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An Electric and Magnetic Field Sensor for Simultaneous 
Electromagnetic Near-Field Measurements—Theory

Motohisa Kanda
Electromagnetic Fields Division
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado 80303

This paper describes the theory of a single sensor to perform simultaneous electric and magnetic near-field measurements. The theory indicates that it is possible to obtain the magnetic-loop and electric-dipole currents using a loop terminated with identical loads at diametrically opposite points. The theory also indicates that it is possible to obtain an ideal load impedance for achieving equal electric and magnetic field responses of the loop. Preliminary experiments have been performed using plane waves to verify these results.
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I. Introduction

At distances remote from the radiating source, the electromagnetic (EM) fields are comparatively easy to characterize, and the methods for doing so are quite well known. Of major importance, from the standpoint of biological effects and electromagnetic interference (EMI), is an accurate picture of the near field in regions close to electromagnetic radiation and reradiation sources. The regions close to a source are most likely to contain high intensity fields. Unfortunately, such locations are also characterized by complicated field structures, including reactive (stored) and real (propagated) energies, standing and traveling waves, and irregular phase surfaces.

Susceptibility of equipment to electromagnetic fields, as well as the degree of EMI and biological hazards, is generally presumed to be proportional to the magnitude of the EM field. The heating of a lossy dielectric material (such as human tissue) and the potential for damage are proportional to the time-average value of the total magnitude of the electric field strength squared. It is closely related to the electric field energy density, another scalar quantity which involves E and the complex permittivity of the medium.
Similarly, the heating of a partially conducting material is proportional to the time-averaged value of the total magnitude of the magnetic field strength squared. The familiar power density or Poynting vector is not directly relatable to the electric or magnetic field magnitude alone, except when the field structure is quite simple, as with a single plane wave.

Most conventional field intensity meters with relatively directive antennas cannot reliably measure complicated EM fields such as those with reactive near-field components, multipath reflections, unknown field polarization, multiple frequency components, complicated modulations, and large field gradients. For this reason, it has been a common practice to measure only the electric field strength or only the magnetic field strength. The sensor described in this paper represents an attempt to perform simultaneous, near-field electric and magnetic field measurements using a single antenna element. This kind of sensor is particularly needed for electromagnetic near-field measurements where the magnitude and phase angle of the wave impedance, which is a ratio of the electric field to the magnetic field, are not known. The field vectors are not necessarily orthogonal to each other and may be out of phase. Thus, this device is intended not only to measure the polarization ellipses of the electric and magnetic field vectors in the near-field region, but also to measure the time-dependent Poynting vector to describe the energy flow. This paper discusses the details of the theory and compares the theory with preliminary experimental results using plane waves.

II. Theory

II-1. Theory of Loop Antenna

Figure 1 shows the geometry and coordinate system for a loop antenna lying in the plane $z = 0$ and excited at $\phi = 0$ with a delta-function voltage source, $V_0$. Let us assume that a linearly polarized plane-wave, $E^l$, impinges onto the loop. Wu has shown [1] that the loop current $I(\phi)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$V_0 \delta(\phi) + b \frac{E^l(0, \phi)}{\phi} = \frac{j\omega}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} L(\phi - \phi')I(\phi')d\phi'. \quad (1)$$
Where $E^j_\phi(b,\phi)$ is the $\phi$-component of the incident field at the point $\phi$ on the loop, $b$ is the loop radius, and $\zeta$ is the free space impedance. The kernel in (1) may be represented in the Fourier series form as

$$L(\phi-\phi') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{-jn(\phi-\phi')}$$

with

$$a_n = a_{-n} = \frac{kb}{2} (N_{n+1} + N_{n-1}) - \frac{n^2}{kb} N_n$$

$$N_n = \frac{b}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{in(\phi-\phi')}}{r} e^{-jkr} \, d\phi$$

$$r = \sqrt{4b^2 \sin^2(\phi-\phi')/2 + 4a^2 \sin^2(a/2)} ,$$

where $k$ is the free space wave number. Assuming that $a \ll b$ and $ka \ll 1$, Wu has shown [1] that $N_n$ can be represented in terms of integrals of Bessel and Lommel-Weber functions [2].

