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Demagnetizing Factors for Oblate Spheroids Used in Ferrimagnetic Resonance Measurements

L. B. Schmidt, W. E. Case, and R. D. Harrington

Demagnetizing factors for oblate spheroids magnetized along the short axis are given for aspect ratios from 25.0 to 35.0 in increments of 0.1 , from 35.0 to 55.0 in increments of 0.2 , from 55.0 to 80.0 in increments of 0.5 , from 80.0 to 129.0 in increments of 1.0 . The values of all demagnetizing factors given in the tables have been rounded off to 6 decimal places and are accurate to $\pm 5$ units in the seventh place. The tables are presented in a form convenient for use in ferrimagnetic resonance measurements on disk shaped samples. A brief discussion of the effect of accuracy of demagnetizing factors on measurements of this type is included.

## DISCUSSION

It is well known that the applied field $H_{z}$ required for ferrimagnetic resonance in finite specimens at a frequency, $\omega$, may be obtained from Kittel's [1948] equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{\gamma}=\left(\left[H_{z}-\left(N_{z}-N_{x}\right) M_{z}\right]\left[H_{z}-\left(N_{z}-N_{y}\right) M_{z}\right]\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $M_{z}$ is the magnetic moment per unit volume, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are the demagnetizing factors along the corresponding axes. The equation is often used to calculate the gyromagnetic ratio, $\gamma$, from resonance measurements made on spherical specimens. For this situation $N_{x}=N_{y}=N_{z}$ and equation (1) reduces to

$$
\frac{\omega}{\gamma}=H_{z}
$$

However, in some situations, it may be more advantageous to use other geometries. For example, low field losses encountered in ferrinıagnetic resonance measurements at lower microwave frequencies may be avoided by using thin disk shaped samples with the dc field perpendicular to the face of the disk. The dc and rf field orientations for such measurements are shown in figure 1 . For this situation, $N_{x}=N_{y}$ and Kittel's equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{\gamma}=H_{z}-\left(N_{z}-N_{x}\right) M_{z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a typical measurement, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$ is determined experimentally and $\left(N_{z}-N_{x}\right)$ is calculated from relations developed by Stoner [1945] which express the demagnetizing coefficient as a function of aspect ratio for spheroidal ellipsoids. Stoner expresses the demagnetizing factors as $D_{x}, D_{z}$, where $D_{x}=N_{x} / 4 \pi$ and $D_{z}=N_{z} / 4 \pi$. Equation (2) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{\gamma}=H_{z}-\left(D_{z}-D_{x}\right) 4 \pi M_{z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the magnetization, $M_{z}$, may be determined independently using a magnetometer.

We have recently carried out a study involving measurements of $H_{z}$ on several materials at 1100 MHz , using disk shaped samples [Case, Harrington, and Schmidt, 1964]. This study indicated that the measurement of disks of several sizes is often advantageous in obtaining data of


## FIGURE 1

Field and Sample Orientations for Ferrimagnetic Resonance Measurements on a Disk.
(Demagnetizing factors in the table are applicable for this geometry.)
this type. Each sample of each material was measured at a number of different aspect ratios (diameter/thickness) by grinding the same diameter sample to successively smaller thickness for each measurement. By using these results a plot of $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ as a function of aspect ratio is readily obtained. Figure 2 shows results of this type on one material used in the study. The variation from a smooth curve in this example was less than one percent. It is apparent that $D_{z}-D_{x}$ must be known to considerably better than one percent if this quantity is not to contribute to the observed variation in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$. In fact, it can be shown that $D_{z}-D_{x}$ must be known to a few hundredths of a percent for typical materials if the contribution of any error in $D_{z}-D_{x}$ to this one percent variation in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ is to be less than 0.1\%. (See Appendix I.) These demagnetizing factors may be calculated to sufficient accuracy using Stoner's equations. When measuring many different samples as mentioned above, it is apparent that tables of demagnetizing factors, given in finer aspect ratio increments than is presently available, would be very convenient.

