logical 2295 domains or environments based on circumstances for the physical or logical 2296 separation of components are defined.

2297 2298		.03.13.16E.ODP[02]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: ohysical; logical}.
2299 2300		.03.13.16E.ODP[03]: circumstances for the physical or logical separation of omponents are defined.
2301 2302 2303	со	.03.13.16E: the system is partitioned into <a.03.13.16e.odp[01]: omponents="" system=""> residing in separate <a.03.13.16e.odp[02]: alue="" parameter="" selected=""> domains or environments based on <a.03.13.16e.odp[03]: circumstances="">.</a.03.13.16e.odp[03]:></a.03.13.16e.odp[02]:></a.03.13.16e.odp[01]:>
2304	PC	OTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2305	Ex	kamine
2306 2307 2308 2309 2310	ad se do	ELECT FROM: System and communications protection policy; procedures ddressing system partitioning; system design documentation; system configuration ettings and associated documentation; system architecture; list of system physical omains (or environments); system facility diagrams; system network diagrams; estem security plan; other relevant documents or records].
2311	In	terview
2312 2313 2314	int	ELECT FROM: System/network administrators; organizational personnel with formation security responsibilities; organizational personnel installing, configuring, nd/or maintaining the system; system developers/integrators].
2315	Te	est
2316 2317		ELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the physical eparation of system components].
2318	RE	EFERENCES
2319	So	ource Assessment Procedures: <u>SC-32</u>
2320	3.14. <u>System</u>	and Information Integrity
2321	03.14.01E So	oftware, Firmware, and Information Integrity
2322	AS	SSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2323	De	etermine if:
2324 2325		.03.14.01E.ODP[01]: software requiring integrity verification tools to be used to etect unauthorized changes is defined.
2326 2327		.03.14.01E.ODP[02]: firmware requiring integrity verification tools to be used to etect unauthorized changes is defined.
2328 2329		.03.14.01E.ODP[03]: information requiring integrity verification tools to be used detect unauthorized changes is defined.
2330	Α.	.03.14.01E.ODP[04]: actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to software

2331 are detected are defined. 2332 A.03.14.01E.ODP[05]: actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to firmware 2333 are detected are defined. 2334 A.03.14.01E.ODP[06]: actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to information are detected are defined. 2335 2336 A.03.14.01E.a[01]: integrity verification tools are employed to detect unauthorized 2337 changes to <**A.03.14.01E.ODP[01]: software>**. A.03.14.01E.a[02]: integrity verification tools are employed to detect unauthorized 2338 2339 changes to < A.03.14.01E.ODP[02]: firmware >. 2340 A.03.14.01E.a[03]: integrity verification tools are employed to detect unauthorized 2341 changes to < A.03.14.01E.ODP[03]: information >. 2342 A.03.14.01E.b[01]: <A.03.14.01E.ODP[04]: actions> are taken when unauthorized 2343 changes to software are detected. 2344 A.03.14.01E.b[02]: < A.03.14.01E.ODP[05]: actions > are taken when unauthorized 2345 changes to firmware are detected. 2346 A.03.14.01E.b[03]: <A.03.14.01E.ODP[06]: actions> are taken when unauthorized 2347 changes to information are detected. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2348 2349 Examine 2350 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2351 integrity procedures; procedures addressing software, firmware, and information 2352 integrity; system configuration settings and associated documentation; integrity 2353 verification tools and associated documentation; records generated or triggered by 2354 system design documentation; integrity verification tools regarding unauthorized 2355 software, firmware, and information changes; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2356 2357 Interview 2358 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for software, firmware, and/or information 2359 integrity; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 2360 administrators]. 2361 Test [SELECT FROM: Software, firmware, and information integrity verification tools]. 2362 **REFERENCES** 2363 2364 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-07

2365 03.14.02E Withdrawn 2366 Addressed by 03.14.06 (SP 800-171). 03.14.03E Withdrawn 2367 Addressed by 03.15.01E, 03.13.16E, 03.12.01 (SP 800-171), 03.13.01 (SP 800-171), 2368 2369 and 03.16.01 (SP 800-171). 03.14.04E Refresh From Trusted Sources 2370 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 2371 2372 Determine if: A.03.14.04E.ODP[01]: trusted sources to obtain software and data for system 2373 2374 component and service refreshes are defined. 2375 A.03.13.14E: the software and data used during system component and service 2376 refreshes are obtained from < A.03.14.04E.ODP[01]: trusted sources>. 2377 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2378 Examine 2379 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2380 integrity procedures; system design documentation; procedures addressing non-2381 persistence for system components; system configuration settings and associated 2382 documentation; system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2383 2384 Interview 2385 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for obtaining component and service 2386 refreshes from trusted sources; personnel with information security responsibilities]. 2387 Test 2388 [SELECT FROM: Processes for defining and obtaining component and service refreshes from trusted sources; automated mechanisms supporting and/or 2389 2390 implementing component and service refreshes]. 2391 **REFERENCES** 2392 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-14(01)

2393	03.14.05E	Non-Persistent Information
2394		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2395		Determine if:
2396 2397 2398		A.03.14.05E.ODP[01]: one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {refresh < A.03.14.05E.ODP[02] information> < A.03.14.05E.ODP[03] frequency>; generate < A.03.14.05E.ODP[04] information> on demand}.
2399		A.03.14.05E.ODP[02]: the information to be refreshed is defined (if selected).
2400 2401		A.03.14.05E.ODP[03]: the frequency at which to refresh information is defined (if selected).
2402 2403		A.03.14.05E.ODP[04]: the information to be generated on demand is defined (if selected).
2404 2405		A.03.14.05E.a: <a.03.14.05e.odp[01]: parameter="" selected="" value=""> is performed.</a.03.14.05e.odp[01]:>
2406		A.03.14.05E.b: information is deleted when no longer needed.
2407		POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS
2408		Examine
2409 2410 2411 2412 2413		[SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information integrity procedures; system security plan; procedures addressing non-persistence for system components; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; system audit records; other relevant documents or records].
2414		Interview
2415 2416		[SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for ensuring that information is and remains non-persistent; personnel with information security responsibilities].
2417		Test
2418 2419 2420		[SELECT FROM: Processes for ensuring that information is and remains non-persistent; automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing component and service refreshes].
2421		REFERENCES
2422		Source Assessment Procedure: <u>SI-14(02)</u>
2423	03.14.06E	Withdrawn
2424		Addressed by 03.11.02E and 03.11.09E.

2425	03.14.07E	Withdrawn
2426 2427		Addressed by 03.14.08E, 03.14.10E, 03.14.14E, , 03.17.03E, and 03.16.01 (SP 800-171).
2428	03.14.08E	Integrity Checks
2429		ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE
2430		Determine if:
2431 2432		A.03.14.08E.ODP[01]: software on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.
2433 2434 2435		A.03.14.08E.ODP[02]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[03] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[04] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[04]></a.03.14.08e.odp[03]>
2436 2437		A.03.14.08E.ODP[03]: transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (on software) are defined (if selected).
2438 2439		A.03.14.08E.ODP[04]: the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (on software) is defined (if selected).
2440 2441		A.03.14.08E.ODP[05]: firmware on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.
2442 2443 2444		A.03.14.08E.ODP[06]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[07] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[08] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[08]></a.03.14.08e.odp[07]>
2445 2446		A.03.14.08E.ODP[07]: transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (on firmware) are defined (if selected).
2447 2448		A.03.14.08E.ODP[08]: the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (on firmware) is defined (if selected).
2449 2450		A.03.14.08E.ODP[09]: information on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.
2451 2452 2453		A.03.14.08E.ODP[10]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[11] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[12] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[12]></a.03.14.08e.odp[11]>
2454 2455		A.03.14.08E.ODP[11]: transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (of information) are defined (if selected).
2456 2457		A.03.14.08E.ODP[12]: the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (of information) is defined (if selected).
2458 2459		A.03.14.08E[01]: an integrity check of <a.03.14.08e.odp[01]: software=""> is performed <a.03.14.05e.odp[02]: parameter="" selected="" value(s)="">.</a.03.14.05e.odp[02]:></a.03.14.08e.odp[01]:>

2460 A.03.14.08E[02]: an integrity check of < A.03.14.08E.ODP[05]: firmware > is performed < A.03.14.08E.ODP[06]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. 2461 2462 **A.03.14.08E[03]**: an integrity check of **<A.03.14.08E.ODP[09]**: information**>** is 2463 performed < A.03.14.08E.ODP[10]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2464 2465 **Examine** 2466 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information integrity procedures; procedures addressing software, firmware, and information 2467 2468 integrity testing; system design documentation; system configuration settings and 2469 associated documentation; system security plan; integrity verification tools and 2470 associated documentation; records of integrity scans; other relevant documents or 2471 records]. 2472 Interview 2473 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for software, firmware, and/or information 2474 integrity; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 2475 administrators; system developers]. 2476 Test 2477 [SELECT FROM: Software, firmware, and information integrity verification tools]. **REFERENCES** 2478 2479 Source Assessment Procedure: \$I-07(01) 2480 03.14.09E Cryptographic Protection 2481 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2482 Determine if: 2483 **A.03.14.09E[01]:** cryptographic mechanisms are implemented to detect 2484 unauthorized changes to software. 2485 A.03.14.09E[02]: cryptographic mechanisms are implemented to detect 2486 unauthorized changes to firmware. 2487 A.03.14.09E[03]: cryptographic mechanisms are implemented to detect 2488 unauthorized changes to information. 2489 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2490 **Examine** 2491 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2492 integrity procedures; procedures addressing software, firmware, and information 2493 integrity; system design documentation; system configuration settings and

