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A calculation technique for data reduction in quantitative electron probe microanalysis is described. This technique is embodied in a computer program, called MULTI8, written in FORTRAN IV for batch processing. Six chemical elements may be determined simultaneously without redimensioning the program. The relative x-ray intensity data are corrected for atomic number, absorption and secondary fluorescence due to characteristic lines. Options for calculating the mass fraction of one chemical element by difference or by stoichiometry are available in the program.
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## Introduction

This Technical Note details the practical aspects of applying the concepts and models for data reduction which were presented in NBS Technical Note 521 [l] which is reproduced in Appendix II for the convenience of the reader. For the computation of mass fractions of chemical elements in quantitative electron probe microanalysis, we use two calculation techniques, which are contained, respectively, in programs entitled MULTI8 and COR. The MULTI8 program, described herein, was originally

Metallurgy Division, Institute for Materials Research
written in BASIC language for use with a time-shared computer. ${ }^{1}$ MULTI8 has had several predecessors dating back to 1965 when we first had access to a time-shared computer. Until recently, all of the quantitative electron probe microanalysis results generted in this laboratory were calculated with these programs. The reduced computation capacity available with the early timeshared computer that we used forced certain simplifications, the most important being the omission of the fluorescence correction for excitation by the continuum. The present version of MULTI8 is a FORTRAN IV program suitable for batch processing.

A more complete treatment of the theoretical models, contained in the program COR, will be the subject of another Technical Note currently in preparation. The COR program includes the correction for fluorescence due to the continuum, and the integration of the stopping power term according to Springer [2] and to Philibert and Tixier [3]. COR requires a larger computer and has therefore not been adapted to timeshared computing. In practice, we usually find little difference between the results from MULTI 8 and from the more extentsive program COR. However, the input into COR is much simpler and requires less operator time, since the mass attenuation coefficients are internally generated, and all decisions concerning applicability of fluorescence corrections are also made internally.
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## MULTI8 Computation Methods

The number of chemical elements for which mass fractions may be simultaneously calculated by the program NULTI8 is limited only by the size of the computer. In its present form, MULTI8 occupies approximately 3.5 K words of storage and is dimensioned for a maximum of six chemical elements. The background and dead-time corrections as well as the specimen/standard intensity ratios must be precalculated.

MULTI8 performs the following corrections:
l. Absorption correction according to Phiiibert [4] and Duncumb [5]. The expression for sigma developed by Duncumb has been modified by Heinrich [6].
2. Fluorescence by characteristic lines according to Reed [7].
3. Atomic number correction by the method of Thomas [8] as modified by Heinrich. The backscatter factor, $R$, is that of Duncumb and Reed. The stopping power is calculated according to Bethe [9], using the mean excitation potentials of Berger and Seltzer [l0]. An equivalent electron energy is calculated using an empirical fit obtained by H. Yakowitz. This yields the same result as full integration of the stopping power term according to Springer [2] and Philibert and Tixier [3].

There is no provision for correcting the effects of fluorescence produced by continuous radiation. For this reason, the customary form of correction by multiplicative factors (ZAF procedure) was used.

The iteration procedure followed is that of Criss [ll]. However, we have not adopted Criss' suggestion of normalizing the intensity ratios. Only the concentration estimates are normalized before applying the corrections in the iteration. The iteration stops when variations for all elements between
two consecutive loops are less than 0.0001 ( $0.001 \%$ ), which almost always is achieved in 3 to 6 loops. Should convergence within the specified limit not occur after 19 loops, the iteration is stopped, the results are listed, and the comment "NO CONVERGENCE" is printed. Failure to converge has not been observed in practice.

The program will accept any number of sets of data and calculate the results in sequence. The following special cases are acceptable:

1. Secondary (fluorescence) excitation of several chemical elements by one element, or of one or more elements by more than one element.
2. One or more elements included in the program may be absent.
3. One element may be determined by difference.
4. One or more elements, although not measured, may be calculated on the basis of stoichiometric relationships with the elements measured and/or with the element determimed by difference.
5. The program allows for using different operating voltages in the determination of each element.

With slight modification, this program can also be used for the following cases:

1. The standard used for one or more elements is not an element but a compound;
2. The background and dead-time corrected intensity ratios of a specimen of known or postulated composition can be calculated.

The statement numbers below refer to the statement numbers appearing on the program listing.

Statements
START-ll0

Between 110 and 120

120-150

150-300
150-180

180-200

200-270

220-250

## Comments

Dimension, formats and related statements.

Read initial data and set up headings.
Call input subroutine.
Call subroutine to calculate backscatter coefficients.

Loop for calculation of the electron absorption coefficient, $\sigma$, the $h$ factor of Philibert, and the overvoltage term of Reed's fluorescence correction.

Write headings and read intensity ratios. Make first estimate of concentration or terminate if end of data has been reached.

Iteration loop.
Loop for determination by difference or stoichiometry. Obtain sum of concentration.

Adjust sum of concentrations to 1 for the purpose of calculating corrections.

Loop for calculating atomic number, absorption and fluorescence corrections.

Calculate stopping power terms. Calculate intermediate terms for atomic number, absorption and fluorescence corrections.
Calculate characteristic fluorescence correction.

Between 30 and 40

40-END

## Comments

Calculate atomic number correction. Calculate $f(x)$ values.

Calculate the emergent intensity ratios for the assumed concentrations.

By hyperbolic fit, obtain new estimates for concentrations, comparing the experimental intensity ratio with the one obtained by calculation.

Stopping criterion for interation loop. If not convergent after 19 loops, stop iteration and print "No CONVERGENCE".

Print results.
Go to next set of data (line 130 Stop.

## Input Subroutine

Backscatter Subroutine

All
Calculate the backscatter coefficient, $R$, from the equation of Duncumb (as referenced by Heinrich [l]).

The numbers and letters below each card number are the FORTRAN IV formats for the card. (Card \#2 is listed in full.)

Card \#I
Il col 2 number of element - NI (max. of 6)
F4.l col 6-9 $\quad x-r a y$ emergence angle in degrees - N2 col 15-26 any title

Card \#2 a namelist card written as follows beginning in col l:

X\$NAMI $\mathrm{P}=0,2 * 1 ., .24,4.2, .88,2 * .75, .88 \$$

## Card \#3

10F8.4 Read the data for each element:
(each element requires 5 fields)
atomic no. $A(1, I)$, atomic weight $A(2, I)$, operating kV

A(3, I), min. excitation potential $A(4, I)$, and fluorescence yield $A(5, I)$. (In the input subroutine these variables are called AM instead of A.)

Card \#4
l0F8.4 Read mass absorption coefficients needed: $\mu(A, A), \mu(A, B), \mu(B, A), \mu(B, B)$
(first letter is the line - second is the absorber.) - M(I,J)

Card \#5
l0F8.4 Matrix for characteristic fluorescence correction Q $(I, J)$. (In the input subroutine this matrix is called QM.)