Stoner has given tables of $\mathrm{D}_{z}$, but only in increments of 5 and 10 in the region of aspect ratios of 25 to 129 . Osborn [1945] prepared graphs of $N / 4 \pi$ which equals $D_{z}$, but again the resolution was not adequate in this region. We have therefore prepared Table I which gives demagnetizing factors that meet the minimum requirements for increments of aspect ratios needed to prepare graphs within the precision represented by figure 2 and the calculations of $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ mentioned above. The tables are shown in six decimal places; however, this accuracy is not required for these calculations.

Table I was condensed from tables prepared on a computer, cal culated to eight significant figures and rounded off to six significant figures which provided a convenient comparison to Stoner's tables.


FIGURE 2
Typical Data for $\omega / \gamma$ Versus Aspect Ratio for Disk Samples
(SOヨ1Syヨo) $\frac{l}{m}$

Kittel's equation is written in terms of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ when $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}$ $+N_{z}=4 \pi$. It is more convenient, as noted by Stoner, to express the demagnetizing factors in terms of $D_{x}, D_{y}$, and $D_{z}$ when

$$
D_{x}=\frac{N_{x}}{4 \pi}, D_{y}=\frac{N_{y}}{4 \pi}, D_{z}=\frac{N_{z}}{4 \pi} .
$$

In the tables prepared by the computer, $D_{z}$ was first calculated using Stoner's equation 4.5 for an oblate spheroid as follows

$$
D_{z}=\frac{1}{1-m^{2}}\left[1-\frac{m}{\left(1-m^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cos ^{-1} m\right]
$$

where $m=1 /$ aspect ratio. Then $D_{x}=D_{y}=\left(1-D_{z}\right) / 2$ was calculated to give the values of $D_{z}-D_{x}$ which are also given for convenience in using equation (3). The computer program was written to generate its own input in increments of aspect ratio as required. Using this method, the increments could be in integers, in tenths, or in hundredths. In cases where measurements are made on disks with very small changes in aspect ratios, tables in increments of 0.01 are most efficient. The table was readily prepared on the computer in increments of 0.01 from 1.01 to 130.00 to satisfy this requirement and possible future require ments.

Where applicable, the values of this table were compared with the corresponding values given in Table II in Stoner's paper. In two instances, the two disagree by one part in the sixth place. Stoner noted that his calculations were carried to the seventh place and rounded to the sixth place. The computer calculated our tables to the eighth significant figure and rounded to the sixth significant figure. At a third place, the aspect ratio of 60 , checking by hand calculations confirmed our value, so that Stoner's value appears to be in error.

The accuracy of Table I was spot checked by hand calculations. In addition, the accuracy of the entire table was verified by checking by differences [Miller, 1950]. The values of all demagnetizing factors given in the tables have been rounded off to 6 decimal places and are thus accurate to $\pm 5$ units in the seventh place.

The tables were studied for the possibility of using linear interpolation for values between the aspect ratios given. The accuracy of this interpolation was verified by comparing the midpoint between in crements in the tables calculated by linear interpolation with the corresponding point in the tables prepared by the computer in increments of 0.01 in aspect ratio. It was found that the values of $D_{z}$ may be interpolated linearly, accurate to one unit in the sixth place, for all aspect ratios. The values of $D_{z}-D_{x}$ may be interpolated linearly, accurate to one unit in the sixth place, except within the range of aspect ratios between 80.0 and 129.0 . Within this range, values of $D_{z}-D_{x}$ may be interpolated linearly accurate to two units in the sixth place. It was previously noted that this accuracy is more than adequate in the use of equation (3).
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For a fixed value of $H_{z}$ and $M_{z}$, the percent error in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ given by $\frac{\Delta \frac{\omega}{\gamma}}{\frac{\omega}{\gamma}}$ introduced by an error $\Delta\left(D_{z}-D_{x}\right)$ in $D_{z}-D_{x}$ is obtained from
equation (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta\left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma}\right)}{\frac{\omega}{\gamma}}=-\frac{\Delta\left(D_{z}-D_{x}\right)}{D_{z}-D_{x}}\left[\frac{\left(D_{z}-D_{x}\right) 4 \pi M_{z}}{\frac{\omega}{\gamma}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The percent error in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ introduced by an error in $D_{z}-D_{x}$ is dependent on a factor that is inversely proportional to $\omega$. Any percent error in ( $D_{z}-D_{x}$ ) will thus have a greater influence on the percent error in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ as the frequency decreases. For example, if data were desired at 1100 MHz on a material such as a commercially available substituted garnet we have $4 \pi M_{z} \cong 575$ gauss, $\frac{\omega}{\gamma} \cong 390$ oersteds, and $D_{z}-$ $D_{x}=.976735$ at an aspect ratio of 100 . If we desire the percentage error in $D_{z}-D_{x}$ to cause an error $\frac{\Delta \frac{\omega}{\gamma}}{\frac{\omega}{\gamma}} \leqq 0.1 \%$, then substituting in equation (4) we have $\frac{\Delta\left(D_{z}-D_{x}\right)}{D_{z}-D_{x}} \cong 0.07 \%$. In many cases, $\omega$ would be greater which would increase the allowable percent error of $D_{z}-D_{x}$ to hold its contribution of error in $\frac{\omega}{\gamma}$ to $0.1 \%$; however, at the same time, $M_{z}$ could also be larger to produce the opposite effect. Thus $D_{z}-D_{x}$ must be known to a few hundredths of a percent in order to cover all situations.

