

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CIRCULAR

OF THE

BUREAU OF STANDARDS

S. W. STRATTON, DIRECTOR

No. 73

COPPER

[Second Edition]

NOVEMBER 14, 1922




PRICE, 20 CENTS

Sold only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office
Washington, D. C.

WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1922



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CIRCULAR
OF THE
BUREAU OF STANDARDS

S. W. STRATTON, DIRECTOR

No. 73

COPPER

[Second Edition]

NOVEMBER 14, 1922



PRICE, 20 CENTS

Sold only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office
Washington, D. C.

WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1922

COPPER

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| I. Introduction..... | 4 |
| II. Commercial copper..... | 5 |
| 1. Sources, metallurgy, refining..... | 5 |
| 2. Commercial grades, uses..... | 8 |
| 3. Production, price..... | 14 |
| III. Metallography of copper..... | 18 |
| 1. Possible allotropy, transformation..... | 20 |
| IV. Chemical properties..... | 20 |
| V. Physical properties..... | 21 |
| 1. Electrical, magnetic..... | 21 |
| (a) Electrical conductivity..... | 21 |
| (b) Thermoelectromotive force, Peltier effect, Thomson effect..... | 23 |
| (c) Electrolytic solution potential..... | 24 |
| (d) Magnetic properties..... | 24 |
| 2. Thermal..... | 25 |
| (a) Melting point, heat of fusion, boiling point, vapor pressure..... | 25 |
| (b) Thermal conductivity..... | 26 |
| (c) Thermal expansion..... | 27 |
| (d) Specific heat..... | 28 |
| 3. Optical..... | 30 |
| 4. Mechanical..... | 31 |
| (a) Elasticity..... | 32 |
| (b) Tension test..... | 32 |
| (c) Compression test..... | 35 |
| (d) Torsion test..... | 36 |
| (e) Shear test..... | 36 |
| (f) Transverse bending test..... | 36 |
| (g) Hardness test..... | 36 |
| (h) Impact test..... | 37 |
| (i) Fatigue or alternating stress test..... | 38 |
| 5. Miscellaneous..... | 38 |
| (a) Density..... | 38 |
| VI. Physical properties at higher and lower temperatures..... | 39 |
| 1. Electrical conductivity..... | 39 |
| 2. Mechanical properties..... | 41 |
| VII. Technology..... | 42 |
| 1. Casting, deoxidation..... | 42 |
| 2. Working..... | 45 |
| 3. Welding..... | 46 |
| 4. Hardening..... | 47 |
| 5. Electrodeposition of copper..... | 48 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| VIII. Heat treatment of copper; effect on physical properties..... | 49 |
| IX. Impurities in copper; effect on physical properties..... | 53 |
| 1. Electrical conductivity..... | 53 |
| 2. Mechanical and "working" properties..... | 53 |
| 3. Gases in copper..... | 66 |
| X. "Diseases" of copper..... | 67 |
| Appendixes..... | 70 |
| Appendix 1. Definitions of physical terms..... | 70 |
| Appendix 2. Typical specifications for copper..... | 75 |
| Appendix 3. Bibliography..... | 102 |

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bureau is constantly in receipt of requests for detailed or general information concerning the properties, statistics, etc., of metals and of alloys, coming from other departments of the Government, technical or purchasing agents of manufacturing firms using the metal or alloy in question, or from persons engaged in special investigative work in universities and private technical institutes. Such information is rarely to be found in systematic form; generally the different sources of such information are difficult of access, and their accuracy not always certain; much quoted information of this sort is valueless either for the reason that the data upon which it is based are actually incorrect, or that the data have not been properly interpreted in quoting.

The Bureau plans to issue from time to time circulars on individual metals or alloys, with the idea of grouping in these circulars all of the best information which the Bureau has as a result of its tests and investigations together with that available in all records of published tests and investigations of such materials.

The circulars deal primarily with the physical properties of the metal or alloy; all other factors, except a few statistics of production, such as methods of manufacture, presence of impurities, etc., are discussed only in their relation to these physical properties; it must be realized that the physical properties of metals and alloys are often in great degree dependent upon such factors, so that the statement of values for such properties should include an accompanying statement regarding those factors by which the properties are affected.

The endeavor in the circulars, therefore, is to reproduce only such data as have passed critical scrutiny, and to suitably qualify in the sense outlined above all statements, numerical or otherwise, made relative to the characteristics of the metal.

This circular is the first one issued on the metals; ¹ copper has been chosen for the reason that much of the accurate information regarding copper has been obtained at this Bureau, and that, in general, our knowledge of the properties of this metal is more complete than of any other. Furthermore, commercial copper has a very high degree of purity. The data and information have been put in the form of tables and curves; the curves have been reproduced in such dimensions that accurate interpolation of values on them is possible by the use of a rule graduated in decimal parts of a centimeter. The probable degree of accuracy of data is indicated, or implied, by the number of significant figures in the values given.

II. COMMERCIAL COPPER

1. SOURCES, METALLURGY, REFINING

Copper is, relatively speaking, a quite widely distributed metal, and occurs in a number of minerals, of which the most important are the following:

(1) The sulphide copper ores, such as chalcopyrite (CuFeS_2), chalcocite (Cu_2S), bornite (Cu_3FeS_3), tetrahedrite ($4 \text{ Rs. Sb (As}_2\text{) S}_3$; $\text{R}=\text{Cu}_2, \text{Fe, Zn, Ag}_2, \text{Hg}_2$) and a number of other complex sulphides. The principal copper deposits are of this type, occurring in Montana, Utah, Nevada, California, Hungary, Russia, Chile, and Australia.

(2) Native copper, occurring in large amounts only in the Lake Superior district in the State of Michigan.

(3) The oxide ores, such as cuprite (Cu_2O), malachite (CuCO_3) and Cu(OH)_2 . These ores are found both in the West, Southwest, and abroad in Chile, Australia, and Ural.

From these ores copper is extracted by pyro, hydro, or electro-metallurgical processes, or by combinations of these. Low-grade ores are generally first leached; medium and high grade ores directly smelted. Electrolytic processes are used only in refining crude metal; they "have so far been a failure with ore" (8)*. The actual process used in smelting and refining copper varies greatly with the type of ore.

The smelting of copper ores consists, broadly speaking, of two operations:

(1) The production from the ores of "matte," containing copper, iron, and sulphur in the following proportions: Copper,

¹ Circular No. 58 of this series relating to invar and similar nickel steel has already been issued. Circulars on aluminum and on nickel are now available.

* These numbers in parentheses throughout text refer to numbered bibliography references, p. 102.

20 to 80 per cent; iron, 10 to 40 per cent; and sulphur, 18 to 24 per cent.

This "matte" is produced by the roasting of the ore in heaps, in shaft, or reverberatory furnace, or in automatic multiple-hearth furnaces, followed by a reducing fusion in the blast or reverberatory furnace.

(2) The conversion of "matte" into a crude copper, generally in the converter but also in the blast furnace (abroad) or in the reverberatory furnaces (for matte containing 70 to 80 per cent copper). The product obtained is converter or blister copper, containing from 98 to 99.4 per cent copper, and, as impurities, small amounts of iron, nickel, lead, antimony, arsenic, selenium, tellurium, sulphur, silver, gold, and at times bismuth, zinc, platinum, and palladium. It receives the name "blister" copper from the cavities and excrescences on the surface caused by the evolution of gases, principally sulphur dioxide (SO_2) during solidification.

Oxide ores were formerly reduced in blast furnaces to "black" copper varying from 95 to 98 per cent copper, but are now mixed with sulphides and smelted for matte, which is then converted.

Native copper ore is subjected to oxidizing fusion in a reverberatory furnace, slagged and reduced either in the same or in a different furnace. The product is refined copper, which is cast into anodes or commercial forms.

The leaching process consists of two operations:

(1) The copper of the ore is converted into a soluble form. Oxide ores are dissolved directly in acids (sulphuric acid, H_2SO_4); sulphide ores are changed to sulphate by oxidizing roasting or to chloride by chloridizing roasting with addition of salt.

(2) The copper is then precipitated by iron or otherwise, the product being called "cement" copper, analyzing from 70 to 95 per cent copper, and containing lead, silver, bismuth, arsenic, antimony, iron oxide (Fe_2O_3), aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3), sulphur trioxide (SO_3), water (H_2O), sodium sulphate (Na_2SO_4), sodium chloride (NaCl), and other impurities. This product, depending on its purity, may be smelted for blister copper or added to a matte charge.

The products of the leaching and smelting operations are blister, black copper, and refined copper (from native ores, lake). These may be fire refined or electrolytically refined and remelted.

The fire refining of copper consists in an oxidizing fusion in a reverberatory furnace (melting, fining, or rabbling), which vola-

tilizes some impurities (sulphur, zinc, lead, arsenic, antimony), and scorifies others (manganese, iron, lead, nickel, cobalt, bismuth, arsenic, antimony). The slags are skimmed and fining continued until about 6 per cent of cuprous oxide (Cu_2O) is held in solution. The oxide is then almost, but not entirely, reduced by poling; that is, the introduction through the furnace door of a green wood pole into the molten metal. About 0.04 to 0.05 per cent oxygen (0.45 to 0.56 per cent Cu_2O) is generally left in the copper to prevent the reduction of the oxides of arsenic, antimony, etc., to the metallic state in which they would again dissolve in the copper.

In electrolytic refining the blister or raw copper is cast into anodes, about 36 by 36 by 1 inch in dimensions. These are dissolved by the aid of the (direct) electric current in a solution of sulphuric acid and copper sulphate and redeposited in the same operation on cathode sheets. The behavior of the impurities depends upon their electrochemical behavior relative to copper:

(1) Nickel, cobalt, iron, manganese, zinc, lead, and tin are electronegative² to copper and hence dissolve at the anode; they will, however, not deposit at the cathode, but concentrate in the solution.

(2) Gold, silver, platinum, selenium, and tellurium are electro-positive to copper and do not dissolve at the anode, but are mechanically separated and form part of the anode slime.

(3) The compounds Cu_2O , Cu_2Se , Cu_2Te , and Cu_2S are also not dissolved at the anode, but form part of the anode slime.

(4) Arsenic, antimony, and bismuth are partly dissolved and partly deposited at the cathode; they stand near copper in their electrochemical behavior under these conditions. This deposition is largely of a mechanical nature; the metals are carried over by drifting anode slime.

It is to be understood that the above division of the elements is only approximate, as actually some variation of behavior is noted; for example, nickel, cobalt, and lead dissolve only partially, the remainder going into the anode slimes.

The cathodes as so produced, although very pure, are not mechanically suitable for commercial use, and are remelted into wire bars, slabs, ingots, etc. This is done in a large reverberatory furnace, in the same manner as was described under fire refining.

² The signs used in this circular to express the potentials of electrodes are in conformity to the official recommendation of the American Electrochemical Society. Trans., 36, p. 3-15; 1919.

2. COMMERCIAL GRADES—USES

There are produced in the United States three well-defined grades of copper: Lake copper, electrolytic copper, and casting copper. The former, as its name indicates, is electrolytically or fire refined from the Lake Superior native copper ores and is of two grades, high conductivity and arsenical. The electrolytic copper is that which has been electrolytically refined from blister, converter, black, or lake copper. Casting copper is the most impure grade and may consist of either (1) furnace-refined converter bar or black copper from smelters whose ores carry insufficient silver and gold to pay for refining, (2) by-product copper not up to grade, or (3) copper produced by the melting up of scrap.

British B. S. or Best Selected copper is made by the old Welsh best selecting process, the "bottoms" method, and was the purest brand of copper known until the lake ores were found and the electrolytic refining method used. Table 1 gives an idea of the analysis of different grades of commercial copper produced both here and abroad. It will be observed that the continental grades, particularly the Mansfeld copper, usually contain some nickel.

On the London Metal Exchange copper was sold according to certain standard regulations, and from this practice has arisen the term "Standard" copper. This latter is not a brand of copper but a specification for copper, and is a substitute for the former term, G. M. B. (Good Merchantable Brand). "Standard" copper was grouped into four classes:

A. Refined copper, copper not under 99 per cent, and not over 99.3 per cent, selling at contract price.

B. Refined copper, copper 99.8 to 99.3 per cent, selling at 10s. per ton over contract price.

C. Refined copper, copper not less than 99.8 per cent, selling at £1 per ton over contract price.

D. Rough copper, copper less than 99 per cent, subject to a rebate of £1 and over per ton.

Standard copper, therefore, may be looked upon as that analyzing between the limits 99 and 99.3 per cent copper.

The following groups may therefore be recognized:

AMERICAN:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Electrolytic copper. | 99.90 per cent (99.88 A. S. T. M.) copper and over. |
| Lake (high conductivity). . . | 99.90 per cent (99.88 A. S. T. M.) copper and over. |
| Lake (arsenical). | 99.40 per cent copper and over. |
| Casting. | 98.5 to 99.8 per cent copper. |

BRITISH:

- "Standard"..... 99.0 to 99.3 per cent copper.
 B. S. 99.75 per cent copper and over.
 "Tough"..... 99.25 per cent copper and over.

Copper appears on the market in the following forms:

Wire bars, for wire drawing; these vary in weight from 135 to 500 pounds (standard sizes 200 and 225 pounds); they will vary in section from $3\frac{1}{2}$ by $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $4\frac{1}{2}$ by $4\frac{3}{4}$ inches and in length from 35 to 84 inches.

"Square" cakes, for rolling sheet; these vary in weight from 150 to 6500 pounds; dimensions 14 by 17 to 48 by 48 inches, by from 2 to 9 inches thick.

Ingots, 20-22 pounds.

Ingot bars, 75 to 110 pounds.

Anodes, 25 by 36 inches, weighing about 140 pounds.

Cathodes, 2 by 3 feet by from $\frac{3}{8}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch thick (also the 10 by 12 feet in series system cathodes).

Over 50 per cent of the copper produced is used in peace time for electrical purposes. In 1913 the following disposition was made of the copper consumed in the United States:

| | Millions of pounds | Per cent |
|--|--------------------|----------|
| Copper wire..... | 400 | 52 |
| To brass mills..... | 220 | 28 |
| Copper sheets..... | 105 | 14 |
| Miscellaneous, castings, and alloys..... | 42 | 6 |

From the standpoint of physical measurements, copper is quite a valuable metal because of its commercial purity. It is used as a calorimetric metal, as one element of base-metal thermocouples, copper-constantan, etc., as a pyrometric standard (for the calibration of pyrometers), both as a metal and as the copper-silver and a copper-copper oxide eutectic.

Table 2 gives a list of the brands of American copper, the refiners producing them, etc. (26).

TABLE 1.—Composition of Commercial Grades of Refined Copper

| | Chemical analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | Ultimate tensile strength as hard drawn. | Elongation | Electrical conductivity as annealed—international copper standard | |
|--|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|--------------------|--|------------|---|---------|
| | Copper + silver | Copper | Silver | Arsenic | Bismuth | Iron | Nickel | Oxygen | Lead | Antimony | Tin | Selenium+tellurium | | | | Zinc |
| United States: | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Lbs./In. ² | Percent |
| Electrolytic wire bar <i>a</i> | 99.97 | 99.96 | 0.0027 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 0.0030 | 0.0191 | 0.0024 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0026 | 65 000 | b 1.6 |
| Do. ^a | 99.89 | 99.89 | .0020 | .0001 | .0000 | .0028 | .0010 | .0888 | .0072 | .0006 | 0 | .0022 | .0000 | .0023 | 67 800 | c 1.1 |
| Do. ^a | 99.95 | 99.95 | .0018 | .0000 | .0000 | .0038 | .0028 | .0315 | .0010 | .0009 | 0 | .0026 | .0000 | .0026 | 66 300 | e 1.04 |
| Do. ^a | 99.97 | 99.97 | .0020 | .0001 | .0000 | .0044 | .0018 | .0063 | .0056 | .0008 | 0 | .0014 | .0000 | .0016 | 66 500 | e 1.08 |
| Lake wire bar <i>a</i> | 99.90 | 99.89 | .0096 | .0062 | .0000 | .0028 | .0090 | .0753 | .0031 | .0000 | 0 | .0020 | .0000 | .0016 | 67 600 | 1.03 |
| Lake <i>a</i> | 99.95 | 99.87 | .071 | .0006 | | .0014 | .0010 | .045 | | Trace | | | Trace | .0022 | | |
| Do. ^a | 99.93 | 99.87 | .057 | .0099 | | .0063 | .0108 | .056 | Trace | | | | Trace | .0064 | | |
| Do. ^a | 99.93 | 99.86 | .068 | .0004 | | .0027 | Trace | .064 | .0011 | Trace | | | .0005 | .0006 | | |
| Lake arsenical, ingot <i>a</i> | 99.43 | 99.41 | .0254 | .3183 | .0000 | .0056 | .0153 | .2143 | .0027 | .0000 | | | .0000 | .0071 | | |
| Casting copper <i>a</i> | | 99.50 | | | | .06 | .15 | Trace | .05 | | 0.18 | | | | | |
| Do. ^a | 99.45 | 99.44 | .01 | .02 | .01 | .38 | | Trace | .05 | .05 | | | | .002 | | |
| Cathode copper <i>d</i> | | | .00001 | .0003 | | | | .008 | .00054 | .001 | | | | | | |
| England: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Best selected <i>a</i> | 99.55 | 99.53 | .0210 | .0071 | .0000 | .0044 | .1112 | .1705 | .1331 | .0087 | | .0066 | .0000 | .0074 | | |
| Merchant bar <i>a</i> | 99.90 | 99.87 | .034 | .002 | | .011 | | .068 | | | | | .013 | | | |
| B. S. <i>e</i> | | 99.75 | | .025 | | .10 | .061 | .143 | .024 | | | | | | | |
| Refined converter <i>e</i> | | 99.25 | | .0211 | .0044 | | | .284 | .0103 | .0630 | | | | | | |
| Do. <i>e</i> | | 99.08 | | .0290 | .0035 | Trace | | .12 | .0085 | .0254 | | | | .01 | | |
| Germany and Austria: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mansfield <i>a</i> | 99.64 | 99.61 | .0292 | .0172 | | .0039 | .2112 | .00752 | .020 | .0023 | | | | .0024 | | |
| Mansfield castings, refined <i>a</i> | | 99.44 | .026 | .025 | | .024 | <i>f</i> .317 | | .006 | | | | | | | |
| Do. ^g | | 99.51 | .028 | | | .037 | <i>f</i> .279 | | .042 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | .030 | Trace | f.298 | .204 | | |
|--|----------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------|
| Mansfield rolling, refined <i>g</i> | | | | .061 | Trace | f.467 | .206 | | |
| Do. <i>g</i> | 99.34 99.19 | | | | | | | | |
| Mansfield, refined (Garkup-fer) <i>g</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Oker, refined <i>a *</i> | 98.4 | .02 | | | .07 | .45 | .57 | | .01 |
| Stefanshitte, refined <i>g</i> | 99.39 | .072 | .135 | .052 | .063 | .064 | .061 | .095 | .001 |
| Agardo, refined <i>g</i> | | .10 | .64 | | Trace | f.29 | Trace | .08 | |
| Klausen, refined <i>g</i> | | .077 | .059 | | | | .20 | .04 | .04 |
| Avidaberg, refined <i>g</i> | 99.46 | .06 | | | .01 | .11 | .39 | .057 | |
| Lend (Salzburg), refined <i>g</i> | 97 | | 1.10 | | | .46 | Trace | | .02 |
| Japan: | | | | | | | .70 | | |
| Furu Kawa, hard drawn <i>d</i> | 99.90 | .0094 | .0284 | .0021 | | | | .0201 | ^b Trace |
| Furu Kawa, soft (drawn) <i>d</i> | 99.86 | .0136 | .0321 | .0041 | | | | .0327 | ^b .0032 |
| Sumitomo, hard drawn <i>d</i> | 99.85 | Trace | .0102 | Trace | | | | .0124 | ^b .0701 |
| Sumitomo, soft (drawn) <i>d</i> | 99.84 | .0017 | .0124 | Trace | | | | .0132 | ^b .0524 |
| Burra-Burra (Australia) <i>g</i> | | | .02 | | | | | | |
| Wallaroo (Australia) <i>a</i> | 99.64 | | Trace | | | .237 | | .0007 | |
| Nischal-Taglisk (Ural) <i>g</i> | | .0032 | .0002 | | .0034 | | | .0042 | .0036 |
| Kedaberg (Caucasus) <i>g</i> | | .161 | .0151 | | | | | .0087 | |
| Do. <i>g</i> | 99.69 | .0877 | | | | .0057 | .0110 | | £ .0067 .0731 |
| Culle. | | | Trace | Trace | .8 to | | Trace | Trace | |
| | | | to .5 | to .5 | 1.64 | | to .5 | Trace | |
| Spain. | | | .25 to | Trace | .35 | | Trace | Trace | |
| | | | 3.31 | to .04 | | | to .70 | | |

^a Given by Hofman (9).

b In 8 inches; hard drawn.

c In 60 inches; hard drawn.

4 Given by Hirobe and Matsumoto (51).

e Peters (12).

Nickel plus cobalt.

Given by Schnabel (15)

Nickel, cobalt, iron, lead, zinc, and oxygen

Gold.

Contains also Co o. or 2.

TABLE 2.—Copper Smelting Works of North America (25, 26) and Electrolytic Copper Refineries of the United States and Canada

COPPER SMELTING WORKS OF NORTH AMERICA, 1919

| Company | Location | Blast fur-naces | | Roasting fur-naces | | Reverbera-tories | | Converters | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity | No. | Capac-ity |
| | | | Tons (a) | | Tons | | Tons | | Tons |
| Afterthought Cu. Co..... | Ingot, Calif..... | 2 | | | | | | | |
| American Smelting & Refining Co. | Aguascalientes, Mexico..... | 6 | 480 000 | 1 | 45 500 | 1 | 80 000 | 4 | 36 000 |
| Do..... | El Paso, Tex..... | 4 | 400 000 | 12 | 740 000 | 4 | 800 000 | 4 | 88 000 |
| Do..... | Garfield, Utah..... | 4 | 800 000 | 48 | 1 400 000 | 7 | 1 300 000 | 9 | 160 000 |
| Do..... | Hayden, Ariz..... | | | 12 | 660 000 | 4 | 580 000 | 4 | 47 000 |
| Do..... | Matuhuela, Mexico..... | 4 | 400 000 | | | | | | |
| Do..... | Monterey, Mexico..... | 1 | 80 000 | | | | | | |
| Do..... | Omaha, Nebr..... | | | | | | | | |
| Do..... | Perth Amboy, N. J..... | 1 | 90 000 | | | | | | |
| Do..... | Tacoma, Wash..... | 3 | 700 000 | 6 | 274 000 | 1 | 200 000 | 4 | 44 000 |
| Do..... | Verladena, Mexico..... | 3 | 250 000 | | | | | | |
| Anaconda Copper Mining Co. | Anaconda, Mexico..... | 4 | 1 600 000 | 95 | 2 380 000 | 9 | 1 980 000 | 7 | 105 000 |
| Do..... | Great Falls, Mont..... | 5 | 800 000 | 18 | 290 000 | 2 | 210 000 | 2 | 49 000 |
| Arizona Copper Co..... | Clifton, Ariz..... | | | 8 | 220 000 | 3 | 270 000 | 4 | 15 000 |
| Do..... | (b)..... | 2 | 400 000 | | | | | 2 | 6 000 |
| Arizona Smelting & Power Co. ^c | Benson, Ariz..... | 1 | 54 000 | | | | | | |
| Cie. du Boleo ^d | Santa Rosalia, Mexico..... | 7 | 700 000 | | | | | | |
| British American Nickel Corp. ^e | Nickelton, Ontario..... | 2 | 480 000 | | | | | 3 | 480 000 |
| Calaveras Copper Co..... | Copperopolis, Calif..... | 1 | 70 000 | | | | | | |
| Calumet & Arizona Mining Co. | Douglas, Ariz..... | 2 | 620 000 | 24 | 788 400 | 5 | 1 050 000 | 6 | 104 000 |
| Canada Copper Corp. ^e ... | Greenwood, British Columbia..... | 3 | 912 000 | | 219 000 | | | 2 | 52 000 |
| Cananea Consolidated Copper Co., S. A. | Cananea, Mexico..... | 8 | 1 198 000 | 12 | 140 000 | 2 | 276 000 | 6 | 91 000 |
| Consolidated Arizona Smelting Co. | Humboldt, Ariz..... | 1 | 100 000 | 4 | | 1 | 200 000 | 3 | 12 000 |
| Consolidated Mining & Smelting Co. | Trail, British Columbia..... | 4 | 710 000 | | | | | 2 | 36 500 |
| Democrata Cananea Sonora Copper Co. | Cananea, Mexico..... | 3 | 320 000 | | | | | 1 | 5 800 |
| Douglas Mountain Copper Mines Co. ^c | Sunbeam, Calif..... | | | | | 1 | 11 000 | | |
| Ducktown Sulphur, Copper & Iron Co. | Isabella, Tenn..... | 1 | 180 000 | | | | | | |
| East Butte Copper Mining Co. | Butte, Mont..... | 2 | 225 000 | | | | | 4 | 5 000 |
| El Fuerte Mining & Smelting Co. ^c | Choix, Mexico..... | 1 | 36 000 | | | | | | |
| Granby Consolidated Mining, Smelting & Power Co. | Anyox, British Columbia..... | 4 | 1 642 000 | | | | | 4 | 50 000 |
| Do. ^d | Grand Forks, British Columbia..... | 8 | 1 400 000 | | | | | | |
| Greene Cananea Copper Co. ^f | | | | | | | | | |
| International Nickel Co. | Copper Cliff, Ontario..... | 8 | 1 350 000 | 4 | 170 000 | 1 | 190 000 | 6 | 75 000 |
| International Smelting Co. | Miami, Ariz..... | | | 10 | 1 095 000 | 4 | 875 000 | 5 | 50 000 |
| Do..... | Tooele, Utah..... | | | 32 | 500 000 | 5 | 500 000 | 5 | 50 000 |
| Ladysmith Smelting Corp. ^{c, d} | Ladysmith, British Columbia..... | 2 | 236 000 | | | | | | |

TABLE 2.—Copper Smelting Works of North America (25, 26) and Electrolytic Copper Refineries of the United States and Canada—Continued

COPPER SMELTING WORKS OF NORTH AMERICA, 1919—Continued

| Company | Location | Blast furnaces | | Roasting furnaces | | Reverberatories | | Converters | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| | | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity |
| Mason Valley Mines Co. ^a | Thompson, Nev..... | 2 | Tons 419 000 | | Tons | | Tons | 2 | Tons 28 000 |
| Magapil Copper Co..... | Concepcion del Oro, Mexico. | 4 | 278 000 | | | | | | |
| Cia. Metalúrgica Mexicana. | San Luis Potosi, Mexico. | 1 | 72 000 | | | | | | |
| Cia. de Minas de México, S. A. ^c | Mina Mexico, Sonora. | 1 | 17 000 | | | | | | |
| Missouri Cobalt Co..... | Fredericktown, Mo. | 2 | 40 000 | | | | | | |
| Mond Nickel Co..... | Coniston, Ontario | 4 | 800 000 | | | | | 4 | 100 000 |
| Mountain Copper Co..... | Mococo, Martinez, Calif. | | | 3 | 18 000 | 3 | 100 000 | 3 | 10 000 |
| Nevada Consolidated Copper Co. | McGill, Nev..... | | | 20 | 600 000 | 5 | 900 000 | 4 | 20 000 |
| Nichols Copper Co..... | Laurel Hill, N. Y. | 1 | 100 000 | | | | | 4 | |
| Norfolk Smelting Co..... | West Norfolk, Va. | 1 | 87 500 | | | | | 2 | 5 250 |
| Old Dominion Co..... | Globe, Ariz. | 3 | 400 000 | | | | | 1 | 400 000 |
| Ouray Smelting & Refining Co. | Ouray, Calif. | 2 | 164 250 | | | | | | |
| Penn Mining Co..... | Campo Seco, Calif. | | | 9 | 73 000 | 2 | 91 000 | 1 | 137 000 |
| Phelps Dodge Corp., Copper Queen Branch. | Douglas, Ariz. | 10 | 1 700 000 | 24 | 600 000 | 3 | 600 000 | 7 | 91 000 |
| Phelps Dodge Corp., Morenci Branch. | Morenci, Ariz. | 1 | 193 000 | | | | | 3 | 10 000 |
| Tennessee Copper Co. ^d | Copperhill, Tenn. | 5 | 1 000 000 | | | | | 2 | 15 000 |
| Teziutlan Copper Mining & Smelting Co. | Teziutlan, Puebla, Mexico. | 2 | 328 000 | | | | | 2 | |
| Cia. Metalúrgica de Torreón. | Torreón, Coahuila, Mexico. | 1 | 55 000 | | | | | 1 | 2 000 |
| U. S. Metals Refining Co. ^h | Chrome, N. J..... | 2 | 200 000 | | | | | 3 | |
| U. S. Smelting, Refining & Mining Co. | Kennett, Calif..... | 3 | 450 000 | | | | | 2 | 11 000 |
| United Verde Copper Co. | Clarkdale, Ariz..... | 4 | 1 000 000 | | | | | 5 | |
| United Verde Extension Mining Co. | Verde, Ariz. | 1 | 255 500 | 12 | 450 000 | 3 | 700 000 | 3 | |
| Western Smelting & Power Co. | Cooke, Mont..... | 1 | 109 500 | 6 | 273 750 | 2 | 365 000 | | |

LAKE SUPERIOR SMELTING WORKS

| Company | Location | Blast furnaces | | Reverberatories | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | No. | Capacity | No. | Capacity |
| Calumet & Hecla Mining Co. ^d | Hubbell, Mich..... | 1 | Tons 75 000 | 21 | Tons 150 000 |
| Lake Superior Smelting Co. ^d | Dollar Bay, Mich..... | 1 | 50 000 | 7 | 75 000 |
| Michigan Smelting Co..... | Houghton, Mich..... | 1 | 5 000 | 5 | 85 000 |
| Quincy Smelting Works..... | Hancock, Mich..... | 1 | 25 000 | 4 | 30 000 |

TABLE 2.—Copper Smelting Works of North America (25, 26) and Electrolytic Copper Refineries of the United States and Canada—Continued

ELECTROLYTIC COPPER REFINERIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA

| Company | Location | Capacity ⁱ | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 |
| American Smelting & Refining Co. . . | Baltimore, Md. | | | | 600 | 720 | 720 | 720 |
| Do. | Maurer, N. J. | | | | 240 | 288 | 288 | 288 |
| Do. | Tacoma, Wash. | | | | 130 | 204 | 204 | 204 |
| Do. | Perth Amboy, N. J. . . . | 216 | 216 | 240 | 240 | | | |
| Anaconda Copper Mining Co., old plant. | Great Falls, Mont. . . . | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Anaconda Copper Mining Co., new plant. | do. | | | | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Balbach Smelting & Refining Co. . . . | Newark, N. J. | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | (d) |
| British America Nickel Co. ^j | Duchesne, Quebec. . . . | | | | | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Calumet & Hecla Mining Co. | Hubbell, Mich. | | | | | | | 65 |
| Consolidated Mining & Smelting Co. . | Trail, British Columbia. . . . | | | | (d) | 14 | 14 | (d) |
| Nichols Copper Co. | Laurel Hill, N. Y. . . . | 400 | 400 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Raritan Copper Works | Perth Amboy, N. J. . . . | 400 | 400 | 400 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 |
| U. S. Metals Refining Co. | Chrome, N. J. | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |

^a Not used.^b Formerly owned by Shannon Copper Co.^c Not in operation in 1919.^d Company did not furnish 1919 figures.^e Official figures furnished by the respective companies. ^f In million pounds.^g New refinery to be in operation in 1920; capacity, 15 000 000 pounds nickel, 8 000 000 pounds copper.^e Expect to begin smelting January, 1920.^f See Cananea Consolidated Copper Co.^g Closed down Mar. 1, 1919.^h Owned by American Metal Co.

3. PRODUCTION, PRICE

A general idea of the world's production of copper may be obtained from Tables 3, 4, and 5.

TABLE 3.—Production of Copper in Different Countries, in Metric Tons (29)

| Country | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Austria. | | | | | 648 | 1,645 |
| England. | 239 | 282 | 190 | 182 | 146 | (a) |
| France. | 966 | 1 374 | 1 003 | 1 228 | (a) | (a) |
| Germany. | b 35 000 | b 35 000 | b 45 000 | b 40 000 | (a) | (a) |
| Italy. | 940 | 1 867 | 1 331 | 1 139 | 1 374 | (a) |
| Norway. | 2 828 | 1 614 | 1 810 | 2 856 | b 440 | (a) |
| Portugal. | b 4 290 | b 4 310 | b 4 300 | b 4 000 | b 2 300 | (a) |
| Russia. | 25 962 | b 13 380 | b 17 000 | c 4 999 | (a) | (a) |
| Spain. | 34 699 | 32 880 | 38 526 | 45 104 | 23 419 | 22 458 |
| Sweden. | 4 561 | 3 181 | 4 423 | 2 956 | 3 558 | 1 289 |
| Europe. | 109 485 | 93 888 | 113 583 | 102 464 | (a) | (a) |
| Canada. | 45 716 | 53 139 | 49 545 | 53 873 | 34 044 | 37 014 |
| Cuba. | b 8 900 | b 9 500 | b 10 700 | b 13 300 | b 7 500 | b 8 400 |
| Mexico. | 20 598 | 28 411 | 50 986 | 70 223 | 56 172 | 46 057 |
| United States. | 629 597 | 874 467 | 855 539 | 865 705 | 583 516 | 548 426 |
| North America. | 704 811 | 965 517 | 966 770 | 1 003 101 | 681 232 | 639 897 |
| Bolivia. | 7 500 | 8 000 | 10 000 | 8 000 | (a) | (a) |
| Chile. | 52 341 | 71 288 | 102 527 | b 115 000 | b 65 800 | b 95 000 |
| Peru. | 34 728 | 43 078 | 45 176 | 44 414 | 39 230 | 32 982 |
| Venezuela. | c 597 | c 1 175 | c 2 958 | c 2 079 | c 188 | (a) |
| South America. | 95 166 | 123 541 | 160 661 | 169 493 | (a) | (a) |
| Belgian Congo. | b 14 280 | b 22 500 | b 27 900 | b 20 238 | 23 028 | 18 962 |
| Southern Rhodesia. | 3 191 | 3 194 | 3 548 | 2 952 | 2 732 | 2 820 |
| Union of South Africa. | 10 993 | 10 549 | 8 101 | 4 824 | 3 577 | 1 075 |
| Africa. | 28 464 | 36 243 | 39 549 | 28 014 | 29 337 | 22 857 |
| Japan. | 75 416 | 100 636 | 108 038 | 90 341 | 78 443 | d 62 930 |
| Australia. | 43 941 | 40 367 | 39 860 | 39 315 | 19 307 | e 26 604 |
| Grand total. | 1 057 283 | 1 360 192 | 1 428 461 | 1 432 728 | (a) | (a) |

^a Figures not yet available.^b Estimated by the U. S. Geological Survey.^c Figures from Imperial Mineral Resources Bureau.^d Figures from Econ. Review, Apr. 29, 1921.^e Figures from Min. Jour. (London), May 9, 1921.