## Card \#6

loF8.4 Matrix for stoichiometry calculation. - B(I,J). The values in this matrix are valences. Let us assume that we have listed the elements of the compound $\mathrm{TiO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BaO}$ in the order: $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Ba}, 0$.

If oxygen is determined stoichiometrically, and the other elements directly, the matrix B is as follows:

|  | Ti | Ba | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TiK $\alpha$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BaL $\alpha$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O (stoich.) | 4 | 2 | 2 |

## Card \#7 \& above

8Flo.5 Intensity ratios \& one card per analysis with intensities of all elements on each card - K(I).

To calculate intensity ratios from known compositions, these compositions should be substituted for the k-values on the appropriate cards and the following changes made to the main program:

Insert the three statements:

```
DABS = l/D(J)
WRITE (IO, 70) Z(J), K(J)
WRITE (IO, 90) DABS
```

immediately before the statement which reads GO TO 270 (which precedes statement 260).

After statement number 270, insert the statement: GO TO 130
Then the remainder of the main program cannot be reached and can be deleted except for the END statement.

## Dictionary of Variables in MULTI8

The following notations are used:

1. If an * precedes a variable name, that variable must be input.
2. In the list of common symbols, i refers to the iteration number, $j$ to the element number, and $q$ to the measured line.
3. In the list of common symbols, an * in the symbol signifies the analyzed specimen.

| Variable Name | Common Symbols | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * $A(1, J)$ | Z ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Atomic number of the Jth element. |
| * $A(2, J)$ | $A_{j}$ | Atomic weight of the Jth element. |
| * $\mathrm{A}(3, J)$ | $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | Operating kilovoltage in the determination of the Jth element. |
| * $\mathrm{A}(4, J)$ | $E_{q}\left(q=K, I_{1}, \ldots\right)$ | Minimum excitation potential, in $k e V$, for the line used in determining element J. |
| * $A(5, J)$ | $\omega_{q}$ | Fluorescent yields of elements which excite secondary emission of other elements. |
| * B ( $I, J)$ |  | Valence of element $J$ used to determine element (I). B(I,I) the valence of element determined by stoichiometry, must be included. |
| Bl |  | Stoichiometric contribution to concentration. |
| $C(I, J)$ | ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Estimate of concentration of element $J$ in the Ith iteration |
| CON | $\mathrm{C}_{j}$ | Final concentration of $C(I, J)$. |
| $D(J)$ | $1 / f_{p}^{*}, \quad 1 / f(x) *$ | Philibert's l/f(x) for specimen. |
| $E(I)$ | $\Sigma C_{j}$ | Sum of concentrations for Ith iteration. |
| $F(I)$ | $\sigma$ | Sigma in Philibert's equation. |
| G (J) | $l / f_{p}^{s t} \quad l / f(x)$ | Philibert's l/f(x) for the standard. |

Variable Name
H(I)

I, J, N, N4
IA

II

IO

Jl

* $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I})$

K1

K9
KK

Factor for determining the equivalent electron energy for calculation of stopping power.

Philibert's $\mathrm{h}=1.2 \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$
Running index numbers in loops.
Integer conversion of $A(1, J)$ (atomic number).

Logical unit number for input (II=5 for card reader).

Logical unit number for output (IO=6 for line printer).

Mean ionization potential from Berger-Seltzer equation.

Background and dead-time corrected intensity ratios, in groups ordered according to the sequence of atomic numbers A(1,I). Special cases:

Case
Value of $K(I)$
Element not
0 (Zero) present
Element determined -l
by difference
Element determined I by stoichiometry
End of data
2
Reed's characteristic fluorescence correction factor.
$1+\Sigma(K l)$.
Integer of the absolute value of $K(I)$.

| Variable <br> Name | Common Symbols | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * M (I, J ) | $\mu(I, J), \frac{\mu}{\rho}$ | Mass absorption coefficient for the line of element $I$ and absorber J. |
| * N1 |  | Number of elements in specimen. |
| * N2 | $\psi, \theta$ | X-ray emergence angle in degrees. |
| N9 |  | Subscript for selecting the proper characteristic fluorescence $(N 9=Q(J, N 4)+1)$. |
| O(J) | $\mu(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})^{*}$ | In line A79: absorption coefficient for the specimen. <br> In line A96: $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{o}$ for the specimen. |
| * $P(4,1)$ | P (k,j) | Constants of Reed's equation, for $L \rightarrow K$ and $K \rightarrow L$ fluorescence These are input on the NAMELIST card NAMI. |
| * $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})$ |  | Switches for fluorescence of element (I) by element (J). |
|  |  | Case Value of $Q(I, J)$ |
|  |  | No fluorescence 0  <br> K excites K 1 <br> L $" 1$ L <br> K $"$ L <br> L $"$ K |
| $R(I, J)$ | R | Backscatter factors according to Duncumb equation for radiation $I$ and element $J$. |
| R1 | $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ | Backscatter factor from specimen. |
| S (IMK) | S $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}^{-1}} 1.67$ | Stopping power factors calculated from Bethe's equation for radiation $I$ and element $J$. |
| $T(I, J)$ | $\overline{U_{A^{-1}}}$ | Overvoltage term in Reed's equation. |


| Variable Name | Common Symbols |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J})$ | $h^{*}$ |
| Ul | $E_{o} / E_{q}$ |
| $V(J)$ |  |
| W (J) | $(R / S) *$ |
| XO |  |
| XI | $(x / \sigma)^{\text {st }}$ |
| X5, Y5 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ |
| Y8, Y9 | $\mu$ |
| $Z(J)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{J}$ |
| Z1 | $\Sigma C_{i}{ }^{\text {Z }}{ }_{i}$ |
| Z9 |  |

Variable Name

* $\operatorname{AM}(I, J)$
$\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{I})$

IA

IAM
IBM
ICl, IC 2

IQ
IX

J J

* $\operatorname{QM}(I, J)$

Same as $A(I, J)$ in Main Program.

Variable "K" or "L" as defined by DATA statement B6.

Part of switch for selecting proper printout of characteristic fluorescence correction.

Integer value of $A M(1, J)$.
Integer value of $A M(I, I)$.
Selected value of $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{I})$ (either "K" or "L").

Value of $Q(I, J)+1$.
IX=5 (from Main Program).
JJ=Nl (from Main Program).
Same as $Q(I, J)$ in Main Program.

## Backscatter Subroutine "BACK"

Additional Variables
$R 1, R 2, R 3$
R4, R5,T1
T2,T3,T4,T5
W

W2,W3, W4,W5

Temporary factors used in the calculation of the backscatter factors $R(I, J)$.