## TABLE 1

Demagnetization Factors of Oblate Spheroids Magnetized Along the Short Axis Versus Aspect Ratio ( $\frac{\text { Diameter }}{\text { Thickness }}$ )

Tables are given for $D_{z}$ and $D_{z}-D_{x}$ where $z$ is along the short axis and

$$
D_{x}=D_{y}
$$

and

$$
D_{x}+D_{y}+D_{z}=1
$$

Aspect
Ratio
25.1 . 940450
$25.2 \quad .940675 \quad .911013$
$25.3 \quad .940898$

| 25.4 | .941120 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25,5 | 941340 |

$25.6 \quad .941558$
$25.7 \quad .941775$
$25.8 \quad .941990$

| 25.9 | .942203 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26.0 | .942415 |


| 26.0 | .942415 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26.1 | .942625 |

26.2 . 942834
26.3 . 943041
$26.4 \quad .943247$
$26.5 \quad .943451$ $26.6 \quad .943654$ 26.7 . 943855 $26.8 \quad .944055$ 26.9 . 944254 27.0 . 944451 $27.1 \quad .944647$ 27. $2 \quad .944841$ $\begin{array}{ll}27.3 & .945034 \\ 27.4 & .945226\end{array}$ $27.5 \quad .945416$ 27.6 . 945605 $27.7 \quad .945793$ $27.8 \quad .945979$ 27. 9 . 946164 $\begin{array}{ll}28.0 & .946348 \\ 28.1 & .946531\end{array}$ 28.2 . 946712 $\begin{array}{ll}28.3 & .946892 \\ 28.4 & .947071\end{array}$ 28.5 . 947249 $\begin{array}{ll}28.6 & .947425 \\ 28.7 & .947601\end{array}$ $28.8 \quad .947775$ $\begin{array}{lr}28.9 & .947948 \\ 29.0 & .948120\end{array}$

| 29.1 | .948291 | .922436 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29.2 | .948460 | .922690 |
| 29.3 | .948629 | .922943 |
| 29.4 | .948796 | .923194 |
| 29.5 | .948962 | .923444 |
| 29.6 | .949128 | .923692 |
| 29.7 | .949292 | .923938 |
| 29.8 | .949455 | .924183 |
| 29.9 | .949617 | .924426 |