TABLE 4.—Production of Copper and Apparent Domestic Consumption of Refined New Copper in the United States (29)

COPPER PRODUCED IN THE UNITED STATES FROM DOMESTIC ORES, 1913, 1916-1920

[Smelter output, in pounds fine]

| State | 1913 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Alaska..... | 23 423 070 | 113 823 064 | 84 759 086 | 67 081 648 | 56 534 992 | 66 093 924 |
| Arizona..... | 404 278 809 | 694 847 307 | 719 035 514 | 769 521 729 | 536 515 368 | 552 988 731 |
| California..... | 32 492 265 | 43 400 876 | 44 933 846 | 44 150 761 | 23 548 698 | 11 822 028 |
| Colorado..... | 9 052 104 | 9 536 193 | 10 054 951 | 7 591 570 | 4 892 558 | 4 282 616 |
| Georgia..... | | 803 699 | 930 691 | 397 078 | 8 306 | 3 663 |
| Idaho..... | 8 711 490 | 7 248 794 | 6 446 224 | 5 836 795 | 3 966 655 | 1 922 116 |
| Maine..... | | | 34 872 | 501 169 | 376 186 | |
| Maryland..... | | 126 965 | 291 501 | | | |
| Michigan..... | 155 715 286 | 269 794 531 | 268 508 091 | 231 096 158 | 177 594 135 | 153 483 952 |
| Missouri..... | 576 204 | 377 575 | 407 141 | 232 073 | 588 570 | 533 368 |
| Montana..... | 285 724 467 | 352 139 768 | 276 225 977 | 326 426 761 | 176 289 873 | 177 743 747 |
| Nevada..... | 85 209 536 | 100 816 724 | 115 028 161 | 106 266 603 | 64 683 734 | 55 580 322 |
| New Jersey..... | | 4 115 | | | | |
| New Mexico..... | 50 196 881 | 79 863 439 | 107 593 615 | 96 559 580 | 60 377 320 | 52 159 751 |
| North Carolina..... | 180 | 5 961 | 125 004 | 79 200 | 3 334 | |
| Oregon..... | 77 812 | 2 433 567 | 1 105 097 | 2 630 499 | 2 808 017 | 2 529 311 |
| Pennsylvania..... | 245 337 | 904 | 115 000 | 34 500 | | 618 361 |
| South Carolina..... | | | 210 000 | | 2 297 | |
| South Dakota..... | | | | | 8 631 | 2 190 |
| Tennessee..... | 19 489 654 | 14 556 278 | 16 093 757 | 15 053 568 | 15 629 454 | 16 727 803 |
| Texas..... | 39 008 | 86 463 | 2 061 129 | 13 851 | 2 153 | 14 217 |
| Utah..... | 148 057 450 | 232 335 950 | 227 840 447 | 230 964 908 | 143 836 304 | 110 357 748 |
| Vermont..... | 5 771 | 324 400 | 102 522 | 896 630 | 582 561 | |
| Virginia..... | 46 961 | 1 066 143 | 146 912 | 1 248 | | |
| Washington..... | 732 742 | 2 473 481 | 2 051 416 | 2 330 568 | 2 552 134 | 2 125 586 |
| Wyoming..... | 362 235 | 1 784 351 | 2 019 767 | 866 698 | 150 051 | 24 256 |
| Undistributed..... | 46 836 | | | | 15 467 998 | 47 350 |
| Total..... | 1 224 484 098 | 1 927 850 548 | 1 886 120 721 | 1 908 533 595 | 1 286 419 329 | 1 209 061 040 |

PRIMARY AND SECONDARY COPPER PRODUCED BY REGULAR REFINING PLANTS AND IMPORTED IN 1916-1920, IN POUNDS

| | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Primary: | | | | | |
| Domestic c— | | | | | |
| Electrolytic..... | 1 579 620 513 | 1 452 744 593 | 1 560 327 422 | 1 233 994 324 | 1 010 240 867 |
| Lake..... | 269 794 531 | 268 508 091 | 231 096 158 | 177 594 135 | 153 483 952 |
| Casting..... | 12 469 050 | 69 916 911 | 15 284 635 | 18 223 145 | 13 905 114 |
| Pig and best select..... | 26 868 105 | 82 376 576 | 76 165 976 | 3 674 191 | 4 793 307 |
| Foreign c— | 1 888 752 199 | 1 873 546 171 | 1 882 874 191 | 1 433 485 795 | 1 182 423 240 |
| Electrolytic..... | 370 635 116 | b 555 000 000 | b 492 181 364 | b 370 558 779 | b 450 194 958 |
| Casting and best select..... | | | 57 329 735 | 1 262 227 | 2 290 446 |
| | 2 259 387 315 | 2 428 546 171 | 2 432 385 290 | 1 805 306 801 | 1 634 908 644 |
| Secondary: | | | | | |
| Electrolytic..... | 78 585 296 | 66 337 771 | 34 674 062 | 32 408 548 | 32 856 549 |
| Casting..... | 25 838 511 | 12 779 125 | 9 018 049 | 38 876 481 | 49 130 510 |
| | 104 423 807 | 79 116 896 | 43 692 111 | 71 285 029 | 81 987 059 |
| Total output..... | 2 363 811 122 | 2 507 663 067 | 2 476 077 401 | 1 876 591 830 | 1 716 895 703 |

TABLE 4. Production of Copper and Apparent Domestic Consumption of Refined New Copper in the United States—Continued**NEW REFINED COPPER WITHDRAWN FROM TOTAL YEAR'S SUPPLY ON DOMESTIC ACCOUNT,^c 1916-1920, IN POUNDS**

| | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total supply of new copper..... | 2 259 387 315 | 2 428 546 171 | 2 432 385 290 | 1 805 306 801 | 1 634 908 644 |
| Stock at beginning of year..... | 82 429 666 | 128 055 229 | 114 000 000 | 180 000 000 | 631 000 000 |
| Total available supply..... | 2 341 816 981 | 2 556 601 400 | 2 546 385 290 | 1 985 306 801 | 2 265 908 644 |
| Copper exported ^d | 734 879 881 | 1 047 771 685 | 704 715 714 | 439 835 229 | 553 070 086 |
| Stock at end of year..... | 128 055 229 | 114 000 000 | 180 000 000 | 631 000 000 | 659 000 000 |
| Total withdrawn from supply..... | 862 935 110 | 1 161 771 685 | 884 715 714 | 1 070 835 229 | 1 212 070 086 |
| Withdrawn from total supply on domestic account..... | 1 478 881 871 | 1 394 829 715 | 1 661 669 576 | 914 471 572 | 1 053 838 558 |

^a The separation of refined copper into metal of domestic and of foreign origin is only approximate, as an accurate separation at this stage of manufacture is not possible.

^b Includes refined copper imported.

^c Formerly called apparent consumption.

^d Includes unrefined black blister and converter copper, in bars, pigs, or other forms, and refined copper in ingots, bars, rods, or other forms.

Fig. 1 shows the variations in price of different grades of copper in the period 1900-1920. It will be noted that lake copper usually commands a slightly higher price than electrolytic.




FIG. 1.—Price of commercial copper

11548°—22—2

TABLE 5.—Distribution of Production in United States (29)

| Copper | 1912 | 1914 | 1916 | 1918 | 1920 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Production of copper: | | | | | |
| Smelter output.....pounds.. | 1 243 268 920 | 1 150 137 192 | 1 927 850 548 | 1 908 533 595 | 1 209 061 040 |
| Mine production.....do.... | 1 249 094 891 | 1 148 431 437 | 2 005 875 312 | 1 910 022 841 | 1 224 550 151 |
| Refinery production of new copper— | | | | | |
| Electrolytic.....pounds.. | 914 935 371 | 991 573 073 | 1 579 620 513 | 1 560 327 422 | 1 010 240 867 |
| Lake.....do.... | 231 112 228 | 158 009 748 | 269 794 531 | 231 096 158 | 153 483 952 |
| Pig and casting.....do.... | 57 629 296 | 60 840 368 | 39 337 155 | 91 450 611 | 18 698 421 |
| Total domestic...do.... | 1 203 676 895 | 1 210 423 189 | 1 888 752 199 | 1 882 874 191 | 1 182 423 240 |
| Total domestic and foreign..do.... | 1 568 104 478 | 1 533 781 394 | 2 259 387 315 | 2 432 385 290 | 1 634 908 644 |
| Total new and old copper...do.... | 1 843 000 000 | 1 790 000 000 | 2 959 000 000 | 3 138 000 000 | 2 260 000 000 |
| Total ore produced...short tons a.. | 35 671 028 | 35 187 118 | 57 953 357 | 62 304 767 | 36 792 260 |
| Copper ore produced.....do..a.. | 35 656 414 | 35 175 541 | 57 863 365 | 62 289 069 | 36 765 370 |
| Average yield of copper..per cent.. | 1.71 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.51 | 1.63 |
| Imports.....pounds.. | 410 240 295 | 306 350 827 | 462 335 980 | 575 805 115 | 485 670 691 |
| Exports.....do.... | 775 000 658 | 840 080 922 | 784 006 486 | 744 243 481 | 623 158 489 |
| Consumption: | | | | | |
| Total new copper.....do.... | 775 978 332 | 701 624 158 | 1 478 881 871 | 1 661 669 576 | 1 053 838 558 |
| Total new and old copper.....pounds.. | 1 051 000 000 | 958 000 000 | 2 179 000 000 | 2 367 000 000 | 1 679 000 000 |
| World production.....do.... | 2 259 101 580 | 2 054 090 000 | 2 998 679 000 | 3 158 592 000 | |
| Value of production in United States.....dollars.. | 205 139 338 | 152 968 246 | 474 288 000 | 471 408 000 | 222 467 000 |

a Short tons of 2000 pounds.

III. METALLOGRAPHY OF COPPER

The purest copper produced commercially, that which has been electrodeposited and not remelted, or has been remelted in vacuo, consists structurally of an aggregate of copper grains or crystals, the latter belonging to the regular or cubic system. Photomicrographs, Figs. 2 and 3, show pure electrolytic copper as deposited in the form of cathode strip, not remelted. Figs. 4 and 5 show copper as deposited in the form of electrotypes (38), and show the twinning in the columnar crystals and the etching pits inside of the grains.

When copper is remelted in practice, it takes up oxygen, only part of which is removed by poling, such that about 0.05 per cent remains in the cast ingots from the furnace. The appearance of such cast copper is shown in Fig. 6. The oxygen is present as Cu_2O , which forms a eutectic with copper of the composition of 3.45 per cent Cu_2O , melting at 1063°C ; it is not appreciably soluble in solid copper. It presents under the microscope a bluish-gray appearance with a characteristic red "glow" at the center of each particle and can not be confused with other inclusions in copper. The Cu_2O content of cast copper may readily be plani-



FIG. 2.—Cathode copper. $\times 100$




FIG. 3.—Cathode copper. $\times 100$



FIG. 4.—*Electrotrope copper.* $\times 100$




FIG. 5.—*Electrotrope copper.* $\times 500$




FIG. 6.—Cast copper containing about 0.05 per cent oxygen. $\times 100$



FIG. 7.—Hard-drawn trolley wire, $\frac{2}{64}$ inch. $\times 250$




FIG. 8.—Medium-drawn wire, $\frac{7}{64}$ inch. $\times 250$



FIG. 9.—Soft wire, $\frac{1}{4}$ inch. $\times 250$



FIG. 10.—Hot rolled, $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch sheet. $\times 100$.




FIG. 11.—“Gassed copper”—cracks. $\times 100$.

metrically determined from photomicrographs or on microscope ground glass (33).

The structure of such cast copper is broken up by the mechanical and heat treatment it receives in the mill, and it recrystallizes, appearing as shown in Figs. 7, 8, 9, and 10 illustrating different forms of commercial copper.

The oxide is present in rows of fine globules, parallel to the axis of forging or working.

In the hard-drawn copper (Fig. 7) the grains are crossed by numerous etch bands, perpendicular to the direction of drawing, characteristic of hard copper; the grains are also elongated in the same direction. This is true also, but in less degree, of the medium-drawn wire, Fig. 8. When hard-drawn copper is annealed it recrystallizes; fine grains make their appearance within the larger original strained grains and generally at the border of the grains or along twinning planes and grow. The recrystallization takes place simultaneously with the softening of the metal; the temperature ranges of recrystallization coincide with those of the annealing softening (see Fig. 20); that is, from 250 to 400° C. After a full anneal, the metal assumes the structural appearance shown in Fig. 9. Figs. 10 and 11 show the appearance of hot-rolled copper plate or sheet one-fourth inch thick.

The grain size of copper may vary within wide limits. When just recrystallized, after cold drawing or rolling, the grains will have diameters of from 0.0005 to 0.003 inch, whereas after annealing at higher temperatures the diameters may go up to from 0.005 to 0.015 inch. The grain size depends upon the extent of the reduction and of annealing; this in commercial practice depends on the size of specimen, such that one may find in hard-drawn heavy sections a grain size larger than in soft smaller sections. The presence of oxide particles has been shown to hinder grain growth, in preventing coalescence of the adjoining grains.

Besides Cu_2O , bismuth and lead, when present in copper, are present in all but quite small amounts (see p. 58) as discreet particles, visible under the microscope. Other impurities, silver, gold, nickel, manganese, arsenic, antimony, zinc, phosphorus, etc., dissolve to a greater or less extent in the solid copper and leave no microscopic trace of their presence, except possibly in the cast state, when their presence gives a cored or dendritic structure to the metal.

Copper is best etched for microscopic examination with ammonium hydroxide, with ammonium-persulphate solution, or with

a combination of the two; hydrogen peroxide may be used with ammonium hydroxide in place of ammonium persulphate.

Bragg (35) has shown by his method of X-ray examination that the copper atoms in crystalline copper are arranged in a face-centered cubic lattice; there is, therefore, apparently no copper molecule in solid copper smaller in size than the grain itself.

1. POSSIBLE ALLOTROPY, TRANSFORMATION

It is generally assumed that copper does not exist in any allotropic forms, but that the form which is stable at ordinary temperature persists also at lower and higher temperatures. Thermal analysis and microscopic examination disclose no evidence of any chemical transformation of any sort.

Cohen and his coworkers (42, 43), from measurements and consideration of the thermal expansion and electrical resistance, believe that they have discovered in electrolytic copper a transformation point at about 70° C. The very careful electrical resistivity measurements of Burgess and Kellberg (41) do not, however, indicate any such point; the electrical resistivity varies almost linearly in a smooth curve between 61 and 74° C. The results of Cohen are possibly to be attributed to the presence of Cu_2O in the copper tested or to the fact that the chips or wire used were in a state of initial stress or of unstable (fine) crystal structure.

Schützenberger's (45) allotropic copper has been shown by Benedicks to owe its properties to inclusions or content of Cu_2O and acetate of copper. It is harder and more brittle than copper and is made by the electrolysis of slightly alkaline solutions of copper acetate.

IV. CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Copper is not oxidized in dry air at ordinary temperature nor in moist air in the absence of CO_2 . In dry air the oxidation at temperatures under 180° C is insignificant; above that temperature are formed CuO and Cu_2O . It is not readily attacked at high temperatures below the melting point by H_2 , N_2 , CO , CO_2 , or H_2O . Elliott claims that superheated steam makes copper brittle. In the presence of NH_4OH copper is readily oxidized in air; this reaction is utilized as a method of oxygen absorption.

Copper does not dissolve in the absence of air in dilute HCl or dilute H_2SO_4 , but readily in HNO_3 . In the presence of air,

dilute acids, including certain organic acids, attack it slowly; at higher temperatures concentrated H_2SO_4 attacks it, forming SO_2 , CuSO_4 , etc. It is also corroded slowly by saline solutions and sea water.

Foerster (3) discusses the anodic and cathodic electrochemical behaviour of copper, characteristics of great importance to the electrolytic refining industry.

Heath (8) describes the methods in use for the analysis of copper and its alloys.

V. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

1. ELECTRICAL, MAGNETIC

(a) ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY.—The International Electro-technical Commission in 1913 adopted the present international standard value for the electrical resistivity of annealed copper; this is 0.15328 ohm (meter-gram) at 20°C ; 0.017241 ohm (meter-square millimeter) at 20°C ; 0.67879 microhm (cubic inch) at 20°C .

This value is based upon the values obtained by the various national physical laboratories (49, 60) for ordinary high-grade commercial refined copper, in the annealed state; it represents an average value for such materials. The standard density is 8.89 grams per cubic centimeter.

Investigation made at this Bureau showed that for 89 annealed samples of the purest commercial copper from 14 refiners the mean value of the resistivity was 0.15292 ohm (meter-gram), the average deviation from this value being 0.26 per cent, the maximum 1.7 per cent. It is thus seen that even in the purest grades of copper the variation in purity and physical state are sufficient to cause variations in resistivity of annealed samples of about ± 1.5 per cent. Some of the highest values found for the per cent conductivity of copper are the following:

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resistivity} = 0.15045 \text{ ohm (meter-gram) at } 20^\circ\text{C (annealed} \\ \text{wire).} \\ \text{Conductivity} = 101.88 \text{ per cent.} \end{array} \right.$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resistivity} = 0.15386 \text{ ohm (meter-gram) at } 20^\circ\text{C (hard-} \\ \text{drawn wire).} \\ \text{Conductivity} = 99.62 \text{ per cent.} \end{array} \right.$

The first value (60) is for an annealed wire drawn directly from a mass of native lake copper which had never been melted. The

second value is for a hard-drawn sample drawn directly from a cathode plate without remelting. The average difference between the conductivity of hard-drawn and of annealed copper is 2.7 per cent. (See p. 49.)




FIG. 12.—Thermoelectric power of copper to platinum and to lead. (Adams)

It may be noted that in the investigation by this Bureau of about 90 samples of commercial pure copper the electrolytic samples annealed gave a mean value of 100.3 per cent; the lake samples one of 100.02 per cent conductivity. The electrolytic samples averaged about 0.40 per cent higher than the lake samples also in the hard-drawn condition. According to Mr. Bassett,

technical superintendent and metallurgist, American Brass Co., "it is not uncommon to find a conductivity in commercial wire bars of 101.3 to 101.6 per cent when soft annealed."

It may be noted that the electrical conductivity, as also its temperature coefficient, affords a very sensitive criterion of the purity of copper and is most convenient when the metal is to be tested in the form of wire or rod, etc.

Within the temperature limits 10 to 100° C the conductivity of copper is a linear function of the temperature (within 0.2 per cent) (50), and the temperature coefficient of resistance is proportional to the conductivity; for example,

$$\alpha_{20} = 0.0000393 \times \text{per cent conductivity.}$$

Thus, as a consequence of this relation the change in resistivity per degree centigrade is a constant for copper, independent of the temperature (10 to 100° C) and of the purity and is equal to 0.000597 ohm (meter-gram, 1° C).

G. K. Burgess (41) in a series of observations on the resistance of one sample of copper wire between 0 and 150° C finds that this may be represented for the sample tested to within 1 part in 1000 by the following formula:

$$R_t = R_0 (1 + 0.0041151 t - 0.0000019988 t^2).$$

The second term, indicating the departure from linearity of the electrical resistance as a function of temperature, amounts to approximately 2 per cent at 100° C.

(b) THERMOELECTROMOTIVE FORCE—PELTIER EFFECT, THOMSON EFFECT.—In Fig. 12 are given the curves of the thermoelectric power (dE/dt) of commercially pure copper to pure lead and platinum as determined by Adams (61), of the geophysical laboratory of the Carnegie Institution. According to Adams the thermal emf of samples of commercial copper wire to lead or platinum does not vary by more than 5 microvolts at 200° C showing a remarkable homogeneity of this metal in its commercial form. From these curves cubic equations have been derived as follows:

$$(\text{Cu} - \text{Pt}) \frac{dE}{dt} = 5.85 + .0406t - 7.46t^2 10^{-5} + 1.096t^3 10^{-7}$$

$$(\text{Cu} - \text{Pb}) \frac{dE}{dt} = 2.84 + .0082t - .84t^2 10^{-5} + .226t^3 10^{-7}$$

The thermal emf's are obtained by integration as follows:

$$E_{t_0} = \int_0^t \frac{dE}{dt} dt$$

$$(\text{Cu} - \text{Pt}) E_{t_0} = 5.85t + .0203t^2 - 2.48t^3 10^{-5} + .274t^4 10^{-7}$$

$$(\text{Cu} - \text{Pb}) E_{t_0} = 2.84t + .0041t^2 - .28t^3 10^{-5} + .0565t^4 10^{-7}$$

The emf of copper to lead was calculated from the direct data of Adams on the emf of copper-lead and of lead-platinum.

Adams and Johnston (62) give a general equation for the copper constantan couple, to be used in connection with deviation curves given by Sosman (66). The equation is:

$$E = 38.105 \, t + 0.04442 \, t^2 - 0.00002856 \, t^3$$

The Peltier and Thomson effects may be calculated from the above equations.

(c) **ELECTROLYTIC SOLUTION POTENTIAL.**—The electrolytic solution potential of copper to a solution containing its (bivalent) ions is given by Newman (74) who found for

Cu/ n CuSO₄ (18° C)/normal hydrogen electrode

$$E_h = -0.308 \text{ (Cu ion concentration} = 0.11 \, n)$$

$$E_h \text{ (calculated)} = -0.329 \text{ (Cu ions in CuSO}_4 \text{ solution of } n \text{ concentration)}$$

Labendzinski (73) has determined with care the emf of copper to its cupric salts. His results are as follows:

| | Normality | E_h |
|--|-----------|--------|
| Cu/CuSO ₄ | 1.0 | +0.304 |
| | .1 | .284 |
| | .01 | .262 |
| Cu/Cu(NO ₃) ₂ | 1.0 | .331 |
| | .1 | .294 |
| | .01 | .266 |
| Cu/Cu(acetate)..... | Saturated | .278 |
| | .1 | .264 |
| | .01 | .242 |

Cohen (69) has shown that the emf of copper amalgams of mercury content of from 1 to 16 per cent to a saturated solution of CuSO₄ at 25° C to Hg₂SO₄/Hg is 0.3471 volt.

The emf of copper to solutions containing cuprous ions has been studied by Bodländer-Storbeck (71). They find that the emf E_h

Cu/0.05 n KCl + saturated CuCl

is -0.194 volt.

The emf of copper to solutions of its salts (cupric) becomes less negative with increase of temperature (72). The temperature coefficient of emf between 0 and 50° C is

$$0 = 0.00066 \text{ volt per degree}$$

(d) **MAGNETIC PROPERTIES.**—Pure copper is diamagnetic. Its magnetic behavior is very profoundly altered by slight traces of

iron present as an impurity. Thus, as little as 0.04 per cent iron makes copper paramagnetic (75, 77). Values given for K , the susceptibility, are

$$K_v = -0.66 \times 10^{-6} \quad (79)$$

$$= - .82 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (electrolytic copper)} \quad (78)$$

$$K_m = -1.22 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (native copper)} \quad (76)$$

$$= - .086 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (electrolytic copper, Fe = 0.008 per cent)} \quad (80)$$

$$= - .085 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (electrolytic copper, Fe = .0004 per cent)} \quad (81)$$

The value of K may thus be taken as -0.085×10^{-6} for pure copper. The temperature coefficient of K is given by the equation (78)

$$\alpha_0 = -0.0015$$

that is, the value of K decreases with temperature increase.

Cu_2O and CuO have been found to be paramagnetic (75)

$$K(\text{CuO}) = \text{about } +20.0 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$K(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = +0.62 \times 10^{-6}$$

2. THERMAL

(a) MELTING POINT, HEAT OF FUSION, BOILING POINT, VAPOR PRESSURE.—The *melting point* of copper is taken as 1083.0°C , the value adopted by the Bureau (87) in standardization of pyrometers, etc. Small amounts of oxygen lower the melting point markedly, the melting point of the eutectic $\text{Cu}-\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ (3.45 per cent Cu_2O = 0.395 per cent oxygen) being about 1063°C .

Richards-Frazier (105) gives 43.3 calories as the *heat of fusion* of copper.

It has not been possible to determine the *boiling point* of copper accurately; this has been due largely to the experimental difficulties. Greenwood (83, 84) states that there is an interval of about 100°C between the temperature at which copper first begins to form bubbles and that temperature at which ebullition is vigorous. This latter temperature he gives as 2310°C at 760 mm pressure, as measured with a Wanner optical pyrometer on electrolytic copper in an atmosphere of hydrogen, and states that it can readily be duplicated.

V. Wartenberg (86) states that the boiling point of copper lies above 2200°C , and Féry (82) places it at 2100°C .

Greenwood (84) also made three determinations of the vapor pressure of copper, his values being

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| At 2310°C | 1.0 atmospheres |
| At 2180°C | .34 atmospheres |
| At 1980°C | .13 atmospheres |

From these data Johnston (85) has given the formula

$$\log p = 9.14 - \frac{16400}{T}$$

p = vapor pressure in millimeters of mercury

T = absolute temperature

This gives a pressure of 0.001 mm at 1080° C. From this formula it follows (Johnston, loc. cit.) that the heat of vaporization of copper is about 75 000 calories.

(b) THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY.—An empirical law, approximate only, of Wiedemann-Franz-Lorenz connects the thermal conduc-




FIG. 13.—Thermal and electrical conductivity at low and higher temperatures (Meissner, 84)

Curve 1. $\lambda/x = \frac{\text{thermal}}{\text{electrical}} \text{ conductivity.}$

Curve 2. $ix = \text{electrical resistivity.}$

Curve 3. $ix = \text{thermal resistivity.}$

Curve 4. $\lambda/xT = \frac{\text{thermal conductivity}}{\text{electrical conductivity} \times \text{abs. temperature.}}$

All quantities expressed as fraction of respective values at °C. The portion of curve between 0° and 20° abs. is extrapolated.

tivity of pure metals with the electrical conductivity by the following relation:

$$\frac{\text{Thermal conductivity}}{\text{electrical conductivity}} = K \times (\text{absolute temperature})$$

Determinations of both conductivities were made in 1900 at the Physikalisch-technische Reichsanstalt (90) on a "pure copper" (traces of iron and zinc together less than 0.05 per cent). The results were as follows:

Electrical resistivity (18°C) = 0.01782 ohm (meter-square millimeter) conductivity of 96.8 per cent (international standard).

Temperature coefficient of electrical resistivity at 18°C = 0.00393.

Thermal conductivity (18°C) = $3.73 \left(\frac{\text{watt-seconds}}{\text{centimeter-second-degree}} \right)$

Temperature coefficient of thermal conductivity = 1.96×10^{-4}

Ratio — $\frac{\text{thermal conductivity}}{\text{electrical conductivity}} = 665 \times 10^{-3}$ (18°C).

Temperature coefficient of this ratio = 3.67×10^{-3}

Schaukelberger (92) obtained in 1902 a value of 0.9382 $\left(\frac{\text{Calorie}}{\text{second-gram-degree}} \right)$ for "pure copper." The electrical conductivity of this material is, however, only 92.8 per cent, showing the material to be inferior in purity to that used by Jaeger and Dieselhorst.

At lower temperatures divergence from the Wiedemann-Franz-Lorenz law is still greater. The variation of the two conductivities and their ratio between 20 and 400°C absolute is given by Meissner (91) in Fig. 13.

(c) THERMAL EXPANSION.—The linear thermal expansion of copper is not a linear function of the temperature but is well expressed (at least from -40 to $+300^{\circ}\text{C}$) by a quadratic equation.

The thermal expansion of two samples of electrolytic copper furnished by the American Brass Co. has been determined at this Bureau.

One sample was in the form of hot-rolled and cold-drawn trolley wire of N. E. C. copper wire bar, of the following composition:

| | Per cent | | Per cent |
|---------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Copper..... | 99.956 | Nickel..... | 0.0001 |
| Silver..... | .0005 | Selenium }..... | .0000 |
| Iron..... | .0006 | Tellurium }..... | .0000 |
| Lead..... | .0007 | Sulphur..... | .0017 |
| Arsenic..... | .0025 | Zinc..... | .0000 |
| Antimony..... | .0011 | Oxygen..... | .0364 |
| | | | (diff) |

This sample showed between -24 and $+64^{\circ}\text{C}$, a unit linear expansion expressed (within 0.000003) by the following equation:

$$\frac{\Delta l}{l_0} = (16.48t + 0.00382t^2) 10^{-6}$$

Another sample of electrolytic copper (battery assay 99.968 per cent Cu) hot rolled, drawn, and annealed, showed between -49 and $+305^{\circ}\text{C}$ an expansion expressed (within 0.000009) by the equation:

$$\frac{\Delta l}{l_0} = (16.34t + 0.00413t^2) 10^{-6}$$

Dittenberger (95) gives the following equation from results determined between 0 and 625°C on a sample of copper of "good conductivity."

$$\frac{\Delta l}{l_0} = (16.07t + 0.00403t^2) 10^{-6}$$

Henning (96) has determined the expansion at low temperatures of the same material used by Dittenberger. He finds a contraction between 0 and -191°C of 2.917 mm per 100 cm, which does not fit Dittenberger's formula.

Lindemann (97) finds Grüneisen's law, that the ratio:

$$\frac{\text{coefficient of thermal expansion}}{\text{specific heat}} \text{ is a constant,}$$

independent of the temperature, verified by determination of the coefficient at low temperature. He finds

$$\frac{1}{l} \frac{dl}{dt} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{ from } 85 \text{ to } 292^{\circ}\text{C (absolute)} = 124 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\frac{1}{l} \frac{dl}{dt} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{ from } 80 \text{ to } 90^{\circ}\text{C (absolute)} = 75 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\frac{1}{l} \frac{dl}{dt} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{ from } 20 \text{ to } 80^{\circ}\text{C (absolute)} = 49 \times 10^{-6}$$

(d) SPECIFIC HEAT.—The specific heat of copper is not constant but varies with the temperature. In Fig. 14 are given curves taken from various observers, indicating the effect of temperature on the specific heat of copper.

The results of determinations made at the Bureau (100) show that between 0 and 50°C the specific heat of copper (99.87 per cent pure, 100.5 per cent electrical conductivity, Mattheissen standard) is a linear function of the temperature and may be represented by the formula

$$C = 0.0917 + 0.000048 (t - 25) \frac{\text{calorie } 20^{\circ}\text{C}}{\text{gram-degree}}$$

This value agrees well with a probable value deduced from the results of any previous investigators.




FIG. 14.—Effect of temperature on specific heat (constant pressure)

3. OPTICAL

Copper is a red metal, which takes a fairly high polish and reflects well. The reflecting power and the refractive and absorption indices are given by the curves of Fig. 15. The selective absorption and reflection are strikingly indicated.

The relative emissivity of copper and of cuprous oxide at different temperatures, as determined by various observers, is given in




FIG. 15.—Optical characteristics of copper
Curve 1. Reflecting power (perpendicular incidence) in per cent. —k—
Curve 2. Absorption index —k—
Curve 3. Refractive index —n—. Curves 2 and 3 from data by Minor (113) and Ingersoll (112).

Table 6. The selective emissivity is clearly shown, the copper absorbing or emitting strongly at the blue end of the spectrum. According to Bidwell (107), Stubbs (114), and Burgess and Waltenberg (109) there is practically no temperature variation of E_{λ} between 20° and 1500° C.

TABLE 6.—Relative Emissivity of Copper

| λ in microns | 1—coefficient of reflection, Hagen and Rubens (110) 20° C (111) | | Relative emissivity, Stubbs (114) | | | Relative emissivity, Tate (115) 20° C | Relative emissivity of Cu ₂ O, Burgess (108) 1100° C |
|----------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Electrolytic copper | Commercial copper | 1090° C | 1127° C | 1174° C | | |
| 0.450 | 0.512 | 0.63 | | | | | |
| .475 | | | 0.374 | 0.473 | | | |
| .500 | .467 | .56 | .374 | .381 | 0.402 | 0.51 | 0.68 |
| .550 | .405 | .52 | .330 | .340 | .349 | | |
| .560 | | | | | | .42 | |
| .600 | .165 | .28 | .210 | .210 | .197 | .29 | |
| .650 | .110 | .20 | .148 | .152 | .146 | | .60 |
| .660 | | | | | | .22 | |
| .700 | .093 | .17 | .106 | .130 | .124 | .21 | |

| Temperature, degrees centigrade | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.65$, Burgess and Waltenberg (109) | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.55$, Burgess and Waltenberg (109) | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.66$, Bidwell (107) | Temperature, degrees centigrade | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.65$, Burgess and Waltenberg (109) | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.55$, Burgess and Waltenberg (109) | Relative emissivity for $\lambda=0.66$, Bidwell (107) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 700 | | | 0.11 | 1100 | 0.150 <i>l</i> | 0.36 <i>l</i> | |
| 930 | 0.096 <i>s</i> | | | 1200 | | | 0.11 |
| 1025 | .105 <i>s</i> | | | 1400 | | | .11 |
| 1080 | .117 <i>s</i> | 0.38 <i>s</i> | | 1800 | | | .14 |

s=solid.*l*=liquid.

4. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

In any discussion of the mechanical properties of any material it must be constantly borne in mind that most of the characteristics determined are more or less dependent upon the method of their determination, the size and shape of test piece used, the rate of loading, etc., as well as upon the previous mechanical and heat treatment of the material. This is much less true of the elastic properties and the moduli than of the ductility and strength. In general, therefore, it is not possible to assign definite values to these mechanical characteristics; only the range of values given by pure material treated in different ways can be given.

Martens, in an article of great value to those interested in the testing of copper (140), has shown that in the case of copper a variation of testing speed amounting to from 0.5 to 40 per cent elongation per minute causes a difference in the value of the ultimate tensile strength of less than 2 per cent.

Ludwik (139) has shown, however, that for longer periods the effect of time in testing is quite large. He found that a one-half millimeter diameter electrolytic copper wire which sustained a

load of 4958 g for five minutes sustained a load of only 4500 g for 90 hours and one of 3950 g for one and one-fourth years.

Martens also showed that although the form of the test piece (ratio of test length to cross section, distance of test length from shoulder of test specimen, etc.) had practically no effect on the values of the ultimate strength and yield point of copper, it exerted a marked effect on the elongation and reduction of area in the tensile test; variations of 15 to 30 per cent were obtained on the same material by varying the ratio: $\frac{\text{length}}{\text{cross section}}$ from $1/20$ to $1/2$.

(a) ELASTICITY.—There is some divergence among the results of determinations of Young's modulus for copper. Values of 12 100 to 12 300 kg/mm² (17.2 to 17.5×10^6 lbs./in.²) for electrolytic copper have been obtained by careful investigators. Determinations by Searle (121) showed a value of 17.6×10^6 lbs./in.² for drawn and of 18.3×10^6 lbs./in.² for annealed copper. The temperature coefficient of E at ordinary temperature for copper is given by Wassmuth (125) as $\alpha = 3.59 \times 10^{-4}$ [$E = E_0 (1 + \alpha t)$] and is negative; that is, the modulus decreases with rise of temperature. Some values for the moduli at different temperatures are given in Table 7.

TABLE 7.—Influence of Temperature on the Elastic Moduli of Copper

| Temperature, degrees centigrade | Mean modulus of torsion (124) | Young's modulus (144) ^a | Young's modulus (144) ^b | Temperature, degrees centigrade | Mean modulus of torsion (124) | Young's modulus (144) ^a | Young's modulus (144) ^b |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² |
| 0 | | | | 350 | | | |
| 20 | 6.02×10^6 | 17.8×10^6 | 18.1×10^6 | 400 | | 10.1×10^6 | |
| 100 | 5.82×10^6 | | 18.1×10^6 | 500 | 3.96×10^6 | | |
| 150 | | | | 600 | | | |
| 200 | 5.58×10^6 | 14.3×10^6 | 15.6×10^6 | 800 | 2.72×10^6 | | |
| 250 | | | | 1000 | 2.10×10^6 | | |
| 300 | 4.85×10^6 | 11.8×10^6 | 13.5×10^6 | | | | |

Poisson's ratio for copper is 0.33 ± 0.01 ; its temperature coefficient (0° to 150° C) about 0.00023.

The modulus of torsion for copper as given by Koch and Dannecker (124) is 4240 kg/mm² (6.15×10^6 lbs./in.²)

The modulus of hydrostatic compression for copper is approximately 12 000 kg/mm² (20).

(b) TENSION TEST.—Pure copper may best be normalized by casting, rolling, and drawing, followed by annealing for one-half

to one hour at about 500°C , and then by slow or quick cooling; after this treatment there is less variation between different samples in the results of the tensile test than in any other condition. The tensile characteristics of copper in this state may be summarized as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Ultimate tensile strength..... | $35\,000 \pm 5000$ lbs./in. ² |
| Elastic or proportional limit..... | Not determinable |
| Elongation in 2 inches..... | 40 to 60 per cent |
| Reduction of area..... | 40 to 60 per cent |

It will be noted that no value is given for the elastic or proportional limits; the usual method of determination of these




FIG. 16.—(184). *Effect of cold work on tensile strength and electrical conductivity of pure copper. (Addicks, 243.)*

The ultimate tensile strength and the per cent electrical conductivity are plotted as a function of the per cent reduction in area. The wires tested were drawn to No. 12 B. & S. gage from different sizes of annealed copper rod.

quantities does not yield any value; that is, annealed copper takes a slight permanent set with the slightest loads which are applied in testing. The values of the moduli given above are determined generally on hot-rolled material in which a practical proportionality exists for very small loads.

When copper is cold worked, rolled, or drawn the hardness is increased and the ductility is decreased. At the same time it




FIG. 17.—The effect of cold work on the mechanical properties of copper (tensile test).
Mathewson and Thalheimer (191)

There are plotted the ultimate tensile strength, the elongation in 2 inches, and the reduction of area of copper strips rolled to different gages from an annealed strip 0.128 inch in thickness, as functions of the percentage reduction of section.

| | Oxygen | Arsenic | Silver |
|---|--------|---------|--------|
| Curve 1. Electrolytic copper..... | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.0005 |
| Curve 2. Mohawk copper (Lake)..... | .052 | .096 | .069 |
| Curve 3. Copper Range copper (Lake arsenical) | .055 | .296 | .052 |

acquires a limit of proportionality. The hardness and ductility of such material depend on the amount of cold work or reduction (rolling or drawing) it has received. Figs. 16 and 17 give a good idea of the changes in these quantities in copper strips with various degrees of cold reduction.

Experiments made at this Bureau have shown that modern hard-drawn copper wire is equally affected by drawing throughout the section, and that no hard or exterior skin exists. This has been corroborated by Peirce (141).

A good indication of what tensile test values are to be expected in commercial copper wire, hard, medium, drawn, and soft, may be obtained from the American Society for Testing Materials specifications. (See p. 78.)

By increasing the current density and employing a rapidly rotating cathode Bennet (133) has been able to produce electrodeposited copper of a hardness approaching that of hard-drawn copper; that is, of a tensile strength of 68 000 lbs./in.² Some experiments indicating the effect of varying current density upon the mechanical properties of copper were earlier obtained by Von Hübl (137).

Thurston (147) and others give values of the results of the tensile test on copper in different conditions.

| | Ultimate strength, pounds per square inch |
|---------------------|---|
| Cast copper..... | 22-36 000 |
| Copper, forged..... | 34 000 |
| Copper, bolt..... | 36 000 |
| Copper, sheet..... | 36 000 |
| Copper, wire..... | 62 000 |

(c) COMPRESSION TEST.—Copper of good quality does not fail in the compression test by fracture; it merely yields indefinitely and becomes flattened out.

Thurston (147) states that the resistance of copper to compression may be calculated (within the limits $e < \frac{1}{2}$) from the formula

$$C = 145\,000 \sqrt[3]{e}$$

c = resistance in pounds per square inch of original area

e = fractional compression.

This formula holds, according to him, for compressions up to 50 per cent for cylinders of three diameters length.

(d) TORSION TEST.—Thurston (147) states that copper shafts will break under load when

$$d' = \sqrt[3]{\frac{Fl}{4000}} \text{ or } d' = \sqrt[3]{\frac{Fl}{8000}}$$

according as they are of cast or worked copper

d' = diameter in inches

F = torsional moment

l = lever arm.

He also gives the equation

$$d' = \sqrt[3]{\frac{5.1 Fl}{S'}}$$

where S' for copper should be from 15 000 to 30 000 pounds.

(e) SHEAR TEST.—The shearing stresses for copper are given in the Ordnance Manual of the United States War Department. The shearing resistance of copper may be taken (Thurston, 147) as equal to that of the ultimate strength in tension and subject to the same variations—that is, in the annealed or cast condition, from 22 000 to 36 000 lbs./in.² The work done in shearing copper (for punched holes) is (147).

$$W = 96\,000\, dt$$

W = work in foot-pounds

d = diameter of hole

t = thickness of plate or sheet.

(f) TRANSVERSE BENDING TEST.—Thurston (147) gives the modulus of rupture as varying between 20 000 and 40 000 lbs./in.²

(g) HARDNESS TEST.—It is well known that this property is expressed in many different ways, many of them quite arbitrary.

On the Mohs or mineralogic scale copper has a hardness of 2.5 to 3. Tammann (146) states that soft and hard copper give the same values of sclerometer hardness. A hardened steel needle (Martens sclerometer) gave a scratch of 0.014 to 0.016 mm width when loaded with 10 g, and one of 0.022 to 0.027 mm when loaded with 17 g.

The scleroscope hardness of annealed copper varies from 6 to 7 (universal hammer), whereas that of hard copper (cold reduction, 66 per cent) varies from 22 to 24 (186).

The Brinell hardness of annealed or cast copper is 35 ± 5 (500 kg load, 10 mm ball); when hardened by cold work the ball hardness thus defined may become as high as 100. Guillet (189) has

determined the ball hardness and the tensile strength of copper in various states of hardness, produced by pressing annealed copper in a steel die to different thicknesses. His results are given below.

TABLE 8.—Brinell Hardness of Copper

| Per cent cold reduction of section | Brinell hardness numeral, 500 kg | | Tensile strength | Elongation in 11 cm |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 10 mm ball | 5 mm ball | | |
| 0, soft annealed | 42 | 50 | Lbs./in. ² 33 600 | Per cent 46 |
| 10..... | 70 | 74 | 36 000 | 24 |
| 20..... | 81 | 82 | 40 000 | 13 |
| 30..... | 83 | 98 | 45 000 | 5 |
| 40..... | 94 | 92 | 47 800 | 4.5 |
| 50..... | 98 | 95 | 52 600 | 4.2 |

It is seen that that ratio of $\frac{\text{tensile strength}}{\text{Brinell hardness}}$ is variable with the hardness of the copper.

(h) IMPACT TEST.—Impact tests of copper have been so few that typical values could not be chosen from the data available. Baucke (132) has carried out a number of tests according to Frémont, using rectangular bars 10 by 10 mm, with a sharp saw notch of 3 mm depth; the specific impact work was measured. Some of the results are given in Table 9. He compares the tensile strength, reduction of area, and elongation (in (?) inches). For certain special brands carrying arsenic, nickel, and iron (98.5 to 99.9 per cent copper) giving tensile strengths of from 20 to 31 kg/mm² (28 400 to 44 000 lbs./in.²) elongations of 40 to 50 per cent, reductions of area of from 50 to 77 per cent, the specific impact work (S. I. W.) varies from 10 to 33 kg-m (72.4 to 239 feet-pounds) in longitudinally cut specimens and from 9 to 31 kg m (65.2 to 224 feet-pounds) in transverse specimens. For ordinary brands, oxygen content 0.11 to 0.06 per cent, copper 99.5 to 99.8 per cent, having tensile strengths of from 21 to 24 kg/mm² (30 000 to 34 000 lbs./in.²), elongations of 30 to 47 per cent, reductions of area of 33 to 70 per cent, the specific impact work varied from 10 to 15 kg-m (72.4 to 108.6 feet-pounds) in longitudinally cut specimens and from 3 to 11 kg-m (21.7 to 79.6 feet-pounds) in transverse specimens.

TABLE 9.—Impact Tests of Copper. Baucke (132)

COMMERCIAL FIRE-BOX PLATES

| S. I. W. ^a | | Chemical composition. Impurities in 0.01 per cent | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| Longitudinally taken specimens | Transverse taken specimens | Anti-mony | Tin | Arsenic | Lead | Bismuth | Iron | Zinc | Nickel | Manganese | Oxygen | Per cent copper |
| Kg-m | Kg-m | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11.0 | 10.5 | | 3 | 33 | 2 | <1 | 11 | 1 | | | 6 | 99.44 |
| 11.0 | 5.5 | | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 9 | | 8 | 99.80 |
| 9.0 | 6.1 | | | | 11 | | 4 | 12 | | | 8 | 99.57 |
| 10.9 | 3.1 | | | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | | 23 | | 10 | 99.57 |
| 10.8 | 9.1 | | 2 | | 5 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 10 | | 10 | 99.48 |
| 10.4 | 5.3 | | 1 | | | <1 | 2 | | 1 | | 11 | 99.81 |
| 10.5 | | | | 1 | 5 | | 5 | 9 | 22 | | 11 | 99.47 |
| 8.3 | 5.3 | 1 | | | 35 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | 11 | 99.50 |
| 13.6 | 10.1 | | | | 2 | <1 | 19 | 1 | | | 11 | 99.66 |
| 19.0 | 6.6 | | 1 | | 3 | <1 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | 99.81 |
| 8.3 | 5.3 | | | 14 | 7 | | 4 | 14 | | | 12 | 99.50 |

SPECIAL COPPER (HECKMANN) FOR PLATES

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 28.6 | 26 | | 201 | | | | | 45 | | | | |
| 28 | | | 82 | | | | | 40 | | | | |
| 18 | | | 8 | | | | 3 | | | | | |

^a Baucke gives S. I. W. in kilos, but it is assumed that he means kilogram-meters.

(i) **FATIGUE OR ALTERNATING STRESS TEST.**—Very little data of this sort are available. A few tests have been carried out by Johnson (259, 261), the results of which are embodied in Tables 10 and 14; he used the Arnold type of testing machine, which applies very high fiber stresses; that is, above the elastic limit.

5. MISCELLANEOUS

(a) **DENSITY.**—The density of pure copper, rolled, forged, or drawn, and afterwards annealed, may be taken as 8.89 at 20° C. This value was accepted by the International Electrotechnical Commission in 1913. Samples of high conductivity copper will vary (149) usually between 8.87 and 8.91; in some cases samples have shown densities as high as 8.94 and as low as 8.83. Variations in density may be due to microscopic flaws or seams (low density) or to the variation in the percentage of oxygen present

(0.03 per cent oxygen lowers the density by about 0.01). The density of cast copper will be about 8.89 when no blowholes are present; otherwise, lower densities will be found.

According to experiments by G. L. Heath (148), there is but an extremely small difference between the density of hard-drawn and drawn and annealed copper. No difference in density is detected between the two high grades of copper. The mean density of 10 hard-drawn samples of wire was 8.898; after these samples had been annealed their mean density was 8.900, showing an increase of density upon annealing of approximately 0.02 per cent. Similar values of the increase in density of hard copper upon annealing have been found also by Gewecke (187) and by Kahlbaum-Sturm (150); these ranged from 0.001 to 0.10 per cent, and averaged about 0.02 per cent.

VI. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AT HIGHER AND LOWER TEMPERATURES

1. ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY

Northrup (54) has determined the electrical resistivity of a sample of high conductivity copper from 20 to 1450° C. His curve is reproduced in Fig. 18.

Dewar-Fleming (161) have determined in terms of platinum resistance temperatures the resistance of copper at low temperatures, using an electrolytic copper, drawn into wire without melting or heating but subsequently annealed in hydrogen. Their results are as follows:

| Temperature, degrees centigrade, calculated by Dickson (162) | Temperature, degrees centigrade, on the platinum-resistance scale | Resistance of copper, ohms (meter-square millimeters) | Temperature, degrees centigrade, calculated by Dickson (162) | Temperature, degrees centigrade, on the platinum-resistance scale | Resistance of copper, ohms (meter-square millimeters) |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| 201.7 | | 0.029269 | — 81.9 | — 78 | 0.010243 |
| .55 | | .015639 | —197.1 | | .002887 |
| —39.4 | | .012975 | —206 | —223.2 | .001436 |




FIG. 18.—The electrical resistivity of copper at higher temperatures. (Northrup, 54)

2. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The mechanical properties which have been investigated at higher temperatures are chiefly those determined in the ordinary tensile test. Such determinations are complicated by several facts; the results are dependent on the rate of loading and on the




FIG. 19.—Effect of higher temperatures on the mechanical properties of copper

Curves 1 and 2 (152) are for annealed electrolytic copper (oxygen, 0.08 per cent; arsenic, 0.003 per cent; and iron, trace) and rolled arsenical copper (oxygen, 0.13 per cent; arsenic, 0.13 per cent; iron, 0.02 per cent; lead, 0.10 per cent; and tin, 0.08 per cent), respectively. The former was tested in air, the latter in CO_2 ; in both tests a constant rate (1 120 lbs./in.² per minute) of loading was used. Curves 3 and 4 (156, 157) are for annealed electrolytic copper (arsenic, antimony, selenium, tellurium less than 0.05 per cent; bismuth, 0.0005 per cent) and for arsenical copper (arsenic, 1.2 per cent), respectively, tested in air. Curve 5 gives the yield point for the electrolytic copper; rate of loading was not constant nor given. Curve 6 is for "pure" copper (159).

atmosphere in which the tests are carried out. It is not surprising, therefore, to find considerable variation in the results of different observers. An idea of the range of results may be obtained from Fig. 19, in which elongations in 2 inches and ultimate tensile strengths are plotted as a function of temperature at which the tests were made.

Bengough and Hanson (152) come to the following conclusions:

(1) The nature of the atmosphere has an important influence on the results of tensile tests at high temperatures. An oxidizing atmosphere gives high ductility (at least with B. S. copper) at high temperatures.

(2) The existence of a range of low ductility in the neighborhood of 250 to 450° C is confirmed.

(3) Oxygen and arsenic lower the ultimate strength and increase the ductility at high temperatures. (This last deduction is perhaps not in accordance with the well-known fact that arsenical copper softens upon annealing at higher temperatures than pure copper. However the yield point, not the ultimate strength, determines the latter characteristic.)

Huntington (156, 157) has carried out alternating stress tests on copper up to 500° C and finds that the number of alternations to failure decreases with the temperature of test in much the same manner as does the ultimate strength.

Guillet and Bernard (153) have carried out impact tests on copper up to 1000° C, and find a linear decrease of the specific impact work required to bend the specimens (none of the test specimens fractured).

VII. TECHNOLOGY

1. CASTING, DEOXIDATION

Copper can be cast successfully in sand molds although difficulty is often encountered in the formation of blowholes, caused by disengagement of gas during solidification or in the oxidation of the molten metal.

The casting of copper from a large reverberatory furnace into chill molds for rolling ingots has already been described. (See p. 7.) The same difficulties are encountered in the casting of copper into sand molds; the metal may be cast porous, owing to the absorption of gas during melting and its disengagement during solidification or the molten metal may become overoxidized. The casting of copper into sand molds, therefore, is a much more difficult undertaking than that of brass or bronze.

Commercially copper is generally cast from a reverberatory furnace such as one of the down-draft Schwartz type; it may also however, be cast from a crucible with equally good results. If

a melting furnace is used, the metal can be poled to pitch exactly as it is for chill-mold casting; small test ingots may be taken during poling to ascertain whether the copper is "tough-pitch" or not. Since poling can not be readily accomplished in a crucible, care must be taken during melting to prevent overoxidation of the copper, which can not subsequently be deoxidized as in the melting furnace. This is generally done by covering the copper with charcoal; often a handful of common salt is thrown upon the metal to form a protective layer.

In either case a low pouring temperature is not to be recommended; copper may safely be heated to 1300°C , particularly when a deoxidizing agent such as silicon copper or boron carbide is used.

The majority of copper castings are made in green sand, although for some purposes dry sand may be used.

Although good castings can be made with copper alone, modern practice in the casting of copper favors the use of a deoxidizing agent added to the molten copper before pouring; the deoxidizer removes the oxygen and prevents also either the gas absorption or its later disengagement during solidification, such that sound castings are produced with no oxygen. Such deoxidizers are phosphorus, silicon, calcium, boron suboxide or carbide, zinc, titanium, magnesium, etc. Generally speaking, it is intended to add just sufficient of the deoxidizing agent to remove the oxygen, such that none of the added element remains dissolved in the solid copper; in practice an excess of the element usually remains.

Many castings of copper are required to have high electrical conductivity such that in using or choosing a deoxidizing agent the effect of this agent upon the electrical conductivity must be considered. Most elements lower the conductivity of copper. (See Sec. IX.)

Phosphor copper (containing about 15 per cent phosphorus) is one of the most common deoxidizing agents used for copper. It is added to the molten copper in the proportion of about 1 to 2 per cent. An excess of phosphorus hardens the copper and diminishes the electrical conductivity markedly; except for the latter effect, phosphorus is a most efficient deoxidizer.

Zinc may be used as a deoxidizer (0.5 per cent), but offers no advantages over phosphorus; the mechanical properties of the casting are satisfactory but the conductivity is decreased.

Deoxidation by means of silicon copper (containing about 10 per cent of silicon) is quite generally practiced and is found satisfactory.

An excess of silicon affects neither the mechanical properties or the conductivity as markedly as phosphorus or zinc.

A number of tests made by one firm using 0.4 per cent of 10 per cent silicon copper, melting in a Schwartz furnace, gave the following range of values:

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Tensile strength..... | 23 000-26 000 lbs./in. ² |
| Elongation in 2 inches..... | 25-45 per cent |
| Conductivity..... | 78-84 per cent |

This company expects the following values from remelted selected copper scrap using silicon copper:

| | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Tensile strength..... | 23 000 lbs./in. ² |
| Elongation in 2 inches..... | 27 per cent |

Castings have been made having conductivities of 90 to 96 per cent by the addition of 0.25 per cent of titanium copper. One firm states that sand castings of 71 per cent and chill castings of 91 per cent conductivity had been obtained by them using titanium copper.

Perhaps the latest deoxidizer for copper is boron carbide (super-seding boron suboxide) developed by Dr. E. Weintraub, of the General Electric Co. (170, 171). This may be added as such or without separating it from the reaction product in which it is formed; if the suboxide, from 0.08 to 0.1 per cent should be added. It is claimed that in the foundry using scrap, castings will be obtained having a conductivity of about 90 per cent, although if pure metal is used, a conductivity of 97 per cent may be obtained. The other properties of such boronized castings are as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ultimate tensile strength..... | 25 000 lbs./in. ² |
| Elongation in 2 inches..... | 48 per cent |
| Reduction of area..... | 74 per cent |

The advantage claimed for this deoxidizer (which is also true of silicon copper) is that it is not so necessary to guard against an excess of the element remaining in the copper, as the suboxide does not remain in the copper but is removed with the dross; thus the material as cast is always as pure (free from metalloids) as the materials melted up.

With magnesium, conductivities between 75 and 85 per cent may readily be obtained in sand castings. This deoxidizer is readily handled, having a low melting point. A difficulty with this metal (as well as with titanium) is that the oxides do not separate readily from the copper.

A recent product, "boronic copper," widely advertised as a de-oxidizing agent for copper and brass, does not show chemically

the presence of boron or of any element which would deoxidize copper. Actual foundry tests made at this Bureau and elsewhere have failed to show any effect of the prescribed addition of such "boronic copper" upon the properties of the copper castings.

Copper has a fairly high shrinkage coefficient, which makes careful foundry practice necessary in avoiding shrinkage cavities, etc. Wüst has determined the shrinkage coefficient of copper (172) to be about 1.42 per cent (roughly, one-fourth inch per foot).

Copper may be remelted without deterioration in its properties if care is taken either to protect it from oxidation (in a crucible) or to pole it back to pitch (in a melting furnace). When it has become oxidized the mechanical properties of the cast material are inferior (163); such material can be regenerated after several remelts by the addition of boron carbide and other deoxidizers and gives castings whose mechanical characteristics (tensile strength and ductility) are 100 per cent and over of the original virgin metal.

2. WORKING

Copper of commercial purity is in practice worked either hot or cold, and most articles of copper are produced or formed by both hot and cold work, the metal usually being worked hot during the initial heavy reductions and finished cold. The actual detailed operations of producing such articles as sheet, wire, and rods vary somewhat in different plants.

Rods are rolled hot in one operation from wire bar to approximately one-fourth inch above the finished diameter desired, pickled, and "cleaned up" by drawing cold through steel dies to size.

Fine wire is, in general, rolled hot in one operation to one-fourth or three-eighths inch, pickled, drawn to about 0.048 inch, annealed, drawn to 0.025 inch, annealed, and drawn to smaller sizes. The full drawing operation is generally performed on a 9-die machine and may, of course, be earlier interrupted for intermediate sizes of wire; finishing draws may be made on single blocks.

Coarse wire, such as one-eighth inch, would be rolled from wire bar at three-eighths inch, pickled, and drawn to size without annealing, leaving the wire hard; the latter would then be annealed if soft wire were desired.

Wire bar may also be hot-rolled only to approximately 1 inch in diameter, cold-rolled on the looping rod mill to smaller sizes, and then finished by drawing as described above.

The wire bar is heated to from 750 to 800° C for hot rolling; annealing is carried out at incipient red heat—about 600° C.

Hard-drawn copper wire below one-fourth inch in diameter receives from 8 to 12 B. & S. gage numbers reduction subsequent to the last anneal; medium-drawn wire, about 2 B. & S. numbers; soft wire is annealed after the last draw. A one-fourth inch soft wire will stand a cold reduction to about one-thirtieth of its sectional area in the dies; a finer wire, annealed, will stand less; for example, a wire 0.05 inch in diameter can be readily reduced only to one-fourth of its area. Copper wire can be drawn as fine as 0.001 inch in diameter.

Copper sheet is made from cast cakes, 3 or 4 inches in thickness, by hot or cold rolling. For tanks, pipes, etc., these cakes are hot-rolled nearly to size, pickled in acid, and cold-rolled to size. The smaller gages of sheet are usually made by cold rolling; the furnace cake is hot rolled to about one-fourth or three-eighths inch, annealed, pickled, and rolled to size cold with intermediate annealing as necessary, in much the same manner as wire.

Seamless tubes are made by casting a hollow cylindrical billet and drawing down cold over a mandrel, or by piercing a solid cylindrical billet (the Mannesmann process). This is done at a temperature of about 850° C; the pierced tube is quenched in water, and may thereafter be further reduced or finished by cold drawing over a mandrel.

3. WELDING

Although copper can be welded either by the ordinary smith-welding process, by the oxyacetylene or by any of the electric processes, this method of joining copper has hitherto been little used; it has been preferred to solder, rivet, or braze the metal. This has been due to the fact that this metal is undoubtedly difficult to weld, owing to its rapid oxidation at welding temperatures, to its high thermal conductivity, and to the fact that impurities have such a marked effect on the mechanical properties at high temperatures.

A smith weld is made in the usual manner, using a flux of borax, borax plus sodium phosphate, or borax plus potassium ferrocyanide.

In making a weld by the oxyacetylene process, a larger size blowpipe is required than for iron and a lower temperature flame.

Copper can not be cut by the oxyacetylene flame as can iron and steel.

In arc welding two or three times the power is required for a weld than with iron.

Copper may also be "resistance" welded. Thomson contact copper-wire welding machines for this purpose are on the market.

In making a weld by any of these methods, the weld should be hammered in order to break up the cast structure and to restore the strength and ductility of the welded portion.

Carnevali (178) has made tests on welds of copper and finds that the strength in impact and static tests may be reduced 50 per cent, the toughness 30 per cent, and the ductility to 10 per cent of its original value. He used copper welding wire containing phosphorus and shows that within the welded zone a porous structure is produced due to disengagement of gas during solidification.

Thompson advocates the use of boronized copper as welding material or in making "burn-ins."

4. HARDENING

The popular interest in the so-called "lost art" of hardening or "tempering" copper is evidenced by the numerous inquiries on this subject received by this Bureau, together with samples of copper treated by some "secret" process in the endeavor to render the metal similar or equal to steel in many of its properties. The rather numerous patents covering such processes may also be cited as evidence of the interest in this field, the directions given in some of these patents for the treatment of the metal being very suggestive of the methods of working metals used in medieval times. The following may be quoted as typical:

Heat the copper to 260° to 315° C and subject it while hot to the fumes of burnt sugar and animal fat at a temperature below that necessary to form carbon monoxide.

There are but two well-recognized methods for hardening copper: (1) By mechanically working it, and (2) by the addition of some alloying element. All of the samples of so-called "hardened copper" submitted to this Bureau showed that the superior qualities which were attributed to them were due to one or both of these causes. One method, used more frequently than any other, is to manipulate the melting of the charge so that the metal when cast is thoroughly impregnated with cuprous oxide, which renders the metal quite different from the purer copper in its mechanical properties. Inasmuch as cuprous oxide alloys with metallic copper in exactly the same sense that some other metals do, such a product is properly to be considered as an alloy and thus should be included under the second cause given above.

Gowland (183) makes the following authoritative statement regarding the "tempering" of copper as practiced by primitive peoples. "The castings (knives, swords, etc.) generally were hammered at their cutting edges and it is to this hammering, and to it only, that the (increased) hardness of the cutting edge is due, and not to any method of tempering." Most of the "copper" tools and knives of ancient origin contain considerable amounts of tin introduced by the smelting of mixed ores of the two metals so that resulting alloy can not fairly be compared with copper. Gowland further states "that the ordinary bronze of to-day can be made as hard as any, in fact harder than most, of prehistoric times by simple hammering alone."

5. ELECTRODEPOSITION OF COPPER

The principal industries utilizing the electrodeposition of copper are (1) electrolytic refining of copper, (2) electroplating, and (3) galvanoplasty, viz, electrotyping.

(1) The process of electrolytic copper refining has already been described above. (See Sec. II.)

(2) **ELECTROPLATING.**—Since most metals to be plated are more electronegative than copper, plating from the acid-sulphate bath is not satisfactory because of the initial deposition of the copper in a spongy form. On this account, an alkaline cyanide bath is used containing from 3 to 8 per cent of the double potassium copper cyanide.

In order to obtain thick copper plating, the article is first plated in the cyanide bath, and then transferred to an acid-sulphate bath where a deposit of any desired thickness may be obtained. The cyanide bath is usually operated at 50° to 60° C, using a sufficiently high current density to produce rapid evolution of gas.

(3) **Galvanoplasty** is the art of reproducing the forms of objects by the electrolytic deposition of metal upon a wax or metal surface which serves as a matrix for receiving the impression of the object to be reproduced. When deposited metal reaches the desired thickness, the wax or metal may be removed by melting. The process is extensively used for making electrotypes, copper tubes, and parabolic mirrors.

In electrotyping, the impression of the original type is taken in wax or lead. In case wax is used, its surface is made conductive by means of powdered graphite, while if lead is used, a greasy film is necessary to allow separation of the deposited "shell."

In the production of tubing, the metal is deposited upon a rotating cylinder, with or without simultaneous polishing.

In producing parabolic mirrors the surface of a glass form is made conductive by the ordinary "silvering" process. Copper is then deposited upon the silvered surface to give mechanical strength.

VIII. HEAT TREATMENT OF COPPER; EFFECT ON PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Cold-worked copper is softened by annealing, the ultimate tensile strength being decreased, and the ductility increased. The temperature range within which this softening takes place most rapidly is from 200 to 325° C for pure copper reduced 66⅔ per cent (186, 193), but is markedly affected by two factors: (1) Extent of previous cold reduction and (2) presence of impurities.

Fig. 20 shows the annealing characteristics of three commercial grades of copper the same, of which the characteristics were described on page 34 and in Fig. 17, and of which the analyses were then given. It is noticed that the presence of arsenic raises the annealing range of the copper.

Impurities such as arsenic and silver raise the annealing temperature range of hard copper, oxygen lowers it. This is seen from the curves in Fig. 21.

The range of softening temperatures for copper is lower the greater the previous cold reduction. This is shown in the curves of Fig. 22.

Bardwell has studied the effect of annealing in raising the conductivity of hard-drawn copper wire. His curves are given in Fig. 23.

The properties of copper are not affected by a rapid cooling after annealing or rolling as are steel and certain copper alloys. It is generally held that quenching copper in water after annealing produces a softer metal than if it were slowly cooled; there is, however, little evidence either for or against this view.

Martens (140) found that two similar bars of copper, cold worked, and annealed, of which one (a) was quenched and the other (b) was slowly cooled, possessed the following properties:

| Specimen | Tensile strength | | Elongation in 10 cm | Reduction of area |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Lbs./in. ² | kg/mm ² | | |
| (a) | 30 900 | 21.7 | 47.1 | 57 |
| (b) | 30 800 | 21.6 | 51.8 | 60 |

Johnson (261) also finds that his specimen, *EE*, Table 14, which was cooled slowly after hot rolling, has a greater ductility than two specimens of similar composition which were quenched.




FIG. 20.—Effect of annealing on mechanical properties (tensile test) of commercial varieties of copper (191)

| | Oxygen | Arsenic+ antimony | Silver |
|---|--------|----------------------|--------|
| Curve 1. Electrolytic copper | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.0005 |
| Curve 2. Mohawk copper (Lake) | .052 | .096 | .069 |
| Curve 3. Copper Range copper (Lake arsenical) | .055 | .296 | .052 |

Previous reduction 50 per cent.




FIG. 21.—Effect of impurities on the annealing properties of copper (186)
Ordinates, scleroscope hardness; abscissas, annealing temperature.




FIG. 22.—Effect of extent of previous reduction on temperature annealing range for copper (186)




FIG. 23.—Effect of annealing upon the electrical conductivity of hard-drawn copper (185)

Ordinates, per cent conductivity, abscissas, temperature of anneal.

| | Oxygen | Arsenic+ antimony | Copper+ silver |
|-----------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Material 1..... | 0.070 | 0.0038 | 99.92 |
| Material 2..... | .046 | .0038 | 99.945 |

Previous reduction not given.

IX. IMPURITIES IN COPPER; EFFECT ON PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The various physical and other characteristics of copper are affected in quite varying degree by the presence of impurities. Most markedly sensitive are the electrical and probably also the thermal conductivities and the mechanical properties (particularly ductility), especially at high temperatures, to the presence of impurities. The melting (also boiling) point is also changed by the presence of impurities; information concerning this is given by the equilibrium diagrams of these elements and copper. It has been noted that the magnetic susceptibility is very profoundly altered by the presence of slight amounts of iron. Otherwise the effect of impurities in the amounts ordinarily found in good commercial grades of copper have but little influence on it. The specific heat, for example, is an additive constant. Practically no data are now available concerning the effect of small amounts of impurities on the characteristics of copper other than those mentioned above.

1. ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY

Addicks (243) has investigated systematically the influence of impurities on the electrical conductivity of copper. He used high-conductivity wire (99.5 to 101 per cent) in making up his alloy ingots; this was melted in a reducing atmosphere under charcoal with the added impurity. The ingot was cast in a heated iron mold, swaged down, and drawn cold to No. 12 B. & S. gage. The wires were then all annealed by passing 110 amperes through them, and tested. Fig. 24 gives a summary of the results of his tests. The presence of all these impurities lowers the conductivity; arsenic, phosphorus, and aluminum being particularly effective in this direction.

2. MECHANICAL AND "WORKING" PROPERTIES

A great deal of investigation has been made of the effect of individual impurities on the mechanical properties of copper, not all of which has been conducted with a full recognition of the factors which must be considered in manufacturing conditions. A knowledge of the effect of an impurity in small amounts on the otherwise pure copper is undoubtedly valuable, but such copper is not a commercial product. From the practical viewpoint, the effect of such impurities should always be considered in conjunction with that of the other usual impurities, principally oxygen.

It is a fact that the effect, particularly of lead, antimony, and bismuth, is most markedly altered by a variation of the presence of oxygen, arsenic, and other elements.




FIG. 24.—Effect of impurities on the electrical conductivity of copper. Addicks (243)

In considering the effect of individual elements a knowledge of the equilibrium diagrams of the binary alloys of these elements with copper is really necessary. Reference is made to these in the

bibliography. The impurities to be considered may be grouped according to whether moderate amounts of them are soluble as a solid solution in copper, such as manganese, nickel, zinc, tin, aluminum, etc., or whether the impurity is but slightly soluble in the copper, such as bismuth, lead, etc. It is then found, in general, that impurities of the former class harden copper, diminish its ductility, but increase its toughness, and better its rolling and working properties, whereas those of the latter class do not harden the copper, but diminish both the ductility and the toughness and are quite injurious as regards the hot-working properties. The reason for this latter effect is to be found in the presence of the impurity as segregated particles or films of low melting point (300–500° C). The action of arsenic and oxygen is more complex.

ALUMINUM.—The equilibrium of copper-aluminum alloys has been studied by Carpenter and Edwards (196), Curry (197), and Gwyer (198). Copper takes up approximately 9 per cent of aluminum in solid solution.

Some results of Johnson (261), Table 14, indicate the effect of this metal on the mechanical properties of copper.

ANTIMONY.—The constitution of the copper-antimony alloys has been studied by Hiorns (200) and Baikoff (199). From 2 to 3 per cent of antimony as Cu_3Sb are held in solid solution by copper.

Johnson (259) has studied the effect of antimony on the mechanical and working properties of "tough-pitch" copper. His principal results are shown in Table 10, from which he drew the following conclusions:

(1) Antimony up to 0.5 per cent has no detrimental influence on the hot forging qualities of "tough-pitch" copper free from other impurities. It is even possible to forge copper containing 1 per cent antimony if sufficient oxygen be present.

(2) In copper which has been overpoled, antimony tends to mitigate the phenomenon of "spewing" during solidification.

(3) "Tough-pitch" arsenical copper (0.4 per cent arsenic) is slightly hardened for hot rolling by the presence of antimony (0.2 per cent), but otherwise its mechanical properties are slightly improved.

(4) The mechanical properties of "tough-pitch" pure copper after rolling and annealing are but slightly altered by small additions of antimony. The tensile strength is slightly raised (5 per cent) and the elongation lowered (10 per cent). The slight gain in toughness is probably traceable to the greater soundness of the ingot.

(5) With regard to the structural condition of antimony in "tough-pitch" copper, it exists in two forms: (a) Partly in solid solution (as Cu_3Sb); (b) partly as an insoluble compound with oxygen (slate-colored "oxidules"). Oxygen in excess exists as Cu_2O . The latter, together with the antimony oxidules, form a ternary eutectic with the solid solution.

TABLE 10.—Influence of Antimony on "Tough Pitch" Copper. Johnson (259)^a

| Ingot | Chemical composition | | | Rods as rolled | | | Rods as annealed | | |
|-------|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Oxygen | Arsenic | Antimony | Tensile strength | Elongation in 3 inches | Alterations to rupture, Arnold test | Tensile strength | Elongation in 3 inches | Alterations to rupture, Arnold test |
| | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | Number | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | Number |
| RR | 0.05 | | | 44 400 | 14.7 | 118 | 32 100 | 51.3 | 244 |
| A3 | .058 | | 0.2 | 43 700 | 13.0 | 136 | 31 900 | 43.3 | 210 |
| A2 | .054 | | .29 | 43 600 | 16.7 | 116 | 33 500 | 46.0 | 268 |
| AA2 | .063 | | .3 | 43 800 | 13.0 | 118 | 32 800 | 48.3 | 258 |
| AA1 | .33 | | .49 | 45 500 | 6.0 | 49 | 34 000 | 44.7 | 138 |
| A | .065 | 0.36 | .2 | 45 200 | 15.3 | 119 | 33 400 | 48.7 | 258 |

^a Specimens taken from rods rolled at red heat (900° C) from 1¼-inch square ingots; rods rolled in six passes to 1½ inches, finished a dull-red heat, annealed by raising to a bright-red heat, quenched in pickling bath, and rolled cold to ¾ inch, and straightened by drawing once through a die. All ingots were tough pitch, with level surface, and they all rolled perfectly. The copper used was the purest electrolytic (Vivian & Sons, Swansea).

All of the ingots listed in the table rolled well hot; ingot *A1*, containing antimony, 0.5 per cent; oxygen, 0.02 per cent (overpoled), was red short and was removed from the rolls at the third pass. Samples of five-eighths inch rods were rolled cold after annealing into strips one-eighth inch thick; rods *RR*, *A*, *A2*, and *AA2* showed no edge cracking; *AA1* showed edge cracking when a thickness of three-sixteenths inch had been reached.

There is much further information of a special nature in this paper and the discussion on it which will interest those desiring more complete information on this subject.

The earlier investigators of this subject were Hampe (253), Hiorns (256), Greaves (252), T. Johnson (264), Lewis (269), and Archbutt (244). Hampe finds that copper with 0.53 per cent antimony can be drawn into wire, and with 1 per cent antimony is red short. Hiorns finds that antimony (0.2 per cent) when added to copper containing lead (0.2 per cent) diminishes the brittleness caused by the lead.

Baucke (246) has determined the effect of antimony on the toughness (S. I. W.) of copper. (See Table 15.)

ARSENIC.—The constitution of the copper-arsenic alloys has been studied by Friedrichs (201) and by Bengough and Hill (202).

A compound (Cu_3As) is formed which is partially soluble in solid copper; the exact limit of solubility has not been determined; it lies probably between 1 and 3 per cent arsenic; that is, copper will take up in solid homogeneous solution that quantity of arsenic as Cu_3As .

Next to oxygen this is probably the most important impurity occurring in copper. Lewis (268) and Bengough and Hill (247)

have studied its effect on the mechanical properties of rolled copper; their results are summarized in the following tables.

TABLE 11.—Influence of Arsenic on the Mechanical Properties (Tensile Test) of Rolled Copper. (Lewis, 268)

[Specimens were cast, rolled hot to one-eighth inch diameter, and quenched.]

| Arsenic | Tensile strength | Elastic limit | Elongation in 1 inch | Arsenic | Tensile strength | Elastic limit | Elongation in 1 inch |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Per cent | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | Per cent | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent |
| 0.00 | 30 000 | 15 600 | 25 | 1.00 | 40 360 | 20 180 | 25 |
| .24 | 37 900 | 23 000 | 27.5 | 1.5 | 42 180 | 22 600 | 28 |
| .53 | 41 200 | 21 300 | 29.5 | 2.0 | 39 940 | 24 780 | 20 |
| .75 | 41 000 | 20 000 | 21 | | | | |

TABLE 12.—Influence of Arsenic on the Mechanical Properties of Rolled Copper. (Bengough and Hill, 247) ^a

| Chemical analysis ^b | | | | Physical properties | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Copper | Arsenic | Oxygen | Sulphur | Tensile strength ^c | Yield point ^c | Elongation in 2 inches ^c | Reduction of area ^c | Scleroscope ^c |
| Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Lbs./in. ² | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | Per cent | Number |
| 99.055 | 0.04 | | 0.005 | 34 800 | 14 000 | 58 | 79 | 11-15 |
| 99.733 | .26 | 0.12 | .007 | 35 300 | 21 300 | 50 | 79 | 11.3 |
| 99.344 | .75 | | .006 | 35 100 | 13 900 | 57 | 79 | 11.0 |
| 99.052 | .94 | .15 | .008 | 37 100 | 19 300 | 54 | 70 | 10.5 |
| 98.055 | 1.94 | .20 | .005 | 37 900 | 14 500 | 62 | 80 | 10.5 |

^a Specimens prepared from B. E. R. copper and arsenic; alloy poled, cast into 3-inch, ingots, rolled at good red heat with one reheating and finished by drawing cold with one pass of 3/64 inch to 1 inch and tested.

^b No trace found of lead, tin, or iron.

^c Results are average of two tests.

Bengough and Hill summarize their mechanical tests as follows:

(1) Arsenic in small quantities tends to increase the maximum stress without affecting appreciably the ductility of these alloys.

(2) It increases their resistance to reducing gases at high temperatures.

(3) Alloys with low percentages of arsenic tend to be unhomogeneous, but with increase in the arsenic this ceases to be apparent * * * .

(4) In ordinary oxidizing atmospheres no heat treatment (for three hours or less) short of an approximation to fusion seriously affects the properties of these alloys. The only result of annealing is to render the bars slightly more homogeneous, and to lower the yield point somewhat. This statement, however, does not apply to annealing temperatures in the neighborhood of 1000° C.

(5) Alloys containing less than 1 per cent of arsenic are ruined by the action of reducing gases for three hours at 700° C or above it; in some cases the action is apparent at 600° C. * * *

(6) The yield points of these alloys are somewhat variable and unsatisfactory.

According to these investigators arsenic in amounts up to 1.9 per cent causes, therefore, neither hot nor cold shortness when, as usual, copper oxide is present; that is, in tough-pitch copper. It

seems that the presence of oxide affects the influence which arsenic exerts on copper. Roberts-Austen (275) finds that 1 per cent arsenic begins to cause red shortness in oxide free copper. Jolibóis and Thomas (262) state that 0.4 per cent arsenic causes cold shortness in pure copper, whereas 0.4 per cent $x\text{As}_2\text{O}_3.\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ does not.

Baucke has studied the effect of arsenic on the toughness of copper. (See Table 15.)

"Arsenical" copper such as is used commercially for copper which must resist high temperatures, in locomotive fire boxes, etc., contains from 0.1 to 0.4 per cent of arsenic.

BISMUTH.—The constitution of the copper-bismuth alloys has been studied by Jeriomin (204), Portevin (203), and others. The amount of bismuth taken up by copper in solid solution is practically zero; it has never been accurately determined.

Johnson (261) has investigated the effect of small amounts of bismuth on the tensile properties, ductility, and malleability of tough-pitch copper. His results are summarized in Table 14. His conclusions are:

The effect of bismuth on the mechanical properties of "tough" arsenical copper which has been rolled is not serious up to 0.1 per cent, but no commercial arsenical copper could be regarded as fit for working at a red heat, which contained so much bismuth. With 0.02 per cent, although the hot-working properties would be noticeably coarser than if no bismuth were present, the copper would not be ruined. Any crude copper containing over 0.01 per cent bismuth should be regarded with suspicion, since the copper might contain traces of other impurities—e. g., nickel—which, while intensifying the injurious effect of bismuth, would hinder the corrective action of arsenic.

Johnson also concludes that an explanation of the less intense effect of bismuth on the hot-rolling properties of oxygen bearing copper is due to its presence therein as Bi_2O_3 or combination thereof as isolated particles, whereas in overpoled or oxygen free copper the bismuth is present as films of metallic bismuth, which owing to its low melting point destroys the cohesion of the mass at high temperatures.

Other investigators of this subject have been Roberts-Austen (275), Hampe (253), E. A. Lewis (269), and Arnold and Jefferson (245). Roberts-Austen made oxygen free, bismuth bearing copper alloys, which could not be worked at all with more than 0.1 per cent bismuth. Lewis comes to practically the same conclusions as does Johnson regarding allowable bismuth limits and shows that whereas arsenic corrects the ill effects of bismuth, manganese, tin, aluminum, etc., intensify them.

IRON.—The constitution of the alloys of copper with iron has been studied by Ruer and Fick (211), Sahmen (210), and others.

Copper will take up 2 or 3 per cent of iron in solid solution. Within those limits iron hardens copper and diminishes its ductility. No systematic investigation has been made of the effect of iron on the mechanical properties of copper.

LEAD.—The constitution of the copper-lead alloys has been studied by Friedrich and Leroux (212), Heycock and Neville (213), and others. The amount of lead which copper will hold in solid solution is very small, probably much less than 0.1 per cent. No systematic study has been made of the effects of lead on the mechanical properties. Its effect on the working properties at high temperatures is dependent on the amount of arsenic or Cu_2O present; 0.1 per cent of lead would render pure copper unworkable, whereas with 0.3 or 0.4 per cent arsenic such a percentage of lead is not out of the question.

Archbutt (244) was able to forge oxide free copper ingots containing 0.05 per cent lead and those containing 0.1 per cent lead and 0.4 per cent arsenic without cracking of the ingots.

Johnson (259) states that the mechanical properties of arsenical copper at ordinary temperatures are but slightly affected by the addition of lead. Rods prepared by him (see Table 10 for method of preparation) containing oxygen — 0.023, arsenic — 0.39, lead — 0.18 per cent, showed the following properties:

| | Tensile strength | Elongation in 3 inches | Alternations to rupture, Arnold's test |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | |
| As rolled..... | 41 200 | 17.3 | 169 |
| As annealed..... | 32 900 | 53.3 | 238 |
| Original electrolytic copper: | | | |
| As rolled..... | 44 400 | 14.7 | 118 |
| As annealed..... | 32 200 | 51.3 | 244 |

The ingot of this leaded copper rolled well (see Table 10 for description of rolling), whereas one containing 0.012 per cent oxygen, 0.38 per cent arsenic, and 0.35 per cent lead smashed at the first pass.

MANGANESE.—The constitution of the alloys of copper and manganese is discussed by Sahmen (219), Schemtuny, Urasow, Rykowskow (218), and others. Copper and manganese form a continuous series of solid solutions.

Muenker (273) gives results of tests of alloys of copper and manganese (see Table 13), from which it is seen that manganese in small amounts hardens copper and diminishes its ductility.

TABLE 13.—Effect of Small Additions of Manganese, Phosphorus, and Tin on the Mechanical Properties of Copper. Muenker (273) ^a

| Composition, per cent | As cold rolled; unannealed | | | Annealed at 500° C | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Ultimate tensile strength | Elongation in 7.5 cm | Brinell hardness numeral | Quenched in water | | | Slowly cooled | | |
| | | | | Ultimate tensile strength | Elongation in 7.5 cm | Brinell hardness numeral | Ultimate tensile strength | Elongation in 7.5 cm | Brinell hardness numeral |
| | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | |
| B. E. R. Copper... | 52 300 | 4.24 | 94 | 34 100 | 46.07 | 74 | 32 500 | 46.64 | 63 |
| Phosphorus: ^b | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.014..... | 52 900 | 4.04 | 96 | 35 100 | 45.08 | 74 | 32 700 | 46.54 | 63 |
| 0.042..... | 55 300 | 3.89 | 101 | 35 800 | 44.10 | 74 | 32 800 | 45.84 | 65 |
| 0.092..... | 57 000 | 3.43 | 112 | 35 900 | 42.98 | 74 | 33 600 | 44.80 | 68 |
| 0.173..... | 57 800 | 3.33 | 118 | 36 600 | 41.44 | 74 | 34 600 | 41.70 | 70 |
| 0.399..... | 60 500 | 3.27 | 130 | 37 400 | 39.81 | 77 | 36 300 | 40.74 | 74 |
| 0.563..... | 66 200 | 2.46 | 145 | 41 200 | 39.74 | 84 | 38 500 | 40.02 | 77 |
| 1.062..... | 75 900 | 2.28 | 160 | 46 800 | 38.14 | 96 | 41 000 | 39.87 | 84 |
| Manganese: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.04..... | 52 400 | 4.14 | 94 | 34 200 | 45.13 | 77 | 32 600 | 45.69 | 74 |
| 0.07..... | 53 300 | 3.97 | 96 | 34 400 | 44.44 | 77 | 33 200 | 44.72 | 74 |
| 0.12..... | 54 000 | 3.94 | 96 | 34 300 | 44.22 | 77 | 33 900 | 44.52 | 74 |
| 0.19..... | 54 600 | 3.94 | 96 | 34 600 | 44.06 | 77 | 33 500 | 44.15 | 74 |
| 0.29..... | 55 400 | 3.97 | 99 | 34 800 | 43.97 | 77 | 34 500 | 44.43 | 77 |
| 0.40..... | 56 100 | 4.02 | 99 | 35 500 | 43.98 | 77 | 34 700 | 44.31 | 77 |
| 0.61..... | 56 400 | 3.99 | 99 | 35 800 | 43.22 | 81 | 34 900 | 44.38 | 81 |
| 0.98..... | 58 400 | 4.09 | 106 | 37 600 | 42.94 | 84 | 36 700 | 44.41 | 84 |
| 1.34..... | 63 200 | 3.98 | 112 | 40 000 | 40.59 | 88 | 38 500 | 42.58 | 84 |
| 1.49..... | 64 400 | 4.12 | 118 | 40 700 | 39.93 | 94 | 39 000 | 40.56 | 83 |
| Tin: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.13..... | 56 300 | 3.02 | 106 | 36 600 | 43.24 | 81 | 35 100 | 43.97 | 79 |
| 0.24..... | 57 100 | 3.03 | 106 | 37 400 | 43.01 | 81 | 35 900 | 43.22 | 81 |
| 0.32..... | 60 000 | 2.91 | 106 | 38 600 | 42.81 | 81 | 36 300 | 43.05 | 81 |
| 0.40..... | 62 300 | 2.99 | 118 | 38 200 | 42.37 | 84 | 36 200 | 43.08 | 84 |
| 0.53..... | 62 700 | 3.09 | 118 | 38 600 | 42.24 | 84 | 37 000 | 42.68 | 84 |
| 0.62..... | 63 500 | 2.95 | 118 | 40 100 | 42.14 | 90 | 38 200 | 42.32 | 86 |
| 0.88..... | 64 800 | 2.89 | 125 | 40 500 | 41.94 | 92 | 38 100 | 42.10 | 86 |
| 1.15..... | 66 200 | 2.90 | 130 | 40 600 | 41.75 | 96 | 39 300 | 42.39 | 88 |
| 1.24..... | 67 600 | 2.84 | 136 | 42 800 | 41.73 | 96 | 40 900 | 42.26 | 92 |
| 1.46..... | 69 600 | 2.67 | 145 | 44 700 | 40.97 | 101 | 41 700 | 41.35 | 96 |

^a The alloys were made under commercial conditions with B. E. R. copper and additions of phosphorus-copper, tin-copper, and mangan-copper. The cast slabs were first hot rolled and then finished cold. Samples were annealed at 500° C and either quenched or slowly cooled. The tensile tests were carried out on strips 3 mm thick, 15 mm wide, of a test length equal to $11.3\sqrt{\text{cross section}}$ or about 75 mm. The Brinell tests were made with a load of 500 kg and a ball of 5 mm diameter.

^b The phosphorus alloys were otherwise as pure as the original B. E. R. copper; the manganese and tin alloys contained also from 0.012 to 0.020 per cent of phosphorus.

Baucke has studied the effect of manganese on the toughness of copper. (See Table 15.)

NICKEL.—The constitution of the nickel-copper alloys has been studied by Guertler and Tammann (220), Tafel (222), and others. The two metals form a continuous series of solid solutions.

Small additions of nickel harden copper and diminish the ductility slightly, apparently increase its toughness however; see Table 15 (Baucke).

OXYGEN.—The constitution of the alloys of copper and oxygen (Cu_2O) shows that oxygen is present in copper as Cu_2O , not dissolved appreciably by the copper (223). There seems to be no published records of test results showing the effect of oxygen on copper free (in the commercial sense) from other impurities. It is, of course, well known that in heating copper it must be brought to pitch in order that it may be cast free from blowholes and possess the best mechanical and "working" properties. If under pitch, the presence of blowholes will cause seams in the metal which may open up in drawing; if underpoled, the excess oxygen may cause cracking during cold rolling, and if the oxygen is in amounts as great as the eutectic composition, it may also be hot short.

Hampe (253) found that Cu_2O had no effect on the strength or malleability of pure copper until 0.45 per cent was reached, when a very slight diminution of tenacity was recorded. Ductility in the cold was not affected until 0.9 per cent was reached. Beyond 0.9 per cent, the quality of the copper suffered more and more as the proportion of Cu_2O was increased.

Johnson has given some results of tests of oxygen bearing arsenical copper. (See Table 14.)

The influence of oxygen on copper is chiefly interesting in conjunction with that of other impurities, notably bismuth, antimony, arsenic, and lead. It diminishes the embrittling effect of bismuth (Johnson) and lead; this is probably due to two facts: (1) That in the presence of oxygen an oxide of either of these metals is formed which melts at a higher temperature than the metal, and (2) that this oxide is distributed as fine globules instead of thin plates as is the metal.

Greaves (252) has studied the effect of oxygen in copper containing arsenic and antimony, considering the cold-rolling and drawing properties, hardness, and microstructure. He cold-rolled strips from 0.35 to 0.02 inch in thickness with intermediate annealing and drew the following conclusions:

As the amount of arsenic increases up to 0.5 per cent the metal may take up more oxygen without suffering deterioration in its capacity for rolling. This quantity of oxygen rises from about 0.05 to 0.2 per cent as the arsenic increases from 0 to 0.2 per cent, then more slowly to about 0.28 per cent as the arsenic rises to 0.5 per cent.
* * * When less than 0.3 per cent of O_2 is present, a metal which will roll perfectly is obtained before the arsenic reaches 0.5 per cent.

In a similar way antimony up to 0.4 per cent reduces the cold shortness of pure "dry" copper.

His conclusions, relative to wire-drawing tests, are that the conditions obtaining are entirely similar to those for the rolling of copper.

Baucke, Tables 9 and 15, has given some results on the toughness of cast and forged alloys with varying percentages of oxygen.

PHOSPHORUS.—The equilibrium diagram for alloys of copper and phosphorus has been partly established by Heyn and Bauer (224). Phosphorus in the form of Cu_3P is dissolved in copper to the extent of 0.175 per cent. Phosphorus in these small amounts hardens copper, as can be seen from Table 13 of Muenker's (273) results.

SILICON.—The alloys of silicon and copper have been studied by Rudolphi (226) and Guertler (227).

Copper with small amounts of silicon (0.02 to 0.10 per cent) is used abroad for telephone wire, electric cables, etc. The electrical conductivity is decreased by about 2 per cent by the addition of 0.02 to 0.05 per cent silicon (Guillet).

Apparently in small amounts it does not harden copper appreciably (Vickers, 281); the copper cast with silicon as a deoxidizer is often called silicon bronze, although it contains practically no silicon.

SILVER.—The constitution of the silver-copper alloys has been studied by Heycock and Neville (228), Lepkowski (230), and others. Copper takes up about 3 per cent of silver in solid solution.

Johnson (261) studied the effect of additions of silver to tough-pitch copper, and concludes that up to about 0.2 per cent the tensile strength is increased by about 3 to 5 per cent, the elongation decreased by 10 to 15 per cent, and that "the effect of silver in the proportions ordinarily found * * * is beneficial on the whole as regards mechanical properties, and negligible as regards hot-working properties. (See Table 14.)

SULPHUR.—The constitution of the copper-sulphur alloys has been studied by Heyn and Bauer (231).

The amount of Cu_2S taken up by copper in solid solution is extremely small (less than 0.1 per cent).

Opinion seems to be agreed that as little as 0.1 per cent sulphur in copper renders it hot short (276). No investigation has been made of the effect of sulphur on the mechanical properties at ordinary temperatures.

TIN.—The copper-tin equilibrium diagram has been worked out by Heycock and Neville (233), Sheperd and Blough (234), and others. Copper dissolves about 11 per cent of tin, and within these limits it is hardened by the addition of tin.

Muenker (273) gives results of mechanical tests on copper-tin alloys. (See Table 13.) Baucke finds that tin in small amounts increases the toughness of copper. (See Table 15.) The alloys of tin and copper are called bronzes, and are generally used of compositions from 0 to 25 per cent tin.

TITANIUM.—The equilibrium of the alloys of titanium and copper has been studied by Bensel (235) and Rossi (236). Copper dis-




FIG. 25.—Mechanical properties of rolled and annealed copper zinc alloys. (Webster)

solves up to 0.32 per cent of titanium. In small proportions this metal raises the tensile strength and lowers the ductility.

ZINC.—The zinc-copper equilibrium diagram has been studied by Roberts-Austen (239), Shepherd (240), Tafel (241), and others.

Zinc is dissolved in copper to the extent of about 35 per cent. It hardens copper and first increases, then diminishes, its ductility. Curves, Fig. 25, by Webster (282) show the mechanical properties of rolled and annealed copper-zinc alloys; that is, the brasses made of pure materials.

TABLE 14.—Effects of Bismuth, Silver, and Aluminum on the Properties of Arsenical "Tough-Pitch" Copper^a

| Mark | Chemical composition | | | Appearance of ingot | Hot-rolled rods | | | Rods, cold-rolled and annealed | | | Cold-bend test | Cold malleability | | Order of hot malleability ^c |
|------|----------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----|--|
| | Oxygen | Added impurity | Arsenic | | Alterations to rupture; Arnold test | Tensile strength | Elongation in 3 inches | Tensile strength | Elongation in 3 inches | Reduction in thickness under hammer | | Description ^b | | |
| | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | | | | | | | Degrees | | | | |
| R | 0.089 | | Nil | Level..... | 164 | 33 500 | 39 | | | 180 | 89 | Slight cracks..... | 1 | |
| E | .066 | | 0.344 | do..... | 190 | 35 100 | 41 | | | 180 | 91 | No cracks..... | 2 | |
| EE | .060 | | .410 | do..... | 177 | 35 300 | 51 | 32 600 | 35 | 180 | 91 | Incipient cracks..... | 2 | |
| V1 | .052 | | .361 | do..... | 190 | | 38 | | | 180 | 91 | No cracks..... | 2 | |
| V | .182 | | .300 | Elevation..... | 122 | 32 600 | 34 | | | 135 | 67 | Incipient split..... | 2 | |
| U | .091 | | .383 | Depression..... | 152 | 35 200 | 47 | | | 180 | 89 | Incipient crack..... | 2 | |
| W | .162 | | .427 | do..... | 160 | 34 200 | 45 | | | 180 | 79 | do..... | 2 | |
| F1 | .056 | 0.042 Ag | .300 | Level..... | 180 | | 48 | 33 000 | 36 | 180 | 91 | No cracks..... | 2 | |
| F | .075 | .087 Ag | .363 | Depression..... | 161 | 36 000 | 33 | | | 180 | 91 | do..... | 2 | |
| FF | .063 | .094 Ag | .417 | Level..... | 187 | | 43 | 34 300 | 43 | 180 | 87 | Slight crack..... | 2 | |
| G | .200 | .185 Ag | .373 | Depression..... | 170 | 36 000 | 39 | | | 45 | 81 | Incipient crack..... | 2 | |
| GG | .058 | .175 Ag | .453 | Level..... | 174 | 37 900 | 39 | 34 700 | 46 | 180 | 90 | No cracks..... | 2 | |
| H | .095 | .292 Ag | .305 | Depression..... | 174 | 36 400 | 41 | | | 180 | 88 | Incipient crack..... | 3 | |
| HH | .048 | .292 Ag | .423 | Level..... | 180 | 33 800 | 47 | 33 300 | 37 | 180 | 83 | do..... | 3 | |
| K1 | .079 | .052 Bi | .366 | ? | 186 | 33 800 | 50 | | | 180 | 89 | No cracks..... | 5 | |
| KK1 | .055 | .051 Bi | .420 | Level..... | 201 | | 44 | 34 100 | 42 | 180 | 89 | Incipient cracks..... | 5-6 | |
| K2 | .084 | .074 Bi | .464 | Depression..... | 172 | | 40 | | | 180 | 85 | do..... | 5-6 | |
| KK2 | .068 | .073 Bi | .403 | Level..... | 186 | | 46 | 33 000 | 40 | 180 | 89 | do..... | 6 | |
| K3 | .155 | .094 Bi | .355 | Depression..... | 178 | 33 800 | 37 | | | 180 | 79 | Slight crack..... | 5 | |
| KK3 | .084 | .097 Bi | .390 | Level..... | 178 | | 48 | 33 400 | 33 | 180 | 83 | Incipient crack..... | 7 | |
| K4 | .127 | .122 Bi | .400 | Depression..... | 158 | | 45 | | | 180 | 87 | Slight crack..... | 7 | |

| KK4 | .073 | .124 Bi | .468 | Level | | 164 | | 42 | 33 500 | 35 | d 180 | 90 | No cracks | 8 |
|-----|------|---------|------|------------|-------|-----|--------|----|--------|-------|-------|----|-------------|---|
| X | .026 | .014 Al | .526 |do | | 199 | 34 400 | 44 | | | d 180 | 92 | Uncracked | 2 |
| Z | Nil | .320 Al | .260 | Depression | | 189 | 35 500 | 43 | | | d 180 | 79 | Deep splits | 8 |

a Ingots were made of cathode copper, arsenic, and impurity, poled to pitch and cast as $1\frac{1}{4}$ by 6 inch ingots, rolled at bright red heat in 8 passes to one-half-inch rods and quenched. Some specimens were hammered out flat to one-fourth inch thickness cold, then passed through cold rolls set at one-fourth inch. The specimens were then annealed at dull red heat for one-half hour and quenched in water.

b A test piece, 0.75 by 0.375 inch, was placed under hammer and subjected to light blows.

c Material falling in classes 1-4 may be rolled at a bright red heat. The point which determines the classifying of metal between 1 and 4 is the comparative susceptibility to cracking during rolling. Classes 5 may be regarded as the critical stage between material fit for rolling at a bright red and material unfit for such treatment, material of this class (5) would better be rolled at a slightly lower temperature. Material in a lower class (1, 2, 3-8) can be considered unfit for hot rolling.

d Unbroken.

e Broken.

Baucke finds that zinc in small amounts increases the toughness of copper. (See Table 15.)

TABLE 15.—Effect of Impurities on Specific Impact Work (Frémont Test) of Copper. Baucke (246)^a

| Composition, per cent | Preparation of sample | S. I. W. ^b | Composition, per cent | Preparation of sample | S. I. W. ^b |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Kg-m | | | Kg-m |
| Electrolytic cop- per. | Forged hot..... | 14, 13 | Zinc: | | |
| Bismuth, 0.5.... | Forged samples... | 4 (6-2.5) | 0.68..... | | 18 (29-14) |
| Arsenic: | | | 1.42..... | | 29.6 (31-28) |
| 0.53..... | Cold forged, an- nealed. | 14.8 (25-5) | Aluminum: | | |
| 0.61..... | Hot forged..... | 16.8 (21-11) | 0.02..... | Oxygen free..... | 26 (27-24) |
| Antimony: | | | 0.02..... | Cu ₂ O present..... | 5 (9.5-2) |
| 0.37..... | | 11.5 (13-8) | Manganese: | | |
| 0.56..... | | 4.8 (6-3) | 0.03..... | | 30 (33-27) |
| Nickel: | | | 0.53..... | | 30.6 (32-30) |
| 0.17..... | Hot forged..... | 20 (29-15.5) | 1.09..... | | 34 (35-33) |
| 0.31..... |do..... | 22.8 (26.5-21) | Oxygen: | | |
| 1.52..... | | 28.6 (34-24) | 0.06..... | | 11, 15 |
| Tin: | | | 0.10..... | | 10.8-12 |
| 1.20..... | | 28 (29-27) | 0.12..... | | 8 |
| 1.92..... | | 26.7 (33-24) | 0.18..... | | 6 |
| | | | 0.51..... | | 9 |

^a Specimens 10 by 10 mm in section, with 3 mm saw cut; specimens prepared by casting a 6 by 6 by 6 cube and forging hot or cold, followed by annealing to 10 by 10 section. Original electrolytic copper from which samples were prepared analyzed: Copper, 99.884 per cent; lead, 0.008 per cent; iron, 0.018 per cent; and oxygen, 0.080.

^b Baucke gives S. I. W. in kilos, but it is assumed that he means kilogram-meters.

3. GASES IN COPPER

It is generally held that the solubility of gases in solid copper is quite small; in fact, it is to that fact that the "spewing" upon solidification of overpoled copper is due. The molten copper absorbs gas which is given up upon solidification.

Sieverts (285, 286) has determined the solubilities of H₂ and SO₂ in copper. He finds that 100 g of copper will absorb the following amounts of gas:

TABLE 16.—Absorption of Gas by Copper

| Temperature, degrees centi- grade | H ₂ solubility in copper per 100 g | SO ₂ solubility in copper per 100 g | Temperature, degrees centi- grade | H ₂ solubility in copper per 100 g | SO ₂ solubility in copper per 100 g |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | mg | g | | mg | |
| 1400 | 1.097 | | ^a 1084 | 0.54 | |
| 1330 | | 0.706 | ^b 1084 | .2 | |
| 1220 | | .596 | 400 | .006 | |
| 1120 | | .448 | | | |

^a Liquid.

^b Solid.

N_2 , CO, and CO_2 are not appreciably soluble in solid copper. He finds that for a constant temperature the solubility is proportional to the square root of the pressure of the gas.

Both silver and gold diminish the solubility of SO_2 in molten copper, the former much more markedly than the latter.

Sieverts (284) concludes that dissolved hydrogen has no appreciable effect on the electrical conductivity of copper up to $870^\circ C$, but that SO_2 increases the resistivity (part of this change may have been due to interreaction with the porcelain containing tubes).

X. "DISEASES" OF COPPER

Copper is, relatively speaking, insensitive to variations in conditions and operations of manufacture. After annealing or after forging operations at a bright red heat ($900^\circ C$) it may be either slowly cooled or quenched in water, the latter method, according to some (see p. 49), conferring even greater ductility and toughness than the slow cooling.

