•

·

.

Mational Bureau of Standards Library, E-01 Admin. Bldg.

MAY 18 1971

TED STATES

MERCE

# NBS TECHNICAL NOTE 577

# Method of Calibrating Weights for Piston Gages

U.S. ARTMENT OF DMMERCE National Bureau of ndards 15.3 577 11 11

#### NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards<sup>1</sup> was established by an act of Congress March 3, 1901. The Bureau's overall goal is to strengthen and advance the Nation's science and technology and facilitate their effective application for public benefit. To this end, the Bureau conducts research and provides: (1) a basis for the Nation's physical measurement system, (2) scientific and technological services for industry and government, (3) a technical basis for equity in trade, and (4) technical services to promote public safety. The Bureau consists of the Institute for Basic Standards, the Institute for Materials Research, the Institute for Applied Technology, the Center for Computer Sciences and Technology, and the Office for Information Programs.

THE INSTITUTE FOR BASIC STANDARDS provides the central basis within the United States of a complete and consistent system of physical measurement; coordinates that system with measurement systems of other nations; and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical measurements throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce. The Institute consists of a Center for Radiation Research, an Office of Measurement Services and the following divisions:

Applied Mathematics-Electricity-Heat-Mechanics-Optical Physics-Linac Radiation<sup>2</sup>—Nuclear Radiation<sup>2</sup>—Applied Radiation<sup>2</sup>—Ouantum Electronics<sup>3</sup>-Electromagnetics<sup>3</sup>-Time and Frequency<sup>3</sup>-Laboratory Astrophysics<sup>3</sup>-Cryogenics<sup>3</sup>.

THE INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement, standards, and data on the properties of well-characterized materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; provides advisory and research services to other Government agencies; and develops, produces, and distributes standard reference materials. The Institute consists of the Office of Standard Reference Materials and the following divisions:

Analytical Chemistry-Polymers-Metallurgy-Inorganic Materials-Reactor Radiation-Physical Chemistry.

THE INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED TECHNOLOGY provides technical services to promote the use of available technology and to facilitate technological innovation in industry and Government; cooperates with public and private organizations leading to the development of technological standards (including mandatory safety standards), codes and methods of test; and provides technical advice and services to Government agencies upon request. The Institute also monitors NBS engineering standards activities and provides liaison between NBS and national and international engineering standards bodies. The Institute consists of the following technical divisions and offices:

Engineering Standards Services-Weights and Measures-Flammable Fabrics-Invention and Innovation-Vehicle Systems Research-Product Evaluation Technology-Building Research-Electronic Technology-Technical Analysis-Measurement Engineering.

THE CENTER FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides technical services designed to aid Government agencies in improving cost effectiveness in the conduct of their programs through the selection, acquisition, and effective utilization of automatic data processing equipment; and serves as the principal focus within the executive branch for the development of Federal standards for automatic data processing equipment, techniques, and computer languages. The Center consists of the following offices and divisions:

Information Processing Standards-Computer Information-Computer Services -Systems Development-Information Processing Technology.


THE OFFICE FOR INFORMATION PROGRAMS promotes optimum dissemination and accessibility of scientific information generated within NBS and other agencies of the Federal Government; promotes the development of the National Standard Reference Data System and a system of information analysis centers dealing with the broader aspects of the National Measurement System; provides appropriate services to ensure that the NBS staff has optimum accessibility to the scientific information of the world, and directs the public information activities of the Bureau. The Office consists of the following organizational units:

Office of Standard Reference Data-Office of Technical Information and Publications—Library—Office of Public Information—Office of International Relations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washing-Part of the Center for Radiation Research.
<sup>2</sup> Part of the Center for Radiation Research.
<sup>3</sup> Located at Boulder, Colorado 80302.

DNAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Maurice H. Stans, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS • Lewis M. Branscomb, Director



**ISSUED MAY 1971** 

Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Tech. Note 577, 54 pages (May 1971) CODEN: NBTNA

# Method of Calibrating Weights for Piston Gages

H. E. Almer

Optical Physics Division Institute for Basic Standards National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234



NBS Technical Notes are designed to supplement the Bureau's regular publications program. They provide a means for making available scientific data that are of transient or limited interest. Technical Notes may be listed or referred to in the open literature.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20402. (Order by SD Catalog No. C 13.46:577). Price 55 cents. Stock No. 0303 0849



# Contents

			Page
1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.	Cali	oration Procedures	2
	2.1	Preparation of Weights for Test	2
	2.2	Comparison of Weights being Tested with Standards	3
	2.3	Data Reduction	4
	2.4	Uncertainty of Value of Weights	6
	2.5	Checks for Blunders or Gross Errors	7
3.	Weigl	ning Methods	8
	3.1	Direct Weighing	9
	3.2	Direct-Reading Weighing	9
	3.3	Substitution Weighing - One-Pan Constant Load Balances	9
	3.4	Substitution Weighing - Equal-Arm Balances	12
	3.5	Transposition Weighing	13
4.	Comp	utation of Mass Value of Weight being Calibrated	18
	4.1	Application of Corrections for Standard and Buoyant Effect	18
	4.2	Use of "Transfer Standard"	19
	4.3	Added Weights	19
5.	Air 1	Density	24
Ref	erence	es	25
Fig	ures	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	26
App	endix		42

#### H. E. Almer

Generally weights for piston gages have odd denominations that are often not readily calibrated by intercomparison methods. Therefore, these weights are frequently calibrated by direct comparison methods. This paper presents direct comparison methods for calibrating piston gage weights for use with both equal-arm balances and single-pan balances. Methods of estimating the uncertainty of the values obtained are given. Also included are methods of checking for blunders or gross errors.

Keywords: Balance; buoyancy; calibration; standards; substitution weighing; transposition weighing; true mass; uncertainty; value.

#### 1. Introduction

The method of calibrating pressure gage (piston gage) weights described herein is adequate for most purposes. The method employs simple weighing designs and includes using corrections for the standards and the buoyant effect of the atmosphere. Aside from blunders, such as misrecording the balance indication or the weights used, these account for the major sources of error in the mass value of the weights.

The comparisons may be made on any balance whose precision is adequate for the requirements of the weights being tested. If the precision of the balance is not known, the balance can be evaluated by tests such as described in ASTM's "Standard Methods of Testing Single-Arm Balances"[1]\*.

Suitable mass standards, with established values or corrections, and appropriate uncertainties are required. For some purposes, the manufacturer's stated compliance with a class or other tolerance may be sufficient. In other cases it may be convenient to calibrate the built-in weights. Calibration procedures, such as are described in "Testing a Quick Weighing Balance" [2] or the ASTM's "Standard Methods of Testing Single-Arm Balances" [1] may be used. The various dial settings may be calibrated according to the method for calibrating dial-controlled weights described on pages 742/709 of "Testing a Quick Weighing Balance" [2].

Calibration procedures for use with both equal-arm balances and onepan constant-load balances are described. For one-pan constant-load

\*Figures in brackets indicate the literature references on page 25.

balances, both single and double substitution weighing methods using the built-in weights as standards, as well as substitution methods using known weights as standards, are described. For equal-arm balances, both the substitution weighing and the transposition methods of weighing are described. The computations are held to a minimum and will give the true mass value,  $M_m$  in the equation

$$F_{e} = \left[M_{m}(1 - \frac{\rho_{a}}{\rho_{m}}) + M_{f}(1 - \frac{\rho_{a}}{\rho_{f}})\right] kg_{L} + VC,$$

equation (10) of "Reduction of Data for Piston Gage Pressure Measurements" [3] as well as an appropriate estimate of the uncertainty of this value. Each weight is compared directly with a standard or group of standards of approximately the same mass as the weight being tested, by any of the methods described in Section 3. If the standards and the weights being tested are made of materials having approximately or nearly the same density, the errors due to buoyant effects are minimized. Each comparison will involve either duplicate measurements of the difference between the weight under test and the standard, as in double substitution weighing, or the same difference will be measured in each of the comparisons, as the sensitivity difference in single transposition weighing. These differences can be used to compute an estimate of the precision of measurement.

#### 2. Calibration Procedures

The calibration of weights includes preparation of the weights for test, their comparison with standards, and data reduction. Each of these phases of the calibration is treated.

#### 2.1 Preparation of Weights for Test

The weights are prepared for test as follows:

- a. Unpack the weights carefully, being sure not to overlook any of the small weights which may be a part of the set.
- b. Clean the weights by wiping with a soft cloth of nonabrasive material such as high quality cheesecloth. Solvents can be used to remove any foreign material that is not readily removed by wiping. Care must be exercised so that only foreign material is removed. Be certain that the solvents used will not injure the weights.
- c. Place the weights and the standards in or near the balance so that they will come to temperature equilibrium before the weighings are made.
- d. An information or summary sheet is prepared giving the following information: (See Sample Summary Sheet, Figure 1).

- Identify the weights to be tested by the owner, set designation, and test number if one is used. The identification of the instrument of which the weights are a part should also be given.
- 2. The material of which the weights are made and their density.
- List all of the weights in such a manner that, after calibration, the mass values found for them may be entered next to the appropriate weight.
- 4. Any other information needed to make a complete record of the test.
- 2.2 Comparison of Weights being Tested with Standards

Weighing Method - This suggested calibration procedure is based on the double substitution method of weighing (see Section 3) because it can be used with either one-pan constant-load balances or with equal-arm balances. Other weighing methods (Section 3) may be used where desirable or where the double substitution method is impracticable.

Standards - Generally the weights for piston gages have odd denominations not usually found in ordered sets of weights. Hence, the standard for a given weight may consist of more than one known weight. The buoyant effect of the atmosphere and associated errors can be minimized if, as far as practicable, the material of the known weights used for standards is of the same or nearly the same density as the material of which the weights being tested are made.

Sensitivity Weight - The mass of the sensitivity weight used depends in part on the on-scale range of the balance and in part on the mass differences between the weights being compared. The sensitivity weight should be as large as practicable. Its mass may be from one-fifth to one-half the on-scale range of the balance and it should be at least twice as large as the largest difference between the masses being compared. It may be necessary to trim one of the weights by adding small known weights to it to bring the difference between the masses to within these limits.

Comparison with Standard - Each weight of the set being calibrated is compared by the double substitution method (or other suitable methods) with "known" weights whose total mass is nominally equal to the mass of the weight being tested.

In sets having two or more weights of the same denomination, it is sometimes convenient to select one of the duplicate weights to be the "standard" for calibrating the other weights of the same denomination. This weight will be referred to as the "transfer standard". The "transfer standard" is calibrated with the "known" weight as mentioned above.

Environmental and Other Data Required - The date and time the work was done as well as the name of the observer should be entered on the observation sheet. The temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure at the time the weighings are made are also recorded on the observation sheet, so that buoyancy corrections can be computed and made, as required.

### 2.3 Data Reduction

Computation of Difference Between the Weight Being Calibrated and the Standard - The difference between the weight being calibrated and the standard is given by the difference in scale divisions between the balance indications for the weight under test and the standard. The method of computing this difference and of converting it from scale divisions to mass units is given for each method of weighing with the description of that method in Section 3. But for the following discussion, it will be assumed that the difference is in or has been converted to mass units.

The difference is expressed as follows:

$$(W_{-} - S) = a$$

where

Wy is the weight under test

S is the standard; it may consist of one or more weights

"a" is the difference between W, and S in mass units.

Application of Correction for Standard - The value of the standard may be expressed either as one number or as a nominal value and a correction, as follows:

Mass Value of Std. = Nom. Value + Correction

In general, the "Mass Value" of the standard means its <u>True Mass</u> value and its correction means its <u>True Mass Correction</u> unless otherwise indicated. The computation of the value of the weight being tested,  $W_x$ , is further described in Section 4.

When the value for S, whether expressed as one number or as a nominal value and a correction, is in terms of true mass, as it should be, the computed value of  $W_x$  will be its true mass value within certain limits which depend on the relative density of  $W_x$  and S (see Table 1). If S and  $W_x$  have the same or nearly the same density, the value found is for all practical purposes the true mass value. If the correction for S is known only in terms of apparent mass vs brass, as may be the case for some calibrated sets of standards, true mass corrections must be computed. This computation is as follows:

$$S_{TM} = S_{AM} + \rho_n (V_S - V_B)$$

where

)

SAM is the apparent mass vs brass value of the weight, that is the nominal value + the apparent mass correction.

is the true mass value of the weight SmM

is the density of normal air (1.2 mg/cm<sup>3</sup> at 20°C) ρ<sub>n</sub>

is the volume of an equivalent mass of normal brass at 20°C\* Vp

is the volume of the standard at 20°C. Vc

The true mass correction is:

Correction = S - Nominal Value

Buoyancy Correction - Buoyancy corrections are needed to take into account the difference in the buoyant effect of the air on weights of materials having different densities [4], especially where the densities of the materials are markedly different. It is a good practice to always compute (see Section 4), at least roughly, the magnitude of the correction to establish the order of magnitude with reference to the desired accuracy. If the correction is not significant it can be ignored.

If the volume of the weight is not known it may be computed from the mass and density relationship:

is the total mass of the weight, and

$$Vol_{W_X} = \frac{M_{W_y}}{D_{W_y}}$$

where

Mw.

DWY is its density at 20°C.

Similarly the volume of the standard is:

$$Vol_S = \frac{M_S}{D_S}$$

is the mass of the standard, and where Mc

> is its density at 20°C. Dc

<sup>\*</sup>By definition the density of normal brass is 8.4 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 0°C; that is approximately 8.3909 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 20°C.

### 2.4 Uncertainty of Value of Weights

It is presumed that the weighings are being carried out by means of a measurement process whose parameters (precision, possible systematic errors, etc.) are known and sufficient evidence is collected to insure that the process is in a state of statistical control.\* For each method of weighing there will be available a standard deviation to be associated with a single measurement of mass difference. This standard deviation will be based on considerable history and would be used in preference to a standard deviation based on the results of say one day's work. Such a value if available provides the means for judging whether or not to accept that day's measurements as being in control.