2494 associated documentation; system audit records; system security plan; 2495 cryptographic mechanisms and associated documentation; records of detected 2496 unauthorized changes to software, firmware, and information; other relevant 2497 documents or records]. 2498 Interview 2499 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for software, firmware, and/or information 2500 integrity; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 2501 administrators; system developers]. 2502 Test 2503 [SELECT FROM: Software, firmware, and information integrity verification tools; 2504 cryptographic mechanisms implementing software, firmware, and information 2505 integrity]. 2506 **REFERENCES** 2507 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-07(06) 2508 03.14.10E Protection of Boot Firmware **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2509 2510 Determine if: A.03.14.10E.ODP[01]: mechanisms to be implemented to protect the integrity of 2511 2512 boot firmware in system components are defined. 2513 A.03.14.10E.ODP[02]: system components requiring mechanisms to protect the 2514 integrity of boot firmware are defined. 2515 A.03.14.10E: <A.03.14.10E.ODP[01]: mechanisms> are implemented to protect the 2516 integrity of boot firmware in < A.03.14.10E.ODP[02]: system components>. 2517 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2518 **Examine** 2519 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2520 integrity procedures; procedures addressing software, firmware, and information 2521 integrity; system design documentation; system configuration settings and 2522 associated documentation; system security plan; integrity verification tools and 2523 associated documentation; records of integrity verification scans; system audit 2524 records; other relevant documents or records]. 2525 Interview 2526 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for software, firmware, and/or information integrity; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network 2527 2528 administrators; system developer].

2529 Test 2530 [SELECT FROM: Software, firmware, and information integrity verification tools; 2531 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing protection of the integrity of boot 2532 firmware; safeguards implementing protection of the integrity of boot firmware]. 2533 **REFERENCES** 2534 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-07(10) **03.14.11E** Integration of Detection and Response 2535 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2536 2537 Determine if: 2538 A.03.14.11E.ODP[01]: security-relevant changes to the system are defined. 2539 A.03.14.11E: the detection of <A.03.14.11E.ODP[01]: changes> are incorporated 2540 into the organizational incident response capability. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2541 2542 **Examine** 2543 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2544 integrity procedures; procedures addressing software, firmware, and information integrity; procedures addressing incident response; system design documentation; 2545 2546 system configuration settings and associated documentation; incident response 2547 records; audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2548 Interview 2549 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for software, firmware, and/or information 2550 integrity; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with 2551 incident response responsibilities]. 2552 Test 2553 [SELECT FROM: Processes for incorporating the detection of unauthorized security-2554 relevant changes into the incident response capability; mechanisms supporting 2555 and/or implementing the incorporation of detection of unauthorized security-2556 relevant changes into the incident response capability; software, firmware, and 2557 information integrity verification tools]. 2558 REFERENCES 2559 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-07(07)

2560 03.14.12E Information Input Validation **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2561 2562 Determine if: 2563 A.03.14.12E.ODP[01]: information inputs to the system requiring validity checks 2564 are defined. 2565 A.03.14.12E: the validity of the <A.03.14.12E.ODP[01]: information inputs> is 2566 checked. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2567 2568 **Examine** 2569 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2570 integrity procedures; access control policy and procedures; separation of duties 2571 policy and procedures; procedures addressing information input validation; 2572 documentation for automated tools and applications to verify the validity of 2573 information; list of information inputs requiring validity checks; system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; 2574 system audit records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2575 2576 Interview 2577 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for information input validation; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 2578 developers]. 2579 2580 Test 2581 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing validity checks on 2582 information inputs]. 2583 REFERENCES 2584 Source Assessment Procedures: SI-10 2585 03.14.13E Error Handling **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2586 2587 Determine if: 2588 A.03.14.13E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles to whom error messages are to be 2589 revealed are defined. 2590 A.03.14.13E.a: error messages that provide the information necessary for corrective actions are generated without revealing information that could be exploited. 2591 2592 **A.03.14.13E.b:** error messages are revealed only to **<A.03.14.13E.ODP[01]**: 2593 personnel or roles>.

2594 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2595 **Examine** 2596 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2597 integrity procedures; procedures addressing system error handling; system design 2598 documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; 2599 documentation providing the structure and content of error messages; system audit 2600 records; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. Interview 2601 2602 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for information input validation; personnel 2603 with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 2604 developers]. 2605 Test 2606 [SELECT FROM: Processes for error handling; automated mechanisms supporting 2607 and/or implementing error handling; automated mechanisms supporting and/or 2608 implementing the management of error messages]. **REFERENCES** 2609 2610 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-11 2611 03.14.14E Memory Protection **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2612 2613 Determine if: 2614 A.03.14.14E.ODP[01]: safeguards to be implemented to protect the system 2615 memory from unauthorized code execution are defined. 2616 A.03.14.14E: <A.03.14.14E.ODP[01]: safeguards> are implemented to protect the 2617 system memory from unauthorized code execution. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2618 2619 **Examine** 2620 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2621 integrity procedures; procedures addressing memory protection for the system; 2622 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated 2623 documentation; list of security safeguards protecting system memory from 2624 unauthorized code execution; system audit records; system security plan; other 2625 relevant documents or records].

2626 Interview 2627 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for memory protection; personnel with 2628 information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 2629 developers]. Test 2630 2631 [SELECT FROM: Automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing safeguards 2632 to protect the system memory from unauthorized code execution]. 2633 REFERENCES 2634 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-16 2635 03.14.15E Non-Persistent System Components and Services 2636 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2637 Determine if: A.03.14.15E.ODP[01]: non-persistent system components and services to be 2638 2639 implemented are defined. 2640 A.03.14.15E.ODP[02]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {upon end of session of use; <A.03.14.15E.ODP[03] frequency>}. 2641 2642 A.03.14.15E.ODP[03]: the frequency at which to terminate non-persistent components and services that are initiated in a known state is defined (if selected). 2643 2644 A.03.14.15E.a: <A.03.14.15E.ODP[01]: non-persistent system components and 2645 **services>** that are initiated in a known state are implemented. 2646 A.03.14.15E.b: <A.03.14.15E.ODP[01]: non-persistent system components and 2647 **services>** that are initiated from a known state are implemented. 2648 A.03.14.15E.c: <A.03.14.13E.ODP[01]: non-persistent system components and 2649 services> are terminated < A.03.14.15E.ODP[02]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2650 2651 **Examine** 2652 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2653 integrity procedures; system design documentation; procedures addressing non-2654 persistence for system components; system security plan; system configuration 2655 settings and associated documentation; system audit records; other relevant 2656 documents or records]. 2657 Interview 2658 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for non-persistence; personnel with information security responsibilities; system/network administrators; system 2659

2660 developers]. 2661 Test 2662 [SELECT FROM: Automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the initiation and termination of non-persistent components]. 2663 **REFERENCES** 2664 2665 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-14 2666 **03.14.16E** Tainting **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2667 2668 Determine if: 2669 A.03.14.16E.ODP[01]: systems or system components with data or capabilities to be embedded are defined. 2670 2671 A.03.14.16E: data or capabilities are embedded in <A.03.14.16E.ODP[01]: systems or system components to determine if CUI has been exfiltrated or improperly 2672 2673 removed from the organization. 2674 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2675 **Examine** 2676 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information integrity procedures; procedures addressing software and information integrity; 2677 2678 system design documentation; system configuration settings and associated documentation; policy and procedures addressing the systems security engineering 2679 technique of deception; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2680 2681 Interview 2682 [SELECT FROM: Personnel responsible for detecting tainted data; personnel with systems security engineering responsibilities; personnel with information security 2683 2684 responsibilities]. 2685 Test 2686 [SELECT FROM: Automated mechanisms for post-breach detection; decoys, traps, 2687 lures, and methods for deceiving adversaries; detection and notification 2688 mechanisms]. 2689 REFERENCES 2690 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-20

2691 **03.14.17E** System-Generated Alerts 2692 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2693 Determine if: 2694 A.03.14.17E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles to be alerted when indications of 2695 compromise are defined. 2696 A.03.14.17E.ODP[02]: compromise indicators are defined. 2697 A.03.14.17E: <A.03.14.17E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles> are alerted when system-2698 generated < A.03.14.17E.ODP[02]: indicators of compromise > occur. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2699 2700 Examine 2701 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2702 integrity procedures; system security plan; system audit records; procedures 2703 addressing system monitoring tools and techniques; system monitoring tools and techniques documentation; list of personnel selected to receive alerts; system 2704 2705 configuration settings and associated documentation; documentation of alerts 2706 generated based on compromise indicators; other relevant documents or records]. 2707 Interview 2708 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security responsibilities; system 2709 developers; personnel installing, configuring, and/or maintaining the system; 2710 personnel responsible for monitoring the system; personnel on the system alert 2711 notification list; personnel responsible for the intrusion detection system; 2712 system/network administrators]. 2713 Test 2714 [SELECT FROM: Processes for intrusion detection and system monitoring; 2715 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing intrusion detection and system monitoring capabilities; mechanisms supporting and/or implementing alerts for 2716 2717 compromise indicators]. 2718 REFERENCES 2719 Source Assessment Procedure: \$I-04(05)