It is possible, however, to overheat and to burn copper. Heyn (289) has shown that maximum toughness (repeated bend test) is obtained by annealing at $500^\circ C$, the time of annealing being without effect. Samples of copper which gave six and three-fourths 90-degree bends upon such annealing gave only four, when annealed for 90 minutes at $1050^\circ C$.

Baucke (287) has shown that heating to $700^\circ C$ has practically no effect on the toughness as indicated by the Frémont test; in fact, heating in air or CO_2 at $1055^\circ C$ for 15 minutes produced only a slight decrease of the S. I. W., from 101 to 77 foot-pounds (15.1 to 11.6 kg-m).

Copper should be worked at about $900^\circ C$ and not above $1000^\circ C$ according to Johnson (290). If heated to and worked at temperatures in the neighborhood of the eutectic melting temperature, the copper may be burnt, pits form, and grain boundaries become oxidized.

When copper is heated to $800^\circ C$ and above in an atmosphere of reducing gases, CO, H, etc., the gases permeate into the copper and reduce the Cu_2O ; water is formed, as steam under pressure, and produces fine cracks throughout the copper, which is described as "gassed." Such copper is, of course, weak and brittle. A photomicrograph, Fig. 11, shows such cracks near the brazed seam of a failed copper bend steam pipe. The brazing operation was improperly conducted, a reducing flame having been played on the copper with the result that cracks were formed

near the surface, leading later to failure. Baucke (287) has reduced the toughness of copper as shown by the Frémont test from a S. I. W. of 94 to 101 foot-pounds (13 to 14 kg-m) to 14.5 foot-pounds (2 kg-m) by heating the sample for two hours at 800° C in H₂ gas.

Mathewson and Thalheimer (191) have carried out the most extensive tests to determine the actual effect of annealing in reducing atmosphere on the strength and ductility of copper. They used coal gas and annealed for 40 minutes strips, 0.064 to 0.067 inch in thickness at 600, 800, and 1000° C.

Their average results are given in the table below.

TABLE 17.—Effect of Annealing in Coal Gas on Mechanical Properties of Copper
[Time of annealing, 40 minutes]

| | Tensile strength | Reduction of area | Elongation |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent | Per cent |
| Electrolytic copper ^a | 33 450 | 46 | 55 |
| 600° C..... | 29 250 | | 22 |
| 800° C..... | 19 600 | 12 | 11 |
| 1000° C..... | 22 100 | | 15 |
| Mohawk copper ^a | 33 000 | 49 | 55 |
| 600° C..... | 30 000 | | 28 |
| 800° C..... | 18 300 | | 8 |
| 1000° C..... | 21 500 | 18 | 17 |
| Copper range copper ^a | 33 100 | 50 | 57 |
| 600° C..... | 31 700 | 39 | 42 |
| 800° C..... | 21 200 | | 11 |
| 1000° C..... | 22 100 | 17 | 16 |

^a Not heated in gas.

The copper range copper, containing 0.296 per cent arsenic, undoubtedly resists the action of reducing gases better than do the purer varieties. This is also shown by experiments by Bengough and Hill (247).

CORROSION OF COPPER.—Copper is exposed to corrosion by water, air, steam, etc., in a variety of commercial forms, pipe, steam fittings, roofing, etc., such that the question of the corrosion of copper under such conditions is an important one. Practically no systematic investigation has been made, however, of the rate of corrosion of different samples of copper under these various conditions.

Carpenter (296) exposed sheets of aluminum, copper, iron, and other metals to corrosion on the roof of an office building, in a railway tunnel, and in a smokestack, and observed the rate of corrosion expressed in the decrease of thickness per year. His results follow:

| Metal | Corrosion loss | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | On office building | In railway tunnel | In smoke-stack |
| | Inch | Inch | Inch |
| Copper (plain) | 0.0000 | 0.004 | 0.014 |
| Aluminum | .0011 | .013 | |
| Iron | 0.001-0.004 | .15 | .018 |
| Steel | | .12 | .020 |

The copper samples tested were from 99.53 to 99.76 per cent pure. The corrosion was quite uniform in the case of the copper and is seen to be less than that of the other materials.

Corrosion of copper may be quite uniform, a covering and protecting layer of oxide or green basic carbonate or, in marine atmospheres, of oxychloride being formed. Often, however, the attack is quite local, with formation of pits and furrows. Such pits are mentioned by Corner (297), Merica (299), and others; they are to be attributed to local electrolytic action caused by the presence near the pit of a substance electropositive to the copper. It is probable that copper oxide and even some of the basic oxidation products of copper may serve as such electropositive "poles."

It has been shown also by Rhead (301) that hard copper is more readily corrodible than soft copper, the rate of corrosion of the former having been from 0 to 500 per cent greater than of the latter in his experiments. Eastick (298) also holds that the presence of hard and soft areas in copper are often responsible for local corrosion.

APPENDIXES

Appendix 1.—DEFINITIONS OF PHYSICAL TERMS

THE ARNOLD ALTERNATING STRESS TEST.—The specimen in the form of a round rod 6 by $\frac{3}{8}$ inch is fastened rigidly in the stationary die of the machine in a vertical position and submitted to alternating strains back and forth $\frac{3}{8}$ inch from either side of the vertical by a slotted arm. Number of alterations (complete) to rupture is given as a measure of toughness of the specimen.

ABSORPTION INDEX.—When monochromatic light traverses a distance (equal to its own wave length, λ) in a material, the ratio of the amplitude of the emergent, J^1_λ , to that of the entering light, J^0_λ ; $\frac{J^1_\lambda}{J^0_\lambda} = e^{-2\pi k}$ when k is the absorption index.

(A variety of usage prevails regarding the definition of this term; this is used by the Smithsonian physical tables.)

DENSITY.—The density of a substance is the mass per unit volume; it is usually expressed in terms of grams per cubic centimeter.

ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY AND RESISTIVITY (χ, ρ).—There are two methods of expressing electrical resistivity in common use, each being defined quantitatively in terms of the resistance of a unit specimen. The volume resistivity is ρ in the equation, $R = \rho \frac{l}{s}$ in which R =resistance, l =length, and s =cross section. The volume resistivity thus defined may be expressed in various units, such as microhm-cm (microhm per centimeter cube), the ohm per foot of a uniform wire 1 mil in diameter, etc. The commonly used units, in abbreviated terminology, are

microhm-cm
microhm-inch
ohm (meter, mm)
ohm (meter, mm²)
ohm (mil, foot)

The other kind of resistivity is mass resistivity, and is defined as ρ in the equation

$$R = \rho \frac{l^2}{m}$$

in which m =mass of the wire. The usual units of mass resistivity are

ohm (meter-gram)
ohm (mile-pound)

PER CENT CONDUCTIVITY.—The term "conductivity" means the reciprocal of resistivity, but it is used very little in wire calculations. In connection with copper, however, extensive use is made of the per cent conductivity, or ratio of the per cent conductivity is calculated in practice by dividing the resistivity of the international annealed copper standard at 20° C by the resistivity of the sample at 20° C. (See p. 21 for value of international standard.)

TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT OF RESISTANCE.—The temperature coefficient of electrical resistance is the fractional change of resistance per degree change of temperature. Its value varies with the temperature, and hence the temperature from

which the resistance change is measured must always be stated or understood. For a temperature t_1 , the temperature coefficient α_{t_1} is defined, for a metal like copper, by $(R_t = R_{t_1} (1 + \alpha_{t_1} (t - t_1)))$, in which R_{t_1} = resistance at the temperature t_1 and R_t = resistance at any other temperature t . Therefore the temperature coefficient at 20° C, for example, is

$$\alpha_{t_0} = \frac{R_t - R_{20}}{R_{20} (t - 20)}$$

BOILING POINT.—The boiling point of a liquid is the temperature at which it boils, or better, the temperature at which its vapor pressure is equal to that of the surrounding atmosphere.

BRINELL TEST.—An indentation is made by pressure on a polished surface of the material, using a hardened steel ball. There are several ways of expressing the hardness:

The commonest definition of the Brinell hardness is the pressure in kilograms per unit area (square millimeter) of the spherical indentation.

(Hardness numeral. H. N.)

$$H. N. = \frac{\text{Pressure}}{\text{area of spherical indentation}} = \frac{P}{\pi t D}$$

$$\text{where } t = \frac{D}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{D^2}{4} - \frac{d^2}{4}}$$

P = Pressure used

t = depth of indentation

D = diameter of sphere

d = diameter of indentation.

ELECTROLYTIC SOLUTION POTENTIAL (E).—At the junction of a metal and any conducting liquid there is developed a solution potential, which is a measure of the free energy change of the chemical reaction which is possible at the surface of the metal and liquid. In particular, if the chemical reaction consists in the solution of the metal forming ions, the emf is given by the formula.

$$E = \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{P}{p}$$

R = the gas constant

T = absolute temperature

n = valence of metal

F = 96 500 coulombs, the Faraday constant

P = solution pressure of metal

p = osmotic pressure of metal ion formed in solution

In any electrolytic cell the sum or difference of two such potentials is measured, one of which may be a standard electrode; for example, the hydrogen or the calomel electrode. The emf of an electrolytic cell of the following type: Metal solution normal hydrogen electrode is often called the single emf (E_h) for the metal in the solution; that is, arbitrarily assuming the emf of the normal hydrogen electrode to be zero.

EMISSIVITY (E or E_λ).—The coefficient of emissivity, E_λ , for any material represents the ratio, $\frac{J_\lambda^1}{J_\lambda}$, of the intensity of radiation of some particular wave length or color, J_λ^1 , emitted by the material at an absolute temperature, T , to that, J_λ , emitted by a black body radiator at the same temperature.

The coefficient of total emissivity E for any material represents that ratio, $\frac{J_1}{J}$, of the intensity of radiation of all wave lengths, J_1 , emitted by the material at an absolute temperature, T , to that, J , emitted by a black body radiator at the same temperature.

This coefficient is always less than 1, and for metals is equal to 1 minus the reflection coefficient for normal incidence (Kirchhoff's law).

For any optical pyrometer using monochromatic light, a value of the observed or "black body" temperature of any substance (not inclosed) is reduced to the true temperature by the following formula

$$\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_o} = \frac{\lambda \log_{10} E_\lambda}{6232}$$

T = true absolute temperature

T_o = observed absolute temperature

λ = wave length in microns (0.001 mm)

E_λ = relative emissivity of substance for wave length, λ

FUSION, HEAT OF.—The heat of fusion of a substance is the quantity of heat absorbed in the transformation of unit mass (1 g) of the solid substance to the liquid state at a constant temperature.

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES.—The usual magnetic characteristics of a substance are given either by the permeability μ or the susceptibility K . Permeability is the ratio of the magnetic induction (in maxwells per square centimeter, gauss) to the magnetizing force (in gilberts per centimeter). This is indicated by the relation

$$\mu = \frac{B}{H}$$

Susceptibility is given, in corresponding units, by

$$K = \frac{\mu - 1}{4\pi}$$

For all materials except iron and a few other magnetic metals, μ is very nearly unity and K is only a few millionths. When K is positive in sign the substance is paramagnetic. The susceptibility as thus defined is sometimes called volume susceptibility and indicated by K_v . A quantity called mass susceptibility is also used, and is equal to the volume susceptibility divided by the density of the material; it is represented by K_m .

MELTING POINT.—The melting or fusing point of a substance is the temperature at which it changes from the solid to the liquid state, or, more accurately, the temperature at which the solid and the liquid metal are in equilibrium with each other at atmospheric pressure.

THE PELTSER EFFECT (π).—When, at the junction of two metals, current flows from one to the other heat is, in general, absorbed or liberated; the coefficient, the amount of heat liberated when a unit quantity of electricity flows across the junction, is known as π (measured either in calories per coulomb or in volts), the Peltier effect.

REFRACTIVE INDEX.—The ratio of the velocity of light in vacuum to that in any material is called the refractive index (η) of that material. (This physical quantity ceases to have a meaning at or near an absorption band in the material.)

SCLEROSCOPE TEST (SHORE).—A hardened hammer falls from a constant height on to a polished surface of the material and the distance of rebound is measured on a scale 10 inches long divided into 140 equal parts. The scleroscope hardness is expressed as the distance of rebound on this arbitrary scale, the value 100 representing the hardness on this scale of hardened steel.

SPECIFIC HEAT (σ).—The true specific heat of a substance is $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, when u is the total internal energy of unit mass of the substance. The mean specific heat is defined as

$$\frac{q}{t_1 - t_2} \text{ per unit mass}$$

when q is the quantity of heat absorbed during a temperature change from t_2 to t_1 . It is generally considered as the quantity of heat (calories) required to raise the temperature of unit mass (grams) by unity (degrees centigrade), either at constant volume or at constant pressure. Unless otherwise noted, the specific heat of solids refers to that at constant (atmospheric) pressure. The true specific heat (constant pressure) of metals may usually be expressed sufficiently by an equation of the type

$$\sigma = A + Bt + (Ct^2 \dots).$$

TENSILE TEST.—The quantities determined in the tensile test are the following:

The *ultimate tensile strength* is the maximum load per unit area of original cross section borne by the material.

The *yield point* (A. S. T. M.) is the load per unit of original cross section at which a marked increase in the deformation of the specimen occurs without increase of load.

The *elastic limit* (A. S. T. M.) is the greatest load per unit of original cross section which does not produce a permanent set.

The *proportional limit* (A. S. T. M.) is the load per unit of original cross section at which the deformations cease to be directly proportional to the loads.

The *percentage elongation* is the ratio of the increase of length at rupture between arbitrary points on the specimens to this original length.

The *percentage reduction of area* is the ratio of the decrease of cross section at the "neck" or most reduced section at rupture to the original section.

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (λ).—The coefficient of thermal conductivity (λ) expresses the quantity of heat (small calories) which flows in unit time (seconds) across a unit cube (centimeter) of the material whose opposite faces differ in temperature by unity (1° C). Its *temperature coefficient* is expressed as

$$\alpha_{t_0} = \frac{\lambda_t - \lambda_{t_0}}{\lambda_{t_0}(t - t_0)}$$

THERMAL EXPANSION.—If l_t is any linear dimension of a solid at any temperature, $\frac{1}{l} \frac{dl}{dt}$ is the linear thermal expansivity of that solid at that temperature in the direction of l . It is not, in general, proportional to the temperature except approximately over small temperature intervals, but may be expressed in the following manner:

$$\frac{1}{l} \frac{dl}{dt} = a + bt + ct^2 + \dots$$

For small temperature intervals a mean coefficient (α) is often determined; that is,

$$\alpha_{t_0} = \frac{l_t - l_{t_0}}{l_{t_0}(t - t_0)} \text{ or } \frac{\Delta l}{l_0} = at + bt^2 + \dots$$

THERMOELECTROMOTIVE FORCE (E).—In an electric circuit composed of two dissimilar conductors, the two junctions being at different temperatures, there exists in general an electromotive force, called the thermoelectromotive force between the two metals, the value of which is a function of the temperature of, and of the difference of temperature between, the two junctions. It is shown thermodynamically that this emf is related to the Thomson and Peltier effects in the following manner:

$$\pi = \left. \frac{T dE}{J dt} \right\} \text{and expressed in calories per coulomb}$$

$$\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 = - \left. \frac{T d^2 E}{J dt^2} \right\} \quad J = 4.18 \frac{\text{dynes} \times 10^6}{\text{calories}}$$

when E is the thermo emf, T the absolute temperature, $\frac{dE}{dt}$ the temperature derivative of E , and $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2$ the difference in the Thomson effect of the two materials. The

form of the function $E=E(T)$ is not known; in general, the equation $\frac{dE}{dt}=A+BT$ satisfactorily fits the experimental data over a limited range of temperature of a few hundred degrees.

It has been shown that the Thomson effect for lead is practically zero; this metal has served as a comparison metal in studying the thermoelectric forces of others.

THERMOELECTRIC POWER.—If E is the thermoelectromotive force of a circuit of any two dissimilar metals,

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \text{the thermoelectric power;}$$

it is at any temperature therefore approximately the thermo emf of a couple of which the temperature of the two junctions differ by 1°C .

THE THOMSON EFFECT.—When a current flows in a conductor from a point at one temperature to one at another, heat is in general reversibly liberated or absorbed (other than through ohmic resistance), and an emf or counter emf is produced. The coefficient of the Thomson effect, the amount of heat liberated or absorbed when unit quantity of electricity flows from a point at temperature t to one at a temperature $t+dt$

$$=\sigma dt \text{ calories per coulomb}$$

where σ is the so-called Thomson specific heat of electricity; it is called positive for any material when heat is generated in that material as a current flows from a region of higher to one of lower temperature.

Appendix 2.—TYPICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR COPPER

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS³ FOR ELECTROLYTIC COPPER WIRE BARS, CAKES, SLABS, BILLETS, INGOTS, AND INGOT BARS (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B5-13)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B5; the final number indicates the year of original issue, or in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1911; revised, 1913. These specifications were approved June 15, 1921, as "Tentative American Standard" by the American Engineering Standards Committee.

1. MARKS.—All wire bars, cakes, slabs, and billets shall be stamped with the maker's brand and furnace-charge mark. Ingots and ingot bars shall have a brand stamped or cast in, but need have no furnace-charge mark.

2. LOTS.—The refiner shall arrange carloads or lots so that as far as possible each shall contain pieces from but one furnace charge, in order to facilitate testing by the user.

3. QUALITY.—(a) *Metal Content*.—The copper in all shapes shall have a purity of at least 99.880 per cent, as determined by electrolytic assay, silver being counted as copper.

(b) *Resistivity*.—All wire bars shall have a resistivity not to exceed 0.15535 international ohms per meter-gram at 20° C (annealed); all ingot and ingot bars shall have a resistivity not to exceed 0.15694 international ohms per meter-gram at 20° C (annealed).

Cakes, slabs, and billets shall come under the ingot classification, except when specified for electrical use at time of purchase, in which case wire-bar classification shall apply.

4. PHYSICAL STANDARD.—Wire bars, cakes, slabs, and billets shall be substantially free from shrink holes, cold sets, pits, sloppy edges, concave tops, and similar defects in set or casting. This clause shall not apply to ingots or ingot bars, in which case physical defects are of no consequence.

5. WEIGHTS OF INDIVIDUAL PIECES.—Five per cent variation in weight or one-fourth inch variation in any dimension from the refiner's published list or purchaser's specified size shall be considered good delivery; provided, however, that wire bars may vary in length 1 per cent from the listed or specified length, and cakes 3 per cent from the listed or specified size in any dimension greater than 8 inches. The weight of ingot and ingot-bar copper shall not exceed that specified by more than 10 per cent, but otherwise its variation is not important.

6. CLAIMS.—Claims shall be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of copper at the customer's mill, and the results of the customer's tests shall accompany such claims. The refiner shall be given one week from date of receipt of complaint to investigate his records, and shall then either agree to replace the defective copper or send a representative to the mill. No claims shall be considered unless made as above stated, and if the copper in question, unused, can not be shown to the refiner's representative.

Claims against quality will be considered as follows: (a) Resistivity by furnace charges, ingot lots, or ingot-bar lots; (b) metal contents by furnace charges, ingot lots, or ingot-bar lots; (c) physical defects by individual pieces; and (d) variation in weights or dimensions by individual pieces.

³ American Society for Testing Materials.

7. INVESTIGATION OF CLAIMS.—The refiner's representative shall inspect all pieces where physical defects or variation in weight or dimension are claimed. If agreement is not reached, the question of fact shall be submitted to a mutually agreeable umpire, whose decision shall be final.

In a question of metal contents each party shall select a sample of two pieces. These shall be drilled in the presence of both parties, several holes approximately one-half inch in diameter being drilled completely through each piece; scale from set shall be rejected. No lubricant shall be used and drilling shall not be forced sufficiently to cause oxidation of chips. The resulting samples shall be cut up, mixed, and separated into three parts, each of which shall be placed in a sealed package, one for each party and one for the umpire if necessary. Each party shall make an analysis, and if the results do not establish or dismiss the claim to the satisfaction of both parties, the third sample shall be submitted to a mutually agreeable umpire, who shall determine the question of fact, and whose determination shall be final.

In a question of resistivity each party shall select two samples, and in the presence of both parties these shall be rolled hot and drawn cold into wire of 0.080 inch diameter, approximately, which shall be annealed at approximately 500° C. Three samples shall be cut from each coil and the same procedure followed as described in the previous paragraph.

8. SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS.—The expenses of the shipper's representative and of the umpire shall be paid by the loser, or divided in proportion to the concession made in case of compromise. In case of rejection being established, the damage shall be limited to payment of freight both ways by the refiner for substitution of an equivalent weight of copper meeting these specifications.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS⁴ FOR LAKE COPPER WIRE BARS, CAKES, SLABS, BILLETS, INGOTS, AND INGOT BARS (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B4-13)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B4; the final number indicates the year of original issue, or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1911; revised, 1913. These specifications were approved June 15, 1921, as "Tentative American Standard" by the American Engineering Standards Committee.

1. DEFINITION.—In order to be classed as Lake, copper must originate on the northern peninsula of Michigan, U. S. A.

2. MARKS.—All wire bars, cakes, slabs, and billets shall be stamped with the maker's brand and furnace charge mark. Ingots and ingot bars shall have a brand stamped or cast in, but need have no furnace charge mark.

3. LOTS.—The refiner shall arrange carloads or lots so that as far as possible each shall contain pieces from but one furnace charge, in order to facilitate testing by the user.

4. RESISTIVITY.—(a) *Low Resistance Lake*.—Lake copper offered for electrical purposes, whether fire or electrolytically refined, shall be known as "Low resistance Lake."

Low resistance Lake wire bars shall have a resistivity not to exceed 0.15535 international ohms per meter-gram at 20° C (annealed). All ingots and ingot bars shall have a resistivity not to exceed 0.15694 international ohms per meter-gram at 20° C (annealed).

Cakes, slabs, and billets shall come under the ingot classification, except when specified for electrical use at time of purchase; in which case wire-bar classification shall apply.

(b) *High Resistance Lake*.—Lake copper having a resistivity greater than 0.15694 international ohms per meter-gram at 20° C shall be known as "High resistance Lake."

⁴ American Society for Testing Materials.

5. METAL CONTENT.—(a) Low resistance Lake copper shall have a purity of at least 99.880 per cent as determined by electrolytic assay, silver being counted as copper.

(b) High resistance Lake copper shall have a purity of at least 99.880 per cent, copper, silver, and arsenic being counted together. The arsenic content of high resistance Lake copper, when required for special purposes, shall be the subject of agreement at time of purchase.

6. PHYSICAL STANDARD.—Wire bars, cakes, slabs, and billets shall be substantially free from shrink holes, cold sets, pits, sloppy edges, concave tops, and similar defects in set or casting. This clause shall not apply to ingots or ingot bars, in which case physical defects are of no consequence.

7. WEIGHTS OF INDIVIDUAL PIECES.—Five per cent variation in weight or one-fourth inch variation in any dimension from the refiner's published list or purchaser's specified size shall be considered good delivery; provided, however, that wire bars may vary in length 1 per cent from the listed or specified length and cakes 3 per cent from the listed or specified size in any dimension greater than 8 inches. The weight of ingot and ingot-bar copper shall not exceed that specified by more than 10 per cent, but otherwise its variation is not important.

8. CLAIMS.—Claims shall be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of copper at the customer's mill, and the results of the customer's tests shall accompany such claims. The refiner shall be given one week from date of receipt of complaint to investigate his records, and shall then either agree to replace the defective copper or send a representative to the mill. No claims will be considered unless made as above stated and if the copper in question, unused, can not be shown to the refiner's representative.

Claims against quality will be considered as follows: (a) Resistivity by furnace charges, ingot lots, or ingot-bar lots; (b) metal contents by furnace charges, ingot lots, or ingot-bar lots; (c) physical defects by individual pieces; and (d) variation in weights or dimensions by individual pieces.

9. INVESTIGATION OF CLAIMS.—The refiner's representative shall inspect all pieces where physical defects or variation in weight or dimension are claimed. If agreement is not reached, the question of fact shall be submitted to a mutually agreeable umpire, whose decision shall be final.

In a question of metal contents each party shall select a sample of two pieces. These shall be drilled in the presence of both parties, several holes approximately one-half inch in diameter being drilled completely through each piece; scale from set shall be rejected. No lubricant shall be used and drilling shall not be forced sufficiently to cause oxidation of chips. The resulting samples shall be cut up, mixed, and separated into three parts, each of which shall be placed in a sealed package, one for each party and one for the umpire, if necessary. Each party shall make an analysis, and if the results do not establish or dismiss the claim to the satisfaction of both parties the third sample shall be submitted to a mutually agreeable umpire, who shall determine the question of fact, and whose determination shall be final.

In a question of resistivity each party shall select two samples, and in the presence of both parties these shall be rolled hot and drawn cold into wire of 0.080 inch diameter, approximately, which shall be annealed at approximately 500° C. Three samples shall be cut from each coil and the same procedure followed as described in the previous paragraph.

10. SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS.—The expenses of the shipper's representative and of the umpire shall be paid by the loser, or divided in proportion to the concession made in case of compromise. In case of rejection being established, the damage shall be limited to payment of freight both ways by the refiner for substitution of an equivalent weight of copper meeting these specifications.

EXPLANATORY NOTE.—These specifications have been drawn to cover the peculiar trade situation which has classified the large production of copper from this geographical district as a product in a class by itself.

It is realized that a better classification from an academic point of view could be made by method of production or by chemical composition, but the trade does not yet seem ready for such a step.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS⁵ FOR SOFT OR ANNEALED COPPER WIRE (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B3-15)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B3; the final number indicates the year of original issue, or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1912; revised, 1913, 1915. These specifications were approved June 15, 1921, as "Tentative American Standard" by the American Engineering Standards Committee.

1. MATERIAL.—The copper shall be of such quality and purity that when drawn and annealed it shall have the properties and characteristics herein required.

2. SHAPES.—These specifications cover untinned drawn and annealed round wire.

3. FINISH.—(a) The wire must be free from all imperfections not consistent with the best commercial practice.

(b) Necessary brazes in soft or annealed wire must be made in accordance with the best commercial practice.

4. PACKAGES.—(a) Wire may be shipped in coils or on reels as agreed upon by the purchaser and manufacturer. In Table I⁶ there are stated the maximum and minimum weights of wire of the stated sizes which may be shipped in any one package, whether coil, reel, or spool; in the case of wire larger than 0.010 inch in diameter the maximum and minimum package weights are net, and in the case of wire 0.010 inch and less in diameter the maximum package weights are gross and the minimum package weights are net. The table also states the limiting dimensions of the coils, reels, and spools on which wire may be shipped. The length and diameter stated for reels and spools are to be measured overall and are maximum sizes; reels or spools smaller than these may be used, provided the minimum weights called for are carried by the reel or spool. In the table there are also stated the diameters of the draw block on which the final drawing of the wire is to be made when wire is shipped in coils, it being understood that the wire is not to be rewound after final drawing. This provision is made to insure that coils of wire of a given gage, when supplied by different manufacturers, will be of the same general dimensions.

Wire 0.204 inch in diameter and larger may be shipped in larger packages when agreed upon.

(b) The wire shall be protected against damage in ordinary handling and shipping.

5. SPECIFIC GRAVITY.—For the purpose of calculating weights, cross sections, etc., the specific gravity of copper shall be taken as 8.89 at 20° C.

6. DIMENSIONS AND PERMISSIBLE VARIATIONS.—(a) Size shall be expressed as the diameter of the wire in decimal fractions of an inch.

(b) Wire shall be accurate in diameter; permissible variations from nominal diameter shall be: For wire 0.010 inch in diameter and larger, 1 per cent over or under; for wire less than 0.010 inch in diameter, 0.1 mil (0.0001 inch) over or under.

(c) Each coil shall be gaged at three places, one near each end and one approximately at the middle; from spools, approximately 12 feet shall be reeled off; the wire shall be gaged in six places between the second and twelfth foot from the end. The coils or spools will be rejected if the average of the measurements obtained is not within the limits specified in paragraph (b).

7. PHYSICAL TESTS.—Wire shall be so drawn and annealed that its tensile strength shall not be greater than the value stated in Table II. Tensile tests shall be made upon fair samples, and the elongation shall be determined as the permanent increase

⁵ American Society for Testing Materials.

⁶ The original specifications should be consulted for this table.

in length, due to the breaking of the wire in tension, measured between bench marks placed upon the wire originally 10 inches apart. The fracture shall be between the bench marks and not closer than 1 inch to either bench mark. If upon testing a sample from any coil, reel, or spool of wire the results are found to be below the stated value in elongation or above the stated value in tensile strength, tests upon two additional samples shall be made, and the average of the three tests shall determine acceptance or rejection of the coil. For wire whose nominal diameter is between listed sizes the requirements shall be those of the next larger size included in the table.

TABLE II

| Diameter | Tensile strength | Elongation in 10 inches |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | Lbs./in. ² | Per cent |
| 0.460 to 0.290 inch..... | 36 000 | 35 |
| .289 to .103 inch..... | 37 000 | 30 |
| .102 to .021 inch..... | 38 500 | 25 |
| .020 to .003 inch..... | 40 000 | 20 |

8. ELECTRIC RESISTIVITY.—Electric resistivity shall be determined upon fair samples by resistance measurements at a temperature of 20° C (68° F), and it shall not exceed 891.58 pounds per mile-ohm.

9. INSPECTION.—All testing and inspection shall be made at the place of manufacture. The manufacturer shall afford the inspector representing the purchaser all reasonable facilities to satisfy him that the material conforms to the requirements of these specifications.

EXPLANATORY NOTES.—Soft or annealed copper wire is wire which has been drawn by customary operations and annealed, and finished by cleaning when necessary to remove scale or oxide. The wire is so soft and ductile that it is easily marred and even stretched by careless handling in the operations of winding or cabling; hence the necessity for confining specifications and inspection to wire in packages as it leaves the manufacturer and before being put through processes incident to its use by the purchaser.

4. (a) Attention is called to the necessity for the purchaser and manufacturer agreeing on the package weights which will be standard under any individual contract. The committee⁷ has indicated limitations to standard package weights which in their opinion will provide packages of sufficient size to be desirable and without being so large that the wire is apt to be damaged in handling.

5. The specific gravity of copper was formerly standardized in these specifications at 8.90. The value has been changed to 8.89, since that is the value adopted as standard by the American Institute of Electrical Engineers and the International Electro-Technical Commission.

6. The use of arbitrary gage numbers to express dimensions can not be too strongly condemned. There are many such gages in existence, and confusion is to be expected unless the particular gage to be used is specified. Many of the gages have their dimensions stated in absurd figures, such as 0.090742 inch, when it is not especially easy to measure dimensions in the fourth decimal place by workshop tools. Definite diameters in measurable units are evidently preferable.

8. Electric conductivity was formerly expressed as a percentage on the basis of a determination made by Matthiessen, about 1865, of the electric resistivity of supposedly pure copper. Since that time the methods of refining copper have advanced, so that it is not uncommon to find copper of over 100 per cent conductivity on the Matthiessen basis. There has until recently not been international agreement on the electric resistivity of copper to be considered the standard for the expression of

⁷ Committee B-1 on standard specifications for copper wire.

conductivity. While international agreement upon the value 0.15328 ohm per meter-gram at 20° C for the resistivity of copper equal to 100 per cent conductivity was reached by the International Electro-Technical Commission in 1913, it has been deemed preferable to express the requirements in standard specifications in the terms of quantities directly measurable, rather than by reference to some quantity whose standard value is the subject of agreement only. The use of the arbitrary term "conductivity" has no more warrant than the employment of arbitrary gage numbers. Therefore in these specifications the requirements are stated as the maximum rejection limits to the resistivity.

For the convenience of those who are accustomed to express resistivity in any one of the several more or less common units, the following table of equivalents has been prepared, giving the resistivity of copper at 20° C:

891.58 pounds per mile-ohm is equal to—

0.15614 ohm per meter-gram.

1.7564 microhms per centimeter-cube.

.69150 microhm per inch.

10.565 ohms per mil-foot.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS⁸ FOR MEDIUM HARD-DRAWN COPPER WIRE (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B2-15)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B2 the final number indicates the year of original issue, or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1912; revised, 1913, 1915.

1. MATERIAL.—The copper shall be of such quality and purity that when drawn medium hard it shall have the properties and characteristics herein required.

2. SHAPES.—These specifications cover medium hard-drawn wire, as hereinafter described.

3. FINISH.—(a) The wire must be free from all imperfections not consistent with the best commercial practice.

(b) Necessary brazes in medium hard-drawn wire must be made in accordance with the best commercial practice, and tests upon a section of wire containing a braze must show at least 95 per cent of the tensile strength of the unbrazed wire. Elongation tests are not to be made upon test sections including brazes.

4. PACKAGES.—(a) Packing sizes for round wire shall be agreed upon in the placing of individual orders.

(b) The wire shall be protected against damage in ordinary handling and shipping.

5. SPECIFIC GRAVITY.—For the purpose of calculating weights, cross sections, etc., the specific gravity of copper shall be taken as 8.89 at 20° C.

6. INSPECTION.—All testing and inspection shall be made at the place of manufacture. The manufacturer shall afford the inspector representing the purchaser all reasonable facilities to satisfy him that the material conforms to the requirements of these specifications.

MEDIUM HARD-DRAWN ROUND WIRE.

7. DIMENSIONS AND PERMISSIBLE VARIATIONS.—(a) The size shall be expressed as the diameter of the wire in decimal fractions of an inch, using not more than three places of decimals; that is, in mils.

(b) Wire is expected to be accurate in diameter; permissible variations from nominal diameter shall be: For wire 0.100 inch in diameter and larger, 1 per cent over or under; for wire less than 0.100 inch in diameter, 1 mil over or under.

(c) Each coil is to be gaged at three places, one near each end and one approximately at the middle; the coil may be rejected if, two points being within the accepted limits,

⁸ American Society for Testing Materials.

the third point is off gage more than 2 per cent in the case of wire 0.064 inch in diameter and larger or more than 3 per cent in the case of wire less than 0.064 inch in diameter.

