

NBS TECHNICAL NOTE 638

A UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE
PUBLICATION



A SYNCHRONOUS SATELLITE TIME DELAY COMPUTER

U.S.
DEPARTMENT
OF
COMMERCE

National

QC au
100 of
ds

U5753
no. 638
1973
c. 2

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards¹ was established by an act of Congress March 3, 1901. The Bureau's overall goal is to strengthen and advance the Nation's science and technology and facilitate their effective application for public benefit. To this end, the Bureau conducts research and provides: (1) a basis for the Nation's physical measurement system, (2) scientific and technological services for industry and government, (3) a technical basis for equity in trade, and (4) technical services to promote public safety. The Bureau consists of the Institute for Basic Standards, the Institute for Materials Research, the Institute for Applied Technology, the Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, and the Office for Information Programs.

THE INSTITUTE FOR BASIC STANDARDS provides the central basis within the United States of a complete and consistent system of physical measurement; coordinates that system with measurement systems of other nations; and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical measurements throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce. The Institute consists of a Center for Radiation Research, an Office of Measurement Services and the following divisions:

Applied Mathematics — Electricity — Mechanics — Heat — Optical Physics — Nuclear Sciences² — Applied Radiation² — Quantum Electronics³ — Electromagnetics³ — Time and Frequency³ — Laboratory Astrophysics³ — Cryogenics³.

THE INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement, standards, and data on the properties of well-characterized materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; provides advisory and research services to other Government agencies; and develops, produces, and distributes standard reference materials. The Institute consists of the Office of Standard Reference Materials and the following divisions:

Analytical Chemistry — Polymers — Metallurgy — Inorganic Materials — Reactor Radiation — Physical Chemistry.

THE INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED TECHNOLOGY provides technical services to promote the use of available technology and to facilitate technological innovation in industry and Government; cooperates with public and private organizations leading to the development of technological standards (including mandatory safety standards), codes and methods of test; and provides technical advice and services to Government agencies upon request. The Institute consists of a Center for Building Technology and the following divisions and offices:

Engineering and Product Standards — Weights and Measures — Invention and Innovation — Product Evaluation Technology — Electronic Technology — Technical Analysis — Measurement Engineering — Structures, Materials, and Life Safety⁴ — Building Environment⁴ — Technical Evaluation and Application⁴ — Fire Technology.

THE INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides technical services designed to aid Government agencies in improving cost effectiveness in the conduct of their programs through the selection, acquisition, and effective utilization of automatic data processing equipment; and serves as the principal focus within the executive branch for the development of Federal standards for automatic data processing equipment, techniques, and computer languages. The Center consists of the following offices and divisions:

Information Processing Standards — Computer Information — Computer Services — Systems Development — Information Processing Technology.

THE OFFICE FOR INFORMATION PROGRAMS promotes optimum dissemination and accessibility of scientific information generated within NBS and other agencies of the Federal Government; promotes the development of the National Standard Reference Data System and a system of information analysis centers dealing with the broader aspects of the National Measurement System; provides appropriate services to ensure that the NBS staff has optimum accessibility to the scientific information of the world. The Office consists of the following organizational units:

Office of Standard Reference Data — Office of Technical Information and Publications — Library — Office of International Relations.

¹ Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washington, D.C. 20234.

² Part of the Center for Radiation Research.

³ Located at Boulder, Colorado 80302.

⁴ Part of the Center for Building Technology.

NOV 7 1973


U5753
1973

A Synchronous Satellite Time Delay Computer

Wallace F. Hamilton
D. Wayne Hanson

Time and Frequency Division
Institute for Basic Standards
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado 80302

NBS Technical notes are designed to supplement the Bureau's regular publications program. They provide a means for making available scientific data that are of transient or limited interest. Technical Notes may be listed or referred to in the open literature.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick B. Dent, Secretary
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Richard W. Roberts, Director

Issued July 1973

National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 638

Nat. Bur. Stand.(U.S.), Tech. Note 638. 39 pages (July 1973)

CODEN: NBTNAE

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. SLIDE RULE DESIGN.....	3
3. COMPARISON WITH THEORY AND EXPERIMENT.....	13
4. OPERATION OF THE SLIDE RULE.....	23
5. SUMMARY.....	29
6. REFERENCES.....	30