Uncertainty of Values Found for Weights - The uncertainty of the mass value of the weights consists of two parts; the uncertainty due to random errors of measurement and the systematic uncertainty due to the uncertainty in the value of the standard. The limit of the uncertainty due to random errors of measurement may be taken to be three times the standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the process. Therefore:

Uncertainty of value =  $3\sigma$  + uncertainty of standards.

Uncertainty figures or statements are valid only if the measurement process was in a state of statistical control at the time the measurement to which the uncertainty figure applies was made. Therefore, every uncertainty figure associated with a mass value must be backed up by data adequate to determine that the weighing process was in a state of statistical control at the time the measurements used to determine that value were made.

<sup>\*</sup>For a discussion of the procedures for estimating the parameters of the mass measurement process and for maintaining surveillance of the measurement process, see NBS Technical Note 288, Measurement Philosophy of the Pilot Program for Mass Measurement, by P. E. Pontius; and NBS Monograph 103, Realistic Uncertainties and the Mass Measurement Process, An Illustrated Review, by P. E. Pontius and J. M. Cameron.

In many cases the allowable imprecision is so much greater than the expected performance of the mass measurement process, based on previous measurements, that the measurement error could not exceed the allowable imprecision without some evident malfunctioning of the balance. In those cases it may be assumed that the results of the measurements are adequate if the balance appears to be working properly; but a formal uncertainty statement would not be made.

# 2.5 Checks for Blunders or Gross Errors

It is usually desirable to make some kind of check for at least gross errors in the values being reported. This is especially true where the standard consists of several weights because a weight may be overlooked when recording those used.

Checks by Comparing Values - In sets having several weights of the same denomination, one form of check is to compare the values obtained for weights having the same nominal value. If the value for one of these duplicate weights is markedly different from the others, this may indicate that the value is incorrect. For weights such as the "transfer standard" used as the standard for other weights of the same denomination, of which repeat weighings are made, the agreement of the results of the repeated weighings constitutes an acceptable check on the value.

Check by Weighing Groups - This kind of check cannot be used with large weights whose mass is near the capacity of the largest balance available. It is useful for weights which can be divided into groups not exceeding the capacity of the available balances. The procedure is:

- a. Divide the weights into convenient groups.
- b. Compare each group with appropriate standards.
- c. Compare the value found for the group with the sum of the values for the individual weights making up the group. They should agree within the uncertainty of the measurements.

It is necessary to use some judgment in dividing the weights into groups. Small weights should not be grouped with large weights.

Any one of the four weighing methods - <u>direct</u>, <u>direct-reading</u>, <u>substitution</u>, or <u>transposition</u> - may be used for calibrating piston gage weights. The weighing method used depends in part on the balances and weights available, in part on the requirements of the job at hand, and in part on the preference of the person performing the test. Measurements made by either the substitution or transposition weighing method require more effort, but are in general more precise than those made by either the direct or the direct-reading weighing methods. The direct and directreading weighing methods are generally used only where relatively imprecise measurements can be tolerated and where only the minimum effort possible is justified.

The following comparison of the direct weighing method with the single transposition weighing method and direct-reading method with the single substitution method gives an indication of the differences in precision. Any weighing requires at least two observations. A direct weighing requires a no-load reading and a load reading. If the standard deviation of the mass measurement process, for one observation, is " **σ** " (random component of the uncertainty is  $3\sigma$ ), then the standard deviation of two observations combined is  $\sigma\sqrt{2}$  (random component of the uncertainty of the two observations combined is  $3\sigma\sqrt{2}$ . In addition there must be added the uncertainty due to the inequality of the arms. This may vary from a few parts in a million to one or two parts in ten thousand, depending on the condition and quality of the balance. In contrast to this is the transposition method which also requires two observations, but since both observations are measurements of the same thing, the difference between the unknown weight and the standard (see Section 3.5, page 13), the standard deviation of this difference is  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$  (the random component of the  $\left(\frac{3\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}$ uncertainty of the difference is In transposition weighing the inequality of the arms drops out and does not become a part of the uncertainty. Thus, if the same balance is used for both methods, the uncertainty of the direct weighing method is twice that of the transposition method plus the uncertainty due to the inequality of the arms.

Both a direct-reading weighing and a single substitution weighing require two observations; therefore the random portion of the uncertainty is the same for both methods. In the direct-reading method the masses of the built-in weights are considered to be equal their nominal mass values (if corrections are applied it is no longer a direct-reading device), and the reading scale is assumed to have a one to one ratio with the indicated mass units. In a substitution weighing the weight being calibrated is compared with weights whose mass values are known and the reading scale is calibrated as a part of the substitution weighing. The uncertainty of the directweighing method is greater than the uncertainty of the single substitution weighing method by the uncertainty due to considering the nominal value of the built-in weights to be their actual value plus the uncertainty due to any reading scale error.

## 3.1 Direct Weighing

The equal-arm balance is the only type of balance that it is practical to use for the direct weighing method. First read and record the no-load, also called the zero load, indication. Then place the weight being tested on one of the pans, say the left pan; put sufficient known weights on the other pan to just bring the balance to an equilibrium condition such that the indication is the same as the no-load indication. The total mass of the known weights is the mass of the weight being tested.

#### 3.2 Direct-Reading Weighing

The direct-reading weighing method requires a balance that is capable of directly indicating the weight of the load on the load receiving element in mass units. Most one-pan balances meet this requirement. With no-load on the pan, adjust the balance so that it reads zero; place the weight being tested on the pan and by proper manipulation of the controls bring the balance into a condition of equilibrium; read and record the indication. Since this is a direct-reading balance, the indication is the mass of the weight being tested, within the capability of the balance.

#### 3.3 Substitution Weighing - One-Pan Constant Load Balances

Two substitution weighing modes for one-pan constant load balances are described. One uses known weights from an ordered set of weights as standards; the other mode uses the balance's built-in weights as standards. The method of using the built-in weights for standards is the same in principle as that using the usual known weights for standards though it may appear to

be different. With this method the built-in weights, removed from the total load on the beam to bring the balance beam to a position of equilibrium when a weight is placed on the pan, are the standards for that weight. The dial settings indicate which weights have been removed from the load.

To avoid negative balance indications or having to change dial settings during a given weighing, it is convenient to place a small tare weight on the balance pan and leave it on during the entire series of weighings. A weight of about one-fifth the full scale may be used.

3.3.1 Single Substitution Weighing - Using Known Weights from an Ordered Set as Standards (see Figure 2a for example).

Observation 1 - Place the weight being tested on the balance pan along with the tare weight. Release the balance, read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_1$ .

Observation 2 - Remove the weight being tested, but not the tare weight; and put enough standards on the pan to bring the balance into approximately the same position of equilibrium as it had when the weight being tested was on the pan, without changing the dial setting. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated I<sub>2</sub>.

Observation 3 - Place a sensitivity weight on the pan along with the standards and the tare weight, if any. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_3$ .

The difference between the weight under test,  $W_X$ , and the standards, S,

is:

$$W_{x} - S = (I_{1} - I_{2})\frac{W_{S}}{I_{3} - I_{2}} = a$$

where

W<sub>s</sub> is the mass of sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between Wy and S in mass units.

3.3.2 Double Substitution Weighing - Using Known Weights from an Ordered Set as Standards (see Figure 2b for example).- Indications  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of the double substitution weighing are obtained from observations 1, 2, and 3 of the double substitution weighing in the same manner as the corresponding indications for single substitution weighing described above, Section 3.3.1. There is a fourth observation in double substitution weighing which is described immediately below.

Observation 4 - Remove the standards from the pan, but leave the sensitivity weight and tare weight on the pan. Put the weight being tested on the pan with the sensitivity weight and tare weight. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_{\mu}$ .

The difference between the weight under test,  $W_X$ , and the standards,S, is:

$$W_{x} = S = \frac{I_{1} - I_{2} + I_{4} - I_{3}}{2} \frac{W_{S}}{I_{3} - I_{2}} = a$$

where W<sub>s</sub> is the mass of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between Wy and S in mass units.

3.3.3 Single Substitution Weighing One-pan Constant Load Balances Using Built-in Weights for Standards. (See Figure 3a for example.)

Observation 1 - Place the weight being tested on the balance pan with the small tare weight, and set the dials at the appropriate settings for that weight. That is, the balance indication is "on scale" when it is in equilibrium. Release the balance, if in equilibrium, record the dial settings. The weights represented by the dial settings are the standards for the weighing. Read and record the balance indication. This indication is designated  $I_1$ .

Observation 2 - Remove the weight being tested from the pan, leaving the tare weight: set the dials at "0". Release the balance: read and record the indication. This is the reading with the standard on. This indication is designated I<sub>2</sub>.

Observation 3 - Place a sensitivity weight on the pan. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_3$ .

The difference between the weight under test,  $W_x$ , and the standards, S, (built-in weights indicated by dial settings in observation 1) is:

$$W_x - S = (I_1 - I_2) \frac{W_s}{I_3 - I_2} = a$$

where

W<sub>s</sub> is the mass of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between Wy and S in mass units.

3.3.4 Double Substitution Weighing One-Pan Constant Load Balances Using Built-in Weights for Standards. (See Figure 3b for example). - Indications  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of the double substitution weighing are obtained from observations 1, 2, and 3 of the double substitution weighing in the same manner as the corresponding indications for the single substitution weighing described above, Section 3.3.3. There is a fourth observation in double substitution weighing which is described immediately below.

Observation 4 - Place the weight being tested on the pan along with the sensitivity weight and the tare weight. Set the dials to setting used in observation 1. (This in effect removes the standards from the load on the balance.) Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_{\mu}$ .

The difference between the weight under test,  $W_{\chi}$ , and the standards,S, (the standards are the built-in weights indicated by the dial settings in observations 1 and 4 above) is:

$$W_{x} - S = \frac{I_{1} - I_{2} + I_{4} - I_{3}}{2} \frac{W_{s}}{I_{3} - I_{2}} = a$$

where We is the mass of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between W, and S in mass units.

3.4 Substitution Weighing - Equal-Arm Balances

When an equal-arm balance is used for substitution weighing the weights being tested and the standards are interchanged on one of the pans and a counterpoise weight placed on the other pan. The counterpoise weight should have approximately the same mass as the weight being tested so that the indicator will be "on scale" when the balance beam is in equilibrium.

For interchanging the weight being tested and the standard it is convenient to select the pan on which increasing increments of load give numerically increasing indications. When the reading-scale reads from left to right, smallest numbers at the left end, adding weight to the left pan increases the readings; conversely, when the reading-scale reads from right to left adding weight to right pan increases the reading.

3.4.1 Single Substitution Weighing - Equal-arm Balance. (See Figure 4a for example). Assume that increased load on the left pan gives a numerically larger reading.

Observation 1 - Place the weight being tested on the left pan and the counterweight on the right pan. Release the balance, read and record the indication. If the balance is undamped, turning points are read and the rest point computed from them. [3] This indication is designated  $I_1$ .

Observation 2 - Remove the weight being tested and place enough known weights, that is standards, on the pan to bring the balance beam to approximately the same position of equilibrium as in observation 1. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated I,.

Observation 3 - Add the sensitivity weight to that pan which will bring the indicator towards or past the center of the reading-scale. This indication is designated  $I_3$ .

The difference between the weight being tested,  $W_{x}$ , and the standards,

S, is:

$$W_{x} - S = (I_{1} - I_{2}) \frac{W_{S}}{|I_{3} - I_{2}|} = a$$

where

W is the mass of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between W<sub>x</sub> and S in mass units.

The absolute value of the sensitivity deflection,  $I_3 - I_2$  is used, that is without regard to sign, as indicated by the symbol  $|I_3 - I_2|$ .

3.4.2 Double Substitution Weighing - Equal-arm Balance (see Figure 4b for Indications  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of the double substitution weighing are obtained from observations 1, 2, and 3 of the double substitution weighing in the same manner as the corresponding indications for the single substitution weighing described above, Section 3.4.1. The fourth observation of this double substitution weighing is described immediately below. Observation 4 - Remove the standards from the left pan but leave the sensitivity weight on pan. Put the weight being tested on the pan with the sensitivity weight. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_4$ .

The difference between the weight being tested,  $W_{\chi}$ , and the standards, S, is:

$$W_{x} - S = \frac{I_{1} - I_{2} + I_{4} - I_{3}}{2} \frac{W_{S}}{|I_{2} - I_{3}|} = a$$

where W<sub>s</sub> is the mass of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between W<sub>x</sub> and S in mass units.

The absolute value of the sensitivity deflection,  $I_3 - I_2$ , is used.

#### 3.5 Transposition Weighing

Transposition Weighing - Transposition weighing is done on two-pan equal-arm balances. When this method of weighing is used counterpoise or tare weights are not required. The weight being tested is placed on one pan and the standard on the other pan; a reading is taken, then the weights are transposed. Either single or double transposition weighing methods may be used.

3.5.1 Single Transposition Weighing - Equal-arm Balance (see Figure 5a for example).

Observation 1 - Place the weight being tested on one of the pans, say the left pan, and standards on the other pan, the right pan. Adjust the amount of known weight on the pan so that the indicator will be "on scale" when the balance beam is in equilibrium. When the balance beam is in equilibrium, read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_1$ .

Observation 2 - Remove the weights from their respective pans and transpose them to the other pan. That is, the weight that was on the left pan is put on the right pan and the weight on the right pan is put on the left pan. Read and record indication. This indication is designated  $I_2$ .

Observation 3 - Add the sensitivity weight on the pan that will cause the pointer to move towards or past the center of the reading scale. Read and record the indication. This indication is designated  $I_3$ .