8. **PHYSICAL TESTS.**—Wire shall be so drawn that its tensile strength shall not be greater than the maximum values and not less than the minimum values stated in Table I, and its elongation shall not be less than the minimum values stated in Table I. Tension tests shall be made upon fair samples, and the elongation of wire larger in diameter than 0.204 inch shall be determined as the permanent increase in length, due to the breaking of the wire in tension, measured between bench marks placed upon the wire originally 10 inches apart. The elongation of wire 0.204 inch in diameter and smaller shall be determined by measurements made between the jaws of the testing machine. The zero length shall be the distance between the jaws when a load equal to 10 per cent of the required ultimate breaking strength shall have been applied, and the final length shall be the distance between the jaws at the time of rupture. The zero length shall be as near 60 inches as possible. The fracture shall be between the bench marks in the case of wire larger than 0.204 inch in diameter and between the jaws in the case of smaller wire, and not closer than 1 inch to either bench mark or jaw. If upon testing a sample from any coil of wire the results are found to be below the values stated in the table, tests upon two additional samples shall be made, and the average of the three tests shall determine acceptance or rejection of the coil. For wire whose nominal diameter is between listed sizes, the requirements shall be those of the next larger size included in the table.

9. **ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY.**—Electric resistivity shall be determined upon fair samples by resistance measurements at a temperature of 20° C (68° F).

The wire shall not exceed the following limits:

For diameters 0.460 to 0.325 inch, 896.15 pounds per mile-ohm at 20° C.

For diameters 0.324 to 0.040 inch, 905.44 pounds per mile-ohm at 20° C.

TABLE I

| Diameter in inches | Tensile strength in pounds per square inch | | Elongation in 10 inches | Diameter in inches | Tensile strength in pounds per square inch | | Elongation in 10 inches |
|-----------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum | | | Minimum | Maximum | |
| 0.460 | 42 000 | 49 000 | Per cent | 0.289 | 46 000 | 53 000 | Per cent |
| .410 | 43 000 | 50 000 | 3.75 | .258 | 47 000 | 54 000 | 2.75 |
| .365 | 44 000 | 51 000 | 3.6 | .229 | 48 000 | 55 000 | 2.5 |
| .325 | 45 000 | 52 000 | 3.25 | | | | 2.25 |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | |

| Diameter in inches | Tensile strength in pounds per square inch | | Elongation in 60 inches | Diameter in inches | Tensile strength in pounds per square inch | | Elongation in 60 inches |
|-----------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum | | | Minimum | Maximum | |
| 0.204 | 48 330 | 55 330 | Per cent | 0.081 | 51 000 | 58 000 | Per cent |
| .182 | 48 600 | 55 660 | 1.25 | .072 | 51 330 | 58 330 | 1.00 |
| .162 | 49 000 | 56 000 | 1.20 | .054 | 51 660 | 58 660 | .98 |
| .144 | 49 330 | 56 330 | 1.15 | .057 | 52 000 | 59 000 | .96 |
| .128 | 49 660 | 56 660 | 1.11 | .051 | 52 330 | 59 330 | .94 |
| | | | 1.08 | | | | .92 |
| .114 | 50 000 | 57 000 | 1.05 | .045 | 52 660 | 59 660 | .90 |
| .102 | 50 330 | 57 330 | 1.04 | .040 | 53 000 | 60 000 | .88 |
| .091 | 50 660 | 57 660 | 1.02 | | | | |

EXPLANATORY NOTES

DEFINITION.—Medium hard-drawn wire is essentially and necessarily a special product, because when wire has once started on its course through the drawing operations, it can only finish as a hard-drawn wire to be used as such or to be annealed

and become annealed wire. Medium hard-drawn wire is annealed wire drawn to a slightly smaller diameter.

5. The specific gravity of copper was formerly standardized in these specifications at 8.90. The value has been changed to 8.89, since that is the value adopted as standard by the American Institute of Electrical Engineers and the International Electro-Technical Commission.

7. (a) The use of arbitrary gage numbers to express dimensions can not be too strongly condemned. There are many such gages in existence, and confusion is to be expected unless the particular gage to be used is specified. Many of the gages have their dimensions stated in absurd figures, such as 0.090742 inch, when it is not especially easy to measure dimensions in the fourth decimal place by workshop tools. Definite diameters in measurable units are evidently preferable.

8. Medium hard-drawn wire approaches hard-drawn wire in its characteristics, but from the very nature of the product exact uniformity in tensile strength can not be obtained; hence the necessity for establishing a range of tensile strength within which standard medium hard-drawn wire must be expected to be found. In the opinion of the committee,⁹ any narrowing or reduction in the range permitted in tensile strength can only result in an unjustifiable increase in the cost of production of the wire.

Many other physical tests than those provided in these specifications are included in existing specifications. The reasons for the omission of some of the more common are given as follows:

Twist Tests.—The wire is sometimes required to permit twisting through a stated number of revolutions before breaking. The results are so easily influenced by temperature, speed of rotation, method of gripping, and other variables not easily defined or controlled, that the test is at least of doubtful value. It is the opinion of the committee that it is impractical to so define the conditions of the test that a twist test can be made definite and reliable; hence there is no warrant for its inclusion in specifications.

Wrap Tests.—Wire is sometimes required to permit tight wrapping about a wire of its own diameter, unwrapping and again rewinding. It is obvious that the making of a test of this kind with wire that is already hard is exceedingly difficult. Everyone who has tried to break off a piece of tough wire by bending it back and forth between the fingers knows how hard it is to confine the bend to one place, because of the hardening action of the previous bends. Hard wire which has been wrapped around a wire of small diameter is hardened still more and it is almost impossible to straighten the wire, let alone recoil it in the opposite direction. In the opinion of the committee, it is inadvisable to include a test which at best is so indefinite as a wrap test. Furthermore, it is the opinion of the committee that wire which will meet the physical tests included in these specifications will meet any properly made twist or wrap test that would reasonably be required.

The committee has carefully considered the matter of twist and wrap tests in connection with both hard-drawn and medium hard-drawn wire, and it is their final opinion that while there might be some possible reason for requiring that wire shall stand wrapping around a wire of equal diameter, there can be no good reason for including in specifications the requirement that it shall stand unwrapping and rewinding, because such a test is indefinite and can not be made otherwise. It is almost physically impossible to unwrap and rewrap hard-drawn wire about a wire of its own diameter.

Elastic Limit.—During the tension test on wire, there is seldom to be observed any definite drop of the beam or increase in the rate of elongation, corresponding to

⁹ Committee B-1 on standard specifications for copper wire.

the yield point commonly observed in testing steel. The only way in which the elastic limit of hard wire may be determined is by the actual plotting of the elastic curve from extensometer readings. Even such tests are difficult of interpretation, because the wire when available for tests is usually curved, due to its having been put up in a coil. There are little sets observable before the true elastic limit has been reached, owing to the fact that one side of the wire, having been stretched in coiling, is really a little harder than the other, and the pull is, therefore, not even. Considering the difficulty of making the test and the uncertainty of the results obtained, it is the opinion of the committee that it would be inadvisable to include an elastic limit test in these specifications. It is evident that if the designing engineer requires a knowledge of the location of the elastic limit, for purposes of calculation in designing, such data can be obtained by special tests on representative sizes of wire, which will fix the relation of the elastic limit to the ultimate strength for all wire which is properly made.

Tests carefully made by members of the committee show that the elastic limit of medium hard-drawn wire averages 50 per cent of the ultimate tensile strength required in these specifications. This statement of experience is based on the definition of elastic limit as "that point on the elastic curve beyond which the ratio of stress to strain ceases to be constant."

9. CONDUCTIVITY.—Electric conductivity was formerly expressed as a percentage on the basis of a determination made by Matthiessen about 1865 of the electric resistivity of supposedly pure copper. Since that time the methods of refining copper have advanced, so that it is not uncommon to find copper of over 100 per cent conductivity on the Matthiessen basis. There has until recently not been international agreement on the electric resistivity of copper to be considered the standard for the expression of conductivity. While international agreement upon the value 0.15328 ohms per meter-gram at 20° C for the resistivity was reached by the International Electro-Technical Commission in 1913, it has been deemed preferable to express the requirements in standard specifications in the terms of quantities directly measurable rather than by reference to some quantity whose standard value is the subject of agreement only. The use of the arbitrary term "conductivity" has no more warrant than the employment of arbitrary gage numbers. Therefore, in these specifications the requirements are stated as the maximum rejection limits to the resistivity.

For the convenience of those who are accustomed to express resistivity in any one of the several more or less common units, the following table of equivalents has been prepared, giving the resistivity of copper at 20° C:

896.15 pounds per mile-ohm is equal to—

0.15694 ohm per meter-gram,
1.7654 microhms per centimeter-cube,
.69504 microhm per inch-cube,
10.619 ohms per mil-foot.

905.44 pounds per mile-ohm is equal to—

0.15857 ohm per meter-gram,
1.7837 microhms per centimeter-cube,
.70224 microhm per inch-cube,
10.729 ohms per mil-foot.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS¹⁰ FOR HARD-DRAWN COPPER WIRE (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B1-15)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B1; the final number indicates the year of original issue, or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1909; revised, 1911, 1913, 1915.

¹⁰ American Society for Testing Materials.

1. MATERIAL.—The material shall be copper of such quality and purity that, when drawn hard, it shall have the properties and characteristics herein required.

2. SHAPES.—These specifications cover hard-drawn round wire, grooved trolley wire, and figure-eight trolley wire, as hereinafter described.

3. FINISH.—(a) The wire, in all shapes, must be free from all imperfections not consistent with the best commercial practice.

(b) Necessary brazes in hard-drawn wire must be made in accordance with best commercial practice, and tests upon a section of wire containing a braze must show at least 95 per cent of the tensile strength of the unbrazed wire. Elongation tests are not to be made upon test sections including brazes.

4. PACKAGES.—(a) Package sizes for round wire shall be agreed upon in the placing of individual orders; standard packages of grooved trolley wire shall be shipments upon reels holding about 2500 pounds each.

(b) The wire shall be protected against damage in ordinary handling and shipping.

5. SPECIFIC GRAVITY.—For the purpose of calculating weights, cross sections, etc., the specific gravity of copper shall be taken as 8.89 at 20° C.

6. INSPECTION.—All testing and inspection shall be made at the place of manufacture. The manufacturer shall afford the inspector representing the purchaser all reasonable facilities to enable him to satisfy himself that the material conforms to the requirements of these specifications.

HARD-DRAWN ROUND WIRE

7. DIMENSIONS AND PERMISSIBLE VARIATIONS.—(a) Size shall be expressed as the diameter of the wire in decimal fractions of an inch, using not more than three places of decimals; that is, in mils.

(b) Wire is expected to be accurate in diameter; permissible variations from nominal diameter shall be: For wire 0.100 inch in diameter and larger, 1 per cent over or under; for wire less than 0.100 inch in diameter, 1 mil over or under.

(c) Each coil is to be gaged at three places, one near each end, and one approximately at the middle; the coil may be rejected if, two points being within the accepted limits, the third point is off gage more than 2 per cent in the case of wire 0.064 inch in diameter and larger, or more than 3 per cent in the case of wire less than 0.064 inch in diameter.

8. PHYSICAL TEST.—Wire shall be so drawn that its tensile strength and elongation shall be at least equal to the value stated in Table I. Tensile tests shall be made upon fair samples, and the elongation of wire larger in diameter than 0.204 inch shall be determined as the permanent increase in length, due to the breaking of the wire in tension, measured between bench marks placed upon the wire originally 10 inches apart. The elongation of wire 0.204 inch in diameter and smaller shall be determined by measurements made between the jaws of the testing machine. The zero length shall be the distance between the jaws when a load equal to 10 per cent of the required ultimate breaking strength shall have been applied, and the final length shall be the distance between the jaws at the time of rupture. The zero length shall be as near 60 inches as possible. The fracture shall be between the bench marks in the case of wire larger than 0.204 inch in diameter and between the jaws in the case of smaller wire, and not closer than 1 inch to either bench mark or jaw. If upon testing a sample from any coil of wire the results are found to be below the values stated in the table, tests upon two additional samples shall be made, and the average of the three tests shall determine acceptance or rejection of the coil. For wire whose nominal diameter is between listed sizes, the requirements shall be those of the next larger size included in the table.

TABLE I

| Diameter in inches | Area, circular mils | Tensile strength in pound per square inch | Elongation in 10 inches | Diameter in inches | Area, circular mils | Tensile strength in pound per square inch | Elongation in 10 inches |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | | Per cent | | | | Per cent |
| 0.460 | 211 600 | 49 000 | 3.75 | 0.289 | 83 520 | 56 100 | 2.17 |
| .410 | 168 100 | 51 000 | 3.25 | .258 | 66 565 | 57 600 | 1.98 |
| .365 | 133 225 | 52 800 | 2.80 | .229 | 52 440 | 59 000 | 1.79 |
| .325 | 105 625 | 54 500 | 2.40 | | | | |

| Diameter in inches | Area, circular mils | Tensile strength in pound per square inch | Elongation in 60 inches | Diameter in inches | Area, circular mils | Tensile strength in pound per square inch | Elongation in 60 inches |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | | Per cent | | | | Per cent |
| 0.204 | 41 615 | 60 100 | 1.24 | 0.092 | 8464 | 65 400 | 0.97 |
| .182 | 33 125 | 61 200 | 1.18 | .091 | 8281 | 65 400 | .97 |
| .165 | 27 225 | 62 000 | 1.14 | .081 | 6561 | 65 700 | .95 |
| .162 | 26 245 | 62 100 | 1.14 | .080 | 6400 | 65 700 | .94 |
| .144 | 20 735 | 63 000 | 1.09 | .072 | 5184 | 65 900 | .92 |
| .134 | 17 956 | 63 400 | 1.07 | .065 | 4225 | 66 200 | .91 |
| .128 | 16 385 | 63 700 | 1.06 | .064 | 4096 | 66 200 | .90 |
| .114 | 12 995 | 64 300 | 1.02 | .057 | 3249 | 66 400 | .89 |
| .104 | 10 815 | 64 800 | 1.00 | .051 | 2601 | 66 600 | .87 |
| .102 | 10 404 | 64 900 | 1.00 | .045 | 2025 | 66 800 | .86 |
| | | | | .040 | 1600 | 67 000 | .85 |

9. **ELECTRIC RESISTIVITY.**—Electric resistivity shall be determined upon fair samples by resistance measurements at a temperature of 20° C (68° F).

The wire shall not exceed the following limits: For diameters 0.460 to 0.325 inch, 900.77 pounds per mile-ohm at 20° C; for diameters 0.324 to 0.040 inch, 910.15 pounds per mile-ohm at 20° C.

GROOVED TROLLEY WIRE

10. **SECTIONS.**—Standard sections shall be those known as the “American standard grooved trolley wire sections,” the shape and dimensions of which are shown in Fig. 1. (See original specifications for diagrams.)

11. **DIMENSIONS AND PERMISSIBLE VARIATIONS.**—(a) Size shall be expressed as the area of cross section in circular mils, the standard sizes being as follows:

211 600 circular mils, weighing 3386 pounds per mile,

168 100 circular mils, weighing 2690 pounds per mile,

133 200 circular mils, weighing 2132 pounds per mile.

(b) Grooved trolley wire may vary 4 per cent over or under in weight per unit length from standard, as determined from the nominal cross section.

12. **PHYSICAL TESTS.**—The physical tests shall be made in the same manner as those upon round wire. The tensile strength of grooved wire shall be at least 95 per cent of that required for round wire of the same sectional area; the elongation shall be the same as that required for round wire of the same sectional area.

13. **ELECTRIC RESISTIVITY.**—The requirements for electric resistivity shall be the same as those for round wire of the same sectional area.

FIGURE-EIGHT TROLLEY WIRE

14. **SECTIONS.**—Standard sections of figure-eight trolley wire shall be as shown in Fig. 2. (See original specifications for diagrams.)

15. **REQUIREMENTS.**—The requirements for weight, physical properties, and electric resistivity of figure-eight trolley wire shall be the same as for the same sizes of grooved trolley wire.

EXPLANATORY NOTES

5. The specific gravity of copper was formerly standardized in these specifications at 8.90. The value has been changed to 8.89, since that is the value adopted as standard by the American Institute of Electrical Engineers and the International Electro-Technical Commission.

7. (a) The use of arbitrary gage numbers to express dimensions can not be too strongly condemned. There are many such gages in existence, and confusion is to be expected unless the particular gage to be used is specified. Many of the gages have their dimensions stated in absurd figures, such as 0.090742 inch, when it is not especially easy to measure dimensions in the fourth decimal place by workshop tools. Definite diameters in measurable units are evidently preferable.

8. Many other physical tests than those provided in these specifications are included in existing specifications. The reasons for the omission of some of the more common are given as follows:

TWIST TESTS.—The wire is sometimes required to permit twisting through a stated number of revolutions before breaking. The results are so easily influenced by temperature, speed of rotation, method of gripping, and other variables not easily defined or controlled that the test is at least of doubtful value. It is the opinion of the committee¹¹ that it is impracticable to so define the conditions of the test that a twist test can be made definite and reliable; hence there is no warrant for its inclusion in specifications.

WRAP TESTS.—Wire is sometimes required to permit tight wrapping about a wire of its own diameter, unwrapping and again rewrapping. It is obvious that the making of a test of this kind with wire that is already hard drawn is exceedingly difficult. Everyone who has tried to break off a piece of tough wire by bending it back and forth between the fingers knows how hard it is to confine the bend to one place, because of the hardening action of the previous bends. Hard wire which has been wrapped around a wire of small diameter is hardened still more and it is almost impossible to straighten the wire, let alone recoil it in the opposite direction. In the opinion of the committee, it is inadvisable to include a test which at best is so indefinite as a wrap test. Furthermore, it is the opinion of the committee that wire which will meet the physical tests included in these specifications will meet any properly made twist or wrap test that would reasonably be required.

Since the adoption of the standard specifications for hard-drawn copper wire, proposed in 1909, the committee has very carefully considered the matter of twist and wrap tests, and it is their final opinion that while there might be some possible reason for requiring that wire shall stand wrapping around a wire of equal diameter, there can be no good reason for including in specifications the requirement that it shall stand unwrapping and rewrapping, because such a test is indefinite and can not be made otherwise. It is almost physically impossible to unwrap and rewrap hard-drawn wire about a wire of its own diameter. With respect to twist tests, the committee has nothing to add to the statement already on record condemning this character of test.

ELASTIC LIMIT.—During the tension test on wire there is seldom to be observed any definite drop of the beam or increase in the rate of elongation, corresponding to the yield point commonly observed in testing steel. The only way in which the elastic limit of hard wire may be determined is by the actual plotting of the elastic curve from the extensometer readings. Even such tests are difficult of interpretation, because the wire when available for tests is usually curved, due to its having been put in a coil. There are little sets observable before the true elastic limit has been reached, owing to the fact that one side of the wire, having been stretched in coiling

¹¹ Committee B-1 on standard specifications for copper wire.

is really a little harder than the other side, and the pull is, therefore, not even. Considering the difficulty of making the test and the uncertainty of the results obtained, it is the opinion of the committee that it would be inadvisable to include an elastic limit test in these specifications. It is evident that if the designing engineer requires a knowledge of the location of the elastic limit for purposes of calculation in designing, such data can be obtained by special tests on representative sizes of wire, which will fix the relation of the elastic limit to the ultimate strength for all wire which is properly made.

Tests carefully made by members of the committee show that the elastic limit of hard-drawn copper wire from sizes 0.460 to 0.325 inch, inclusive, averages 55 per cent of the ultimate tensile strength required in these specifications, with a minimum value of 50 per cent; for sizes 0.324 to 0.040 inch, inclusive, it averages 60 per cent of the ultimate tensile strength required in these specifications, with a minimum value of 55 per cent. This statement of experience is based on the definition of elastic limit as "that point on the elastic curve beyond which the ratio of stress to strain ceases to be constant."

9. CONDUCTIVITY.—Electric conductivity was formerly expressed as a percentage on the basis of a determination made by Matthiessen about 1865 of the electric resistivity of supposedly pure copper. Since that time the methods of refining copper have advanced, so that it is not uncommon to find copper of over 100 per cent conductivity on the Matthiessen basis. There has until recently not been international agreement on the electrical resistivity of copper to be considered the standard for the expression of conductivity. While international agreement upon the value 0.15328 ohm per meter-gram at 20° C for the resistivity of copper equal to 100 per cent conductivity was reached by the International Electro-Technical Commission in 1913, it has been deemed preferable to express the requirements in standard specifications in the terms of quantities directly measureable, rather than by reference to some quantity whose standard value is the subject of agreement only. The use of the arbitrary term "conductivity" has no more warrant than the employment of arbitrary gage numbers. Therefore, in these specifications the requirements are stated as the maximum rejection limits to the resistivity.

For the convenience of those who are accustomed to express resistivity in any of the several more or less common units, the following table of equivalents has been prepared, giving the resistivity of copper at 20° C:

900.77 pounds per mile-ohm is equal to—

0.15775 ohm per meter-gram,
1.7745 microhms per centimeter-cube,
.69863 microhm per inch-cube,
10.674 ohms per mil-foot.

910.15 pounds per mile-ohm is equal to—

0.15940 ohm per meter-gram,
1.7930 microhms per centimeter-cube,
.70590 microhm per inch-cube,
10.785 ohms per mil-foot.

10. It is obvious that the simplest designation of irregular shapes of similar outline is by sectional area, and the most commonly used unit among electrical engineers is the circular mil. Therefore, while the sizes of grooved trolley wire regularly used are generally known by B. & S. gage number, corresponding to their sectional area, it has been deemed advisable by the committee to list these sizes, in specifications, by their sectional area expressed in circular mils. The three sizes which are most extensively used commercially are the only ones listed; a fourth size is but little used, and the use is growing less.

11. The only way in which gage variations are easily determinable in irregular shapes is by recourse to weights of standard lengths, and this has been the method adopted in the specifications.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS¹² FOR BARE CONCENTRIC-LAY COPPER CABLE, HARD, MEDIUM HARD, OR SOFT (SERIAL DESIGNATION: B8-21)

The specifications for this material are issued under the fixed designation B8; the final number indicates the year of original issue or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. Adopted, 1916. Revised, 1921.

I. MANUFACTURE

1. **PRODUCTS COVERED.**—(a) These specifications cover bare concentric-lay cables made from round copper wires laid helically around a central core in one or more layers. The central core shall be made of wire having the same quality and temper as the concentric layers, unless otherwise especially provided for in separate specifications governing the individual case.

CLASSES.—(b) The purposes for which the several classes of concentric-lay cables are generally used are as follows:

Class A, for bare, weatherproof, slow-burning, and slow-burning weatherproof cable for aerial use.

Class B, for various insulated cable, such as rubber, paper, varnished cloth, etc.

Class C, for cable where greater flexibility is required than in class B.

2. **REQUIREMENTS OF WIRES.**—The copper wires entering into the construction of standard concentric-lay cable shall, before stranding, meet all the requirements of that one of the standard specifications of the American Society for Testing Materials for hard-drawn, medium hard-drawn, or soft or annealed copper wire, or tinned soft copper wire (serial designations: B1, B2, B3, or B33), which applies.

3. **BRAZES.**—Brazes may be made in the wire when finished and ready for cabling. Such brazes shall be made in accordance with the best commercial practice. No brazes in cable made from hard or medium hard-drawn copper wire may be closer together than 50 feet.

4. **PITCH AND LAY.**—The pitch of standard cable shall not be less than 12 nor more than 16 diameters of the cable, and the lay may be right or left handed, unless one direction of lay is specified by the purchaser.

II. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AND TESTS

5. **TESTING.**—(a) Tests for the physical and electrical properties of the wires composing the cables made from hard-drawn or medium hard-drawn wire may be made before, but not after, stranding.

(b) Tests for the physical and electrical properties of wires composing cables made from annealed copper wire or from tinned soft copper wire may be made on wires removed from the cable, in which case the maximum tensile strength permitted shall be increased 5 per cent and the minimum elongation permitted shall be reduced 5 per cent. Care must be taken to avoid mechanical injury of wire removed from cable for the purpose of testing.

(c) Experience indicates that the tensile strength of concentric-lay copper cable of standard pitch is at least 90 per cent of the total strength required of the wires forming the cable.

6. **WEIGHTS AND AREA.**—For the purpose of calculating weights, cross sections, etc., the specific gravity of copper shall be taken as 8.89 at 20° C. The resistance

¹² American Society for Testing Materials.

and mass of a stranded conductor are greater than in a solid conductor of the same cross-sectional area, depending on the lay; that is, the pitch of the twist of the wires. Two per cent shall be taken as the standard increment of resistance and of mass. In cases where the lay is definitely known the increment shall be calculated and not assumed.

7. **VARIATION IN AREA.**—The area of cross section of the completed cable shall not be more than 2 per cent below the area specified, as determined by weight.

8. **CONSTRUCTION.**—The area of cross section, number and diameter of wires, in standard cable classes A, B, and C, shall be specified in Table I.

III. PACKING AND SHIPPING

9. **PACKING AND SHIPPING.**—(a) Package sizes for cable shall be agreed upon in the placing of individual orders.

(b) The cable shall be protected against damage in ordinary handling and transportation.

IV. INSPECTION

10. **INSPECTION.**—(a) All testing and inspection, both of individual wires entering into the construction of the cable and of the completed cable, shall be made at the place of manufacture. Tests on individual wires shall be made on samples before cabling and not on wires removed from the completed cable except as provided in section 5b.

(b) The manufacturer shall afford the inspector representing the purchaser all reasonable facilities to satisfy him that the material conforms to the requirements of these specifications.

V. DEFINITION OF TERMS

11. **CONCENTRIC-LAY CABLE.**—A single conductor cable composed of a central core surrounded by one or more layers of helically laid wires.

12. **LAY.**—The lay of a cable is the length expressed in inches for each complete turn of the wire around the axis, measured along its axis.

13. **DIRECTION OF LAY.**—The direction of lay is the lateral direction in which the strands of a cable run over the top of the cable as they recede from an observer looking along the axis of the cable.

EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. **CLASSES OF CABLE.**—These specifications have been drawn to cover cables made from hard-drawn, medium hard-drawn, and soft copper wire, since the manufacturing of cables from the various classes of wire is similar, and the physical properties of the cable depend upon, and are usually expressed in, terms of those of the class of wire employed.

2. **PHYSICAL PROPERTIES.**—The accurate testing of cable for its physical properties is practically impossible in commercial laboratories. In order to do this, it is necessary to use long lengths and hold the samples in such a way that the wires shall all be in equal tension, otherwise the strength will be considerably below the actual strength of the cable. A much more accurate idea of the quality of the cable may be obtained by testing the individual wires before cabling than by attempting tests of the physical properties of the finished cable.

Wires unlaid from cable will manifestly have different physical and electrical properties from those of the wire when prepared for cabling on account of the deformation brought about by laying and again straightening for test.

3. **STRANDING TABLE.**—The stranding table covers present practice. Class A covers the usual bare and weatherproof construction. Class B is the same as adopted by the Standards Committee of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers and is given in the Bureau of Standards Circular No. 31, Table XII.

In class C the figures are those of the Bureau of Standards Circular No. 31, Table XII, with additions to cover well-established practice. There is need for a table to cover extra-flexible stranding from soft wire, but there are differences of opinion in regard to what should become standard practice. The Standards Committee of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers have this matter under consideration, and it has seemed best not to attempt to include figures for extra-flexible stranding in this specification. The stranding table will necessarily be the subject of revision which will be undertaken in cooperation with the Standards Committee of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers.

TABLE I

| Area of cross section | Approximate A. W. G. or B. & S. gage sizes. | Class A ^a | | Class B | | Class C | |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|
| | | Wires | Diameter of wires | Wires | Diameter of wires | Wires | Diameter of wires |
| | | | Mils | | Mils | | Mils |
| Cir. mils | | | | | | | |
| 2 000 000 | | 91 | 148.2 | 127 | 125.5 | 169 | 108.8 |
| 1 900 000 | | 91 | 144.5 | 127 | 122.3 | 169 | 106.0 |
| 1 800 000 | | 91 | 140.6 | 127 | 119.1 | 169 | 103.2 |
| 1 700 000 | | 91 | 136.6 | 127 | 115.7 | 169 | 100.3 |
| 1 600 000 | | 91 | 132.6 | 127 | 112.2 | 169 | 97.3 |
| 1 500 000 | | 61 | 156.8 | 91 | 128.4 | 127 | 108.7 |
| 1 400 000 | | 61 | 151.5 | 91 | 124.0 | 127 | 105.0 |
| 1 300 000 | | 61 | 146.0 | 91 | 119.5 | 127 | 101.2 |
| 1 250 000 | | 61 | 143.2 | 91 | 117.2 | 127 | 99.2 |
| 1 200 000 | | 61 | 140.3 | 91 | 114.8 | 127 | 97.2 |
| 1 100 000 | | 61 | 134.3 | 91 | 109.9 | 127 | 93.1 |
| 1 000 000 | | 61 | 128.0 | 61 | 128.0 | 91 | 104.8 |
| /950 000 | | 61 | 124.8 | 61 | 124.8 | 91 | 102.2 |
| /900 000 | | 61 | 121.5 | 61 | 121.5 | 91 | 99.4 |
| /850 000 | | 61 | 118.0 | 61 | 118.0 | 91 | 96.6 |
| /800 000 | | 61 | 114.5 | 61 | 114.5 | 91 | 93.8 |
| /750 000 | | 61 | 110.9 | 61 | 110.9 | 91 | 90.8 |
| /700 000 | | 61 | 107.1 | 61 | 107.1 | 91 | 87.7 |
| /650 000 | | 61 | 103.2 | 61 | 103.2 | 91 | 84.5 |
| /600 000 | | 37 | 127.3 | 61 | 99.2 | 91 | 81.2 |
| /550 000 | | 37 | 121.9 | 61 | 95.0 | 91 | 77.7 |
| /500 000 | | 37 | 116.2 | 37 | 116.2 | 61 | 90.5 |
| /450 000 | | 37 | 110.3 | 37 | 110.3 | 61 | 85.9 |
| /400 000 | | 19 | 145.1 | 37 | 104.0 | 61 | 81.0 |
| /350 000 | | 19 | 135.7 | 37 | 97.3 | 61 | 75.7 |
| /300 000 | | 19 | 125.7 | 37 | 90.0 | 61 | 70.1 |
| /250 000 | | 19 | 114.7 | 37 | 82.2 | 61 | 64.0 |
| /212 000 | 4/0 | 7-19 | 173.9-105.5 | 19 | 105.5 | 37-61 | 75.6-58.9 |
| /168 000 | 3/0 | 7-19 | 155.0-94.0 | 19 | 94.0 | 37-61 | 67.3-52.5 |
| /133 000 | 2/0 | 7 | 138.0 | 19 | 83.7 | 37 | 60.0 |
| /106 000 | 1/0 | 7 | 122.8 | 19 | 74.5 | 37 | 53.4 |
| 83 750 | 1 | 7 | 100.3 | 19 | 66.4 | 37 | 47.6 |
| 66 400 | 2 | 7 | 97.4 | 7 | 97.4 | 19 | 59.1 |
| 52 600 | 3 | 7 | 86.7 | 7 | 86.7 | 19 | 52.6 |
| 41 700 | 4 | 7 | 77.2 | 7 | 77.2 | 19 | 46.9 |
| 33 100 | 5 | 7 | 68.8 | 7 | 68.8 | 19 | 41.7 |
| 26 300 | 6 | 7 | 61.2 | 7 | 61.2 | 19 | 37.2 |
| 20 800 | 7 | 7 | 54.5 | 7 | 54.5 | 19 | 33.1 |
| 16 500 | 8 | 7 | 48.6 | 7 | 48.6 | 19 | 29.5 |

^a Class A cable, sizes 4/0 and 3/0, is usually 7-strand when bare and 19-strand when weatherproof, etc.

**NAVY DEPARTMENT SPECIFICATIONS (46C5b, OCT. 1, 1920, SUPERSEDED-
ING 46C5a, FEB. 1, 1917)—INGOT COPPER**

1. **GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS.**—General specifications for inspection of material, issued by the Navy Department, in effect at date of opening of bids, shall form part of these specifications.

2. **GRADES.**—Ingot copper shall be furnished in two grades as required: Grade 1, grade 2.

3. **MATERIAL.**—Lake copper or electrolytic copper shall be furnished except as modified in paragraph 5 (b) below.

4. **GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS.**—Ingot copper shall be furnished in standard commercial-shaped ingots between 9 and 12 inches in length.

5. **DETAIL DESCRIPTION.**—(a) Grade 1 ingot copper shall be that known in the trade as "Lake Copper" or "Best Electrolytic" and shall conform to the following:

| Copper (minimum) | Bismuth | Antimony | Arsenic (maximum) | Sulphur (maximum) |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Per cent 99.90 | Per cent None. | Per cent None. | Per cent 0.0025 | Per cent 0.0025 |

(b) Grade 2 ingot copper may be refined from ore or reclaimed from scrap and shall conform to the following:

| Copper (minimum) | Bismuth (maximum) | Antimony (maximum) | Arsenic (maximum) | Sulphur (maximum) |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Per cent 99.75 | Per cent 0.01 | Per cent 0.01 | Per cent 0.03 | Per cent 0.01 |

6. **TESTS AND METHODS OF INSPECTION.**—Samples shall be taken as follows: One ingot shall be taken from such location in each lot of 8000 pounds or fraction thereof of an order as to represent as nearly as possible the average quality of the metal. Two ½-inch holes shall be drilled from the top to ¼ inch from the bottom of each ingot selected for test. The drillings from the first ¼ inch shall be discarded, and the inspector shall forward for analysis not less than 5 ounces of the remaining drillings from each sample ingot in a separate package for analysis. Drillings from all the samples from an order shall be thoroughly mixed and a portion taken therefrom for analysis unless a question of homogeneity of the metal arises, in which case separate analysis shall be made as may be deemed expedient. Copper shall be determined by electrolytic assay. Silver shall be counted as copper.