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{8b}{a} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^{2kb} K_0(x) dx + \int_0^{2kb} J_0(x) dx \right]$$

$$N_n = N_{-n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ K_0 \left( \frac{na}{b} \right) I_0 \left( \frac{na}{b} \right) + C_n \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2kb} \left[ \Omega_{2n}(x) + jJ_{2n}(x) \right] dx , \ n > 1$$

where

$$C_n = \ln 4n + \gamma - 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2m + 1} ,$$

$K_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, $I_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, $\Omega_n(x)$ is the Lommel-Weber function, $J_n(x)$ is the Bessel function, and $\gamma = 0.5772 \ldots$ is Euler's constant.
The loop current \( I(\phi) \) can be expanded in the Fourier series,

\[
I(\phi) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_n e^{-jn\phi},
\]

and the electric field \( E^i_\phi(b, \phi) \) in the Fourier series

\[
E^i_\phi(b, \phi) = E^i_0 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n e^{-jn\phi}.
\]

According to Fourier's theorem

\[
f_n = \frac{1}{2\pi E^i_0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E^i_\phi(b, \phi) e^{jn\phi} d\phi.
\]

It becomes clear from figure 2 that the \( \phi \) component of the incident field at an angle \( \phi \) on the loop is

\[
E^i_\phi(b, \phi) = E^i_0 [\cos\psi \cos(\phi - \phi_0) - \sin\psi \sin(\phi - \phi_0) \cos \theta] e^{jkb \cos(\phi - \phi_0)\sin\theta}.
\]

Using the integral representation of the Bessel function,

\[
J_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{jz \cos \phi} e^{jn\phi} d\phi,
\]

one gets

\[
f_n = j^{n-1} \cos\psi \ e^{-jn\phi} J'_n(kb \sin\theta) + j^n \sin\psi \ \cos \theta \ e^{-jn\phi} \ \frac{n J_n(kb \sin\theta)}{kb \sin\theta}.
\]

Using the orthogonality property of the function \( e^{-jn\phi} \), one obtains from (1), (2), (9), and (10) the Fourier coefficient of the current

\[
I_n = -\frac{j}{\pi \zeta} \frac{V_0 + 2\pi b E^i_0 f_n}{a_n}.
\]
II-2. Inductance and Capacitance of Loop Antenna

By setting $E^i_0 = 0$ in (15), the admittance of the loop antenna is given by

$$Y = -\frac{j}{\pi \zeta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = -\frac{j}{\pi \zeta} \left( \frac{1}{a_0} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \right). \quad (16)$$

Assuming that currents of higher order than $n = 1$ in (15) are negligible due to the small size of the loop, we can define the admittance for the magnetic-loop current ($n = 0$) as

$$Y_0 = -\frac{j}{\pi \zeta a_0} \quad (17)$$

and the admittance for the electric-dipole current ($n = 1$) as

$$Y_1 = -\frac{j2}{\pi \zeta a_1} \quad (18)$$

As will be shown later, the imaginary part (susceptance) of the loop admittance for the magnetic-loop current is generally negative and is, therefore, inductive. On the other hand, the susceptance for the electric-dipole current is generally positive and is, therefore, capacitive. Thus, from the slopes of the susceptance curves plotted against frequency, the quasi-static inductance and the quasi-static capacitance of the loop can be determined.

We impose the quasi-static limit ($kb < 1$). To determine the admittance $Y_0$ for this current, we require the relations

$$a_0 = \frac{kb}{2} (N_1 + N_{-1}) = kb N_1 \quad (19)$$

$$K_0 \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) = - (\gamma + \ln \frac{a}{2b}) \quad (20)$$

$$I_0 \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) \approx 1 \quad (21)$$
\[ \Omega_2(x) \equiv -\frac{2x}{3\pi} \]  

(22)

\[ J_2(x) \equiv \frac{x^2}{8} . \]  

(23)

To evaluate \( a_0 \), we obtain \( N_1 \) from (7) and neglect the higher powers of \( kb \),

\[ N_1 \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{8b}{a} - 2 \right) + O(k^2b^2) . \]  

(24)

From (17), we now obtain the quasi-static admittance for the magnetic-loop current,

\[ Y_0 = \frac{1}{j \zeta kb \left( \ln \frac{8b}{a} - 2 \right)} = \frac{1}{3\omega L} \]  

(25)

from which the inductance of the loop is

\[ L = \mu b \left( \ln \frac{8b}{a} - 2 \right) , \]  

(26)

where \( \mu \) is the permeability of the medium.