The difference between the weight being tested,  $W_{y}$ , and the standard,

S, is:

$$W_{x} - S = \frac{I_{1} - I_{2}}{2} \frac{W_{S}}{|I_{3} - I_{2}|}$$

where

Ws is the mass value of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between W, and S in mass units.

The absolute value of the sensitivity deflection,  $I_3 - I_2$ , that is without regard to sign, is used. Whether the weight being tested is heavier or lighter than the standard can be ascertained from the direction of motion of the indicator or the rules described on pages 15 and 15. 3.5.2 Double Transposition Weighing - Equal-arm Balance (see Figure 5b for example). Indications  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of the double transposition weighing are obtained from observations 1, 2, and 3 of the double transposition weighing in the same manner as the corresponding indications for the single transposition weighing described above, Section 3.5.1. The fourth observation of the double transposition weighing is described immediately below.

Observation 4 - Remove the weights from their respective pans and transpose them. Leave the sensitivity weight on the same pan that it was in observation 3. This indication is designated  $I_4$ .

The difference between the weight being tested,  $W_x$ , and the standard, S, is:

$$W_x - S = \frac{I_1 - I_2 + I_4 - I_3}{4} \frac{W_s}{|I_3 - I_2|} = a$$

where

W<sub>s</sub> is the mass value of the sensitivity weight and

"a" is the difference between W<sub>x</sub> and S in mass units.

The absolute value of the sensitivity deflection,  $I_3 - I_2$ , that is without regard to sign, is used. Whether the weight being tested is heavier or lighter than the standard can be ascertained from the direction of motion of the indicator or the rule described below may be applied.

3.5.3 Determining the sign of the difference between two weights being compared in a transposition weighing - To find the sign of the difference "a", between two weights being compared by transposition weighing, in the expression:

$$W - S = a$$

Consider the following.

Example 1:

Obs. No.	Load o Left		Balance Indication
0	0	0	I <sub>0</sub>
1	W	S	I <sub>1</sub>
2	S	W	I <sub>2</sub>
3	S+W <sub>S</sub>	W	I 3

Observation  $^{0}$  is the measurement of the no-load or zero load equilibrium position. Observation 1 is the weighing with the weights W and S on the pans as indicated. Observation 2 is the weighing with the weights transposed. Observation 3 is the sensitivity weighing. If we assume the

balance's zero load equilibrium position is constant and that the arms are equal, then:

$$W - S = I_1 - I_0 \tag{1}$$

is a measure of the difference between the weights W and S in scale divisions. Similarly

$$S - W = I_2 - I_0$$
 (2)

is also a measure of the difference between the weights W and S in scale divisions. We now have two measures of the difference between W and S. Combining both measurements, we have:

$$W - S = I_1 - I_0$$
 (1)

$$S - W = I_2 - I_0$$
 (2)

$$2W - 2S = I_1 - I_0 - I_2 + I_0 \quad (Sub.(2) \text{ from}(1)) \tag{3}$$

Since the I 's cancel each other we can rewrite equation (3)

$$2W - 2S = I_1 - I_2$$
  

$$W - S = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{2} = a^{-1}$$
(4)

The expression  $W - S = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{2}$  states the difference between the weights in scale divisions. Since  $I_0$  drops out when the two halves of the transposition weighing are combined, it is not necessary to find the zero load equilibrium position, Observation No. 0. The difference between W and S in mass units is stated in equation (5)

$$W - S = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{2} \frac{W_S}{I_3 - I_4} = a$$
(5)

Therefore, the complete transposition weighing requires only the three weighings shown in the following example (Example 2).

Example 2:

Obs. No.	Load on Left		Balance Indication
1	W	S	Il
2	S	W	I <sub>2</sub>
3	S+Ws	W	I <sub>3</sub>

In Example 2, if we assume that the reading scale reads from left to right, then since the sensitivity weight was added to the left pan we know that the term  $\frac{W_S}{I_3 - I_2}$  in the expression,

$$W - S = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{2} \frac{W_S}{I_3 - I_2} = a$$

has a plus sign because  $I_3$  is numerically greater than  $I_2$ . Therefore the sign for the difference "a" will depend on whether  $I_1$  or  $I_2$  is greater; if  $I_1$  is greater, the sign is plus, and if  $I_2$  is greater, the sign is minus. From the sign for the difference "a", we can ascertain whether W or S is the heavier weight. When the sign is plus, we have:

W - S = a or W = S + a

and W is heavier than S.

When the sign is minus we have:

W - S = -a or W = S - a

and clearly S is heavier than W. Sometimes the sign for the difference is not obvious and it may help to have rules for determining whether the sign for the difference between the weights being compared is plus or minus.

There are two cases: Case I sensitivity weight added to load on left pan; Case II sensitivity weight added to load on right pan. In each case there are four possible combinations of plus and minus signs relating  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of the transposition weighing in the computation of the difference "a" between the weights being compared. These possibilities together with the appropriate sign are outlined below.

- I. Sensitivity weight added on left pan.
  - a. I numerically greater than I<sub>2</sub> , and I<sub>3</sub> numerically greater than I<sub>2</sub> , the sign for the difference, "a" is plus (+).
  - b.  $I_1$  numerically greater than  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  numerically smaller than  $I_2$ , the sign for the difference, "a", is minus (-).
  - c. I<sub>1</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, but I<sub>3</sub> numerically greater than I<sub>2</sub>, the sign for the difference, "a", is minus (-).
  - d. I<sub>1</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, the sign for the difference, "a", is plus (+).

#### II. Sensitivity weight added on right pan.

- a.  $I_1$  numerically greater than  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  numerically greater than  $I_2$ , the sign for the difference, "a", is minus (-).
- b. I<sub>1</sub> numerically greater than I<sub>2</sub>, but I<sub>3</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, the sign for the difference "a", is plus (+).
- c. I<sub>1</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, but I<sub>3</sub> numerically greater than I<sub>2</sub>, the sign for the difference, "a", is plus (+).
- I<sub>1</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>3</sub> numerically smaller than I<sub>2</sub>, the sign for the difference, "a", is minus (-).

### Stated symbolically

I. Sensitivity weight ad	dded on 1	left pan
--------------------------	-----------	----------

a.  $I_1 > I_2$  and  $I_3 > I_2$  sign for "a" plus (+)

b.  $I_1 > I_2$  and  $I_3 < I_2$  sign for "a" minus (-)

c. I, <I, and I, >I, sign for "a" minus (-)

d. I, <I, and I, <I, sign for "a" plus (+)

II. Sensitivity weight added on right pan

a.  $I_1 > I_2$  and  $I_3 > I_2$  sign for "a" minus (-)

b. I, >I, and I, <I, sign for "a" plus (+)

c. I, <I, and I, >I, sign for "a" plus (+)

d. I, <I, and I, <I, sign for "a" minus (-)

#### 4. Computation of Mass Value of Weight being Calibrated

In the preceding section, weighing methods and the method of computing the mass difference between the weight being tested were discussed and illustrated for each weighing method. The expression for this difference had the form  $W_{\chi} = S = a$ . But the required result, the mass value of the weight being calibrated, was not given. In the special case where the nominal value of the standard,  $S_n$ , may be considered its true mass value and no buoyancy correction is needed, then substituting for standard, S, its nominal value,  $S_n$ , in the expression:

$$S_x - S = a$$
  
 $S_x - S_n = a$ 

and the mass value of  $W_{\mathbf{X}} = S_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{a}$ 

The procedure is illustrated in figures 6 and 7.

4.1 Application of Correction for Standard and Buoyant Effect

In most cases, however, it is necessary to apply both a correction for the standard and to make a correction for the difference in the buoyant effect of the atmosphere on the weight being calibrated and on the standard. The expression  $W_x - S = a$  gives the difference between the two weights in mass units under the conditions existing when the comparison was made. When the value of the standard is given as its nominal value,  $S_n$ , plus a correction, c, substitution for S its value,  $S_n + c$ , in the expression:

$$W_x - S = a$$
 (as computed)  
 $W_x - (S_n+c) = a$  (c is the correction for S  
from previous calibration)

and

we get

we get

$$W_v = S_n + c + a$$

This procedure is illustrated in figure 8.

When the correction, c, for the standards, S, is in terms of true mass the value found for  $W_x$  is its true mass within certain limits which depend on the relative densities of  $W_x$  and S. (See Table 1).

Where needed, the correction for the difference in the buoyant effect may be computed as follows:

$$(W_{x} - \rho Vol_{W_{x}}) - (S - \rho Vol_{S}) = a$$
$$(W_{x} - S) - a - \rho(Vol_{S} - Vol_{W_{x}})$$
or
$$(W_{x} - S) = a + \rho(Vol_{W_{x}} - Vol_{S})$$

where

- $P(Vol_{W} Vol_{S})$  is the buoyancy correction term, often x expressed as  $P\Delta V$
- ρ is the air density at the time of comparison
- a is the indicated difference between the weights
- W<sub>x</sub> is the weight being tested
- S is the standard

The mass value of  $W_X$  when both the correction, c, for the standard, S, and the buoyancy correction,  $\rho\Delta v$ , are applied is computed as follows starting with the expression,

 $W_x - S = a$  (as computed)

 $W_x - (S_n+c) = a$  (substituting for S its value  $S_n+c$ )

plus buoyancy correction =  $\rho\Delta V$ 

 $W_x = S_n + c + a + \rho \Delta V$ 

The value for  $W_X$  is the true mass when the correction for S is in terms of true mass, within the appropriate uncertainty. This procedure is illustrated in figures 9 and 10.

#### 4.2 Use of "Transfer Standard"

When one of the duplicate weights of a set having two or more weights of the same denomination is used as a "transfer standard" (Section 2), this weight is calibrated, using one of the weighing methods described in Section 3. The other weight or weights of the same denomination are then compared with the "transfer standard" by a suitable weighing method. When these comparisons have been completed, the "transfer standard" is recalibrated. The mean of the two values found for the "transfer standard" is the value used to establish the mass values of the weights for which it served as a standard. This procedure is illustrated in figure 11.

#### 4.3 Added Weights

When the mass difference between weights being compared is larger than the on-scale range of the balance, it is necessary to add small weights to one or the other of the weights to get an on-scale balance indication. When an unknown weight is compared directly with a group of known weights, this is not a problem because only enough known weights are placed in use to bring the balance to the same equilibrium position as when the unknown weight was on the pan. However, it is sometimes more convenient to use one or more added small weights with the unknown weight so that a single large standard may be used.

In substitution weighing, using a one-pan balance, this is a straightforward procedure. For example, assume that the mass of the weight being calibrated is a little less than that of a convenient standard, as in example 1. (See Figure 12).

Example 1:

0 <sub>1</sub>	$W_x + W_{\Delta}$	= I <sub>1</sub>
02	S	= 1 <sub>2</sub>
03	s + W <sub>s</sub>	= I <sub>3</sub>
	$W_x + W_A - S$	$W_{s} = (I_{1} - I_{2}) \frac{W_{s}}{I_{3} - I_{2}} = a$
	W <sub>x</sub>	= S - W + a Solving for $W_X$

where

W.

is the standard S

represents the added known weight WA

is the weight being calibrated

is the sensitivity weight We

is the difference between the masses a

If in the above example S is two pounds and W, is, say, 1.95 lbs, it is evident that this procedure is more convenient than if one had used standards equal to the mass of the weight being calibrated.

The use of an equal-arm balance permits greater flexibility because the added weights can be placed on either pan. But this adds complexity to the computations.

Consider the same kind of situation as in the example (Example 1) with the one-pan balance where the standard is heavier than the weight being calibrated and it is impractical to use a group standard, as would be the case when a "transfer standard" is used. If the difference is known prior to beginning the actual weighings of the calibration, then the necessary small weights can be placed on the pan with the weight being calibrated as shown below. (See Figure 13a). Example 2:

Observation	Load o Left	n Pans Right	Indication
01	W <sub>x</sub> +W <sub>∆</sub>	CW	I
02	S	CW	I <sub>2</sub>
03	S +Ws	CW	I <sub>3</sub>
04	<sup>w</sup> x <sup>+w</sup> ∆	CW	I <sub>4</sub>

The difference is expressed as follows:

$$W_{\mathbf{X}} + W_{\Delta} - S = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{1} - \mathbf{I}_{2} + \mathbf{I}_{4} - \mathbf{I}_{3}}{2} \frac{W_{\mathbf{S}}}{|\mathbf{I}_{3} + \mathbf{I}_{2}|} = a$$

$$W_{\mathbf{X}} = S - W_{\Delta} + a \qquad \text{Solving for } W_{\mathbf{X}}$$

CW is the counterweight and the other symbols have the same meaning as above, for substitution weighing, with the one-pan balance.

But in many instances the magnitude of the difference between the weights will not be known before beginning the calibrations and the first half of the weighing will have been completed before it is apparent that small weights need to be added. In that case the most economical procedure is to place the added weight on the pan with the counterweight when the standard is on the other pan, as in Example 3. (See Figure 13b). Example 3:

Observation	Load c Left	n Pans Right	Indication
0 <sub>1</sub>	Wx	CW	I <sub>1</sub>
02	S	C₩+₩ <sub>Δ</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>
0 <sub>3</sub>	S+W <sub>s</sub>	CW+W	I <sub>3</sub>

$$W_{x} - (S - W_{\Delta}) = (I_{1} - I_{2}) \frac{W_{S}}{I_{3} - I_{2}} = a$$

and

 $W_x = S - W_A + a$  Solving for  $W_x$ .

This result is the same as that for Example 2.