7. **PACKING AND MARKING.**—(a) Each ingot shall bear the brand name or initials cast or stamped in. The grade of the material shall be marked on each ingot in such a manner that the marking can not be readily removed or rendered illegible.

8. **NOTE TO SUPPLY OFFICERS, BIDDERS, MANUFACTURERS, AND OTHERS.**—(a) Bidders shall state in their proposals the brand of copper offered.

(b) Grade 1 ingot copper may be employed in the manufacture of cartridge cases and high-grade bronzes and brasses; grade 2 in the manufacture of commercial brass (B-c), screw pipe fittings (S-c), and other compositions in which great strength is not required.

NAVY DEPARTMENT SPECIFICATIONS (47C1b, FEB. 1, 1918, SUPERSEDING 47C1a, JAN. 2, 1915)—SHEET COPPER FOR SHEATHING BOTTOMS OF WOODEN CRAFT

1. **GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS.**—General specifications for inspection of material, issued by the Navy Department, in effect at date of opening of bids, shall form part of these specifications.

2. **MATERIAL AND WORKMANSHIP.**—Copper sheets shall be of the best commercial quality of sheet copper containing not less than 99.5 per cent of pure copper and shall be free from all defects, blisters, bad edges, and corners; shall be smooth on both sides, commercially flat, and reasonably free from waves and buckles.

3. **SIZE AND WEIGHT OF SHEETS.**—Copper sheets shall be furnished 14 by 48 inches, hard or soft rolled, as specified and in accordance with the following table:

| Thickness | | Weight—Sheet 14 by 48 inches | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Nominal | Minimum | Per square foot nominal | Nominal | | Maximum | | Minimum | |
| Inch | Inch | Ounces | Pounds | Ounces | Pounds | Ounces | Pounds | Ounces |
| 0.019 | 0.0176 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 |
| .020 | .0189 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 2 |
| .022 | .0201 | 16 | 4 | 10 ½ | 4 | 14 | 4 | 7 |
| .023 | .0214 | 17 | 4 | 15 ½ | 5 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| .024 | .0226 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| .026 | .0239 | 19 | 5 | 8 ½ | 5 | 13 | 5 | 4 |
| .027 | .0251 | 20 | 5 | 13 ½ | 6 | 2 | 5 | 9 |
| .030 | .0277 | 22 | 6 | 6 ½ | 6 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| .032 | .0301 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| .035 | .0328 | 26 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 3 |
| .038 | .0353 | 28 | 8 | 2 ½ | 8 | 9 | 7 | 12 |
| .041 | .0378 | 30 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| .043 | .0404 | 32 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 13 |

4. **TOLERANCE.**—A variation of 7 per cent under gage at edge of sheet and a variation in weight of 5 per cent above and below will be allowed.

5. **BASIS OF PAYMENT.**—Payment will be made on a basis of net weight delivered.

6. **PACKING AND MARKING.**—Sheets shall be packed in strong, well-made cases marked with the name of the material, the size and thickness or weight of the copper per square foot, and the name of the manufacturer. The weight per square foot marked on the cases shall be the same as that called for in the order, although on account of the weight tolerance the actual weight per square foot may be actually nearer the next gage.

7. **DELIVERIES.**—Deliveries shall be marked with the name of the material, the name of the contractor, and the contract or requisition number under which delivery is made.

8. **NOTE TO SUPPLY OFFICERS.**—Requisitions should state: (a) Thickness of copper in decimals of an inch. (b) Whether hard (cold rolled) or soft (hot rolled) copper is desired.

**NAVY DEPARTMENT SPECIFICATIONS (47C2c, JAN. 3, 1921, SUPERSEDED-
ING 47C2b, AUG. 1, 1917)—COPPER, ROLLED (NONFERROUS METAL
Cu-r), BARS, PLATES, SHEETS, AND SHAPES**

1. GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS.—General specifications for inspection of material, issued by the Navy Department, in effect at date of opening of bids, shall form part of these specifications.

2. CLASSES.—Copper rods, bars, shapes, plates, or sheets shall be of the following classes, as required: (a) Cold-rolled rods, bars, or shapes (hard). (b) Cold-rolled and annealed rods, bars, or shapes (soft). (c) Cold-rolled plates or sheets (hard). (d) Cold-rolled and annealed plates or sheets (soft). (e) Hot-rolled plates or sheets (soft).

3. MATERIAL AND WORKMANSHIP.—Rolled copper shall be clean, smooth, of uniform color, quality, and size, and shall be free from all injurious defects. Scrap shall not be used in the manufacture except such as may accumulate in the manufacturers' plants from material of the same composition of their own make. The workmanship shall be first class in every respect.

4. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS.—The material shall contain not less than 99.5 per cent copper.

5. DETAIL DESCRIPTIONS.—(a) The physical properties of class (a) and (b) copper shall be as follows:

RODS AND BARS

| Class | Size | Tensile strength | | Elongation per cent in 2 inches |
|----------|---|------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Rods | Bars | |
| | | Minimum | Minimum | Minimum |
| (a)..... | Up to $\frac{3}{8}$ inch, inclusive, diameter or thickness..... | 50 000 | 45 000 | 10 |
| | Over $\frac{3}{8}$ inch to 1 inch, inclusive..... | 45 000 | 40 000 | 12 |
| | Over 1 inch to 2 inches, inclusive..... | 40 000 | 35 000 | 15 |
| | Over 2 inches..... | 35 000 | 32 000 | 20 |
| (b)..... | | 30 000 | 30 000 | 25 |

SHAPES

| Class | | Tensile strength | Elongation per cent in 2 inches |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| (a), (b)... | See note, paragraph 8a..... | Minimum 30 000 | Minimum 25 |

(b) *Tolerance in diameter.*—Rods or bars measured on their diameters or parallel faces shall not vary from the specified dimensions by more than the following amounts:

| | Inch |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Under $\frac{1}{2}$ inch..... | ± 0.0015 |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ inch to 1 inch..... | $\pm .002$ |
| 1 inch to $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches..... | $\pm .0025$ |
| $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches and over..... | $\pm .003$ |

(c) Rods and bars will be accepted in stock lengths unless it is specifically stated that the lengths are to be exact. Stock lengths shall be as follows: When ordered in 12-foot lengths, no lengths less than 8 feet nor more than 12 feet; 10-foot lengths, no lengths less than 6 feet nor more than 10 feet; 8-foot lengths, no lengths less than 6 feet nor more than 8 feet; 6-foot lengths, no lengths less than 4 feet nor more than 6 feet. When ordered to the lengths given above, the weight of lengths less than length ordered shall not exceed 40 per cent of any one shipment. This applies to

all rods or bars from $\frac{1}{4}$ to 1 inch diameter or thickness, whether round, rectangular, square, or hexagonal. About 1 inch to and including 2 inches the lengths shall be random lengths from 4 to 10 feet. Above 2 inches the lengths are special, but no length shall be less than 4 feet.

(d) The physical properties of class (c), (d), and (e) copper shall be as follows, except that sheets under 0.072 inch in thickness shall not be physically tested:

SHEETS AND PLATES

| Class | Tensile strength per square inch | Elongation in 2 inches (minimum) |
|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (c)..... | 35 000 minimum..... | Per cent 18 |
| (d) and (e)..... | 30 000 to 40 000..... | 25 |

(e) No excess weight of sheets or plates will be paid for, and no single piece that weighs more than 5 per cent above the calculated weight will be accepted. A cubic inch of class (c) copper shall be assumed to weigh 0.323 pound; class (d) and (e) 0.320 pound.

(f) The thickness of any sheet or plate shall not vary from the thickness ordered more than permitted by the minus tolerance for the various widths, as stated below:

THICKNESS TOLERANCES

| Width of sheets or plates | Minus tolerance permitted |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Per cent |
| 48 inches..... | 5 |
| 48 to 60 inches, inclusive..... | 7 |
| Over 60 inches..... | 8 |

(g) Plates and sheets shall be cut to the dimensions required by the contract or order unless the contract or order specifically stated that the material is to be furnished in stock lengths. When stock lengths are furnished, at least 60 per cent in weight shall be in lengths of not less than 10 feet; 8 to 10 foot lengths shall not exceed 40 per cent of the weight; 6 to 8 foot lengths shall not exceed 30 per cent of the weight; 4 to 6 foot lengths shall not exceed 20 per cent of the weight; 2 to 4 foot lengths shall not exceed 10 per cent of the weight. No lengths less than 2 feet will be accepted.

6. TESTS.—(a) Test specimens shall be taken from each lot of 500 pounds or less of material of the same size and from the same heat and subjected to the following tests: Chemical, as required in paragraph 4; tensile tests, as required in paragraph 5; hammer tests, bars shall stand hammering hot to a fine point; bending tests, bars shall stand bending cold 120° about a bar the radius of which is equal to the diameter or thickness of the test bar.

(b) All rounds and bars shall be pulled in the full size whenever possible; that is, type 3 test specimens shall be employed. If the diameter of rounds and bars is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch and the full-size test specimen according to type 3 can not, in the opinion of the inspector, be tested, type 1 test specimens may be used. Such specimens shall be taken as nearly as practicable at a distance from the circumference equal to one-half the greatest radius of the rounds or bars.

(c) Test specimens from plates and shapes shall be type 2 whenever possible. Type 3 may be employed in testing shapes when a type 2 specimen can not be obtained. If, in the opinion of the inspector, the type 2 specimen obtained from a plate can not

be tested, a type 1 specimen shall be substituted for same. Such specimen shall be taken as nearly as practicable halfway between the surface and center of the plate.

(d) Bending test bars may be the full-size bar, or the standard bars of 1 inch width and $\frac{1}{2}$ inch thickness. In case of bending test pieces of rectangular section the edges may be rounded off to a radius equal to one-fourth the thickness.

7. PACKING AND MARKING.—(a) Shipments shall be packed as required by the contract or order.

(b) Each shipment shall be marked with contract or order number, name of the contractor or manufacturer, and the contents.

8. NOTE TO SUPPLY OFFICERS.—(a) Round, square, hexagonal, etc., sections shall be classed as rods. Rectangular and taper sections having greater width than thickness shall be classed as bars. Sections not covered by the above shall be classed as shapes.

(b) Seamless copper tubing and copper pipes, iron-pipe size, shall not be purchased under these specifications, but under the specifications for such material.

(c) The material is suitable for the following purposes: Copper pipe, shapes, receptacles, and general coppersmith work.

BRITISH STANDARDS FOR ANNEALED COPPER CONDUCTORS¹³

[This specification does not deal with the composition, quality, or durability of the insulating material used as the dielectric.]

STANDARDS

1. INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS OF RESISTANCE FOR COPPER.—The following standards fixed by the International Electrotechnical Commission have been taken as normal values for standard annealed copper:

(a) At a temperature of 20° C the resistance of a wire of standard annealed copper 1 m in length and of a uniform section of 1 mm² is $\frac{1}{58}$ ohm (0.017241..... ohm).

(b) At a temperature of 20° C the density of standard annealed copper is 8.89 g per cubic centimeter.

(c) At a temperature of 20° C the "constant-mass" temperature coefficient of resistance of standard annealed copper, measured between two potential points rigidly fixed to the wire, is 0.00393 = $\frac{1}{254.45}$ per ° C.

(d) As a consequence it follows from (a) and (b) that at a temperature of 20° C the resistance of a wire of standard annealed copper of uniform section 1 m in length and weighing 1 g is $(\frac{1}{58}) \times 8.89 = 0.15328$ ohm.

2. COEFFICIENT OF LINEAR EXPANSION OF STANDARD ANNEALED COPPER.—The coefficient of linear expansion of standard annealed copper, between 60° F (15.6° C) and 68° F (20° C), has been taken as 0.00000944 per ° F (0.0000170 per 1° C).

3. DENSITY OF STANDARD ANNEALED COPPER AT 60° F.—The density of standard annealed copper at a temperature of 60° F has been taken as 8.892015 and the weight of 1 cubic foot of copper as 555.1108 pounds.

4. RESISTANCE OF A SOLID CONDUCTOR AT 60° F.—For the purpose of calculating the tables the resistance of a solid conductor of standard annealed copper at 60° F, 1000 yards in length, and of a uniform cross-sectional area of 1 square inch, has been taken as 0.0240079 ohm.

5. CONSTANTS FOR CONVERTING VALUES FROM BRITISH TO METRIC MEASURES.—The following constants, being the board of trade legal values, are adopted throughout this specification: (a) 1 inch = 2.54 cm. (b) 1 pound = 453.592 g.

6. "CONSTANT-MASS" TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT AT 60° F.—At a temperature of 60° F the "constant-mass" temperature coefficient of resistance of standard annealed copper, measured between two potential points rigidly fixed to the wire, has been taken as 0.0022221 = $\frac{1}{450.025}$ per ° F.

¹³ British Engineering Standards Committee, Report No. 7, revised July, 1919.

DEFINITIONS

7. (a) DEFINITION OF SOLID CONDUCTOR.—The term "solid conductor" denotes a conductor composed of one circular wire.

(b) DEFINITION OF STRANDED CONDUCTOR.—The term "stranded conductor" denotes a conductor consisting of three or more circular wires laid up or twisted together to form one conductor.

(c) DEFINITION OF PLAIN CONDUCTOR.—The term "plain conductor" denotes a conductor consisting of copper only.

(d) DEFINITION OF TINNED CONDUCTOR.—The term "tinned conductor" denotes a conductor consisting of copper, the wire or wires of which are covered with a thin layer of tin.

8. DEFINITION OF CABLE.—The term "cable" denotes one or more conductors with dielectric covering and with or without protective covering.

9. DEFINITION OF DIELECTRIC.—The term "dielectric" denotes that portion of the cable which is relied upon to insulate the conductor.

10. DEFINITION OF LAY.—The term "lay" denotes the pitch of the helix formed by any individual wire in a stranded conductor or of any individual core in a multicore cable.

11. DEFINITION OF TOLERANCE.—The term "tolerance" denotes the difference in magnitude from the magnitude prescribed in order to allow for unavoidable variations of material and workmanship.

STANDARD SIZES

For the purposes of this specification an increase of 2 per cent in the length of each wire in the stranded conductor, except in the center wire, has been assumed to allow for the laying up of the wires. The resistance has been calculated upon the assumption that the individual wires are practically insulated from each other, and the area of the stranded conductor has been taken to be the area of the solid wire, which has the same resistance as the stranded conductor. An increase of 2 per cent on the resistance of a straight core of the same length is taken in the case of the cores in multicore cables to allow for the laying up of the cores.

12. SIZES OF STANDARD CIRCULAR COPPER WIRES.—The sizes, weights, and resistances of standard circular copper wires used for solid and stranded conductors shall be in accordance with the values given in Table 1. (See original specification.)

13. SIZES OF STANDARD SOLID AND STRANDED CIRCULAR CONDUCTORS.—The sizes, weights, and resistances of standard solid and stranded circular conductors shall be in accordance with the values given in Table 2. (See original specification.)

In Table 2 the areas, weights, and resistances of the stranded conductors have been calculated by multiplying the corresponding values for one of the single wires of which the stranded conductor is composed by the constants set out in Table A, as follows:

TABLE A

| Number of wires stranded. | Constant | | |
|---------------------------|----------|---------|------------|
| | Area | Weight | Resistance |
| 3..... | 2.94118 | 3.06000 | 0.340000 |
| 7..... | 6.88235 | 7.12000 | .145299 |
| 19..... | 18.6471 | 19.3600 | .0536278 |
| 37..... | 36.2941 | 37.7200 | .0275527 |
| 61..... | 59.8235 | 62.2000 | .0167158 |
| 91..... | 89.2353 | 92.8000 | .0112063 |
| 127..... | 124.529 | 129.520 | .00803023 |
| 169..... | 165.706 | 172.360 | .00603479 |

14. STANDARD SIZES OF CONDUCTORS FOR FLEXIBLE CORDS.—The number of wires of 0.0076 inch nominal diameter in flexible cords and the standard nominal areas and resistances of the conductors shall be in accordance with the values given in Table 3. (See original specification.)

15. STANDARD SIZES OF CONDUCTORS FOR FLEXIBLE CABLES.—The number of wires and the diameter of each wire in flexible cables and the standard nominal areas and resistances of the conductors shall be in accordance with the values given in Table 4. (See original specification.)

TOLERANCES ON THE STANDARD WEIGHT AND RESISTANCE OF CONDUCTORS

16. Tolerances of the values shown in Table B below shall be permitted on the standard weight and resistance of conductors.

TABLE B

| Conductors | Tolerance per cent | |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| | Weight | Resistance |
| Solid (and annular conductors of concentric cables): | + or — | + or — |
| Plain..... | 3 | 3 |
| Tinned, 0.036 inch diameter and over..... | 3 | 4 |
| Tinned, below 0.036 inch diameter..... | 3 | 5 |
| Stranded: | | |
| Plain..... | 2 | 2 |
| Tinned, 0.036 inch diameter and over..... | 2 | 3 |
| Tinned, below 0.036 inch diameter..... | 2 | 4 |

The values corresponding with the tolerances shown above are given in Tables 1 and 2.

NOTE.—*Hard-drawn copper*.—The resistance of hard-drawn copper conductors may be taken to be approximately 3 per cent higher than that shown in the tables for standard annealed copper, but the exact figure varies with the actual size of the wire and with the elongation at the breaking load.

VARIATION OF RESISTANCE WITH TEMPERATURE

17. (a) The values of the resistance, which shall be adopted at various temperatures between 40° F (4.4° C) and 120° F (48.9° C) of a solid conductor of standard annealed copper, the length and cross-sectional area of which at 60° F (15.6° C) are 1000 yards and 1 square inch, respectively, are given in column 2 of Table C below.

(b) The constant and its reciprocal, which shall be used to convert the resistance at a temperature T° to the standard temperature 60° F (15.6° C) are given in columns 3 and 4, respectively, of Table C below.

11548°—22—7

TABLE C ^a

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Temperature, ° F | Resistance, at the tem- perature given in column 1, of a conductor the length and cross- sectional area of which, at 60° F, are 1000 yards and 1 square inch, respectively | Multiplier constant | Reciprocal of constant |
| | ohm | | |
| 40..... | 0.02294 | 1.0465 | 0.9556 |
| 41..... | .02299 | 1.0441 | .9578 |
| 42..... | .02305 | 1.0417 | .9600 |
| 43..... | .02310 | 1.0393 | .9622 |
| 44..... | .02315 | 1.0369 | .9644 |
| 45..... | .02321 | 1.0345 | .9667 |
| 46..... | .02326 | 1.0321 | .9689 |
| 47..... | .02331 | 1.0297 | .9711 |
| 48..... | .02337 | 1.0274 | .9733 |
| 49..... | .02342 | 1.0251 | .9756 |
| 50..... | .02347 | 1.0227 | .9778 |
| 51..... | .02353 | 1.0204 | .9800 |
| 52..... | .02358 | 1.0181 | .9822 |
| 53..... | .02363 | 1.0158 | .9844 |
| 54..... | .02369 | 1.0135 | .9867 |
| 55..... | .02374 | 1.0112 | .9889 |
| 56..... | .02379 | 1.0090 | .9911 |
| 57..... | .02385 | 1.0067 | .9933 |
| 58..... | .02390 | 1.0045 | .9956 |
| 59..... | .02395 | 1.0022 | .9978 |
| 60..... | .02401 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 61..... | .02406 | .9978 | 1.0022 |
| 62..... | .02411 | .9956 | 1.0044 |
| 63..... | .02417 | .9934 | 1.0067 |
| 64..... | .02422 | .9912 | 1.0089 |
| 65..... | .02427 | .9890 | 1.0111 |
| 66..... | .02433 | .9868 | 1.0133 |
| 67..... | .02438 | .9847 | 1.0156 |
| 68..... | .02443 | .9825 | 1.0178 |
| 69..... | .02449 | .9804 | 1.0200 |
| 70..... | .02454 | .9783 | 1.0222 |
| 71..... | .02459 | .9761 | 1.0244 |
| 72..... | .02465 | .9740 | 1.0267 |
| 73..... | .02470 | .9719 | 1.0289 |
| 74..... | .02475 | .9698 | 1.0311 |
| 75..... | .02481 | .9677 | 1.0333 |
| 76..... | .02486 | .9657 | 1.0356 |
| 77..... | .02491 | .9636 | 1.0378 |
| 78..... | .02497 | .9615 | 1.0400 |
| 79..... | .02502 | .9595 | 1.0422 |
| 80..... | .02507 | .9574 | 1.0444 |
| 81..... | .02513 | .9554 | 1.0467 |
| 82..... | .02518 | .9534 | 1.0489 |
| 83..... | .02523 | .9514 | 1.0511 |
| 84..... | .02529 | .9494 | 1.0533 |

^a The values given in Table C have been calculated to six significant figures and rounded off.

TABLE C—Continued

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Temperature, ° F | Resistance, at the temperature given in column 1, of a conductor the length and cross-sectional area of which, at 60° F, are 10 0 yards and 1 square inch, respectively | Multiplier constant | Reciprocal of constant |
| | ohm | | |
| 85..... | 0.02534 | 0.9474 | 1.0556 |
| 86..... | .02539 | .9454 | 1.0578 |
| 87..... | .02545 | .9434 | 1.0600 |
| 88..... | .02550 | .9414 | 1.0622 |
| 89..... | .02555 | .9395 | 1.0644 |
| 90..... | .02561 | .9375 | 1.0667 |
| 91..... | .02566 | .9356 | 1.0689 |
| 92..... | .02572 | .9336 | 1.0711 |
| 93..... | .02577 | .9317 | 1.0733 |
| 94..... | .02582 | .9298 | 1.0756 |
| 95..... | .02588 | .9278 | 1.0778 |
| 96..... | .02593 | .9259 | 1.0800 |
| 97..... | .02598 | .9240 | 1.0822 |
| 98..... | .02604 | .9221 | 1.0844 |
| 99..... | .02609 | .9202 | 1.0867 |
| 100..... | .02614 | .9184 | 1.0889 |
| 101..... | .02620 | .9165 | 1.0911 |
| 102..... | .02625 | .9146 | 1.0933 |
| 103..... | .02630 | .9128 | 1.0956 |
| 104..... | .02636 | .9109 | 1.0978 |
| 105..... | .02641 | .9091 | 1.1000 |
| 106..... | .02646 | .9073 | 1.1022 |
| 107..... | .02652 | .9054 | 1.1044 |
| 108..... | .02657 | .9036 | 1.1067 |
| 109..... | .02662 | .9018 | 1.1089 |
| 110..... | .02668 | .9000 | 1.1111 |
| 111..... | .02673 | .8982 | 1.1133 |
| 112..... | .02678 | .8964 | 1.1155 |
| 113..... | .02684 | .8946 | 1.1178 |
| 114..... | .02689 | .8929 | 1.1200 |
| 115..... | .02694 | .8911 | 1.1222 |
| 116..... | .02700 | .8893 | 1.1244 |
| 117..... | .02705 | .8876 | 1.1267 |
| 118..... | .02710 | .8858 | 1.1289 |
| 119..... | .02716 | .8841 | 1.1311 |
| 120..... | .02721 | .8824 | 1.1333 |

NOTE.—Given the resistance of a wire at T° , the resistance at 60° F (15.6° C) is found by multiplying the resistance at T° by the constant given in column 3 for T° . Conversely, given the resistance at 60° F (15.6° C), the resistance at T° is found by multiplying the resistance at 60° F (15.6° C) by the reciprocal given in column 4 for T° .

The remaining sections of the specification dealing with dielectrics (18-22, inclusive); lead sheathing (23-24); armoring, bedding, braiding, and serving (25-27, inclusive); and tests of thickness of dielectric lead coating, etc. (28-32, inclusive), have been omitted.

BRITISH STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR COPPER TUBES (SUITABLE FOR SCREWED CONNECTIONS)¹⁴

1. **CLASSIFICATION.**—Three classes of tube are dealt with in this specification, viz: Low pressure (Table I), medium pressure (Table II), and high pressure (Table III).

2. **CHEMICAL ANALYSIS.**—The tubes must contain not less than 99.25 per cent of copper, and 0.25 to 0.45 per cent must consist of arsenic.

The manufacturer shall supply an analysis when required to do so.

3. **FREEDOM FROM DEFECTS.**—The tubes must be clean, smooth, and free from surface defects or longitudinal grooving, internally and externally, and the ends must be clean and square.

4. **MECHANICAL TESTS.**—The manufacturer shall provide, at his own expense, extra tubes at the rate of 1 per cent of each diameter of tube ordered under the contract, and the representative of the engineer (or of the purchaser) shall select and test such of the tubes as he may think proper to the extent of such percentage. All test pieces shall be annealed before testing and must comply with the following mechanical tests without further annealing.

5. **DRIFTING TEST.**—The tubes must stand drifting, as shown in Figure 1, without showing either crack or flaw, until the diameter of the drifted end measured at least 25 per cent more than the original diameter of the tube.

6. **FLATTENING AND DOUBLING OVER TEST.**—The tubes must be capable of standing the following test, both cold and at a red heat, without showing either crack or flaw: A piece of the tube shall be flattened down until the interior surfaces of the tube meet as shown in Figure 2, and then be doubled over on itself; that is, bent through an angle of 180°, the bend being at right angles to the direction of the length of the tube, as shown in Figure 3.

7. **HYDRAULIC TEST.**—All copper tubes shall be tested in accordance with their classification by internal hydraulic pressure, as follows:

Classification of tube:

| | Hydraulic test pressure |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Low pressure (Table I)..... | 300 pounds per square inch |
| Medium pressure (Table II)..... | 300 pounds per square inch |
| High pressure (Table III)..... | 500 pounds per square inch |

8. **GENERAL DIMENSIONS OF TUBES.**—The standard size of any tube shall be designated by the nominal size of bore given in the first column of Tables I, II, and III. All copper tubes purporting to be to British standard specification shall have the inside and outside diameters given in columns 2 and 6, respectively, of these tables, subject only to the tolerances¹⁵ specified in clause 9.

9. **TOLERANCES.**—The outside diameters of the tubes shall not vary from the standard dimensions by more than the tolerances given in Tables I, II, and III, column 7. No tube shall at any point be thinner than the minimum thickness specified in Tables I, II, and III, column 5.

10. **LENGTHS OF TUBES.**—The requirements of this specification relate to tubes not exceeding 15 feet in length.

11. **WEIGHT OF TUBES.**—The weight per linear foot for low and medium pressure tubes shall be not less than the values given in column 13 or more than the values given in column 14 (Tables I and II), and for high-pressure tubes not less than the values given in column 17 or more than the values given in column 18 (Table III).

¹⁴ Engineering Standards Committee, Report No. 61, April, 1913. The committee desires to call attention to the fact that this specification is intended to include the technical provisions necessary for the supply of the material herein referred to, but does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract.

¹⁵ The word "Tolerance" is defined as "A difference in dimension prescribed in order to tolerate unavoidable imperfections of workmanship."

12. INSPECTION AND ADDITIONAL TESTS.—The representative of the engineer (or of the purchaser) shall be at liberty to reject any material that does not conform to the terms of this specification. He will attend to stamp tubes for tests or analyses before delivery.

Should any one of the tubes first selected by the representative of the engineer (or of the purchaser) fail to pass the requirements of the mechanical tests or chemical analysis, two further tubes from the same consignment shall be selected for testing and chemical analysis. Should two out of the above total of three tubes so selected fail as to the requirements of either the mechanical or chemical analysis, the tubes represented by the test specimens shall be liable to rejection.

13. TESTING FACILITIES.—The manufacturer shall supply the material required for testing free of charge and shall at his own cost furnish and prepare the necessary test pieces and supply labor and appliances for such testing as may be carried out on his premises in accordance with this specification. Failing facilities at his own works for making the prescribed tests, the manufacturer shall bear the cost of carrying out the tests elsewhere.

14. BRITISH STANDARD COPPER TUBES.—The committee recommend that all copper tubes made in accordance with the requirements of this specification be known as: British standard low-pressure copper tubes (suitable for screwed connections); British standard medium-pressure copper tubes (suitable for screwed connections); British standard high-pressure copper tubes (suitable for screwed connections).

Appendix 3.—BIBLIOGRAPHY

| Text refer- ences | Year | Name and title |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| GENERAL | | |
| 1 | 1908 | Abegg: Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie. Leipzig, Hirzel. |
| 2 | 1909-1912 | K. Bornemann: Die binären Metallegierungen. Wilhelm Knapp, Halle. |
| 3 | 1915 | Foerster: Elektrochemie der wässriger Lösungen. |
| 4 | 1909 | Gmelin-Kraut: Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie. Winter, Heidelberg. |
| 5 | 1912 | W. E. Greenawält: The hydrometallurgy of copper. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. |
| 6 | 1912 | W. Guertler: Handbuch der Metallographie. Gebr. Bornträger, Berlin, 1. |
| 7 | 1906 | L. Guillet: Etude industrielle des alliages. Dunod et Pinat, Paris. |
| 8 | 1916 | G. L. Heath: The analysis of copper. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. |
| 9 | 1914 | H. O. Hofman: Metallurgy of copper. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. |
| 10 | 1912 | Landolt-Börnstein and Roth: Physikalisch-Chemische Tabellen. Springer, Berlin. |
| 11 | 1912 | D. M. Levy: Modern copper smelting. C. Griffin Co., London. |
| 12 | 1906 | E. D. Peters: Modern copper smelting. Eng. & Min. Journal, London. |
| 13 | 1911 | E. D. Peters: Practice of copper smelting. McGraw-Hill Book Co. |
| 14 | 1914 | T. T. Read: Recent copper smelting. Mining and Scientific Press. |
| 15 | 1901 | C. Schnabel: Handbuch der Metallhüttenkunde. Berlin. |
| 16 | 1920 | Smithsonian tables. |
| 17 | 1913 | Société Française de Physique: Recueil de Constantes Physiques. Gauthier & Villars, Paris. |
| 18 | 1913 | Tables Annuelles de Constantes et données Numériques. Gauthier & Villars, Paris. |
| 19 | 1916 | Weed: The mines handbook and copper handbook. The Stevens Copper Hand-book Co. |
| 20 | 1908 | A. Winklemann: Handbuch der Physik. Barth, Leipzig. |
| MANUFACTURE, GRADES, USES, PRODUCTION | | |
| 21 | 1905 | L. Addicks: Electrolytic copper. Journ. Franklin Inst., 160, p. 421. |
| 22 | 1914 | L. Addicks: The commercial classification of refined copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 8, p. 161. |
| 23 | 1915 | L. Addicks: Electrolytic refining of copper. Int. Eng. Congress, San Francisco. |
| 24 | 1915 | A. C. Clark: Electrolytic copper refining. Int. Eng. Congress, San Francisco. |
| 25 | 1920 | Eng. and Min. Journal: Statistics of production, 109, p. 117. |
| 26 | 1917 | Eng. and Min. Journal: Statistics of production, 103, p. 8. |
| 27 | 1909 | Eng. and Min. Journal: British standard specifications for copper, 87, p. 374. |
| 28 | 1910 | F. B. Flinn: Copper refining. Metal Industry, 8, p. 124. |
| 29 | 1920 | U. S. Geological Survey: Mineral resources of the United States. |
| 30 | 1915 | Hawks: The Consumption of Copper. Proc. Int. Eng. Congress, San Francisco. |
| 31 | 1903 | Hofman, Green, and Yerxa: Stages in refining copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., 34, p. 671. |
| 32 | 1909 | Metal Industry: Copper rolling mill practice, 7, p. 4, 64, 99, 134. |
| METALLOGRAPHY | | |
| 33 | 1913 | E. S. Eardwell: Notes on the metallography of refined copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., 46, p. 742. |
| 34 | 1913 | H. Eauccke: Über einige neue mikrographische Beobachtungen beim Kupfer. Int. Zeit. Met., 4, p. 155-166. |
| 35 | 1914 | W. L. Bragg: The crystalline structure of copper. Phil. Mag., 28, p. 355. |
| 36 | 1912 | Faust: Die Struktur, die Rekristallisationsfähigkeit und die Festigkeitseigenschaften von Elektrolytkupfer. Zeit. anorg. Chem., 78, p. 201. |
| 37 | 1911 | F. Johnson: Notes on the metallurgy of wrought copper. Met. & Chem. Engng., 9, p. 396. |
| 38 | 1916 | Blum, Holler, and Rawdon: Preliminary studies on the deposition of copper in electrolytic baths. Trans. Am. Electrochem. Soc., 30, p. 159, 174. |
| 39 | 1916 | H. S. Rawdon: Note on the occurrence and significance of twinned crystals in electrolytic copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 1916, p. 198. |
| ALLOTROPY AND TRANSFORMATIONS | | |
| 40 | 1913 | T. R. Briggs: Allotropic copper. Journ. Phys. Chem., 17, p. 281. |
| 41 | 1915 | G. K. Burgess and Kellberg: On a supposed allotropy of copper. Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci., 5, p. 657. |
| 42 | 1910 | Cohen: Strain disease in metals. De Ingenieur, 25, p. 349. |
| 43 | 1914 | Cohen and Helderman: The allotropy of copper. Zeit. Phys. Chem., 87, p. 419; 89, p. 638. |
| 44 | 1915 | Jänecke: Transformations in Sn, Zn, Cu, etc., by a new method. Zeit. Phys. Chem., 90, p. 313. |
| 45 | 1878 | Schützenberger: Allotropic copper. C. R., 86, p. 1397. |
| 46 | 1915 | Vosmaer: Allotropy of Cu, Bi, Sb, K. Met. & Chem. Eng., 13, p. 535. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued.

