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A FORTRAN ANALYZER

Gordon Lyon and Rona B. Stillman

Details of a FORTRAN analysis package are presented. Examples illustrate a current operational level which gathers FORTRAN statement and frequency of execution statistics. Arguments support a simple technique for monitoring FORTRAN executions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A FORTRAN language analyzer was developed to lay ground work for a reasonable FORTRAN language utilization analysis package. Such a utility would provide performance records to managers and FORTRAN language development groups. Statistics would be available to measure processes of creation and maintenance of computer software. The package provides additional information to each user on his FORTRAN deck's pattern of execution; this service may be of some value in itself:

Perhaps the most fundamental way to measure the speed of an algorithm in a reasonably machine-independent manner is to count how frequently each part...is executed [4].

The following terms are meaningful in the sequel:

Static analysis denotes a source statement examination applicable to some class of programs, and in particular, FORTRAN source programs. Static analysis does not pertain in any way to execution aspects.

Dynamic analysis denotes an analysis of program behavior during execution. No use is made of a hardware or system clock. For a good discussion of problems in elapsed-time measurements, see [1].

2. A PROGRAMMER'S AID

Among possible methods of gaining reliable information on FORTRAN usage, a program analyzer (a preprocessor) was selected as being convenient and effective [6]. A rudimentary static analyzer (based upon a FORTRAN precompiler written by Don Orser, Applied Mathematics Division, N.B.S.) has been available for several months. It collects from individual programs 118 frequency statistics on FORTRAN statement types, and accumulates these statistics over all programs analyzed. Such accumulations could be useful to language studies and FORTRAN standards committees, for system benchmark characterizations, and for studies in
software portability and conversion.

Two observations motivated expansion of the static analyzer to perform dynamic analysis. First, a dynamic analyzer is a very useful programmer's tool. By identifying heavily used portions of code, it facilitates program optimization. In addition, untested segments of code are isolated, thereby promoting more thorough testing. Second, the dynamic analysis capability encourages widespread use of the whole analysis package, since static analysis is a prerequisite to dynamic analysis. This latter point is highly desirable, since meaningful statistics on FORTRAN usage can be obtained only with a broad representative sample of programs.

A basic philosophy has been to keep the analyzer design simple. Instrumentation techniques described in Section 3 were developed in response to cumbersome methods frequently used in available commercial packages. Changes, extensions, and enhancements of the analyzer will be made as future experience dictates.

3. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS: A FORTRAN PROGRAM MONITOR

Execution activity of a FORTRAN program is treated here as a series of invocations of code segments. Each code segment is defined as a non-empty sequence \((S_k, S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_{k+n})\) of statements where \(S_k\) is a unique entry statement in the sequence, and \(S_{k+n}\) the sole exit. Control flows from \(S_{k+j}\) to \(S_{k+j+1}\) for \(0 \leq j < n\), i.e., is strictly sequential. A segment continues until a rule of ending or starting a new segment can apply. Certain statements always begin a new segment, e.g., labelled statements. Other statements always end a segment, e.g., unconditional GO TO statements, RETURNS, and STOPS. Some statements are themselves one or more segments. Among these are IF statements, computed GOTOs, and DO-loop terminators. The analyzer assigns segment numbers sequentially throughout the program text.

Dynamic analysis includes both computation and control activity analyses. In computation activity analysis, execution frequencies of each code segment are monitored independent of other program segments. Control activity analysis records flow from segment to segment as well.

There are many packages available which monitor FORTRAN program executions. Some are quite elaborate and expensive. Packages which analyze program structure (i.e., all except those which just interrupt execution at intervals and record the contents of the instruction counter) consist essentially of two phases. The original source code is first accepted as input to the analyzer, and an "instrumented" or augmented version of the program is produced as output. Instrumentation consists of inserting calls to a tallying function into the original source code. A second phase occurs when the augmented version is actually run, the tallying function causing the program to compute and report execution frequency statistics in addition to performing its normal function. The entire process is represented in Figure 1.
FIGURE 1. Dynamic Analysis
FORTRAN's lack of any compound-statement facility (such as BEGIN-END brackets in ALGOL) often has forced FORTRAN analyzers to be inelegant and unwieldy. Many dynamic analyzers introduce new variables and labels, and require a symbol table and (sometimes) multiple passes to avoid naming collisions. The analyzer presented here aims at simplicity, with some sacrifice in performance and analysis power (these weaknesses are detailed later). Instrumentation is a single pass operation. No new labels or variables are introduced into the source code; only one function name and a few additional statements are added.