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	Earth satellite geometry for slant range calculation	4
Figure 2.	Geometry used to calculate the central angle, β	6
Figure 3.	Delay error as a function of error in central angle..	8
Figure 4.	Error in central angle as a function of relative latitude and relative longitude for two values of error in relative longitude	12
Figure 5.	The oblateness correction	15
Figure 6.	Block diagram of the delay computation procedure ..	17
Figure 7.	Slide rule delay error. Theoretical delay minus slide rule delay	18
Figure 8.	Distribution of slide rule delay errors	19
Figure 9.	Slide rule delay error with the longitudinal error removed. Theoretical delay minus slide rule delay.	20
Figure 10.	Distribution of the slide rule delay errors with the longitudinal error removed. A, B, C, D.	21
Figure 11.	Two-way delay between Boulder and ATS-3 at 1700 GMT	22
Figure 12.	Sample slide rule top and cursor	14a
Figure 13.	Sample slide rule base	14b

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Values of δ for various values of φ_s and $ \lambda_s - \lambda_r $ showing $\delta \approx \lambda_s - \lambda_r $	9
Table 2.	Difference between exact and approximate method for computing α in degrees exact approximate ...	11
Table 3.	Evaluation of the partial derivative of equation 9. Also shown are the delay errors for values of radius correction equal to 500 and 1000 μ s caused by setting this partial derivative equal to 1.0. ...	14

A SYNCHRONOUS SATELLITE TIME DELAY COMPUTER

A special purpose slide rule designed to compute the free space propagation delay between a synchronous satellite and points on the earth's surface is discussed. The slide rule was developed to provide users of time information relayed by geostationary satellites a means of computing the propagation delays without dealing directly with the satellite's orbital elements. The delays computed with the slide rule are compared with the values obtained from orbital elements using a high precision digital computer. The limitations and accuracy of the slide rule are discussed. A sample slide rule which may be cut out and used is included in the report.

Key words; Satellite timing; slant range; synchronous satellites; time delay.

1. INTRODUCTION

The usefulness of potentially highly accurate timing signals relayed through geostationary satellites is complicated by the computation of the propagation delay from the transmitter through the satellite back down to the receiver. The computation of this delay is usually accomplished through the manipulation of orbital elements. From the orbital elements, six constants which describe the satellite's position and velocity at a given instant of time, and a complete description of perturbing forces, it is possible to compute the satellite's position at other times. Once satellite position is known, the free space propagation delay to any receiver follows directly from simple geometric considerations. The computation of satellite position from orbital elements, however, is complicated and includes the solution of a transcendental equation best accomplished by iterative techniques using a digital computer. As has been mentioned, an accurate prediction of the delay using orbital elements must also

NOTE: Preparation of this document was supported in part by the Air Force Communications Services under contract EIIIM-6.

account for the forces which perturb the two-body orbit such as the sun's and the moon's gravitational fields, the non-uniformity of the earth's gravitational field, and solar radiation pressure, making the computation all the more laborious.

This type of computational burden would be unmanageable for most of the expected users of satellite time and frequency signals. Consequently, the National Bureau of Standards has developed a special-purpose computer in the form of a circular slide rule which, utilizing the satellite's position, allows easy computation of the delay to high accuracy.

An operational procedure for time synchronization using a geostationary satellite and this special purpose slide rule may be envisioned along the following line. Using satellite tracking data which could be in the form of orbital elements, the satellite's position in terms of its sub-satellite point and radius (the distance from the center of the earth) is computed at the transmitter in advance of the time broadcast. This position information is incorporated into the time broadcast. The information might be conveyed in digital form or as a voice announcement. The receiver uses the slide rule to compute his delay from the satellite's position and his own longitude and latitude. The computed delay, valid only during a few minutes before and after the announcement because of satellite motion, is then used in conjunction with his time measurements to synchronize the receiver's clock.

The slide rule was designed to work with satellites in geosynchronous, near circular, near equatorial orbits. For such satellites, one may estimate the orbit dimensional quantities to be: a semi-major axis of 6.61 earth radii, an eccentricity of 0.005 or less, and an inclination angle between the equatorial and the orbit plane of 5° or less.

2. SLIDE RULE DESIGN

The satellite's position at any given time may be specified by its sub-satellite point and radius. The sub-satellite point is the point on the surface of a spherical earth which intersects the line joining the satellite and the center of the earth. The satellite and any point on the surface of the earth define the end points of a free space propagation path for which the slide rule was designed to solve.

The problem of determining the delay from the satellite to an arbitrary site may be approached in several ways. The method used in this analysis is to solve the triangle formed by straight lines joining the satellite, the center of the earth and the site (fig. 1). This solution from plane trigonometry is

$$r = \sqrt{R^2 + h^2 - 2Rh \cos \beta}, \quad (1)$$

where r is the range from the receiver to the satellite, R is the distance from the satellite to the center of the earth, h is the distance from the receiver to the center of the earth, and β is the central angle between the sub-satellite point and the receiver. The quantity R is a component of the satellite's position and is assumed to be available via the satellite broadcast. The quantity h is related to the geodetic latitude, φ , of a site by the following equation

$$h = a \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{b^4}{a^4} \tan^2 \varphi}{1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \tan^2 \varphi}}, \quad (2)$$

where $a = 6378.2064$ km, the earth's semi-major axis; and $b = 6356.5838$ km, the earth's semi-minor axis [1].