The following argument will demonstrate that this is true:

Consider observation 1 as a measure of the difference between  $W_X$  and CW, and observation 2 as a measurement of the difference between S and CW+ $W_A$ , then:

$$O_{1} \qquad W_{x} - CW \qquad = kI_{1}$$

$$O_{2} \qquad S - (CW + W_{\Delta}) \qquad = kI_{2}$$

$$O_{1} - O_{2} \qquad W_{x} - CW - S + CW + W_{\Delta} = k(I_{1} - I_{2})$$

Since  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are in scale divisions a factor, k, is applied to convert them into mass units. Generally k is equivalent to the term  $\frac{W_S}{I_3 - I_2}$  in the foregoing examples. In this example

$$k = \frac{W_{S}}{|I_{3} - I_{2}|}$$

$$W_{X} - S + W_{\Delta} = (I_{1} - I_{2}) \frac{W_{S}}{|I_{3} - I_{2}|} = a$$

$$W_{X} = S - W_{\Delta} + a \qquad \text{Solving for } W_{Y}.$$

Consider the situation where the weight under test is heavier than the standard and the added weights were placed on the pan with the counterweight and the weight under test was placed on the other pan, as in Example 4. (See Figure 13c).

#### Example 4:

W<sub>x</sub> heavier than the standard, S, and the counterweight, CW.

Observation	Load c Left	n Pans Right	Indication
0,	Wx	CW+W	I
02	S	CW	I <sub>2</sub>
0 <sub>3</sub>	S+W <sub>S</sub>	CW	I <sub>3</sub>

Using the same argument as for Example 3

$$W_{\mathbf{x}} - W_{\Delta} - S = (\mathbf{I}_1 - \mathbf{I}_2) \frac{W_S}{|\mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{I}_2|} = a$$
$$W_{\mathbf{x}} = S + W_{\Delta} + a$$

Transposition Weighing - In transposition weighing added weights may be used during only part of the weighing or they may be used during the entire weighing, as required. Again, consider the case where the standard is heavier than the weights under test and the situation requires that the added weights be employed during the weighing, as in Example 5. (See Figure 14a).

Example 5:

Observation	Load c Left	n Pans Right	Indication
0 <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>x</sub> +W <sub>∆</sub>	S	Il
02	S	W <sub>x</sub> +W <sub>A</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>
03	s +W <sub>s</sub>	W <sub>x</sub> +W <sub>∆</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>

Compute as described for transposition weighing in Section 3.5.

$$W_{\mathbf{X}} + W_{\Delta} - S = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{1} - \mathbf{I}_{2}}{2} \frac{W_{\mathbf{S}}}{|\mathbf{I}_{3} - \mathbf{I}_{2}|} = a$$
$$W_{\mathbf{X}} = S - W_{\Delta} + a \qquad \text{Solving for } W_{\mathbf{X}}$$

This result is the same as that obtained for substitution methods.

Now consider the same case as illustrated in Example 5 except that it is necessary to employ the added weights during only one half of the transposition weighing, as in Example 6. (See Figure 14b).

Example 6:

	Load o	n Pans			
Observation	Left	Right	Indication		
0 <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>x</sub> +W <sub>A</sub>	S	I <sub>1</sub>		
02	S	W <sub>x</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>		
03	S +W <sub>s</sub>	Wx	I <sub>3</sub>		

Treat each observation as a measurement of the difference between the weights.

0 <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>x</sub> -	+ W∆	-	S	#	kI <sub>1</sub>	
02	S		-	Wx	=	kI <sub>2</sub>	
$0_1 - 0_2$	2Wx -	+ W <sub>A</sub>	-	2S	=	$k(I_1 -$	1 <sub>2</sub> )

k is a factor to transform scale divisions into mass units.

$$2W_{X} - 2S = -W_{\Delta} + k(I - I)$$

$$W_{X} - S = \frac{-W_{\Delta}}{2} + \frac{k(I_{1} - I_{2})}{2} = a$$

$$W_{X} = S - \frac{W_{\Delta}}{2} + a$$

This result is similar to that obtained in the previous examples, the difference being that only half of the value of the added weights was applied.

Those of the above considerations that apply to substitution weighing are equally applicable to both single and double substitution weighing methods; and those that apply to transposition weighing are equally applicable to both single and double transposition weighing methods.

#### 5. Air Density

In order to make the buoyancy correction computations (Section 4) it is necessary to know the density of the atmosphere in which the weighings were made. The density of the air may be computed in any of several ways [4][5]. Most of the methods given in the handbooks use tables which require either dew point measurement or wet and dry bulb thermometer readings. But, the approximate density of the air may be computed with sufficient accuracy (to about  $10\mu g/cm^3$ ) directly from temperature, relative humidity and barometric pressure by the formula given below [6] or by using the table (see Appendix) derived from that formula. The equation is:

$$P = \frac{0.46554P - R.H.(0.00252T - 0.020582)}{273.16 + T}$$
[6]

where

 $\rho$  = density of air in mg/cm<sup>3</sup>

P = barometric pressure in mm

R.H. = relative humidity in percent

T = temperature of air in degrees centigrade

The following example illustrates how the air density  $(\rho)$  is computed using this formula.

Compute the density of the air when:

The temperature is 24.8°C, The relative humidity is 57% The barometric pressure is 749.6 mm, Hg.

Substituting the temperature, relative humidity and barometric pressure for the symbols in the equation above

$$P = \frac{0.46554 \times 749.6 - 57(0.00252 \times 24.8 - 0.020582)}{273.16 + 24.8}$$

$$\rho = \frac{348.9689 - 57(0.041914)}{297.96}$$
$$\rho = \frac{346.6599}{297.96} = 1.163 \text{ mg/cm}^3$$

#### REFERENCES

- American Society for Testing Materials, 1968 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 30, Standard Methods of Testing Single-Arm Balances, ASTM Designation E-319-68.
- [2] National Bureau of Standards Handbook 77, Volume III, Testing a Quick-Weighing Balance, pp 740/707 to 746/713.
- [3] Cross, J. L., Reduction of Data for Piston Gage Pressure Measurements, NBS Monograph 65.
- [4] National Bureau of Standards Handbook 77, Volume III, Circular 3, pp 671/53 to 683/65.
- [5] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Chemical Rubber Publishing Company, Cleveland, Ohio.
- [6] Bowman, Horace A. and Schoonover, Randall M., Procedure for High Precision Density Determinations by Hydrostatic Weighing, NBS Journal of Research, Volume 71C (Engineering and Instrumentation) No. 3, 179-198 (1967).

#### FIGURE 1

WEIGHT SUMMARY SHEET Test No. 756/ Test Performed For: XYZ Conformation \_\_\_ Date 10-23-67 \_\_\_Observer\_CNA Order No. 2 - 4035 71 Instrument Hade No. Set B Maker Doc Engineering Inspected by: CNA Date: 10 - 24-67 Condition: Mew Cleaned by: \_\_\_\_ CNA Date: 10 - 24 - 67 Remarks: Denomination Material Assumed Density 7.8 g/cm 3 at 20°C lle to 0. 4246lb Stainless Steel iston aspembly 7.8 g/cm² at 20°C Composil Hoke assembly 2.8 g/cm3 at 20°C Weight Weight Value Value Designation Designation #361 19.99906 lb 20 16 #362 19.99998 20 11 20 " # 363 20.00016 IJ 20 11 #370 19,99950 11 20 11 20.00016 1) # 371 11 2\_0 H #372 20.00020 20 11 19.99943 #373 11 19.99789 20 11 #37.5 11 20 11 #376 20.00020 11 20 11 #377 19.99997 11 11 1/ 1 19,99973 20 #.378 U 11 20 #379 19.99991 20 11 11 4 #383 20.00032 #386 20.00023 20 11 21 6 10 " #251 10.000657 16 #182 5,000 425 11 5 ĸ 5 11 5.000363 #183 11 0.424620 110 0,425 11 #132 #138 0.424637 2.424 11 11 1 11 1 Pipton appy. 7. 241383 loke Asry. 2.334577 11 6 S.M.H. Date: //-/- 67 Report: // Packed by: Date: 11-3-67 Via: REA Shipped to: XYZ Conforcation Date: 11-7-67 

### FIGURE 2

	Temperature					
	25.800	FORM NBS-34	5.06	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COM NATIONAL BUREAU OF STAN	MERCE	Sheet 1
	Humidity 35 70				Unit (Wte.)	
	Barometer 7526 mm	SUBSTITUTION WEIGHING				
		Single Pan Damped Balances			Unit (Ce. & Dill.)	
	p=		OBSER	VATION SHEET		
	Observer PME Balance	M-4	Date 11-1	0-66 Set	NBS Tes	177182
	Load	Dial Setting	Scale Reading	the second se	utation	
		Dial Coming	I I I		putation	
	W + X	590.4		X - Stdo	0.1	***************************************
	1 500	270.4	29.24	=(29.24-21.08) -2	0.01	σ.
	L 5009 L109 L 509 AB 380 mg L 309 AB 100 mg	1,	1 -2			
	L 309 AB 100 mg	".	21.08	= 8.16 × 20.01	=	8.16 mg = a
	Std+	11	I.g	FU.07		
	+ L 20, my		41.10		_	
				This competal	ion.	is usually
a			•	shown as foll	our	
				X-Std = 8.16 x 20	0.01 z	8.16 mg
		•••••	•	20	.02	
		•	•			
				4		
		•	•			
			I,	7- 972		• a
	Wt Z	236.2	13.81	Z - STI = 13.81-2057+33.82	-40.6	0 v. 2 0.01 1
	12008 LIQ	~16.4	13.01	7		
	L2000 L/Q L 308 AB200 mg	11.	20.57	= -6.76 - 6.78 x	20.01	:
	1 50 h0 20 mg	•	20.01			
	Stor + L20 mg	11	-43	= -6.11 × 20.00	3	6:76 mg=a
	LLC Mg,	•	40.60	. I		•
	Wt Z	11	I <sub>4</sub>	This computa	tion -	is usually
A	+ L 20 mg,	".	33.82	shown as fo	low	21.
-				Z-Sto - 6.76		a
				- 6.78	0.01	-171
				Mean - 6. 77 X 2	0.03	-6.76 mg
		•	•			•
		•	•			
						• • • • • • • • •
			•			
		•	•			•

Single substitution weighing (a), and double substitution weighing (b), using known weights from an ordered set of weights as standards. The order in which the weighings are made and the method of computing the difference, in mass units, between the weight being tested and the standard are illustrated.

#### FIGURE 3

	Temperature 23.1	FORM NBS-345.06 U.S. DEP ARTMENT OF COMMERCE (6-1-51) NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS				MERCE	Sheet 2	
	Humidity 52 70	SUBSTITUTION WEIGHING Single Pan Damped Balances					Unit (Wta.)	
	Barometer 755.79						Unit (Cr. & Dill.)	
	ρ=	OBSERVATION			SHEET			
	Observer PCM	Balance	M-4	Date 9-1	7-64	Set B	NBS Tes	it No. 177064
	Load		Dial Setting	Scale Reading			putation	8
	B2-138	TW				82-138 - Sta		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		TW.	24.0	23.52	=(23	$52 - 16.10) \frac{2}{36.}$	0.01	·····
	0	/ 20.	0.0	11.10	1			
	11	TW		16.10 T	= /	42× 20.01 -	5	7.4420g=a
	+ 1 20	my,	0.0	36.07			<b></b>	
0					Thi	s computation	ton.	is usually
a			•	•				······
					WT.	B2-138 - Ite	Y	a
			•	•		7.42 20.01	=	7.44 mg
					- '	19.97		
			•	•	ł			
					1			·····
			•	•				•
		TW		I,	83-1	160 - Stas	101-8	· a
	B3-160	TW	130.6	24.93	1	93-15.94+44		35.89-15.94
	0	1-	0.0	15.94	= 8.	<u>99+8.97</u> x <u>2</u>	0.01	
	,,	Tw		I		98 x 20.01 19.95		
0.	+ 1 20.	mp,	0.0	35.89	- 0.	19.95		9.01 mgt a
10	B3-160	TW		Iy	Th	is computa	tion-	15 requery
	+ 1 20	291	130.6	44.86	sh	own as fo	ciou	
					1	-160 - Sto	19	
			•	•	=	8.99 8.97		••••••••••
			•		mes	n .8.98 x 2	0.01	9.01 med
					1	/		
								•
		. 4-	•	•				
	* TW indi	cate	e a tar	weight	w	as on the	pan	· a
			•	•				
					1			
			•	•				
			•	•	l			•

Single substitution weighing (a) and double substitution weighing (b) using the balance's built-in weights as standards. The order in which the weighings are made and the method of computing the difference, in mass units, between the weight being tested and the standard are illustrated.

FIGURE 4

				FIGU	the second second		
	TEMPERATURE	24. 8°CHU	MIDITY 5	7% PRESS	ITHE 749 (	TEST NO. 187 93/ SET e SHEET 2 SERIPS_1	
	OBSERVER	PCN BA	LANCE B-	/ DATE	8-9-86	SHEET 2 SPOTUS 1	
	PANT	DIGUT			<u><u> </u></u>		
ير.	LEFT	RIGHT	18-1	19.5	I,	W+ # 25/- Std.	
1-10-65	W+	T	18.1	19.5		$= (37.6 - 40.4) \frac{100.0}{40.4 - 34.7}$	
9	VV /	ctp	10.1	11.3		= (31.6-40.4)	
-4	# 251	10.000516				90.9-37.1	
L L					37.6	= -2.8 × 100.0 = - 49.1 ul=	0
	X2 10 //						a
	X2 - 10/10		+8-2	21.4	I,	2	
	x2-500 ul	- //	19.0	21.3			
a					40.4		
					90.7		
	11	11	+6.5	18.1	I.		
					3		
			16.6	18.1			
		+100 ulb					
		1100 200			34.7		
		25	10-	2 4 4	T	W HOFP AT	
	Wt	CLP	19.5	25.2	1	We = 253 - Std	
	# 253	5.0003/6	19.6	25.1		$= \left(\frac{44.8 - 43.4 + 49.7 - 48.4}{7}\right) \frac{100.0}{48.4 - 43.4}$	
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1.0003.00				2 70.4-73.7	
					14 5	$= \frac{+1.4+1.3}{2} \times \frac{100.0}{5.0}$	
	X2 -5 lb X2 -300 ulb		19.8	23.6	I,	= +1.35 × 100.0 5.0 =+27.0 ulb = a	
1.	12 -300 MA	11			_	5.0 5.0	
N	XI Jerre		19.9	23.5			
					43.4		
					-7		
	11		21.7	26.7	-43		
		17	21.8	26.5			
		"					
	+100 ulb				116.11		
					48.4		
	Wt		22.3	27.1	I.		
					4		
	#253		22.5	27.3			
1	+						
	*2 -100 ulb				49.7		
1							

Single substitution weighing (a) and double substitution weighing (b) with equal arm balances, using known weights as standards. The order in which the weighings are made and the method of computing the difference, in mass units, between the weight being tested and the standard are illustrated.