| Text references | Year | Name and title |
|--|------|---|
| ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY | | |
| 47 | 1914 | E. S. Bardwell: The annealing of cold rolled copper. <i>Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng.</i> , 49, p. 753. |
| 48 | 1906 | W. Broniewski: The electrical resistance of metals. <i>Journ. de chimie phys.</i> , 4, p. 285. |
| 49 | 1914 | Bureau of Standards Circular No. 31: Copper wire tables. |
| 50 | 1910 | J. H. Dellinger: The temperature coefficient of electrical resistance of copper. Bureau of Standards Scientific Paper No. 147. |
| 51 | 1911 | Hirobe and Matsumoto: Resistivity * * * of Japanese commercial copper. Communications from the Electro-Technical Laboratory, Tokyo. |
| 52 | 1914 | International Electrotechnical Commission: International standard of resistance of copper. Publication No. 28. |
| 53 | 1911 | S. Lindesk: Electrical conductivity and temperature coefficient. <i>Ber. d. deutsch. physikal. Ges.</i> , 13, p. 65. |
| 54 | 1914 | Northrup: The resistivity of copper from 20° to 1450° C. <i>Journ. Franklin Inst.</i> , 177, p. 1. |
| 55 | 1912 | Pushin and Dishler: Conductivity of alloys of copper and arsenic. <i>Journ. Russ. Phys. Chem. Soc.</i> , 44, p. 125. |
| 56 | 1914 | H. Schlömann: Über das Verhalten des Elektrischen Widerstandes von Metallen bei tiefen Temperaturen. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> (4), 45, p. 706. |
| 57 | 1912 | A. Sieverts: Der Einfluss absorbierter Gase auf den Elektrischen Widerstand von Metalldrähten. <i>Int. Zeit. f. Metallographie</i> , 3, p. 37. |
| 58 | 1910 | Somerville: Temperature coefficient of electrical resistance. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> , 30, p. 532; 31, p. 261. |
| 59 | 1910 | Weintraub: Cast copper of high conductivity. <i>Met. & Chem. Engng.</i> , 8, p. 629. |
| 60 | 1910 | Wolf and Dellinger: The electrical conductivity of commercial grades of copper. Bureau of Standards Scientific Paper No. 148. |
| THERMO-ELECTROMOTIVE FORCE, Peltier Effect | | |
| 61 | 1917 | Adams: Private communication. |
| 62 | 1912 | Adams and Johnston: Standard scale of temperatures. <i>Amer. Journ. Science</i> (4), 33, p. 534. |
| 63 | 1911 | Caswell: Determination of the Peltier E. M. F. for several metals by a compensation method. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> , 33, p. 379. |
| 64 | 1895 | Dewar and Fleming: Thermoelectric powers of metals and alloys. <i>Phil. Mag.</i> , 40, p. 95. |
| 65 | 1900 | W. Jaeger and Dieselhorst: Wärmeleitung, Elektricitätsleitung, Wärmecapazität u. Thermokraft einiger Metalle. <i>Wiss.-Abh. d. Phys.-Tech. Reichsanstalt</i> , 3, p. 269. |
| 66 | 1910 | Sosman: Platinum-rhodium thermo elements from 0 to 175°. <i>Amer. Journ. Science</i> , 30, p. 1. |
| 67 | 1894 | Steele: Thermoelectric diagrams for some pure metals. <i>Phil. Mag.</i> (5), 37, p. 218. |
| ELECTROLYTIC SOLUTION POTENTIAL | | |
| 68 | 1909 | Allmand: Electrolytic potential of system Cu.Cu ₂ O.KOH. <i>Journ. Chem. Soc.</i> , 95, p. 2151. |
| 69 | 1907 | Cohen (and coworkers): Thermodynamik des Normal Elements. <i>Zeit. Phys. Chem.</i> , 60, p. 706. |
| 70 | 1901 | Christy: E. M. F. of Cu to KCN solution. <i>Zeit. Elektrochem.</i> , 8, p. 203. |
| 71 | 1902 | Bodländer and Storbeck: Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Kupro-Verbindungen. <i>Zeit. anorg. Chem.</i> , 31, p. 458. |
| 72 | 1889 | Chrouschopp and Schrikoff: Sur la force électromotrice des piles. <i>C. R.</i> , 108, p. 937. |
| 73 | 1904 | Labendzinski: The EMF of Cu to its Cupri-salts. <i>Zeit. Electrochem.</i> , 10, p. 77. |
| 74 | 1894 | Neumann: Das Potential des Wasserstoffs u. einiger Metalle. <i>Zeit. Phys. Chem.</i> , 14, p. 193. |
| MAGNETIC PROPERTIES | | |
| 75 | 1911 | F. Behnser: Einfluss von Oxyd-Bildung * * * auf den Magnetismus von Kupfer. <i>Phys. Zeit.</i> , 12, p. 1157. |
| 76 | 1908 | O. C. Clifford: Susceptibility of copper and tin and their alloys. <i>Phys. Review</i> , 26, p. 424. |
| 77 | 1909 | Gray and Ross: Susceptibility of copper. <i>Phys. Zeit.</i> , 10, p. 59. |
| 78 | 1898 | J. Koenigsberger: Magnetische Susceptibilität. <i>Wied. Ann.</i> , 66, p. 698. |
| 79 | 1899 | St. Meyer: Susceptibility of copper. <i>Wied. Ann.</i> , 68, p. 325. |
| 80 | 1910 | Honda: Der thermomagnetischen Eigenschaften der Elemente. <i>Ann. der Phys.</i> , 32, p. 1027. |
| 81 | 1912 | Owen: Der thermomagnetischen Eigenschaften der Elemente. <i>Ann. der Phys.</i> , 37, p. 657. |
| THERMAL CONSTANTS | | |
| 82 | 1903 | M. Féry: Détermination des points d'ébullition du cuivre et du zinc. <i>Ann. Chim. et Phys.</i> (7), 28, p. 428. |
| 83 | 1909 | Greenwood: Boiling point of copper. <i>Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.</i> , A82, p. 396. |
| 84 | 1910 | Greenwood: Boiling point of copper. <i>Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.</i> , 83, p. 483. |
| 85 | 1917 | J. Johnston: Private communication. |
| 86 | 1908 | v. Wartenberg: Boiling point of copper. <i>Zeit. anorg. Chem.</i> , 56, p. 320. |
| 87 | 1915 | Bureau of Standards Circular No. 35: Melting points of the elements. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued

| Text references | Year | Name and title |
|--|-----------|---|
| THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY | | |
| 88 | 1900 | Grüneisen: Wärmeleitfähigkeit der Metalle. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> , 3, p. 43. |
| 89 | 1910 | Hering: The proportioning of furnace electrodes. <i>Trans. Am. Inst. Elect. Eng.</i> , 29, p. 485. |
| 90 | 1900 | Jaeger and Dieselhorst: Thermal conductivity, etc., of copper. <i>Wiss. Abh. d. Phys. Tech. Reichsanstalt</i> , 3, p. 269. |
| 91 | 1914 | W. Meissner: Über die thermische und elektrische Leitfähigkeit von Kupfer zwischen 20° und 373°. <i>Abs. Ver. d. deutsch. Phys. Ges.</i> , 16, p. 262. |
| 92 | 1902 | W. Schaufelberger: Wärmeleitungsfähigkeit des Kupfers * * *. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> (IV), 7, p. 589. |
| 93 | 1910 | Metal Industry, 8, p. 151. |
| LINEAR THERMAL EXPANSION | | |
| 94 | 1906 | W. Broniewski: <i>Journ. d. Chim. Phys.</i> , 4, p. 292. |
| 95 | 1902 | Dittenger: Ausdehnung von Fe, Cu * * * in hohen Temperaturen. <i>Zeit. d. Ver. deutsch. Ing.</i> , 46, p. 1532. |
| 96 | 1907 | F. Henning: Über die Ausdehnung fester Körper bei tiefen Temperaturen. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> (4), 22, p. 631. |
| 97 | 1911 | Lindemann: Über die Temperaturabhängigkeit des thermischen Ausdehnungskoeffizienten. <i>Phys. Zeit.</i> , 12, p. 1197. |
| 98 | 1908 | Turner and Levy: The annealing of copper. <i>Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.</i> (V), 80, p. 1. |
| SPECIFIC HEAT | | |
| 99 | 1914 | Griffiths and Griffiths: The capacity for heat of metals at low temperatures. <i>Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.</i> , A90, p. 557. |
| 100 | 1915 | D. R. Harper, 3d: The specific heat of copper within the interval 0 to 50° C. <i>Bull. Bureau of Standards</i> , 11, p. 259. |
| 101 | 1914 | Keesom and Onnes: The specific heat of copper at low temperatures. <i>Proc. Kgl. Akad. Amst.</i> , 17, p. 894. |
| 102 | 1911 | W. Nernst: <i>Ann. Phys.</i> , 36, p. 395. |
| 103 | 1910-1911 | Nernst and Lindemann: <i>Kgl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin</i> , 1910, p. 263; 1911, p. 306, 494. |
| 104 | 1915 | K. Onnes: Specific heat of copper. <i>Proc. Roy. Akad. Sci. Amst.</i> , 18, p. 434. |
| 105 | 1893 | Richards: Specific heats of metals. <i>Chemical News</i> , 68, p. 84. |
| 106 | 1914 | Schübel: Über die Wärmekapazität von Metallen * * * zwischen 18° und 600° C. <i>Zeit. anorg. Chem.</i> , 87, p. 81. |
| OPTICAL CHARACTERISTICS | | |
| 107 | 1914 | Bidwell: Actual and black body temperatures. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> , 3, p. 439. |
| 108 | 1909 | Burgess: The estimation of the temperature of copper by optical pyrometer. <i>Bureau of Standards Scientific Paper No. 121</i> . |
| 109 | 1915 | Burgess and Waltenberg: The emissivity of metals and oxides. <i>Bureau of Standards Scientific Paper No. 242</i> . |
| 110 | 1903 | Hagen and Rubens: Über Beziehungen des Reflexions- und Emissionsvermögen der Metalle zu ihrem Elektrischen Leitvermögen. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> , IV, 11, p. 873. |
| 111 | 1902 | Hagen and Rubens: Das Reflexionsvermögen einiger Metalle. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> , IV, 8, p. 1. |
| 112 | 1916 | Ingersoll: Dispersion of metals in infra-red. <i>Astrophys. Journ.</i> , 42, p. 265. |
| 113 | 1903 | Minor: Dispersion einiger Metalle, besonders für ultraviolethen Strahlung. <i>Ann. d. Phys.</i> , 10, p. 581. |
| 114 | 1913 | Stubbs: Emissivity of solid and liquid copper and liquid silver at high temperatures. <i>Proc. Roy. Soc.</i> , A88, p. 195. |
| 115 | 1912 | Tate: Determination of reflection coefficients. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> , 34, p. 321. |
| ELASTICITY | | |
| Elastic Modulus: | | |
| 116 | 1889 | Amagat: <i>C. R.</i> , 108, p. 1199. |
| 117 | 1903 | Angenheister: Elastizität der Metalle. <i>Drude Annalen</i> , 11, p. 188. |
| 118 | 1904 | Benedicks: Recherches. |
| 119 | 1886 | Kiewiet: <i>Gött. Inaug. Diss.</i> |
| 120 | 1871 | Kohlrausch and Loomis: Die Elastizität des Eisens, Kupfers, usw. <i>Pogg. Ann.</i> , 141, p. 481. |
| 121 | 1900 | Searle: The elasticity of wires. <i>Phil. Mag.</i> (5), 49, p. 193. |
| 122 | 1893 | Voigt: <i>Wied. Ann.</i> , 48, p. 674. |
| 123 | 1844 | Wertheim: <i>Ann. Chim. Physique</i> (3), 12, p. 385. |
| Temperature Coefficient of Elastic Moduli: | | |
| 124 | 1915 | Koch and Dannecker: Elasticity at high temperatures. <i>Ann. Phys.</i> , IV, 47, p. 197. |
| 125 | 1906 | Wassmuth: Thermische Änderung des Elastizitäts Modul, <i>Akademie Wien, Sitzungsberichte</i> , 115, p. 223. |
| Poisson's Ratio: | | |
| 126 | 1889 | Amagat: <i>C. R.</i> , 108, p. 1199. |
| 127 | 1903 | Angenheister: <i>Drud. Ann.</i> , 11, p. 188. |
| 128 | 1903 | Cardani: <i>Phys. Zeit.</i> , 4, p. 449. |
| 129 | 1903 | Morrow: <i>Phil. Mag.</i> , 6, p. 417. |
| Temperature Coefficient of Poisson's Ratio: | | |
| 130 | 1894 | Bock: <i>Wied. Ann.</i> , 152, p. 609. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued

| Text refer-ences | Year | Name and title |
|--|------|--|
| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES | | |
| 131 | 1912 | R. G. C. Batson: Report on hard-drawn copper and bronze wire. The National Phys. Lab., Collected Researches, 8, p. 155. |
| 132 | 1912 | H. Baucke: Über das Verhalten des Kupfers bei der Kerbschlagbiegeprobe. Int. Zeit. für Metallographie, 3, p. 195. |
| 133 | 1912 | Bennet: The tensile strength of electrolytic copper deposited on a rotating cathode. Trans. Amer. Electrochem. Soc., 21, p. 253. |
| 134 | 1900 | Le Chatelier: Congrès des Méthodes d'Essais, Paris. Results of tests on copper. |
| 135 | 1915 | L. Guillet: Ecrouissage du Cuivre. Rev. Mét., 12, p. 819. |
| 136 | 1913 | L. Guillet and Bernard: Variation de la résilience du cuivre * * * en fonction de la température. C. R., 156, p. 1899. |
| 137 | 1886 | von Hübl: Properties of electrically deposited copper. Mitt. de militärgeog. Inst., 6, p. 51. |
| 138 | 1911 | Hughes: Nonferrous materials in railway work. Journ. Inst. Metals, 6, p. 74. |
| 139 | 1913 | P. Ludwik: Ursprungsfestigkeit und statische Festigkeit. Zeit. d. Ver. deutsch. Ing., 57, p. 209. |
| 140 | 1894 | A. Martens: Bericht über * * * Vorversuche über die Festigkeits eigenschaften von Kupfer. Mitt. a. d. Kgl. tech. Versuchsanstalten, 12, p. 37. |
| 141 | 1917 | E. H. Peirce: The hardness of hard-drawn copper. Proc. A. S. T. M., 17, p. 114. |
| 142 | 1911 | Pye: The mechanical properties of hard-drawn copper. Journ. Inst. Metals, 6, p. 165. |
| 143 | 1911 | L. Revillon: Application of certain modern methods of testing to copper alloys. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 30, p. 628. |
| 144 | 1898 | Rudeloff: Einfluss von Wärme, chemische Zusammensetzung und mechanischen Bearbeitung auf die Festigkeitseigenschaften des Kupfers. Mitt. a. d. Kgl. tech. Versuchsanstalten, 16, p. 171. |
| 145 | 1909 | A. Smith: The elastic breakdown of nonferrous metals. Journ. Inst. Metals, 2, p. 151. |
| 146 | 1914 | Tammann: Lehrbuch der Metallographie. |
| 147 | 1900 | Thurston: Materials of Engineering, Part III, Brasses and Bronzes. John Wiley & Sons, 1900. |
| MISCELLANEOUS | | |
| 148 | 1914 | The Density of Copper—Copper Wire Tables. Circular of the Bureau of Standards No. 31. |
| 149 | 1911 | J. H. Dellinger: The density of copper. Elect. Rev. West. Elect., 58, p. 889. |
| 150 | 1905 | Kahlbaum and Sturm: Die Veränderlichkeit des specifischen Gewichtes. Zeit. anorg. Chem., 46, p. 217. |
| PROPERTIES OF COPPER AT HIGH TEMPERATURES | | |
| 151 | 1912 | G. D. Bengough: A study of the properties of alloys at high temperatures. Journ. Inst. Metals, 7, p. 123. |
| 152 | 1914 | G. D. Bengough and D. Hanson: The tensile properties of copper at high temperatures. Journ. Inst. Metals, 12, p. 56. |
| 153 | 1913 | L. Guillet and Bernard: Variation de la résilience des alliages industriels du cuivre en fonction de la température. C. R., 156, p. 1899. |
| 154 | 1910 | Hering: The proportioning of electrodes for furnaces. Trans. A. I. E. E., 29, p. 485. |
| 155 | 1911 | G. Hughes: Nonferrous metals in railway work. Journ. Inst. Metals, 6, p. 74. |
| 156 | 1912 | A. K. Huntington: The effect of temperatures higher than atmospheric on tensile tests of copper and its alloys. Journ. Inst. Metals, 8, p. 126. |
| 157 | 1914 | A. K. Huntington: The effect of temperatures higher than atmospheric on tensile tests of copper and its alloys (No. II). Journ. Inst. Metals, 12, p. 234. |
| 158 | 1915 | A. K. Huntington: The effects of heat and of work on the mechanical properties of metals. Journ. Inst. Metals, 13, p. 23. |
| 159 | 1912 | Le Chatelier: Congrès des Méthodes d'Essais, Paris. |
| 160 | 1912 | Robin: On several mechanical properties of metals at high temperatures. Proc. Int. Ass. Test. Mat., VII, 2, p. 391. |
| 161 | 1893 | Dewar and Fleming: Electrical resistance of metals and alloys. Phil. Mag., 36, p. 286. |
| 162 | 1898 | J. D. H. Dickson: Reduction to normal air temperatures * * * of the researches of Dewar and Fleming. Phil. Mag., 45, p. 525. |
| CASTING AND DEOXIDATION | | |
| 163 | 1908 | Antisell: Copper for casting purposes. Eng. & Min. Journal, 86, p. 225. |
| 164 | 1914 | Clements: Effect of repeated remelting on copper. Metal Industry, 12, p. 374. |
| 165 | 1913 | F. Huser: Copper refining with magnesium. Metall. u. Erz, 1, p. 479. |
| 166 | 1910 | Horns: Silicon as a deoxidizer of copper. Metal Ind., 8, p. 166. |
| 167 | 1910 | Reardon: Pure copper castings. Metal Ind., 8, p. 4. |
| 168 | 1912 | McWilliams and Langmuir: General Foundry Practice. Chas. Griffin & Co., London. |
| 169 | 1913 | Thomson: Boronized copper. Metal Ind., 11, p. 81. |
| 170 | 1910 | E. Weintraub: Deoxidation of copper by boron suboxide. Trans. Amer. Electrochem. Soc., 18, p. 207; Met. & Chem. Eng., 10, p. 556. |
| 171 | 1912 | Weintraub: Progress in the work on boronized copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 6, p. 138. |
| 172 | 1909 | Wüst: Shrinkage of metals and alloys. Metallurgie, 6, p. 769. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued

| Text references | Year | Name and title |
|-----------------|------|---|
| | | DEOXIDATION |
| 173 | 1914 | With magnesium: Journ. Inst. Metals, 11, p. 292. |
| 174 | 1913 | Metall u. Erz, 1, p. 479. |
| 175 | 1913 | Brass World, 9, p. 386. |
| 176 | 1910 | With manganese: Metal Ind., 8, p. 6. |
| 177 | 1912 | With titanium: The Foundry, 40, p. 232. |
| | | WELDING OF COPPER |
| 178 | 1912 | Carnevali: The autogeneous welding of copper. Journ. Inst. Metals, 8, p. 282. |
| 179 | 1909 | Groth: Welding and cutting metals. Archibald Constable & Co. (Ltd.), London. |
| 180 | 1914 | Hart: Welding, theory, practice, apparatus, and tests. McGraw-Hill Book Co. (Inc.), New York City. |
| 181 | 1915 | S. W. Müller: Oxy-acetylene welding of copper. Machinery, 6, p. 442. |
| 182 | 1915 | Springer: Oxy-acetylene welding of copper. Mech. World, 58, p. 130. |
| | | Amedeo: Fusion welding of copper. Brass World, 7, p. 162. |
| | | HARDENING |
| 183 | 1912 | Gowland: Copper and its alloys in early times. Journ. Inst. Metals, 7, p. 23. |
| | | INFLUENCE OF COLD WORKING AND OF ANNEALING |
| 184 | 1903 | L. Addicks: Effect of cold work on conductivity and hardness of copper. Electrochem. Ind., 1, p. 581. |
| 185 | 1914 | E. S. Bardwell: The annealing of cold-rolled copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., 49, p. 753. |
| 186 | 1916 | G. V. Caesar and G. C. Gerner: The annealing properties of copper at temperatures below 500° C, with particular reference to the effect of oxygen and silver. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 10, p. 208-248. |
| 187 | 1912 | Gewecke: Über die Einwirkung von Strukturveränderungen auf die * * * Eigenschaften von Kupfer * * *. (Doktordissertation, Darmstadt.) Elektrotech. Zeits., 33, p. 22. |
| 188 | 1909 | Grard: Laitons à cartouches, Laitons à Balles, cuivre électrolytique. Rev. Mét., 6, p. 1069. |
| 189 | 1915 | L. Guillet: L'écrouissage du cuivre. Rev. Mét., 12, p. 819. |
| 190 | 1911 | F. Johnson: Annealing and diseases of copper. Met. & Chem. Eng., 9, p. 87. |
| 191 | 1916 | C. H. Mathewson and E. M. Thalheimer: Comparisons between electrolytic and two varieties of arsenical lake copper with respect to strength and ductility in cold worked and annealed test strips. Bull. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., p. 1185. |
| 192 | 1911 | Matweef: Sur le recuit des métaux. Rev. Mét., 8, p. 708. |
| 193 | 1913 | Müller: Die Thermische Behandlung der Metalle und ihrer Legierungen. Metall u. Erz, 1, p. 219. |
| 194 | 1913 | Robin: Sur le développement des grains de Métaux par écrouissage après recuit. Rev. Mét., 10, p. 722. |
| 195 | 1908 | T. Turner and D. M. Levy: The annealing of copper. Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A80, p. 1. |
| 196 | 1907 | Carpenter and Edwards: Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., p. 57. |
| 197 | 1907 | Curry: Journ. Phys. Chem., 11, p. 425. |
| 198 | 1908 | Gwyer: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 113. |
| | | EQUILIBRIUM DIAGRAM OF BINARY ALLOYS OF COPPER |
| | | Antimony: |
| 199 | 1903 | Baikoff: Bull. Soc. d'Encour., 1, p. 626. |
| 200 | 1906 | Hiorns: Journ. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 616. |
| | | Arsenic: |
| 201 | 1905 | Friedrich: Metallurgie, 2, p. 484. |
| 202 | 1910 | Bengough and Hill: Journ. Inst. Metals, 3, p. 34. |
| | | Bismuth: |
| 203 | 1907 | Portevin: Rev. Mét., 4, p. 1077. |
| 204 | 1907 | Jerlomin: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 55, p. 412. |
| 205a | 1906 | Hiorns: Journ. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 616. |
| | | Calcium: |
| 205 | 1908 | Donski: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 185. |
| 206 | 1914 | Bensei: Metall und Erz, 2, p. 10, 46. |
| | | Cobalt: |
| 207 | 1908 | Sahmen: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 1. |
| | | Gold: |
| 208 | 1900 | Roberts-Austen and Rose: Proc. Roy. Soc., 67, p. 105. |
| 209 | 1907 | Kurnakow and Schemtuny: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 54, p. 149. |
| | | Iron: |
| 210 | 1908 | Sahmen: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 1. |
| 211 | 1913 | Ruer and Fick: Ferrum, 11, p. 39. |
| | | Lead: |
| 212 | 1907 | Friedrich and Leroux: Metallurgie, 4, p. 293. |
| 213 | 1897 | Heycock and Neville: Phil. Trans. of Royal Society, A189, p. 42. |
| 214 | 1906 | Hiorns: Journ. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 618. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued

| Text references | Year | Name and title |
|-----------------|------|--|
| | | EQUILIBRIUM DIAGRAM OF BINARY ALLOYS OF COPPER—Continued |
| | | Magnesium: |
| 215 | 1908 | Ursakow: Chem. Zentralblatt, 1, p. 1038. |
| 216 | 1908 | Sahmen: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 26. |
| | | Manganese: |
| 217 | 1907 | Wolgodin: Rev. Mét., 4, p. 25. |
| 218 | 1908 | Schemtuny, Ursakow, and Rykowski: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 253. |
| 219 | 1908 | Sahmen: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 57, p. 20. |
| | | Nickel: |
| 220 | 1907 | Guertler and Tammann: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 52, p. 25. |
| 221 | 1907 | Kurnakow and Schemtuny: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 54, p. 151. |
| 222 | 1908 | Tafel: Metallurgie, 5, p. 343, 375. |
| | | Copper-Oxygen: |
| 223 | 1900 | E. Heyn: Mitt. a. d. Kgl. tech. Versuchsanstalten, 18, p. 315. |
| | | Copper-Phosphorus: |
| 224 | 1907 | Heyn and Bauer: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 52, p. 131. |
| | | Copper-Selenium: |
| 225 | 1908 | Friedrich and Leroux: Metallurgie, 5, p. 355. |
| | | Copper-Silicon: |
| 226 | 1907 | Rudolf: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 53, p. 216. |
| 227 | 1907 | Guertler: Phys. Chem. Zentralblatt, 4, p. 576. |
| | | Copper-Silver: |
| 228 | 1897 | Heycock and Neville: Phil. Trans. of Royal Society, A189, p. 25. |
| 229 | 1907 | Friedrich and Leroux: Metallurgie, 4, p. 297. |
| 230 | 1908 | Lepkowski: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 59, p. 289. |
| | | Copper-Sulphur: |
| 231 | 1906 | Heyn and Bauer: Metallurgie, 3, p. 76. |
| | | Copper-Tellurium: |
| 232 | 1907 | Chikashigé: Zeit. anorg. Chem., 54, p. 50. |
| | | Copper-Tin: |
| 233 | 1897 | Heycock and Neville: Phil. Trans. of Royal Society, A189 p. 42. |
| 234 | 1906 | Shepherd and Blough: Journ. Phys. Chem., 10, p. 630. |
| | | Copper-Titanium: |
| 235 | 1914 | Bensell: Metall u. Erz, 2, p. 10, 46. |
| 236 | 1908 | Rossi: Electrochem. & Met. Ind., 6, p. 257. |
| | | Copper-Vanadium: |
| 237 | 1906 | Guillet: Rev. Mét., 3, p. 171. |
| 238 | 1911 | Norris: Journ. Franklin Inst., 171, p. 561. |
| | | Copper-Zinc: |
| 239 | 1897 | Roberts-Austen: Fourth report to alloys research committee. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. |
| 240 | 1904 | Shepherd: Journ. Phys. Chem., 8, p. 421. |
| 241 | 1908 | Tafel: Metallurgie, 5, p. 349, 375, 413. |
| | | INFLUENCE OF IMPURITIES |
| 242 | 1915 | L. Addicks: Electrolysis of copper sulphate liquors. Trans. Amer. Electrochem. Soc., 28, p. 73. |
| 243 | 1905 | L. Addicks: The effect of impurities on the electrical conductivity of copper. Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., 36, p. 18. |
| 244 | 1912 | Archbutt: The effect of certain elements on the forging properties of copper at red heat. Journ. Inst. Metals, 7, p. 262. |
| 245 | 1896 | Arnold and Jefferson: The influence of small quantities of impurities on gold and copper. Eng., 1896. |
| 246 | 1912 | Baucke: Verhalten des Kupfers bei der Kerbschlagbiegeprobe. Int. Zeits. für Metallographie, 3, p. 195. |
| 247 | 1910 | G. D. Bengough and B. P. Hill: The properties and constitution of copper-arsenic alloys. Journ. Inst. Metals, 3, p. 38. |
| 248 | 1914 | Bensell: Influence of titanium on copper and its alloys. Metall u. Erz, 2, p. 10. |
| 249 | 1916 | Caesar and Gerner: The annealing properties of copper * * * the effect of oxygen and of silver. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 10, p. 208. |
| 250 | 1896 | Davis: Influence of silicon. The Aluminum World, 3, p. 341. |
| 251 | 1908 | K. Friedrich: ———. Metallurgie, 5, p. 529. |
| 252 | 1912 | Greaves: Influence of oxygen on copper containing arsenic or antimony. Journ. Inst. Metals, 7, p. 215. |
| 253 | 1874 | Hampe: Impurities in copper. Zeit. f. d. Berg-, Hütten- und Salinenwesen im Preussischen Staate, 22. |
| 254 | 1892 | Hampe: Influence of silicon. Chem. Z., 16, p. 726. |
| 255 | 1909 | C. Heckmann: Ist Nickel- oder Arsenhaltiges Kupfer für Feuerbüchsplatten geeignet? Metallurgie, 6, p. 760. |
| 256 | 1909 | Hiorns: Antimony in copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 616. |
| 257 | 1906 | Hiorns: Phosphorus in copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 622. |
| 258 | 1906 | Hiorns: Arsenic and bismuth in copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 25, p. 622. |
| 259 | 1912 | F. Johnson: Influence of impurities on tough pitch copper. Journ. Inst. Metals, 8, p. 201. |
| 260 | 1911 | F. Johnson: Annealing and diseases of copper. Met. & Chem. Eng., 9, p. 87. |
| 261 | 1910 | F. Johnson: Effect of impurities on tough pitch copper. Journ. Inst. Metals, 4, p. 163. |
| 262 | 1913 | Jolibois and Thomas: The rôle of arsenic in industrial copper. Rev. Met., 10, p. 1264. |

Appendix 3.—Bibliography—Continued

| Text references | Year | Name and title |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| INFLUENCE OF IMPURITIES—Continued | | |
| 263 | 1909 | Laurie: Influence of bismuth on wire bar copper. Bull. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng., 40, p. 604. |
| 264 | 1906 | T. Johnson: Birmingham Metallurgical Soc. Proc. |
| 265 | 1912 | Law: Influence of oxygen on properties of metals and alloys. J. Inst. Metals, 8, p. 222. |
| 266 | 1915 | Lewis: Arsenical copper. Metal Ind., 13, p. 467. |
| 267 | 1902 | Lewis: Manganese and copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 21, p. 842. |
| 268 | 1901 | Lewis: The effect of small amounts of arsenic on copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 20, p. 254. |
| 269 | 1903 | Lewis, E. A.: Effect of bismuth, lead, tin, manganese, aluminum, on rolled sheet copper. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 22, p. 1351. |
| 270 | 1912 | Lewis: The disadvantages of the new American standard copper specifications. Met. & Chem. Eng., 10, p. 540. |
| 271 | 1903 | Lewis: The effect of impurities on commercial copper. Engineering, 76, p. 753. |
| 272 | 1910-1912 | W. V. Mollendorf: Metallgefüge (Cu+O). Electrochem. Zeits., 17, p. 274. |
| 273 | 1914 | Muenker: The effect of impurities on copper. Metal Ind., 12, p. 513. |
| 274 | 1907 | Phillips: Über das Silizium-Kupfer. Metallurgie, 4, p. 587. |
| 275 | 1893 | W. C. Roberts-Austen: Second report to alloys research committee. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., 1-2, p. 108. |
| 276 | 1913 | Sperry: Effect of sulphur on copper. Brass World, 9, p. 91. |
| 277 | 1909 | W. Stahl: Nickel- und Arsenhaltiges Kupfer. Metallurgie, 6, p. 610. |
| 278 | 1907 | W. Stahl: Zusammensetzung und Qualitätswerthe der fertigen Raffinatkupfers. Metallurgie, 4, p. 761. |
| 279 | 1910 | W. Stahl: Nickel- und Arsenhaltiges Kupfer. Metallurgie, 7, p. 14. |
| 280 | 1912 | W. Tassin: Notes on copper. Met. Ind., 10, p. 275. |
| 281 | 1908 | Vickers: Influence of silicon. The Foundry, 32, p. 1. |
| 282 | 1915 | W. R. Webster: Alloys and their use in engineering construction. Int. Eng. Congress, San Francisco. |
| 283 | 1903 | Westman: Influence of lead. Oest. Z. Berg. Hüttenwesen, 51, p. 655. |
| 284 | 1912-1913 | Gases in copper: Sieverts: Influence of dissolved gases on the electrical conductivity of wires. Int. Zeit. für Met., 3, p. 37. |
| 285 | 1911 | A. Sieverts: Die Löslichkeit von Wasserstoff in Kupfer, Eisen und Stahl. Zeit. Phys. Chem., 77, p. 591. |
| 286 | 1913 | Sieverts and Bergner: Die Löslichkeit von SO ₂ in flüssigen Kupferlegierungen. Zeit. Phys. Chem., 82, p. 257. |
| DISEASES OF COPPER | | |
| 287 | 1912 | Baucke: Über das Verhalten des Kupfers bei der Kerbschlagbiegeprobe. Int. Z. Met., 3, p. 193. |
| 288 | 1909-1910 | Handscorn: The characteristics of copper under various conditions. Inst. of Marine Engineers, p. 145. |
| 289 | 1902 | E. Heyn: Krankheitserscheinungen in Eisen und Kupfer. Zeit. d. Ver. deutsch. Ing., 86, p. 1115. |
| 290 | 1911 | F. Johnson: Annealing and diseases of copper. Met. & Chem. Engng., 9, p. 87. |
| 291 | 1912 | Metal Industry, London, 4, p. 306, 367, 481. Notes on copper. |
| 292 | 1909 | Milton: Some points of interest concerning copper alloys. Journ. Inst. Metals, 1, p. 57. |
| 293 | 1903 | Milton and Larke. Proc. Inst. C. E. |
| 294 | 1916 | Ruder: Brittleness of annealed copper. Journ. Franklin Inst., 181, p. 859. |
| 295 | 1912 | Stahl: Über Warzen, Pocken, Blasen, oder Blättern auf gewalztem Kupfer. Metallurgie, 9, p. 418. |
| CORROSION | | |
| 296 | 1913 | Carpenter: Tests of the rate of corrosion of metals. Proc. Amer. Soc. Test. Mat., 13, p. 617. |
| 297 | 1911 | Corner: Some practical experiences with corrosion. Journ. Inst. Metals, 5, p. 115. |
| 298 | 1913 | Eastick: Corrosion of copper. Metal Ind., 11, p. 524. |
| 299 | 1916 | Merica: Corrosion of tinned copper sheet. Trans. Amer. Inst. Metals, 1916, p. 109. |
| 300 | 1909 | Reed: Corrosion of copper tubes. Electrochem. & Met. Ind., 7, p. 316. |
| 301 | 1909 | Rhead: Notes on some probable causes of corrosion of copper and brass. J. Inst. Metals, 2, p. 73. |
| 302 | 1913 | R. J. N. W.: Corrosion of copper and brass. Engng., 95, p. 434. |
| 303 | 1909 | Corrosion of copper condenser tubes. Mech. Eng. |