Figure 1. Earth satellite geometry for slant range calculation.

For use in the equations below, the geocentric latitude, φ' , is computed from the geodetic latitude, φ , by the following equation.

$$\tan \varphi' = (b^2 / a^2) \tan \varphi. \quad (3)$$

The sub-satellite latitude is already referenced to the center of the earth and does not need to undergo this transformation. In the following discussion, λ indicates longitude and subscripts s and r denote sub-satellite point and receiver site respectively.

All that is left then is the computation of $\cos \beta$. The direct solution may be obtained from the triangle consisting of the sub-satellite point, the site, and the intersection of the z axis with the spherical earth (i. e., the North Pole) using spherical trigonometry as follows

$$\cos \beta = \sin \varphi'_r \sin \varphi_s + \cos \varphi'_r \cos \varphi_s \cos |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|. \quad (4)$$

This method, however, does not lend itself to a simple slide rule computation. Instead, the more complicated approach of solving for α and δ , the two constructed angles shown in figure 2, leads to the elimination of terms in the formulation and a simplified slide rule procedure. The angle δ , the perpendicular from the sub-satellite point to the meridian passing through the receiver, may be calculated by

$$\sin \delta = \cos \varphi_s \sin |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|. \quad (5)$$

The angle α , the "corrected satellite latitude" or merely the arc length from the intersection of the perpendicular and the meridian to the equator, is given by

$$\cos \alpha = \cos \varphi_s \cos |\lambda_s - \lambda_r| / \cos \delta. \quad (6)$$




Figure 2. Geometry used to calculate the central angle, β .

Equation (4) then becomes

$$\cos \beta = \cos (\varphi_r' - \alpha) \cos \delta . \quad (7)$$

Using eqs (1) through (7), the "down-link" free space propagation delay from the satellite to the receiver is easily determined by dividing the range by the velocity of free space propagation (0.2997925 km/ μ s). The procedure must be repeated substituting the transmitter for the receiver location to determine the "up-link" delay. The total free space propagation delay, then, is the sum of the delays computed using the transmitter and receiver locations. The change in signal velocity through the troposphere and ionosphere and the accompanying ray bending can be shown to introduce only a few microseconds difference in the round-trip free space propagation time when operating above 100 MHz [2].

In order to incorporate these computations on a slide rule, several approximations were made. Most of the approximations ultimately affected the accuracy of the calculation of β . Consequently, it was necessary to know the relationship between errors in β and errors in delay. Figure 3 shows this delay error for several error values of β as a function of β . Here it may be seen that in all cases an error of 0.05° in β will cause less than 20 μ s of error, which is acceptable for most timing applications.

Two approximations dealing with the computation of δ and α were possible because we were dealing with geostationary satellites. For these satellites, the inclination of the orbit is small, usually less than 3° . The resultant sub-satellite latitude is a function that is periodic in time about the equator and has an amplitude equal to the inclination. The sub-satellite latitude, φ_s , therefore, is normally less than 3° . Referring to eq (5), it may be seen that since $\cos \varphi_s$ is very nearly unity, $\sin \delta \approx \sin |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$ or $\delta \approx |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$. The slide rule design sets $\delta = |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$. Table 1 gives the




Figure 3. Delay error as a function of error in central angle.

Table 1. Values of δ for various values of φ_s and $|\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$ showing $\delta \approx |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$.

		Satellite Latitude φ_s						
		0	.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0
0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5		5.000	5.000	4.999	4.998	4.997	4.995	4.993
10		10.000	10.000	9.999	9.997	9.994	9.991	9.986
15		15.000	14.999	14.998	14.995	14.991	14.985	14.979
20		20.000	19.999	19.997	19.993	19.987	19.980	19.972
25		25.000	24.999	24.996	24.991	24.984	24.975	24.963
30		30.000	29.999	29.995	29.989	29.980	29.969	29.955
35		35.000	34.999	34.994	34.986	34.976	34.962	34.945
40		40.000	39.998	39.993	39.984	39.971	39.954	39.934
45		45.000	44.998	44.991	44.980	44.965	44.946	44.922
50		50.000	49.997	49.990	49.977	49.958	49.935	49.907
55		55.000	54.997	54.988	54.972	54.950	54.922	54.888
60		60.000	59.996	59.985	59.966	59.940	59.906	59.864
65		65.000	64.995	64.981	64.958	64.925	64.883	64.832
70		70.000	69.994	69.976	69.946	69.904	69.851	69.785
75		75.000	74.992	74.968	74.927	74.870	74.798	74.710

Relative Longitude
 $\lambda_s - \lambda_r$

actual values of δ for various values of φ_s and $|\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$. As may be seen from eq (7) the error in central angle introduced by this approximation depends also upon the value of $|\varphi_r' - \alpha|$ or the relative latitude. Figure 4 shows this error in central angle for the various parameters involved. As will be shown in example 4 of section 4 of this report, it may be desirable to compute the error introduced by this assumption in order to reduce the overall delay computation error for some sites.