Reciprocal of the overvoltage $\left(E_{q} / E_{o}\right)$.
$W^{2}, W^{3}, W^{4}$, and $W^{5}$ respectively
l. Heinrich, K. F. J., U.S. National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 521, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402 (1970).
2. Springer, G., N. Jb. Miner. Mh. 1967, 304-317.
3. Philibert, J. and Tixier, R., U.S. National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 298, K. F. J. Heinrich, Editor, 13-33 (1968).
4. Philibert, J., Proceedings Third International Congress on X-ray Optics and X-ray Microanalysis, Pattee, H. H., Cosslett, V. E., and Engstrom, A., Editors, 379-392, Academic Press, New York (1963).
5. Duncumb, P. and Shields, P. K., The Electron Microprobe, McKinley, T. D., Heinrich, K. F. J., and Wittry, D. B., Editors, 40-55, J. Wiley and Sons, New York (1964).
6. Heinrich, K. F. J., Advances in X-Ray Analysis ll, 40-55, Plenum Press, New York (1967).
7. Reed, S. J. B., Brit. J. Appl. Phys. 16, 913-926 (1965).
8. Thomas, P. M., AERE Rept. 4593, Atomic Energy Research Establishment, U.K.A.E.A. (1964).
9. Bethe, H. A., Ann. Phys. Lpz. 5, 325-400 (1930).
10. Berger, M. J. and Seltzer, S. M., Nat. Acad. Sci., Nat. Res. Council Publ. 1133, p. 205, Washington, D. C. (1964).
11. Criss, J. W. and Birks, L. S., The Electron Microprobe, McKinley, T. D., Heinrich, K. F. J., and Wittry, D. B., Editors, 40-55, J. Wiley and Sons, New York (1964).

## APPENDIX I

Program and an Example for MULTI8

fluorescence constants and weight of line

mass absorption coefficients

| matrix for stoichiometry calculation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

intensity ratios

end of data





TOTAL $=1.0038$ ITERATION 4
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Figure 2. Results of MULTI8 calculation of relative $x-r a y$ intensities (K) from mass fractions (C). $F(X)$ is the absorption correction factor.

Complete Listing of Program MULTI8

| C | MULTI8 | A 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  | A 2 |
| C | MAIN PROGRAM - THIS PROGRAM DETERMINES CHEMICAL CONCENTRATIONS | A 3 |
| C | FROM RELATIVE X-RAY INTENSITY DATA MEASURED ON AN ELECTRON PROBE | A 4 |
| C | MICROANALYZER. | A 5 |
|  | REAL M, N2, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{J} 1, \mathrm{~K} 9, \mathrm{Kl}$ | A 6 |
|  | DIMENSION $\mathrm{A}(5,6), \mathrm{M}(6,6), \mathrm{R}(6,6), \mathrm{S}(6,6), \mathrm{C}(20,6), \mathrm{B}(6,6), \mathrm{Q}(6,6), \mathrm{T}(6,6)$ | A 7 |
|  | $1, P(5,2), V(6), E(20), K(6), H(6), F(6), W(6), U(6), 0(6), G(6), D(6), Z(6)$ | A 8 |
|  | COMMON II, IO | A 9 |
|  | NAMELIST /NAMI/ P | A 10 |
| 10 | FORMAT (1Hl) | A 11 |
| 20 | FORMAT (1X,II, 3X, F4.1,5X,'TITLE OF RUN') | A 12 |
| 30 | FORMAT (1H0,'Z', Il, '=',I3,5X,'E0 =',F5.1) | A 13 |
| 40 | FORMAT (1H0,9X, $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, 15 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}, 13 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}){ }^{\prime} /$ ) | A 14 |
| 50 | FORMAT (1HO,'NO CONVERGENCE') | A 15 |
| 60 | FORMAT (1H , 7X, F6.4,9X, F6.4) | A 16 |
| 70 | FORMAT (1H+,T40, 'N.D.') | A 17 |
| 80 | FORMAT ( $1 \mathrm{H}+$, T38, F6.4) | A 18 |
| 90 | FORMAT (1H0,'TOTAL = ', F6.4,' ITERATION ', I2/) | A 19 |
| 100 | FORMAT (8F10.5) | A 20 |
| 110 | FORMAT (1HO,'SPECIMEN NUMBER ',I3) | A 21 |
|  | $\underline{I}=5$ | A 22 |
|  | $10=6$ | A 23 |
| C | READ INITIAL DATA AND SET UP HEADINGS | A 24 |
|  | WRITE ( 10,10 ) | A 25 |
|  | READ (II,20) N1, N2 | A 26 |
|  | WRITE (I0,20) N1,N2 | A 27 |
|  | READ (II, NAM1) | A 28 |
| C | CALL SUBROUTINE TO READ INPUT DATA | A 29 |
|  | CALL INP ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B}, 5, \mathrm{Nl}$ ) | A 30 |
| C | CALL SUBROUTINE TO CALCULATE BACKSCATTER COEFFICIENTS | A 31 |
|  | CALL BACK ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Nl}$ ) | A 32 |
| C | CALCULATE THE ELECTRON ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT, SIGMA,THE H-FACTOR | A 33 |
| C | OF PHILIBERT, AND THE OVERVOLTAGE TERM OF REEDS FLUORESCENCE | A 34 |
| C | CORRECTION | A 35 |
|  | DO $120 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ | A 36 |
|  | $\mathrm{IA}=\mathrm{A}(1, I)$ | A 37 |
|  | WRITE (I0,30) I, IA, A ( $3, I)$ | A 38 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=1.2{ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(2, I) /(\mathrm{A}(1, I) * * 2)$ | A 39 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})=4.5 \mathrm{E} 5 /(\mathrm{A}(3, \mathrm{I}) * * 1.65-\mathrm{A}(4, \mathrm{I}) * * 1.65)$ | A 40 |
|  | DO $120 \mathrm{~J}=1$, Nl | A 41 |
| 120 | $T(I, J)=(() A(3, J)-A(4, J)) * A(4, I)) /((A(3, I)-A(4, I)) * A(4, J))) * * 1.67$ | A 42 |
| C | WRITE HEADINGS AND READ INTENSITY RATIOS. MAKE THE FIRST ESTIMATE | A 43 |
| C | OF CONCENTRATION OR TERMINATE IF END OF DATA HAS BEEN REACHED. | A 44 |
|  | WRITE (IO,40) | A 45 |
|  | NS=0 | A 46 |
| 130 | READ ( $\mathrm{II}, 100$ ) ( $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}$ ), $\mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1)$ | A 47 |
|  | NS=NS+1 | A 48 |
|  | DO $150 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ | A 49 |
|  | IF (K(I)-2.0) $140,370,140$ | A 50 |
| 140 | $C(1, I)=K(I)$ | A 51 |


