

EAU OF




NBS Publications ALLLOL 885550

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / National Bureau of Standards



General Safety Standard for Installations Using Ion-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources, Emergies up to 10 MeV





AMELICAN INFLORME STANDARD INSCRIPTION



1975 Standards National Bureau Not supersided as of 2/15/83-Traffin EB 28 not ace - Ref ansI (N.Y.C.) QCI General Safety Standard . 151 Talso - E. Einertrow 10.114 for Installations Using Non-Medical 1975 X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV

American National Standards Subcommittee N43-5

t. Handbook no 114

Under the sponsorship of the U.S. National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

> Approved May 24, 1974 American National Standards Institute New York, N.Y. 10018 ANSI N543-1974

(Revision of Z54.1-1963, published as NBS Handbook 93)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick B. Dent, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Richard W. Roberts, Director

Issued February 1975

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

American National Standards Institute, Subcommittee N43-5. General Safety Standards for Installations Using Non-Medical

X-ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources. Energies Up to 10 MeV. (National Bureau of Standards Handbook: 114)

Prepared in 1964 by the body under its earlier name. American Standard Association, under title: Safety Standard for Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources. "ANSI N543-1974."

"Revision of Z54.1-1963, published as NBS Handbook 93."

Bibliography: p.

Supt. of Docs. No.: C 13.11:114

I. X-rays-Safety measures, 2. Gamma rays-Safety measures, I. American Standards Association. Safety standard for non-medical X-ray and scaled gamma-ray sources. II. Title, III. Series: United States. National Bureau of Standards. Handbook; 114. QC100.U565 no. 114 [TK9152] 389'.08s [614.8'39] 74-20537

National Bureau of Standards Handbook 114

Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Handb. 114, 69 pages (Feb. 1975) **CODEN: NBSHAP**

U.S. GOVERNMENT, PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1975

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 (Order by SD Catalog No. C13.11:114). Price 90 cents.

American National Standard

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. An American National Standard is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an American National Standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. American National Standards are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions. Producers of goods made in conformity with an American National Standard are encouraged to state in their own advertising, promotion material, or on tags or labels, that the goods are produced in conformity with particular American National Standards.

CAUTION NOTICE. This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five (5) years from the date of publication. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018.

Foreword

X-ray and gamma ray sources are widely used for industrial inspection. Their utility, however, must be matched by the safety with which they are used. This Handbook of recommended safety standards, developed by American National Standards Committee N43, has been approved by ANSI as an American National Standard.

NBS has long cooperated with private organizations and with other government agencies in the establishment of voluntary standard practices. One area where NBS participation has been especially active is that concerned with the effective and safe use of ionizing radiation. As the Secretariat of Standards Committee N43, and earlier of ASA Sectional Committee Z54 which produced a standard on the same subject, the Bureau is pleased to publish and distribute this revised American National Standard.

Sichen

RICHARD W. ROBERTS Director

Preface

(This Preface is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

X-ray and sealed gamma-ray sources are used extensively in industry for the inspection, testing, and analysis of a wide variety of objects and materials. It is therefore essential that adequate measures be taken to protect persons who work with or are near such radiation sources, as well as the general public, against excessive exposure to radiation.

In 1946 the sectional committee of ASA issued American War Standard Z54.1-1946 "Safety Code for the Industrial Use of X-rays." Handbook 93 (Z54.1-1963), "Safety Standard for Non-Medical X-ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources," issued in 1964 was a revision of a part of the war standard. These standards provided the necessary guidance for the safe installation and use of penetrating radiation equipment used in industry.

The American National Standards Committee N43 examined Z54.1-1963 and determined that a revision was necessary. This task was assigned to Subcommittee N43-5.

Suggestions for improvement gained in the use of this standard will be welcome. They should be sent to the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018.

The American National Standards Committee N43, on Equipment for Non-Medical Radiation Application, had the following personnel at the time it processed and approved this standard: Elmer H. Eisenhower Chairman

Organization Represented Aerospace Industries Association Air Transport Association American Chemical Society American Conference of Governmental Indus- trial Hygienists.	Warren J. Weldon Edward E. Beauchamp Robert H. Duguid
American Crystallographic Association American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations	Jack R. Suarez
American Industrial Hygiene Association American Insurance Association American Iron and Steel Institute	Anthony LaMastra
American Mutual Insurance Alliance	Leon D. Horowitz Thomas F. Bresnahan (Alt.)
American Nuclear Society	E. Alfred Burrill W. E. Kreger (Alt.)
American Public Health Association, Inc	Jesse Lieberman (Alt.)
American Society of Mechanical Engineers American Society for Nondestructive Testing, Inc.	Herbert R. Isenburger John P. Battema Warren M. Holm (Alt.)
American Society for Testing and Materials]	Marvin M. Turkanis Jack Bystrom (Alt.)
American Welding Society Association of State and Territorial Health Officers.	Edward L. Criscuolo Franklin M. Foote
Health Physics Society	John H. Weiler Robert M. Ryan (Alt.)
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engi- neers, Inc.	Thomas R. Kohler
Instrument Society of America International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers.	H. L. Cook, Jr. J. George Eichhorn
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers.	Paul R. Shoop
National Bureau of Standards National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.	
National Electrical Manufacturers Associa- tion. National Safety Council	George R. Mahn W. A. Samsonoff (Alt.)
Radiation Research Society	Julian Olishifski (Alt.)
Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission	L. S. Homa Robert Barker
U.S. Department of the Air Force, Office of the Surgeon General.	Edward Vallario (Alt.) Lt. Col. William D. Howell Lt. Col. Owen H. Kittilstad
U.S. Department of the Air Force, Directorate of Nuclear Safety.	Capt. William K. McRaney
U.S. Department of the Army, Environmental Hygiene Agency.	Robert H. Duguid
U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General.	LTC Robert M. Gastineau LTC James E. Anderson
U.S. Department of Defense	(Alt.) Satrak Der Boghosian Charles P. Merhib (Alt.)

U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare-Public Health Service.	Walter Gundaker David R. Snavely (Alt.)
U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor	
Standards.	G. Walker Daubenspeck
	(Alt.)
U.S. Department of the Navy, Ship Engineer- ing Center.	Edward Duffy
U.S. Department of the Navy, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery.	Cpt. James H. Dowling
Individual Member	E. R. Ferraro

Members of Subcommittee N43-5, which had responsibility for developing this standard, are listed below.

E. L. Criscuolo, Chairman	Naval Ordnance Laboratory
John P. Battema	Philips Electronic Instruments
James H. Bly	Applied Radiation Corporation
Carl B. Braestrup	Lenox Hill Hospital
H. L. Cook, Jr.	Ohmart Corporation
Howard Heffan	Naval Weapons Laboratory
Donovan Smith	U.S. Atomic Energy
	Commission
Major John C. Taschner	USAF Radiological Health
	Laboratory

Contents

Pı	reface	r age V
1.	Scope	1
	Definitions	1
3.	Classification of installations	5
	3.1 Protective installation	5
	3.2 Enclosed installation	6
	3.3 Unattended installation	8
4	3.4 Open installation Selection of class of installation	8
4.	4.1 Protective installation	10
	4.2 Enclosed installation	$10 \\ 10$
	4.3 Unattended installation	11
	4.4 Open installation	11
5.	Plans for radiation installations	11
	5.1 Review by qualified expert	11
	5.2 Information to be supplied to a qualified expert	11
	5.3 Approval of plans by qualified expert	11
	5.4 Effect of distance on shielding requirements	11
	5.5 Direction of useful beam	11
	5.6 Cross section of beam	11
	5.7 Multiple sources of radiation	12
С	5.8 Radiation energy, output, and workload	$\frac{12}{12}$
0.	Structural details of shielding barriers 6.1 Quality of shielding material	$12 \\ 12$
	6.2 Lead harriers	12^{12}
	6.2 Lead barriers 6.3 Joints between different materials or structures	12^{12}
	6.4 Shielding of openings in shielding barriers	12
	6.4.1 Perforations	13
	6.4.1 Perforations	13
	6.4.3 Doors and observation windows	13
	6.5 General protection requirements for doors into protected areas	13
	6.5.1 Location of doors	13
	6.5.2 Interlock systems for doors	13
	6.5.3 Resumption of operation 6.5.4 Threshold baffle for door sill	14 14
	6.5.5 Lan of door jamb	
7.	6.5.5 Lap of door jamb Radiation protection surveys and inspections	14
•••	7.1 Survey of new installations	$\hat{14}$
	7.2 Changes in existing installations	14
	7.3 Report of radiation protection survey	14
	7.4 Elimination of hazards	14
	7.5 Retention of survey reports	14
	7.6 Radiation protection survey procedures	15
	7.6.1 Installation inspection	15
	7.6.2 Radiation measurements	
	7.6.3 Personnel monitoring 7.6.4 Contents of radiation protection survey report	$\frac{15}{15}$
	7.7 Inspections	$10 \\ 16$
8	Onerating procedures	$16 \\ 16$
0.	Operating procedures 8.1 Restrictions according to classification	16
	8.1.1 Protective installation	16
	8.1.2 Enclosed installation	16
	8.1.3 Unattended installation	16
	8.1.4 Open installation	16
	8.2 Radiation protection responsibility	
	8.3 Radiation safety instructions	17
	8.4 Personnel monitoring	17
a	8.5 Radiation measurement and instrument calibration Revision of American National Standards referred to in this document	$\frac{18}{18}$
	PPENDIX A. Occupancy and use factors	$10 \\ 19$
A	PPENDIX B. Determination of gamma ray-shielding barrier thick-	10
	nesses	20
A	PPENDIX C. Tables of general x-ray information	$\frac{20}{39}$
A	PPENDIX D. X-ray shielding tables for controlled areas and environs	43
A	PPENDIX E. Determination of x-ray shielding barrier thicknesses	49
A	PPENDIX F. References	60

General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV

This standard establishes requirements for the design and operation of common types of installations which use gamma and x radiation for nonmedical purposes. Its objective is to protect persons who work with or are near such installations, as well as the general public, against excessive exposure to radiation. Maximum permissible dose limits established by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements are cited. Methods for achieving adequate radiation protection are described, including structural details, surveys and inspections, and operating procedures. Appendixes contain technical information useful for design of radiation shielding barriers.

Key words: Gamma-ray equipment; radiation installations; radiation safety; x-ray equipment.

1. Scope

1.1. This standard is intended to serve as a guide toward the safe use of X-ray and sealed gamma-ray sources for non-medical purposes. Its main objectives are to reduce needless exposure of persons to radiation and to ensure that no one receives more than the maximum permissible dose equivalent. These objectives are achieved by the use of appropriate equipment, ample shielding and safe operating procedures.

1.2. Those recommendations containing the word "shall" identify requirements that are necessary to meet the standards of protection of this document. Those using the word "should" indicate advisory recommendations that are to be applied when practicable.

2. Definitions

The definitions and terms contained in this standard, or in other American National Standards referred to in this document, are not intended to embrace all legitimate meanings of the terms. They are applicable only to the subject treated in this standard.

An asterisk (*) denotes those definitions taken from ANSI N1.1-1967, Glossary of Terms in Nuclear Science and Technology.

ACTIVITY (A). The quotient of dN by dt, where dN is the number of spontaneous nuclear transformations which occur in a quantity of a radioactive nuclide in the time interval dt. The special unit of activity is the curie.

*ATTENUATION. The reduction of a radiation quantity upon passage of radiation through matter, resulting from all types of interaction with that matter. The radiation quantity may be, for example, the particle flux density. BARRIER. (See Shielding Barrier)

*CONTROLLED AREA. A specified area in which exposure of personnel to radiation or radioactive material is controlled and which is under the supervision of a person who has knowledge of the appropriate radiation protection practices, including pertinent regulations, and who has responsibility for applying them.

 \acute{C} URIE (Ci). The special unit of activity. One curie equals 3.7×10^{10} spontaneous nuclear transformations per second exactly, or by popular usage, the quantity of any radioactive material having an activity of one curie.

* DOSE, ABSORBED. The energy imparted to matter in a volume element by ionizing radiation divided by the mass of irradiated material in that volume element. The special unit of absorbed dose is the rad. One rad equals 100 ergs per gram (also commonly called dose).

* DOSE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (DF). A factor used in computing dose equivalent to account for the nonuniform distribution of internally deposited radionuclides.

* DOSE EQUIVALENT (H). The product of absorbed dose, quality factor, dose distribution factor, and other modifying factors necessary to express on a common scale, for all ionizing radiations, the irradiation incurred by exposed persons. The special unit of dose equivalent is the rem. (For radiation protection purposes in this standard, the dose equivalent in rems may be considered numerically equivalent to the absorbed dose in rads and the exposure in roentgens.)

* **EXPOSURE.** A measure of the ionization produced in air by x- or gamma-radiation. It is the sum of the electrical charges on all of the ions of one sign produced in air when all electrons liberated by photons in a volume element of air are completely stopped in the air, divided by the mass of the air in the volume element. The special unit of exposure is the roentgen.

* EXPOSURE RATE. Exposure per unit time.

FAIL-SAFE DESIGN. One in which all failures of indicator or safety components that can reasonably be anticipated cause the equipment to fail in a mode such that personnel are safe from exposure to radiation. For example: (a) if a light indicating "x-rays on" fails, the production of x-rays shall be prevented, and (b) if a shutter status indicator fails, the shutter shall close.