Since \( \ln \frac{b}{a} > 1 \) in general, the inductance of the loop is given approximately by the well-known formula,

\[ L = \mu b \ln \frac{b}{a} . \]  

(27)

To obtain the quasi-static capacitance for the loop from (18), we require

\[ a_1 = \frac{kb}{2} (N_2 + N_0) - \frac{1}{kb} N_1 \]  

(28)

and, thus, also \( N_0 \), \( N_1 \), and \( N_2 \). Since

\[ \Omega_0(x) \equiv \frac{2}{\pi x} \]  

(29)
we obtain \( N_0 \) from (6), to the first order in \( kb \),

\[
N_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{8b}{a} - jkb .
\]  

Also with

\[
\Omega_4(x) = -\frac{2x}{15\pi}
\]

\[
J_4(x) = \frac{x^4}{4^4 4!} \equiv 0
\]

so that, neglecting \( kb \) in higher orders, \( N_2 \) becomes

\[
N_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[-\ln \frac{a}{b} - \gamma + C_2\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2kb} \frac{2x}{15\pi} \, dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[-\ln \frac{a}{b} - \gamma + C_2\right].
\]  

where \( C_2 = \ln 8 + \gamma - \frac{8}{3} \equiv -0.010 \).

Using the \( N_1 \) given in (24), the leading term of the admittance for the electric-dipole current is

\[
\gamma_1 = -\frac{j2}{\pi \zeta a_1} \equiv \frac{j2}{\pi \zeta} \frac{kb}{N_1} = \frac{j2}{\zeta} \frac{kb}{(\ln \frac{8b}{a} - 2)} = j\omega C .
\]  

Thus the capacitance of the loop is given by

\[
C = \frac{2\epsilon b}{\ln \frac{8b}{a} - 2}.
\]
Since \( \ln b/a > 1 \) in general, the capacitance of the loop is approximately

\[
C = \frac{2eb}{\ln b/a}.
\]

(37)

II-3. Electric and Magnetic Responses of Loop Antenna

Let us consider a small loop with two gaps at diametrically opposite points \( \phi = 0, \pi \) and loaded with equal impedances, \( Z_L \), in an incident plane-wave field as shown in figure 1. Since the total current is the sum of the currents maintained by the electromagnetic forces at \( \phi = 0, \pi \), and by the incident field \( \mathbf{E}_\phi \),

\[
I(\phi) = 2\pi bE_0^i u(\phi) - I(0)Z_L v(\phi) - I(\pi)Z_L w(\phi)
\]

(38)

where

\[
u(\phi) = -\frac{1}{\pi c} \left( \frac{f_0}{a_0} + \frac{2f_1 \cos \phi}{a_1} \right)
\]

(39)

\[
v(\phi) = -\frac{j}{\pi c} \left( \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{2\cos \phi}{a_1} \right)
\]

(40)

\[
w(\phi) = -\frac{j}{\pi c} \left( \frac{1}{a_0} - \frac{2\cos \phi}{a_1} \right)
\]

(41)

Here it is assumed that currents of higher order than \( n = 1 \) in (15) are negligible due to the small size of the loop. The current \( I(0) \) and \( I(\pi) \) can be determined from the simultaneous equations obtained from (38) with \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \pi \).

\[
I(0) = 2\pi bE_0^i \left( \frac{f_0 Y_0}{1 + 2Y_0 Z_L} + \frac{f_1 Y_1}{1 + 2Y_1 Z_L} \right)
\]

(42)
where \( Y_0 = G_0 + jB_0 \) is the loop admittance for the magnetic-loop response and \( Y_1 = G_1 + jB_1 \) is the loop admittance for the electric-dipole response.