| $\mathrm{KK}=\mathrm{INT}(\mathrm{ABS}(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}))$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | IF (KK.EQ. 1 ) $\mathrm{C}(1, \mathrm{I})=.5$ |
| 150 | CONTINUE |
|  | WRITE (IO,110) NS |
| C |  |
| C | BEGIN ITERATION LOOP |
| C |  |
|  | D0 $300 \mathrm{I}=1,20$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Zl}=0$ |
|  | $E(I)=0$ |
| C | LOOP FOR THE DETERMINATION AN ELEMENT BY DIFFERENCE OR |
| C | BY STOICHIOMETRY. OBTAIN THE SUM OF CONCENTRATIONS. |
|  | DO $180 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ |
|  | IF (K J ).LT.O.) GO TO 180 |
|  | IF (K (J)-1.0.LT.0.) G0 T0 170 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})=0$ |
|  | DO $160 \mathrm{~N}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ |
|  | IF (J-N.EQ.O) GO TO 160 |
|  | $\mathrm{Bl}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}) / \mathrm{A}(2, N){ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(2, \mathrm{~J}) * \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N}) / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J})$ |
|  | $C(I, J)=C(I, J)+B 1$ |
| 160 | CONTINUE |
| 170 | $E(I)=E(I)+C(I, J)$ |
| 180 | CONTINUE |
| C | ADJUST THE SUM OF CONCENTRATIONS TO 1 FOR THE PURPOSE OF |
| C | CALCULATING CORRECTIONS. |
|  | DO $190 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ |
|  | IF (K (J).GE.O.) GO TO 190 |
|  | $C(I, J)=1-E(I)$ |
|  | $E(I)=1$ |
| 190 | CONTINUE |
|  | DO $200 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ |
|  | $C(I, J)=C(I, J) / E(I)$ |
| 200 | $\mathrm{Zl}=\mathrm{Zl}+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}){ }^{\text {* }} \mathrm{A}(1, J)$ |
|  | DO $270 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ |
|  | IF (ABS $(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{J}))-1.0) 210,260,210$ |
| 210 | $W(J)=0$ |
|  | $U(J)=0$ |
|  | Sl=0 |
|  | $0(J)=0$ |
|  | Rl=0 |
|  | $\mathrm{Gl}=0$ |
|  | K9=1 |
|  | $\mathrm{Ul}=\mathrm{A}(3, \mathrm{~J}) / \mathrm{A}(4, \mathrm{~J})$ |
|  | IF (Zl/A (1, J).GT.l) GO T0 220 |
|  | $\mathrm{Gl}=.225-.722^{*} \mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(1, \mathrm{~J})+.789^{*}(\mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(1, \mathrm{~J}))^{* * 2-.291 *}(\mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(1, \mathrm{~J}))^{* *} 3$ |
| 220 | $\mathrm{XO}=(1+\mathrm{Gl}) *\left(.0604^{*} \mathrm{ALOG}(50 * \mathrm{Ul}-16)+.1262\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{J})=\mathrm{XO}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(3, \mathrm{~J})+(1-\mathrm{XO}){ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(4, \mathrm{~J})$ |
|  | IF (C(I,J)) 230,260,230 |
| 230 | D0 $250 \mathrm{~N} 4=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ |
| C | CALCULATE MEAN EXCITATION POTENTIAL J. |
|  | $\mathrm{J} 1=9.76{ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(1, \mathrm{~N} 4)+58.5{ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(1, \mathrm{~N} 4)^{* *}(-.19)$ |
| C | CALCULATE STOPPING POWER. |
|  | $S(J, N 4)=1 / V(J) * A(1, N 4) / A(2, N 4) * \operatorname{LLOG}((1166 * V(J)) / J 1)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{J})=0(\mathrm{~J})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} 4) * \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{N} 4)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} 4){ }^{*} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 4)$ |
|  | N9=Q (J, N4 ) +1 |

DO $300 \mathrm{I}=1,20$
$E(I)=0$
C LOOP FOR THE DETERMINATION AN ELEMENT BY DIFFERENCE OR
CONTINUE

CONTINUE
DO $180 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{N} 1$
IF (K(J).LT.O.) GO TO 180
$C(I, J)=0$

C ADJUST THE SUM OF CONCENTRATIONS TO 1 FOR THE PURPOSE OF
DO $160 \mathrm{~N}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$
IF (J-N.EQ.O) GO TO 160
$\mathrm{Bl}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}) / \mathrm{A}(2, \mathrm{~N}) * \mathrm{~A}(2, \mathrm{~J}) * \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N}) / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J})$
DO $190 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{N} 1$

IF (K(J).GE.O.) GO TO 190
$C(I, J)=1-E(I)$
E(I)=1
A 71

CONTINUE
DO $200 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{N} 1$
A 73
$C(I, J)=C(I, J) / E(I)$
$\mathrm{Zl}=\mathrm{Zl}+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}){ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{J})$
DO $270 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{N} 1$
IF (ABS (K(J))-1.0) 210,260,210
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J})=0$
Sl=0
$0(\mathrm{~J})=0$
Rl=0
Gl=0
K9=1
Ul=A (3, J)/A (4, J)
IF (Zl/A(l,J).GT.l) GO TO 220
$\mathrm{Gl}=.225-.722^{*} \mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{J})+.789 *(\mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{J}))^{* * 2-.291 *(\mathrm{Zl} / \mathrm{A}(1, \mathrm{~J}))^{* *} 3}$
$\mathrm{XO}=(1+\mathrm{Gl}) *(.0604 * A L O G(50 * U l-16)+.1262)$
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{J})=\mathrm{XO}$ * $\mathrm{A}(3, \mathrm{~J})+(1-\mathrm{XO}) * \mathrm{~A}(4, \mathrm{~J})$
IF (C(I,J)) 230,260,230
CALCULATE STOPPING POWER.