HALF-VALUE LAYER (HVL): HALF-VALUE THICKNESS. The thickness of a specified substance which, when introduced into the path of a given beam of radiation, reduces the value of a specified radiation quantity upon transmission through the substance by one-half. It is sometimes expressed in terms of mass per unit area.

INSTALLATION. A radiation source, with its associated equipment, and the space in which it is located. (See sec. 3. Classification of Installation.)

INTERLOCK. A device for precluding access to an area of radiation hazard either by preventing entry or by automatically removing the hazard.

LEAD EQUIVALENT. The thickness of lead affording the same attenuation, under specified conditions, as the material in question.

LÊAKAGE. The undesired release of radioactive material from a sealed source.

LEAKAGE RADIATION. (See Radiation, Ionizing.)

LEAK TEST. A method capable of detecting the leakage of radioactive material from a sealed source.

MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE DOSE EQUIVALENT (MPD). The maximum dose equivalent that the body of a person or specific parts thereof shall be permitted to receive in a stated period of time. For the radiations considered here, the dose equivalent in rems may be considered numerically equal to the absorbed dose in rads and the exposure in roentgens. (See table 1.)

*MONITORING, RADIATION (RADIATION PROTECTION). The continuing collection and assessment of the pertinent information to determine the adequacy of radiation protection practices and to alert to potentially significant changes in conditions or protection performance.

OCCUPANCY FACTOR (T). The factor by which the workload should be multiplied to correct for the degree or type of occupancy of the area in question.

OCCUPIED AREA. An area that may be occupied by persons. QUALIFIED EXPERT. A person having the knowledge and training necessary to measure ionizing radiations and to advise regarding radiation protection, for example, persons certified in this field by the American Boards of Radiology, Health Physics, or Industrial Hygiene.

QUALITY FACTOR (Q). The linear-energy-transferdependent factor by which absorbed doses are to be multiplied to obtain, for radiation protection purposes, a quantity that expresses on a common scale for all ionizing radiations the irradiation incurred by exposed persons.

RADIATION PROTECTION SUPERVISOR. A person directly responsible for radiation protection. It is his duty to insure that all procedures are carried out in compliance with pertinent established rules, including recommendations contained in this document.

RADIATION PROTECTION SURVEY. Evaluation of the radiation hazards in and around an installation. It customarily includes a physical survey of the arrangement and use of the equipment and measurements of the exposure rates under expected operating conditions.

* **RADIATION, IONIZING.** Any electromagnetic or particulate radiation capable of producing ions, directly or indirectly, by interaction with matter.

PRIMARY RADIATION.

- a. X-RAYS. Radiation coming directly from the target of the x-ray tube.
- b. **BETA AND GAMMA RAYS.** Radiation coming directly from the radioactive source.

SECONDARY RADIATION. Radiation other than the primary radiation, emitted by irradiated matter.

SCATTERED RADIATION. Radiation that, during passage through matter, has been deviated in direction and usually has also had its energy diminished.

USEFUL BEAM. That part of the primary and secondary radiation which passes through the aperture, cone or other device for collimation.

LEAKAGE RADIATION. All radiation, except the useful beam, coming from the tube or source housing.

STRAY RADIATION. Radiation other than the useful beam. It includes leakage, secondary, and scattered radiation.

* RAD. The special unit of absorbed dose. 1 rad is 100 ergs/g. * RADIATION SOURCE. An apparatus or a material emitting or capable of emitting ionizing radiation.

RADIATION WORKER. An individual whose work is normally performed in a controlled area, or whose duties involve exposure to radiation and who is subject to appropriate radiation protection controls.

* **REM**. The special unit of dose equivalent. The dose equivalent in rems is numerically equal to the absorbed dose in rads multiplied by the quality factor, the distribution factor, and any other necessary modifying factors.

RHM (Rhm). Roentgens per hour at 1 meter from the effective center of the source. (This distance is usually measured to the nearest surface of the source as its effective center generally is not known.)

* **ROENTGEN** (**R**). The special unit of exposure. One roentgen equals 2.58×10^{-4} Coulomb per kilogram of air.

SEALED SOURCE. Radioactive material sealed in a container or having a bonded cover, where the container or cover has sufficient mechanical strength to prevent contact with and dispersion of the radioactive material under the conditions of use and wear for which it was designed.

SCATTERED RADIATION. (See Radiation, Ionizing.)

SECONDARY RADIATION. (See Radiation, Ionizing.)

SHALL. Indicates a recommendation that is necessary or essential to meet the standards of protection of this document.

SHIELDING BARRIER. Barrier of attenuating material used to reduce radiation hazards.

SHIELDING BARRIER, PRIMARY. Barrier sufficient to attenuate the useful beam to the required level.

SHIELDING BARRIER, SECONDARY. Barrier sufficient to attenuate stray radiation to the required level.

SHOULD. Is recommended, is advisable, indicates an advisory recommendation that is to be applied when practicable.

SOURCE HOUSING. An enclosure for a sealed source which provides attenuation of the radiation emitted by the source. The enclosure may have an aperture through which the useful beam is emitted or through which the source is extracted. **STRAY RADIATION.** (See Radiation, Ionizing.) **SURVEY.** (See Radiation Protection Survey)

*TENTH-VALUE LAYER (TVL). Thickness of an absorber required to attenuate a beam of radiation by a factor of ten.

TUBE HOUSING. An enclosure which contains an x-ray tube and which has a port through which the useful beam is emitted. The tube housing may also contain transformers and other appropriate components. (See appendix C for a definition of protective tube housing.)

USE FACTOR (U). The fraction of the workload during which the useful beam is pointed in the direction under consideration.

USEFUL BEAM. (See Radiation, Ionizing.)

WORKLOAD. A measure in suitable units of the amount of use of radiation equipment. For the purpose of this standard the workload is expressed in milliampere-minutes per week for x-ray sources and roentgens per week at one meter from the source for gamma-ray sources and high energy equipment (such as linear accelerators, betatrons, etc.).

3. Classification of Installations

Basically any installation which is so constructed and operated as to meet the Maximum Permissible Dose Equivalent requirements is acceptable. However, if this were the only requisite, the assumptions as to the use of the equipment and degree of occupancy might be subject to widely divergent interpretations. In order to ensure certain minimum standards of protection without needless expenditures, it has been found advisable to classify installations. Their basic requirements are given below. (See sec. 4 for selection of class, 7.6 for specific tests, and sec. 8 for operating limitations.)

3.1. PROTECTIVE INSTALLATION. An installation shall be so classified when it conforms with all of the following requirements:

3.1.1. The source and all objects exposed thereto are within a permanent enclosure, within which no person is permitted to remain during irradiation.

3.1.2. Reliable safety interlocks are provided to prevent access to the enclosure during irradiation (See paragraph 6.5.2).

3.1.3. If the enclosure is of such a size or is so arranged that the operator cannot readily determine whether the enclosure is unoccupied, there shall be provided:

3.1.3.1. Fail safe audible or visible warning signals (preferably of the rotating beacon type) within the enclosure which shall be actuated a minimum of 20 seconds before irradiation can be started, and the visible signal shall remain actuated during irradiation.

The audible signal shall be of a frequency or capable of producing a sound pressure level such that it can be heard over background noise that may be present. Specifications for audible signals are recommended in ANSI N2.3–1967. 3.1.3.2. Suitable means of exit, so that any person who accidentally may be shut in can leave the enclosure without delay.

3.1.3.3. Effective means within the enclosure for preventing or quickly interrupting the irradiation. The use and function of such a device shall be clearly labeled.

3.1.4. The exposure at any accessible region 2 in (5 cm) from the outside surface of the enclosure cannot exceed 0.5 mR in any 1 hour. (The distance 2 in is chosen as being the minimum practical distance from the barrier at which the exposure may be measured. The limit of 0.5 mR in 1 hour assures with reasonable probability that under practical conditions of occupancy and use, the requirements of paragraph 3.1.6 would be met.)

3.1.5. All installations shall display suitable warning signs as given below:

3.1.5.1. The interior of the exposure room shall be posted with a sign that operates in conjunction with the warning signals in paragraph 3.1.3.1. The sign shall contain the radiation symbol (see fig. 1) and the words "Danger: High Radiation Area."¹ The interior of a cabinet installation shall be posted with a similar sign which shall be visible with the access door open.

3.1.5.2. The entrance to the exposure room shall be posted with a sign containing the radiation symbol and the words "Caution: Entering Radiation Exposure Room." Cabinet type installations housing x-ray equipment shall have a sign on the outside showing the radiation symbol and "Caution: X-Rays." Cabinet type installations having a radioactive source shall have a similar sign but with the words "Caution: Radioactive Material."

3.1.6. No person, either within the controlled area or in the environs of the installation, is exposed to more than the maximum permissible dose equivalent (MPD). (See table 1.)

3.1.7. Most installations are subject to Federal, state or local regulations which may involve registration, licensing or compliance with specific rules. For example, to meet Federal requirements (AEC) the radiation levels in a noncontrolled area must not result in an exposure to an individual continuously present in the area in excess of 2 mR in any 1 hour or 100 mR in any 7 consecutive days.

3.2. ENCLOSED INSTALLATION. An installation shall be so classified when it conforms with all the following requirements:

3.2.1. The source and all objects exposed thereto are within a permanent enclosure, within which no person is permitted to remain during irradiation.

3.2.2. Reliable safety interlocks are provided to prevent access to the enclosure during irradiation (See paragraph 6.5.2).

¹ Or "Caution: High Radiation Area."

TABLE 1. Maximum permissible dose equivalent values (MPD) [21]

Exposure of patients for medical and dental purposes is not included in the maximum permissible dose equivalent.

	Maximum 13-week dose	Maximum yearly dose	Maximum accumu- lated dose
	rem ^a	rem ^a	rem ^a
Controlled Areas			
Whole Body, Gonads, Lens of Eye, Red Bone Marrow Skin (Other than hands and forearms) Hands Forearms Other Organs	25	$5 \\ 15 \\ 75 \\ 30 \\ 15$	5(N-18) ^b
Noncontrolled Areas		0.5	

^a The numerical value of the dose equivalent in rems may be assumed to be equal to the numerical

value of the exposure in roentgens for the purpose of this report. "N = Age in years and is greater than 18. When the previous occupational history of an individual is not definitely known, it shall be assumed that he has already received the MPD permitted by the formula 5(N-18).

3.2.3. If the enclosure is of such a size or is so arranged that the operator cannot readily determine whether the enclosure is unoccupied, there shall be provided:

3.2.3.1. Fail safe audible or visible warning signals (preferably of the rotating beacon type) within the enclosure which shall be actuated a minimum of 20 seconds before irradiation can be started, and the visible signal shall remain actuated during irradiation.

The audible signal shall be of a frequency or capable of producing a sound pressure level such that it can be heard over background noise that may be present. Specifications for audible signals are recommended in ANSI N2.3–1967.

3.2.3.2. Suitable means of exit, so that any person who accidentally may be shut in can leave the enclosure without delay.

3.2.3.3. Effective means within the enclosure for preventing or quickly interrupting the irradiation. The use and function of such a device shall be clearly labeled.

3.2.4. The exposure at any accessible and occupied area 1 foot (30 cm) from the outside surface of the enclosure does not exceed 10 mR in any 1 hour and the exposure at any accessible and normally unoccupied area 1 foot (30 cm) from the outside surface of the enclosure does not exceed 100 mR in any 1 hour. For x-ray installations, this exposure limitation shall be met for any x-ray tube to be used in the enclosures and operating at any specified mA and kV rating within the manufacturer's published recommendations. No beam limiting device or filter shall be used during these tests unless such devices and filters are permanently attached to the x-ray tubes or gamma exposure device and the unit cannot be operated without their use. The radiation source and beam direction shall be positioned and oriented so that the highest exposure rate will be encountered in the area under test provided that such positioning and orientation will serve a practical purpose in normal usage. It is assumed that under normal and practical conditions, the provisions of paragraph 3.2.6 can be met.

3.2.5. The posting requirements as listed in paragraph 3.1.5 shall be met in addition to those given below.

3.2.5.1. The accessible area in which exposure exceeds 5 mR in any 1 hour shall have signs posted showing the radiation symbol and the words "Caution: Radiation Area."

3.1.5.2. All entrances to a radiation area shall have signs posted showing the radiation symbol and the words "Caution: Entering Radiation Area."

3.2.6. No person, either within the controlled area or in the environs of the installation, is exposed to more than the maximum permissible dose equivalent (MPD). (See table 1.)

3.2.7. For Federal, state or local regulations see paragraph 3.1.7.

3.3. UNATTENDED INSTALLATION. An installation shall be so classified when it conforms with all of the following requirements.

3.3.1. The source is installed in a single purpose device.

3.3.2. The radioactive source is contained in a shielded enclosure. If the device is equipped with a shutter, or other absorber, so that the useful radiation beam can be reduced in magnitude, the "closed" and "open" positions shall be easily identified. X-ray machines shall have a visual warning signal when x-rays are produced.

3.3.3. Unless licensed by Federal or state authorities, the exposure at any accessible region 1 foot (30 cm) from the outside surface of the device shall not exceed 2 mR in any 1 hour when the device is in its normal operating condition, and occupancy in the vicinity of the device shall be limited so that the exposure to an individual in any one year shall not exceed 0.5 R.

3.3.4. All installations shall display a suitable warning sign as given below.

Devices utilizing a radioactive source shall be posted with the radiation symbol in figure 1 and the words "Caution: Radioactive Material." Similarly, devices housing an x-ray machine shall have the radiation symbol and the words "Caution: X-rays."