2720 **03.14.18E Automated Organization-Generated Alerts ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2721 2722 Determine if: 2723 A.03.14.18E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles to be alerted when indications of 2724 inappropriate or unusual activities with security implications occur are defined. 2725 A.03.14.18E.ODP[02]: automated mechanisms used to alert personnel or roles are 2726 defined. 2727 A.03.14.18E.ODP[03]: activities that trigger alerts to personnel or roles are defined. 2728 2729 A.03.14.18E: <A.03.14.18E.ODP[01]: personnel or roles> are alerted using 2730 <A.03.14.18E.ODP[02]: automated mechanisms> when <A.03.14.18E.ODP[03]:</p> 2731 activities> indicate inappropriate or unusual activities with security implications. 2732 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2733 Examine 2734 [SELECT FROM: System and information integrity policy; system and information 2735 integrity procedures; system security plan; list of inappropriate or unusual activities 2736 with security implications that trigger alerts; suspicious activity reports; system 2737 monitoring tools and techniques documentation; system design documentation; procedures addressing system monitoring tools and techniques; alerts provided to 2738 security personnel; system configuration settings and associated documentation; 2739 2740 system monitoring logs or records; system audit records; other relevant documents 2741 or records]. Interview 2742 2743 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security responsibilities; system 2744 developers; personnel installing, configuring, and/or maintaining the system; 2745 personnel responsible for monitoring the system; personnel responsible for the 2746 intrusion detection system; system/network administrators]. 2747 Test 2748 [SELECT FROM: Processes for intrusion detection and system monitoring; automated 2749 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing intrusion detection and system 2750 monitoring capabilities; automated mechanisms supporting and/or implementing 2751 automated alerts to security personnel]. 2752 **REFERENCES** 2753 Source Assessment Procedure: SI-04(12)

3.15. Planning

2754

2755 **03.15.01E Security Architecture** 2756 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2757 Determine if: 2758 A.03.15.01E.ODP[01]: the frequency for reviewing and updating the security 2759 architecture to reflect changes in the enterprise architecture is defined. 2760 **A.03.15.01E.a.01:** a security architecture for the system that describes the 2761 requirements and approach to be taken for protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of CUI is developed. 2762 2763 A.03.15.01E.a.02: a security architecture for the system that describes how the 2764 security architecture is integrated into and supports the enterprise architecture is 2765 developed. 2766 **A.03.15.01E.a.03:** a security architecture for the system that describes any 2767 assumptions about and dependencies on external systems and services is developed. 2768 2769 A.03.15.01E.b: the security architecture is reviewed and updated 2770 <a.03.15.01E.ODP[01]: frequency> to reflect changes in the enterprise architecture. 2771 A.03.15.01E.c: planned security architecture changes are reflected in system security plans, concept of operations, criticality analyses, organizational procedures, 2772 2773 procurements, and acquisitions. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2774 2775 Examine [SELECT FROM: Security planning policy; procedures addressing information security 2776 architecture development; procedures addressing information security architecture 2777 2778 reviews and updates; enterprise architecture documentation; information security architecture documentation; system security plan; security CONOPS for the system; 2779 records of information security architecture reviews and updates; other relevant 2780 documents or records]. 2781 2782 Interview 2783 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with security planning and plan implementation 2784 responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with information security architecture development responsibilities]. 2785 2786 Test 2787 [SELECT FROM: Mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the development, 2788 review, and update of the information security architecture; processes for 2789 developing, reviewing, and updating the information security architecture].

2790 REFERENCES 2791 Source Assessment Procedures: PL-08 03.15.02E Defense In Depth 2792 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2793 2794 Determine if: 2795 A.03.15.02E.ODP[01]: security requirements to be allocated to architectural layers 2796 and locations are defined. A.03.15.02E.ODP[02]: architectural layers and locations are defined. 2797 A.03.15.02E.a: the security architecture for the system is designed using a defense-2798 2799 in-depth approach. A.03.15.02E.b: <A.03.15.02E.ODP[01]: security requirements> are allocated to 2800 <A.03.15.02E.ODP[02]: architectural layers and locations>. 2801 2802 A.03.15.02E.c: the security requirements allocated to the architectural layers and 2803 locations are coordinated and mutually reinforcing. 2804 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2805 **Examine** 2806 [SELECT FROM: Security planning policy; procedures addressing information security 2807 architecture development; enterprise architecture documentation; information 2808 security architecture documentation; system security plan; security CONOPS for the 2809 system; other relevant documents or records]. 2810 Interview 2811 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with 2812 information security architecture development responsibilities; personnel with security planning and plan implementation responsibilities]. 2813 2814 Test 2815 [SELECT FROM: Processes for designing the information security architecture; 2816 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing the design of the information security 2817 architecture]. **REFERENCES** 2818 2819 Source Assessment Procedures: PL-08(01)

2820 03.15.03E Supplier Diversity 2821 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2822 Determine if: 2823 A.03.15.03E.ODP[01]: safequards to be allocated to architectural layers and 2824 locations are defined. 2825 A.03.15.03E.ODP[02]: architectural layers and locations are defined. 2826 **A.03.15.03E**: <**A.03.15.03E**.**ODP[01]**: **safeguards**> that are allocated to 2827 <A.03.15.03E.ODP[02]: architectural layers and locations> are obtained from 2828 different suppliers. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2829 2830 **Examine** [SELECT FROM: Security planning policy; procedures addressing information security 2831 architecture development; enterprise architecture documentation; information 2832 2833 security architecture documentation; system security plan; security CONOPS for the 2834 system; IT acquisitions policy; other relevant documents or records]. 2835 Interview 2836 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with acquisition responsibilities personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with security planning and plan 2837 2838 implementation responsibilities; personnel with information security architecture 2839 development responsibilities]. 2840 Test 2841 [SELECT FROM: Processes for obtaining information security safeguards from 2842 different suppliers]. 2843 REFERENCES 2844 Source Assessment Procedures: PL-08(02) 2845 3.16. System and Services Acquisition 2846 03.16.01E Specialization 2847 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2848 Determine if: 2849 A.03.16.01E.ODP[01]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 2850 selected: {design; modification; augmentation; reconfiguration}.

2851 A.03.16.01E.ODP[02]: systems or system components supporting mission-essential 2852 services or functions are defined. 2853 A.03.16.01E: <A.03.16.01E.ODP[01]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)> is/are 2854 employed to < A.03.16.01E.ODP[02]: systems or system components > supporting 2855 mission-essential services or functions to increase the trustworthiness in those 2856 systems or components. POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2857 2858 Examine 2859 [SELECT FROM: System and services acquisition policy; procedures addressing design 2860 modification, augmentation, or reconfiguration of systems or system components; 2861 documented evidence of design modification, augmentation, or reconfiguration; system security plan; supply chain risk management plan; other relevant documents 2862 2863 or records]. Interview 2864 2865 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system and service acquisition responsibilities; personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with security 2866 2867 architecture responsibilities; personnel with configuration management 2868 responsibilities]. 2869 Test 2870 [SELECT FROM: Processes for the modification, design, augmentation, or 2871 reconfiguration of systems or system components; mechanisms supporting and/or 2872 implementing design modification, augmentation, or reconfiguration of systems or 2873 system components]. 2874 REFERENCES 2875 Source Assessment Procedure: <u>SA-23</u> 2876 3.17. Supply Chain Risk Management 2877 **03.17.01E** Notification Agreements 2878 ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE 2879 Determine if: 2880 A.03.17.01E.ODP[01]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 2881 selected: {notification of supply chain compromises; results of assessments or 2882 audits; provision of <A.03.17.01E.ODP[02]: information>}.

2883 A.03.17.01E.ODP[02]: information for which agreements and procedures are to be 2884 established is defined (if selected). A.03.17.01E: agreements and procedures are established with entities involved in 2885 2886 the supply chain for the system, system components, or system service for <A.03.17.01E.ODP[01]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. 2887 2888 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2889 **Examine** 2890 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy and procedures; supply chain 2891 risk management plan; system and services acquisition policy; acquisition contracts 2892 for the system, system component, or system service; procedures addressing supply 2893 chain protection; acquisition documentation; service-level agreements; system security plan; inter-organizational agreements and procedures; other relevant 2894 documents or records]. 2895 Interview 2896 2897 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system and service acquisition responsibilities; 2898 personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with supply chain risk 2899 management responsibilities]. 2900 Test 2901 [SELECT FROM: Processes for establishing inter-organizational agreements and 2902 procedures with supply chain entities]. 2903 REFERENCES 2904 Source Assessment Procedure: SR-08 03.17.02E Inspection of Systems or Components 2905 2906 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2907 Determine if: 2908 A.03.17.02E.ODP[01]: systems or system components that require inspection are 2909 defined. 2910 A.03.17.02E.ODP[02]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 2911 selected: {at random; <A.03.17.02E.ODP[03]: frequency>; upon 2912 <A.03.17.02E.ODP[04]: indications of the need for inspection>}. A.03.17.02E.ODP[03]: the frequency at which to inspect systems or system 2913 2914 components is defined (if selected). 2915 A.03.17.02E.ODP[04]: indications of the need for an inspection of systems or system components are defined (if selected). 2916