|  | $Y 8=0$ | A 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y 9=0$ | A 109 |
|  | DO $240 \mathrm{~N}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ | A 110 |
|  | $\mathrm{Y} 8=\mathrm{Y} 8+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}) * \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{N})$ | A 111 |
| 240 | $\mathrm{Y} 9=\mathrm{Y} 9+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}) * \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N})$ | A 112 |
|  | $\mathrm{Sl}=\mathrm{Sl+C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} 4) * S(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N} 4)$ | A 113 |
|  | $\mathrm{Rl}=\mathrm{Rl}+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} 4) * \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{N} 4)$ | A 114 |
|  | X5 $=$ (Y8/Y9)/SIN (N2/57.2958) | A 115 |
|  | Y5=F ( J ) /Y9 | A 116 |
| C | CALCULATE SECONDARY FLUORESCENCE CORRECTION. | A 117 |
|  | $\mathrm{Kl}=.5 * \mathrm{~A}(5, \mathrm{~N} 4){ }^{*} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} 9,1){ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} 4){ }^{*} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} 9,2)^{*} \mathrm{~A}(2, \mathrm{~J}) * \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N} 4)$ | A 118 |
|  | $\mathrm{Kl}=\mathrm{Kl} / \mathrm{A}(2, \mathrm{~N} 4) *(\operatorname{ALOG}(1+\mathrm{X} 5) / \mathrm{X} 5+\mathrm{ALOG}(1+\mathrm{Y} 5) / \mathrm{Y} 5) * \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~J}) / \mathrm{Y} 9$ | A 119 |
| 250 | K9 $=\mathrm{K} 9+\mathrm{K} 1$ | A 120 |
| c | DETERMINE ATOMIC NUMBER CORRECTION. | A 121 |
|  | $W(J)=(R(J, J) * S I) /(S(J, J) * R I)$ | A 122 |
| \% | CALCULATE ABSORPTION FACTOR. | A 123 |
|  | XI $=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J}) / \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{J}) / \operatorname{SIN}(\mathrm{N} 2 / 57.2958)$ | A 124 |
|  | $0(\mathrm{~J})=0(\mathrm{~J}) / \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{J}) / \mathrm{SIN}(\mathrm{N} 2 / 57.2958)$ | A 125 |
|  | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{J})=(1+\mathrm{Xl})^{*}(1+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J}) *(1+\mathrm{Xl})) /(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})+\mathrm{l})$ | A 126 |
|  | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{J})=(1+0(\mathrm{~J}))^{*}(1+\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J}) *(1+0(\mathrm{~J})) \mathrm{l} /(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{J})+1)$ | A 127 |
| C | CALCULATE THE EMERGENT INTENSITY RATIOS FOR THE ASSUMED | A 128 |
| 2 | CONCENTRATIONS. | A 129 |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{J})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}) / \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{J}) * \mathrm{~K} 9 * \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{J}) / \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{J})$ | A 130 |
|  | $\mathrm{Z9}=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{J}){ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}) *(1-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{J}))$ | A 131 |
| $\bigcirc$ | BY HYPERBOLIC FIT, OBTAIN NEW ESTIMATES FOR CONCENTRATIONS, | A 132 |
| C | COMPARING THE EXPERIMENTAL INTENSITY RATIO WITH THE ONE OBTAINED | A 133 |
| C | BY CALCULATION. | A 134 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{J})=\mathrm{Z9}$ / (K(J) * $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{J}) \mathrm{)}+\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{J}) *(1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}))$ ) | A 135 |
|  | G0 T0 270 | A 136 |
| 260 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{J})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})$ | A 137 |
| e70 | CONTINUE | A 138 |
|  | IF (I.EQ.l) GO TO 300 | A 139 |
| C | STOPPING CRITERION FOR ITERATION LOOP. IF NOT CONVERGENT AFTER | A 140 |
| C | 19 LOOPS, STOP ITERATION AND PRINT 'NO CONVERGENCE'. | A 141 |
| C | PRINT RESULTS. | A 142 |
|  | DO $280 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ | A 143 |
|  | IF (ABS (C ( I, J )-C(I-1,J)).GT. .00001) GO TO 290 | A 144 |
| 280 | CONTINUE | A 145 |
|  | GO TO 320 | A 146 |
| 290 | IF (I.EQ.19) GO TO 310 | A 147 |
| 300 | CONTINUE | A 148 |
| 1310 | WRITE (IO,50) | A 149 |
| 320 | DO $360 \mathrm{~N}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ | A 150 |
|  | CON=C (I,N)*E(I) | A 151 |
|  | WRITE (IO,60) K(N), CON | A 152 |
|  | IF (ABS (K(N) ) -1.0) 330,340,330 | A 153 |
| 330 | IF (C (I,N) ) 350,340,350 | A 154 |
| 340 | WRITE (I0,70) | A 155 |
|  | GO TO 360 | A 156 |
| 350 | DABS $=1 / \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{N})$ | A 157 |
|  | WRITE (I0,80) DABS | A 158 |
| 1360 | CONTINUE | A 159 |
|  | WRITE (IO, 90) E(I), I | A 160 |
| $C$ | GO TO NEXT SET OF DATA (LINE 130). | A 161 |
|  | GO TO 130 | A 162 |
| 370 | STOP | A 163 |
|  | END | A 164 |


|  | SUBROUTINE INP (AM, M, QM, B, IX, JJ) | B 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | THIS SUBROUTINE READS ALL THE REQUIRED DATA FOR A CALCULATION. | B 2 |
|  | INTEGER CH | B 3 |
|  | REAL M | B 4 |
|  | DIMENSION AM (IX, JJ ) , M ( 6,6$), \mathrm{QM}(6,6), \mathrm{B}(6,6), \mathrm{CH}(2)$ | B 5 |
|  | COMMON II, IO | B 6 |
|  | DATA CH (1), CH (2)/1HK, 1HL/ | B 7 |
| 10 | FORMAT (1H , 'THE ', A2, ${ }^{\prime}$ (LINE OF', I3,' EXCITES THE ', A2, ${ }^{\prime}$-LINE OF', | B 8 |
|  | 1I3) | B 9 |
| 20 | FORMAT (1H , 'NO FLUORESCENCE CORRECTION') | B 10 |
| 30 | FORMAT (10F8.4) | B 11 |
| C | READ DATA FOR EACH ELEMENT. | B 12 |
|  | READ (II, 30) AM | B 13 |
|  | $\operatorname{READ}(I I, 30)((M(I, J), I=1, J J), J=1, J J)$ | B 14 |
|  | $\operatorname{READ}(\mathrm{II}, 30)((\mathrm{QM}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}), \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{JJ}), \mathrm{J}=1, \mathrm{JJ})$ | B 15 |
|  | READ (II, 30) ( $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}$ ) , I=1, JJ), $\mathrm{J}=1, \mathrm{JJ})$ | B 16 |
|  | $\mathrm{IA}=0$ | B 17 |
|  | DO $80 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{JJ}$ | B 18 |
|  | DO $80 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{JJ}$ | B 19 |
|  | $\mathrm{IQ}=\mathrm{QM}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})+1$ | B 20 |
|  | ICl=CH (1) | B 21 |
|  | IC2=CH (1) | B 22 |
|  | GO TO ( $80,70,60,50,40$ ), IQ | B 23 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{ICl}=\mathrm{CH}(2)$ | B 24 |
|  | GO TO 70 | B 25 |
| 50 | IC2 $=\mathrm{CH}$ (2) | B 26 |
|  | GO TO 70 | B 27 |
| 60 | $\mathrm{ICl}=\mathrm{CH}$ (2) | B 28 |
|  | IC2 $=\mathrm{CH}$ (2) | B 29 |
| 70 | $\operatorname{IAM}=A M(1, J)$ | B 30 |
|  | IBM=AM ( $1, I)$ | B 31 |
| C | PRINT OUT THE CHARACTERISTIC FLUORESCENCE CORRECTION THAT HAS | B 32 |
| C | BEEN SELECTED. | B 33 |
|  | IF (IQ.GT.1) WRITE (I0,10) ICl, IAM, IC2, IBM | B 34 |
| 80 | $I A=I A+Q M(I, J)$ | B 35 |
|  | IF (IA.EQ.O) WRITE (IO, 20) | B 36 |
| C | RETURN TO MAIN PROGRAM. | B 37 |
|  | RETURN | B 38 |
|  | END | B 39. |