3.3.5. Service doors to areas with exposure levels exceeding that specified in paragraph 3.3.3 shall be locked or secured with fasteners requiring special tools available only to qualified service personnel.

3.3.6. For Federal, state, or local regulations, see paragraph 3.1.7.

3.4. OPEN INSTALLATION. An Open Installation is one which, due to operational requirements, cannot be provided with the inherent degree of protection specified for either Protective, Enclosed, or Unattended Installations. An installation shall be so classified when it conforms with all of the following requirements: 3.4.1. The source and all objects exposed thereto are within a conspicuously posted perimeter that limits the area in which the exposure can exceed 100 mR in any 1 hour. The sign shall display the radiation symbol and the words "Danger: High Radiation Area." (See footnote 1.)

3.4.2. No person has access to the high radiation area within the perimeter nor may remain in the area during irradiation. Positive means for preventing access, such as locked enclosure, shall be provided during periods of unattended irradiation.




FIGURE 1. Radiation symbol*

^{* (}As specified in American National Standard N2.1-1969.)

3.4.3. The perimeter of any area in which the radiation level is in excess of 5 mR in any 1 hour shall be defined and posted with a sign displaying the radiation symbol and the words "Caution: Radiation Area."

3.4.4. The source and equipment essential to the use of the source shall be inaccessible to unauthorized use, tampering or removal. This shall be accomplished by the attendance of a knowledgeable person or by other positive means such as locked enclosure.

3.4.5. No person, either within a controlled area or in the environs of the installation, is exposed to more than the applicable maximum permissible dose equivalent (MPD). (See table 1.)

3.4.6. For Federal, state, or local regulations, see paragraph 3.1.7.

4. Selection of Class of Installation

Radiation facilities shall be constructed to meet the requirements of one of the four classes of installations described in section 3. The classes differ in their relative dependence on inherent shielding, operating restrictions, and supervision to secure the required degree of protection.

Each class has certain advantages and limitations; these are indicated below:

4.1. **PROTECTIVE INSTALLATION.** This class provides the highest degree of inherent safety because the protection does not depend on compliance with any operating limitations. This type also has the advantage of not requiring restrictions in occupancy outside the enclosure since the built-in shielding is generally sufficient to meet the maximum permissible dose requirements for noncontrolled areas.

However, the low allowable exposure level (0.5 mR in 1 hour) for this class of installation necessitates a higher degree of inherent shielding. For radiation sources of lower energies, and for smaller enclosures, such as cabinets, the initial extra cost of the increased shielding is usually insignificant compared with the operational advantages.

At higher energies, as in the megavolt region with high workloads, the required additional shielding will usually make the use of this class extremely expensive compared with the Enclosed Installation. For instance, in the case of cobalt 60, the required concrete thickness of the primary barrier for the Protective type may have to be about a foot greater than for the Enclosed type.

4.2. ENCLOSED INSTALLATION. This class usually offers the greatest advantages for fixed installations with low use and occupancy factors. This is particularly true for high-energy sources where the reduction in shielding may result in significant savings. The shielding requirements are considerably lower than for the Protective Installation, as much as 4.3 HVL less, yet, the inherent protection is such that the possibility of significant exposure is remote. With proper supervision, this class offers a degree of protection similar to the Protective Installation.

4.3. UNATTENDED INSTALLATION. This class consists of automatic equipment designed and manufactured by a supplier for a specific purpose and does not require personnel in attendance for its operation. The inherent radiation safety of such equipment makes installation possible in a noncontrolled area.

4.4. **OPEN INSTALLATION.** This class shall be selected only if operational requirements prevent the use of one of the other classes. Its use should be limited mainly to mobile and portable equipment where fixed shielding cannot be used.

The operational requirements of other classes of installations may necessitate use of this class.

The protection of personnel and the public depends almost entirely on strict adherence to safe operating procedures. With this adherence, Open Installations can provide a degree of protection similar to the other classes.

5. Plans for Radiation Installations

5.1. **REVIEW BY QUALIFIED EXPERT.** The structural shielding requirements of any new installation, or of an existing one in which changes are contemplated, should be reviewed by a qualified expert early in the planning stage.

5.2. INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED TO A QUALIFIED EXPERT. The expert should be provided with available data concerning the type of source, the kilovoltage or energy, milliamperage or output in Rhm, the contemplated use of the source, the expected workload, and use factors, the structural details of the building and the type of occupancy of all areas which might be affected by the installation.

Data for the determination of shielding barrier thicknesses may be found in the appendices of this standard. See section 6 for structural details.

5.3. APPROVAL OF PLANS BY QUALIFIED EXPERT. Final shielding plans and all pertinent specifications should be approved by a qualified expert before construction begins.

5.4. EFFECT OF DISTANCE ON SHIELDING REQUIRE-MENTS. Shielding requirements generally may be reduced by locating the installation at a distance from occupied areas. (See tables 7, 9, and 10 appendices B and C for minimum safe distances.)

5.5. DIRECTION OF USEFUL BEAM. The cost of shielding may be reduced significantly by arranging the installation so that the useful beam is directed toward occupied areas as little as possible. (There is, of course, no objection to directing the useful beam at occupied areas provided there is adequate protection.)

5.6. CROSS SECTION OF BEAM. Devices which permanently restrict the direction and cross section of the useful beam may reduce the area requiring primary shielding barriers.

5.7. MULTIPLE SOURCES OF RADIATION. Where persons are likely to be exposed to radiation from more than one source simultaneously, or at different times, the protection associated with each source shall be increased so that the total dose received by any one person from all sources shall not exceed the maximum permissible dose.

5.8. **RADIATION ENERGY**, **OUTPUT**, **AND WORKLOAD**. The shielding for each occupied area should be determined on the basis of the expected maximum kilovoltage or energy, mA or Rhm, workload, use factor, and occupancy factor associated with the area. Consideration should be given to the possibility that these may increase in the future resulting in increased exposure. It may be more economical to provide a higher degree of protection initially than to add shielding later.

6. Structural Details of Shielding Barriers

Any material will provide the required degree of shielding, if of sufficient thickness. At lower radiation energies, materials of high atomic number provide the attenuation with the least barrier weight.

6.1. QUALITY OF SHIELDING MATERIAL. All shielding materials shall be of assured quality, uniformity, and permanency.

6.2. LEAD BARRIERS.

6.2.1. Lead barriers shall be mounted in such a manner that they will not cold-flow because of their own weight and shall be protected against mechanical damage.

6.2.2. Lead sheets at joints should be in contact with a lap of at least one-half inch or twice the thickness of the sheet, whichever is the greater.

6.2.3. Welded or burned lead seams are permissible, provided the lead equivalent of the seams is not less than the minimum requirement.

6.3. JOINTS BETWEEN DIFFERENT MATERIALS OR STRUCTURES.

6.3.1. Joints between different kinds of shielding materials shall be constructed so that the overall protection of the barrier is not impaired.

6.3.2. Joints at the floor and ceiling shall be constructed so that the overall protection is not impaired. (See fig. 2 for example.)

6.4. SHIELDING OF OPENINGS IN SHIELDING BAR-RIERS. In the planning of an installation, careful consideration should be given to reducing the number and size of all perforations of shielding barriers and openings into protected areas. protection for all such openings shall be provided by means of suitable shielding baffles.




FIGURE 2. Example of a wall joint.

The sum of radiations through all paths ABCF and DEF to the point F shall be not more than the maximum permissible exposure. The framework supporting the lead wall is here considered to be of relatively x-ray transparent material.




FIGURE 3. Example of door baffle.

The sum of radiations through all paths ABCF and DEF to the point F shall not be more than the maximum permissible exposure. The supporting structure for the lead door is here considered to be a framework of relatively x-ray transparent material.

6.4.1. **PERFORATIONS**. Provision shall be made to ensure that nails, rivets, or screws which perforate shielding barriers are covered to give protection equivalent to that of the unperforated barrier.

6.4.2. OPENINGS FOR PIPES, DUCTS, CONDUITS, LOUVERS, ETC. Holes in barriers for pipes, ducts, conduits, louvers, etc., shall be provided with baffles to ensure that the overall protection afforded by the barrier is not impaired. These holes should be located outside the range of possible orientations of the useful beam.

6.4.3. DOORS AND OBSERVATION WINDOWS. The lead equivalent of doors and observation windows of exposure rooms, cubicles, and cabinets shall not be less than that required for the walls or barrier in which they are located.

6.5. GENERAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS FOR DOORS INTO PROTECTED AREAS.

6.5.1. LOCATION OF DOORS. Where practical, doors into exposure rooms should be so located that the operator has direct control of access to the room.

6.5.2. INTERLOCK SYSTEMS FOR DOORS. All doors and panels opening into an x-ray exposure room or cabinet (except those panels which can be opened or removed only with tools)

shall be provided with fail-safe interlocking switches or devices preventing irradiation unless the door or panel is closed. All doors and panels opening into a high radiation area of a gamma ray installation (except those which can be opened or removed only with tools) shall be equipped with a fail-safe device which shall either cause the level of radiation to be reduced below 100 mR in any hour upon entry into the area or shall cause a visual or audible alarm signal to energize. For temporary exposure rooms (less than 30 days) this device is not required but the door shall be equipped with a suitable lock.

6.5.3. **RESUMPTION OF OPERATION.** If the operation of any radiation source has been interrupted by the opening of a door or panel to an installation, it shall not be possible to resume operation by merely closing the door or panel in question. To resume operation, it shall be necessary, in addition, to reenergize manually a suitable device located on or near the control panel.

6.5.4. THRESHOLD BAFFLE FOR DOOR SILL. A door baffle or threshold may be required for installations operating above 125 kVp, if the discontinuity can be struck by the useful beam. (See figure 3 for example that fulfills the baffle requirement.)

6.5.5. LAP OF DOOR JAMB. The shielding lead covering of any door leading to an exposure room or cabinet shall overlap that of the door jamb and lintel so as to reduce the radiation passing through clearance spaces to the allowable limit for the door itself.

7. Radiation Protection Surveys and Inspections

7.1. SURVEY OF NEW INSTALLATIONS. Before a new installation is placed in routine operation a radiation protection survey shall be made by a qualified expert. This survey should determine that a leak test on sealed sources has been conducted.

7.2. CHANGES IN EXISTING INSTALLATIONS. A radiation protection resurvey or reevaluation by a qualified expert shall be made when changes have been made in shielding, operation, equipment, or occupancy of adjacent areas, and these changes may have adversely affected radiation protection. A qualified expert should be consulted in case of doubt.

7.3. **REPORT OF RADIATION PROTECTION SURVEY.** No existing installation shall be assumed to conform with the provisions of this standard unless a radiation protection survey has been made by a qualified expert and a report of the survey has been placed on file at the installation.

7.4. ELIMINATION OF HAZARDS. The radiation hazards that may be found in the course of a survey shall be eliminated before the installation is used.

7.5. **RETENTION OF SURVEY REPORTS.** Reports of all radiation protection surveys shall be retained together with a record of the action taken with respect to the recommendations they contain.

7.6. **RADIATION PROTECTION SURVEY PROCEDURES.** A radiation protection survey shall include the following procedures:

7.6.1. INSTALLATION INSPECTION. The installation shall be inspected to verify or determine the present and expected occupancy of the adjacent areas. Devices that have a bearing on radiation protection shall be inspected for proper operation. These include audible or visible warning signals, interlocks, delay switches, and mechanical or electrical devices which restrict positioning of the radiation source.

7.6.2. **RADIATION MEASUREMENTS.** Radiation exposure shall be measured in all adjacent areas that can be occupied. The measurements shall be made under practical conditions of operation that will result in the greatest exposure at the point of interest. X-ray apparatus should be operated at the maximum kilovoltage and at its maximum milliamperage for continuous operation at that voltage. High energy equipment (such as linear accelerators, betatrons, etc.) should be operated at maximum radiation output.

7.6.3. **PERSONNEL MONITORING.** A qualified expert shall determine the adequacy of the personnel monitoring programs for all classes of installations. Personnel monitoring may not be required for a Protective Installation where a person cannot enter the exposure cubicle.

7.6.4. CONTENTS OF RADIATION PROTECTION SURVEY REPORT. A report of a radiation protection survey shall include:

7.6.4.1. Identification of the persons conducting the survey and the date of survey.

7.6.4.2. Identification of the radiation source and installation by suitable means, e.g., serial number, room number, and building number or name.

7.6.4.3. Identification of instrument used and date of last calibration.

7.6.4.4. The identity and Rhm or activity in curies of a gamma source, including calibration date, or the potential and current at which an x-ray tube was operated during the test.

7.6.4.5. A statement indicating the appropriate classification of the installation.

(The following shall be included when applicable.)

7.6.4.6. The location of the source and the orientation of the useful beam with relation to each exposure measurement.

7.6.4.7. Exposure rates in all adjacent occupied areas. The locations of the measurements shall be suitably identified; appropriate drawings may facilitate this identification.

7.6.4.8. A description of the existing mechanical and electrical limiting devices that restrict the orientation of the useful beam and the position of the source.

7.6.4.9. A statement of the restrictions, if any, that shall be placed on the weekly workload, degree of occupancy and the time that the useful beam may be directed at any shielding barrier. 7.6.4.10. If an installation is found not to comply with this standard, action required to ensure compliance shall be stated; if a resurvey will be required, it should be so stated.