2917 A.03.17.02E: <A.03.17.02E.ODP[01]: systems or system components> are inspected 2918 < A.03.17.02E.ODP[02]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S) > to detect tampering. 2919 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2920 Examine 2921 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy and procedures; supply chain 2922 risk management plan; system and services acquisition policy; records of random 2923 inspections; inspection reports or results; assessment reports or results; acquisition 2924 documentation; acquisition contracts for the system, system component, or system 2925 service; inter-organizational agreements and procedures; system security plan; 2926 service-level agreements; other relevant documents or records]. 2927 Interview 2928 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system and services acquisition responsibilities; 2929 personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with supply chain risk 2930 management responsibilities]. 2931 Test 2932 [SELECT FROM: Processes for establishing inter-organizational agreements and procedures with supply chain entities; processes to inspect for tampering]. 2933 **REFERENCES** 2934 2935 Source Assessment Procedure: SR-10 2936 03.17.03E Component Authenticity 2937 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2938 Determine if: 2939 A.03.17.03E.ODP[01]: one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are 2940 selected: {source of counterfeit component; <A.03.17.03E.ODP[02]: external reporting organizations>; <A.03.17.03E.ODP[03]: personnel or roles>}. 2941 2942 A.03.17.03E.ODP[02]: external reporting organizations to whom counterfeit 2943 system components are to be reported are defined (if selected). 2944 A.03.17.03E.ODP[03]: personnel or roles to whom counterfeit system components 2945 are to be reported are defined (if selected). 2946 A.03.17.03E.a[01]: an anti-counterfeit policy is developed and implemented. 2947 A.03.17.03E.a[02]: anti-counterfeit procedures are developed and implemented. 2948 A.03.17.03E.a[03]: the anti-counterfeit policy and procedures include the means to 2949 detect counterfeit components entering the system.

2950 A.03.17.03E.a[04]: the anti-counterfeit policy and procedures include the means to 2951 prevent counterfeit components from entering the system. 2952 A.03.17.03E.b: counterfeit system components are reported to 2953 <a.03.17.03E.ODP[01]: SELECTED PARAMETER VALUE(S)>. 2954 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2955 Examine 2956 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy and procedures; supply chain 2957 risk management plan; system and services acquisition policy; anti-counterfeit plan; 2958 anti-counterfeit policy and procedures; media disposal policy; media protection 2959 policy; incident response policy; reports notifying developers, manufacturers, 2960 vendors, contractors, and/or external reporting organizations of counterfeit system 2961 components; acquisition documentation; service-level agreements; acquisition 2962 contracts for the system, system component, or system service; inter-organizational 2963 agreements and procedures; records of reported counterfeit system components; 2964 system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 2965 Interview 2966 [SELECT FROM: Personnel with system and service acquisition responsibilities; 2967 personnel with information security responsibilities; personnel with supply chain risk 2968 management responsibilities; personnel with responsibilities for anti-counterfeit 2969 policies, procedures, and reporting]. 2970 Test 2971 [SELECT FROM: Processes for counterfeit prevention, detection, and reporting; 2972 mechanisms supporting and/or implementing anti-counterfeit detection, 2973 prevention, and reporting]. 2974 REFERENCES 2975 Source Assessment Procedure: SR-11 2976 03.17.04E Provenance 2977 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 2978 Determine if: 2979 A.03.17.04E.ODP[01]: systems, system components, and associated CUI that 2980 require valid provenance are defined. A.03.17.04E[01]: valid provenance is documented for <A.03.17.04E.ODP[01]: 2981 2982 systems, system components, and associated CUI>. 2983 A.03.17.04E[02]: valid provenance is monitored for <A.03.17.04E.ODP[01]: systems, 2984 system components, and associated CUI>.

2985 **A.03.17.04E[03]**: valid provenance is maintained for **<A.03.17.04E.ODP[01]**: 2986 systems, system components, and associated CUI>. 2987 2988 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 2989 **Examine** 2990 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy; supply chain risk management 2991 procedures; supply chain risk management plan; documentation of critical systems, 2992 critical system components, and associated data; documentation showing the 2993 history of ownership, custody, and location of and changes to critical systems or 2994 critical system components; system architecture; inter-organizational agreements 2995 and procedures; contracts; system security plan; other relevant documents or 2996 records1. 2997 Interview 2998 [SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with acquisition responsibilities; 2999 organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; organizational 3000 personnel with supply chain risk management responsibilities]. 3001 Test 3002 [SELECT FROM: Organizational processes for identifying the provenance of critical 3003 systems and critical system components; mechanisms used to document, monitor, 3004 or maintain provenance]. 3005 REFERENCES 3006 Source Assessment Procedure: SR-04 3007 03.17.05E Supply Chain Integrity – Pedigree 3008 **ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE** 3009 Determine if: 3010 A.03.17.05E.ODP[01]: safeguards employed to ensure the integrity of the system 3011 and system component are defined. 3012 A.03.17.05E.ODP[02]: an analysis method to be conducted to validate the internal 3013 composition and provenance of critical or mission-essential technologies, products, and services to ensure the integrity of the system and system component is 3014 3015 defined. A.03.17.05E[01]: < A.03.17.05E.ODP[01]: safeguards > are employed to ensure the 3016 3017 integrity of the system and system components. 3018 A.03.17.05E[02]: <A.03.17.05E.ODP[02]: analysis method> is conducted to ensure 3019 the integrity of the system and system components.

3020 POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS 3021 **Examine** 3022 [SELECT FROM: Supply chain risk management policy and procedures; supply chain 3023 risk management plan; system and services acquisition policy; procedures 3024 addressing supply chain protection; bill of materials for critical systems or system 3025 components; acquisition documentation; software identification tags; manufacturer 3026 declarations of platform attributes (e.g., serial numbers, hardware component 3027 inventory) and measurements (e.g., firmware hashes) that are tightly bound to the 3028 hardware itself; system security plan; other relevant documents or records]. 3029 Interview 3030 [[SELECT FROM: Organizational personnel with system and services acquisition 3031 responsibilities; organizational personnel with information security responsibilities; 3032 organizational personnel with supply chain risk management responsibilities]. 3033 Test [SELECT FROM: Organizational processes for identifying pedigree information; 3034 3035 organizational processes to determine and validate the integrity of the internal 3036 composition of critical systems and critical system components; mechanisms to 3037 determine and validate the integrity of the internal composition of critical systems 3038 and critical system components]. 3039 **REFERENCES** 3040 Source Assessment Procedure: SR-04(04)

3041 References

- 3042 [1] Federal Information Security Modernization Act (P.L. 113-283), December 2014. Available at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/PLAW-113publ283
- 3044 [2] Office of Management and Budget Memorandum Circular A-130, Managing Information as 3045 a Strategic Resource, July 2016. Available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/legacy_drupal_files/omb/circulars/A130/a130revised.pdf
- 3047 [3] Ross RS, Pillitteri VY (2024) Enhanced Security Requirements for Protecting Controlled
 3048 Unclassified Information. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg,
 3049 MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-172r3 ipd.
 3050 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-172r3.ipd
- Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative (2011) Managing Information Security Risk:
 Organization, Mission, and Information System View. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-39.

 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-39
- Joint Task Force (2022) Assessing Security and Privacy Controls in Information Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) NIST SP 800-53Ar5. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53Ar5
- International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission
 15408-3:2017, Information technology Security techniques Evaluation criteria for IT
 security Part 3: Security assurance requirements, April 2017. Available at
 https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/files/ccfiles/CCPART3V3.1R5.pdf
- 3062 [7] National Institute of Standards and Technology (2019) Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) NIST FIPS 140-3.

 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.140-3
- 3066 [8] Committee on National Security Systems (2022) Committee on National Security Systems (CNSS) Glossary. (National Security Agency, Fort George G. Meade, MD), CNSS Instruction 4009. Available at https://www.cnss.gov/CNSS/issuances/Instructions.cfm
- 3069 [9] Executive Order 13556 (2010) Controlled Unclassified Information. (The White House, 2340 Washington, DC), DCPD-201000942, November 4, 2010. Available at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/DCPD-201000942

NIST SP 800-172Ar3 ipd (Initial Public Draft) September 2025

2072	Annual dia A. Annual
3072	Appendix A. Acronyms
3073 3074	CNSS Committee on National Security Systems
3075 3076	CUI Controlled Unclassified Information
3077 3078	FIPS Federal Information Processing Standards
3079 3080	FISMA Federal Information Security Modernization Act
3081 3082	FOIA Freedom of Information Act
3083 3084	ITL Information Technology Laboratory
3085 3086	GRC Governance, Risk, and Compliance
3087 3088	NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
3089 3090	ODP Organization-Defined Parameter
3091 3092	OMB Office of Management and Budget
3093 3094	OSCAL Open Security Controls Assessment Language