SUBROUTINE BACK (A,R,N1) ..... C 1
THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE BACKSCATTER COEFFICIENT, R, FROM ..... C 2
THE EQUATION OF DUNCUMB. ..... C
DIMENSION A(5,N1),R(6,6) ..... C 4
DO $10 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{Nl}$ ..... C 5
$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{A}(4, \mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{A}(3, \mathrm{I})$ ..... C ..... 6
W2=W**2
W3=W**3 ..... 8
W4 $=W * * 4$ ..... 9
W5=W**5 ..... 10
DO $10 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ ..... 11
Rl $=(-.581+2.162 * W-5.137 * W 2+9.213 * W 3-8.619 * W 4+2.962 * W 5) * 1 E-2 * A(1, J)$ ..... C 12
$R 2=(-1.609-8.298 * W+28.791 * W 2-47.744 * W 3+46.54 * W 4-17.676 * W 5) * 1 E-4 * A($ ..... C 13
ll, J)**2 ..... C 14
R3 $=(5.4+19.184 * W-75.733 * W 2+120.05 * W 3-110.7 * W 4+41.792 * W 5) * 1 E-6 * A(1$, ..... C 15
lJ ) **3 ..... 16
R4=(-5.725-21.645*W+88.128*W2-136.06*W3+117.75*W4-42.445*W5)*1E-8* ..... C 17
1A(1, J) **4 ..... C 18
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PRESENT STATE OF THE CLASSICAL THEORY OF QUANTITATIVE ELECTRON PROBE MICROANALYSIS*

Kurt F. J. Heinrich

Analytical Chemistry Division Institute for Materials Research National Bureau of Standards Washington, D。C. 20234

Although the foundations for a procedure of data reduction in quantitative electron probe analysis have not been changed for several years, there has been progress in the choice of expressions, parameters, and constants. A brief account of recommended expressions and procedures is given. Reference is made to the Standard Reference Materials of $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ag}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Cu}$ alloys issued for electron probe microanalysis. These are especially useful for investigating the application of correction procedures.
Key words: corrections; electron probe microanalysis; quantitative analysis; x-ray spectroscopy.

## INTRODUCTION

In his doctoral thesis, published in 1951 [l], Castaing laid the groundwork for a theory of quantitative electron probe microanalysis. He pointed out that the intensity of characteristic primary radiation generated in multi-element specimens is approximately proportional to the mass fraction of the emitting element. He therefore proposed that the corrections which need to be applied to measured x-ray intensity ratios to obtain mass fractions ("concentrations") were as follows:
*This paper is to appear in Mikrochim. Acta, in German, as part of the proceedings of a meeting on electron probe microanalysis and metallography, held in Vienna, (Technische Hochschule, Wien), October 22-25, 1969.

1. An absorption correction, which takes into account the absorption of $x$-rays emerging from the specimen, and
2. A fluorescence correction which cancels the effects of indirect $x-r a y$ generation.

Castaing also suggested that for accurate analysis the proportionality between concentration and intensity of emission may have to be considered an insufficient approximation. Hence, he proposed a form of atomic number correction to correlate more accurately the mass fraction with the measured intensity of $x$-ray emission.

This "classical" scheme of data reduction has essentially remained the commonly used approach to quantitative analysis. It has been described in many publications, including the National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 298 (1968) [2], which contains several references used in this paper. In the recent past there has been considerable discussion of the choice of parameters and constants to be employed within the framework of this procedure. The problem remains if, instead of the classical procedure, a Monte-Carlo method or a transport equation procedure is used to calculate the mass-fractions of the elements being measured.

It is the purpose of the present publication to give an account of the specific choices of parameters and constants which are available at present for the computation of corrections for quantitative electron probe microanalysis. For a general description of the correction procedures, as well as for a detailed discussion of the origin of these expressions, the reader is referred to the cited literature.

ATOMIC NUMBER CORRECTION
Thanks to the work of many investigators [e.g. 2-6] the processes resulting in primary $x$-ray emission are now quite well understood. The number of ionizations produced by a single electron coming to rest within the target can be written as follows*;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Av}} \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{~A}} \int_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{Q}}{-\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}} \mathrm{dE} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the ionization cross-section, $Q$, and the stopping power, $-d E / d(\rho x)$, we can substitute in the above equation the expressions proposed by Bethe et al. [7,8]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{b} \cdot \pi \mathrm{e}^{4} \cdot \mathrm{z} \mathrm{\ell} \cdot \frac{\ln \mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{U}} \cdot \mathrm{E}^{-2}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{~S} \equiv-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{dE}}{\mathrm{dx}}=2 \pi \mathrm{e}^{4} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Av}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~A}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{E}} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha \mathrm{E}}{J}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The values of some parameters of these equations are, however, poorly known. Hénoc has concluded from the study of experimental results on the ionization cross section that the value $\mathrm{b}=0.76$ can be considered valid for all levels of ionization [9]. The value of the coefficient $\alpha$ in equation (3) was frequently considered to be equal to two. However, according to Nelms [10], for electrons the value $\alpha=1.166$ should be used.

There is some controversy concerning the best expression for the mean ionization potential, J. The value ( $J=11.5 Z$ ). used frequently in the past, is certainly inaccurate. We use at present an expression obtained by Berger and Seltzer [Il], who averaged a large number of experimental observations by several authors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}=9.76 \mathrm{Z}+58.5 \mathrm{Z}^{-0.19} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A list of symbols used is appended.

Another expression for $J$ has been proposed by Duncumb et al. [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Z}=14.0[1-\exp (-0.1 \mathrm{Z})]+75.5 / \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z} / 7.5}-\mathrm{Z} /(100+\mathrm{Z}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has been derived empirically to optimize the results of a large number of electron probe analyses of specimens of known composition. We withold judgment on the best choice of expression for $J$, pending further investigations.

In order to obtain an algebraic expression for ionization within a multi-element target, we introduce the variables $M$ and $V$, defined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sum_{i} C_{i} \frac{Z_{i}}{A_{i}} ; \quad V=\exp \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} C_{i} \frac{Z_{i}}{A_{i}} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha E}{J_{i}}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown by Springer [13], if one introduces equations (2), (3), and (6), the integration of equation (1) yields the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{z}_{\ell} \text { b } \frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}}-1-\frac{\ell_{n} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}}\left[E I\left(\ell_{n}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~V}\right)\right)-\mathrm{EI}\left(\ell_{n} \mathrm{~V}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which EI is the exponential integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EI}(\mathrm{x})=\text { const. }+\ln \mathrm{x}+\sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{s}=\infty} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{~s}!} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (7) is used in an extensive program for data processing in quantitative electron probe microanalysis, called COR, recently developed by Hénoc and Heinrich at the National Bureau of Standards [14].