7.7. **INSPECTIONS**. All radiation shields, interlocking switches and other safety devices shall be inspected periodically and appropriately serviced as scheduled by the radiation protection supervisor. The interval between inspections shall not exceed six months. (See 8.2)

7.7.1. Inspection shall be made by a competent person but not necessarily by a qualified expert.

7.7.2. Defective shielding barriers shall be promptly repaired and the inspection shall be repeated to determine whether the original degree of protection has been restored. If there is doubt about the adequacy of the repair, a qualified expert shall be consulted.

7.7.3. Inspection of protective devices is not a substitute for a radiation protection survey.

7.7.4. Records of inspection dates, findings, and corrective actions shall be kept on file.

8. Operating Procedures

8.1. RESTRICTIONS ACCORDING TO CLASSIFICATION. 8.1.1. PROTECTIVE INSTALLATION.

8.1.1.1. Since the inherent safety of the Protective Installation is dependent upon a higher degree of shielding, there are no restrictions on the mode of operation of the equipment.

8.1.1.2. If the enclosure is of such a size or is so arranged that the operator cannot readily determine whether the enclosure is unoccupied, the operator shall make a physical check of the enclosure before commencing or resuming operation.

8.1.2. ENCLOSED INSTALLATION.

8.1.2.1. Since the safe operation of an Enclosed Installation is based on the normal operating conditions specified in the applicable radiation protection survey report, the equipment shall be operated only within the indicated limits.

8.1.2.2. If the enclosure is of such a size or is so arranged that the operator cannot readily determine whether the enclosure is unoccupied, the operator shall make a physical check of the enclosure before commencing or resuming operation.

8.1.2.3. When the operating conditions have changed so that there is a probability that the exposure of any person may be increased, a radiation protection resurvey or evaluation shall be conducted. In case of doubt, a qualified expert should be consulted.

8.1.3. UNATTENDED INSTALLATION. No restrictions shall be imposed on the mode of operation of the equipment.

8.1.4. OPEN INSTALLATION.

8.1.4.1. The safe operation of an open installation relies upon operating personnel to survey areas and conduct the operation according to established procedures. Equipment shall be operated within limits established in paragraph 3.4.

8.1.4.2. A survey shall be made for each new operating condition and the area of operation should be periodically monitored. Surveillance of the area shall be maintained during operation.

8.1.4.3. When entering the operating area after irradiation, the operator shall use a suitable calibrated survey meter to verify that the source has been returned to its "off" position or that x-rays have been turned off.

8.2. **RADIATION PROTECTION RESPONSIBILITY**. The employer or his representative shall designate a competent person as the Radiation Protection Supervisor. This person shall be qualified by training or experience to carry out his duties as indicated below:

8.2.1. Insuring that all installations are operated within the limitations of the appropriate radiation protection survey reports.

8.2.2. The instruction of personnel in safe working practices and the nature of injuries resulting from overexposure to radiation.

8.2.3. Investigating any incident of abnormal exposure or suspected overexposure of personnel to determine the cause and take remedial action.

8.2.4. Assuring that interlock switches, warning signals and signs are functioning and located where required.

8.3. **RADIATION SAFETY INSTRUCTIONS.** Radiation safety instructions shall be posted and furnished to each radiation worker in writing.

8.4. PERSONNEL MONITORING.

8.4.1. Personnel monitoring shall be required for all workers involved in the use of radiation apparatus in Open and Enclosed Installations, and Protective Installations where personnel can enter the exposure area. Film badges or thermoluminescent dosimeters are acceptable for this purpose.

8.4.2. Personnel monitoring shall be performed in controlled areas for each occupationally exposed individual for whom there is a reasonable possibility of receiving a dose in any one calendar quarter exceeding one-fourth the applicable quarterly MPD (See table 1.)

8.4.3. For monitoring of personnel in Open Installations both film badges (or thermoluminescent dosimeters) and pocket dosimeters covering the range of 0 to 200 millirem should be used.

8.4.4. A qualified expert should be consulted on the establishment of personnel monitoring systems.

8.4.5. Records shall be kept concerning individual radiation exposures. These records shall include, as appropriate, results from individual film badges, pocket dosimeters or chambers, calculated results and the results of bioassay.

8.4.6. The guidance provided in ANSI N13.6-1966 (R 1972), Practice for Occupational Radiation Exposure Records System, should be considered for the purposes of this standard. 8.5. RADIATION MEASUREMENT AND INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION.

8.5.1. Sufficient and suitable radiation survey instruments shall be available to properly support the use of radiation sources. The instruments shall be capable of detecting and measuring the types and levels of radiation involved.

8.5.2. Each radiation survey instrument shall be calibrated at intervals not to exceed three months, and after each serviceing and repair. The calibration should be traceable to instruments or radiation sources calibrated at the National Bureau of Standards.

8.5.3. Pocket dosimeters or chambers shall be calibrated and checked for leakage at intervals not to exceed 6 months.

9. Revision of American National Standards Referred to in This Document

When the following American National Standards referred to in this document are superseded by a revision approved by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., the revision shall apply:

N2.1-1969, Radiation Symbol.

N2.3–1967, Immediate Evacuation Signal for Use in Industrial Installations where Radiation Exposure May Occur.

N13.6–1966 (R 1972), Occupational Radiation Exposure Records System, Practice for.

Appendix A. Occupancy and Use Factors

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

TABLE 2. Occupancy factors (T)

[For use as a guide in planning shielding where adequate occupancy data are not available.]

Full occupancy (T=1)

Control space, darkrooms, workrooms, shops, offices, and corridors large enough to be used as working areas, rest and lounge rooms routinely used by occupationally exposed personnel, living quarters, children's play areas, occupied space in adjoining buildings.

Partial occupancy (T=1/4)

Corridors too narrow for desks, utility rooms, rest and lounge rooms not used routinely by occupationally exposed personnel, elevators using operators, unattended parking lots.

Occasional occupancy (T=1/16)

Closets too small for future occupancy, toilets not used routinely by occupationally exposed personnel, stairways, automatic elevators, outside areas used only for pedestrians or vehicular traffic.

TABLE 3. Use factors(U)

[For use as a guide in planning shielding when complete data are not available.]

	Protective	Encl	osed
Installation use	all uses	Collimated sources	Open sources
Floor	. 1 1 1	1 14 1/16	1 1 1 1

Appendix B. Determination of Gamma-Ray Shielding Barrier Thicknesses

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

The thickness of shielding barrier necessary to reduce the gamma rays from a sealed gamma source to the maximum permissible level depends upon the energy of the radiation, source strength, design of the source housing, beam diameter, scattered radiation from irradiated objects, the use factor (fraction of the time during which the radiation is incident on the barrier), distance from the source to occupied areas, degree and nature of occupancy, type of installation, and the material of which the barrier is constructed.

Table 4 gives data on radioactive gamma-ray sources of interest for industrial purposes, including the energy of the gamma rays emitted. Tables 5 through 8 give shielding requirements for several commonly used types of source. Occasionally, conditions are not covered by the tables and it will then be necessary to resort to computation of the shielding requirements by using the transmission curves in various materials, figures 4 through 17.

Radioisotope	Atomic number	Half-life	Gamma-ray energy	Specific gamma-ray constant
Cesium 137 Chromium 51 Cobalt 60 Gold 198 Iridium 192 Radium 226 Tantalum 182	Z 55 24 27 79 77 88 73	27y 28d 5.2y 2.7d 74d 1622y 115d	MeV 0, 662 0, 323 1, 17, 1, 33 0, 412 0, 136, 1, 065 0, 047 to 2, 4 0, 066 to 1, 2	$\begin{array}{c} R/curie^{a}\\ h at 1 m\\ 0.32\\ \circ 0.018\\ 1.3\\ 0.23\\ \circ 0.5\\ \flat 0.825\\ \circ 0.6\end{array}$

TABLE 4	4.	Gamma-ray	sources
---------	----	-----------	---------

* These values assume that gamma-ray absorption in the source is negligible. Value in R/curie h at 1 m can be converted to R/millicurie h at 1 cm by multiplying by 10.

^b This value assumes that the source is sealed within a 0.50-mm thick platinum capsule.

• These values are less certain and in some cases are estimates.

The computation of the gamma shielding requirements may be simplified by considering separately: (a) the useful beam, (b) the radiation transmitted through the source housing (leakage radiation), and (c) the scattered radiation.²

USEFUL BEAM. The primary-shielding-barrier thickness may be obtained from figures 4, 5 and 6 if the permissible transmission of radiation is known.

 $^{^{2}}$ Equations (1) to (3) and the pertinent attenuation curves give the thickness of barrier when the radiation is incident normal to the surface. When the radiation is incident obliquely to the surface at an angle θ , the thickness of the barrier may not be equal to the thickness given by the equations and curves multiplied by the cosine for very oblique angles (7).

If more than one source will produce appreciable radiation in the occupied area, then all such sources must be considered in the barrier design.

The permissible transmission, B, may be calculated from

$$B = \frac{Pd^2}{WUT(3.28)^2} = \frac{0.1Pd^2}{WUT}$$
(1)

where

P is the permissible average weekly exposure (in roentgens) for design purposes, having a value of 0.1R for controlled areas and 0.01 R for the environs,

d is the distance from source to the position in question (in feet),

W is the weekly exposure in the useful beam at 1 m from the source (obtained by multiplying the roentgens per minute at 1 m by the weekly irradiation time in minutes, averaged over a year),

T is the occupancy factor, the fraction of the yearly irradiation time during which a person is exposed (see table 2, appendix A),

U is the use factor, the fraction of the workload during which the useful beam is pointed in the direction under consideration, and

3.28 is the conversion from meters to feet.

LEAKAGE RADIATION. Equation (1) may be used to compute the barrier requirements for this radiation, where W is the leakage radiation in roentgens per week measured at 1 m from the source, and U is equal to 1.

SCATTERED RADIATION. Radiation scattered from an irradiated object has a lower rate and is softer (of lower energy) than the incident beam. Both the energy and dose rate of the scattered beam vary with the angle of scattering and atomic number of the scatterer. Figures 7 and 8, the variation of $B_s \times (D_s/D_u)$ with barrier thickness, where B_s is the fractional transmission of the barrier, D_s is the unattenuated dose in the scattered beam at 1 m from the scatterer, and D_u is the dose incident on the scatterer. If the scatterer is at 1 m from the source and the field diameter is that given in the curves of figures 7 and 8

$$B_s \times \frac{D_s}{D_u} = \frac{0.1Pd^2}{WT} \cdot \tag{2}$$

If the scatterer is at 50 cm from the source and the field diameter is that given in the curves of figures 7 and 8

$$B_s \times \frac{D_s}{D_u} = \frac{0.025Pd^2}{WT} \tag{3}$$

for the same field size.

SECONDARY SHIELDING BARRIERS. The rules given above for scattered radiation and for leakage radiation may be used to compute the secondary-shielding-barrier thickness for each of the two separate effects. If the barrier thicknesses so computed separately are nearly equal (that is, differ by less than 3 HVL), then 1 HVL should be added to the larger singlebarrier thickness to obtain the required total.³ But if one of the thicknesses is more than 3 HVL greater than the other, the thicker one alone is adequate.⁴

SHIELDING. If the shielding is adequate for the useful radiation, that is, if it is a primary shielding barrier, it is more than adequate for leakage and scattered radiation. It should be determined, however, that radiation scattered around the primary shielding barrier does not cause a radiation hazard.

For reasons of economy, barriers usually should be placed as near to the source as possible. The barrier thickness is not reduced by this procedure but the area and therefore the volume are reduced; the barrier weight is approximately proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the barrier.

Concrete, marble, and similar materials generally provide the most economical barrier but lead may be required where the space is limited or where it is desirable to reduce the weight.

³ Each of the two effects thus produce a permissible dose. Together they produce twice the permissible dose. This radiation can be reduced to the permissible level by the addition of 1 HVL.

⁴ The larger thickness will permit transmission of the permissible level from one effect, plus not more than one-eighth (3 HVL) of the permissible level from the other effect. This one-eighth excess is negligible in view of other conservative approximations that are involved.