3095	Appendix B. Glossary
3096 3097 3098	Appendix B provides definitions for the terminology used in SP 800-172A. The definitions are consistent with the definitions contained in the Committee on National Security Systems (CNSS) Glossary [8] unless otherwise noted.
3099 3100 3101 3102	agency Any executive agency or department, military department, Federal Government corporation, Federal Government-controlled corporation, or other establishment in the Executive Branch of the Federal Government, or any independent regulatory agency. [2]
3103 3104	assessment See security control assessment.
3105 3106	assessor See <i>security control assessor</i> .
3107 3108 3109 3110 3111	controlled unclassified information Information that law, regulation, or governmentwide policy requires to have safeguarding or disseminating controls, excluding information that is classified under Executive Order 13526, Classified National Security Information, December 29, 2009, or any predecessor or successor order, or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. [9]
3112 3113 3114	information Any communication or representation of knowledge such as facts, data, or opinions in any medium or form, including textual, numerical, graphic, cartographic, narrative, electronic, or audiovisual forms. [2]
3115 3116 3117	information system A discrete set of information resources organized for the collection, processing, maintenance, use, sharing, dissemination, or disposition of information. [2]
3118 3119	nonfederal organization An entity that owns, operates, or maintains a nonfederal system.
3120 3121	nonfederal system A system that does not meet the criteria for a federal system.
3122 3123 3124 3125	risk A measure of the extent to which an entity is threatened by a potential circumstance or event, and typically is a function of: (i) the adverse impact, or magnitude of harm, that would arise if the circumstance or event occurs; and (ii) the likelihood of occurrence. [2]
3126 3127 3128 3129 3130	security A condition that results from the establishment and maintenance of protective measures that enable an organization to perform its mission or critical functions despite risks posed by threats to its use of systems. Protective measures may involve a combination of deterrence, avoidance, prevention, detection, recovery, and correction that should form part of the organization's risk management approach. [8]
3131 3132	security assessment See security control assessment.
3133 3134 3135	security control The safeguards or countermeasures prescribed for an information system or an organization to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the system and its information. [2]

3136	security control assessment
3137	The testing or evaluation of security controls to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented
3138	correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security
3139	requirements for an information system or organization. [2]
3140	system
3141	See information system.
3142	system security plan
3143	A document that describes how an organization meets or plans to meet the security requirements for a system. In
3144	particular, the system security plan describes the system boundary, the environment in which the system
3145	operates, how the security requirements are satisfied, and the relationships with or connections to other systems.

3147

3148

3146 Appendix C. Summary of Enhanced Security Requirements

Table 2 provides a consolidated list of the enhanced security requirements in SP 800-172 [3].

Table 2. Enhanced security requirements

REQUIREMENT NUMBER	ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		
	Access Control		
03.01.01E	Dual Authorization		
03.01.02E	Non-Organizationally Owned Systems Restricted Use		
03.01.03E	Withdrawn		
03.01.04E	Concurrent Session Control		
03.01.05E	Remote Access Monitoring and Control		
03.01.06E	Protection of Remote Access Mechanism Information		
03.01.07E	Automated Audit Actions for Account Management		
03.01.08E	Account Monitoring for Atypical Usage		
03.01.09E	Attribute-Based Access Control		
03.01.10E	Object Security Attributes		
03.01.11E	Role-Based Access Control		
03.01.12E	Physical or Logical Separation of CUI Flows		
03.01.13E	Metadata		
03.01.14E	Security Policy Filters		
03.01.15E	Data Type Identifiers		
03.01.16E	Decomposition Into Policy-Relevant Subcomponents		
03.01.17E	Detection of Unsanctioned Information		
	Awareness and Training		
03.02.01E	Advanced Literacy and Awareness Training		
03.02.02E	Literacy and Awareness Training Practical Exercises		
03.02.03E	Literacy and Awareness Training Feedback		
03.02.04E	Anti-Counterfeit Training		
	Audit and Accountability		
03.03.01E	Protection of Audit Record Storage in Separate Physical Systems or Components		
03.03.02E	Real-Time Alerts for Audit Processing Failures		
03.03.03E	Dual Authorization for Audit Information and Actions		
03.03.04E	Integrated Analysis of Audit Records		
	Configuration Management		
03.04.01E	Withdrawn		
03.04.02E	Automated Unauthorized Component Detection		
03.04.03E	Automation Maintenance for System Component Inventory		
03.04.04E	Automation Support for Baseline Configuration		
03.04.05E	Dual Authorization for System Changes		
03.04.06E	Retention of Previous Configurations		
03.04.07E	Testing, Validation, and Documentation of Changes		
03.04.08E	Centralized Repository		

REQUIREMENT NUMBER	ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		
	Identification and Authentication		
03.05.01E	Cryptographic Bidirectional Authentication		
03.05.02E	Password Managers		
03.05.03E	Device Attestation		
03.05.04E	No Embedded Unencrypted Static Authenticators		
03.05.05E	Expiration of Cached Authenticators		
03.05.06E	Identity Proofing		
03.05.07E	Identity Providers and Authentication Servers		
	Incident Response		
03.06.01E	Security Operations Center		
03.06.02E	Integrated Incident Response Team		
03.06.03E	Behavior Analysis		
03.06.04E	Automated Tracking, Data Collection, and Analysis for Incident Reporting		
	Maintenance		
03.07.01E	Software Updates and Patches for Maintenance Tools		
	Media Protection		
03.08.01E	Dual Authorization for Media Sanitization		
03.08.02E	Dual Authorization for System Backup Deletion and Destruction		
03.08.03E	Testing System Backups for Reliability and Integrity		
03.08.04E	System Recovery and Reconstitution		
	Personnel Security		
03.09.01E	Withdrawn		
03.09.02E	Withdrawn		
03.09.03E	Access Agreements		
03.09.04E	Citizenship Requirements		
	Physical Protection		
03.10.01E	Intrusion Alarms and Surveillance Equipment		
03.10.02E	Delivery and Removal of System Components		
	Risk Assessment		
03.11.01E	Threat Awareness Program		
03.11.02E	Threat Hunting		
03.11.03E	Predictive Cyber Analytics		
03.11.04E	Withdrawn		
03.11.05E	Withdrawn		
03.11.06E	Withdrawn		
03.11.07E	Withdrawn		
03.11.08E	Dynamic Threat Awareness		
03.11.09E	Indicators of Compromise		
03.11.10E	Criticality Analysis		
03.11.11E	Discoverable Information		
03.11.12E	Automated Means for Sharing Threat Intelligence		

REQUIREMENT NUMBER	ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT			
	Security Assessment and Monitoring			
03.12.01E	Penetration Testing			
03.12.02E	Independent Assessors			
03.12.03E	Risk Monitoring			
03.12.04E	Internal System Connections			
	System and Communications Protection			
03.13.01E	Heterogeneity			
03.13.02E	Randomness			
03.13.03E	Concealment and Misdirection			
03.13.04E	Isolation of System Components			
03.13.05E	Change Processing and Storage Locations			
03.13.06E	Platform-Independent Applications			
03.13.07E	Virtualization Techniques			
03.13.08E	Decoys			
03.13.09E	Isolation of Security Tool, Mechanism, and Support Components Isolation			
03.13.10E	Separate Subnetworks			
03.13.11E	Thin Nodes			
03.13.12E	Denial-of-Service Protection			
03.13.13E	Port and Input/Output Device Access			
03.13.14E	Detonation Chambers			
03.14.15E	Separate Subnets to Isolate System Components and Functions			
03.14.16E	System Partitioning			
	System and Information Integrity			
03.14.01E	Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity			
03.14.02E	Withdrawn			
03.14.03E	Withdrawn			
03.14.04E	Refresh from Trusted Sources			
03.14.05E	Non-Persistent Information			
03.14.06E	Withdrawn			
03.14.07E	Withdrawn			
03.14.08E	Integrity Checks			
03.14.09E	Cryptographic Protection			
03.14.10E	Protection of Boot Firmware			
03.14.11E	Integration of Detection and Response			
03.14.12E	Information Input Validation			
03.14.13E	Error Handling			
03.14.14E	Memory Protection			
03.14.15E	Non-Persistent System Components and Services			
03.14.16E	Tainting			
03.14.17E	System-Generated Alerts			
03.14.18E	Automated Organization-Generated Alerts			
	Planning			

REQUIREMENT NUMBER	ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		
03.15.01E	Security Architecture		
03.15.02E	Defense In Depth		
03.15.03E	Supplier Diversity		
System and Services Acquisition			
03.16.01E	Specialization		
Supply Chain Risk Management			
03.17.01E	Notification Agreements		
03.17.02E	Inspection of Systems or Components		
03.17.03E	Component Authenticity		
03.17.04E	Provenance		
03.17.05E	Supply Chain Integrity – Pedigree		

3150	Appendix D. Security Requirement Assessments
3151 3152 3153	This appendix provides an overview of the process for assessing the security requirements in SP 800-172 [3]. The four-phase process is based on the methodology in SP 800-53A $[5]^5$ and includes:
3154	1. Preparing for assessments
3155	2. Developing assessment plans
3156	3. Conducting assessments
3157	4. Analyzing, documenting, and reporting assessment results
3158	D.1. Preparing for Assessments
3159 3160 3161 3162	Thorough preparation by the organization and assessors is an important aspect of conducting an effective assessment. Preparatory activities address a range of issues related to the cost, schedule, and conduct of the assessment. From an organizational perspective, preparing for an assessment includes the following activities:
3163 3164	 Ensuring that appropriate policies that cover the assessment are in place and understood by affected organizational elements
3165 3166	 Establishing the objective and scope of the assessment (i.e., the purpose of the assessment and what is being assessed)
3167 3168	 Notifying appropriate organizational officials of the impending assessment and allocating the necessary resources to carry out the assessment
3169 3170	• Establishing appropriate communication channels among organizational officials with an interest in the assessment
3171 3172	 Establishing the time frame for completing the assessment and the key milestone decision points required by the organization
3173 3174	 Identifying and selecting the assessors who will be responsible for conducting the assessment and considering issues of assessor independence
3175 3176 3177	 Providing artifacts to the assessors (e.g., policies, procedures, plans, specifications, designs, records, administrator/operator manuals, information exchange agreements, system documentation, previous assessment results, legal requirements)
3178 3179 3180	 Establishing a mechanism between the organization and the assessors to minimize ambiguities or misunderstandings about the security requirements, implementation issues, and deficiencies identified during the assessment

 $^{^{\}rm 5}$ For additional detail and guidance, see SP 800-53A [5], Section 3.