A further correction is necessary to take account of the effects of electron backscattering. A fraction of the impinging electrons is reemitted with energies sufficient to excite x-rays. This reduces the generated $x$-ray intensity by a fac-
tor $R$ (backscatter effect), which decreases rapidly with increasing atomic number. The average number of ionizations per impinging electron is thus $n_{A} R$. We believe that the most reliable expression for the factor $R$ is that proposed by Duncumb [15]:

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =1.0000+ \\
& +\left(-.581+2.162 W-5.137 W^{2}+9.213 W^{3}-8.619 W^{4}+2.962 W^{5}\right) \times 10^{-2} Z+ \\
& +\left(-1.609-8.298 W_{+} 28.791 W^{2}-47.744 W^{3}+46.540 W^{4}-17.676 W^{5}\right) \times 10^{-4} Z^{2}+ \\
& +\left(5.400+19.184 W-75.733 W^{2}+120.050 W^{3}-110.700 W^{4}+41.792 W^{5}\right) \times 10^{-6} Z^{3}+  \tag{9}\\
& +\left(-5.725-21.645 \mathrm{~W}+88.128 W^{2}-136.060 W^{3}+117.750 W^{4}-42.445 W^{5}\right) \times 10^{-8} Z^{4}+ \\
& +\left(2.095+8.947 \mathrm{~W}-36.510 W^{2}+55.694 W^{3}-46.079 W^{4}+15.851 W^{5}\right) \times 10^{-10} Z^{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W=l / U_{o}=E_{o} / E_{k}$. This expression agrees well with the experimental measurements of the factor $R$ by Dérian [16]. The number of photons of the $x$-ray line $n$, generated by ionization of the electron shell $m$, is obtained by multiplying the number of ionizations, $n_{A} R$, with the fluorescence yield, $\omega_{m}$, and with the ratio of the intensity of the line of interest to the intensity of all lines originating from this shell (weight of the line, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{mn}}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{A p}^{\prime}=n_{A} \cdot R \cdot \omega_{m} \cdot p_{m n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE ATTENUATION OF PRIMARY RADIATION

The attenuation of primary radiation on emergence from the specimen can be calculated using an equation proposed by Philibert [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \mathrm{f}(\chi)=\left(1+\frac{\chi}{\sigma}\right)\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{h}}{1+\mathrm{h}} \cdot \frac{\chi}{\sigma}\right) ; \mathrm{h}=1.2 \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{Z}^{2}} ; \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $f(x)$ is the ratio between attenuated and unattenuated primary radiation: $F(x)=I_{p} / I_{p}^{\prime}$. Expressions for the coefficient $\sigma$, which depends on the electron energy, were pro-
posed by Duncumb and Shields [18] and by Heinrich [19]. We presently use the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{4.5 \times 10^{5}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}^{1.65}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}^{1.65}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have not evaluated an alternative equation for the absorption correction, by Wittry and Andersen [20].

The attenuation of primary radiation is large when x-rays of low photon energy are measured. It is important to note, however, that the magnitude of this effect, and hence, the uncertainty of its computation, depends upon experimental conditions under our control. Primary absorption can be minimized by working at low electron energies and using high x-ray emergence angles; and these conditions are desirable when soft x-rays are measured. It should be added that further experiments on the depth distribution of primary x-rays should be encouraged. The uncertainties in the absorption correction have been analyzed by Yakowitz and Heinrich [21] who suggested that the experimental conditions should be adjusted, wherever possible, to maintain the value of $f(x)$ above 0.8.

## SECONDARY RADIATION EXCITED BY CHARACTERISTIC LINES

The effects of secondary radiation excited by characteristic lines are usually treated according to the equation proposed by Castaing [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{f}} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fA}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{pA}}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{pA}}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{pA}}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}-1}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}} \cdot \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{B}}}{2} \frac{\mu(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{BK} \alpha)}{\mu(\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{BK} \alpha)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{~B}}\left[\frac{\ln (1+\mathrm{u})}{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\ln (1+\mathrm{v})}{\mathrm{v}}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $r_{f}$ is the ratio of the attenuated fluorescent radiation to the attenuated primary radiation of the element which is being determined. $I_{p B}^{\prime} / I_{p A}^{\prime}$ is the ratio of the gener-
ated (not attenuated) primary emissions of the exciting and the excited lines as obtained from pure elements. Castaing proposed to approximate this ratio by the ratio of the absorption edge wavelengths of these elements, while Reed [22] replaced it by the expression $\left[\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}}-1\right) /\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}}-1\right)\right]^{1.67}$ in which $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $U_{B}$ are the corresponding overvoltage ratios.

This procedure is amenable to several improvements which were incorporated in the program COR. In this program, the ratio $I_{B} / I_{A}$ is fully calculated, using equation (10) for primary intensities, just as in the atomic number correction. This simplifies the calculation of fluorescence of $K$ lines by L lines and vice versa, as well as the calculation of fluoresence of $K \alpha$ lines by $K \beta$ lines, which is performed separately. As pointed out by Criss [23], the exponential approximation to
 Castaing to calculate the term $v$ in equation (13), can be replaced by a more accurate model. We use in COR the model contained in Philibert's calculation for the function $f(x)$ [l7]. With the computer facilities presently available to most analysts, this more rigorous approach is possible without an excessive increase in the cost of computation.

## SECONDARY RADIATION EXCITED BY THE CONTINUUM

Hénoc has described a method for calculating the effects of secondary radiation excited by the continuum [24]. In order to perform the formal integration of the expressions contained in his procedure, he had to make the simplifying assumption that between absorption edges the mass attenuation coefficients vary with the cube of wavelength. However, the wavelength dependence of mass attenuation coefficients is always less than cubic [25]. This has been taken into account in the modification of Hénoc's original method incorporated
in the program COR. The formal integration is replaced by a numerical integration, using the parameters for attenuation coefficients published in reference [25].

The main practical difficulty in performing the correction for fluorescence excited by the continuum is that the position of the absorption edges of all elements contained in specimen and standard must be taken into account. Each wavelength range between adjacent absorption edges must be calculated separately. Therefore, the procedure is lengthy, and in machine computation it requires extensive data input. Errors in the input occur frequently and may escape detection, since it is difficult to acquire an intuitive feeling for the magnitude of this correction.

In the program COR the relations between lines and absorption edges are inspected by the computer which selects the wavelength ranges for the integration. These decisions are made and the computer completes the calculation without active intervention of the operator. In the same process, the computer also determines which operations must be performed for calculating secondary excitation by characteristic lines. This enormously simplifies the execution of the fluorescence corrections, and renders unnecessary further attempts to simplify them, as advocated by Springer [26].