| Aspect <br> Ratio | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.0 | .949778 | .924667 |
| 30.1 | .949938 | .924907 |
| 30.2 | .950097 | .925146 |
| 30.3 | .950255 | .925383 |
| 30.4 | .950412 | .925618 |
| 30.5 | .950568 | .925853 |
| 30.6 | .950723 | .926085 |
| 30.7 | .950877 | .926316 |
| 30.8 | .951031 | .926546 |
| 30.9 | .951183 | .926774 |
| 31.0 | .951334 | .927001 |
| 31.1 | .951484 | .927226 |
| 31.2 | .951634 | .927450 |
| 31.3 | .951782 | .927673 |
| 31.4 | .951930 | .927894 |
| 31.5 | .952076 | .928114 |
| 31.6 | .952222 | .928333 |
| 31.7 | .952367 | .928550 |
| 31.8 | .952511 | .928766 |
| 31.9 | .952654 | .928981 |
| 32.0 | .952796 | .929194 |
| 32.1 | .952938 | .929407 |
| 32.2 | .953078 | .929617 |
| 32.3 | .953218 | .929827 |
| 32.4 | .953357 | .930035 |
| 32.5 | .953495 | .930242 |
| 32.6 | .953632 | .930448 |
| 32.7 | .953769 | .930653 |
| 32.8 | .953904 | .930856 |
| 32.9 | .954039 | .931059 |
| 33.0 | .954173 | .931260 |
| 33.1 | .954307 | .931460 |
| 33.2 | .954439 | .931659 |
| 33.3 | .954571 | .931856 |
| 33.4 | .954702 | .932053 |
| 33.5 | .954832 | .932248 |
| 33.6 | .954962 | .932442 |
| 33.7 | .955090 | .932635 |
| 33.8 | .955218 | .932827 |
| 33.9 | .955346 | .933018 |
| 34.0 | .955472 | .933208 |
| 34.1 | .955598 | .933397 |
| 34.2 | .955723 | .933585 |
| 34.3 | .955848 | .933771 |
| 34.4 | .955971 | .933957 |
| 34.5 | .956094 | .934142 |
| 34.6 | .956217 | .934325 |
| 34.7 | .956338 | .934508 |
| 34.8 | .956459 | .934689 |
| 34.9 | .956580 | .934870 |
|  |  |  |


| Aspect <br> Ratio | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.0 | .956700 | .935049 |
| 35.2 | .956937 | .935405 |
| 35.4 | .957172 | .935758 |
| 35.6 | .957404 | .936106 |
| 35.8 | .957634 | .936451 |
| 36.0 | .957861 | .936792 |
| 36.2 | .958086 | .937129 |
| 36.4 | .958308 | .937463 |
| 36.6 | .958528 | .937793 |
| 36.8 | .958746 | .938119 |
| 37.0 | .958962 | .938443 |
| 37.2 | .959175 | .938763 |
| 37.4 | .959386 | .939079 |
| 37.6 | .959595 | .939393 |
| 37.8 | .959802 | .939703 |
| 38.0 | .960007 | .940010 |
| 38.2 | .960209 | .940314 |
| 38.4 | .960410 | .940615 |
| 38.6 | .960608 | .940912 |
| 38.8 | .960805 | .941207 |
| 39.0 | .960999 | .941499 |
| 39.2 | .961192 | .941788 |
| 39.4 | .961383 | .942074 |
| 39.6 | .961572 | .942358 |
| 39.8 | .961759 | .942639 |
| 40.0 | .961944 | .942916 |
| 40.2 | .962128 | .943192 |
| 40.4 | .962310 | .943464 |
| 40.6 | .962490 | .943734 |
| 40.8 | .962668 | .944002 |
| 41.0 | .962844 | .944267 |
| 41.2 | .963019 | .944529 |
| 41.4 | .963193 | .944789 |
| 41.6 | .963364 | .945046 |
| 41.8 | .963534 | .945302 |
| 42.0 | .963703 | .945554 |
| 42.2 | .963870 | .945805 |
| 42.4 | .964035 | .946053 |
| 42.6 | .964199 | .946299 |
| 42.8 | .964362 | .946543 |
| 43.0 | .964523 | .946784 |
| 43.2 | .964682 | .947023 |
| 43.4 | .964840 | .947260 |
| 43.6 | .964997 | .947495 |
| 43.8 | .965152 | .947728 |
| 44.0 | .965306 | .947959 |
| 44.2 | .965459 | .948188 |
| 44.4 | .965610 | .948415 |
| 44.6 | .965760 | .948640 |
| 44.8 | .965908 | .948863 |