80,000 20,000 20,000 2,000 1,250 1,2	Curies e approx. 200 200 200 200 200 4 00 4 00	approx. 2500 2500 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500	ې م	5 5 7	20	Distance	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Thickness of lead (cm)	(ccm)	5 2 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 0 238 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 5 7 7 0 7 7 0 7 7 0 7 7 0 7 0	288 288 114 110 7 7	40 28 10 10 10 10 10
Prunary Secondary Leakage 4 0.1% Leakage 4 0.05% Scatter • 35° 60° 90° 120°	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 0.75\\ 0.43\\ 0.20\\ 0.20\\ 0.14\end{array}$	0.011222340 0.011222340 4550 4550 4550 4550 4550 4550 4550 4		$\begin{array}{c} 21.3\\ 21.3\\ 92.3\\ 8.75\\ 93.3\\ 15\\ 1.25\\ 0.75\\ 0$	20.1 10.10 7.90 6.5 1.05 0.65		1 6.0 6.15 6.15 0.65 0.65 0.40			14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		11. 7 0 0. 9 0 0. 9 0 0. 03 0 0. 03	$\begin{array}{c} 10.2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$

We work work with the first of the

WUT b	Curies ^e approx.	approx.			D	istance fro	Distance from source to occupied areas (ft)	o occupied	areas (ft)				
80,000 20,000 20,000 10,000		2000 500 250	rO	014	10	14 10 5	20 14 10 7	28 114 10	40 28 14	20 28 20 20	40 28	40	
5,000							СJ	24.4	10 5 5	14 10 5	20 14 7 5	28 20 114 7	28 14 10 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	Approx	rox.											
Type of barrier	IIVL (in)	TVL (in)				Thickı	Thickness of concrete (density 147 lb/cu ft) (in.)	rete (densit	ty 147 lb/cu	1 ft) (in.)			
Primary	2.6	8.6	47.5	45.1	42.7	40.3	37.8	35.4	32.9	30.5	28.0	25.6	23.1
Secondary: Leakage d 0. 1%		8° 8	23.1	20.7	18.3	15.6	12.9	10.2	7.3	4.4	$1.4 \\ 0$	00	00
atter = 30°		0000	30.6	28.1	25.7	23.2	20.6	18.2	15.8	13.3	10.9	20° 10	0°0 3°0
600	i 2 i	7.6	24.0	21.7	19.4	17.1	14.8	12.3	10.0	1.7	5.4	0.0	0.5
90° 120°	1.3	0 00 17 2 0 0 17	16.9 15.0	15.0 13.3	11.6	11.4 9.9	9 73 9 9 8 9 9 8	7.8 5.1 5.1	6.0 3.7 7	4.1 102	2.1 0.8 0.8	1.00	000

24

Assumes use raction (v) and occupancy about (v) are equal vout.
 A Refer to leakage radiation of source housing; may be ignored if less than 2.5 mR/h at 1 m in "on" position.
 For large field (20 cm diam) and a source-phantom distance of 40 to 60 cm. This includes scattering from the collimator and from the phantom. (From Braestrup and Wyckoff [1].)

TABLE	6A.	Cesium-137	Shielding	requirement	ts for	controlled	areas $^{\rm a}$
-------	-----	------------	-----------	-------------	--------	------------	------------------

WUT ^b in R/week at 1 meter				Dis	tance	in Fe	et froi	n Sou	rce to	Occup	ied A	rea	
$\begin{array}{c} 24,000 \\ 12,000 \\ 6,000 \\ 3,000 \\ 1,500 \\ 750 \\ 375 \\ \end{array}$				7 5	10 7 5	$\begin{array}{c}14\\10\\7\\5\end{array}$	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \end{array} $	40 28 20
m CD totion	App	orox.											
Type of Protective Barrier	HVL cm of Lead	<i>TVL</i> cm of Lead			I	lead T	hickn	ess in	Centi	meter	s		
Primary	0.65	2.1	10.5	9.9	9.3	8.6	8.0	7.4	6.7	6.1	5.5	4.8	4.1
Secondary Leakage ° 0.1% . 0.05% . Scatter ⁴ 35° . 45° . 60° . 90° . 120° .	$\begin{array}{c} 0.65 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	$2.1 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.4$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 5.3 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.1 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$3.5 \\ 2.9 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 $	$2.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 4.4 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.8 $	$2.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.5 \\ 2.9 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.7 \\$	$1.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.6 \\ $	$1.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.5 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ 0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{2}.2 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.1 \\ 0 \end{array}$

^a For a weekly design level of 100 mR; add one tenth-value layer (*TVL*) for noncontrolled areas, to reduce to 10 mR/week.

^b W – workload in R/week at 1 m, U– use factor, T– occupancy factor.
^c Refers to leakage radiation of source housing when source in "ON" condition; may be ignored if less

⁴ For large field (20 cm diam) and a source-scatterer distance of 50 cm. This includes only scattering from an obliquely positioned flat scatterer.

[From NCRP Report No. 34.]

TABLE 6B. Cesium-137 shielding requirements for controlled	areas a
---	---------

WUT ^b in R/week at 1 meter				Di	stance	in Fe	et fro	m Sou	rce to	Occup	pied A	rea	
$\begin{array}{c} 24,000 \\ 12,000 \\ 6,000 \\ 3,000 \\ 1,500 \\ 750 \\ 375 \\ \end{array}$			5	75	10 7 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14$	$ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 $
Type of Protective	App	rox.											
Barrier	HVL Inches of Con- crete					Coner	ete Tł	nickne	ss in	Inche	s ^c		
Primary	1.9	6.2	34.1	32.2	30.2	28.3	26.3	24.4	22.4	20.6	18.7	16.8	14.8
Secondary Leakage ^d 0.1%	$1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3$	$6.2 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.4$	15.5 13.6 21.5 18.0 15.8 14.5 13.1	$13.6 \\ 11.5 \\ 19.7 \\ 16.4 \\ 14.3 \\ 13.1 \\ 11.8 \\$	$11.5 \\ 9.6 \\ 17.9 \\ 14.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 11.7 \\ 10.5 \\ 10.5 \\ 11.5 \\ 10.5 \\ 1$	9.6 7.7 16.1 13.2 11.3 10.3 9.2	7.7 5.8 14.3 11.6 9.8 8.9 7.9	$5.8 \\ 3.9 \\ 12.5 \\ 10.0 \\ 8.3 \\ 7.5 \\ 6.6 \\ \end{cases}$	$3.9 \\ 2.0 \\ 10.7 \\ 8.4 \\ 6.8 \\ 6.1 \\ 5.3 \\ $	$2.0 \\ 0 \\ 8.9 \\ 6.8 \\ 5.3 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.0 \\ 1.0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 7.1 \\ 5.2 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 5.3 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.5 \\ 0 \end{array}$

^a For a weekly design level of 100 mR; add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for regions in the environs to reduce to 10 mR/week.

^b W – workload in R/week at 1 m, U – use factor, T – occupancy factor. ^c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³).

^d Refers to leakage radiation of source housing when source in "ON" condition; may be ignored if less

from an obliquely positioned flat scatterer. [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

Millicurie-hours	Distance to source									
	Radium	Cobalt 60	Cesium 137	Iridium 192	Gold 198					
	ft 0,9	ft 1, 2	ft 0. 6	ft 0, 7	ft					
))	1.6 3.0 5.1	2.1 3.8 6.5	1.0 1.9 3.2	$1.3 \\ 2.3 \\ 4.0$	0					
000	9.4	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 11.9 \\ 20.5 \end{array} $	5.2 5.8 10.1	4.0 7.4 12.7	2 5 9					
.000		37.6	18.5	23.2	- 15					

TABLE 7. Relation between distance and millicurie-hours for an exposure of 0.1 R from an unshielded source

TABLE 8. Protection requirements (in centimeters of lead) for various gamma-ray sources

	TVL	Radium ¤=5.5 cm			Cobalt 60 = 4.1 cm			Cesium 137 TVLª=2.2 cm lead			
Millicurie- hours	Thickn	ess of lea	d requi	red to redi	uce radia	tion to 1	00 mR b	at a dis	ance of—		
	1 ft	3.2 ft	6.5 ft	1 ft	3.2 ft	6.5 ft	1 ft	3.2 ft	6.5 ft		
100. 300 3,000 3,000 10,000 30,000 100,000	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$0 \\ 0 \\ 1.1 \\ 3.1 \\ 5.5 \\ 7.8 \\ 10.5$	$5.0 \\ 7.0 \\ 9.1 \\ 11.0 \\ 13.1 \\ 15.0 \\ 17.2$	$0.7 \\ 2.8 \\ 4.9 \\ 6.8 \\ 8.9 \\ 10.8 \\ 12.9$	$0 \\ 0 \\ 2.5 \\ 4.4 \\ 6.5 \\ 8.4 \\ 10.5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 2.1 \\ 3.3 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 6.4 \\ 7.5 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.1 \\ 3.2 \\ 4.2 \\ 5.3 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 4.0 \end{array} $		
Millieurie-hours			TVI	ridium 19 *=2.0 cm s of lead i	lead required		TVL*=	old 198 1.1 cm l			
		-	1 ft	3.2 ft	6.5 ft	1 f	t :	3.2 ft	6.5 ft		
100			$\begin{array}{c} 0.8\\ 1.4\\ 2.2\\ 3.1\\ 4.0\\ 5.0\\ 6.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$	0 0 0. 1. 2. 2.		0.4 0.9 1.5 2.1 3.0 3.9 5.3	$0 \\ 0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.9$	0 0 0, 2 0, 8 1, 3 1, 9		

* Approximate value obtained with large attenuation. $^{\rm b}$ Add one tenth-value layer (TVL) to reduce radiation to 10 mR.




FIGURE 4. Transmission through concrete (density 147 lb/ft³) of gamma rays from radium [14]; cobalt 60, cesium 137, gold 198 [7]; iridium 192 [15].




FIGURE 5. Transmission through iron of gamma rays from radium [14]; cobalt 60, cesium 137 [7]; iridium 192 [15].




FIGURE 6. Transmission through lead of gamma rays from selected radionuclides.

Radium (Wyckoff and Kennedy [14]), cobalt-60, cesium-137, gold-198 (Kirn et al. [7]), iridium-192 (Ritz [15]).




FIGURE 7a. Transmission through concrete (density 147 lb/ft³) of cobalt 60 scattered radiation from cylindrical Masonite phantom, 20-cm diam field at 1 m from source [10].




FIGURE 7b. Transmission through lead of cobalt 60 scattered radiation from cylindrical Masonite phantom, 20-cm diam field at 1 m from source [10].




FIGURE 8a. Transmission through concrete (density 147 lb/ft³) of cesium 137 radiation scattered at the indicated angles from an oblique concrete barrier [4].




FIGURE 8b. Transmission through lead of cesium 137 radiation scattered at the indicated angles from an oblique concrete barrier [4].




FIGURE 9. Relation between amount of radium, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 10. Relation between amount of radium, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 11. Relation between amount of radium, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 12. Relation between amount of cobalt 60 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 13. Relation between amount of cobalt 60 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 14. Relation between amount of cobalt 60 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 15. Relation between amount of cesium 137 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 16. Relation between amount of cesium 137 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.




FIGURE 17. Relation between amount of cesium 137 or Rhm, distance, and shielding for controlled areas to reduce exposure to 0.1 R per 40 h.

Appendix C. Tables of General X-Ray Information

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

Some of the tables included in this appendix and in appendix D (tables 12, 15A, and 15B) refer to a protective tube housing, which is defined as a tube housing so constructed that the leakage radiation at a distance of one meter from the target does not exceed one roentgen in one hour when the tube is operated at its maximum rating. The leakage radiation should be averaged over an area of 100 cm².

TABLE 9.	Distance	protection	(in feet)	against	useful	beam	in	controlled
			areas					

Kilovoltage	50	70	100	250	1,000	2,000
X-ray output (K ₀) (R/ma- min at 1 m)	0.05	0.1	0.4	2	20	280
WUT a			Distanc	e in feet	·	
2	$\begin{array}{c} 3\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 22\\ 24\\ 27\\ 300\\ 422\\ 47\\ 500\\ 69\\ 9\\ 75\\ 95\\ 125\end{array}$	$5 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 17 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 33 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 42 \\ 55 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ 65 \\ 70 \\ 0 \\ 102 \\ 130 \\ 180 $	9 13 17 19 23 25 35 35 44 47 47 62 66 75 80 100 107 120 130 165 175 2200 250 350	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 28\\ 37\\ 40\\ 47\\ 52\\ 992\\ 120\\ 140\\ 210\\ 210\\ 210\\ 235\\ 250\\ 310\\ 330\\ 370\\ 480\\ 640 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 76\\ 105\\ 115\\ 130\\ 145\\ 190\\ 230\\ 320\\ 335\\ 375\\ 400\\ 530\\ 530\\ 550\\ 550\\ 550\\ 530\\ 570\\ 600\\ 720\\ 600\\ 720\\ 600\\ 720\\ 1, 300\\ 1, 300\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 270\\ 335\\ 356\\ 550\\ 650\\ 850\\ 850\\ 850\\ 850\\ 1,000\\ 1,156\\ 1,200\\ 1,275\\ 1,350\\ 1,200\\ 1,950\\ 1,575\\ 1,700\\ 1,950\\ 2,350\\ 2,350\\ 2,350\\ 1,200\\ 1,950\\ 2,350\\ 2$

[For design purposes only, the maximum permissible exposure is taken to be 100 mR/wk.]

* W=workload in milliampere-minutes per week.

U=use factor. T=occupancy factor.