3182 3183

3184

3185

3186

3187

3188

3189

3190

3191

3194

3195

3196

3197

3198

3199

3200

3201

- 3181 Assessors begin preparing for the assessment by:
 - Developing a general understanding of the organization's operations and how the scope of the assessment supports those organizational operations
 - Understanding the structure of the system (i.e., the system architecture) and the security requirements being assessed
 - Meeting with organizational officials to ensure that there is a common understanding of the assessment objectives and the proposed rigor and scope of the assessment
 - Obtaining the artifacts needed for the assessment (e.g., policies, procedures, plans, specifications, administrator/operator manuals, system documentation, information exchange agreements, designs, records, previous assessment results⁶)
 - Establishing organizational points of contact to carry out the assessment

Table 3 provides a summary of the purpose and expected outcomes of the *assessment* preparation phase.

Table 3. Summary of assessment preparation phase

PURPOSE	Address a range of issues pertaining to the cost, schedule, scope, and conduct of the assessment.	
OUTCOMES	 The objective, scope, and time frame of the assessment are determined. Key organizational stakeholders are notified, and the necessary resources are allocated. Assessors are identified and selected. Artifacts are collected and provided to assessors. Mechanisms to minimize ambiguities and misunderstandings about the security requirements, implementation issues, and weaknesses/deficiencies identified during the assessment are established. The organization's operations, structure, objective, scope, and time frame of assessment are understood by assessors. 	

D.2. Developing Assessment Plans

The assessment plan establishes the objectives for the security requirement assessment and a detailed roadmap of how to conduct the assessment based on the system security plan. The following steps are considered by assessors when developing an assessment plan:

- Determine which security requirements are to be included in the assessment based on the contents of the system security plan and the purpose and scope of the assessment.
- Select the appropriate assessment procedures.

⁶ Previous assessment results that may be reused for the current assessment include Inspector General reports, audits, vulnerability scans, physical security inspections, developmental testing and evaluation, vendor flaw remediation activities, and ISO 15408 [6] evaluations.

- Tailor the selected assessment procedures (i.e., select appropriate POTENTIAL
 ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS, and assign depth and coverage attribute values).⁷
 - Optimize the assessment procedures to reduce the duplication of effort (e.g., sequence and consolidate assessment procedures) and provide a cost-effective assessment solution.
 - Finalize the assessment plan, and obtain the necessary approvals to execute the plan.

Table 4 provides a summary of the purpose and expected outcomes of the assessment plan development phase.

Table 4. Summary of assessment plan development phase

PURPOSE	Establish the objectives for the security requirement assessment and a detailed roadmap of how to conduct the assessment based on the system security plan.	
OUTCOMES	 Security requirements to be included in the assessment are determined. Assessment procedures are selected and tailored. Assessment procedures are optimized to reduce the duplication of effort. The assessment plan is finalized, and organizational approvals are obtained. 	

D.3. Conducting Assessments

After the assessment plan is approved by the organization, the assessors execute the plan in accordance with the agreed-upon schedule. Assessment objectives are achieved by applying the designated assessment methods to selected assessment objects and compiling or producing the evidence necessary to make the determination associated with each assessment objective. Each determination statement contained within an assessment procedure executed by an assessor produces one of the following findings:

- Satisfied
- 3220 or

3205

3206

3207

3208

3209 3210

3211

3212

3213 3214

3215 3216

3217

3218

3219

Other than satisfied

A finding of *satisfied* indicates that the assessment objective for the security requirement (or subset of the requirement) addressed by the determination statement has been met and produced an acceptable result. A finding of *other than satisfied* indicates that the assessment objective for the requirement has not been met and has produced an unacceptable result. A

⁷ In addition to selecting POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS AND OBJECTS, each assessment method (i.e., examine, interview, and test) is associated with depth and coverage attributes. The attribute values identify the rigor (depth) and scope (coverage) of the assessment procedures executed by the assessor. The depth and coverage attribute values are associated with the assurance requirements specified by the organization. SP 800-53A [5], Appendix D provides additional guidance on depth and coverage attributes.

finding of *other than satisfied* may also indicate that the assessor was unable to obtain sufficient information to make the determination called for in the determination statement.

Table 5 provides a summary of the purpose and expected outcomes of the *assessment* execution phase.

Table 5. Summary of assessment execution phase

PURPOSE	Conduct the assessment in accordance with the assessment plan, and document the results in an assessment report.	
OUTCOMES	 Security requirements are assessed in accordance with the assessment plan. An assessment report that documents whether the security requirements have been satisfied is produced. 	

D.4. Analyzing, Documenting, and Reporting Assessment Results

The assessment report includes information from assessors in the form of findings that are necessary to determine whether the requirements in SP 800-172 [3] have been satisfied. The report conveys the results of the assessment to designated organizational officials. The report can also provide recommendations for correcting any deficiencies discovered during the assessment. Depending on the organization's objective for the assessment, the assessment results can trigger a variety of risk response actions, including risk acceptance, risk mitigation, risk rejection, risk transfer, or risk sharing. The assessment results can also influence changes to the system security plan and plan of action and milestones.

Table 6 provides a summary of the purpose and expected outcomes of the assessment analysis, documentation, and reporting phase.

Table 6. Summary of assessment analysis, documentation, and reporting phase

PURPOSE	Analyze the risks that result from the weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the assessment, and determine an approach to respond to those risks in accordance with organizational priorities.	
OUTCOMES	 Assessment findings are reviewed and analyzed. Subsequent risk responses are initiated to manage risks. The system security plan and plan of action and milestones are updated to reflect the results of the assessment and any subsequent risk response actions. 	

⁸ SP 800-53A [5], Appendix E provides additional guidance on security assessment reports.

3244

3245 3246

3247

3248

3249

Appendix E. Organization-Defined Parameters

Table 7 lists the ODPs that are included in the assessment procedures in Sec. 3. The ODPs are listed sequentially by requirement family, beginning with the first requirement containing an ODP in the Access Control (AC) family and ending with the last requirement containing an ODP in the Supply Chain Risk Management (SR) family.