The analyzer does change many statements into a "statistics collecting" form which calls an integer function with the reserved name IY2L9T (IY2L9T was chosen so as to avoid collision with usual FORTRAN program names). The function IY2L9T, which is included as part of the instrumented program, maintains and prints execution frequency statistics. To avoid complicated interactions through COMMON and BLOCK DATA, statistics are kept in an array (SEGFRQ) which is local to IY2L9T. The dimension of SEGFRQ is fixed after a program has been analyzed, and is, of course, equal to the number of segments in the program. This approach implicitly assumes that local variables are invariant across calls to a subprogram, i.e. they have properties of ALGOL own variables or PL/I STATIC variables [5].

The monitoring philosophy is best explained by examples.

3.1 Simple Labelled (Executable) Statements

The labelled statement

xxxxx S

begins a new segment, say segment (i). S is any statement except an IF, a computed GOTO, a DO, or a STOP. (These latter cases are discussed below.) The instrumented version of xxxxx S is

xxxxx IF(IY2L9T(i,.TRUE.,0.D0,6).EQ.0)S

where IY2L9T returns zero and as a side effect, adds one to SEGFRQ(i), the counter for segment (i). The second and third parameters are not meaningful in this call. The fourth parameter controls the entry into IY2L9T.

3.2 Simple Logical IF

Let S be any FORTRAN statement which can occur as a consequent of a logical IF except the following: arithmetic IF, STOP, computed GOTO. Then a (possibly labelled) logical IF,
is monitored as two segments. Segment (i) is the "decision portion" of the statement. Segment (i+1) is the consequent S. The statement following the logical IF begins segment (i+2). The execution frequency of segment (i) indicates how many times control reached the IF statement, while that of segment (i+1) indicates the number of times that the boolean expression evaluated to .TRUE. .

The instrumented version of a simple logical IF is

\[ \text{[xxxxx]} \text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i, \text{boolean expression}, 0.\text{DO}, 1).\text{EQ}.0) \text{ S} \]

IY2L9T returns zero whenever boolean expression evaluates to .TRUE., and one otherwise. As a side effect, IY2L9T adds one to SEGFRQ(i) and, if boolean expression is .TRUE., increments SEGFRQ(i+1) by one as well. The third parameter has no meaning in this call. The fourth parameter effects a proper entry into IY2L9T.

3.3 Computed GOTO

The (possibly labelled) GOTO statement

\[ \text{[xxxxxx]} \text{GOTO}(n_1, n_2, ..., n_p), \text{VAR} \]

is monitored as (p+1) segments. Segment (i) is the "decision portion" of the statement. Segments (i+1) through (i+p) are transfers to \( n_1 \) through \( n_p \), respectively. The instrumented statement appears below:

\[ \text{[xxxxxx]} \text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i, \text{.TRUE.}, 0.\text{DO}+\text{VAR}, 3).\text{EQ}.0) \text{GOTO}(n_1, ..., n_p), \text{VAR} \]

where IY2L9T returns zero. IY2L9T also increments SEGFRQ(i) and SEGFRQ(i+VAR). The second parameter is not meaningful in this call, and the last determines which parts of IY2L9T are used. The third actual argument is forced to double precision.

IY2L9T's third formal parameter is DOUBLE PRECISION, which allows FORTRAN values of greatest significance to be passed. A DOUBLE PRECISION zero (0.\text{DO}) is added to every actual argument in the third position to ensure compatibility between formal and actual arguments.

3.4 Logical IF with an Arithmetic IF as Consequent

The possibly labelled statement

\[ \text{[xxxxx]} \text{IF}(\text{boolean expression})\text{IF}(\text{arithmetic expression})n_1, n_2, n_3 \]

is treated as five segments: segment (i) is the decision portion of the logical IF, segment (i+1) is the decision portion of the arithmetic IF, and segments (i+2), (i+3), (i+4) are transfers to \( n_1 \) through \( n_3 \).
The instrumented statement calls IY2L9T twice:

\[
[\text{xxxxx}] \text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i, \text{boolean expression}, 0.0, 0). \text{EQ} .0) \\
\quad \times \text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i+1, \text{.TRUE.}, 0.0, (\text{arithmetic expression})), \\
\quad \times 2))n_1^{n_2^{n_3}}
\]

The first call to IY2L9T is similar to that described in Section 3.2. The second call returns -1, 0, or 1 determined by arithmetic expression being negative, zero, or positive. As a side effect, the second call increments SEGFRQ(i+1) and one of SEGFRQ(i+2) through SEGFRQ(i+4), as appropriate. The second parameter is not used, and the last controls entry into IY2L9T.