By taking the sum and difference of these currents, one can obtain

\[
I_\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} (I(0) + I(\pi)) = 2\pi b E_0^i \left( \frac{f_0 Y_0}{1 + 2Y_0 Z_L} - \frac{f_1 Y_1}{1 + 2Y_1 Z_L} \right), \quad (44)
\]

\[
I_\Delta = \frac{1}{2} (I(0) - I(\pi)) = 2\pi b E_0^i \left( \frac{f_0 Y_0}{1 + 2Y_0 Z_L} \right). \quad (45)
\]

It can be seen from (44) and (45) that the sum current is used to measure the magnetic field, whereas the difference current is used to measure the electric field.

One can verify the results in (44) and (45) by considering the currents in the electric-dipole and magnetic field responses of the loop. Across one load, the magnetic-loop response adds to the electric-dipole response, whereas, across the other load the magnetic-loop response subtracts from the electric-dipole response. Thus, by taking the sum and difference of currents across loads at diametrically opposite points, the magnetic-loop response and electric-dipole response can be separated. That is, the sum current gives a measure of the magnetic field, whereas the difference current gives a measure of the electric field.

For a loop orientation with maximum electric and magnetic field responses, i.e., \( \psi = 0, \theta = \pi/2 \), and \( \phi_0 = 0 \), one gets from (14)

\[
f_0 \equiv j \frac{kb}{2} \quad (46)
\]

and

\[
f_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \quad (47)
\]
since for small arguments

\[ J_0'(z) = - J_1(z) \approx -\frac{1}{2} z \] (48)

and

\[ J_1'(z) = J_0(z) - \frac{1}{2} J_1(z) \approx \frac{1}{2} z . \] (49)

In general, \( 2Y_0 Z_L > 1 \) for the magnetic-field loop current. Therefore, one can make the following approximation,

\[ I_L \approx \frac{E_0^i}{2Z_L} \pi b^2 k , \] (50)

which indicates that the magnetic-loop current is approximately proportional to the product of frequency and the area of the loop, and inversely proportional to the load impedance. Similarly for the electric-field dipole current, assuming that \( 2Y_1 Z_L \ll 1 \),

\[ I_\Delta \approx \pi b E_0^i Y_1 , \] (51)

which is approximately proportional to the product of the circumference of the loop and frequency since \( Y_1 \) has a capacitive susceptance (positive) and increases with frequency.

III. Theoretical and Experimental Results

The real (conductance) and imaginary (susceptance) parts of the loop admittance with the loop radius, \( b \), of 0.16 m, and the wire radius, \( a \), of 0.02 m, calculated using (16), are shown in figures 3 and 4, respectively. Figure 4 indicates that the susceptance, \( B_0 \), for a magnetic-loop response is inductive (negative) and inversely proportional to frequency, whereas the susceptance, \( B_1 \), for an electric-dipole response is capacitive (positive) and proportional to frequency. The inductance \( L \) and the capacitance \( C \) of the loop in the above example can be calculated using (26) and (36) to obtain

\[ L = \mu b (\delta n - \frac{8b}{a} - 2) \approx 0.44 \ \mu H \] (52)
and

\[ C = \frac{2eb}{\kappa n \frac{8b}{a} - 2} \equiv 1.31 \text{ pF} . \]  \hspace{1cm} (53)

From figure 4, the first loop resonance is near 124 MHz since the total susceptibility (the sum of admittances due to magnetic-loop response, electric-dipole response, and higher order modes) approaches zero at that frequency. Since the loop displays a very high resistance at the first resonance as indicated in figure 5, this resonance is not commonly used in all practical purposes. Figure 5 indicates that the second loop resonance is near 210 MHz. This agrees very well with the resonance frequency estimated from the inductance and capacitance given in (52) and (53).

The real (resistance) and imaginary (reactance) parts of the loop impedance are shown in figures 5 and 6. Figure 5 indicates that the loop resistance of an electric-dipole response is larger than that of a magnetic-loop response up to 260 MHz, whereas the loop resistance of a magnetic-loop response becomes larger than that of an electric-dipole response above 260 MHz.