Table 7. Organization-defined parameters

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.01.01E</u>	A.03.01.01E.ODP[01]	privileged commands and/or other actions requiring dual authorization are defined.
<u>03.01.02E</u>	A.03.01.02E.ODP[01]	restrictions on the use of non-organizationally owned systems or system components to process, store, or transmit CUI are defined.
<u>03.01.04E</u>	A.03.01.04E.ODP[01]	accounts and/or account types for which to limit the number of concurrent sessions is defined.
<u>03.01.04E</u>	A.03.01.04E.ODP[02]	the number of concurrent sessions to be allowed for each account and/or account type is defined.
03.01.08E	A.03.01.08E.ODP[01]	atypical usage for which to monitor system accounts is defined.
03.01.08E	A.03.01.08E.ODP[02]	personnel or roles to report atypical usage are defined.
03.01.09E	A.03.01.09E.ODP[01]	attributes to assume access permissions are defined.
<u>03.01.10E</u>	A.03.01.10E.ODP[01]	security attributes to be associated with information, source, and destination objects are defined.
03.01.10E	A.03.01.10E.ODP[02]	information objects to be associated with information security attributes are defined.
<u>03.01.10E</u>	A.03.01.10E.ODP[03]	source objects to be associated with information security attributes are defined.
<u>03.01.10E</u>	A.03.01.10E.ODP[04]	destination objects to be associated with information security attributes are defined.
03.01.10E	A.03.01.10E.ODP[05]	information flow control policies as a basis for the enforcement of flow control decisions are defined.
03.01.11E	A.03.01.11E.ODP[01]	roles and users authorized to assume such roles are defined.
03.01.12E	A.03.01.12E.ODP[01]	mechanisms and/or techniques to separate CUI flows are defined.
<u>03.01.13E</u>	A.03.01.13E.ODP[01]	metadata that requires flow control is defined.
03.01.14E	A.03.01.14E.ODP[01]	security policy filers are defined.
03.01.14E	A.03.01.14E.ODP[02]	information flows are defined.
<u>03.01.14E</u>	A.03.01.14E.ODP[03]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {Block; Strip; Modify; Quarantine} in response to a filter processing failure.
<u>03.01.14E</u>	A.03.01.14E.ODP[04]	security policy addressing a filter processing failure is defined.
<u>03.01.15E</u>	A.03.01.15E.ODP[01]	data type identifiers are defined.
<u>03.01.16E</u>	A.03.01.16E.ODP[01]	policy-relevant subcomponents into which to decompose information for submission to policy enforcement mechanisms are defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.01.17E</u>	A.03.01.17E.ODP[01]	unsanctioned information to be detected is defined.
<u>03.01.17E</u>	A.03.01.17E.ODP[02]	a security policy that prohibits the transfer of such information is defined.
<u>03.02.01E</u>	A.03.02.01E.ODP[01]	indicators of malicious code are defined.
<u>03.02.01E</u>	A.03.02.01E.ODP[02]	the frequency at which to update security literacy training content is defined.
<u>03.02.01E</u>	A.03.02.01E.ODP[03]	events which cause security literacy training content to be updated are defined.
<u>03.02.03E</u>	A.03.02.03E.ODP[01]	personnel to whom feedback on organizational training results will be provided are assigned.
<u>03.02.04E</u>	A.03.02.04E.ODP[01]	personnel or roles requiring training to detect counterfeit system components are defined.
<u>03.03.02E</u>	A.03.03.02E.ODP[01]	real-time period requiring alerts when audit failure events (defined in A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]) occur is defined.
<u>03.03.02E</u>	A.03.03.02E.ODP[02]	personnel, roles, and/or locations to be alerted in real time when audit failure events (defined in A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]) occur are defined.
<u>03.03.02E</u>	A.03.03.02E.ODP[03]	audit logging failure events requiring real-time alerts are defined.
<u>03.03.03E</u>	A.03.03.03E.ODP[01]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {movement; deletion}.
<u>03.03.03E</u>	A.03.03.03E.ODP[02]	audit information for which dual authorization is to be enforced is defined.
<u>03.03.04E</u>	A.03.03.04E.ODP[01]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {vulnerability scanning information; performance data; system monitoring information; <a.03.03.04e.odp[02] collected="" data="" from="" information="" other="" sources="">}.</a.03.03.04e.odp[02]>
<u>03.03.04E</u>	A.03.03.04E.ODP[02]	data or information collected from other sources to be analyzed is defined (if selected).
03.04.02E	A.03.04.02E.ODP[01]	automated mechanisms used to detect the presence of unauthorized or misconfigured system components are defined.
<u>03.04.02E</u>	A.03.04.02E.ODP[02]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {disable network access by unauthorized or misconfigured system components; isolate unauthorized or misconfigured system components; notify <a.03.04.02e.odp[03] or="" personnel="" roles="">.</a.03.04.02e.odp[03]>
<u>03.04.02E</u>	A.03.04.02E.ODP[03]	personnel or roles to be notified when unauthorized or misconfigured system components are detected are defined (if selected).
<u>03.04.03E</u>	A.03.04.03E.ODP[01]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the currency of the system component inventory are defined.
<u>03.04.03E</u>	A.03.04.03E.ODP[02]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the completeness of the system component inventory are defined.
<u>03.04.03E</u>	A.03.04.03E.ODP[03]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the accuracy of the system component inventory are defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.04.03E</u>	A.03.04.03E.ODP[04]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the availability of the system component inventory are defined.
<u>03.04.04E</u>	A.03.04.04E.ODP[01]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the currency of the baseline configuration of the system are defined.
<u>03.04.04E</u>	A.03.04.04E.ODP[02]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the completeness of the baseline configuration of the system are defined.
<u>03.04.04E</u>	A.03.04.04E.ODP[03]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the accuracy of the baseline configuration of the system are defined.
<u>03.04.04E</u>	A.03.04.04E.ODP[04]	automated mechanisms used to maintain the availability of the baseline configuration of the system are defined.
<u>03.04.05E</u>	A.03.04.05E.ODP[01]	system components requiring dual authorization for changes are defined.
<u>03.04.05E</u>	A.03.04.05E.ODP[02]	system-level information requiring dual authorization for changes is defined.
<u>03.04.06E</u>	A.03.04.06E.ODP[01]	the number of previous baseline configuration versions to be retained is defined.
<u>03.05.01E</u>	A.03.05.01E.ODP[01]	devices and/or types of devices requiring the use of cryptographically based bidirectional authentication to authenticate before establishing a system connection are defined.
<u>03.05.02E</u>	A.03.05.02E.ODP[01]	password managers employed for generating and managing passwords are defined.
<u>03.05.03E</u>	A.03.05.03E.ODP[01]	the configuration management process to be implemented to handle device identification and authentication based on attestation is defined.
<u>03.05.05E</u>	A.03.05.05E.ODP[01]	the time period after which the use of cached authenticators is prohibited is defined.
<u>03.05.07E</u>	A.03.05.07E.ODP[01]	an identification and authentication policy is defined.
<u>03.05.07E</u>	A.03.05.07E.ODP[02]	mechanisms supporting authentication and authorization decisions are defined.
<u>03.06.02E</u>	A.03.06.02E.ODP[01]	the time period within which an integrated incident response team can be deployed is defined.
<u>03.06.03E</u>	A.03.06.03E.ODP[01]	environments or resources that may contain or be related to anomalous or suspected adversarial behavior are defined.
<u>03.06.04E</u>	A.03.06.04E.ODP[01]	automated mechanisms used to track incidents are defined.
<u>03.06.04E</u>	A.03.06.04E.ODP[02]	automated mechanisms used to collect incident information are defined.
<u>03.06.04E</u>	A.03.06.04E.ODP[03]	automated mechanisms used to analyze incident information are defined.
<u>03.08.01E</u>	A.03.08.01E.ODP[01]	system media to be sanitized using dual authorization is defined.
<u>03.08.02E</u>	A.03.08.02E.ODP[01]	backup information for which to enforce dual authorization in order to delete or destroy is defined.
<u>03.08.03E</u>	A.03.08.03E.ODP[01]	the frequency at which to test backup information for media reliability is defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
03.08.03E	A.03.08.03E.ODP[02]	the frequency at which to test backup information for information integrity is defined.
03.08.04E	A.03.08.04E.ODP[01]	a time period consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives for the recovery of the system is determined.
<u>03.08.04E</u>	A.03.08.04E.ODP[02]	a time period consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives for the reconstitution of the system is determined.
03.09.03E	A.03.09.03E.ODP[01]	the frequency at which to review and update access agreements is defined.
03.09.03E	A.03.09.03E.ODP[02]	the frequency at which to re-sign access agreements to maintain access systems processing, storing, or transmitting CUI is defined.
03.09.04E	A.03.09.04E.ODP[01]	Citizenship requirements to be met by individuals to access a system processing, storing, or transmitting CUI are defined.
03.10.01E	A.03.10.01E.ODP[01]	the time period for which to maintain visitor access records for the facility in which the system resides is defined.
<u>03.10.02E</u>	A.03.10.02E.ODP[01]	the types of system components to be authorized and controlled when entering the facility are defined.
<u>03.10.02E</u>	A.03.10.02E.ODP[02]	the types of system components to be authorized and controlled when exiting the facility are defined.
<u>03.11.02E</u>	A.03.11.02E.ODP[01]	the frequency at which to implement the threat-hunting capability is defined.
<u>03.11.03E</u>	A.03.11.03E.ODP[01]	advanced automation capabilities to predict and identify risks are defined.
<u>03.11.03E</u>	A.03.11.03E.ODP[02]	systems or system components in which advanced automation and analytics capabilities are to be employed are defined.
<u>03.11.03E</u>	A.03.11.03E.ODP[03]	advanced analytics capabilities to predict and identify risks are defined.
03.11.08E	A.03.11.08E.ODP[01]	the means to determine the current cyber threat environment on an ongoing basis are defined.
03.11.09E	A.03.11.09E.ODP[01]	sources that provide indicators of compromise are defined.
<u>03.11.09E</u>	A.03.11.09E.ODP[02]	personnel or roles to whom indicators of compromise are to be distributed are defined.
<u>03.11.10E</u>	A.03.11.10E.ODP[01]	systems, system components, or system services to be analyzed for criticality are defined.
<u>03.11.10E</u>	A.03.11.10E.ODP[02]	decision points in the system development life cycle when a criticality analysis is to be performed are defined.
<u>03.11.11E</u>	A.03.11.11E.ODP[01]	corrective actions to be taken if information about the system is discoverable are defined.
<u>03.12.01E</u>	A.03.12.01E.ODP[01]	the frequency at which to conduct penetration testing on systems or system components is defined.
<u>03.12.01E</u>	A.03.12.01E.ODP[02]	systems or system components on which penetration testing is to be conducted are defined.
<u>03.12.04E</u>	A.03.12.04E.ODP[01]	system components or classes of components requiring internal connections to the system are defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.12.04E</u>	A.03.12.04E.ODP[02]	conditions requiring the termination of internal connections are defined.
<u>03.12.04E</u>	A.03.12.04E.ODP[03]	the frequency at which to review the continued need for each internal connection is defined.
<u>03.13.01E</u>	A.03.13.01E.ODP[01]	system components requiring a diverse set of information technologies to be used in the implementation of the system are defined.
<u>03.13.02E</u>	A.03.13.02E.ODP[01]	the techniques employed to introduce randomness into organizational operations and assets are defined.
<u>03.13.03E</u>	A.03.13.03E.ODP[01]	the concealment and misdirection techniques used to confuse and mislead adversaries potentially targeting systems are defined.
<u>03.13.04E</u>	A.03.13.04E.ODP[01]	system components to be isolated by boundary protection mechanisms are defined.
<u>03.13.05E</u>	A.03.13.05E.ODP[01]	processing and/or storage locations to be changed are defined.
<u>03.13.05E</u>	A.03.13.05E.ODP[02]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: { <a.03.13.05e.odp[03] frequency="">; at random time intervals}.</a.03.13.05e.odp[03]>
<u>03.13.05E</u>	A.03.13.05E.ODP[03]	the frequency at which to change the location of processing and/or storage is defined (if selected).
<u>03.13.06E</u>	A.03.13.06E.ODP[01]	platform-independent applications to be included within organizational systems are defined.
<u>03.13.07E</u>	A.03.13.07E.ODP[01]	the frequency at which to change the diversity of operating systems and applications deployed using virtualization techniques is defined.
<u>03.13.09E</u>	A.03.13.09E.ODP[01]	information security tools, mechanisms, and support components to be isolated from other internal system components are defined.
<u>03.13.11E</u>	A.03.13.11E.ODP[01]	system components to be implemented with minimal functionality and information storage are defined.
<u>03.13.12E</u>	A.03.13.12E.ODP[01]	the types of denial-of-service events to be protected against or limited are defined.
<u>03.13.12E</u>	A.03.13.12E.ODP[02]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {protected against; limited}.
<u>03.13.12E</u>	A.03.13.12E.ODP[03]	the safeguards to prevent the denial-of-service objective by type of denial-of-service event are defined.
03.13.13E	A.03.13.13E.ODP[01]	connection ports or input/output devices to be disabled or removed are defined.
03.13.13E	A.03.13.13E.ODP[02]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {physically; logically}.
<u>03.13.13E</u>	A.03.13.13E.ODP[03]	systems or system components with connection ports or input/output devices to be disabled or removed are defined.
03.13.14E	A.03.13.14E.ODP[01]	the system, system component, or location in which a detonation chamber capability is to be employed is defined.
03.13.15E	A.03.13.15E.ODP[01]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {physically; logically}.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
03.13.15E	A.03.13.15E.ODP[02]	critical system components and functions to be isolated are defined.
<u>03.13.16E</u>	A.03.13.16E.ODP[01]	system components to reside in separate physical or logical domains or environments based on circumstances for the physical or logical separation of components are defined.
<u>03.13.16E</u>	A.03.13.16E.ODP[02]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {physical; logical}.
<u>03.13.16E</u>	A.03.13.16E.ODP[03]	circumstances for the physical or logical separation of components are defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[01]	software requiring integrity verification tools to be used to detect unauthorized changes is defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[02]	firmware requiring integrity verification tools to be used to detect unauthorized changes is defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[03]	information requiring integrity verification tools to be used to detect unauthorized changes is defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[04]	actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to software are detected are defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[05]	actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to firmware are detected are defined.
<u>03.14.01E</u>	A.03.14.01E.ODP[06]	actions to be taken when unauthorized changes to information are detected are defined.
<u>03.14.04E</u>	A.03.14.04E.ODP[01]	trusted sources to obtain software and data for system component and service refreshes are defined.
<u>03.14.05E</u>	A.03.14.05E.ODP[01]	one of the following PARAMETER VALUES is selected: {refresh <a.03.14.05e_odp[02] information=""> <a.03.14.05e_odp[03] frequency="">; generate <a.03.14.05e_odp[04] information="">}.</a.03.14.05e_odp[04]></a.03.14.05e_odp[03]></a.03.14.05e_odp[02]>
<u>03.14.05E</u>	A.03.14.05E.ODP[02]	the information to be refreshed is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.05E</u>	A.03.14.05E.ODP[03]	the frequency at which to refresh information is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.05E</u>	A.03.14.05E.ODP[04]	the information to be generated on demand is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[01]	software on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[02]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[03] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[04] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[04]></a.03.14.08e.odp[03]>
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[03]	transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (on software) are defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[04]	the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (on software) is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[05]	firmware on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[06]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[07] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[08] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[08]></a.03.14.08e.odp[07]>
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[07]	transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (on firmware) are defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[08]	the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (on firmware) is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[09]	information on which an integrity check is to be performed is defined.
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[10]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at startup; at <a.03.14.08e.odp[11] events="" or="" security-relevant="" states="" transitional="">; <a.03.14.08e.odp[12] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.08e.odp[12]></a.03.14.08e.odp[11]>
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[11]	transitional states or security-relevant events requiring integrity checks (of information) are defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.08E</u>	A.03.14.08E.ODP[12]	the frequency at which to perform an integrity check (of information) is defined (if selected).
<u>03.14.10E</u>	A.03.14.10E.ODP[01]	mechanisms to be implemented to protect the integrity of boot firmware in system components are defined.
<u>03.14.10E</u>	A.03.14.10E.ODP[02]	system components requiring mechanisms to protect the integrity of boot firmware are defined.
<u>03.14.11E</u>	A.03.14.11E.ODP[01]	security-relevant changes to the system are defined.
<u>03.14.12E</u>	A.03.14.12E.ODP[01]	information inputs to the system requiring validity checks are defined.
<u>03.14.13E</u>	A.03.14.13E.ODP[01]	personnel or roles to whom error messages are to be revealed are defined.
<u>03.14.14E</u>	A.03.14.14E.ODP[01]	safeguards to be implemented to protect the system memory from unauthorized code execution are defined.
<u>03.14.15E</u>	A.03.14.15E.ODP[01]	non-persistent system components and services to be implemented are defined.
<u>03.14.15E</u>	A.03.14.15E.ODP[02]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {upon end of session of use; <a.03.14.15e.odp[03] frequency="">}.</a.03.14.15e.odp[03]>
<u>03.14.15E</u>	A.03.14.15E.ODP[03]	the frequency at which to terminate non-persistent components and services that are initiated in a known state is defined (if selected).
03.14.16E	A.03.14.16E.ODP[01]	systems or system components with data or capabilities to be embedded are defined.
03.14.17E	A.03.14.17E.ODP[01]	personnel or roles to be alerted when indications of compromise or potential compromise occur are defined.
<u>03.14.17E</u>	A.03.14.17E.ODP[02]	compromise indicators are defined.
03.14.18E	A.03.14.18E.ODP[01]	personnel or roles to be alerted when indications of inappropriate or unusual activity with security implications occur are defined.
03.14.18E	A.03.14.18E.ODP[02]	automated mechanisms used to alert personnel or roles are defined.
<u>03.14.18E</u>	A.03.14.18E.ODP[03]	activities that trigger alerts to personnel or roles are defined.