| Aspect |
| :--- |
| Ratio |

45. 0
46. 2 . 966202
45.4 . 966347
45.6 . 966490
45.8 . 966633
46.0 . 966774
46.2 . 966914
46.4 . 967053
46.6 . 967190
46.8 . 967327
47.0 . 967462
47. 2 . 967596
47.4 . 967729
47.6 . 967861
47.8 . 967992
48.0 . 968122
48. 2 . 968251
48.4 . 968379
48.6 . 968506
$48.8 \quad .968632$
$49.0 \quad .968756$
49.2 . 968880
49.4 . 969003
49.6 . 969125
49.8 . 969246
50.0 . 969366
50.2 . 969485
50.4 . 969603
50.6 . 969720.954580
50.8 . 969836
51.0 . 969952
51.2 .970066
$51.4 \quad .970180 \quad .955270$
51.6 .970293 . 955439
51.8 . 970405 . 955607
$52.0 .970516 \quad .955773$
52.2 . 970626 . 955939
52.4 . 970735
52.6 . 970844
52.8 . 970952
53.0 . 971059
53.2 . 971165
53.4 . 971271
53.6 . 971375
53.8 . 971479
54.0 . 97158.2
54.2 . 971685
54.4 . 971787
54.6 .971888
54.8 . 971988
$\underline{D_{z}-D_{x}}$
.949084

- 949303
. 949520
. 949735
.949949
.950161
.950371
.950579
.950785
. 950990
.951193
.951394
. 951594
.951792
. 951989
. 952183
. 952377
.952568
. 952759
.952947
.953135
. 953320
.953504
.953687
.953869
. 954048
.954227
.954404
- 954580
. 954927
. 955099

955607
.955773
.955939
.956103
.956266
. 956428
. 956588
. 956748
.956906
.957063
.957219
. 957374
. 957527
.957680
.957831
. 957982

Aspect R

| 55.0 | . 972087 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 55.5 | . 972333 |
| 56.0 | . 972575 |
| 56.5 | . 972812 |
| 57.0 | . 973045 |

57.5 .973275
58.0 .973500
58.5 .973722
59.0 . 973940
59.5 .974154
60.0 .974365
$60.5 \cdot 974572$
61.0 .974777
61.5 .974977
62. 0.975175
62.5 .975370
63.0 .975561
63.5 .975750
64.0 .975936
64.5 .976119
65.0 .976299
65.5 .976476
66.0 .976651
66.5 .976823
67.0 .976993
67.5 . 977160
68.0 .977325
68.5 .977488
69.0 .977648
69.5 .977806
70.0 . 977961
70.5 .978115
71.0 .978266
71.5 .978416
72.0.978563
72.5 .978708
73.0 . 978852
73.5 .978993
74.0.979133
74.5 .979270
75.0 .979406
75.5 .979540
76.0 .979673
76.5 .979803
77.0 .979932
77.5 .980060
78.0 . 980185
78.5 .980310
79.0 . 980432
79.5 .980553

.958131
.958500
. 958862
. 959218
.959568
. 959912
. 960250
. 960582
. 960909
.961231
.961547
.961859
.962165
. 962466
.962763
.963055
.963342
.963625
. 963904
. 964178
.964448
.964714
.964977
.965235
. 965490
.965741
.965988
.966232
.966472
.966709
.966942
.967172
.967400
.967624
.967844
.968062
.968277
.968489
.968699
.968905
.969109
.969310
.969509
.969705
.969898
.970089
.970278
.970464
.970648
.970830

| Aspect |
| :---: |
| Ratio |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

.971009 . 971362 .971706 .972041
. 972369
. 972690
. 973003
. 973308
. 973607
. 973900
.974186
. 974465
. 974739
.975007
. 975269
. 975526
.975778
.976024
.976266
.976503
. 976735
.976962
. 977185
. 977404
.977619
. 977829
. 978036
.978239
. 978438
.978633
.978825
. 979014
. 979199
. 979381
. 979560
. 979736
.979909
.980079
.980246 .980410
.980571
.980730
.980887
. 981040
.981192
.981341
. 981487
. 981632
.981774
.981914
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