TABLE 10. Distance protection (in feet) against useful beam in areas outside of controlled areas (environs)

	70	100	250	1,000	2,000
0.05	0.1	0.4	2	20	280
		Distance	in feet		
$\begin{array}{c} 11\\ 15\\ 18\\ 20\\ 23\\ 25\\ 32\\ 52\\ 38\\ 40\\ 53\\ 56\\ 62\\ 65\\ 85\\ 90\\ 100\\ 110\\ 135\\ 145\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 20\\ 25\\ 27\\ 31\\ 35\\ 45\\ 55\\ 59\\ 78\\ 84\\ 95\\ 102\\ 130\\ 145\\ 150\\ 160\\ 200\\ 210\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30\\ 38\\ 50\\ 60\\ 65\\ 85\\ 102\\ 110\\ 140\\ 150\\ 165\\ 175\\ 220\\ 232\\ 250\\ 270\\ 330\\ 345 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 777\\ 95\\ 100\\ 116\\ 127\\ 165\\ 210\\ 265\\ 280\\ 310\\ 330\\ 400\\ 420\\ 460\\ 490\\ 570\\ 600\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 160\\ 220\\ 255\\ 270\\ 310\\ 510\\ 530\\ 670\\ 750\\ 800\\ 940\\ 990\\ 1, 050\\ 1, 100\\ 1, 250\\ 1, 300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 488\\ 599\\ 699\\ 722\\ 800\\ 855\\ 1,00\\ 1,200\\ 1,55\\ 1,555\\ 1,555\\ 1,555\\ 1,600\\ 1,800\\ 1,800\\ 2,200\\ 2,$
	$11 \\ 15 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 23 \\ 325 \\ 322 \\ 323 \\ 323 \\ 325 \\ 322 \\ 325$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Distance 11 15 30 15 20 38 18 25 50 20 27 52 23 31 60 25 35 65 32 45 85 38 55 102 40 59 110 53 78 150 65 102 175 85 130 220 90 145 232 100 150 250 115 200 330 145 210 345	Distance in feet 11 15 30 50 15 20 38 77 18 25 50 95 20 27 52 100 23 31 60 116 25 35 65 127 32 45 85 165 38 55 102 195 40 59 110 210 53 78 140 265 62 95 165 310 65 102 175 330 85 130 220 400 90 145 232 420 100 150 250 460 115 200 330 570 135 200 330 570 145 210 345 600 165 240 375 650	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

[For design purposes only, the maximum permissible exposure is taken to be 10 mR/wk.]

* W=workload in milliampere-minutes per week. U=use factor. T=occupancy factor.

TABLE 11. Half-value and tenth-value layers

Approximate values obtained at *high attenuation* for the indicated peak voltage values under broad-beam conditions; with *low attenuation* these values will be significantly less.

		A	Attenuatin	g Materia	1	
Peak Voltage (kV)	Lead	(mm)	Concre	te (in.)	Steel (in.)	
	HVL	TVL	HVL	TVL	HVL	TVL
50	0.05	0.16	0.17	0.6		
70		0.5	0.33	1.1		
100	0.24	0.8	0.6	2.0		
125	0.27	0,9	0.8	2.6		
150	0.29	0.95	0.88	2.9		
200	0.48	1.6	1.0	3.3		
250	0.9	3.0	1.1	3.7		
300	1.4	4.6	1.23	4.1		
400	2.2	7.3	1.3	4.3		
500	3.6	11.9	1.4	4.6	1	
1,000		26	1.75	5.8	1	
2,000		42	2.5	8.3	1	
3,000		48.5	2.9	9.5	1	
4,000	16.5	54.8	3.6	12.0	1.08	3.6
6,000	1	56.6	4.1	13.7	1.2	4.0
10,000	16.5	55.0	4.6	15.3		
Cesium-137	6.5	21.6	1.9	6.2	0.64	2.3
Cobalt-60	12	40	2.45	8.1	0.82	2.7
Radium	16.6	55	2.7	9.2	0.88	2.9

[From NCRP Report No. 34.]

TABLE	12.	Secondary	barrier	requirements	for	leakage	radiation
	f	rom protecti	ve tube h	ousings for cor	itrol	led areas	

	[II.dd 0.0	min for chird	01101		
	Op	erating time	in hours per	week	
tance from	 	10	1.5	0.5	40

[Add 3.3 hv] for environs]

Distance from target in feet	2	5	10	15	25	40
		Nu	mber of half-	value layers		
	4.6	5, 9	6, 9	7.5	8.2	8.
	3.8	5.1	6.1	6.7	7.4	8.
	3.2	4.5	5. 5	6.1	6, 8	7.
	2.6	3.9	4.9	5.5	6.3	7.
	2.2	3.5	4.5	5.1	5.8	6.
	1.8	3, 1	4.1	4.7	5.4	6,
	1.5	2.8	3.7	4.3	5.1	5.
)	1.2	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.8	5.
	0, 6	1.9	2.9	3.5	4.3	4.
5	0.2	1.3	2.3	2.9	3.6	4.
		0.5	1.5	2.0	2.8	3.
)			0.3	0.9	1.6	2.

Material	Average	density ^a
Material	g/cm ³	lb/ft ³
Aluminum	2.7	169
Bricks: Fire clay Kaolin Silica	2.1	128 131 111
Clay	2.2	137
Cements: Colemanite borated Plain (1 Portland cement: 3 sand mixture)	$1.95 \\ 2.07$	$122 \\ 129$
Concretes: Barytes born frits Barytes-born frits Barytes-limonite Aarytes-limonite Iaarytes-limonite Iaarytes-limonite Iaarytes-limonite-colemanite Iaarytes-Iaarytes-limonite-colemanite Iaarytes-Iaaryt	$\begin{array}{c} 3.25\\ 3.25\\ 3.1\\ 6.0\end{array}$	218 203 203 194 375 362 137
Glass: Borosilicate Lead (hi-D). Plate (avg.)		139 399 150
Iron	7.86	491
Lead	11.34	708
Lucite (polymethyl methacrylate)	1.19	74
Rocks: Granite Limestone Sandstone	2.91	153 182 150
Sand	2.2	137
Sand plaster	1.54	96
Type 347 stainless steel	7.8	. 487
Steel (1% carbon)	7.83	489
Uranium	18.7	1,167
Uranium hydride	11.5	718
Water	1.0	62

TABLE 13. Densities of commercial building materials

^a Compiled from data in Ref. [26]. ^b Concrete mixtures for shielding reported by R. B. Gallaher and A. S. Kitzes, Summary Report on Portland Cement Concretes for Shielding, USAEC Report ORNL-1414, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 2, 1953.

TABLE 14. Commercial lead sheets

Т	hickness	Weight in p 1 square fo	
Inches	Millimeter equivalent	Nominal weight	Actual weight
1/64	0.40	1	0.92
3/128	0.60	11/2	1.38
1/32	0.79	2	1.85
5/128	1.00	21/2	2.31
3/64	1.19	3	2.76
7/128	1.39	31/2	3.22
_	1.50	-	3.48
1/16	1.58	4 5	3.69
5/64	1.98	5	4.60
3/32	2.38	6	5.53
	2.5	_	5.80
_	3.0		6.98
1/8	3.17	8	7.38
5/32	3.97	10	9.22
3/16	4.76	12	11.06
7/32	5.55	14	12.9
1/4	6.35	16	14.75
1/3	8.47	20	19.66
2/5	10.76	24	23.60
1/2	12.70	30	29.50
2/3	16.93	40	39.33
1	25.40	60	59.00

Notes:

1. The density of commercially rolled lead is 11.36 g/cm³. 2. The commercial tolerances are ± 0.005 inches for lead up to $7\!\!/_{128}$ and $\pm\!1\!\!/_{32}$ for heavier sheets.

3. It should be noted that lead sheet less than 1/32 inch o. To show be noted that lead sheet less than 4/22 inch thick is frequently more expensive than heavier sheet in cost of material and cost of installation.
 *Permission: Lead Industries Association, Inc., 292 Madison Ave., New York.
 [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

Appendix D. X-Ray Shielding Tables for Controlled Areas and Environs

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

	11 q	ь <i>WUT</i>					Dista	nce from tu	Distance from tube to occupied area ft	sied area				
	40,000 20,000 5,000 10,000 1,250 1,250 310 251 155 1550 155	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		نې ا	n~ ∩.	0.45	40N-0	5 ×10 5 ×10	5-15 <u>15</u> 88	6425240 55710 55710 55710 5570	5 -1 10 228 5 -1 10 228 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	64 2 2 5 4 0 5 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 4 0	042 286 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 10	280 140 10 10
Potential	Approx	Approximate ¢	Type					Thiokno	Thistness of load					
	IIVL	TVL	barrier ^d						mm mm					
kv100	mm 0.2	mm 0.7		3.2	2.9	2.7	2.4	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.8
150	0.3	1.0	Sec. Prim.	4.1	3. S 3. S	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.7	0.8 2.4	0.6 2.1	0.4	0.2	0.1
200	0.5	1.7	Sec. Prim.	6.4	2.9	5.4	1.4 8.0	1.5	3.9	0.9 3.4	3.0	0.5	0.3 7 3 3	2.0
250	0.9	3.0	Sec. Prim.	4.1	3. 7 10. 4	3.1 9.5	00 0100	2:2	1.8 6.8	1.4 6.0	5.2	0.8 4.4	9.0 3.0	0 8 0 0 7 0
300	1.7	5.6	Sec. Prim. Sec.	$ \begin{array}{c} 6.4 \\ 21.6 \\ 12.0 \\ \end{array} $	5.7 19.8 10.4	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16.3	3.5 14.6 2 2	13 12 8 13 12 8 13 12 8	2.2	9.8 9.8 3.9		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0°2°0

^a For a design level of 100 mR/week. Add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for environs to reduce radiation to 10 mR/week. ^bW = workload in ma-min/week. U = use factor. T = occupancy factor. T is equal to one for controlled areas and may be less than one for environs. ^dMese values are obtained which filtration. ^dSecondary barrier requirement assumes the use of a protective tube housing. (See appendix C for definition.)

44

282220 $\substack{\mathbf{22.8}\\\mathbf{22.1}\\\mathbf{22.0}\\\mathbf{22.0}\\\mathbf{22.0}\\\mathbf{22.0}\\\mathbf{22.0}\\\mathbf{23.0}\\\mathbf{$ $^{-10}_{-10}$ 4.12.4.9.2.5.5.8.9.4.0 4.12.4.9.2.5.2.5.8.0 8.1.0.6.9.5.2.5.8.0 5-151288 TABLE 15B. Industrial x-ray shielding requirements for controlled areas^a [1] 222222 Thickness of concrete (density 147 lb/ft 3) d, • Distance from tube to occupied area 646004108825 6500641888 5-15228 6.0 3.4 5.6 15.7 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 8812228 Ľ 85840515555 86914057555 0451-0 98.92 98.92 98.22 98.22 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 98.23 97.53 2012 2 ~ 5 8.0 11.5 14.8 8.6 11.0 8.6 110.3 110.3 110.3 1-10 ŝ barrier^f Type of Sec. Prim. Sec. Prim. Prim. Sec. Prim. Prim. 2. 2. 2. Sec. *in.* 2.01 3.0 3.8 3.6 4.0 JVT. Approximate • WUT 40,000 5,000 5,000 1,250 310 310 155 1.1-1.1+ in. 0.6 -0.9 ... HVL Potential 150 $\frac{lr}{100}$ 200 250 300

^a For a design level of 100 mR/week. Add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for environs to reduce radiation to 10 mR/week. b W= workload in manih/week. J = use factor, f = occupancy factor. T is equal to one for controlled areas and may be less than one for environs. "These values are obtained at high filtration."

 $^{\rm d}$ For other densities, $\rho,$ the tabular values should be multiplied by $\frac{147.}{1}$

"The indicated values for inches of concrete are for pulsating potentials; for constant potentials, 5 to 15 percent larger thicknesses of concrete may be required.

"Secondary barrier requirement assumes the use of a protective tube housing. (See appendix C for definition.)

WUT ^a in mA	A min/week		Dis	tance	in fee		n sour cupied		ay tul	be tar	get)	
2,000 1,000 500 250 125		7	10 7	$\begin{array}{c} 14\\10\\7\end{array}$	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20$	$\frac{40}{28}$
Type of area	Material			Pri	mary	shield	ing ba	rrier	thickr	iess		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	$130 \\ 155$	$122 \\ 147$	$\begin{array}{c} 114\\ 140 \end{array}$	107 132	99 124	$91 \\ 117$	84 110	76 101	68 94	60 8 6	52 78
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ^c Concrete, in ^c	32 38	31 36	29 3 5	27 . 33	25 3 1	23 29	$\frac{22}{28}$	19.5 26	$^{18}_{24}$	$^{16.5}_{22}$	$15 \\ 21$
				Sec	ondary	shie	ding b	parrie	thick	ness		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \\ 71 \end{array}$	36 63	$\frac{28}{55}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 47 \end{array}$	16 39	10 31	$\frac{5}{24}$	18 2	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 12 \end{array}$		0 0
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ° Concrete, in °	$\begin{array}{c} 14.5\\ 19.5 \end{array}$	13.0 18.0	11.5 16.5	10.0 15.0	8.5 13.5	7.0 12.0	5.5 10.5	4.0 9.0	$2.5 \\ 7.5$	1.0 6.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$

TABLE 16. Shielding requirements for 1 MV x-ray installations

 a W- workload in mA min/week, U- use factor, T- occupancy factor. b See Table 14 for conversion of thickness in millimeters to inches or to surface density. c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³). [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

WUT a in m	A min/week		Dis	tance	in fee		n sour cupiec	ce (x-r l area	ay tul	oe tar	get)	
1,000 500 250		7	10 7	$\begin{array}{c}14\\10\\7\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14$	40 28 20	40 28
Type of area	Material			Pri	mary	shield	ling ba	arrier	thickn	ess		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	237 280	224 267	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 254 \end{array}$	199 241	$ \begin{array}{r} 186 \\ 228 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 173 \\ 215 \end{array} $	160 203	147 190	$134 \\ 177$	121 164	108 152
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ° Concrete, in °	$50 \\ 58$	47 56	45 53	$\frac{42}{51}$	40 48	37 46	35 43	$^{32}_{41}$	30 3 8	27.0 36	25 33
		_		Seco	ondar	y shiel	ding b	arriei	thick	ness		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	67 106	55 94	$\begin{array}{c} 44\\82\end{array}$	32 71	20 5 9	$\begin{array}{c} 13\\47\end{array}$	8 36	$ \begin{array}{c} 5\\ 24 \end{array} $	2 14	0 7	0
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ° Concrete, in °	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 22 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 20 \end{array}$	$ 12 \\ 18 $	10 16	$^{8.2}_{14}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 12.5 \end{array}$	5 10.5	2 9	0 7	$0 \\ 5.5$	0 3,5