ENHANCED SECURITY REQUIREMENT		ORGANIZATION-DEFINED PARAMETER
<u>03.15.01E</u>	A.03.15.01E.ODP[01]	the frequency for reviewing and updating the security architecture to reflect changes in the enterprise architecture is defined.
<u>03.15.02E</u>	A.03.15.02E.ODP[01]	safeguards to be allocated to architectural layers and locations are defined.
<u>03.15.02E</u>	A.03.15.02E.ODP[02]	architectural layers and locations are defined.
<u>03.15.03E</u>	A.03.15.03E.ODP[01]	safeguards to be allocated to architectural layers and locations are defined.
<u>03.15.03E</u>	A.03.15.03E.ODP[02]	architectural layers and locations are defined.
<u>03.16.01E</u>	A.03.16.01E.ODP[01]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {design modification; augmentation; reconfiguration}.
<u>03.16.01E</u>	A.03.16.01E.ODP[02]	systems or system components supporting mission-essential services or functions are defined.
<u>03.17.01E</u>	A.03.17.01E.ODP[01]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {notification of supply chain compromises; results of assessments or audits; provision of <a.03.17.01e.odp[02]: information="">}.</a.03.17.01e.odp[02]:>
<u>03.17.01E</u>	A.03.17.01E.ODP[02]	information for which agreements and procedures are to be established is defined (if selected).
<u>03.17.02E</u>	A.03.17.02E.ODP[01]	systems or system components that require inspection are defined.
<u>03.17.02E</u>	A.03.17.02E.ODP[02]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {at random; <a.03.17.02e.odp[03]: frequency="">; upon <a.03.17.02e.odp[04]: for="" indications="" inspection="" need="" of="" the="">}.</a.03.17.02e.odp[04]:></a.03.17.02e.odp[03]:>
<u>03.17.02E</u>	A.03.17.02E.ODP[03]	the frequency at which to inspect systems or system components is defined (if selected).
<u>03.17.02E</u>	A.03.17.02E.ODP[04]	indications of the need for an inspection of systems or system components are defined (if selected).
<u>03.17.03E</u>	A.03.17.03E.ODP[01]	one or more of the following PARAMETER VALUES is/are selected: {source of counterfeit component; < A.03.17.03E.ODP[02]: external reporting organizations>; < A.03.17.03E.ODP[03]: personnel or roles>}.
<u>03.17.03E</u>	A.03.17.03E.ODP[02]	external reporting organizations to whom counterfeit system components are to be reported are defined (if selected).
<u>03.17.03E</u>	A.03.17.03E.ODP[03]	personnel or roles to whom counterfeit system components are to be reported are defined (if selected).
<u>03.17.04E</u>	A.03.17.04E.ODP[01]	systems, system components, and associated CUI that require valid provenance are defined.
<u>03.17.05E</u>	A.03.17.05E.ODP[01]	safeguards employed to ensure the integrity of the system and system component are defined.
<u>03.17.05E</u>	A.03.17.05E.ODP[02]	an analysis method to be conducted to validate the internal composition and provenance of critical or mission-essential technologies, products, and services to ensure the integrity of the system and system component is defined.

3251	Appendix F. Change Log
3252	This publication incorporates the following changes from the original edition (March 15, 2022):
3253	• The restructuring of the assessment procedure syntax to align with SP 800-53A [5]
3254 3255	 The addition of assessment procedures for the new and revised enhanced security requirements in SP 800-172, Revision 3 [3]
3256 3257	 The addition of a references section to provide source assessment procedures from SP 800-53A [5]
3258 3259	 A one-time change to the publication version number to align with SP 800-172, Revision 3 [3]
3260	