Because $\cos \varphi_s$ is very nearly linear over the small range of φ_s , one may make an approximation in the calculation of α . From this linearity and the near equality of δ and $|\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$, it may be seen in eq (6) that $\cos \alpha$ must also be nearly linear. Therefore, if one knows α for some non-zero value of φ_s , he may approximate any other α by extrapolating ($\varphi_s = 0^\circ$ implies $\alpha = 0^\circ$). Taking an assumed value for φ_s of 1.25 then, the slide rule calculates α as

$$\alpha \approx \frac{\varphi_s}{1.25} \cos^{-1} (\cos 1.25 \cos |\lambda_s - \lambda_r| / \cos (\sin^{-1} (\cos 1.25 \sin |\lambda_s - \lambda_r|))). \quad (8)$$

Naturally, this approximation introduces some error. Table 2 shows the difference between this method of calculation of α and that of eq (6). By interchanging longitude and latitude in figure 4, one may determine the error in central angle caused by approximation 8. This error amounts to less than 0.01° of error in central angle or less than $5 \mu s$ of range error for better than 90% of the table.

Since the orbits of geostationary satellites are somewhat elliptical, the value of the radius, R , varies as a function of time. Referring to figure 1, it can be envisioned that these small changes in radius will cause nearly the same change in slant range (r). For typical eccentricities, the peak-to-peak variation in R amounts to about 300 km or roughly

Table 2. Difference between exact and approximate method for computing α in degrees
exact approximate

	Satellite Latitude ϕ_s					
	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0
0	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00000
5	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00001	-0.00002
10	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000	-0.00002	-0.00004	-0.00007
15	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00001	-0.00004	-0.00009	-0.00017
20	0.00001	0.00001	-0.00001	-0.00007	-0.00017	-0.00032
25	0.00002	0.00001	-0.00003	-0.00012	-0.00029	-0.00054
30	0.00003	0.00002	-0.00004	-0.00019	-0.00046	-0.00087
35	0.00004	0.00003	-0.00006	-0.00030	-0.00071	-0.00135
40	0.00006	0.00005	-0.00010	-0.00045	-0.00109	-0.00208
45	0.00009	0.00008	-0.00015	-0.00070	-0.00168	-0.00320
50	0.00015	0.00013	-0.00023	-0.00109	-0.00262	-0.00499
55	0.00024	0.00020	-0.00037	-0.00176	-0.00422	-0.00802
60	0.00040	0.00034	-0.00063	-0.00296	-0.00711	-0.01351
65	0.00072	0.00062	-0.00114	-0.00536	-0.01286	-0.02442
70	0.00147	0.00126	-0.00230	-0.01084	-0.02597	-0.04925
75	0.00357	0.00305	-0.00558	-0.02626	-0.06276	-0.11866

Relative Longitude $|\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$




Figure 4. Error in central angle as a function of relative latitude and relative longitude for two values of error in relative longitude.

1 ms. In the extreme case, the variation should amount to little more than twice this amount or 2 ms. The slide rule computes the delay for a value of R equal to 42,143.4517 km. The difference between the actual radius and this value has been termed the "radius correction." The value of the radius correction is one of the three parameters defining the satellite's position and is given in microseconds. This value is added to the slant range delay computed by the slide rule; i. e., the computation procedure used by the slide rule sets $\Delta r = \Delta R$. The error introduced by this approximation may be calculated from

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} = (R - h \cos \beta) / r. \quad (9)$$

Evaluating this shows a zero error when $\beta = 0$, increasing to 1.2% of the value of the radius correction when $\beta = 75^\circ$. Table 3 gives the value of $\partial r / \partial R$ and the accompanying delay error for the normal ($\pm 500 \mu s$) and the extreme ($\pm 1000 \mu s$) values of the radius correction. This table indicates a maximum error of $12 \mu s$ introduced by this method of dealing with variations in R.