TABLE 17. Shielding requirement for 2 MV x-ray installations

 a W- workload in mA min/week, U- use factor, T- occupancy factor. b See Table 14 for conversion of thickness in millimeters to inches or to surface density. c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³). [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

WUT ^a in m	A min/week		Dis	stance	in fee		n sour cupied		ay tul	oe tarş	zet)	
1,000 500 250 125		7	10 7	$\begin{array}{c}14\\10\\7\end{array}$	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{array} $	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 28\\ 20\end{array}$	40 28
Type of area	Material			Pri	mary	shield	ing ba	rrier	thickr	iess		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	$\frac{308}{360}$	$\begin{array}{c} 294\\ 343 \end{array}$	$\frac{279}{328}$	$\frac{265}{313}$	250 298	$235 \\ 284$	220 269	$205 \\ 254$	$\begin{array}{c} 190 \\ 240 \end{array}$	$175 \\ 225$	$\begin{array}{c}160\\210\end{array}$
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ^c Concrete, in ^c	$\begin{array}{c} 64 \\ 73 \end{array}$	61 71	58 68	$55 \\ 65$	53 62	$50 \\ 59$	$47 \\ 56$	$\frac{44}{53}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 41 \\ 51 \end{array} $	$\frac{38}{48}$	$35 \\ 45$
				Seco	ondary	shiel	ding b	arrier	thick	ness		
Controlled Noncontrolled	Lead, mm ^b Lead, mm ^b	93 143	78 128	$63 \\ 113$	48 98	34 83	22 68	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 53 \end{array}$	9 38	6 23	3 8	0 0
Controlled Noncontrolled	Concrete, in ° Concrete, in °	19.3 29	$\begin{smallmatrix}16.8\\26\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.5\\ 23\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.5\\ 20.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8\\ 17.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.0\\ 14.8\end{array}$	7.4 12.1	5.8 9.4	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0\\ 6.7\end{array}$	0 4.0	0 0

TABLE 18. Shielding requirements for 3 MV x-ray installations

^a W-workload in mA min/week, U-use factor, T-occupancy factor.
 ^b See Table 14 for conversion of thickness in millimeters to inches or to surface density.
 ^c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³).
 [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

WUT ^b in R/ week at 1 meter				I	Distan	ce in			source ed are		y tub	e targ	et) to
160,000 80,000 40,000 20,000 10,000 5,000 2,500 				5	75	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \end{array} $
	TVL	Rat	tios										
Type of Protective barrier	inches of concrete	Lead to con- crete	Steel to con- crete			Thi	cknes	s of co	oncreto	e in in	ches ^c		
Primary	11.4	.189	.315	66.5	63.1	59.8	56.1	52.9	49.4	46.1	42.5	39.1	37.5
Secondary Leakage ^d 0.1% Scatter 30° 45° 60° 90° 135°	$8.8 \\ 7.7 \\ 7.4 \\ 7.0 \\ 5.8$.189	.315	32.3 36.2 30.9 26.8 20.9 15.7	28.9 33.4 28.3 24.4 18.8 14.0	25.6 30.7 25.8 22.2 16.6 12.3	21.9 27.8 23.1 19.7 14.6 10.5	$18.7 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.6 \\ 17.4 \\ 12.5 \\ 9.0$	$15.2 \\ 22.2 \\ 17.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 10.4 \\ 7.2$	$11.9 \\ 19.4 \\ 15.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 8.3 \\ 5.6$	$8.3 \\16.4 \\12.8 \\10.2 \\6.1 \\3.8$	$4.9 \\13.7 \\10.2 \\7.9 \\4.1 \\2.2$	$1.5 \\ 10.9 \\ 7.6 \\ 5.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.5$

TABLE 19.	Shielding	requirements	for 4	MV	x-ray	installations	for
		controlled	l area	s ^a			

^a For a weekly design level of 100 mR; add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for noncontrolled areas, to reduce ^b Tor a weekly design level of 100 mH, and one term, range layer (= = to 10mR/week. ^b \overline{W} -workload in R/week at 1 m, U-use factor, T-occupancy factor. ^c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³).

^d Leakage radiation of tube housing.

[From NCRP Report No. 34,]

TABLE 20. Shielding requirements for 6 MV x-ray installations for controlled areas a

WUT ^b in R/week at 1 meter					Distar	nce in			ource (pied ar		tube t	arget)	
160,000 80,000 20,000 10,000 5,000 2,500				5	75	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14$
Type of	TVL Inches	Ra	tios		,								
protective barrier	of concrete	Lead to concrete	Steel to concrete			Thic	kness	of cor	ncrete	in inc	hes ^c		
Primary	13.7	.163	.292	80,0	75,9	71.8	67.7	63.6	59.5	55.3	51.2	47.0	42.9
Secondary Leakage ⁴ 0.1%Scatter 30°45° 60° 90°	$13.7 \\ 10.5 \\ 9.2 \\ 8.0 \\ 7.0$.163	.292	38.9 38.5 28.4 22.9 18.3	34.8 35.3 25.6 20.5 16.2	30.7 32.3 22.8 18.1 14.1	26.6 29.2 20.1 15.7 12.0	22.5 26.0 17.3 13.3 9.9	18.4 22.9 14.5 10.9 7.8	$14.3 \\ 19.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 8.4 \\ 5.7$	$10.2 \\ 16.5 \\ 9.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ $	6.1 13.4 6.2 3.6 1.5	2.0 10.2 3.4 1.2 0
135°	5.7			14.1	12.4	10.6	8.9	7.2	5.4	3.6	1.9	0	0

^a For a weekly design level of 100 mR; add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for noncontrolled areas, to re-^a For a weekly design level of 100 mK; add one tenth-value layer (1) duce to 10 mR/week.
 ^b W-workload in R/week at 1 m, U-use factor, T-occupancy factor.
 ^c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³).
 ^d Leakage radiation of tube housing. [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

TABLE 21.	Shielding	requirements	for 10	MV	x-ray	installations	for
		controlled	areas	а			

WUT ^b in R/week at 1 meter				Distar	nce in		rom so occup			tube t	arget)	
160,000 80,000 20,000 10,000 5,000 2,500 2,500			5	7 5	10 7 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 7$	$56 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 14 \end{array} $
Type of protective barrier	TVL Inches of concrete	to			Thic	kness	of cor	icrete	in inc	hes °		
Primary	15.3	concrete	89.3	84.7	80.1	75.5	70.8	66.2	61.6	57.0	52.4	47.8
Secondary Leakage ^d 0.1%	15.3	.141	43.4	38.8	34.2	29.6	24.9	20.3	15.7	11.1	6.5	1.9

 $^{\rm a}$ For a weekly design level of 100 mR; add one tenth-value layer (TVL) for noncontrolled areas, to reduce to 10 mR/week.

reduce to 10 mR/week.
^b W - workload in R/week at 1 m, U - use factor, T - occupancy factor.
^c Thickness based on concrete density of 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³).
^d Leakage radiation of tube housing.
Note: Based on Bly and Burrill, Symposium-Non-destructive Testing in Missile Industry, Special Technical Publication 278, American Society for Testing and Materials (1959).
[From NCRP Report No. 34.]

Appendix E. Determination of X-Ray Shielding Barrier Thicknesses

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

The thickness of shielding barrier necessary to reduce the exposure rate from any x-ray machine to the maximum permissible level depends upon the quality of the radiation, the quantity being produced in some chosen period of time, the distance from the tube to the occupied area, the degree and nature of the occupancy, the type of area, and the material of which the barrier is constructed. Tables 15 through 21, appendix D, give the thicknesses of lead required under a wide variety of conditions which are commonly met. Occasionally conditions may be encountered which are not covered by the tables. The necessary barrier thickness may then be computed by the use of equations 1 to 5 and the curves shown in figures 18 to 24 of this appendix.

COMPUTATION OF PRIMARY SHIELDING BARRIER THICKNESSES

By definition, primary shielding barriers protect against the radiation of the useful beam. It has been found experimentally that the transmission of x-rays through thick barriers is closely related to the peak operating potential of the x-ray tube. The filtration added to the useful beam in an x-ray machine is always small in comparison with the attenuation afforded by the barrier, and hence the barrier thickness required at a given kilovoltage is essentially independent of any changes in half-value-layer caused by added filtration in the machine. Thus, it is sufficient, for the purposes of protection calculations, to establish transmission curves specified in kilovolts under conditions of minimum added filtration. It has also been found that at any given kilovoltage and with minimum added filtration the exposure rate produced by any xray machine is nearly a constant when expressed in terms of roentgens per milliampere-minute at a distance of 1 m.

Figures 18 through 22 show the exposure rate measured in roentgens per milliampere-minute at a distance of 1 m from the target of the x-ray tube which would be transmitted through barriers of various thicknesses. The ordinate of the figures, given the symbol K, is the transmitted exposure per milliampere-minute at a reference distance of 1 m. The abscissa is the thickness of absorbing material required to give the desired value of K. Families of curves are shown for various kilovoltages and absorbing materials. In order to calculate the required barrier thickness for any set of parameters, it is only necessary to determine the allowed value of K and then to find the corresponding thickness on the appropriate kilovoltage curve for the barrier material which is to be used.

The value of K will depend first of all on the maximum permissible dose which is to be used. For design purposes only, this may be taken to be 100 mR/week for controlled areas and 10 mR/week for environs. Secondly, it will depend upon the workload (W), use factor (U), occupancy factor (T), and the distance (d) from the target to the area of interest. The smaller the product of WUT and the greater the distance, the larger the permitted value of K. Larger WUT values and shorter distances will result in smaller values for K.

The relation between these variables may be expressed by the equation.

$$K = \frac{Pd^2}{WUT} , \qquad (1)$$

where

P = Maximum permissible dose equivalent

0.1 R/week for controlled areas

0.01 R/week for environs

- d = distance in meters. (If distance in feet is used, this becomes d/3.28.)
- W = workload in mA-min/week. (This should, insofar as possible, be averaged over a period of at least several months and preferably a year.
- U =use factor.
- T =occupancy factor. (See table 2 of appendix A for suggested values.)

EXAMPLE:

Find the primary shielding barrier thickness necessary to protect a controlled area 32.8 ft from the target of an x-ray machine operating at a maximum energy of 100 kVp. The wall in question has a use factor of $^{1}/_{4}$, the workload is estimated to average 1,000 mA-min/week, and the occupancy factor of the area to be protected is 1.

$$P = 0.1 \text{ R/week}$$

 $d = 32.8/3.28 = 10$
 $W = 1,000$
 $U = 1/4$
 $T = 1.$

Therefore,

$$K = \frac{0.1 \times 100}{1,000 \times \frac{1}{4} \times 1} = 0.04$$

Reference to figures 18 and 19 shows that the required barrier thickness is 0.4-mm lead or $1^{1/2}$ in of concrete.

Attention should be given at this point to the amount of protection which may be supplied by the structural materials of the wall. Often these appreciably attenuate the radiation and can be considered as fulfilling at least part of the barrier requirements. Unfortunately, there are few detailed attenuation data for these materials (12), but to a first approximation, their concrete equivalents⁵ may be calculated on the basis of density alone. Concrete equivalent in inches is equal to the density of the material in question multiplied by the thickness of the material in inches and divided by 2.35. When these materials are of higher atomic number than concrete, this approximation tends to underestimate the concrete equivalent (i.e., the result is somewhat more shielding than is needed). Table 13 in appendix C lists some common building materials and their average densities.

For example, we may assume in the problem just given that there is already 1.0 in of sand plaster in the wall. Reference to table 13 shows that this material has an average density of 1.54 g/cm³, making a concrete equivalent of 0.65 in already present. The remaining protection requirement of 0.85 in of concrete is shown in table 11, appendix C, to be just slightly more than 1 *HVL* for 100 kVp highly filtered radiation. Thus, the addition of 0.3 mm of lead would amply take care of the situation.

COMPUTATION OF SECONDARY SHIELDING BARRIERS

Again by definition, secondary shielding barriers are those exposed only to leakage and scattered radiation. Obviously, the use factor for these radiations is always one. Since these radiations may be of considerably different qualities, their barrier requirements must be computed separately. Furthermore, as the qualities and other factors differ greatly under various combinations of circumstances, there is no single method of computation that is always wholly satisfactory. However, for first approximations, the following rules may be used as guides.

LEAKAGE RADIATION. The number of HVL's required in the secondary barrier for leakage radiation alone depends upon: (1) the operating potential of the tube; (2) the weekly

⁵Concrete equivalent is defined as the thickness of concrete of density 2.35 g/cm³ (147 lb/ft³) affording the same attenuation, under specified conditions, as the material in question.

operating time of the tube; (3) the distance from the tube to the occupied area; (4) the nature and degree of occupancy; and (5) whether the area in question is a controlled area. The maximum amount of leakage radiation allowed through a protective tube housing is 1 R at 1 m in any 1 h. Thus, the workload is measured only in terms of the average number of hours of actual operating time per week. Table 11 in appendix C gives representative HVL thicknesses for lead and concrete for various kilovoltages. Table 12 gives the number of HVL's necessary to reduce the exposure rate to the required degree for various weekly operating times and various distances for both controlled areas and environs. The required barrier thickness for leakage radiation alone may be found simply by determining the number of HVL's necessary to reduce the exposure rate to the permissible level for the given distance and operating time and multiplying this number by the thickness of the HVL of lead or concrete for the given kilovoltage. As mentioned before, if building materials other than concrete are used, the necessary thickness may be computed on the basis of their concrete equivalents.