				FIGU	RE 5	
	TEMPERATURE	24.8 HU	MIDITY 5	% PRESS	ITHE 7496	TEST NO 1879/SET 13
	OBSERVER	PCM BA	LANCE B-	-/ DATE	8-9-66	TEST NO. 18790/SET 13 SHEET J SERIES 2
	LEFT	RIGHT				
65	Wt	X2-518	+9.2		1,	Wt# 183-5td
0	#183	x 2-0.3 lb	19.2	21.6		= 40.8-41.5 × 100.0 2 × [364-41.5]
11-10-65	180	1				2 1364-41.5
-					40.8	
	X2 - 5 lb	Wt	19.9	21.8	T	$= -0.35 \times \frac{100.0}{5.1} = -6.9 \text{ ub} = -6$
	x2- 0.316	#183	19.7	21.8	-2	011
		-105	11.1	d-1.0		
					41.5	
<u>.</u>					77.3	
a	11	"	+7.+	19.3	I3	
			17.2	19.2	_	
		+ X2-100 ull				
		X2-100UB			36.4	
0						
	WE	$\chi_2 - 5.44$ $\chi_2 - 0.3.44$	+9.4-	25.2	I,	WE#182 - Sty - 44.6-38.6+50.6-43.6x 100.0 4 143.6-38.6
	#182	X2 -0.3,0	19.5	25.1		- 44.0-32.6+30.6-43.6x 149 (-38)
				-		7 173.0 30.0
					44.6	+ 6.0 + 7.0 × 100.0 + × 5.0
			15-E	19.8		
		(n)	18.8		-12	=+3.25 × 100.0 = +6.5 ulb=
			18.8	17.8		
					38.6	
	11	//	19.7	23.9	$I_3$	
	~		19.7	23.8	3	
				e		
	+ x2-100 ull				43.6	
				2 -		
-	Wt	X2- 516 X2-0.3/6	1-9-7	30.8	Σy	
	#182	X2 -0.3 10	19.8	30.7		
	×2- 100 ul				50.6	

Single transposition weighing (a) and double transposition weighing (b). Known weights are used as standards. The order in which the weighings are made and the method of computing the difference between the weight being tested and the standard are illustrated. 30

		F	IGURE 6			
	Temperature 25.8°C	FORM NBS-34.	5.06	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONATIONAL BUREAU OF ST	ANDARDS	Sheet /
	Humidity 35%		SUBSTITU	TION WEIGHING		Unit (Wise)
	Barometer 752.6			Domped Balances		Unit (Cr. & D111.)
	ρ=		OBSER	VATION SHEET		Unit (UP. 2 Din.)
	Observer PMC Balance	M-4	Date 11-10	7-66 Set	NBS Tes	No. 177182
	Load	Dial Setting	Scale Reading	the second se	mputations	
	wtx	590.4	I, 29.24	X - Stds	2001	a
	L500 g L10g L 500 g AB 300 mg L 30 g AB 100 mg	11.	I I	= (29.24-21.08	41.10-2	1.08
	L 30 9 AB 100 - 49 Stds.		21.08 I.	= 8.16 x 20.01 20.02	=	8:16 m = a
	+ 2 20, mg	4	41.10	This company	tatio	n is usually
				shown city	follo	ulle
		•	•	AL ALA FILL	20.01	
	-	•	•	$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi - & Std = 8.16  \text{x} \\ Std = & + 59 \end{array}$	20.02	= & . 1 6
				X = 59	0.49+	<u>8 16 mg</u>
		•	•			••••••••••
						••••••
	Wt Z	236.2	I, 13.81	Z - Sta = 13.81-20.57+3:	3.82-40.	60 20.01 X404-20 57
	L2009 L19 L38 AB200mg	11.	I, 20.57	$= \frac{-6.76 - 6.78}{2} \times \frac{-2}{2}$	20.01	
	Std + L 20 mg	41	40.60	$= -6.77 \times \frac{20}{20}$	01 = -	- 6:76 mg = a
-	Wt Z	11		This computate shown as y	allow	ictically-
	+ L 20, mp	•	33.82	Z - Std		a
		•	•	- 6.76		····
		•		- 6.77X-	20.01	
				DW = + 2	- 36.69	
		· •	•	Z = 23	6.29-	- 6: 16 mg.
		•	•			•

G

Λ

Single substitution weighing (a), and double substitution weighing (b), using known weights from an ordered set of weights as standards. The order in which the weighings are made and the method of computing the mass value of the weight under test when neither corrections for the standards nor buoyancy corrections are required.

FIGURE 7								
Temperature 23.100	FORM NBS-34	FORM NBS-345.06 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (6-1-61) NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS						
Humidity 52%	]	Unit (Wee.)						
Barometer 755.79 mm								
	1	Unit (Cr. & Dill.)						
$\rho =$ Observer $\mathcal{PCM}$ Balance	OBSERVATION SHEET			at No.				
hand the second s	14-4 9-17-		-64 D	177064				
Load *TW	Dial Setting	Scale Reading	Computation	s 				
B2-138 "1W	24 0		WI (B2-138) - Stor.					
TW	24.0	23.52	= (23.52-16.10) 20.0	16 \$ Ø				
0 11	0.0	11.10	= 7.42 × 20.01 =					
11 TW	0.0	16.10 T-	19.97 =	7.44 mg = a				
+ 120, mg	0.0	36.07	This computation	is usually				
		0007	shown as follow	vh.:				
			WI (B2 - 138) - Stor.	a				
			$= 7.42 \times \frac{20.01}{19.97} =$	7.44				
	•	•	sta = 24.0 g					
			ut (B2 - 138) = 24.04	+ A				
	•	•		FJ: 79 g				
	•	•	(a					
B3-160 TW	180 1	I,		a				
	130.6	24.93	24.93-15.94+44.86-35.89 x	10.01				
O TW		+2 15 01	= 8.99 + 8.97 × 20.01 1 19.95	35.89-15.94				
11 TW	0.0	15.94 T						
+ 1 20, mg	0.0	35.89	= 8.98 x <u>20.01</u> 19.95 =	9.01 mg = a				
B3-160 TW	0.0	JJ DI	This computation					
p 5 = 160 +L 20, mg	130.6	44.86	This computation shown as follow	wa				
I a my	6.00 6	1.20	(B3 - 160) - Stor	a				
	•	•	8.99					
			8.97 20.01					
	•	•	8.90 × 19.95 =	9.01 mg				
			$\frac{\frac{8.97}{8.98} \times \frac{20.01}{19.95} =}{50.67}$ $(B3-160) = 130.67$					
	•	•	(0, -1, 0) = 130, 69	T 7.01 mg.				
Ne	•	•	····					
* TW indicates a	tare wer	ght was	on the pan.	4				
	•	•		•••••				
	•	•						
Single substitut:	ion weigh	ing (a),	and double substitu	tion weighing				
(b), using the ba	lance's b	uilt-in	weights as standards e and the method of	. The order				
mass value of the	weight u	inder tes	t, when neither cor	rections for				
the standards nor	buoyancy	correct	ions are required.					

FIGURE 8

					UKE 8	
	TEMPERATURE OBSERVER	PCM BA	MIDITY 5 LANCE 8-	Z PRESS	URE 744.	6 TEST NO. 1769/SET A 7 SHEET 2 SERIES
	PAN LEFT	RIGHT				
65	yoke	-	+8.5		I I	Yoke Barry - Stold
11-10-65	Aug	Ctp	18.6	20.1		-2.9 -2.8 -2.8
4	any	7.2316.				-2.8
-					38.7	$-2.85 \times \frac{100.0}{5.0} = -57 \text{ ulb}$
	X-2 5lt		18.5	23.1	·I	$(-1)(y_{12}, -1) = + 42$
	X-2 2"	)/	18.5	23.1	í í	1 (V-2 = 11) = + 7 9 1
	x-2 0.2" x-2 0.03"	"	10.5	23.1		$e_{m}(X-2 \ 0.2^{n}) = T \cup "$
					41.6	er(x-2 0.03") - 0"
					11.0	Yoke any -7.23 lb = +12 " Yoke any = 7.230012 lb
	11	16	19.7	26.8	I <sub>3</sub>	
		S	19.8	26.7		yoke Booy = 1.230012 10
	+100 silb				46.6	
			19.8	23.9	Ŧy	
	gothe	13	19.9	23.8	/	
	addy		1.7.	Ad.0		
	Yoke Addy +100 ult				43.8	
	11001001				93.8	
					-	
		-	-			
-						
-		-				
	_	-		_		
1						
-						

Double substitution weighing, with equal arm balance, illustrating application of the correction for standard.

FIGURE 9

Temperature 24.8°C	FORM NBS-34	5.06	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS	Sheet
Humidity 57 90		Unit (Wte.)		
Barometer 749.6 man		Unit (Cr. & Dill.)		
p= 1.14		OBSER		
Observer PCM Balance	M-6	st No. 7 910		
Load		Scale Reading	Computation	8
	Diatotinag	I,	Wt#8- 50	· a
20t# 8	5.0	31.97	4-07.4	
- 11		1,	+29.2 6 500.1 -	
X-2 5lb	11	2.73	$= \frac{729.26}{200000000000000000000000000000000000$	292.5 ult
11		I,	cr. std 5lb =	+ 41.7
+500 ulb	11	52.76	PAV wf#8 = 510 +	+ 15.6
Wt #8		Iy	wt#8 = 310 +	3.5208 ult
+ 500 ult	•	82.02		:
				a
	•	•		
+ Vol- wt#		290.78 0	L.	
- Vol Sto 51	2	283.50	í .	
41	= +	7.28 0	in a second s	
	•	•		
PAV	= +	8.45 7	ng .	
X	•	2.2	0	
		18.6 ul	4	· · · · · · a
	•			
	•	•		
	•	•		
				•••••
	•	•		
				۵
	•	•		
	•	•		
	•			
	•	•		
				a
	•	•		
				••••••
		•		
	•	•		••••••
		•		•
1				•

Single substitution weighing, with one-pan balance, illustrating the application of the buoyancy correction.

	F	IGURE 10		
Temperature 2 3. 1 °C	FORM NBS-34		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS	Sheet 2
Humidity 5-2 %		SUBSTITI	JTION WEIGHING	Unit (Wto.)
Barometer 755.79 mm			Damped Balances	Unit (Cr. & Dill.)
p= 1.18		OBSER	VATION SHEET	Unit (Cr. # Din.)
Observer PCM Balance	M-4	Date 9-17	-64 Set B NBS Ter	No. 177064
Load	Dial Setting	Scale Reading	Computation	
W.t. # TW		I,	(B3-160)-Std	
B3-130	130.6	24.93	= 8.99	
0 Tw			8.98 × 20.01 -	9.01
Tw	0.0	15.94	Cr. for Built in Wlight adrad Setting 100 -+ 1.18	
11 + L 202mg,	0.0	35.89	N " " 30=+0.37 =	1.57 ~
WTH TW		Iy Iy	11 4 11 0.6=0.02) PAV 3	
B3-130 + L20mg	130.6	44.86	2Ut # B3-160 = 130.604	-0.10 10.48 mg
			đ	·
	•	•		·····
	•	•		
				•••••
	•	·		
				<del>:</del>
				·
Volof Wt. B3-160		16.745	Cmi3	
				••••••••••••••
or of Brilt-in Ul	, (130.6g)=	-16.829	4	
	=	-0.084	cm	
	•	0.087		••••••
P QV = 1.18 X	0.084 =	-0.10 7	4	۵
			Y	
·				
	•	•		
		•		
	•	•		. a
	•			•
	1	1		
as standards, il	lustrati	ng the	g the balance's buil application of the co f the buoyancy correc	orrection for

	FIGURE 11									
	Temperature 24.70	C	FORM NBS-34.	5.06	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Sheet					
	Humidity 56 70			SUBSTITUTION WEIGHING						
	0									
	Barometer 750.1 -	nm			Damped Balances			Unit (Cr. & Dill.)	]	
	P= 1.16	Balance					NBS Tag	: No		
		Datadee	M-6	Date 8-10				t No. 87910		
	Land		Dial Setting	Scale Reading			utation	and the second se	1	
	Wt # 132			1	1	+#132-St	9	····· a		
			2.2	14.67		+14.46		*********		
	X-2 2 lt X-2 0.2 lt		"	2	+ +	14.43 500	t_,	144.2 ult	{	
			•	0.21	cn	X-2 210 =	5 +	24.2 4		
a	"	11	н	3	er	$\begin{array}{c} X-2 & 2 lb \\ X-2 & 0.2 lb \\ PAV \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} PAV \end{array}$		8.2 11	ł	
	+ 500, Wt# 132	ulo	•	50.24 T	+ 2.	PAV = 2 2 $H = 2T $ $H 132 = 2$	2000	00.0		
	+5001		)/	64.64	w	+#132=2.	2001	79.8 10		
	73002	uw	•	67.67				. a		
									]	
			•							
	······································									
									1	
	Wt # 132	×			wt	#133 - Wt#	=132	• • • • •	1	
	W/ #/3L		2.2	14.72	= +	10.08				
	wt#133					$\frac{10.03}{10.06} \times \frac{500.1}{50.03}$	ī=+	1 101.		
2			13	24.80	Valu		2001	8 1. 2 1h be	but	
b	1)				#-/3	$\frac{2}{33} = \frac{2}{2.2}$	1007	2	Ţ	
U I	+ 500 1	ulb	)( •	74.83	#/0	33 - 212	-017 2	00.8 10-		
	wt#132									
	+ 500 x	ulb	)(	64.80				•		
								a		
			•	•						
	* 2 eight # duplicate s	132	is							
	auptical s	lan	dond.	•						
			•	•						
								•		
			•	•	0.11	1/120 110				
	Wt#132		2 -	111		#132- Std 14.48	•	····· a		
	X = 2 - 2 /k		1.2	14.70	+	4.52 500	1	•••••		
CI	X-2 2 lb X-2 0.2 lb		11	() 7 7	+1	4.50 × 500.	5=+	44.9 ult	+	
			•	0.22	Cn	-X-2 2 lb =	+ +	242 32 8.2 0.0 30.5 11		
	11 + 500,	. 11	21	1077	er	PAV =	+	8.2 11		
		1149	•	20.27	+2.	2 lb 2:2	0000	0.0		
	W## 132		".	64.79	24	#132 =2.2	0012	9.8 lb. from	ahaa	
	+ 500	na		41.11	0	Mean	1	TO W. from	av on	
						Ere#132= 2,	200	1807 14		
					Va	132- L.	2001	0. 200.	1	

1

Illustrating use of "transfer standard" (see Page 37)

Figure 11 - Illustrating use of "transfer standard"

- (a) First calibration of "transfer standard" (Weight No. 132) using known weights;
- (b) Calibration of other weights of the same denomination as the "transfer standard" using the "transfer standard" as the standard.
- (c) The repeat calibration of the "transfer standard" after all the other weights of the same denomination have been tested. The mean of the two values (a) and (c) found for the "transfer standard", Weight No. 132, is the value of the weights calibrated using the "transfer standard".