## THE ITERATIVE PROCESS

We will now discuss how the individual corrections are combined in calculating the composition of the specimen.

The usual form employs multiplicative correction factors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{*}=k \cdot k_{\mathrm{Z}}^{*} \cdot k_{\mathrm{A}}^{*} \cdot k_{\mathrm{F}}^{*} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This procedure is based on the concept that ideally the method should yield a linear correction curve. The multiplicative factors correct for deviations from the ideal model. However, the values of the correction factors depend on the composition of the specimen, which is unknown. The problem is traditionally resolved by using an estimate of composition to calculate the correction factors, and using the resulting mass fractions as a new estimate of composition and iterating until convergence of results is obtained.

As stated by Criss [23], the formulation of the correction by multiplicative factors unnecessarily complicates the structure of the correction equations, and obscures the underlying physical events. Moreover, the iteration frequently fails to converge, as observed by Reed [27]. For these reasons, the program COR uses a different iterative concept, first proposed by Criss and Birks [28], and somewhat modified by Hénoc and Heinrich.

Each iteration contains two steps. In the first step, we establish an estimate of the specimen composition and calculate the intensity ratios to be expected for each element, according the theory. The intensity ratios are obtained by the simple relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{I}^{*}}{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}+\Sigma \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}^{*}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}+\Sigma \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correction procedures previously discussed are used to calculate the absolute intensities (in photons per electron) due to direct and indirect excitation. As a first estimate of the specimen composition we assume that the weight fractions of the elements are proportional to the experimentally obtained x-ray intensity ratios. This estimate, as well as the subsequent estimates is normalized so as to render the sum of mass fractions equal to unity. Thus, in each iteration, we calculate
the signal intensities to be expected from a theoretically possible composition.

Since equation (15) does not yield an explicit term for mass fractions, we must use another relation to obtain, in the second step of each iteration, a new estimate of composition. We use for this purpose a hyperbolic approximation to the analytical calibration curve for each element:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{a} \frac{1-\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ziebold and Ogilvie observed that the analytical curves in electron probe microanalysis are indeed approximately hyperbolic [29]. On the plot of intensity ratio versus mass fraction for each element, the hyperbola can be defined by three points $(0,0),(l, l)$, and $(C, k)$, where $C$ and $k$ are the estimate of mass fraction used in the iteration, and of the intensity ratio calculated for it. Our next estimate for the mass fraction, $C_{m}$, corresponding to the observed intensity ratio, $k_{m}$, can thus be calculated by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}=\frac{k_{m} \cdot C(1-k)}{k_{m}(C-k)+k(1-C)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contrary to the procedure suggested by Criss, we do not normalize the observed intensity ratios $k$ in equation (16), or the final results after convergence. Thus, if the presence of an element has been overlooked, or if there are experimental errors or failures of the correction procedures, this will become apparent since the calculated mass fractions fail to sum to unity.

This iteration procedure has been extensively tested. We have never observed failure to converge. In fact, the convergence is always rapid, as is to be expected in view of the good fit of the hyperbolic model observed by Ziebold and Ogilvie.

The program COR can also compute results of analysis with use of multi-element standards; furthermore it can determine one element by difference or several unmeasured elements on the basis of stoichiometric relations.

## STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS

In spite of the progress made in recent years, there are still uncertainties in several aspects of the correction procedures. I believe that the availability of reliable standard reference materials will be instrumental in pointing out the areas in which further investigation is most needed. For this reason, the National Bureau of Standards has devoted considerable effort to preparing and characterizing materials specially selected for electron probe microanalysis [30]. Recently, NBS certified and issued an alloy of nominal composition 0.8 W , 0.2 Mo, a series of binary alloys in the $A u-A g$ system, in nominal steps of 0.2 ( 20 weight percent), and a similar series of alloys in the system Au-Cu. All these alloys were carefully analyzed chemically by several laboratories, and painstakingly investigated for homogeneity. They should be particularly useful in testing the atomic number correction. Extension of the studies of oxydic systems is presently in progress.
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| A, B | atomic weights of elements $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| C | mass fraction ("concentration") |
| E | kinetic energy of the electron |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {o }}$ | initial kinetic energy of the electron, operating voltage |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | excitation potential of line k |
| I | attenuated (emergent) intensity of radiation |
| $I^{\prime}$ | intensity of generated radiation, before attenuation |
| J | mean ionization potential |
| M | variable used for stopping power calculation (eq. 6-7) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {AV }}$ | Avogadro's number |
| Q | ionization cross-section |
| R | correction factor for electron backscattering |
| S | stopping power |
| U | $=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| U ${ }_{0}$ | $=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| V | variable used for stopping power calculation (eq. 6-7) |
| W | $=1 / \mathrm{U}$ o |
| Z | atomic number |
| a | constant in the hyperbolic approximation |
| b | constant in the calculation of the ionization cross-section |
| c | constant in the calculation of $\sigma$ |
| e | charge of electron |


| $f(x)$ | correction factor for absorption of primary radiation |
| :---: | :---: |
| h | $\begin{aligned} & =1 \cdot 2\left(A / Z^{2}\right) \text { in Philibert's equation. For multi- } \\ & \text { element targets, } h=\sum_{i} C_{i} A_{i} / Z_{i}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| EI | exponential integral |
| $\ln$ | natural logarithm |
| ${ }^{n}$ A | number of ionizations produced by one electron which comes to rest within the specimen |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{mn}}$ | relative frequency of the line $n$, generated on ionization of the shell m, with respect to generation of all lines originating from shell m |
| $r$ | absorption edge jump of the absorption coefficient |
| $r_{f}$ | intensity of emerging fluorescent radiation, relative to emerging primary radiation of the same line |
| S | tally in the exponential integral |
| k | relative intensity of emergent radiation |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Z}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | correction factors for atomic number, absorption, and fluorescence effects |
| u, v | auxiliary variables for attenuation of fluorescent radiation |
| $\alpha$ | constant in Bethe's equation for energy loss of electrons |
| $\theta$ | emergence angle of measured $x$-rays |
| $\mu$ | x-ray mass attenuation coefficient |
| $\mu(A B, A K \alpha)$ | mass attenuation coefficient of specimen containing elements $A$ and $B$, for $K \alpha$ radiation of element $A$ |
| $\rho$ | density of specimen |
| $\sigma$ | coefficient for electron energy effect in Philibert's equation |
| X | $=\mu \operatorname{cosec} \theta$ |
| $\omega$ | = fluorescence yield |

Subscripts and superscripts:

| A, B | elements A, B |
| :--- | :--- |
| $i$ | tally in integration |
| 1 | electron shell 1 |
| $*$ | composition dependent |
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