3.5 DO Statements

A labelled DO statement

\[
\text{xxxxx DO N INDEX=INIT,INCR,ITERM}
\]

is monitored as a single-statement segment, (i). The execution frequency of segment (i) indicates how many times the DO loop was entered. The statement following the DO, i.e., the first statement within the loop, always begins segment (i+1).

Because a DO statement cannot occur as the consequent of a logical IF, the DO must be monitored as a pair of statements:

\[
\text{xxxxx DO N INDEX=INIT,INCR,ITERM} \\
\quad \text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i, (\text{INDEX.EQ.INIT}), 0.0, 10). \text{EQ} .0) \text{CONTINUE}
\]

IY2L9T always returns zero. SEGFRQ(i) is incremented whenever INDEX equals INIT. Clearly, this instrumentation is effective because neither control parameters INIT, INCR, ITERM nor control variable INDEX of a FORTRAN DO loop can be redefined within the loop; also every loop executes at least once. The third call parameter is not meaningful in this instance. The fourth effects a proper entry into IY2L9T.

3.6 DO Loop Terminators

DO statements always refer to labelled termination statements,

\[
\text{xxxxx S}
\]

where S is any executable statement except an arithmetic IF, RETURN, STOP, PAUSE, DO, any GOTO form, or logical IF with any of these as a consequent. Because of these restrictions, DO terminators need not be treated as a special case; they are instrumented routinely according to statement type (i.e., according to S). DO loop terminators, however, always end a segment. Thus DO loop terminators are single statement segments.

3.7 Implicit or Explicit STOP
Every possible halt statement is replaced by a call to IY2L9T which outputs the execution frequency results. For example, the instrumented version of an END statement is a pair of statements

\[
\text{IF}(\text{IY2L9T}(i, \text{.TRUE.}, 0.D0, 9).EQ.0)\text{STOP}
\]

END

where IY2L9T always returns zero and, as a side effect, prints execution frequencies. The second and third parameters are not meaningful in this call, and the fourth effects the proper entry into IY2L9T. END statements in BLOCK DATA are ignored, of course.

4. ANALYZER DETAILS

INIT initializes tables and logical units when it is called by main control (PRECOM—see Figure 2). PASS1 calls INITP1, and then begins processing input. Control returns to main only after the last statement has been processed. FORTRAN statements are picked up card by card through NXTLIN and NXTSTM. For each statement, nonessential blanks are removed and each character is converted to an internal code; results lie in an array ITEXT. With one character per word, represented in an internal form, some degree of machine independence can be realized. PASS1 then calls STYPE to identify the statement in ITEXT. PASS1 records the type returned by STYPE and calls OUTPUT to add the statement to the annotated program listing, and to add the instrumented version of the statement to the instrumented program file. PASS1 then initiates new calls through NXTSTM, etc.

4.1 Runs, Examples

Data is read on INUNIT, output is printed on COMUNIT and LSTUNIT and punched on OUTUNIT. (These variables are initialized in BLOCK DATA to indicate FORTRAN logical units 5, 6, 6, and 1, respectively.) Unit 10 functions as a system file to accumulate statistics on FORTRAN usage. It is read and written as one unformatted record which must be initialized to zero before attempting to accumulate meaningful statistics.

The analyzer develops and outputs an instrumented program as a stream of card images. For occasional use these images can be sent directly to a punch, but more often, as for large programs, the stream should be directed to a suitable on-line file. Details of the handling of the stream will vary considerably among different systems. For purposes of this note, a Univac 1108/EXEC-8 is assumed. In this environment, only very simple additions to subroutines OUTPUT and WRTIY2 are required to produce an on-line file rather than a card deck, and these are indicated clearly in the program text. In addition, some control cards must be added to the run stream. A typical first run, i.e., a run which initializes the cumulative statistics file 10, is depicted in Figure 3. Runs subsequent to the first need not initialize unit 10. For later runs, unit 10 is assigned [ @ASG,A 10.] as
ETEXT holds statements in external code.
ITEXT holds internally coded statements.

ITEXT Format:

Statements in ETEXT are transformed to internal code and placed in ITEXT.

FIGURE 2.
Decks are listed below, with details.

INITP1 ........................................... PASS1 initialization
NXTSTM ......................................... Get next statement
NXTLIN ......................................... Get next line
BLKDAT .......................................... BLOCK DATA
PASS1 ........................................... Pass 1, static analysis statistics (no Pass 2 included), appropriate calls to OUTPUT.