The sum and difference currents in the loop with \( Z_L = 200 \, \Omega \), calculated using (44) and (45), are shown in figure 7. The real part of the currents increases with frequency up to about 200 MHz as indicated in (50) and (51). With a load impedance of 200 \( \Omega \), the magnetic-loop current is larger than the electric-dipole current up to 100 MHz, whereas the electric-dipole current becomes larger than the magnetic-loop current above 100 MHz.

To verify the above results experimentally, as shown in figure 7, we have constructed a loop with a radius of 0.16 m and wire radius of 0.02 m, as shown in figure 8. The loop is loaded at two diametrically opposite points with resistances of 200 \( \Omega \) by using 4:1 baluns and 50 \( \Omega \) resistive loads. To separate the mode currents, a 0°/180° hybrid is used to obtain the sum and difference of the currents. Zero-bias Schottky diodes are used as detectors, employing high-resistance plastic transmission lines between the antenna and a high input impedance dc voltmeter. The loop sensor is placed in the known...
electromagnetic field inside a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) cell. After taking into account diode detection efficiencies [3], the experimentally measured loop currents for an incident electric field of 1 V/m are shown in figure 7. Although there is some discrepancy between the theory and preliminary experimental results, which may be associated with the balun impedances, the results indicate a general validity of the theory. For further reference, the sum and difference currents in the loop with $Z_L = 50, 100, \text{ and } 1000 \, \Omega$ are shown respectively in figures 9, 10, and 11. The real parts of the magnetic-loop and electric-dipole components of the loop current, with various load impedances as a function of frequency, are summarized in figure 12.

Figures 13, 14, 15, and 16 show, respectively, the real parts of the magnetic-loop and electric-dipole currents as a function of its load impedance at 1, 10, 30, and 100 MHz. It indicates that there is a critical load impedance, for example 260 $\Omega$ at 10 MHz, for which the magnetic field response of the loop is equal to the electric field response of the loop. Below this critical load impedance, the magnetic-loop current is greater than the electric-dipole current. Above this critical load impedance, the electric-dipole current is larger than the magnetic-loop current. It is also found from figure 17 that this critical load impedance has only a slight frequency dependence, ranging from 200 to 260 $\Omega$ for the frequency range of 1 to 100 MHz.

IV. Conclusions

This paper describes a concept by which a single sensor is capable of performing simultaneous electric and magnetic field measurements. The sensor considered is a loop antenna terminated with identical loads at diametrically opposite points. Theory indicates that by taking the sum and difference of the loop currents at each load, the electric-field and magnetic-field responses can be separated. In our preliminary experiments using plane waves, a quadrature 3 dB 90° hybrid was used to obtain the sum and difference currents in the loop. Further, the theory also indicates that it is possible to adjust electric and magnetic field responses of the loop, by changing load impedances, to obtain equal electric and magnetic loop responses.
It is envisioned that this kind of sensor may be used to measure not only the polarization ellipses of the electric and magnetic vectors in the near-field region, but also to measure the time-dependent Poynting vector. From the Poynting vector it should be possible to describe the energy flow. Further work on this concept is being pursued.

V. References


Figure 1. Loop configuration for simultaneous electric and magnetic field sensor.
Figure 2. Receiving Loop in an incident plane-wave field.
Figure 3. Conductance of loop antenna ($a = 0.02 \text{ m}, \ b = 0.16 \text{ m}$).
Figure 4. Susceptance of loop antenna \((a = 0.02 \, \text{m}, \, b = 0.16 \, \text{m})\).
Figure 5. Resistance of loop antenna \(a = 0.02 \text{ m}, b = 0.16 \text{ m}\).
Figure 6. Reactance of loop antenna (\( a = 0.02 \text{ m}, b = 0.16 \text{ m} \)).
Figure 7. Magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna ($Z_L = 200 \, \Omega$).
Figure 8. An electric and magnetic field sensor for simultaneous electromagnetic near-field measurements.
Figure 9. Magnetic-loop ($I_{\Sigma}$) and electric-dipole ($I_{\Delta}$) currents of loop antenna ($Z_L = 50 \, \Omega$).
Figure 10. Magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna ($Z_L = 100 \Omega$).
Figure 11. Magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna ($Z_L = 1000 \Omega$).
Figure 12. Real parts of magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna with various load impedances.
Figure 13. Real parts of magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna as a function of load impedance at 1 MHz.
Figure 14. Real parts of magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna as a function of load impedance at 10 MHz.
Figure 15. Real parts of magnetic-loop \( I_L \) and electric-dipole \( I_A \) currents of loop antenna as a function of load impedance at 30 MHz.
Figure 16. Real parts of magnetic-loop ($I_\Sigma$) and electric-dipole ($I_\Delta$) currents of loop antenna as a function of load impedance at 100 MHz.
Figure 17. Critical load impedance of loop antenna as a function of frequency.
An Electric and Magnetic Field Sensor for Simultaneous Electromagnetic Near-Field Measurements—Theory