Finally, the variations of h introduced by eq (2) are not accounted for in the initial calculation of the delay. Instead, the value of h in eq (1) is set to the semi-major axis, a. The error introduced by this procedure is then removed. Figure 5 gives this error as a function of relative longitude and relative latitude. This graph, called the "oblateness correction," is included on the face of the slide rule.

3. COMPARISON WITH THEORY AND EXPERIMENT

In order for the slide rule to be useful for the computation of the delay from satellite to ground, two factors must be valid. First, the slide rule delay must agree with the theoretical delay as computed

Table 3. Evaluation of the partial derivative of equation 9. Also shown are the delay errors for values of radius correction equal to 500 and 1000 μs caused by setting this partial derivative equal to 1.0.

β	$\partial r / \partial R$	Error with 500 μs radius correction	Error with 1000 μs radius correction
0	1.000000	0	0
5	.999881	.059366	.118732
10	.999526	.237167	.474334
15	.998952	.524044	1.04809
20	.998187	.906289	1.81258
25	.997268	1.36608	2.73216
30	.996235	1.88273	3.76546
35	.995132	2.43396	4.86791
40	.994007	2.99656	5.99313
45	.992903	3.54844	7.09689
50	.991862	4.06903	8.13806
55	.990919	4.54038	9.08077
60	.990105	4.9473	9.89461
65	.989443	5.27841	10.5568
70	.988949	5.52535	11.0507
75	.988633	5.68348	11.367



- CALCULATION OF PROPAGATION DELAY**
1. Set the index Arrow of scale (1) to the Satellite Latitude (scale (2)). Read the corrected Satellite Latitude on scale (2) opposite the observer's Longitude relative to the satellite.
 2. Set the index Arrow of scale (3) opposite the Relative Longitude on scale (4) and at the observer's latitude relative to the corrected satellite latitude (scale (3)) read the uncorrected Delay on scale (5).
 3. Set the Hairline at the observer's Latitude in window and read the **OBLATENESS CORRECTION** at the appropriate Relative Longitude curve.
 4. Add the oblateness correction and the radius correction to the uncorrected Delay determined in step 2.
 5. Repeat steps 1 through 4 substituting the transmitter location for the observing site (NBS-40 00 North Latitude, 105 26 West Longitude).
 6. Add the Delays calculated in steps 4 and 5 and equipment delays to determine the **TOTAL PROPAGATION DELAY**.

PELSENTHAL INSTRUMENTS CO
P/N FCW-27

- Assembly Instructions (figs. 12 and 13).
1. Cut out top piece, cursor, and base of the slide rule.
 2. Cut out the two windows on the top piece.
 3. Using a straight pin or other suitable fastener, assemble the cursor, top piece, and base at the central point, indicated with a dot in the middle of a small circle.

Figure 12. Sample slide rule top and cursor.




Figure 13. Sample slide rule base.

The oblateness correction in microseconds computed as the difference between the range to a satellite using the oblate Clarke spheroid (R_c) and a spherical earth (R_s).




Figure 5. The oblateness correction.

by a high precision digital computer using orbital elements. Second, it must be shown that the prediction of satellite position (and the resultant delay) from orbital elements agrees with actual delay measurements. Remember that the orbital elements resulted from high resolution tracking of the satellite. From the orbital elements and a complete description of the orbit perturbations, the satellite's position at any other time is predicted. Satellite position in terms of sub-satellite point and radius is given to the user who in turn uses the slide rule to calculate the total free space delay. The process is illustrated in figure 6.

A comparison of slide rule delay and the delay derived from the orbital elements was accomplished using over 500 different sites and three different satellite positions. The sites were chosen in 1° increments of relative longitude from 0° to 70° for a fixed relative latitude. Relative latitude was varied from 0° to 70° in 10° increments. No computations are shown for central angles greater than 75° which is considered the limit of usefulness of the slide rule. Figure 7 shows the error of the delay computed using the slide rule. Figure 8 gives the distribution of this error and shows that the slide rule is weighted towards giving a high delay prediction. Figures 9 and 10 give the corresponding results after the errors in relative longitude listed in table 1 have been removed. (The method of correction for this longitudinal error is illustrated in example 4 below.) The distribution now is nearly normal and the rms error has been reduced from $9.88 \mu\text{s}$ to $6.79 \mu\text{s}$. This longitudinal error is large with respect to this rms error for only about 15% of the satellite coverage, but for this region (the shaded area of table 1), it is suggested that the error be removed.

The question of how well the orbital elements describe or can be used to predict satellite position is answered in figure 11. Over a period of several months, it is seen that measured and predicted values




Figure 6. Block diagram of the delay computation procedure.




Figure 7. Slide rule delay error. Theoretical delay minus slide rule delay.







Figure 8. Distribution of slide rule delay errors.