STYPE .......................................... Statement classifier
PRINTF ......................................... Two copies used for output
ENCOD ........................................... Converts for symbol table (not presently used)
XERROR ......................................... Error handler, rather crude
* INTERN ....................................... Converts to internal code
POSID .......................................... Keyword checker for STYPE
INIT ............................................. Has rules for extending statement types
ITALLY .......................................... Used in recording static data types (Pass 1)
PRECOM ......................................... Program main control
* NEWPC ........................................ Text
* SPROC .......................................... Text also. This INCLUDE'd Cf. Note 1., below
TESTDAT ......................................... A bit of test data
* OUTPUT ........................................ Produces annotated program listing and instrumented program
ECONVT .......................................... Converts integer to Hollerith
* WRTIY2 ....................................... Punches IY2L9T as last routine in instrumented program

* May need modification for machines other than 1108 with EXEC 8.

Note.

(1) INCLUDE statement is merely a copy-text command to the compiler. It is similar to a parameterless macro call, and is very easy to replace.

TABLE I.
The dynamic analysis function can be disabled by changing just one statement in subroutine PASS1. This is explained in the program source listing. An EXEC-8 setup for a static analysis run (only) is shown in Figure 4.

4.2 Results from the Analyzer: An Example

Figure 5-a is a listing of a FORTRAN program to be analyzed. The annotated source, identifying code segments, is presented in 5-b. Notice that the arithmetic IF in the middle of Figure 5-b is monitored as four segments, segment 7 being the expression evaluation, and 8 through 10 labelling the branches. Similarly, each logical IF is treated as two segments, a predicate and a consequent segment. Redundancies which appear in segmentations in Figure 5-b are a result of deliberate choices to keep low the effort in segmenting the program.

Figure 6 provides a static description of the program in 5-a. Each statement of original FORTRAN source code contributes to a collection of 118 FORTRAN statistics. Only non-zero tallies are actually printed. Observe that each logical IF is analyzed as two statements, one being the IF, and the other the consequent. Consequent statements resolve no closer than the entry CODE which is listed to the right of each statement type. Statements of similar nature share a code number.

Figure 7 is similar to 6, except that statistics are accumulative over all submissions since unit 10 was initialized.

A listing of the instrumented program, including function IY2L9T, is displayed in Figure 8. Execution of this program produced the matrix of segment execution frequencies shown in Figure 9. Each matrix row is labelled under heading "X" and specifies ten segments. The execution statistics of each segment within a decade appear in columns 0-9. (Code constituting each segment is defined via annotated listing, as in Figure 5-b.) Figure 9 says that segment 11 --the DO-loop entry-- was used once. Contrast this to ten passes for segment 12, a statement within the loop. Note also that segment 12, a decision portion of a logical IF, has frequency counts which equal the sum of those for segments 13 and 14, the potential branches from the IF.

5. Remarks and Restrictions

The analyzer was built with few frills. As a result, control activity analysis for assigned GOTOs is somewhat incomplete. Flow out of the GOTO is not recorded. In our opinion assigned GOTOs contribute little to the quality of a FORTRAN program and are, like ALTER in COBOL, best avoided.

Because no symbol table is maintained, the analyzer can not distinguish an arithmetic statement function definition
\[ X(I,J,K) = I+J+K \] (i)

from an array element assignment

\[ X(I,J,K) = I+J+K \] (ia)

Since statement function definitions should precede the first executable statement of a FORTRAN program unit, a special comment card

\texttt{C+ *BEGIN EXECUTABLES*}

could be used to trigger instrumentation of executable code in a unit of code. Some FORTRAN variants allow a more explicit statement function definition:

\texttt{DEFINE X(I,J,K) = I+J+K} (ii)

Definitions of form (ii) are handled correctly.

Non-standard FORTRAN constructs which seemed inconvenient or difficult to handle were ignored. For example, the analyzer assumes that all calls to subprograms have standard returns; \texttt{READ(...,END=...)} and \texttt{READ(...,ERR=...)} are treated as simple READs; IF statements are not expected to contain Hollerith fields.

The analyzer expects valid American National Standard FORTRAN with mixed mode arithmetic, and with some exceptions, has very limited error handling and recovery. It is prudent, therefore, that programs be syntactically correct before submission.

No attempt has been made to minimize segmentation [14]. END statements are always monitored, even when preceded by a STOP or RETURN; decision portions of IFs and computed GOTOs are monitored as segments even when the statements are unlabelled; a DO loop terminator is always instrumented as a separate segment, even when there are no explicit transfers to it inside the loop. Whether reachable or not, STOPs are modified to call for a printout. Until more experience is gained with the analyzer, the significance of these points is uncertain. It should not be difficult to modify or extend the analyzer whenever appropriate.

Portability was an important goal in the analyzer's design. Streams of card images were chosen because they appeared most flexible. The analyzer expects pure FORTRAN source code submitted on punched cards. (The input cards or images should contain no job control language.) The instrumented program is a stream of card images. Machine independence was compromised only when common sense so dictated. COMMON declarations are INCLUDEd in subprograms, so that changes can be made uniformly by modifying COMMON text in just one place. The \texttt{FORTRAN FLDE [field selection]} and \texttt{READ(...,END=...ERR=...)} are used
as well, but sparingly.

6. CONCLUSION

Elements of an extant FORTRAN analyzer have been described. The analysis package will be useful to three distinct groups. Programmers will find the analyzer useful for improving their program's speed of execution and for finding some flow anomalies which might have been missed otherwise. Managers and systems people will know more about FORTRAN use in their installations. Finally, individuals charged with testing, certifying, or accepting software packages will have an additional tool to measure aspects of interest in reliability testing.
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Run command
Assign and catalog file 10
Compile the FORTRAN program that follows. It will write a record of unformatted zeros on unit 10, a file. This is done only for the first run; subsequent analyzer results add to values stored in 10.
Link above compilation
Run above (write 10)
Assign analyzer program file. Assign a temporary (card image file) named X.
Assign a file (named by user) for the instrumented source program.
Refer to it also as Y.
Send card punch to file X.
Link analyzer routines.
Load object modules as indicated.
Run the analysis
Analysis reads on unit 5, prints on 6, reads and writes on 10, writes file X via unit 1—the punch.
End-of-file on unit 5
PRINTF—need two copies for output
Close breakpointed file X.
File X. consists of card images including 1108/EXEC-8 control cards, which when ADDed write the instrumented source as a program file in Y.
Link instrumented version
Load object modules from Y.
Run instrumented version
Execution frequencies print on unit 6
End-of-job

FIGURE 3. Typical first run on 1108/EXEC-8
@RUN ....

@ASG,A 10.
@ASG,A MODIFIED*ANALYZER.

@MAP,ISF A,A
IN MODIFIED*ANALYZER
@XQT A
.... subject program here ....

@EOF
@ADDPRINTF.
@ADDPRINTF.
@FIN

Run command
Assign file 10.
Assign modified analyzer program file
Link modified analyzer routines
Load object modules
Run modified analyzer
Analysis reads on unit 5, prints on 6, reads and writes 10.

Two copies of PRINTF.
End-of-job

FIGURE 4. Typical static analysis-only run on EXEC-8
INTEGER LENGTH, TABLE(100), ITEM, INDEX, TEMP
READ(5,10)(LENGTH, (TABLE(I), I=1, LENGTH), ITEM)
10 FORMAT(12I5)
   TEMP = LENGTH
   CALL FIND7I(ITEM, INDEX, LENGTH, TABLE)
   IF(TEMP .NE. LENGTH) GOTO 100
   WRITE(6,11)(ITEM, INDEX)
11 FORMAT(1H ,15,8H IS THE ,15,17H ENTRY IN TABLE)
   GOTO 200
100 WRITE(6,101)(ITEM)
101 FORMAT(1H ,15,19H NOT FOUND IN TABLE)
200 STOP
END
SUBROUTINE FIND7I(ITEM, INDEX, LENGTH, TABLE)
C FIND7I ATTEMPTS TO LOCATE ITEM IN TABLE, RETURNING ITS INDEX IN INDEX.
C IF SUCCESSFUL, OTHERWISE, IT PLACES ITEM AT THE END OF TABLE,
C INCREMENTS LENGTH, AND RETURNS THIS NEW VALUE ALSO AS INDEX.
   IMPLICIT INTEGER (A-Z)
   INTEGER TABLE(I)
100 150 I=1,LENGTH
   IF(TABLE(I) .NE. ITEM) GOTO 150
   INDEX = I
   RETURN
150 CONTINUE
200 LENGTH = LENGTH + 1
   IF(LENGTH .GT. 100) GOTO 400
   TABLE(LENGTH) = ITEM
   INDEX = LENGTH
   RETURN
300 WRITE(6,301)
301 FORMAT(1H ,32HNEGATIVE VALUE OF LENGTH ILLEGAL)
   RETURN
400 WRITE(6,401)
401 FORMAT(1H ,24HALLOCATED SPACE EXCEEDED)
   RETURN
END

FIGURE 5a. The program to be analyzed
FORTRAN FREQUENCIES, OCT73, N1.