Motohisa Kanda

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20234

This paper describes the theory of a single sensor to perform simultaneous electric and magnetic near-field measurements. The theory indicates that it is possible to obtain the magnetic-loop and electric-dipole currents using a loop terminated with identical loads at diametrically opposite points. The theory also indicates that it is possible to obtain an ideal load impedance for achieving equal electric and magnetic field responses of the loop. Preliminary experiments have been performed using plane waves to verify these results.

electric field; electromagnetic interference; electromagnetic radiation; loop; magnetic field; near fields.
NBS TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

PERIODICALS

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH—The Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards reports NBS research and development in those disciplines of the physical and engineering sciences in which the Bureau is active. These include physics, chemistry, engineering, mathematics, and computer sciences. Papers cover a broad range of subjects, with major emphasis on measurement methodology and the basic technology underlying standardization. Also included from time to time are survey articles on topics closely related to the Bureau’s technical and scientific programs. As a special service to subscribers each issue contains complete citations to all recent Bureau publications in both NBS and non-NBS media. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: domestic $18; foreign $22.50. Single copy, $4.25 domestic; $5.35 foreign.

NONPERIODICALS

Monographs—Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau’s scientific and technical activities.

Handbooks—Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

Special Publications—Include proceedings of conferences sponsored by NBS, NBS annual reports, and other special publications appropriate to this grouping such as wall charts, pocket cards, and bibliographies.

Applied Mathematics Series—Mathematical tables, manuals, and studies of special interest to physicists, engineers, chemists, biologists, mathematicians, computer programmers, and others engaged in scientific and technical work.

National Standard Reference Data Series—Provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world’s literature and critically evaluated. Developed under a worldwide program coordinated by NBS under the authority of the National Standard Data Act (Public Law 90-396).

NOTE: The principal publication outlet for the foregoing data is the Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data (JPCRD) published quarterly for NBS by the American Chemical Society (ACS) and the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Subscriptions, reprints, and supplements available from ACS, 1155 Sixteenth St., NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Building Science Series—Disseminates technical information developed at the Bureau on building materials, components, systems, and whole structures. The series presents research results, test methods, and performance criteria related to the structural and environmental functions and the durability and safety characteristics of building elements and systems.

Technical Notes—Studies or reports which are complete in themselves but restrictive in their treatment of a subject. Analogous to monographs but not so comprehensive in scope or definitive in treatment of the subject area. Often serve as a vehicle for final reports of work performed at NBS under the sponsorship of other government agencies.

Voluntary Product Standards—Developed under procedures published by the Department of Commerce in Part 10, Title 15, of the Code of Federal Regulations. The standards establish nationally recognized requirements for products, and provide all concerned interests with a basis for common understanding of the characteristics of the products. NBS administers this program as a supplement to the activities of the private sector standardizing organizations.

Consumer Information Series—Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today’s technological marketplace.


Order the following NBS publications—FIPS and NBSIR’s—from the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, VA 22161.


NBS Interagency Reports (NBSIR)—A special series of interim or final reports on work performed by NBS for outside sponsors (both government and non-government). In general, initial distribution is handled by the sponsor; public distribution is by the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, VA 22161, in paper copy or microfiche form.