	FIG	IRE 12				
Temperature 24.6°P	FORM NBS-34	5.06	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS	Shect		
Humidity 46 70		SUBSTIT	JTION WEIGHING	Unit (Wie.)		
Barometer 750.6 mm						
p= 1.16 mg/cm			Damped Balances VATION SHEET	Unit (Cr. & Dill.)		
Observer D 24 Balance	M-6	Date	-67 Set NBS Test No. 16849			
Load						
Wt#10	Dial Secting	Scale Reading	201 # 10 + TO.05 - Std .			
T 0.05	2.00	14.67	+2.36	••••••••		
T2lb			+ 2.40 500.1	+28 - 14		
1220	1.1	12:31	+2.38 × 50.08 =	-23.2 11		
T2lb			+ Cr of T2 lb = + - Cr of T0.05 lb = - PAV = 7	- 3.1		
+ 500 mb	ľ	62.39	PAV = 7	+ 7.:0		
Wt#10			WT#10=210-0.0510 +	-50.9 ult		
TO.05/b +500 ulb	1,	64.79				
			wt#10=1.95% +	5/110. a		
	•	•		•••••		
	•	•				
V of Wt # 10 =	113.23	eno				
001 001 - 10	10.40					
+ Vol I0.05-lb =	2.84	11.				
				· a		
+Vol T2 lb	-113.35	+ .		·		
	1			·····		
AV =	+2.72	con				
Q A I						
PAV =	•	3.16 2	ge -	••••••••••		
			ſ			
	<u>×</u> .	2.2		• • a		
		7.0 m	1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	•	1.0 ul				
				••••••		
	•					
				a		
	•					
	•	•				
		1		•		
Double substitut	ion weig	hing, wi	th one-pan balance, f	llustrating		
the computation	of the	mass va	lue when added weight	s are used.		
The application	of the c	orrectio	ns for the added w	veight, the		
standard, and th	e buoyan	cy corre	ction.			

FIGURE 13

				FIGUI	RE 13	
	TEMPERATUR		MIDITY 4	90 PRESS	SURE 749.	2 TEST NO. 188109 SET A
	OBSERVER /	$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}$ . HA	LANCE 3	-/ DATE	10-9-1	7 SHEET 4 SPRIPS 3
	PAN- LEFT	RIGHT				
S		110111	H.0	14.9		2018, -2018, 26.0-19.8+35.8-29.4× 500.1 2 29.4-19.8
X	20lb, T1000 ulb	CW	11.2	14.7	1	26.0-19.8+35.8-29.4, 500.1
Ĕ	T1000				1	2 29.4-198
- A	7 300 ulb				4	
	1 0000000		1		26.0	$= \frac{(+6.2 + 6.4)}{2} \times \frac{500.1}{9.6}$
			8.6	11.0		500.1
	20162	11			1	$= + 6.3 \times \frac{500.1}{9.6} = + 328 \text{ solution}$
	2012	1 1	8.8	11.0		- TIALO. 1/2 - 1000
						- T 100 out = - 300 T 300
					19.8	+ Cr 20.62 = + 60"
a			10.	11.	11.0	FUL LOUDE Q12 ulle
	2014		+3.0-	16.3	1	20lb, = 20lb - 912 ulb
	20,102	11	13.2	16.1		
	+ 500 ulb				1	
	000,000				0.0.1	
					29.4	
	2016,		+6.8	19.0		
	T1000 ult	11	16.9	18.8		
	T 300 ult		10.1	10.0		
	+ 500 ulb	-				
	+ Obstan				35.8	
	2016,	CW	12.0	17.3		20lb, -20lbz
			12.2	17.0		+6.1+6.4 500.1
						+ 6.1 + 6.4 × 500.1 =+326 ult
					2011	
					29.4	-T1000 ult = -1000
	20162	CW	Lo.t	13.2		-T1000  ml = -1000  m -T300  ml = -300  m
10	20102	T1000 ml	the second s	13.0		
- 0		7 300 ulf	10.1	10.0		+ Cr 20lb2 = + 60 "
		1 000 mg				
6					23.3	2016, = 2016 - 914 ulle
N	- 11	RIAL	14-2	18.5		
	20162	CW	14.7			
		T1.000 ull	14.4	18.3		
	-	- 300 Il				
	+ 500 ult	- T 300 ulb			32.8	
	2010,	RIL	17.1	.22.0		
	2016,	CW	17.3	21.8		
	+ 500 ulb					
					39.2	
				_	V1.4	
				3	9	

39

1	BSERVER A	<u>2.4.1</u> HU <u>2.M.B</u> BA	MIDITY 44 LANCE S	1 % PRESS	URB 7.49.	2 TEST NO. 188 109SET A SHEET 5 SERIPS 3
	LEFT 20lb3	RIGHT CW T3000mlb	<del>9.9</del> 10.0	3.   3.	23.1	$20 lb_{3} - 20 lb_{2} - \frac{2.7 - 2.9}{2} \times \frac{500.1}{9.7} = -144u + 73000 lb = +3006 "$
	20 <i>lb</i> 2	CVV	<del>12.2</del> 12.4	13.5 13.3	25.8	$c_{12} Oll_{2} = \frac{+ 60 v}{20l_{3}} = 20 lb + 2922 c$
	20162 + 500 milt	СW	<del>16.8</del> 16.9	18.7 18.5	35.5	
	2.0.lb3 + 500.ult	C W T 3000 will	<del>14,0</del> 14.2	18.6 18.3	32.6	
	previ	is "To usly calib ions for ible unle	rated.			

C

Illustrating computation of mass value when added weights allo user double substitution weighing with equal-arm balance using "transfer standard". (a) Weight under test lighter than standard, added weights on pan with weight under test. (b) Weight under test lighter than standard, added weights on pan with counterweight when standard is on the "load pan". (c) Weight under test heavier than standard added weights on pan with counterweight when weight under test is on the "load pan."

				FIGU	RE 14	
	THMPERATURI OBSERVER	E <u>24.4</u> HU <u>R-M.B.</u> BA	MIDITY 4	5% PRESS	URE 748. 10-8-6	/ TEST NO. 1881095ET A 7 SHEET 3 STRIPS 2
-65	LEFT 20lby	RIGHT	<del>13.0</del> 13.0	1		2016-20182
01-11	T1000 ull			17.3	27.1	$= \pm \frac{27.4 - 24.1}{2} \times \frac{500.1}{33.6 - 24.1}$
	2016.	20lby T1000 ulb	+1.0	12.9	27.4	
	aung	T 1000 ulb		/3.1		$-T 1000 ulb = -1000 "+ Cr 20 lb_2 = + 60 "20 lby = 20 lb - 853 ult.$
0	20 lb 2	20 lb	15.2	18.1	24.1	7
a	+ 500 116	20 lby T1000 ulb	15.4	18.3		
	i				33.6	
					•	
	20 lby T2000 ull	20162	11.8	12.7 12.5		$\frac{20 lb_{4} - 20lb_{2}}{\frac{-+24.4 - 21.0}{2}, \frac{500.1}{-30.7 - 21.0}}$
					24.4	$= +1.7 \times \frac{500.1}{9.7} = +8.8 \text{ and}$
	20lb2	20 lby	<del>9.9</del> 10.0	11.1		$-\frac{1}{2}(T_{2,000} ub) = -1000 $ $+C_{1} 20lb_{2} = +60 $
b					21.0	20164=2016-852mt.
	20 lb2 T 500 ult	2016	<del>14.1</del> 14.3	16.5		
	7 500 ult				30.7	
		is Trans	her Sto	ndard	, previo	usly
	conet	ions for	ndde	d wei	ghts	
	Illustrat					en added weights are used;
	under tes	t lighter t	han sta	ndard.	(a) Ad	cansfer standard". Weight Ided weights on pan with (b) Added weights on pan
		ht under te		ng half		

## APPENDIX

### TABLE I

Magnitude of error introduced by considering the true mass and apparent mass vs brass to be the same for various ranges of densities.

Magnitude	of	Error	Density Range
l part	in	10 <sup>5</sup>	7.85 g/cm <sup>3</sup> to 9.00 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
2 parts	in	10 <sup>5</sup>	7.35 g/cm <sup>3</sup> to 9.75 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
3 parts	in	10 <sup>5</sup>	$6.94 \text{ g/cm}^3$ to 10.6 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
4 parts	in	10 <sup>5</sup>	6.56 g/cm <sup>3</sup> to $11.62$ g/cm <sup>3</sup>
5 parts	in	10 <sup>5</sup>	$6.23 \text{ g/cm}^3$ to 12.86 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
l part	in	104	$4.95 \text{ g/cm}^3$ to 27.63 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
3 parts	in	104	2.7 $g/cm^3$

In Tables IIa and IIb the temperature range is from  $15^{\circ}$ C to  $35^{\circ}$ C increments of  $0.5^{\circ}$ C. The relative humidity range is from  $10^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  in increments of  $5^{\circ}$ . The barometric pressure range is from 575mm to 780mm in increments of 5mm. The barometric reduction factor to the barometric pressure for atmospheric humidity is given in the body of Table IIa for various temperatures and relative humidities. The air density, in milligrams per cubic centimeter, for the stated conditions is given in the body of Table IIb to 0.01mg/cm<sup>3</sup>. To get the air density in pounds per cubic foot, multiply the air density in mg/cm<sup>3</sup> by 0.06242783; and to get the air density in multiply the air density in multiply the air density in multiply the air density in per cubic inch multiply the air density in mg/cm<sup>3</sup> by 0.000036127.

The following procedure is used to find the air density,  $\rho$  , with Tables IIa and IIb.

Enter Table IIa through the temperature and relative humidity. In the column headed Air Temp °C of Table IIa, find the temperature nearest the thermometer reading, then go across the table to the column under the relative humidity nearest the relative humidity reading. The number in the body of the table at the intersection of the relative humidity column and the temperature line is the reduction factor and is subtracted from the barometer reading. The resulting figure is the reduced barometer reading and is used to enter Table IIb. In the column headed Air Temp °C of Table IIb, find the temperature nearest the thermometer reading, then go across the table to the column under the barometric pressure nearest the reduced barometer reading. The figure in the body of Table IIb at the intersection of the barometric pressure column and the temperature line is the density of the air. The following example illustrates the procedure for using the tables.

Find the air density  $(_{o})$  when:

The temperature is 24.8°C The relative humidity is 57% The barometric pressure is 749.6mmHg.

- Step 1. In Table IIa the temperature nearest 24.8 °C is 25.0 °C, go along the line to the column under relative humidity nearest 57%, which is the 55% column. The number at the intersection of the 25°C line and the 55% column is 5.0.
- Step 2. Subtract 5.0 from the barometer reading, 749.6. 749.6mm - 5.0 = 744.6mm. This is the reduced barometric pressure.
- Step 3. In Table IIb, find the 25.0°C line (the temperature in the table nearest 24.8 °C) across the table to the column under barometer pressure nearest 744.6mm, which is the 745mm column. The number at the intersection of the 25°C line and 745mm column, 1.16, is the air density, p, in milligrams per cubic centimeter, for the stated conditions.