A. Satellite at -1.928°N , 69.027°W
 B. Satellite at 0.518°N , 68.601°W

C. Satellite at 2.177°N , 68.496°W
 D. Total of A, B, and C.




Figure 9. Slide rule delay error with the longitudinal error removed. Theoretical delay minus slide rule delay.




Figure 10. Distribution of the slide rule delay errors with the longitudinal error removed. A, B, C, D.




Figure 11. Two-way delay measurements between Boulder and ATS-3 at 1700 GMT.

fall to within an rms value of $10 \mu\text{s}$ which was approximately the measurement resolution. The particulars of the measurements are reported upon in a later publication [3].

4. OPERATION OF THE SLIDE RULE

For computation of the up-link and down-link delay by this slide rule, several pieces of information are needed. They are:

1. The transmitter and receiver longitude and latitude;
2. The longitude and latitude of the sub-satellite point; and
3. The difference between the actual and nominal value of the radius to the satellite (i. e., the radius correction).

The location of the observing site may be determined from a good quality map to about 0.01° . Referring to figure 3, it may be seen that this position error will not introduce a significant amount of error in the delay computation.

The position of the satellite is constantly changing. If the sub-satellite point is supplied to the user to the nearest 0.01° , updates of position would have to be supplied once every few minutes for a typical geostationary satellite. An obvious way of disseminating this information is to broadcast, either by voice or code, the satellite position along with the timing signals.

In the explanations and examples of slide rule operation, it may be useful to cut out and assemble the sample slide rule (figures 12 and 13). The steps to calculate delay are the following:

1. Determine the longitude of the receiver and transmitter sites relative to the satellite's longitude (i. e., determine relative longitude). This may be computed as the longitudinal difference between the site and the sub-satellite point, $|\lambda_r - \lambda_s|$.

2. The corrected satellite latitude, α , is determined by setting the appropriate index of scale #1 to the sub-satellite latitude on scale #2 and reading the corrected satellite latitude on scale #2 opposite the relative longitude. Possible decimal point and sign confusion will be clarified in examples 2 and 3. The relative latitude is then computed as the difference between the site latitude and the corrected satellite latitude, $|\varphi_r - \alpha|$.

3. An uncorrected delay is determined by setting the index of scale #3 at the relative longitude on scale #4, positioning the cursor at the relative latitude on scale #3 and reading the delay on scale #5. The central angle, β , is also read on scale #4 under the cursor. Two corrections are then applied to this delay. The oblateness correction, found from the graph using the site's latitude and the relative longitude, is added. The radius correction is also added.

This procedure must be followed for both the transmitter and receiver sites in order to determine the round-trip propagation delay.

For the purposes of the following examples, the transmitter is assumed to be located at NBS, Boulder, 40.00° North Latitude, 105.26° West Longitude.

Example #1: In this example the satellite, transmitter, and receiver are north of the equator. The transmitter is west and the receiver east of the sub-satellite point.

Sub-satellite point	2.25 ^o North Latitude
	70.37 ^o West Longitude
Receiver site	47.85 ^o North Latitude
	56.11 ^o West Longitude
Radius correction	135 Microseconds

	<u>Up-link Delay</u>	<u>Down-link Delay</u>
	105.26 ^o	70.37 ^o
	-70.37 ^o	-56.11 ^o
Relative longitude	<u>34.89^o</u>	<u>14.26^o</u>
Corrected satellite latitude	2.74 ^o	2.32 ^o
	40.00 ^o	47.85 ^o
	-2.73 ^o	-2.32 ^o
Relative latitude	<u>37.27^o</u>	<u>45.53^o</u>
Uncorrected delay	127670 μs	127030 μs
Oblateness correction	16 μs	22 μs
Radius correction	135 μs	135 μs
One-way delay	<u>127821 μs</u>	<u>127187 μs</u>
Round-trip delay	255008 μs	

Example #2: The same receiving site is used, but the satellite is south of the equator. Note that the sub-satellite latitude is considered to be a negative number.

Sub-satellite point	2.25° South Latitude
	70.37° West Longitude
Receiver site	47.85° North Latitude
	56.11° West Longitude
Radius correction	135 Microseconds

	<u>Up-link Delay</u>	<u>Down-link Delay</u>
Relative longitude	34.89°	14.26°
Corrected satellite latitude	-2.74°	-2.32°
Relative latitude	$\frac{40.00^\circ - (-2.73^\circ)}{42.73^\circ}$	$\frac{47.85^\circ - (-2.32^\circ)}{50.17^\circ}$
Uncorrected delay	128830 μs	128390 μs
Oblateness correction	16 μs	22 μs
Radius correction	135 μs	135 μs
One-way delay	<u>128981 μs</u>	<u>128547 μs</u>
Round-trip delay	257528 μs	

Example #3: Here the receiving site is south of the equator yielding a negative φ_r . The relative latitude, however, is still a positive number. Also, note the procedure for handling sub-satellite latitudes of less than 1° .

Sub-satellite point	0.98 ^o North Latitude
	69.84 ^o West Longitude
Receiver site	34.47 ^o South Latitude
	58.40 ^o West Longitude
Radius correction	348 Microseconds

	<u>Up-link Delay</u>	<u>Down-link Delay</u>
Relative longitude	$\begin{array}{r} 105.26^\circ \\ -69.84^\circ \\ \hline 35.42^\circ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 69.84^\circ \\ -58.40^\circ \\ \hline 11.44^\circ \end{array}$
Corrected satellite latitude	1.20 ^o	1.00 ^o
Relative latitude	$\begin{array}{r} 40.00^\circ \\ -1.19^\circ \\ \hline 38.81^\circ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -34.47^\circ \\ 1.00^\circ \\ \hline 33.47^\circ \end{array}$
Uncorrected delay	128085 μ s	123745 μ s
Oblateness correction	16 μ s	18 μ s
Radius correction	348 μ s	348 μ s
One-way delay	<u>128449 μs</u>	<u>124111 μs</u>
Round-trip delay	252560 μ s	

Example #4: This example delineates the procedure for correcting the longitudinal error introduced by setting the relative longitude $|\lambda_s - \lambda_r|$ equal to δ in eq (7). The receiver is assumed to be located on Tristan da Cunha in the south Atlantic.

Sub-satellite point	2.50 ^o North Latitude
	74.67 ^o West Longitude
Receiver site	37.15 ^o South Latitude
	12.30 ^o West Longitude
Radius correction	-176 Microseconds

	<u>Up-link Delay</u>	<u>Down-link Delay</u>
Relative longitude	105.26 ^o -74.67 ^o <hr/> 30.59 ^o	74.67 ^o -12.30 ^o <hr/> 62.37 ^o
Corrected satellite latitude	2.90 ^o	5.40 ^o
Relative latitude	40.00 ^o -2.90 ^o <hr/> 37.10 ^o	-37.15 ^o -5.40 ^o <hr/> 42.55 ^o
Uncorrected delay	126880 μ s	134765 μ s
Oblateness correction	17 μ s	6 μ s
Radius correction	-176 μ s	-176 μ s
	<hr/> 126721 μ s	<hr/> 134595 μ s

Before determining the round-trip delay, the down-link should be corrected for the longitudinal error since the relative longitude and satellite latitude combinations fall into the shaded area of table 1. Interpolating from this table, we find that δ should be 62.26^o. Using this value there instead of 62.37^o to compute the down-link delay yields an uncorrected

delay of 134740 μs , a one-way delay of 134570 μs , and a round-trip delay of 261291 μs .

An alternate procedure for correcting this down-link delay requires figures 3 and 4 in addition to table 1. The longitudinal error determined from table 1 is found on figure 4. This shows an error in central angle of 0.075° . The delay error resulting from this error in β is found on figure 3 to be about 27 μs using a central angle of 70° . This value does not differ significantly from the 25 μs error found by recomputing the delay with the slide rule.

5. SUMMARY

The special purpose slide rule developed in this report offers a simple, fast, convenient and accurate method of computing the free space propagation delay to a geostationary satellite. A satellite time synchronization system utilizing this slide rule would require that the operating agency compute the satellite's position coordinates and relay them to the user along with the rest of the timing format. Following the five-step procedure of section 4, the user enters his own longitude and latitude along with the satellite coordinates on the slide rule to determine the theoretical free space propagation delay for comparison with his delay measurement. The slide rule delay has been shown to agree with the delay as computed using a high speed digital computer to within 10 μs rms. A satellite time and frequency system which requires only an easily operated slide rule for the computation of the propagation delay should find expanded applications for its use.

6. REFERENCES

- [1]. Lewis, E. A. , "Parameteric Formulas for Geodesic Curves and Distances on a Slightly Oblate Earth," Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratory Report-63-485 (April 1963).
- [2]. Weisbrod, S. , and Anderson, L. J. , "Simple Methods for Computing Tropospheric and Ionospheric Refractive Effects on Radio Waves," Proceedings of the IRE, 47, No. 10, pp. 1770-1777 (October 1959).
- [3]. Hanson, D. W. , and Hamilton, W. F. , "Experimental Time and Frequency Broadcasts from the ATS-3 Satellite", (to be published).

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET		1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO. NBS-TN-638	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipient's Accession No.	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A SYNCHRONOUS SATELLITE TIME DELAY COMPUTER			5. Publication Date July 1973		
			6. Performing Organization Code		
7. AUTHOR(S) Wallace F. Hamilton and D. Wayne Hanson			8. Performing Organization		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Boulder Labs. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Boulder, Colorado 80302			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No. 2730114		
			11. Contract/Grant No.		
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Same as No. 9			13. Type of Report & Period Covered Final		
			14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
16. ABSTRACT (A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.) A special purpose slide rule designed to compute the free space propagation delay between a synchronous satellite and points on the earth's surface is discussed. The slide rule was developed to provide users of time information relayed by geostationary satellites a means of computing the propagation delays without dealing directly with the satellite's orbital elements. The delays computed with the slide rule are compared with the values obtained from orbital elements using a high precision digital computer. The limitations and accuracy of the slide rule are discussed. A sample slide rule which may be cut out and used is included in the report.					
17. KEY WORDS (Alphabetical order, separated by semicolons) Satellite timing; slant range; synchronous satellites; time delay.					
18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNLIMITED. <input type="checkbox"/> FOR OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION. DO NOT RELEASE TO NTIS.			19. SECURITY CLASS (THIS REPORT) UNCLASSIFIED		21. NO. OF PAGES 39
			20. SECURITY CLASS (THIS PAGE) UNCLASSIFIED		22. Price . 50

NBS TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

PERIODICALS

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH reports National Bureau of Standards research and development in physics, mathematics, and chemistry. Comprehensive scientific papers give complete details of the work, including laboratory data, experimental procedures, and theoretical and mathematical analyses. Illustrated with photographs, drawings, and charts. Includes listings of other NBS papers as issued.

Published in two sections, available separately:

• Physics and Chemistry (Section A)

Papers of interest primarily to scientists working in these fields. This section covers a broad range of physical and chemical research, with major emphasis on standards of physical measurement, fundamental constants, and properties of matter. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$17.00; Foreign, \$21.25.

• Mathematical Sciences (Section B)

Studies and compilations designed mainly for the mathematician and theoretical physicist. Topics in mathematical statistics, theory of experiment design, numerical analysis, theoretical physics and chemistry, logical design and programming of computers and computer systems. Short numerical tables. Issued quarterly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$9.00; Foreign, \$11.25.

TECHNICAL NEWS BULLETIN

The best single source of information concerning the Bureau's measurement, research, developmental, cooperative, and publication activities, this monthly publication is designed for the industry-oriented individual whose daily work involves intimate contact with science and technology—for *engineers, chemists, physicists, research managers, product-development managers, and company executives*. Includes listing of all NBS papers as issued. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$6.50; Foreign, \$8.25.

NONPERIODICALS

Applied Mathematics Series. Mathematical tables, manuals, and studies.

Building Science Series. Research results, test methods, and performance criteria of building materials, components, systems, and structures.

Handbooks. Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

Special Publications. Proceedings of NBS conferences, bibliographies, annual reports, wall charts, pamphlets, etc.

Monographs. Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau's scientific and technical activities.

National Standard Reference Data Series. NSRDS provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world's literature and critically evaluated.

Product Standards. Provide requirements for sizes, types, quality, and methods for testing various industrial products. These standards are developed cooperatively with interested Government and industry groups and provide the basis for common understanding of product characteristics for both buyers and sellers. Their use is voluntary.

Technical Notes. This series consists of communications and reports (covering both other-agency and NBS-sponsored work) of limited or transitory interest.

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications. This series is the official publication within the Federal Government for information on standards adopted and promulgated under the Public Law 89-306, and Bureau of the Budget Circular A-86 entitled, Standardization of Data Elements and Codes in Data Systems.

Consumer Information Series. Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today's technological marketplace.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES

The following current-awareness and literature-survey bibliographies are issued periodically by the Bureau:

Cryogenic Data Center Current Awareness Service (Publications and Reports of Interest in Cryogenics).

A literature survey issued weekly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$20.00; foreign, \$25.00.

Liquefied Natural Gas. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: \$20.00.

Superconducting Devices and Materials. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: \$20.00.

Send subscription orders and remittances for the preceding bibliographic services to the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22151.

Electromagnetic Metrology Current Awareness Service (Abstracts of Selected Articles on Measurement Techniques and Standards of Electromagnetic Quantities from D-C to Millimeter-Wave Frequencies). Issued monthly. Annual subscription: \$100.00 (Special rates for multi-subscriptions). Send subscription order and remittance to the Electromagnetic Metrology Information Center, Electromagnetics Division, National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, Colo. 80302.

Order NBS publications (except Bibliographic Subscription Services) from: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COM-215