INTEGER LENGTH, TABLE(1001), ITEM, INDEX, TFMP

C********************************************************** SEGMENT 1 **********************************************************
READ(5,10)(LENGTH,(TABLE(I),1=1,LENGTH),ITEM)
10 FORMAT(1215)
TEMP = LENGTH
CALL FIND7ITEM, INDEX, LENGTH, TABLE
C********************************************************** SEGMENTS 2 THRU ' 3 **********************************************************
IF(TEMP .NE. LENGTH) GOTO 10
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 4 **********************************************************
WRITE(6,11)(ITEM,INDEX)
11 FORMAT(1H,15,AH IS THE ,15,17HTH ENTRY IN TABLE)
GOTO 200
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 5 **********************************************************
100 WRITE(6,101)ITEM
101 FORMAT(1H,NOT FOUND IN TABLE)
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 6 **********************************************************
200 STOP
END

END PASS1, VALUE= 13
SUBROUTINE FIND7ITEM, INDEX, LENGTH, TABLE)
C FIND7 ATTEMPTS TO LOCATE ITEM IN TABLE, RETURNING ITS INDEX IN INDEX
C IF SUCCESSFUL. OTHERWISE, IT PLACES ITEM AT THE END OF TABLE, INCREMENTS LENGTH, AND RETURNS THIS NEW VALUE ALSO AS INDEX.
IMPLICIT INTEGER (A-Z)
INTEGER TABLE(I)
C********************************************************** SEGMENTS 7 THRU 10 **********************************************************
IF(LENGTH)309,200,100
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 11 **********************************************************
100 DO 150 I=1,LENGTH
C********************************************************** SEGMENTS 12 THRU 13 **********************************************************
IF(TABLE(I) .NE. ITEM) GOTO 150
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 14 **********************************************************
INDEX = 1
RETURN
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 15 **********************************************************
150 CONTINUE
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 16 **********************************************************
200 LENGTH = LENGTH + 1
C********************************************************** SEGMENTS 17 THRU 18 **********************************************************
IF(LENGTH .GT. 100) GOTO 400
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 19 **********************************************************
TABLE(LENGTH) = ITEM
INDEX = LENGTH
RETURN
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 20 **********************************************************
300 WRITE(6,301)
301 FORMAT(1H,32HNEGATIVE VALUE OF LENGTH ILLEGAL)
RETURN
C********************************************************** SEGMENT 21 **********************************************************
400 WRITE(6,401)
401 FORMAT(1H,24HALLOCATED SPACE EXCEEDED)
RETURN
END

FIGURE 5b. The annotated source listing
### LOCAL ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Occurrences Of</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; X=...</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; X(...)=...</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CALL X(...)</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CONTINUE</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ON</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>END</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FORMAT</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GOTO X</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IF(&lt;RE&gt;)&lt;STATEMENT&gt; ALSO IF(&lt;SWITCH&gt;)...</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**<STATEMENT> DATA FOR BOOLEAN IF ARE—**
- 3 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 59 <STATEMENT>S
- ILL FORMED <STATEMENT>S AND
- IMPROPER <STATEMENT>S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Occurrences Of</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IF(&lt;ARITH=EXPRESS&gt;) N1,N2,...</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IMPLICIT (...)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INTEGER X,</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INTEGER X(...)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>READ (...)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RETURN</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>STOP</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SUBROUTINE X(...)</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WRITE (...)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>WRITE (...)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>STATEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Labeled STATEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 6. Static analysis results**
## Total Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Occurrences of</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ACCEPT X</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ACCEPT X;</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5191</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; X**</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; 009**</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; 009<strong>I</strong></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; 001<strong>I</strong></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>859</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;ASSIGNMENT&gt; X(*);**</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ASSIGN</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of BACKSPACE</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Occurrences of CALL X</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Occurrences of CALL (...)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMMON X</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMMON X(<em>);</em>**</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMMON X(...)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMMON /**</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMPLEX X</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of COMPLEX X(...);**</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENCODER X</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1046</td>
<td>Occurrences of CONTINUE</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>Occurrences of DATA /**</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DATA (...)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Occurrences of DATA X;**</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of OFCODE (...)(){}</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Occurrences of OFFINE X**</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Occurrences of DIMENSION X(*)**</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DIMENSION X(*)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>Occurrences of GO</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE COMPLEX X</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE COMPLEX X;</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE COMPLEX X(*);**</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE PRECISION X</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE PRECISION X;</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of DOUBLE PRECISION X(...)**</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENCODER (...)(){}</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENO</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENTRY X</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENTRY X(...)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of ENFILE</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Occurrences of EQUIVALENCE (...)**</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of EQUIVALENCE (...)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of EXTERNAL X</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of EXTERNAL X;</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>Occurrences of FORMAT</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; COMPLEX</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; OBL PRFC</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; OBL COMPLEX</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; INTEGER</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; LOGICAL</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occurrences of &lt;FUNCTION&gt; REAL</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 7.** Cumulative static results
A OCCURRENCES OF BLOCK DATA 06
41 OCCURRENCES OF GOTO(...).I 90
7 OCCURRENCES OF GOTO I(...). 97
2274 OCCURRENCES OF GOTO I 59
2915 OCCURRENCES OF IF (LENGTH) <STATEMENT> ALSO IF <SWITCH>... 45

<STATEMENT> DATA FOR BOOLEAN IF ARE--
711 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 36 <STATEMENT>S.
36 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 38 <STATEMENT>S.
156 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 42 <STATEMENT>S.
7 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 44 <STATEMENT>S.
1505 OCCURRENCES OF CODE 59 <STATEMENT>S.
0 ILL FORMED <STATEMENT>S AND 0 IMPROPER <STATEMENT>S.

7 OCCURRENCES OF IF (ARITH. ARITH.) N1. N2... 94
9 OCCURRENCES OF IF (ARITH. ARITH.) N1. N2... 44
7 OCCURRENCES OF IMPLICIT I(...). 21
9 OCCURRENCES OF IMPLICIT I(...). 21
2 OCCURRENCES OF INTEGER X 26
96 OCCURRENCES OF INTEGER X 26
62 OCCURRENCES OF INTEGER X(...). 26
25 OCCURRENCES OF (INTEGER X(...). 26
16 OCCURRENCES OF LOGICAL X 27
15 OCCURRENCES OF LOGICAL X 27
7 OCCURRENCES OF LOGICAL X(...). 27
7 OCCURRENCES OF LOGICAL X(...). 27
7 OCCURRENCES OF NAMELIST 12
7 OCCURRENCES OF PARAMETER 15
14 OCCURRENCES OF PAUSE 60
7 OCCURRENCES OF PRINT X 48
7 OCCURRENCES OF PRINT X 48
7 OCCURRENCES OF PUNCH X 49
7 OCCURRENCES OF PUNCH X 49
7 OCCURRENCES OF READ X 43
7 OCCURRENCES OF READ X 43
95 OCCURRENCES OF READ (...) 43
55 OCCURRENCES OF READ (...) 43
7 OCCURRENCES OF REAL X 28
19 OCCURRENCES OF REAL X 28
7 OCCURRENCES OF REAL X(...). 28
7 OCCURRENCES OF REAL X(...). 28
52 OCCURRENCES OF RETURN 61
7 OCCURRENCES OF RFWIN 62
7 OCCURRENCES OF SKIP FILE 69
7 OCCURRENCES OF SKIP RECORD 63
39 OCCURRENCES OF STOP 65
19 OCCURRENCES OF SUBROUTINE I 01
18 OCCURRENCES OF SUBROUTINE XI... 01
7 OCCURRENCES OF TYPE X 50
7 OCCURRENCES OF TYPE X 50
7 OCCURRENCES OF UNLOAD 66
47 OCCURRENCES OF WRITE (...) 42
997 OCCURRENCES OF WRITE (...) 42
2345 OCCURRENCES OF COMMENTS 18
18935 OCCURRENCES OF STATEMENTS 18
3094 OCCURRENCES OF LABELLED STATEMENTS 51
51 OCCURRENCES OF FUNCTIONS DEFINED
3 OCCURRENCES OF STATEMENTS IN ERROR OR OF TYPE UNKNOWN
7 OCCURRENCES OF ABNORMAL X 31

FIGURE 7. (continuation)
7 OCCURRENCES OF ABNORMAL X,
7 OCCURRENCES OF ABNORMAL
7 OCCURRENCES OF DELETE N
14 OCCURRENCES OF DELETE N,L
14 OCCURRENCES OF INCLUDE X
12 OCCURRENCES OF INCLUDE X, LIST
7 OCCURRENCES OF DECODE ( ),
7 OCCURRENCES OF ENCODE ( ),
7 OCCURRENCES OF ENCODE ( ),

**NORMAL TERMINATION.**

FIGURE 7. (continuation)
TECH*EXAMPLE.ELT00012
1 SUBROUTINE FIND7C(ITEM,INDEX,LENGTH,TABLE)
2 INTEGER IY2L9T
3 IMPLICIT INTEGER (A-Z)
4 INTEGER TABLE(100)
5 IF(IY2L9T( 1,TRUE.,0.D0,6),EQ.0)READ(5,10)LENGTH+(TABLE(I),I=1,LEN)
6 10 FORMAT(12I5)
7 TEMP = LENGTH
8 IF(IY2L9T( 2,TEMP,NE.LENGTH,0.D0,1),EQ.0)GOTO100
9 IF(IY2L9T( 4,TRUE.,0.D0,6),EQ.0)WRITE(6,11)<ITEM,INDEX>
10 11 FORMAT(1H15<I5=I5+17TH ENTRY IN TABLE>)
11 GOTO 200
12 100 IF(IY2L9T( 5,TRUE.,0.D0,6),EQ.0)WRITE(6,101)<ITEM
13 101 FORMAT(1H15<I5=I5+19TH NOT FOUND IN TABLE>)
14 200 IF(IY2L9T( 6,TRUE.,0.D0,9),EQ.0)STOP
15 IF(IY2L9T( 6,TRUE.,0.D0,9),EQ.0)STOP
16 END

FIGURE 8. Additional instrumented-program elements
TECH EXAMPLE ELT IY2L9T
1 INTEGER FUNCTION IY2L9T(SEGNO,BE,AE,ENT)
2 INTEGER SEGNO,ENT,IAE,SEGFRQ(21)
3 DOUBLE PRECISION AE
4 LOGICAL BE
5 DATA SEGFRQ/21.0/
6 INTEGER NLINES,NPAGE6,PTPAGE,LPERP6,MULT,ENDLIM
7 DATA LPERP6/56/
8 SEGFRQ(SEGNO)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO)+1
9 GOTO:10*10+20*30+40*50+60*70+80*90*100*ENT
10 IF(BE)GOTO 11
11 IY2L9T=1
12 RETURN
13 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO)+1
14 IY2L9T=0
15 RETURN
16 IF(AE>21) IY2L9T=-1
17 RETURN
18 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO)+1
19 IY2L9T=0
20 RETURN
21 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+2)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+2)+1
22 IY2L9T=0
23 RETURN
24 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+3)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+3)+1
25 IY2L9T=1
26 RETURN
27 IAE=AE+DSIGN(.2D0,AE)
28 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+IAE)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+IAE)+1
29 IY2L9T=0
30 RETURN
31 IF(BE)GOTO 41
32 IY2L9T=1
33 RETURN
34 IY2L9T=0
35 RETURN
36 IY2L9T=1
37 RETURN
38 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)+1
39 IAE=AE+DSIGN(.2D0,AE)
40 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+IAE)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+IAE)+1
41 IY2L9T=0
42 RETURN
43 IY2L9T=0
44 RETURN
45 IF(BE)GOTO 71
46 IY2L9T=1
47 RETURN
48 SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO+1)+1
49 IY2L9T=0
50 NLINES=21*10+1
51 PTPAGE=NLINES-(NLINES-LPERP6)*LPERP6
52 IF(PTPAGE.AE.0)PTPAGE=1
53 NPAGES=NLINES+LPERP6+PTPAGE
54 MULT=0
55 DO 88 IPAGE=1,NPAGES
56 WRITE(6,81)
57 81 FORMAT(1H4,1H40X,29HSEGMENT EXECUTION FREQUENCIES,///)/
58 WRITE(6,82) 
59 82 FORMAT(1H4X,1H4X,1H5X,1H7H,0,9X,2X,17),><
60 DO 87 ILINE=1,LPERP6
61 IF(MULT.GT.21)GOTO 89
62 IF(MULT.AE.0)GOTO 84
63 WRITE(6,83)MULT,SEGFRQ(I),I=1,9
64 83 FORMAT(1H15,5X,1H7H--------,9X,2X,17)
65 GOTO 86
66 ENDLIM=MULT+9
67 IF(ENDLIM.GT.21)ENDLIM=21
68 WRITE(6,85)MULT,SEGFRQ(I),I=1,9
69 85 FORMAT(1H15,3X,10(2X,17))
70 MULT=MULT+10
71 CONTINUE
72 CONTINUE
73 RETURN
74 SEGFRQ(SEGNO)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO)+1
75 GOTO 80
76 100 IF(.NOT.BE)SEGFRQ(SEGNO)=SEGFRQ(SEGNO)-1
77 IY2L9T=0
78 RETURN
79 END

FIGURE 8. (continuation)
FIGURE 9. Dynamic analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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