The air density found, 1.16 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>, in lb/ft<sup>3</sup> is

 $1.16 \times 0.06242788 = 0.07241634 \text{ lb/ft}^3$ 

in  $lb/in.^3$  is

 $1.16 \times 0.000036127 = 0.00041907 \ lb/in^3$ 

TABLE IIa

MEDUCTION OF BAROMETRIC HEIGHT FOR ATMOSPHERIC HUMIDITY (MILLIRETERS OF MERCURY)

۹.
Σ
140
-
œ
-

RELATIVE HUMIDITY ON PERCENTAGE OF SATURATION

	95 90	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.7	5.9	6.1	6 • 4	6 • 6	6 • 8	7+1	7.3	7.5	7.8	8°0	8.2	8.5	8.7	8.9	9.1	9 . 4	9.6	9 ° 8	-• 0	<b>n</b> .			0.11	1	1 . 7	11.9	12.1
	75 ëU	~	_		-		-	_		-		_	_		_			_			_			_			_			_				7.07 7.07						
NOI	70																																	9.0			-	•	-	-
SATURATION	45	2	2	2.	2.	9	°.	e.	<b>"</b>	m	3	3	*	* *	*	*	s	5	<b>.</b> س	° 5	ິດ	°.	• •	Ŷ	• 4	°°	• •	7.	~			•••	•						6	6
01	0Ŷ	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	'n	<b>"</b>	ċ	e	<b>.</b> ۳	ň	+	*		5	• 3	3	un.	• •	ŝ	<b>ں</b>	°.	<b>ں</b>	4	Ŷ	Ŷ	÷	÷	÷	Ģ		•					6 00	6	8
PERCENTAGE	55		2.	2.	2	2.	~	3.	3.	3.	÷	'n	÷	• •	*	+	* 5	* *	+	•	÷	<b>ں</b>	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	• •	ů	ů	÷	• •	•	• •	•	7.0		-			7.	7.
PERCE	50																																	2.0						
¥0 ¥	4																																	n ur						
HUM10114	0#																																	5						
	35																																							
RELATIVE	30																																							
UL.	25		1.0	-	-	-	-	-																										100						
	20																																	C . 7						
	15	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• 8	•																														
۵. ۲	01		•	ज •	•	•	•																	-	-	-	~	-		_	-		<b>-</b>	2 • 1	• -		• -	• ~	-	
IR TEM	U	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17+5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0	24+5	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0	27.5	28.0	28.5	29.0	29.5	30.0	0.00	2010				1.00	34.0	34.5

TABLE IIb

DENSITY OF DRY AIR (MILLIGRAMS PER CUBIC CENTIMETER)

PRESSURE IN MILLIMETERS OF MERCURY (UC, STANDARD GRAVITY)

ALR TEMP

<u>م</u>	0	- 0-	60	80	80	9	60	8	2	2	1	2	2	9	9	9	9	9	\$	2	2	S	S	S	Ŧ	7	7	Ŧ	<b>J</b> :	<b>3</b> 7 (	<b>m</b> (	<b>m</b> 1	<b>m</b> (	<b>m</b> .	<b>~</b> 1	n	2	N (	2 0	2 9	+
67	-	• •	•	•	-	-	-	•	-	1.	•	-	1.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>.</b>	-	<b>.</b>	-	•	-	-	-	<b>.</b>		-
670	000		1.08	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07	1.06	•	1.06	1.06	•	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1 • 0 2	1.02	1.01			• • •
665	-			•	•	•	0.	•	•	•	•	0.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	0		5
90	1		) )	90	90	50	05	05	05	05	05	40	94	94	04	<del>1</del>	04	03	0	60	03	e o	0	02	02	02	02	02	0	10	10	10	10	-	0		0	0			• • •
5 6	-	<b>.</b> .		2	5	5 1	5 1	1 +	1 +	4 1	4 1	+	3 1	3 1	3 1	3 1	3 1	3 1	2 1	2 1	2 1	2 1	2 1	2 1	1 1	-	1	-	-	-				-		-	6	~ (	- 1 - 6	~ 0	
65	-	• -	•••	-	-	1.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ι.	-	Ι.	-	-	ι.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	•	6 C	•
650	0			•	-	•	0.	•	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		•	•	•	•	• 0	•	•	0	0	0									
9 t C			1.04	•	0.	•	•	0.	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5	• 6 •	66.	<b>0</b> *	• 66 •	• 99	0	• 98	• 98	• 9 8	• 98	• 98	• 98	- 6 -	~ ~ •
640	~		03	03	02	N	02	02	02	02	0	10	01	01	10	10	00	00	00	00	0	00	66	6	0	66	• 6 •	66.	0	• 98	• 98	5	.98	• 98	.97	. 97	.97	• 97	- 6 -	. 97	• 1 0
35	~		0	02	02	0	10	10	10	- 0	10	00	00	00	00	0	00	•	•	0	1 66	0	66	98	98	9 B	98	98	98	7 7	77	197	97	97	77	47	96	96	96	96	D
9	-			1 1		_	1 1	- 0	-	-	- 0	0	9 1	6	9 1	1 6	6																							•	
69	-		-	•	-	-	•	-	1.	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
625	- C		1.00	0.	0	0.	•	•	66.	6 6 °	66.	5 6 <b>•</b>	5 <del>6</del> •	56 <b>•</b>	• 98	• 98	9.9.6	96.	.98	.98	. 97	. 97	. 97	6.6.	. 97	• 9 7	• 9 6	• 9 6	• 96	• 96	• 96	• 96	• 6	• 95	• 6	• 95	• 95	• 95	• 95	÷6•	•
620	<b>.</b>	0	00.1	•	0	66.	66°	66.	66.	• 98	.98	• 98	• 98	• 98	• 9 B	• 97	.97	• 97	.97	16.	.97	• 96	• 9 6	• 96	• 96	• 96	• 96	• 95	• • •	66.	• 4 2 1 4	• 95	• 95	• 95	5 C C	. 44	+6.	• • •	• 4	• • •	•
615	66	66	66.	66.	• 98	• 98	.98	• 98	• 98	• 98	• 97	• 97	• 97	9	.97	9	0	• 96	• 9 6	• 96	• 96	• 96	• 9 6	.95	• 95	0	• 95	• 95	0	• 6 4	• 9 4	0	• 9 4	• 6 4	• 9 4	66.	• 93	.93	. 93	. 93	n r •
10	9.6	9 0	9.8	98	9 B	97	97	97	97	16	16	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	95	95	95	95	95	95	94	94	94	44	94	44	69	6.6	66	63	66	63	6.6	92	92	26	7
5 6	8							• 90	• •	. 96	· 4	. 96	. 96	•5	95.	• 50	• 5 •	• 50	• 5 •	• ••	• • •	• +•	• +•	• ••	• •		• •		• •	•	• •		22	32.			, s , s	• •		•	
0 9 0	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	- 0	•
¢ D (	•		• •	• •	•	•	•	•	••	• •	•	• •	6.	6.	6.	•	6.	•	•	• •	• •	• •	•	6 .	••	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	S	0	0	6.	5	9	0	•	•	•
595	96.*	96	• 9 9	• 95	.95	• 95	• 95	• 95	• 95	÷6•	• 9 4	• 6 •	0	• 6 •	• 94	.93	.93	• 93	• 9 3	• 93	. 93	.93	.92	• 92	.92	• 92	.92	.92	. 91	16.		• 61	16.		5	• • 0	• 90	.90	. 90	06.	]
590	, 95 5	- 6 e	• 95	• 9 5	÷6 •	• 6 4	• 6 <del>•</del>	• 6 •	• <del>6</del> •	• 6 4	• 93	• 93	• 9 3	• 93	• 93	e 6 9	• 93	• 92	.92	• 92	• 92	• 92	• 92	• 9 1	• 91	• 91	• 9 1	• 91	. 9 1	- 4 -	• • 0	• 90	. 90	• 90	• • 0	• • 0	.90	• 8 9	• 8 •	6 8 9	•
585	94	. 94	44	44	94	53	• 93	£6 .	. 93	.43	• 43	53	- 12	. 72	. 92	. 72	92	. 72	16.	16	16.	. 4 .	14.	16.	5	70	06	90	90	20	06.	6.0	69	89	89	6.6	. 89	89	80		D
08			93	93	• •		~	N	~	~	~	~	~	_	_	_	_	_	_	91	2		06	06	06	06	0	6 6	6 6	5	0	0.0	8 9	80	80 0	80	88	8 8	ac (	10 P 10 Q	
ۍ ۳	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• ∞	• x	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	• •	•
579	0	0	6.	6.	. 6	. 6 .	6 •	6.	6.	•	•	• •	••	• •	• •	• •	•	6.	6.	••	••	•		•	•	• 19	• 09	•	• 00	•	•	•	е́ (	• 00	• 00	•	•	• 00	• 3	•	•
									•	•	•	•	<b>.</b>	-	\$	è.		e.	-	÷	<b>.</b>	÷.	••	•		-	÷			• •	• :	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b> .	<b>.</b>	N (			m :	• •		•
U	٦	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	N	2	~	N	2	2				2	2	2	2	~	N	N	N	N 1		м) (	-1	r") (	(**)	н <b>л</b> (	-1	~)	(*) (	<b>(</b> 1		
																			46	5																					

TABLE IIb (Continued)

DENSITY OF DRY AIR MILLIGRAMS PER CUBIC CENTIMETERI CONTINUED

780 • 2 6 • 25 • 25 • 25 • 25 • 24 • 24 •23 .23 1.20 • 2 4 • 24 . 23 • 23 .23 •22 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 20 .20 1.20 1.19 1 . 19 1.19 1.19 1 . 1 2 1 . 1 8 1.18 1.18 .26 • 24 •21 •21 • 20 •21 •21 • 21 • -1.19 1.19 775 1.19 • 19 • 25 • 25 • 2 4 • 24 • 24 • 2 4 .23 • 23 • 23 • 23 • 23 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 20 • 20 ..20 • 20 1.19 .18 • 18 • 22 • 22 • 20 | • 1 8 1.17 •21 .21 • 21 • 21 .21 • 18 •18 •17 •17 •17 • 17 1.19 1.19 1.19 .19 1.19 1.18 .18 1.17 . 20 • 20 1 . 18 1.17 770 • 2 4 • 24 • 23 .23 • 23 • 23 •23 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 21 .21 1 • 2 1 1.21 1.20 • 20 1.20 •18 1.18 1.17 •17 1.16 • . 16 • 21 -•19 •19 •10 •19 .18 765 • 20 1.19 • 18 .18 •17 •16 1.16 • 23 • 23 • 23 • 22 • 22 • 22 • 2 2 • 20 1 . 20 • 20 • 20 •17 1.17 •17 • 15 • 23 • 22 •21 • 21 • 1 8 •16 •21 • 21 • 2 1 • 18 •10 .16 • --•20 • 20 1.20 • 19 •19 •18 •18 1.17 1.16 • 20 •20 •19 1.18 .16 •16 • 22 0 1.17 160 .23 •21 • 2 1 .21 60 00 • • 22 .22 • 22 .21 •21 0 • .16 S ი --• -• • -. • -• • --• 20 • 20 67. • 1 9 •10 •19 .20 • 20 • 20 •19 •17 •16 •16 .16 1.15 755 • 22 • 21 • 21 00 30 00 1.17 112 •16 616 1.16 • 15 .15 • 15 +1. 1.14 • 22 • 21 • 21 æ 00 S Ŧ Ŧ . . • • ---. • . MILLIMETERS OF MERCURY (DC, STANDARD GRAVITY) 750 • 20 •17 •16 • 20 • 20 • 20 • 20 • 19 •19 8 •17 • \$ • 2 1 0 0 ð 00 00 • 18 00 -0 •0 S S S ശ • 15 •13 • 21 ~ ~ ŝ Ŧ + 1 • 7 • 13 3 37 3 -• • • ---• -• -• . • ---• -• ---. 61.1 •19 •16 .20 • 20 •19 •19 •17 •17 •16 •13 745 •19 .16 .13 •18 .12 80 80 . 90 ~ ~ • • S ഹ ഹ S ഹ ŝ ÷ \$ Ŧ Ŧ Ŧ m n .13 2 1.20 • • • • . -• • • --• • • • -• • • • -. • 740 0 00 • • -0 • ഗ \$ .13 .12 0 80 60 80 ~ • ŝ S ഹ ഹ Ŧ Ŧ Ŧ ð m e 2 --• --• • -• ---. -------• -• . • -• ------• • --. • • 15 .18 •17 •14 • 1 4 •13 •13 •13 •12 • 12 .12 •12 .12 .12 735 •18 •17 • 1 5 •16 •14 • 1 4 •13 • 1 1 ÷ Ŷ • ŝ S S ഗ ŝ m ... œ 60 œ 2 Ŧ • 1 1 --• • • • • ------• • -• +1 +1 1.14 1.13 .13 •12 •17 1.17 1.17 .16 .16 • 15 •15 41 · 14 +1 • 1 1.14 1.13 .12 •12 •12 •10 1.10 730 •17 .16 1 • 1 ó ¢ S S 1.15 ŝ 1.13 1.13 1.12 1111 .11 .10 .... -. . • 1 1 --• • -• 1.14 1.14 1.17 •17 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 .15 • 15 • 15 1.14 1.14 +1.1 +1 = 1 1.13 1.13 •13 1.12 .12 .12 .12 11.1 11.1 .10 .10 60.1 1.09 •16 • 15 .15 .13 .13 1.12 •10 725 11.1 11.1 11.11 .10 .10 .11 .14 1.14 +1 +1 .13 1.13 .10 .10 .10 •10 1.09 1.16 .15 1.14 1.14 +1. 1.13 61.1 1.13 1,12 .12 1.12 •00 60.0 1.09 720 • S • 15 .12 .12 11.1 • 10 •0• 1.09 • S S .... .11 11.1 11.1 .... ----• 715 1.15 1.14 1.14 1.13 • 10 • 0 • .15 •14 ...... .14 • 13 .13 .13 1.13 .13 1.12 • 12 1.12 1 . 1 2 .12 .10 .10 .10 .10 • 10 • 0 • • D • 61.0 • 0 9 • U 8 • 0.8 • 0.8 • 0.8 1.08 ŝ • 1 1 .... ഹ •11 ..... • • • • 1.13 .10 +1. .13 .12 .12 .10 .10 01.1 •00 • 1 4 1.14 1.14 1.13 1.13 61.13 61.13 .12 1.12 1.12 1.10 •0. **6**0. .10 е () е • 0.8 710 • 11 11.1 • O • 6 O • 80° • 0 <del>8</del> • n e •08 • 0 7 •01 1.07 1.11 1.1 .11 • PRESSURE IN •0. •0. 1.12 .10 .13 .13 61.1 .13 .13 1.12 1 . 1 2 1.12 1.12 • 12 1.10 •10 • 10 • 10 60° I • 0 • 6ܰ • 0 • • 0 8 • 0 8 • 0 8 • 0 8 **7**n5 1.1.1 1.1.1 • 0.8 • 07 •07 •01 • 0 • 0 ° 0 6 + I • ] 1.1.1 1.11 11.1 • D 8 • 07 • 0.7 •10 .1n .10 • 0 • 1.13 1.12 .12 • 12 •09 •0 • 000 61.1 1.12 1.12 1.10 • 0 • •0• •0• • 0 • • 0 • .08 • 0.8 •08 •08 • 0 • 700 1.1.1 11.1 1.1.1 1.1.1 .10 •0• .08 .07 .07 .07 • 0.7 •0• 1.11 .07 . 1 1 <u>, 0</u>, •0. 695 1.12 1.12 .10 .10 • 10 • 10 • 10 • 0 • • 0 • 6 N ° •0.9 • U 8 вU. • 0.8 • D 8 •08 • 0.8 •07 • 0.7 • 0 • •0• • 0 • • 0 • • 0.6 • 0.6 .05 • 05 • 0 S • 05 1.05 .... 1.1.1 11.1 • 0.7 .07 •07 1.12 1.11 11.1 11.1 1.09 • 0 4 1.09 • 119 1 . 10 1.10 **•** ۵ • • 0 • • 0 • • US <u>s</u>0• \* O \* + 0 • I • 10 .10 1.10 • 0 • **6**П. • 0.8 • U 8 • 0.8 • U8 • U 8 • 117 • 0.7 • 0.6 • 0 6 • 0 S • US • U 5 • 05 690 .... .11 .11 11.1 • 10 • U 8 • 0.7 ..... .07 • 0 • • 0 • •0• • 05 • 05 • 05 • 0 • ÷0+ +0+ \* 0 \* ÷0. • 03 •10 .10 1.10 •0• • 0 · •0• •08 80. •09 • 0 • •09 • 05 • 0 5 • 05 ÷ 🗆 ¢ 03 •10 .10 •0• 80 • I •08 •07 • 0.7 685 1.09 •0.• • <u></u> 0 8 • 0.7 •07 •07 •07 • 0 S + O • • 0 • **†**Ω,• • 03 • 0 3 • 03 •0 • •0 • • 05 • 05 • 0 5 • 05 ÷ 0 + ÷0. • 0 <del>•</del> • 03 .03 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 80°1 • 0 8 • 0 • •0• •0• •09 • 05 .03 1.10 1.09 90.1 • 0 8 • 0.7 • 0.7 • 0.7 089 80°1 • 0 B •07 .07 33.0 23.5 5.0 28.5 29.0 29.5 30.0 30.5 32.0 32.5 33.5 0.46 34.5 22.0 22.5 23.0 24.0 24.5 25.5 26.0 26.5 6 • 5 9.0 9.5 20.0 20.5 21.0 21.5 27.0 27.5 28.0 31.0 31.5 35 • 0 6 • 0 7.0 1.7.5 0.8 8.5 5.0 5.5 AIR TEMP J