SCATTERED RADIATION. The amount and energy of the scattered radiation depend on a large number of factors. These include the incident exposure rate, the cross-sectional area of the beam at the irradiated object, the absorption in the object, the angle of scattering and the operating potential of the x-ray tube. However, in shielding design certain simplifications can be made. For x-rays generated at potentials below 500 kV Compton scattering does not greatly degrade the photon energy and the scattering object also acts as an absorber for the lower energy photons. For design purposes the 90° scattered radiation generated from a useful beam produced at a potential of less than 500 kV, may be assumed to have the same average energy as the useful beam. Consequently, the transmission curve for the useful beam may be used in determining necessary barrier thickness. In the energy range from 0.5 to 3 MeV, the 90° scattered radiation is, to a first approximation, equal in energy distribution to x-rays generated by potentials of 500 kV regardless of the kilovoltage of the useful beam. Therefore, in this higher voltage range, the 500 kVcp transmission curve may be used in the calculation of the secondary barrier thickness. It has been shown that the amount of 90° scattered radiation is approximately 0.1 percent of that incident upon the scatterer. Thus, a K value 1,000 times greater may be allowed for scattered radiation than for that of the useful beam. However, the exposure rate at a fixed distance increases with the x-ray kilovoltage. Therefore, in order to use the 500 kVcp curve for the scattered radiation, K must be decreased by a factor of 20 for 1,000 kVcp radiation, by 120 for 2,000 kVcp, and by 300 for 3,000 kVcp.

Equation (1) may, therefore, be used for the computation of secondary barriers subject to the following modifications:

(a). For scattered radiation from useful beams generated at 500 kVcp or below.

$$K = \frac{1,000 \times P \times d^2}{WT}$$
 (Use curve for kV of useful beam).

 $(2)^{6}$

(b). For scattered radiation from useful beams generated at 1.000 kVcp.

$$K = \frac{1,000 \times P \times d^2}{20 WT}$$
(Use 500 kVcp curve). (3)⁷

(c). For scattered radiation from useful beams generated at 2,000 kVcp.

$$K = \frac{1,000 \times P \times d^2}{120 WT}$$
(Use 500 kVcp curve). (4)⁷

(d) For scattered radiation from useful beams generated at 3,000 kVcp.

$$K = \frac{1,000 \times P \times d^2}{300 WT}$$
(Use 500 kVcp curve). (5)⁷

If the barrier thicknesses for leakage and scattered radiations are found to be approximately the same, 1 HVL should be added to the larger one to obtain the required total secondary barrier thickness. If the two differ by a large enough factor (this situation is assumed to exist if there is a difference of at least 3 HVL's), the thicker of the two will be adequate.

⁶If a 50-cm target-object distance is used, divide *K* by 4. ⁷If a 70-cm target-object distance is used, divide by 2.




FIGURE 18. Attenuation in lead of x rays produced by potentials of 50- to 200- kV peak.

The measurements were made with a 90° angle between the electron beam and the axis of the x-ray beam and with a pulsed waveform. The curves at 50 and 70 kVp were obtained by interpolation and extrapolation of available data. The filtrations were 0.5 mm of aluminum for 50, 70, 100, and 125 kVp, and β mm of aluminum for 150 and 200 kVp [2].




FIGURE 19. Attenuation in concrete of x rays produced by potentials of 50 to 400 kV.

The measurements were made with a 90° angle between the electron beam and the axis of the x-ray beam. The curves for 50 to 300 kVp are for a pulsed waveform. The filtrations were 1 mm of aluminum for 70 kVp, 2 mm of aluminum for 100 kVp, and 3 mm of aluminum for 125 to 300 kVp (Trout et al., 1959) [11]. The 400-kVcp curve was interpolated from data obtained with a constant potential generator and inherent filtration of approximately 3 mm of copper (Miller and Kennedy, 1955) [8] [2].




FIGURE 20. Attenuation in lead of x rays produced by potentials of 250 to 400 kV.

The measurements were made with a 90° angle between the electron beam and the axis of the x-ray beam. The 250-kVp curve is for a pulsed waveform and a filtration of 3 mm of aluminum. The 400-kVcp curve was obtained with a constant potential generator and inherent filtration of approximately 3 mm of copper (Miller and Kennedy, 1955) [8]. The 300-kVp curve is for pulsed waveform and 3 mm of aluminum (Trout et al., 1959) [11] [2].




FIGURE 21. Attenuation in lead of x rays produced by potentials of 0.5 to 3 MV constant potential.

The measurements were made with a 0° angle between the electron beam and the axis of the x-ray beam with a constant potential generator. The 0.5 and 1 MV curves were obtained with filtration of 2.88 mm of tungsten, 2.8 mm of copper, 2.1 mm of brass, and 18.7 mm of water (Wyckoff et al., [13]). The 2 MV curve was obtained by extrapolating to broad-beam conditions (E. E. Smith) the data of Evans et al., [3]. The inherent filtration was equivalent to 6.8 mm of lead. The 3 MV curve has been obtained by interpolation of the 2 MV curve given herein, and the data of Miller and Kennedy [9].

[Data courtesy of the authors, Radiation Research, Radiology and Academic Press.]




FIGURE 22. Attenuation in concrete of x rays produced by potentials of 0.5 to 3 MV constant potential.

The measurements were made with a 0° angle between the electron beam and the axis of the x-ray beam and with a constant potential generator. The 0.5 and 1 MV curves were obtaind with filtration of 2.8 mm of copper, 2.1 mm of brass, and 18.7 mm of water (Wyckoff et al. [13]). [Data courtesy of the authors and Radiology.] The 2 MV curve was obtained by extrapolating to broadbeam conditions (E. E. Smith) the data of Evans et al. [3]. The inherent filtration was equivalent to 6.8 mm of lead. [Data courtesy of the authors and Radiology.] The 3 MV curve has been obtained by interpolation of the 2 MV curve given herein, and the data of Kirn and Kennedy [5]. [From "Betatron X Rays: How Much Concrete for Shielding" by F. S. Kirn and R. J. Kennedy. Copyright 1954, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York. Used with permission of the McGraw-Hill Book Company.]




FIGURE 23. Attenuation in lead of x rays produced at 4 to 10 MV based on Karzmark and Capone [6], and Miller and Kennedy [9].

[Data courtesy of the authors, The British Journal of Radiology, Radiation Research and Academic Press.] [From NCRP Report No. 34.]




FIGURE 24. Attenuation in concrete (density 147 lb/ft³) of x rays produced at 6 and 10 MV (Kirn and Kennedy [5]).

[From "Betatron X Rays: How Much Concrete for Shielding" by F. S. Kirn and R. J. Kennedy. Copyright 1954, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York. Used with permission of McGraw-Hill Book Company.] [From NCRP Report No. 34.]

(This appendix is not a part of American National Standard N543, General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV.)

- [1] Braestrup, C. B. and Wyckoff, H. O., Radiation Protection (Charles Thomas, Publisher, Springfield, Ill., 1958).
- [2] NCRP Report No. 34, Medical X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Protection for Energies up to 10 MeV, March 2, 1970, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.
- [3] Evans, W. W., Granke, R. C., Wright, K. A., and Trump, J. G., Absorption of 2 MeV constant potential roentgen rays by lead and concrete, Radiology 58, 560 (1952). Curves from this paper were extrapolated to broad-[4] Frantz, F. S., Jr., and Wyckoff, H. O., Attenuation of scattered cesium-137
- gamma rays, Radiology 73, 263 (1959).
- [5] Kirn, F. S., and Kennedy, R. J., Betatron x-rays: How much concrete for shielding, Nucleonics 12, 6, 44 (1954).
- [6] Karzmark, C. J., and Capone, T., Measurements of 6 MV x-rays, Part I, Primary radiation absorption in lead, steel and concrete, British Journal
- of Radiology 41, 33 (1968).
 [7] Kirn, F. S., Kennedy, R. J., and Wyckoff, H. O., Attenuation of gamma rays at oblique incidence, Radiology 63, 94 (1954).
 [8] Miller, W., and Kennedy, R. J., X-ray attenuation in lead, aluminum, and concrete in the range 275-525 kV, Radiology 65, 920 (1955).
 [9] Miller, W. and Kennedy, R. J. Attenuation of Science and the range of the ran
- [9] Miller, W., and Kennedy, R. J., Attenuation of 86- and 176-MeV synchrotron x-rays in concrete and lead, Radiation Research 4, 5, 360 (1956).
- [10] Mooney, R. T., and Braestrup, C. B., Attenuation of scattered cobalt-60 radiation in lead and building materials, AEC Report NYO 2165 (1957).
- [11] Trout, E. D., Kelley, J. P., and Lucas, A. C., Broad beam attenuation in concrete for 50- to 300-kVp x-rays and in lead for 300-kVp x-rays, Radiology 72, 62 (1959). [12] Trout, E. D., Kelley, J. P., and Lucas, A. C., Conventional Building Ma-
- terials as Protective Radiation Barriers, Radiology 76, 237 (1961).
 [13] Wyckoff, H. O., Kennedy, R. J., and Bradford, W. R., Broad- and narrow-beam attenuation of 500-1, 400 kV x-rays in lead and concrete, Radiology 51,849 (1948).
- [14] Wyckoff, H. O., and Kennedy, R. J., Concrete as a protective barrier for gamma rays from radium, J. Res. NBS 42, 431 (1949) RP1983.
- [15] Ritz, V. H., Broad and narrow beam attenuation of Ir¹⁹² gamma rays in concrete, steel, and lead, Non-Destructive Testing 16, 269 (May-June 1958).
- [16] ICRU Report No. 20, Radiation Protection Instrumentation and Its Application, October 1, 1971, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements.
- [17] National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Nuclear Data Sheets (1958).
- [18] Background material for the development of radiation protection standards, Staff Report #2 of the Federal Radiation Council (1961). [19] NCRP Report No. 38, Protection Against Neutron Radiation, January 4,
- 1971, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.
- [20] NBS Handbook 55, Protection against betatron-synchrotron radiations up to 100 million electron volts (1954).
- [21] NCRP Report No. 39, Basic Radiation Protection Criteria, January 15, 1971, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.
- [22] NBS Handbook 80, A manual of radioactivity procedures (1961).
- [23] ICRU Report No. 19, Radiation Quantities and Units, July 1, 1971, Inter-
- [24] Price, B. T., Horton, C. C., and Spinney, K. T., Radiation Shielding (Pergamon Press, New York 10022, 1957). Figure 6.9.3, p. 304.
 [25] NCRP Report No. 33, Medical X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Protection for Energies up to 10 MeV-Equipment Design and Use, February 1, 1968, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.
- [26] Reactor Handbook, Vol. I Materials, C. R. Tipton, Jr., editor, (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1960).
- [27] American National Standard for Concrete Radiation Shields, N101.6-1972.

35-114A (REV. 7-73)
--------------------	---

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
SHEET	NBS Handbook 114		
TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. Publication Date
General Safety Standard for Installations Using Non-Medical X-Ray and Sealed Gamma-Ray Sources, Energies up to 10 MeV			February 1975 6. Performing Organization Code
x-kay and Seared da	ninia-itay sources, Energies up		or renorming organization court
AUTHOR(S)			8. Performing Organ. Report No.
	. Eisenhower, Chairman)		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATI	ON NAME AND ADDRESS		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
NATIONAL B	UREAU OF STANDARDS		
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			11. Contract/Grant No.
WASHINGTON	I, D.C. 20234		
Sponsoring Organization Name and Complete Address (Street, City, State, ZIP)		13. Type of Report & Period Covered	
			14. Sponsoring Agency Code
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Library of Congress Catalo	og Card Numbe	r: 74-20537
This is an American	National Standard, prepared	by Standards (Committee N43,

for which NBS is the Secretariat.

ABSTRACT (A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.)


This standard establishes requirements for the design and operation of common types of installations which use gamma and x radiation for non-medical purposes. Its objective is to protect persons who work with or are near such installations, as well as the general public, against, excessive exposure to radiation. Maximum permissible dose limits established by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements are cited. Methods for achieving adequate radiation protection are described, including structural details, surveys and inspections, and operating procedures. Appendixes contain technical information useful for design of radiation shielding barriers.

KEY WORDS (six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only the first letter of the first key word unless a proper name; separated by semicolons)

Gamma-ray equipment; radiation installations; radiation safety; x-ray equipment.

AVAILABILITY X Unlimited	19. SECURITY CLASS (THIS REPORT)	21. NO. OF PAGES
For Official Distribution. Do Not Release to NTIS	UNCL ASSIFIED	69
Corder From Sup. of Doc., U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402, <u>SD Cat. No. C13, 11:114</u>	20. SECURITY CLASS (THIS PAGE)	22. Price
Order From National Technical Information Service (NTIS) Springfield, Virginia 22151	UNCLASSIFIED	90 cents

USCOMM-DC 29042-P74



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Washington D.C. 20234

.

Penal y for Private Use, \$310

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COM-215 Fourth Class Mail



. .