# TABLE III

## CONVERSION TABLE Some Useful Conversion Factors


To Convert To		From		Multiply By
lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	#	mg/cm <sup>3</sup>	x	0.062427886
lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	=	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	x	62.427886
lb/in <sup>3</sup>	=	mg/cm <sup>3</sup>	x	0.000036127
lb/in <sup>3</sup>	=	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	x	0.0361272
mg/cm <sup>3</sup>	=	lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	x	16.018465
mg/cm <sup>3</sup>	=	lb/in <sup>3</sup>	x	27679.9028
g/cm <sup>3</sup>	=	lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	x	0.016018465
g/cm <sup>3</sup>	=	lb/in <sup>3</sup>	x	27.679903
grams	=	ounces	x	28.349523125
grams	=	pounds	x	453.59237
kilograms	=	ounces	x	0.028349523
kilograms	=	pounds	x	0.45359237
milligrams	=	pounds	x	453592.37
ounces	=	grams	x	0.03527396
ounces	=	kilograms	x	35.27396
pounds	=	grams	x	0.00220462
pounds	=	kilograms	x	2.204623
pounds	=	milligrams	x	0.0000022046
cu in.	=	cm <sup>3</sup>	x	0.06102374
cu ft	=	cm <sup>3</sup>	x	0.00003531467
cm <sup>3</sup>	=	cu in.	x	16.387064
cm <sup>3</sup>	=	cu ft	x	28316.846592
Cm	=	feet	x	30.48
Cm	=	inches	x	2.54
feet	=	CM	x	0.0328084
ounces	=	pounds	х	16
pounds	=	ounces	х	0.0625
inches	=	CM	x	0.3937008

### TABLE IV

### DENSITIES AND VOLUMES PER UNIT MASS OF SELECTED MATERIALS

Density at 20°C Volume at 20°C

	benarcy a		cm <sup>3</sup>	in <sup>3</sup>
Material	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	$lb/in^3$	Per gram	Per 1b
Brass (Normal)	8.3909	0.30314	0.119177	3.29881
Stainless Steel	8.0	0.2890	0.1250	3.4600
Stainless Steel	7.92	0.2861	0.1263	3.4950
Stainless Steel	7.89	0.2850	0.1267	3.5083
Stainless Steel	7.84	0.2832	0.1276	3.5306
Stainless Steel	7.8	0.2818	0.1282	3.5487
Stainless Steel	7.76	0.2803	0.1289	3.5670
Stainless Steel	7.75	0.2800	0.1290	3.5716
Steel	7.83	0.2829	0.1277	3.5351
Cast Iron (Gray)	7.0	0.2529	0.1429	3.9543
Cast Iron (White)	7.6	0.2746	0.1316	3.3948
Nickel Chromium	8.34	0.3013	0.1199	3.3190
Nickel Chromium	8.41	0.3038	0.1189	3.2913
Nickel Chromium	8.5	0.3071	0.1176	3.2565
Tantalum	16.6	0.5997	0.0602	1.6675
Platinum	21.37	0.7720	0.0468	1.2953
Platinum	21.5	0.7767	0.0465	1.2874
Platinum-Iridium	21.54	0.7782	0.0464	1.2850
Aluminum	2.7	0.0975	0.3704	10.2522



Latest developments in the subject area of this publication, as well as in other areas where the National Bureau of Standards is active, are reported in the NBS Technical News Bulletin. See following page.

### HOW TO KEEP ABREAST OF NBS ACTIVITIES

Your purchase of this publication indicates an interest in the research, development, technology, or service activities of the National Bureau of Standards.

The best source of current awareness in your specific area, as well as in other NBS programs of possible interest, is the TECHNICAL NEWS BULLETIN, a monthly magazine designed for engineers, chemists, physicists, research and product development managers, librarians, and company executives.

If you do not now receive the TECHNICAL NEWS BULLETIN and would like to subscribe, and/or to review some recent issues, please fill out and return the form below.

(cut here)

Mail to: Office of Technical Information and Publications National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234
Name
Affiliation
Address
City State Zip
Please send complimentary past issues of the Technical News Bulletin.
Please enter my 1-yr subscription. Enclosed is my check or money order for \$3.00 (additional \$1.00 for foreign mailing).
Check is made payable to: SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS. TN 577

FORM NBS-114A (1-71)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipient's	s Accession No.
SHEET	Technical Note 577			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. Publicatio	on Date
Mathed of Calibrat	ing Weights for Diston Cases		May 197	
Method of Calibrat.	ing Weights for Piston Gages		6. Performing	Organization Code
7. AUTHOR(S)			8. Performing	Organization
H. E. Almer				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZAT	TON NAME AND ADDRESS		10. Project/T	lask/Work Unit No.
NATIONAL B	BUREAU OF STANDARDS		232019	
	T OF COMMERCE		11. Contract/	Grant No.
WASHINGTON	1, D.C. 20234			
12. Sponsoring Organization Na	ame and Address		13 Tupe of P	Report & Period
The opensoring organization ha	and and Address		Covered	cepoir & renou
			Final	
	Physics Division			g Agency Code
Institute	e for Basic Standards			
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	S			
16 ADSTRACT (A 200 modes	r less factual summary of most significant	i-6		1
bibliography or literature su	urvey, mention it here.)	information. If docume	ne includes a s	signific and
		d donominations	that are	
Generally weigh	hts for piston gages have od	a aenominarions		
	hts for piston gages have od ilv calibrated by intercompa			
often not read:	ily calibrated by intercompa-	rison methods.	Therefore	
often not read: these weights a	ily calibrated by intercompa are frequently calibrated by	rison methods. direct comparis	Therefore on	
often not read these weights a methods. This	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo	Therefore on r cali-	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston	ily calibrated by intercompa are frequently calibrated by	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba	Therefore on r cali- lances	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan	ily calibrated by intercomparare frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparagage weights for use with b	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b	ily calibrated by intercompa- are frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu-	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of	
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b <sup>17.</sup> KEY WORDS (Alphabetical	ily calibrated by intercomparare frequently calibrated by paper presents direct compa gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estim ained are given. Also inclu- lunders or gross errors.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of	position
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b <sup>17.</sup> KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy; weighing; true mass	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp	
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b <sup>17</sup> . KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy;	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS	position 21. NO. OF PAGES
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b <sup>17.</sup> KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy; weighing; true mass	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS	21. NO. OF PAGES
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b <sup>17.</sup> KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy; weighing; true mass	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS PORT)	
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b '17. KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy; weighing; true mass '18. AVAILABILITY STATEME	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi []9. SECURIT (THIS RE UNCL ASS	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS PORT) SIFIED	21. no. of pages 54
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for bi '17. KEY WORDS (Alphabetical balance; buoyancy; weighing; true mass '18. AVAILABILITY STATEME x UNLIMITED.	ily calibrated by intercomparate frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparate gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also inclution lunders or gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi 19. SECURIT (THIS RE UNCL ASS 20. SECURIT	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS PORT) SIFIED Y CLASS	21. NO. OF PAGES
often not read: these weights a methods. This brating piston and single-pan the values obta checking for b intervalues obta intervalues obta inte	ily calibrated by intercomparare frequently calibrated by paper presents direct comparants gage weights for use with b balances. Methods of estimatined are given. Also incluation are gross errors. I order, separated by semicolons) calibration; standards; sub s; uncertainty; value.	rison methods. direct comparis rison methods fo oth equal-arm ba ating the uncert ded are methods stitution weighi []9. SECURIT (THIS RE UNCL ASS	Therefore on r cali- lances ainty of of ng; transp Y CLASS PORT) SIFIED Y CLASS	21. no. of pages 54

USCOMM-DC 66244-P71

## **NBS TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS**

#### PERIODICALS

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH reports National Bureau of Standards research and development in physics, mathematics, chemistry, and engineering. Comprehensive scientific papers give complete details of the work, including laboratory data, experimental procedures, and theoretical and mathematical analyses. Illustrated with photographs, drawings, and charts.

Published in three sections, available separately:

#### • Physics and Chemistry

Papers of interest primarily to scientists working in these fields. This section covers a broad range of physical and chemical research, with major emphasis on standards of physical measurement, fundamental constants, and properties of matter. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$9.50; foreign, \$11.75\*.

#### • Mathematical Sciences

Studies and compilations designed mainly for the mathematician and theoretical physicist. Topics in mathematical statistics, theory of experiment design, numerical analysis, theoretical physics and chemistry, logical design and programming of computers and computer systems. Short numerical tables. Issued quarterly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$5.00; foreign, \$6.25\*.

#### • Engineering and Instrumentation

Reporting results of interest chiefly to the engineer and the applied scientist. This section includes many of the new developments in instrumentation resulting from the Bureau's work in physical measurement, data processing, and development of test methods. It will also cover some of the work in acoustics, applied mechanics, building research, and cryogenic engineering. Issued quarterly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$5.00; foreign, \$6.25\*.

#### **TECHNICAL NEWS BULLETIN**

The best single source of information concerning the Bureau's research, developmental, cooperative and publication activities, this monthly publication is designed for the industry-oriented individual whose daily work involves intimate contact with science and technology—for engineers, chemists, physicists, research managers, product-development managers, and company executives. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$3.00; foreign, \$4.00\*.

\* Difference in price is due to extra cost of foreign mailing.

Order NBS publications from:

#### NONPERÍODICALS

Applied Mathematics Series. Mathematical tables, manuals, and studics.

Building Science Series. Research rcsults, test methods, and performance criteria of building materials, components, systems, and structures.

**Handbooks.** Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

**Special Publications.** Proceedings of NBS conferences, bibliographies, annual reports, wall charts, pamphlets, etc.

**Monographs.** Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau's scientific and technical activities.

National Standard Reference Data Series. NSRDS provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world's literature and critically evaluated.

**Product Standards.** Provide requirements for sizes, types, quality and methods for testing various industrial products. These standards are developed cooperatively with interested Government and industry groups and provide the basis for common understanding of product characteristics for both buyers and scllers. Their use is voluntary.

**Technical Notes.** This series consists of communications and reports (covering both other agency and NBS-sponsored work) of limited or transitory interest.

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications. This series is the official publication within the Federal Government for information on standards adopted and promulgated under the Public Law 89–306, and Bureau of the Budget Circular A–86 entitled, Standardization of Data Elements and Codes in Data Systems.

**Consumer Information Series.** Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today's technological marketplace.

NBS Special Publication 305, Supplement 1, Publications of the NBS, 1968-1969. When ordering, include Catalog No. C13.10:305. Pricc \$4.50; foreign, \$5.75.

Superintendent of Documents Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

\_

#### OFFICIAL BUSINESS

\_

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE