

NIST Special Publication 250–31

R. S. Davis

U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology



## **Center for Manufacturing Engineering**

The Center for Manufacturing Engineering provides competence and develops technical data, findings, and standards in manufacturing engineering, mechanical metrology, automation, robotics, control technology, and precision mechanical engineering to support the discrete parts manufacturing industries; the Center also controls/maintains the Automated Manufacturing Research Facility (AMRF) and consists of five Divisions.

### **Precision Engineering Division**

Develops/maintains competence in metrology for length, mass, and angle, optics, machine-tool metrology, precision machining, and nanotechnology; incorporates metrology into precision manufacturing, including lithography and metal working processes as well as the standards necessary for integration of equipment up to the manufacturing cell level.

### **Robot Systems Division**

Develops/maintains competence in robotics, real-time sensory-interactive control technology, robot languages/ standards, and interface standards for computer-integrated manufacturing systems; conducts research into new techniques of sensing and control, sensory data processing and communications, world models, robot programming languages, interactive graphics for programming, and intelligent real-time control for industrial, military, space and construction applications; develops experimental robot applications; performs technical activities relating to standards for robots and computer-integrated manufacturing systems; performs research in robot safety, robot assembly of parts, tools, and fixtures, and applications of intelligent control to military and industrial systems.

### **Factory Automation Systems Division**

Develops/maintains competence in computer science/software engineering, industrial engineering, control systems engineering, and mechanical engineering including research into new techniques of intelligent machine processes and machine-readable product data that merge technologies of artificial intelligence, information systems, metrology, and industrial processes; performs technical analyses for feasibility of interface standards and product data specifications for manufacturing systems; interfaces manufacturing data preparation systems such as computer-aided design, process planning, and off-line equipment programming with the manufacturing control systems; performs research/development on interfaces necessary to manage factory communication network protocols and database management systems in a manufacturing environment; develops techniques for the computer-assisted and automated generation of control code required for executing processes involved in discrete-parts manufacturing industries.

### **Automated Production Technology Division**

Develops/maintains competence in integration of machine tools and robots up to manufacturing cell level; develops interfaces/networks necessary to combine robots and machines into workstations and workstations into manufacturing cells; develops/maintains computer-assisted techniques for generation of computer code for integration of machine tools and robots; maintains competence in engineering measurements and sensors, both static and dynamic, of force and force related quantities, and other parameters required by discrete-parts industry; conducts research on nature of the measurement process and transducers and the development, characterization, and calibration of transducers used in discrete-parts manufacturing.

### Fabrication Technology Division

Designs, fabricates, repairs, and modifies precision apparatus, instrumentation, components thereof, and specimens necessary to the experimental research and development work of NIST; develops/maintains competence in CAD/CAM, automated process planning, and shop management systems.

# NIST MEASUREMENT SERVICES: Mass Calibrations

R. S. Davis

Precision Engineering Division Center for Manufacturing Engineering National Engineering Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899

January 1989



NOTE: As of 23 August 1988, the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) became the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) when President Reagan signed into law the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, C. William Verity, Secretary Ernest Ambler, Acting Undersecretary for Technology NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY, (formerly National Bureau of Standards) Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 88-600608

National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 250-31 Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., Spec. Publ. 250-31, 72 pages (Jan. 1989) CODEN: NSPUE2

> U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1989

#### PREFACE

Calibrations and related measurement services of the National Institute of Standards and Technology provide the means for makers and users of measuring tools to achieve levels of measurement accuracy that are necessary to attain quality, productivity and competitiveness. These requirements include the highest levels of accuracy that are possible on the basis of the most modern advances in science and technology as well as the levels of accuracy that are necessary in the routine production of goods and services. More than 450 different calibrations, measurement assurance services and special tests are available from NIST to support the activities of public and private organizations. These services enable users to link their measurements to the reference standards maintained by NIST and, thereby, to the measurement systems of other countries throughout the world. NIST Special Publication 250, NIST Calibration Services Users Guide, describes the calibrations and related services that are offered, provides essential information for placing orders for these services, and identifies expert persons to be contacted for technical assistance.

NIST Special Publication 250 has recently been expanded by the addition of supplementary publications that provide detailed technical descriptions of specific NIST calibration services and, together with the NIST Calibration Services Users Guide, they constitute a topical series. Each technical supplement on a particular calibration service includes:

- \* specifications for the service
- design philosophy and theory
- description of the NIST measurement system
- NIST operational procedures
- \* measurement uncertainty assessment error budget systematic errors random errors
- NIST internal quality control procedures

The new publications will present more technical detail than the information that can be included in NIST Reports of Calibration. In general they will also provide more detail than past publications in the scientific and technical literature; such publications, when they exist, tend to focus upon a particular element of the topic and other elements may have been published in different places at different times. The new series will integrate the description of NIST calibration technologies in a form that is more readily accessible and more useful to the technical user.

The present publication, SP 250-31, NIST Measurement Services: Mass Calibration at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, by R. S. Davis, is one of the documents in the new series. It describes calibration technology and procedures utilized in connection with NIST Service Identification Numbers from 22010C to 22180M listed in the NBS Calibration Services Users Guide 1986-88/Revised (pages 28-31). Inquiries concerning the contents of these documents may be directed to the author(s) or to one of the technical contact persons identified in the Users Guide.

Suggestions for improving the effectiveness and usefulness of the new series would be very much appreciated at NIST. Likewise, suggestions concerning the need for new calibration services, special tests and measurement assurance programs are always welcome.

Joe D. Simmons, Acting Chief Office of Physical Measurement Services

#### Contents

																							ł	2age
1.	Desc	ription	of Servic	e		٠					•		•	•								٠		1
2.	The	Interna	tional Sys	tem of	Uni	ts	•••	•	•	•		•	•		•		•					٠		2
3.	Mass	Standa	rds in Pra	ctice.	•••	•	•••	•		•						•						•		3
	3.1	Kilogr	ams				•••	•	•				•	•	•							•		3
	3.2	Other	Denominati	ons						•	•	•	•	•	•				,					6
4.	Description of Service.       1         The International System of Units       2         Mass Standards in Practice.       3         3.1 Kilograms.       3         3.2 Other Denominations.       6         Density Determination of Single-Piece Kilograms       7         Using Submersible Balance       7         4.1 Apparatus.       8         4.2 Principles of Use.       10         Cleaning of Weights       11         5.1 Categories of Weights       11         5.2 Cleaning Procedures.       12         5.2.1 One-Piece Weights       12         5.2.2 Screw-Knob Weights       12         5.3 Temperature Equilibrium.       13         5.4 Storage.       13         5.5 Brushes.       14         6.1 Measurement Algorithm.       14         6.2 Uncertainty of Value Assigned to Piston Weights.       15	7																						
	4.1	Appara	tus		• •		• •										•		•			•		8
	4.2	Princi	ples of Us	e		•	•••										•			•		٠		10
5.	Clea	ning of	Weights .				•••		•				•	•		•	•					•		11
	5.1	Catego	ries of We	ights.			•••								•									11
	5.2	Cleani	ng Procedu	res		•	• •	•	•							•						•		12
		5.2.1	One-Piece	Weigh	its .											•	•							12
		5.2.2	Screw-Kno	b Weig	hts.	•	•••		•	•		•			•			•						12
		5.2.3	Sheet-Met	al Wei	ghts	•	•••	•		•			•		•					•				13
	5.3	Temper	ature Equi	libriu			•••			•			•	•						•				13
	5.4	Storag	e										•		•				•	•	•			13
	5.5	Brushe	s				• •			•				•	•	•								14
6.	Meth	od of C	alibrating	Dead	Weigl	hts				•			•	•	•								•	14
	6.1	Measur	ement Algo	rithm.			• •		•	•		•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	6.2	Uncert	ainty of V	alue A	ssig	ned	to	Pi	ist	or	ı V	lei	gh	ts				•	•	•				15
7.	Meth	od of C	alibrating	Stand	ard V	Wei	ght	s.																15

	7.1	Measurement Algorithm
	7.2	Uncertainty of Value of Standard Weights
8.	Quali	ty Control
	8.1	F-Test
	8.2	t-Test
	8.3	Between-Times Components
9.	Futur	e Plans
10.	Refer	ences
	Appen	dices
	Α.	Least Squares Analysis
	В.	Sample Calibration Report
	C.	Sample Surveillance Test Report
	D.	Sample Dead-Weight Calibration Report

.

#### 1. Description of Service

The National Bureau of Standards maintains the national standard for mass in the form of the prototype kilogram K20 and its companion K4 and provides services to support the segments of the national measurement system which rely directly or indirectly on mass measurements. These services are offered only to those customers whose requirements cannot be met by state laboratories. In order to provide prompt and useful service, the acceptance of the items for calibration or test is based on discussions with each user to determine details necessary to meet measurement and delivery requirements, and on inspection of the item at the Bureau to determine its suitability for the usage intended.

Services are available to enable a user to establish a measurement assurance program for certain measurement processes. This may involve developing procedures for establishing and maintaining a state of statistical control for the measurements, the determination of the offset of the process from the national system, and assisting in the determination of the uncertainty of measurements made by the user's process.

Arrangements for calibration (or test) must be completed before shipping apparatus to the Bureau. While all services are on an actual-cost basis, subject to a \$25 minimum charge, a mutual agreement on the work to be performed generally results in substantial savings for the user. Detailed packing and shipping instructions are available on request. Items not accepted for calibration or test will be returned, the cost of inspection or the minimum charge will be applicable.

The results of a calibration or test will be reported in a National Bureau of Standards Report of Calibration Test or of Special Test (which in many cases is prepared by a computer program), a continuation report, or a letter report. In each of these, the values reported are accompanied by an appropriate estimate of uncertainty (allowance for random and systematic errors) as determined by an analysis of the specific measurement process. A continuation report is used for those items submitted for recalibration on which preliminary tests indicate that no significant changes have occurred since the last calibration. Usually a letter report is used to report a test for compliance with a specification which states limits for the departure of the actual value from nominal.

Charges for these services are listed in the NBS SP250 Appendix. Upon receipt of a request for services, an estimated cost will be given along with a firm date for completion. An effort will be made to discuss the measurement requirement with the customer so as to give proper service at minimum cost and delay.

The Bureau's calibration of reference standards of mass provides extensions of the mass unit embodied in the NBS standard of mass. A normal calibration consists of establishing a mass value and the appropriate uncertainty for that value for each weight which has been designated to be a reference standard. It is desirable, but not necessary, that a weight meet the adjustment tolerances

1

established for NBS Classes A, B, M, or S-1 prior to submission[1].<sup>1</sup> Normally weights are available from manufacturers, many of whom can furnish directly documentation suitable for meeting quality assurance contracts and requirements.

Individual weights or sets of weights in the range of 30 kg to 1 mg or 50 lb to 1  $\mu$ lb in decimal subdivisions, which are designated as reference standards, must be of design, material, and surface finish comparable to, but not necessarily limited to, present NBS Classes A, B, M, S, or S-1.<sup>2</sup> Design, material, and surface finish of large mass standards (from 50 to 50,000 lb) must be compatible with the intended usage. For these large mass standards an adjustment with reference to a nominal or desired value can be included as a part of the calibration procedure.

The values of true mass (and an apparent mass correction) included in the report will be determined by using computed volumes based on the manufacturer's statement of density of the material, on the density computed from measured volumes, or in the absence of this information, on estimated density values. The apparent mass corrections are computed for 20  $^{\circ}$ C with reference to Normal Brass (density 8.4 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 0  $^{\circ}$ C, volume coefficient of expansion 0.000054/ $^{\circ}$ C) and to stainless steel (density 8.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 20  $^{\circ}$ C) in an ideal air density of 1.2 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>. Apparent mass corrections to any other basis can be furnished if requested.

For periodic recalibrations of reference mass standards, the user need measure only differences between weights or groups of weights within a set and compare them with computed differences. As long as the agreement is within allowable limits, the values can be considered constant within the precision of the comparison process. Mass standards which are submitted to the Bureau for recalibration frequently are tested in this manner. If these tests indicate that no significant changes have occurred, a continuation report so stating and referring to the previous NBS Report of Calibration will be issued.

#### 2. The International System of Units

Virtually all industrialized countries are signatories to a treaty which establishes a consistent set of measurement units. The convention which has been agreed to is called the International System of Units. It is frequently abbreviated as SI (for Systeme International d'Unites, the treaty having been written in French). An international committee, which was established through a provision of the treaty, sees to it that the definitions of units in the SI change to reflect improvements in measurement technology. In the case of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>New weights are more likely to be adjusted to ANSI/ASTM or OIML tolerance [2,3]. We will accept ASTM Classes 1, 2, and 3 as well as OIML Classes E1, E2, F1, and F2. (See ASTM E617.)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ We will also accept ANSI/ASTM Grades S and O as well as OIML classes E1, E2, F1, or F2.

unit of mass, however, there has been no change in the definition for almost 100 years.

The unit of mass in the SI is the kilogram. Its value is defined with reference to an object known as the International Prototype Kilogram (IPK). The definition can be simply stated:

"A kilogram is equal to the mass of the International Prototype of the kilogram."[4]

The IPK is kept and used under the supervision of the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM) on land provided by the French government in Sèvres, near Paris.[5]

It is then necessary to establish a practical system of mass measurement based on the simple definition.

#### 3. Mass Standards in Practice

#### 3.1 Kilograms

The first step is the easiest to achieve. Countries, such as the United States, possess at least one replica of the IPK. These replicas are made of the same material as the IPK (an alloy of 90 percent platinum/10 percent iridium; density 21.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and have the same shape (a cylinder whose height equals its diameter). The replicas are only within 1 milligram of the IPK but differences between the replicas and the IPK can still be measured using the best balances (such balances have the almost incredible precision of 1 microgram in 1 kilogram, or  $1 \times 10^{-9}$ ). Thus each replica must be compared either directly or indirectly with the IPK in order to establish its mass. The U.S. bases its mass measurements on the value of replica no. 20 (sometimes referred to as K20), which is kept at the National Bureau of Standards in Gaithersburg, MD. The difference between the mass of the IPK and K20 was determined in 1890 and again in 1948. In 1984 K20 was compared indirectly with the IPK. Α detailed account of these latest measurements and a review of previous measurements involving the replicas can be found in [6]. The mass of K20 is thus known to be 1 kg -0.020 mg with an uncertainty of less than 0.010 mg. Notice that, while the mass of the IPK is 1 kg by definition and thus has no uncertainty, the mass value assigned to K20 is not exactly equal to its nominal value and does have a finite uncertainty.

The IPK and its replicas, such as K20, are made of platinum/iridium for a variety of reasons chief among which is resistance to chemical attack. The expense of this alloy has precluded and still precludes the widespread use of platinum/iridium weights. In the first half of this century, brass weights, usually plated with nickel or rhodium, were the best quality weights commercially available. More recently, stainless steel has supplanted plated brass as the material with which the highest quality commercial weights are fabricated.

Aside from the fact that plated brass or stainless steel are not as resistant to chemical attack as is platinum/iridium, the major difference between kilograms made of Pt/Ir and those of brass or steel is their size, more specifically their volume. One kilogram of Pt/Ir has a volume of about 46.5  $cm^3$ ; but a typical stainless steel kilogram has a volume of about 125 cm<sup>3</sup> and one of brass has a volume of about 119 cm<sup>3</sup>. The difference in volume between a Pt/Ir kilogram on the one hand and a brass or steel kilogram on the other is so great that the buoyant effect of the air which surrounds the kilograms cannot be neglected during weighing. If, for instance, one constructed a stainless steel kilogram weight which exactly balanced the IPK when the two were compared on the most precise balance available, the stainless weight would actually have a mass of about 1 kg + 94 mg. The "extra mass" came about because the measurements were done in air. Air is a fluid which, like any fluid, produces a buoyant effect on objects it surrounds. The effect can be as large and dramatic as the Goodyear blimp. However, when it comes to mass standards and weighing in general, the effect is small but extremely nettlesome. In the case of the Pt/Ir and steel kilograms just mentioned, the buoyant force on the steel exceeded that on the Pt/Ir so that almost 0.1 g extra steel was needed to balance the two weights. Had the weighing been done in the absence of air, i.e. in vacuum, there would have been no problems due to air buoyancy: the mass of stainless steel which would exactly balance the IPK would have been exactly 1 kilogram. The buoyancy effects are illustrated in figure 1.

Our concept of mass requires that the mass of an object be the same in vacuum or air (or any other fluid) providing that the total amount of material comprising the object has not changed (i.e, the weight does not dissolve, evaporate, react chemically, etc. with the fluid which surrounds it). Thus a correction must be applied to mass measurements made in air between standards of different volumes. Let us return to the imaginary measurement in air which showed that a stainless steel kilogram exactly balanced the IPK. The results of this measurement can be stated in several different ways:

MASS:	The mass of the stainless steel object is about 1 kg + 94 mg.
TRUE MASS:	The true mass of the stainless steel object is about 1 kg + 94 mg (true mass = mass)
VACUUM MASS:	The vacuum mass of the stainless steel object is about 1 kg + 94 mg. (vacuum mass = mass).
APPARENT MASS:	The apparent mass of the stainless steel kilogram is about 1 kg + 0.0 mg when measured against Pt/Ir standards in air of density 1.2 g/cm <sup>3</sup> at a temperature of 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

Note that when specifying apparent mass, one must define the specific weighing conditions. Whereas the mass of an object is a fundamental attribute, its apparent mass will depend on its density, the density of the standard to which it was compared, and the density of air at the time the measurements were made. (The temperature at which the measurements were made must be specified also because the density of stainless steel, Pt/Ir, and other materials is a slight function of temperature.) Because apparent mass is defined through a particular convention, it is sometimes referred to as "conventional mass."

4



Α.



Β.

Figure 1. A. A stainless-steel kilogram (density 8000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) balances a platinum-iridium kilogram (density 21500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) at normal atmospheric conditions. B. Under vacuum conditions one can see that the mass of the stainless-steel kilogram actually exceeds that of the platinum kilogram by about 0.1 g.

The two conventions in widest use are: density 8.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 20 °C in air of density 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>; and density 8.39094 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 20 °C in air of density 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup> [7].

Mass in SI units is truly mass (or "vacuum" mass or "true" mass). When the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) calibrates a stainless steel kilogram in terms of its Pt/Ir national standard, a correction of order 0.1 grams must be made to the raw data. This correction is large compared to the precision of the mass comparison. Making the correction requires a great deal of effort. Even doing the best one can, this buoyancy correction can be the major contributor to the uncertainty in the calibration of the stainless steel kilogram. If the steel kilogram is then used to calibrate other steel or brass kilograms, the buoyancy corrections will be small and relatively easy to apply. The use of stainless steel or other nickel-chrome alloys as "working standards" also means that K20 can be used very infrequently, thereby minimizing wear and the chance of accident.

The strategy of NBS was to put great effort into the calibration of two standards, N1 and N2, having a density of  $8.35 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . These two weights, made of a nickel-chrome alloy, are then used to calibrate other weights of similar density on a more routine basis. At the present time, errors in assigning calibration value of N1 and N2 with respect to the IPK are not included in error budgets. This practice is now in the process of being revised.

At the time N1 and N2 were fabricated, the majority of high-quality weights were made of plated brass; hence the choice of alloy density. Now virtually all high-quality weights are made of stainless steel. For this reason, NBS is in the midst of changing its working standards from N1 and N2 to standards of stainless steel density of  $8.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . This will be a relatively slow process because the long-term stability of the new standards must first be established. In addition, the tie to the SI unit is being carefully established so that a meaningful uncertainty can be assigned.

#### 3.2 Other Denominations

So far, we have described how the SI mass unit is transferred from the Pt/Ir prototype in Sèvres to a nickel-chrome or stainless steel working standard at NBS. Obviously, it is essential to calibrate weights at other nominal values above and below 1 kg.

The concept of how this is accomplished is simple. Once one has a weight which embodies an SI mass value, it is only necessary to find the ratio of the mass of the known weight to the mass of the unknown weight. Since a ratio is dimensionless, these measurements can, in principle, be accomplished by any laboratory with sufficiently sensitive balances. For instance, if we have a kilogram weight calibrated in SI units and we need to know the mass value of a 500-g weight, the following simple approach might be taken: The known 1-kg weight could be used to calibrate a digital electronic balance of 1 kilogram capacity. The unknown 500-g weight could then be placed on the balance and its mass value read directly. (It would also be wise to check the balance linearity and make the necessary corrections for air buoyancy.) Such calibrations were, of course, done before the days of electronic balances. Even today, higher precision can usually be obtained with mechanical balances although with a great loss in convenience. Using an equal-arm balance, for instance, one would need an additional 500-g weight. The mass difference between the sum of both 500-g weights could be found with respect to the calibrated 1-kg weight. This is enough information to assign mass values to the two unknown weights. Similar, though more sophisticated, procedures are used to calibrate weights of all denominations beginning with calibrated kilogram standards.

Calibration of a set of weights consists of assigning values for the unknown weights in terms of the known mass of one or more standards. For high precision work, this involves the use of the balance as a comparator which measures the difference between two objects (or two groups of objects) which must have nominally the same mass because of the small "on-scale" range of the comparator. In deriving units which are subdivisions of the basic unit or multiples thereof, a variety of different weighing sets have been used because of convenience or other practical considerations. A typical set is the 5 3 2 1 series which bridges the range from 10 to 1. In most cases, the calibration algorithm provides for a check standard, treated as an additional unknown weight, to be used for monitoring the performance of the measuring process.[8]

Precision weighing is usually done by some form of transposition weighing on a two-pan balance or by substitution methods on a one-pan balance [7]. For simplicity, it will be assumed that a well behaved comparator is available and that measurements of differences in the mass of two objects or groups of objects are corrected for air buoyancy effects and other environmental or procedural factors [7]. It is further assumed that the measurements are uncorrelated in the statistical sense and all are of equal precision. (These latter two assumptions are non-trivial and special care has to be taken to insure their validity so that the random error component of the uncertainty is properly evaluated.)

The schedule of measurements for calibration should include provision for a check standard and also for within-run redundancy. The decision as to which one of a number of possible schedules or designs to use for intercomparison of a set of weights depends on items such as the variance associated with individual weights or combinations thereof. The least squares analysis from which the values for the weights and their variances are calculated is presented in Appendix A.

4. Density Determination of Single-Piece Kilograms Using a Submersible Balance

The buoyancy correction is important in precision weighing. For most cases, an assumed density (supplied by the manufacturer) will suffice. However, in the case of 1-kg standards, it is desirable to measure the density of individual weights. This measurement is now done routinely at NBS for single-piece kilogram and pound weights sent for calibration. The density measurements we use are a modification developed in our laboratory of the usual hydrostatic weighings. A brief description of the technique which we use follows.<sup>[9]</sup>

#### 4.1 Apparatus

The balance modified for this work is a Mettler PL1200,<sup>3</sup> the important specifications of which are:

weighing range	0 - 1200 g
reproducibility	<0.005 g
linearity	<0.01 g

Significant mechanical and electronic modifications were introduced to the balance and its enclosure. Specifically, the weighing cell of the balance was separated from the supporting electronics and placed beneath the surface of an inert liquid (see fig. 2).

Clearly, the fluid in which an electronic measuring cell is immersed must have many special properties: it must be electrically insulating, it must be chemically inert, it must be optically transparent (in order for the servo optics to function properly), and it should not evaporate quickly. These characteristics may be found, for example, in FC-75, a fluorinated fluid manufactured by the 3M Company. A comparison of some of the properties of FC-75 with those of water is given in table 1. An additionally noteworthy property of FC-75 is its immense appetite for gases. For example, the fluid is able to dissolve about 0.3 g of air per kilogram of fluid. This ability to dissolve atmospheric gases, greatly inhibits bubble formation on immersed objects--one of the most serious problems in conventional high-precision hydrostatic weighing. Finally, the fluid is 77 percent more dense than water at room temperature thereby increasing the signal to noise in comparison to a normal hydrostatic weighing. The major disadvantages of this fluid as compared to water are its large coefficient of thermal expansion and its cost. However, use of FC-75 instead of water for conventional "hydrostatic" weighing has many advantages. [10] The density of FC-75 is usually not known accurately enough for the liquid to serve as a density standard. Instead, the fluid density is calibrated at the time of use by including a solid object of known mass and volume in the weighing scheme.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Certain trade **comp**es and company **products** are identified in order to adequately specify the experimental procedure. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Bureau of Standards nor does it imply that the products are necessarily the best available for the purpose.



Figure 2. Cross-sectional view of the balance immersed in its thermostated bath. A conventionally-shaped standard weight is shown on the pan. Unconventional loads may also be accommodated by the pan as suggested by the sphere (drawn with dashed lines).

Property (at 25 <sup>O</sup> C)	FC-75 <sup>a</sup>	Water
Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1800	1000
Coefficient of expansion ( $^{\circ}C^{-1}$ )	1.6x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.5x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Kinematic viscosity (cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	0.82x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.89x10 <sup>-2</sup>
Vapor pressure (Pa)	4000	3200
Surface tension (N/m)	0.015	0.072
Heat capacity (J/g- <sup>O</sup> C)	1.0	4.2
Thermal conductivity (W/cm- <sup>O</sup> C)	1.4x10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.1x10 <sup>-3</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Values for FC-75 supplied by the manufacturer.

#### 4.2 Principles of Use

We illustrate the use of the submersible balance by finding the volume,  $V_A$ , of an object, A, when its mass,  $M_A$ , is known. Placing the object on the balance produces a reading,  $0_A$ , which is related to the other parameters through the equation

$$O_{A} = K \left[ M_{A} - \rho(t) V_{A}(t) \right]$$
(1)

where  $O_A$  is the difference in reading of the loaded and unloaded balance.

Here  $\rho$  is the density of the fluorocarbon and K is a constant scale-factor which may be adjusted by turning a potentiometer controlling the calibration of the balance. Both  $\rho$  and  $V_A$  are functions of the ambient temperature, t. In succeeding equations the functional dependence on temperature is not shown explicitly.

Normally K is adjusted by the balance manufacturer or user so that the balance will read directly the mass of an object of density  $8.0g/cm^3$  in air of density  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}g/cm^3$ , i.e. K = 1.000150. We found it convenient (though, of course, not essential) to readjust K to exact unity. Thus we can ignore K in the succeeding equations. Hence,

$$V_{A} = \frac{M_{A} - O_{A}}{\rho}$$
(2)

The problem with using eq (2) is that the precision with which  $V_A$  can be measured far exceeds the accuracy with which  $\rho$  is known. Thus, for best results, one should also measure an object whose mass and volume are known. Placing such an object on the balance essentially calibrates the density of the fluorocarbon at the time of weighing. Let the known object be called S1. Then

$$\rho = \frac{M_{S1} - O_{S1}}{V_{S1}}$$

and

$$V_{A} = \frac{M_{A} - O_{A}}{M_{S1} - O_{S1}} \quad V_{S1}$$
(3)

In practice, S1 is a stainless-steel kilogram whose volume has been determined to better than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  by classical hydrostatic weighing. We also include a check standard, S2 in the measurements. The check standard is similar to S1 and similarly calibrated.

5. Cleaning of Weights

It is essential that weights being calibrated, as well as the standards used, be clean if the calibration is to be accurate and meaningful. Therefore, a cleaning procedure should be a part of every calibration.[11]

5.1 Categories of Weights

For cleaning purposes, weights may be divided into four categories.

1. One-piece weights.

This category will include all one-piece weights except lacquered weights, sheet metal weights and small wire weights.

2. Screw-knob weights.

This category will include all weights with adjusting cavities except lacquered weights.

3. Lacquered weights.

This category includes all lacquered or painted weights.

4. Sheet metal weights and wire weights.

#### 5.2 Cleaning Procedures

#### 5.2.1 One-Piece Weights

One-piece weights, 1 gram and larger, are generally steam cleaned. The weights are either held or placed in a jet of steam and manipulated so that the entire surface of the weight is subjected to the cleaning action of the steam long enough to clean it. A superficial steaming is not enough. The weight is then dried, either by evaporation or careful wiping with a soft non-abrasive material free from oil and other substances that will leave a residue on the weights, such as high grade cheesecloth. Care must be exercised that no water spots are left on the weights as they dry. Visible particles on the weights should be brushed or wiped off before steam cleaning them. If a steam generator is not available, one-piece weights may be cleaned either by immersing them in a hot or boiling distilled water bath in a non-metallic container or according to the procedures for screw-knob weights.

Occasionally, a weight will have foreign material adhering to it that requires the use of solvents. Ethyl alcohol is a good general solvent.<sup>4</sup> If alcohol does not remove the material, other solvents may be used, such as benzene, 1,1,1- trichloroethane, etc. Alcohol is then used to remove any film left by the other solvents. The weights are then steam cleaned as outlined above. Cleaning and, in particular, steam cleaning, may adversely affect some alloys. For these alloys, only solvent cleaning is used.

#### 5.2.2 Screw-Knob Weights

Weights in this category are usually cleaned by wiping with a soft nonabrasive material, free from oils or other substances that will leave a residue of any kind on the weights, such as high grade cheesecloth. Occasionally, a weight will have foreign matter adhering to it that requires the use of solvents, applied with a cloth. Ethyl alcohol is a good general solvent. If alcohol does not remove the foreign material, other solvents may be used. Alcohol is then used to remove any film left by the other solvents.

To prevent spotting the weights when using solvents, the weights are wiped dry. Care is taken that no liquid gets under the knobs or especially into the adjusting cavity.

A modified steam cleaning procedure may be used on screw-knob weights. The bottoms and sides are steam cleaned, care being taken that no liquid or vapor gets under the knob or into the adjusting cavity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some solvents are health hazards and should be used in an approved safe manner.

#### 5.2.3 Sheet-Metal Weights

First, the weights are placed in an acetone bath agitated to help loosen any foreign material. A soft brush, such as a camel hair brush, may be used to agitate the weights. The weights are removed from the acetone, allowed to dry and then steam cleaned. For steam cleaning, the weights are held in front of a jet of steam with forceps until the entire surface has been covered with steam. (See Note on next page.) In order that the portion of the surface under the forceps may be steamed, the weight is set down and picked up again with the forceps holding the weight at a different spot than the first time; the weight is again steamed. The weights are not allowed to touch the steam nozzle. Only a low ash filter paper is used for drying the sheet metal weights. A circular disk is folded unsymmetrically. The main body of the weight is placed between the folds of the paper with the turned edge of the weight protruding. The main body of the weight is dried by pressing lightly on the top of the paper. The turned up edge is brushed lightly with a piece of filter paper. In some cases, it may be necessary to brush the body of the weight with filter paper to remove drops of water. Care must be exercised that no water spots are left on the weights as they dry.

Note: The small fractional weights, say smaller than 5 mg, may be placed in a hot or boiling distilled water bath for the final cleaning instead of steam cleaning them. A hot or boiling distilled water bath may also be used for the final cleaning of all sheet metal weights when a steam generator is not available.

#### 5.3 Temperature Equilibrium

Newly cleaned weights are allowed to come to temperature equilibrium before they are calibrated. This may take several hours for the larger weights that have been steam cleaned.

Generally, laboratory weights will come to temperature equilibrium over night.

#### 5.4 Storage

Usually, weights are not placed in the balance immediately after cleaning, but are stored for varying periods. The weights are stored under cover so that they will stay clean. Weights 1 gram and larger may be stored on a tray lined with filter paper and covered with an inverted glass dish. The smaller weights may be stored in a small glass dish covered with a watch glass. In both cases, the container is labeled with the weight identification.

When the weights are placed in the balance, they are carefully brushed to remove any particles that may be on them. A small bulb type rubber syringe is useful in removing lint and other small particles from weights. The particles are blown off the weights. Therefore, neither the nozzle nor any other part of the syringe need touch the weights. 5.5 Brushes

Brushes require special attention because they are easily contaminated and often are the last cleaning instrument used before the weights are calibrated. Only soft brushes, such as camel hair brushes are used on weights.

The brushes are cleaned by washing with soap and water, then rinsing in ethyl alcohol and allowed to dry in air. New brushes are cleaned before using to remove any oil or other matter that might contaminate the weights. Used brushes are cleaned as often as necessary to be sure that the brushes themselves do not contaminate the weights.

- 6. Method of Calibrating Dead Weights
- 6.1 Measurement Algorithm

The following method of calibrating dead weights--including pressure gage (piston gage) weights--is routinely used [12]. The method employs simple weighing designs and includes corrections for the standards and the buoyant effect of the atmosphere. Calibrations of dead weights are at a lower accuracy than calibrations of mass standards.

The comparisons are made on several different balances whose precision is adequate for the requirements of the weights being tested.

In general, the "Mass Value" of the standard means its <u>True Mass</u> value and its correction means its <u>True Mass Correction</u> unless otherwise indicated. Measurements are often made on by double-substitution weighing, against the built-in weights of an appropriate single-pan balance. These weights have, in turn, been calibrated against NBS standards. The weighing algorithm is:

- 1) Read the balance with no load:  $S_1$
- 2) Read the balance with unknown on the pan:  $D_1 + S_2$
- 3) Read the balance with unknown & sensitivity weight:  $D_1 + S_3$
- 4) Read the balance with no load:  $S_4$

Here  $S_1$  represents a reading of the angle of tilt of the balance relative to an arbitrary zero and  $D_1$  represents the nominal values of the summation of dial weights which was used when the balance is loaded. Tare weights are placed on the balance pan as necessary to ensure that the same dial weights are used for operations 2) and 3) (no dial weights are used if no load is on the balance).

The mass of the unknown is computed to be:

$$M_{x} = \frac{D_{1} - \rho V_{1} + \frac{\Delta - \rho V_{\Delta}}{S_{3} - S_{2}} (S_{2} - S_{1} + S_{3} - S_{4})}{1 - \rho / D_{x}}$$
  
Where  $D_{1}$  = the calibrated mass of  $D_{1}$   
 $V_{1}$  = the volume of  $D_{1}$   
 $\Delta$  = the mass of the sensitivity weight  
 $V_{\Delta}$  = the volume of the sensitivity weight  
 $D_{x}$  = the density of the unknown (supplied by the customer)  
 $\rho$  = the computed density of air in the balance,

#### 6.2 Uncertainty of Value Assigned to Piston Weights

It is presumed that the weighings are being carried out by means of a measurement process whose parameters (precision, possible systematic errors, etc.) are known and sufficient evidence is collected to insure that the process is in a state of statistical control.[13] For each method of weighing there is a standard deviation associated with a single measurement of mass difference. This standard deviation is based on considerable history and is used in preference to a standard deviation based on the results of say one day's work. Such a value if available provides the means for judging whether or not to accept that day's measurement as being in control.

The uncertainty of the mass value of the piston weights consists of two parts; the uncertainty due to random errors of measurement and the systematic uncertainty due to the uncertainty in the value of the standard. The limit of the uncertainty due to random errors of measurement may be taken to be three times the standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the process. Therefore:

Uncertainty of value =  $3\sigma$  + uncertainty of standards.

#### 7. Method of Calibrating Standard Weights

#### 7.1 Measurement Algorithm

An unusual aspect of mass calibrations is that, although the standard is defined at one value, one is typically asked to calibrate a set of weights spanning several decades of mass and, often, not even encompassing the nominal defining value (that is, 1 kilogram). We approach this problem in the following way: 1. The weight in the set of unknowns which is closest in value to 1 kg is calibrated against an NBS standard of the same nominal value. 2. The rest of the weights in the set are calibrated in a self-consistent manner using the weight calibrated in step 1 as the standard.

It is convenient to calibrate a set of weights a decade at a time, using as a comparator the most sensitive balance available which will accommodate the largest weight in the decade. Table 2 shows the set of balances which we use for nominal values of 1 kg and below, along with their present standard deviations. Note that we do not calibrate each individual weight in a weight set against a corresponding NBS standard. This would be inefficient. Instead, weights, or summations of weights within the decade are intercompared at several nominal values. The recipe for choosing weights is referred to as a "design". The designs are chosen so that if one of the weights within the design has a known mass value, the mass values of the other weights can be determined. We always pick designs in which we acquire redundant information and calculate the "least squares" values as the calibration result. The least squares solution minimizes the sum of squares of deviations of the predicted minus observed values in much the same way as fitting a series of points on a graph by the least-squares line minimizes the summation of the distances squared of the points from the line. (See Appendix A.)

#### 7.2 Uncertainty of Value of Standard Weights

The uncertainties which are assigned to weights which we calibrate result from uncertainties in our starting standards and uncertainties in the comparison of the unknown weights with our starting standards. Typically, random uncertainties dominate the comparison operation. These are usually due to the balance which is serving as the mass comparator.

The specific design which is used also enters into the assignment of uncertainty. One can average a set of repeated measurements to find a better estimated value than from one single measurement. So too, using a weight in the set in more than one measurement results in a standard deviation of the value assigned to the weight which is less than that of a single measurement. How much less depends on the design. Table 3 shows typical calibration uncertainties based on one commonly used metric weight set and a typical design for that weight set. Note that large-valued masses are usually in avoirdupois units (50 lb and above). The avoirdupois pound is defined as 0.45359237 kg.

One complication of using designs to assign mass values is that the uncertainties assigned to the weights in a set are correlated. This means that when weights are used in combination, the uncertainty of the combination cannot be inferred directly from the uncertainties assigned to each weight individually.

#### 8. Quality Control

In the previous section we noted that the total uncertainty in the assignment of mass values to unknown weights comes primarily from uncertainties in the starting standards and random errors in the performance of balances used to

<u>Balance</u>	Capacity	Standard	Deviation
3	g	0.00	005 mg
20		0.00	)24
40		0.00	)39
160		0.01	.4
1	kg	0.03	2
10	Ū.	2.5	mg
30		8.2	
1	lb	0.03	$\mu$ lb
6		0.46	
50		5.5	mg
2500		0.00	)2 1b
30000		0.01	.7 lb

Table 2. Capacities and standard deviations of balances used

<u>Nom. Val.</u>	<u>Uncertainty</u>	<u>Nom. Value</u>	<u>Uncertainty</u>
1000 g	0.072 mg	1000 g	0.072 mg
500	0.059	2 kg	6.6
300	0.057	3	7.8
200	0.050	5	10.0
100	0.058	10	15.5
50	0.035	20	34
30	0.029	30	55
20	0.024		
10	0.026		
5	0.013		
3	0.0082		
2	0.0061		
1	0.0049		
500 mg	0.0026 mg		
300	0.0017		
200	0.0012		
100	0.0010		
50	0.00089		
30	0.00087		
20	0.00080	1 lb	0.11 µlb
10	0.00090	50	22.
5	0.00083	500	0.0028 lb
3	0.00085	2500	0.0073
2	0.00079	10000	0.054
1	0.00090	30000	0.11

intercompare the unknowns with the standards. To control the quality of this measurement system, we must insure that the mass of the starting standards does not change and that the random error of the balances used has not deteriorated from its accepted value. In addition, we must have a way to detect simple blunders in data entry. We now describe the controls which are presently in place [14] and outline improvements which are underway.

#### 8.1 F-Test [7,13]

Every calibration includes a means of checking the balance performance. We assume we know the balance performance based on a large accumulation of data over a long period of time. Each new calibration provides us with another set of data which can be compared with those previously collected. We check to see whether the scatter in the most recent set of data is statistically consistent with the accepted long-term standard deviation of the balance. Failure of this test indicates either a blunder or a sudden degradation of the balance. Figure 3 shows a control chart of the long-term standard deviation of a kilogram balance used in the calibration service. Control limits vary depending on the number of statistical degrees of freedom in a given design. Similar charts are maintained for all the balances used.

#### 8.2 t-Test [7,13]

Every calibration includes at least two standards along with the unknowns. One standard is used to calibrate both the unknowns and the second standard, which is well-known from many previous measurements. The second standard is called the "check standard" for the following reason: As a result of a calibration, the reference standard is used to assign mass values to the unknowns and the check standard. This mass value of the check standard is then compared to the long-term average of the check standard. A statistically significant difference in the two values indicates a change in the standard, a change in the check standard, or a blunder. Figure 4 is a control chart which shows the long-term variability of the difference in measured mass of our two working kilogram standards. Control charts are also maintained to look for unsuspected variability as a function of ambient temperature, barometric pressure, relative humidity, and air density.

Similar control charts are maintained for all the check standards in use. Occasionally, a check-standard will show a significant change (usually a loss) in mass with time. An example of such behavior is shown in figure 5. The control limits in this case change slowly with time, and are not shown.

Check standards are included in every weighing design. This offers an additional advantage which is best illustrated by an example. Routine calibrations of mass at the 1-kg level begin with starting standards N1 and N2. We actually use the total mass of N1 and N2 as the starting standard and use the difference in mass between N1 and N2 as the check standard. This is a mathematical convenience but is conceptually no different from using N1 as the standard and N2 as the check. While the check standard is adequate for detecting catastrophic changes in N1 or N2, it is obviously insensitive to any



Figure 3. Control chart for the standard deviation of the kilogram balance used in routine mass calibrations. The ordinate gives the measured standard deviation of the balance in milligrams and the abscissa gives the time of the measurement in years since 1900. Control limits are not shown.



Figure 4. Control chart for the measured difference in mass between kilograms N1 and N2. The ordinate is the difference measured in milligrams and the abscissa is the time of the measurement in years since 1900. Control limits are not shown.



Figure 5. Control chart for the mass of a 1-g check standard. The ordinate is the measured correction to nominal (in milligrams) and the abscissa is the time of the measurement in years since 1900. The check standard is losing mass at the rate of about 0.4 mg/yr. Control limits are not shown. processes which change N1 and N2 in nearly identical amounts. However, N1 and N2 are used to calibrate weight sets which often include the decade from 1 kg to 100 g. Every time weights in this decade are calibrated, the results are checked with a 100-g check standard. If there were gross changes in N1 and N2 which nevertheless preserved the value of N1 - N2, they would eventually produce failures of the t-test for the 100-g check standards. The problem, then, is to devise a system which will check the constancy of the starting kilogram standards with enough sensitivity to signal a change before its effect is propagated to other masses.

#### 8.3 Between-Times Components

There is a possibility that the standards we are using and measuring have a variability which is a function of time. The shortest time of concern to us is that needed to complete measurements for one design, approximately one hour. We attribute the variability we see in this time scale to the balance (used as comparator) and monitor its constancy by the F-test as described above. A much longer period of interest would be the time between weight calibrations. This is of the order of months or years. The difference between the short-term variability and long-term variability of the measurement process is known as the "between-times" component. We look for the existence of this component by comparing the long-term and short-term standard deviations associated with the check-standards. Ideally, the between-times component will be zero. However, a significant component has been found to exist for calibrations involving the highest relative precision.

For the cases in which we have detected a non-zero between-times component, we have propagated its effect through the calibration process by assuming all weights are subject to the same component as the check standards.

#### 9. Future Plans

There are two major weaknesses in our quality controls at present. The first is that the relationship between the SI unit of mass and the unit of mass as embodied by the values assigned to our working kilogram standards N1 and N2 is not well-enough determined. Second, the use of the difference in mass of N1 and N2 as the check standard at the 1-kilogram level is dangerously insensitive to effects common to both kilograms. This is especially disturbing since N1 and N2 are always stored together and receive identical use. A third but less serious problem is that the alloy of which N1 and N2 are made is closer in density to brass than to most commonly used stainless steels. This makes the usual buoyancy corrections more important than they would need to be if the working standards had a density closer to  $8.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ .

To improve these three areas, we have completed or are near to completing the following steps:

1. Six new kilograms weights of nominal density 8.0 g  $\rm cm^{-3}$  have been purchased. Their densities have been determined to 10 parts per million by hydrostatic weighing.

2. An existing kilogram comparator has been reconditioned and partially automated so that its standard deviation is more than 15 times less than that of the comparator used for routine calibrations. Thus, if there were no between-times components, it would require 225 measurements on the less precise balance to achieve the same uncertainty as one measurement on the more precise balance.

3. The two platinum-iridium prototype kilograms of the United States have recently been recalibrated by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM) along with two of our stainless-steel working standards. Good internal consistency was obtained between measurements made at NBS and at BIPM. The results show excellent long-term stability of our platinumiridium standards with respect to the SI unit as maintained by the BIPM.

4. An exhaustive series of measurements is underway using our best kilogram comparator. These measurements will establish the long-term stability of our new stainless-steel weights as well as determine how reproducibly they can be cleaned. We have also made preliminary measurements of N1 and N2 which can be precisely tied to the SI unit as maintained by the BIPM.

5. When the measurements described in 4. are completed, four of the six new stainless-steel kilograms will be used as working standards. The remaining two will not be used but instead, along with the two stainlesssteel weights which have been calibrated at the BIPM, will serve to monitor the constancy of the working standards thus prolonging the times between calibrations against our platinum-iridium prototypes.

- 10. References
- Lashof, T. W. and Macurdy, L. B., Precision Laboratory Standards of Mass and Laboratory Weights. NBS Circular 547, Section 1 (1954 August). Reprinted as NBSIR 78-1476 (1978 October).
- [2] ASTM E617-81 Standard Specification for Laboratory Weights and Precision Mass Standards, 1986 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 14.02: 480-499.
- [3] International Organization for Legal Metrology, OIML Recommendation 20.
- [4] Goldman, D. T. and Bell, R. J., eds., The International System of Units (SI): NBS Spec. Publ. 330 (1986 July).
- [5] Page, C. H. and Vigoureux, P., eds., The International Bureau of Weights and Measures 1875 - 1975: NBS Spec. Publ. 420 (1975 May).
- [6] Davis, R. S., Recalibration of the U.S. National Prototype Kilogram, J. Res. NBS <u>90</u> (4), July-August 1985: 263-283.
- [7] Jaeger, K. B. and Davis, R. S., A Primer for Mass Metrology, NBS Spec. Publ. 700-1 (1984 November).
- [8] Cameron, J. M., Croarkin, M. C., and Raybold, R. C., Designs for the Calibration of Standards of Mass, NBS Tech. Note 952, (1977 June).
- [9] Schoonover, R. M. and Davis, R. S., Quick and Accurate Density Determination of Laboratory Weights, Proc. 8th Conf. IMEKO Technical Committee TC3, Krakow Poland, September 9-11, 1980.
- [10] Bowman, H. A., Schoonover, R. M. and Carroll, C. L., A Density Scale Based on Solid Objects, J. Res. NBS <u>78A</u> (1), January-February 1974: 13-40.
- [11] Almer, H. E., Weight Cleaning Procedures, NBSIR 74-443 (1973 November).
- [12] Almer, H. E., Method of Calibrating Weights for Piston Gages, NBS Tech. Note 577 (1971 May).
- [13] Croarkin, M. C., Measurement Assurance Programs Part II: Development and Implementation, NBS Spec. Publ. 676 II; 1984.
- [14] Varner, R. N. and Raybold, R. C., National Bureau of Standards Mass Calibration Computer Software, NBS Tech. Note 1127 (1980 July).

25

#### Appendix A

#### LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS [8]

We begin then with a set of n observations,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , . . .  $y_n$  involving k objects where values,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , . . .  $\beta_k$  are to be determined. The set of observations can be represented by the equations for their expected values,  $E(y_i)$ ,

 $E(y_1) = x_{11}\beta_1 + x_{12}\beta_2 \dots x_{1k}\beta_k$ (1)  $E(y_2) = x_{21}\beta_1 + x_{22}\beta_2 \dots x_{2k}\beta_k$ 

 $E(y_n) = x_{n1}\beta_1 + x_{n2}\beta_2 \dots x_{nk}\beta_k$ 

or in matrix form  $E(y) = X\beta$  where the element,  $x_{ij}$ , of the X matrix is 0 if the weight is absent, and 1 or -1 depending on the direction of the comparison. In this note we shall adopt the convention of using just the signs so that, for example, all possible comparisons (ignoring direction) of 4 nominally equal objects will have the representation.

β1	β2	β3	$\beta_4$					
+	-			X :	= (	1 -1	0	٥٦
+		-				10	-1	0
+			-			1 0	0	-1
	+	-			7	0 0	1	-1
	+		-			0 1	0	-1
		+	-		l	0 0	1	-1 ]

In the least squares analysis one forms the normal equations

$$X'X\beta = X'y$$

where the entries in X'X are merely the sums of squares and sums of cross products of the columns of X. In the above case, one gets  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \\ -y_1 + y_4 + y_5 \\ -y_2 + y_4 + y_5 \\ -y_3 + y_4 + y_6 \end{pmatrix}$ 

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the column vector with elements  $\hat{\beta}^1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_4$ , the caret being used to denote the fact that the values are functions of the observations, and not the sought-after values,  $\beta$ .

It can easily be verified in this case that the system of equations is not of full rank (e.g., the column totals are zero) and this is a property of all designs where only differences are measured. In mass calibration, one has one or more standards whose value can be taken as known and these provide the restraint on the system needed to give a unique set of answers. Usually these involve a starting kilogram or a unique summation such as 5 + 3 + 2 which has been determined in a previous series or is the initial unit value for an ascending series such as the 1, 2, 3, 5 series. One can write the restraint in the form

$$r_1\beta_1 + r_2\beta_2 \dots + r_k\beta_k = m$$
 (2)

and use the method of Lagrangian multipliers (with multipliers  $2\lambda$ ) to minimize the function

$$\Phi = \sum (\text{deviations})^2 + 2\Sigma (r_1\beta_1 + \dots r_k\beta_k - m)$$
(3)

The normal equations now contain an additional "unknown," namely  $\lambda$  and written out in full are as follows:

$$\sum x_1^2 \hat{\beta}_1 + \sum x_1 x_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \dots \sum x_1 x_k \hat{\beta}_k + r_1 \lambda = \sum x_1 y \qquad (4)$$

$$\sum x_2 x_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \sum x_2^2 \hat{\beta}_2 \dots \sum x_2 x_k \hat{\beta}_k + r_2 \lambda = \sum x_2 y$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum x_k x_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \sum x_k x_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \dots \sum x_k^2 \hat{\beta}_k + r_k \lambda = \sum x_k y$$

$$r_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + r_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \dots r_k \hat{\beta}_k = m$$
where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik} x_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} x_{jk}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik} y_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} y_{k}$$

or in matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} X'X & r \\ r' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X'y \\ m \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

The solution may be written out formally as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & h \\ h' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X'y \\ m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} CX' & h \\ h'X' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ m \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

To facilitate computation it is convenient to have the values,  $\beta$ , written out as linear functions of the y's and m, i.e.,  $\beta = [CX', h]$  y. This leads to a set of multipliers of the observations of the form m

)

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = g_{11}y_{1} + g_{12}y_{2} \cdot \cdot \cdot g_{1n}y_{n} + h_{1}m$$

$$\cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \hat{\beta}_{k} = g_{k1}y_{1} + g_{k2}y_{2} \cdot \cdot \cdot g_{kn}y_{n} + h_{k}m$$
(7)

These multipliers,  $g_{ij}$  and  $h_i$ , are given in Appendix B in transposed form for some of the designs. The matrix C is important because the variances and covariances of the estimates are given by

Variance 
$$(\hat{\beta}_{i}) = C_{ij}\sigma^{2}$$
, Covariance  $(\hat{\beta}_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{j}) = C_{ij}\sigma^{2}$  (8)

The quantity,  $\sigma^2$ , is the variance (square of the long run value of the standard deviation) associated with the process. In a set of n observations on k items and r = 1 restraints one has n - k + r = n - k + 1 degrees of freedom for a standard deviation, s, formed by

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n - k + 1} \sum (\text{deviations})_{i}^{2}$$
(9)  
(deviation)<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>i</sub> - (x<sub>i1</sub> $\hat{\beta}_{1} + x_{i2}\hat{\beta}_{2} \dots x_{ik}\hat{\beta}_{k})$ 

One can write these deviations as a function of the observations by noting that the predicted values are just  $X\beta$  and the deviations are thus

$$dev = y - X\hat{\beta} = y - X[CX',h] \begin{pmatrix} Y\\ m \end{pmatrix} = y - [XCX',0] \begin{pmatrix} Y\\ m \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)  
= [I-XCX']y

which can be written as

$$dev_{1} = d_{11}y_{1} + d_{12}y_{2} \dots d_{1n}y_{n}$$
(11)  
.  
.  
$$dev_{n} = d_{n1}y_{1} + d_{n2}y_{2} \dots d_{nn}y_{n}$$

The array of coefficients,  $d_{ij}$ , is given in Appendix B for some of the designs. Weights are often used in combination and one needs to know the standard deviation for the various sums. For a sum of two items,  $\beta_i$  and  $\beta_j$ , one has

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1} + \hat{\beta}_{1}) = \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1}) + \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1}) = 2\operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{1})$$

and for a linear combination

$$L = \ell_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \ell_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \dots \ell_k \hat{\beta}_k$$
(12)  
Variance (L) =  $\ell' C \ell \sigma^2$ 

where  $l' = (l_1 \dots l_k)$ , C comes from the inverse of the matrix of normal equations [see eq (6)].

### DESIGNS FOR WEIGHING

The criteria for good weighing designs depend to some extent on the use intended for the resulting values. For example, if the weights are to be used independently of each other, then one would want the standard deviation [ $\sigma$  C<sub>ii</sub> from formula (8)] for the value for each unknown weight to be the minimum possible. If the weights are to be used in combination, then one wants the variance of all appropriate linear functions to be as small as possible.

Further, the desirability of a design depends somewhat on the restraint being used. In some cases, one's judgment of a design changes depending on whether one starts with a summation as known (e.g., 5 + 3 + 2) and works down, or with a unit as known and works up (e.g., by use of a 1, 2, 3, 5 series).

# <u>Appendix B</u>

# Sample Calibration Report

The following is a full calibration report for a set of weights with denominations from 1 g to 1 mg.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS NATIONAL MEASUREMENT LABORATORY GAITHERSBURG, MD. 20899

### R E P O R T O F M A S S V A L U E S

COMPANY X LOCUS, U.S.A. SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG SERIAL NUMBER 00001 MANUFACTURER : COMPANY Y SEPTEMBER 1, 1986

TEST NUMBER DEMO1

FOR THE DIRECTOR, NATIONAL MEASUREMENT LABORATORY

JOE D. SIMMONS, CHIEF LENGTH AND MASS DIVISION CENTER FOR BASIC STANDARDS

### INTRODUCTION

THIS DOCUMENT IS A COMPREHEN-SIVE REPORT COVERING THE SEQUENCE OF OPERATIONS USED TO ASSIGN MASS VALUES TO THE WEIGHTS IDENTIFIED EVERYONE WHO MAKES MEASUREMENTS ABOVE. IT INCLUDES A COMPLETE MUST BE ABLE TO VERIFY THAT HIS DESCRIPTION OF THE MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT PROCESS PRODUCES METHODS AND PROCEDURES WHICH WERE USED, ALL OF THE DATA, AND THE ANALYSIS OF THIS DATA. THE RESULTS ARE PRESENTED IN SEVERAL BY THIS REPORT, TOGETHER WITH THE FORMATS. ASSIGNED MASS VALUES, DISPLACEMENT VOLUMES, COEFFICIENTS OF EXPANSION, UNCERTAINTIES, TO-GETHER WITH THE SUMMED VALUES FOR FOR CONSISTENT MEASUREMENTS WITHIN LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF THE WEIGHTS IN EACH DECADE ARE PRESENTED AT THE END OF THE APPROPRIATE SERIES. THIS INFORMATION SHOULD BE USEFUL TO THOSE WHO MUST ASSIGN MASS OF ANY MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS VALUES TO OBJECTS OTHER THAN FUNDAMENTAL TO VERIFYING THAT WEIGHTS. FOR CONVENIENCE, THE RESULTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE VALUES AND UNCERTAINTIES, TOGETHER WITH OTHER APPROPRIATE DATA AND COMMENTS ARE ALSO SUMMARIZED IN MEASUREMENT EFFORT. WITHOUT THIS TABLES I AND II AT THE END OF THE INFORMATION, THE BENEFITS OF REPORT PAGES ARE SUMMARIES OF STATISTICAL COMPLETELY ILLUSORY. THE ASSIGNED DATA WHICH RELATE TO THE MASS UNCERTAINTIES IN THIS REPORT ARE MEASUREMENTPROCESSUSEDTODESCRIPTIVE OF OURMASSMEASURE-PERFORM THISWORK.THESEPAGESMENTPROCESS.EFFECTIVENESSOFHAVEBEENLEFTINTHE REPORTTOTHE TRANSFER OF THE UNIT FROMONE RETAIN CONTINUITY. COPIES OF THESE PAGES BECOME PART OF A VERIFIED BY AN INDEPENDENT TEST. COLLECTION OF STATISTICAL DATA IT IS PRESUMED THAT THESE WEIGHTS WHICH REFLECTS THE MEASUREMENT WILL BE USED IN A SIMILARLY WELL-PROCESS PERFORMANCE OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. SUCH A COLLECTION HAS BEEN USED TO ESTABLISH THE CONTROL LIMITS FOR ACCEPTING THE RESULTS OF THIS MEASUREMENT. THESE COL-LECTIONS ARE OPEN FOR INSPECTION AT OUR FACILITY.

### THE MASS MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

THE MASS MEASUREMENT SYSTEM WITHIN THIS COUNTRY CONSISTS OF ALL OF THE MEASUREMENT PROCESSES STANDARDS.

WHICH RELY, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECT-LY, ON MASS MEASUREMENTS TO ACCOMPLISH A WIDE VARIETY OF ENDEAVORS. IN ORDER FOR THIS SYSTEM TO FUNCTION PROPERLY, ASSIGNED VALUES AND THE APPRO-THIS SYSTEM OF RELATED MEASUREMENT PROCESSES.

APPROPRIATE CHARACTERIZATION END REQUIREMENT WITH RESPECT TO CORRECTNESS AND ECONOMY OF THE CERTAIN INTERMEDIATE OWNERSHIP OF THESE WEIGHTS MAY BE FACILITY TO ANOTHER SHOULD BE CHARACTERIZED MEASUREMENT PROCESS SO THAT THE STATISTICAL PARAMETERS OF BOTH PROCESSES CAN BE COMBINED TO PROVIDE A REALISTIC ESTIMATE OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE MASS UNIT AS ACTUALLY REALIZED IN ANOTHER FACILITY. A COMPREHENSIVE SERVICE DIRECTED TOWARD THE EVALUATION OF A PARTICULAR MASS MEASUREMENT PROCESS IS AVAILABLE THROUGH THE MASS MEASUREMENT ASSURANCE PROGRAM OF THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF

### WEIGHING DESIGN

ONLY DIFFERENCES IN MASS CAN BE MEASURED, THEREFORE THE MASS VALUES FOR THE 'UNKNOWN' WEIGHTS MUST BE DETERMINED BY COMPARISON WITH OTHER WEIGHTS WHICH HAVE ACCEPTED MASS VALUES. THE 'UNKNOWN' WEIGHTS TOGETHER WITH 'CHECK STANDARDS', ARE GROUPED AND INTERCOMPARED ACCORDING TO THE DESIGN SCHEDULE GIVEN AT THE BE-GINNING OF EACH SERIES OF WEIGH-INGS. THE FIRST SERIES CONTAINS STANDARDS WHICH PROVIDE THE STARTING VALUES FOR THE SERIES OF WEIGHINGS AND PROVIDE THE TIE POINT FOR CONSISTENCY THROUGHOUT THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM. THE WEIGHING METHOD USED, I.E., DOUBLE SUBSTITUTION, TRANSPOSITION, EIC., IS INDICATED ALONG WITH THE OBSERVED DATA. IN THE COMPUTA-TIONS, THE DISPLACEMENT VOLUMES ARE TREATED EXPLICITLY, USING THE COMBINATIONS TOGETHER WITH THE DATA LISTED IN THE REPORT. IN ALL APPROPRIATE UNCERTAINTY FOR EACH CASES. A REDUNDANCY IN THE NUMBER OF MEASUREMENTS PROVIDES A MEANS FOR CHECKING ON THE PRECISION OF THE PROCESS.

WHEN THERE ARE MORE EQUATIONS THAN 'UNKNOWNS', NOT ALL OBSERVA-TIONAL EQUATIONS CAN BE SATISFIED EXACTLY AND THE METHOD OF LEAST SQUARES IS USED TO PROVIDE ESTIMATES OF THE 'UNKNOWN' VALUES. THIS METHOD LEADS TO ESTIMATORS WHICH ARE LINEAR FUNCTIONS OF THE THE PROCESS AND IS USED AS A DATA AND WHICH HAVE STANDARD REFERENCE VALUE FOR SURVEILLANCE DEVIATIONS READILY CALCULATED FROM OF THE PROCESS PRECISION. THE THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE LINEAR VALUES OBTAINED FOR THE 'CHECK FUNCTIONS AND THE STANDARD DEVIA-TION OF AN INDIVIDUAL MEASUREMENT. THE 'CHECK STANDARD' IS ALSO TREATED AS AN UNKNOWN AND THE AGREEMENT OF THE CURRENT RESULT WITH THE ACCEPTED VALUE PROVIDES A TEST OF THE ADEQUACY OF THE CUR-RENT DATA. THIS SAME CHECK FOR THE 'CHECK STANDARD' SHOULD

STANDARD IS MEASURED WITH EACH TEST OF UNKNOWNS AND THE COLLEC-TION OF VALUES OVER TIME IS USED TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS.

IN THE CASE OF THE SERIES WHICH INCLUDES THE KNOWN STAND-ARDS, THE ACCEPTED VALUES OF THESE STANDARDS SERVE AS A RESTRAINT ON THE SOLUTION OF THE EQUATIONS FOR THE VALUES OF ALL OF THE WEIGHTS. THE RESTRAINT FOR THE SOLUTION OF SUBSEQUENT SERIES IS PROVIDED BY THE VALUES ESTABLISHED FOR ONE OR MORE WEIGHTS INCLUDED IN A PREVIOUS SERIES.

ESTIMATED VALUES FOR WEIGHTS WHICH HAVE BEEN GROUPED IN THE SAME SERIES INVOLVE THE SAME OBSERVATIONAL DATA AND ARE, IN ALMOST ALL CASES, CORRELATED. FOR EACH SERIES THERE IS A TABLE OF COMBINATION.

### PROCESS CONTROL

THE STANDARD DEVIATION, AS COMPUTED FROM THE LEAST SQUARES SOLUTION, PROVIDES A CHECK ON THE SHORT TERM, OR 'WITHIN-RUN' PRO-CESS PRECISION. AN AVERAGE OF A NUMBER OF THESE STANDARD DEVIA-TIONS IS TAKEN AS THE ACCEPTED WITHIN-RUN STANDARD DEVIATION OF STANDARD' PROVIDE, AS TIME GOES ON, A SEQUENCE OF VALUES THAT REALISTICALLY REFLECTS THE VARIATIONS WHICH BESET PRECISE MEASUREMENTS. COLLECTIONS OF VALUES FOR BOTH THE WITHIN-RUN PRECISION AND THE VALUE OBTAINED

POSSESS THE PROPERTIES OF RANDOM-NESS ASSOCIATED WITH INDEPENDENT MEASUREMENTS FROM A STABLE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION. THE REPORTED 'F RATIO' AND 'T VALUE' ARE TESTS OF THE VALUES FROM THE CURRENT RUN FOR CONFORMITY TO THEIR RESPECTIVE DISTRIBUTIONS AND IF SATISFACTORY ARE TAKEN AS EVIDENCE THAT THE PROCESS IS IN CONTROL AND THAT PREDICTIVE STATEMENTS REGARDING UNCERTAINTY ARE VALID.

CONTROL CHARTS ON THE WITHIN-RUN PROCESS PRECISION AND THE VALUES OBTAINED FOR THE CHECK STANDARD ARE KEY ELEMENTS IN MONITORING THE STATE OF CONTROL OF ANY PRECISE MASS MEASUREMENT INTERNATIONAL PROTOTYPE KILOGRAM PROCESS. IN ADDITION TO PROVIDING CAN BE PROVIDED ON REQUEST. A BASIS FOR JUDGMENT AS TO THE HOWEVER, THESE ESTIMATES HAVE NO ADEQUACY OF A GIVEN PROCESS FOR A REAL MEANING IN EITHER NATIONAL OR PARTICULAR REQUIREMENT, THESE DATA PROVIDE A MEANS TO JUDGE THE IMPORTANCE OF LONG TERM, OR DATA TO PROVIDE A REALISTIC 'BETWEEN-RUN' VARIABILITY WHICH ESTIMATE OF THE UNCERTAINTY IN THE CAN BE CHARACTERIZED BY THE VALUES ASSIGNED TO THE PROTOTYPE STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE VALUES KILOGRAMS K20 AND K4, PARTICULARLY ABOUT THE MEAN. IF THERE IS AN IN REGARD TO LONG TERM, OR ADDITIONAL COMPONENT OF VARIANCE ENTERING FROM RUN TO RUN, THIS STANDARD DEVIATION WILL BE LARGER THAN CAN BE ACCOUNTED FOR BY THE WITHIN-RUN VARIABILITY. CORRELA- REPORTED IN THE SCIENTIFIC PAPERS TION STUDIES, AS WELL AS SUPPLE-MENTAL EXPERIMENTS, ARE USED TO WIDE DISTRIBUTION. IN CASES WHERE DETECT AND REDUCE THE MAGNITUDE OF SIGNIFICANT SYSTEMATIC EFFECTS. APPROPRIATE ACTION, E.G., ADDI-TIONAL EMPIRICAL CORRECTIONS OR CHANGES IN TECHNIQUE, CAN REDUCE THE EFFECTS FROM KNOWN SOURCES OF ARE BASED ON THE ACCEPTED VALUES SYSTEMATIC VARIABILITY TO A MAGNITUDE WHICH IS NO LONGER IDENTIFIABLE IN THE DATA. IN THE CASES WHERE A SIGNIFICANT LONG TERM, OR BETWEEN-RUN, COMPONENT REMAINS THE UNCERTAINTY HAS BEEN APPROPRIATELY ADJUSTED.

SERIES OF MEASUREMENTS JUDGED AS OUT OF CONTROL RELATIVE TO THE APPROPRIATE PARAMETER ARE CARE-FULLY EXAMINED. IF RERUNS WERE NECESSARY IN THE COURSE OF THIS WORK, THE 'OUT OF CONTROL' SERIES. WITH REMARKS AS APPROPRIATE, ARE ATTACHED AT THE END OF THE REPORT FOR YOUR INFORMATION.

#### UNCERTAINTY

IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE PRESENT 'ACCEPTED VALUES' OF TWO NBS STAN-DARDS AT THE 1 KILOGRAM LEVEL, DESIGNATED N1 AND N2, ARE WITHOUT ERROR. ESTIMATES OF THE UNCER-TAINTY OF THE ACCEPTED VALUES OF THE NBS STANDARDS RELATIVE TO THE BETWEEN-RUN VARIABILITY. CHANGES IN THE ACCEPTED VALUES FOR THE NBS STANDARDS AT THE KILOGRAM LEVEL, AS AND WHEN THEY OCCUR, WILL BE OF THE BUREAU AND WILL BE GIVEN SUCH CHANGES MAY BE OF IMPORTANCE, OR WHERE CONTINUITY IS DESIRED. INSTRUCTIONS WILL BE INCLUDED FOR UP-DATING PREVIOUSLY REPORTED VALUES. WHEN THE VALUES REPORTED OF STANDARDS OTHER THAN STANDARDS N1 AND N2 MENTIONED ABOVE, THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE ACCEPTED VALUE OF THE STANDARD BECOMES A SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF VALUES TO OTHER STANDARDS AND IS INCLUDED IN THE REPORT.

A BALANCE UNDER STABLE OPERA-TING CONDITIONS WILL EXHIBIT A CERTAIN CHARACTERISTIC VARIABILITY WHICH CAN BE DESCRIBED BY THE STANDARD DEVIATION FOR SUCH MEASUREMENTS. THE VALUE FOR A PARTICULAR WEIGHT DETERMINED IN UNCERTAINTIES. THE UNCERTAINTY REPEATED TESTS WITH THE SAME BANDS ARE NOT EXPECTED TO OVERLAP WEIGHING DESIGN WILL HAVE ITS OWN STANDARD DEVIATION WHICH WILL BE SOME FUNCTION OF THE BALANCE PRECISION AND (POSSIBLY) OF THE BETWEEN-RUN COMPONENT. AS AN OUTER LIMIT OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF RANDOM ERRORS, THREE TIMES THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS USED. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS DUE TO THE PROCEDURES USED OR TO ENVIRON-MENTAL EFFECTS ARE LARGELY BALANCED OUT AND CAN USUALLY BE REGARDED AS NEGLIGIBLE. WHEN A THE ABSENCE OF OTHER SIGNIFICANT NON-NEGLIGIBLE BOUND TO THE POSSIBLE EFFECT FROM KNOWN SOURCES IS AVAILABLE, IT IS CALCULATED AND WHICH MUST BE DEMONSTRATED) THE REPORTED SEPARATELY, E.G., THE UNCERTAINTY OF ACCEPTED VALUE AT OTHER THAN THE 1 KILOGRAM LEVEL. THE DISTRIBUTION IMPLIED BY THE RANDOM ERRORS MAY THUS BE CENTERED RANDOM COMPONENT ASSOCIATED WITH SOMEWHERE IN THE RANGE GIVEN BY THE BOUNDS TO THE SYSTEMATIC ERROR. THE TOTAL UNCERTAINTY IS TAKEN AS THE SUM OF THESE TWO COMPONENTS.

THE UNCERTAINTY ASSOCIATED WITH THE ASSIGNED VALUE CAN BE THOUGHT OF AS A BOUND TO THE DEPARTURE OF THE ASSIGNED VALUE FROM A HYPOTHETICAL AVERAGE VALUE THAT WOULD BE OBTAINED IF IT WERE POSSIBLE TO REPEAT THE MEASUREMENT MANY TIMES OVER A WIDE VARIETY OF CONDITIONS, E.G., SUBSTITUTE THE WEIGHT FOR ONE OF THE CHECK STANDARDS. THIS MEANS THAT THE UNCERTAINTY BAND CENTERED ON THE VALUES OBTAINED FROM EACH OF TWO MEASUREMENTS OF THE SAME OBJECT OVER SOME ARBITRARY TIME INTERVAL

SHOULD ALMOST ALWAYS OVERLAP. TN OTHER WORDS, WHILE A SECOND MEA-SUREMENT WILL PRODUCE A DIFFERENT VALUE, THIS VALUE WILL ONLY RARELY DIFFER FROM THE FIRST VALUE BY MORE THAN THE SUM OF THE TWO IF SOME EVENT HAS OCCURRED IN THE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN THE TWO MEA-SUREMENTS WHICH WILL CHANGE THE MASS OF THE OBJECT, E.G., ABRA-SIONS, ABUSE, CORROSION, IMPROPER CLEANING AND THE LIKE.

THE UNCERTAINTY IN ASSIGNED VALUE CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT BECOMES A SYSTEMATIC EFFECT FOR THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS IN WHICH THESE WEIGHTS ARE TO BE USED. IN SYSTEMATIC EFFECTS IN THE USER'S MEASUREMENT PROCESS (A CONDITION UNCERTAINTY OF THE VALUE ASSIGNED BY THE USER IS AN APPROPRIATE COMBINATION OF THE SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE STANDARD AND THE HIS PROCESS. IF THE MEASUREMENT PROCESSES ARE IN CONTROL AND APPROPRIATE UNCERTAINTIES ARE ASSIGNED, THE VALUES PRODUCED BY DIFFERENT MEASUREMENT FACILITIES WILL HAVE OVERLAPPING UNCERTAINTY BANDS AS DESCRIBED ABOVE, ONE CANNOT DISCUSS DIFFERENCES IN VALUES FOR THE SAME OBJECT OBTAINED BY DIFFERENT FACILITIES WITH ANY DEGREE OF SERIOUSNESS UN-LESS EACH VALUE IS ACCOMPANIED BY A REALISTIC UNCERTAINTY STATEMENT.

### REFERENCES

THE FOLLOWING REFERENCES ARE SUGGESTED FOR DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT , AND FOR GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE MASS MEASUREMENT PROCESS:

- 1.PONTIUS, P. E., AND CAMERON, J. M. REALISTIC UNCERTAINTIES AND THE MASS MEASUREMENT PROCESS NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.), MONOGR. 103 (AUG. 15, 1967)
- 2.PONTIUS, P. E. MEASUREMENT PHILOSOPHY OF THE PILOT PROGRAM FOR MASS CALIBRATION NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) TECH. NOTE 288 (MAY 6, 1966)
- 3.BOWMAN, H. A., AND SCHOONOVER, R. M. WITH APPENDIX BY MILDRED JONES PROCEDURE FOR HIGH PRECISION DENSITY DETERMINATIONS BY HYDROSTATIC WEIGHING J. RES. NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) 71C. ENGINEERING AND INSTRUMENTATION NO. 3, 179-198 (JULY-AUG. 1967)
- 4.NATRELLA, M. B. EXPERIMENTAL STATISTICS NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) HANDBOOK 91 (AUGUST 1, 1963)
- 5.KU, H. H. PRECISION MEASUREMENT AND CALIBRATION - SELECTED NES PAPERS ON STATISTICAL CONCEPTS AND PROCEDURES NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) SPEC. PUBL. 300 VOL. 1 (FEB. 1969)
- 6.PONTIUS, P. E. MASS AND MASS VALUES NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) MONOGR. 133 (JAN. 1974)
- 7.CAMERON, J. M., CROARKIN, M. C. AND RAYBOLD, R. C. DESIGNS FOR THE CALIBRATION OF STANDARDS OF MASS NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) TECH. NOTE 952 (JUNE 1977)
- 8.VARNER, R. N., AND RAYBOLD, R. C. NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS MASS CALIBRATION COMPUTER SOFTWARE NAT. BUR. STAND. (U.S.) TECH. NOTE 1127 (JULY 1980)

COMPANY X PAGE 6 LOCUS, U.S.A. SERIES 1 SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG 8/29/ 86 TEST NUMBER DEMO1 BALANCE 2 OPERATOR 39 ACCEPTED WITHIN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00240 MG ACCEPTED WITHIN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00240 MG ACCEPTED BETWEEN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00000 MG CALIBRATION DESIGN 41 RESTRAINT VECTOR 1 0 0 0 MASS CORRECTION OF RESTRAINT -0 06971 MG VOLUME OF WEIGHTS BEING USED IN RESTRAINT AT 22.92 0.11990 CM3 SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE RESTRAINT 0.00087 MG 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT FOR RANDOM ERROR AFFECTING RESTRAINT 0.00000 MG CHECK STANDARD USED 10 CHECK STANDARD VECTOR 0 1 0 0 CHECK STANDARD VECTOR0100ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00740 MG REPORT VECTOR 0 0 0 0 TEST CONDITIONSBEFOREAFTERAVERAGECORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C22.8523.0022.92CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG759.028758.828758.928CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT27.5027.3027.40COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM31.18831.18741.1879TEMPERATURE CORRECTION0.000.00900PRESSURE CORRECTION-0.172-0.1721000 TEMPERATURE CORRECTIONTO.172PRESSURE CORRECTION0.00HUMIDITY CORRECTION0.00OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C22.8522.8523.00PRESSURE IN MM HG759.20027.5027.30 OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT 27.50 WEIGHTS BEING NOMINAL DENSITY COEFFICIENT ACCEPTION MG TESTED VALUE G G/CM3 AT 20C OF EXPANSION CORRECTION MG 
 1.0000
 8.3406
 .000040

 1.0000
 7.8704
 .000045

 1.0000
 8.4000
 .000054

 1.0000
 16.6000
 .000020
 -0.06971 NB 1 G AA 1 G 1 G SUM 1 G -0.00740

PAGE 7 SERIES 1 8/29/ 86

BALANCE 2 OPERATOR 39

#### CALIBRATION DESIGN 41 GRAMS 1 1 1 1 A 1 A 2 A 3 + -+ \_ + \_ A 4 + -A 5 + -A 6 + -R +

### OBSERVATIONS IN DIVISIONS DOUBLE SUBSTITUTION ONE PAN BALANCE

A	1	8.1660	8.2200	13.2310	13.1810
Α	2	8.1680	8.2030	13.2160	13.1840
A	З	8.1690	8.1500	13.1650	13.1840
Α	4	8.2160	8.2060	13,2150	13.2340
Α	5	8.2200	8.1500	13.1620	13.2350
Α	6	8.2010	8.1460	13.1630	13.2100

PAGE 8 SERIES 1 8/29/ 86

COMPANY X LOCUS, U.S.A. SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG TEST NUMBER DEMO1

BALANCE 2 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 41

SENSITIVITY WEIGHT MASS 4.99198 MG VOLUME 0.00185 CM3 AT 20 C COEFFICIENT OF EXPANSION 0.000069 S\*=S-PV(S)= 4.98978 MG

			AVERAGE		OBSERVED
	A(I)	DELTA(I)	SENSITIVITY	DRIFT(I)	SENSITIVITY
	(MG)	(MG)	(MG/DIV)	(MG)	(MG/DIV)
	0 05177	0 00007	0.00550		0.00010
T	-0.031//	-0.00087	0.99228	0.00133	0.33010
2	-0.03335	0.00062	0.99558	0.00149	0.99567
3	0.01892	0.00025	0.99558	0.00000	0.99497
4	0.01444	-0.00249	0.99558	0.00448	0.99706
5	0.07118	0.00162	0.99558	0.00149	0.99587
6	0.05077	-0.00187	0.99558	-0.00398	0.99378
	1 2 3 4 5 6	A(I) (MG) 1 -0.05177 2 -0.03335 3 0.01892 4 0.01444 5 0.07118 6 0.05077	A(I) (MG)         DELTA(I) (MG)           1         -0.05177         -0.00087           2         -0.03335         0.00062           3         0.01892         0.00025           4         0.01444         -0.00249           5         0.07118         0.00162           6         0.05077         -0.00187	A(I)         DELTA(I)         SENSITIVITY (MG)           1         -0.05177         -0.00087         0.99558           2         -0.03335         0.00062         0.99558           3         0.01892         0.00025         0.99558           4         0.01444         -0.00249         0.99558           5         0.07118         0.00162         0.99558           6         0.05077         -0.00187         0.99558	AVERAGE           A(I)         DELTA(I)         SENSITIVITY         DRIFT(I)           (MG)         (MG)         (MG/DIV)         (MG)           1         -0.05177         -0.00087         0.99558         0.00199           2         -0.03335         0.00062         0.99558         0.00149           3         0.01892         0.00025         0.99558         0.00000           4         0.01444         -0.00249         0.99558         0.00448           5         0.07118         0.00162         0.99558         0.00149           6         0.05077         -0.00187         0.99558         -0.00398

ITEM (G)	CORRECTION (MG)	VOLUME (AT T) (CM3)	SYSTEMATIC ERROR (MG)	3 S.D. LIMIT (MG)	UNCERTAINTY LIMIT (MG)
1.0000	-0.06971	0.11990	0.00087	0.00000	0.00087
1.0000	-0.01029	0.12707	0.00087	0.00509	0.00596
1.0000	-0.03673	0.11906	0.00087	0.00509	0.00596
1,0000	-0.15925	0.06023	0.00087	0.00509	0.00596

TEMPERATURE T= 22.92 C

RESTRAINT	FOR FOLLO	WING	SERIE	S		
RESTRAINT	VECTOR	0	0	0	1	
MASS CORRE	ECTION				-0.15925	MG
VOLUME AT	20 C				0.06023	CM3
SYSTEMATIC	ERROR				0.00087	MG
3 STANDARI	DEVIATIO	N LIN	1I T		0.00509	MG

PAGE 9 SERIES 1 8/29/ 86

BALANCE2OPERATOR39MAXIMUM LOAD1.0000 GSTARTING RESTRAINT NUMBER4

CALIBRATION DESIGN 41

PRECISION CONTROL

OBSERVEDSTANDARDDEVIATIONOFTHEPROCESS0.00212MGACCEPTEDSTANDARDDEVIATIONOFTHEPROCESS0.00240MGDEGREESOFFREEDOM33333FRATIO0.7820.7820.002400.002400.00240

F RATIO IS LESS THAN 3.79 (CRITICAL VALUE FOR PROBABILITY = .01). THEREFORE THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS IN CONTROL.

CHECK STANDARD VECTOR0100CHECK STANDARD USED10ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00740 MGOBSERVED CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.01029 MGSTANDARD DEVIATION OF THE OBSERVED CORRECTION0.00170 MGT VALUE-1.70

ABSOLUTE VALUE OF T IS LESS THAN 3. THEREFORE CHECK STANDARD IS IN CONTROL.

CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00         22.92           CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.028         758.828         758.928           CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30         27.40           COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3         1.1883         1.1874         1.1879           TEMPERATURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           PRESSURE CORRECTION         -0.172         -0.172           HUMIDITY CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.200         759.000           OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30	TEST CONDITIONS	BEFORE	AFTER	AVERAGE
CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.028         758.828         758.928           CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30         27.40           COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3         1.1883         1.1874         1.1879           TEMPERATURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00         PRESSURE CORRECTION         0.00           PRESSURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00         0.00         OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.200         759.000         000         000         000           OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30         27.30         27.40	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.85	23.00	22.92
CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30         27.40           COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3         1.1883         1.1874         1.1879           TEMPERATURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00         1.1879           PRESSURE CORRECTION         -0.172         -0.172         1.1879           HUMIDITY CORRECTION         0.00         0.00         0.00           OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.200         759.000           OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30	CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.028	758.828	758.928
COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3         1.1883         1.1874         1.1879           TEMPERATURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           PRESSURE CORRECTION         -0.172         -0.172           HUMIDITY CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.200         759.000           OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30	CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.50	27.30	27.40
TEMPERATURE CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           PRESSURE CORRECTION         -0.172         -0.172           HUMIDITY CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG         759.200         759.000           OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30	COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3	1.1883	1.1874	1.1879
PRESSURE         CORRECTION         -0.172         -0.172           HUMIDITY         CORRECTION         0.00         0.00           OBSERVED         TEMPERATURE         IN DEGREES         C         22.85         23.00           OBSERVED         PRESSURE         IN MM HG         759.200         759.000           OBSERVED         HUMIDITY         IN PERCENT         27.50         27.30	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
HUMIDITY CORRECTION0.000.00OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C22.8523.00OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG759.200759.000OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT27.5027.30	PRESSURE CORRECTION	-0.172	-0.172	
OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C22.8523.00OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG759.200759.000OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT27.5027.30	HUMIDITY CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG759.200759.000OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT27.5027.30	OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.85	23.00	
OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT 27.50 27.30	OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.200	759.000	
	OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.50	27.30	

 BALANCE
 13

 OPERATOR
 39

 ACCEPTED
 WITHIN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS
 0.00050 MG

 ACCEPTED
 BETWEEN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS
 0.00000 MG

 CALIBRATION DESIGN
 62

 RESTRAINT VECTOR
 1
 1
 0
 0

 MASS CORRECTION OF RESTRAINT
 -0.15925 MG

 VOLUME OF WEIGHTS BEING USED IN RESTRAINT AT
 23.28
 0.06024 CM3

 SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE RESTRAINT
 0.00087 MG
 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT FOR RANDOM ERROR AFFECTING RESTRAINT
 0.00509 MG

CHECK STANDARD USED12CHECK STANDARD VECTOR001ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00854 MGREPORT VECTOR1110

TEST CONDITIONS	BEFORE	AFTER	AVERAGE
CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	23.25	23.30	23.28
CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG	758.828	758.429	758.629
CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.30	24.10	25.70
COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3	1.1863	1.1859	1.1861
TEMPERATURE CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
PRESSURE CORRECTION	-0.172	-0.171	
HUMIDITY CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	23.25	23.30	
OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.000	758.600	
OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.30	24.10	

V	VEIGHTS BEING	NOMINAL	DENSITY	COEFFICIENT	ACCEPTED
	TESTED	VALUE G	G/CM3 AT 20C	OF EXPANSION	CORRECTION MG
	500MG	0.5000	16,6000	.000020	
	300MG	0.3000	16.6000	.000020	
	200MG	0.2000	16.6000	.000020	
	100MG	0.1000	16.6000	.000020	
AN/	100MG	0.1000	8.4100	.000039	-0.00854
SUM	100MG	0.1000	8.1788	.000049	

PAGE 11 SERIES 2 8/29/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

# CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

		110					
		500	300	200	100	100	100
Α	1	+	-	-	+	-	
A	2	+	-	-		+	-
Α	3	+	-	-	-		+
Α	4	+	-	-			
А	5	+		-	-	-	-
Α	6		+	-	+	-	-
A	7		+	-	-	+	-
Α	8		+	-	-	-	+
Α	9			+	-	-	
Α	10			+	-		-
A	11			+		-	-
R		+	+	+			

### OBSERVATIONS IN DIVISIONS DIRECT READING

A	1	20.4000	10020.4004
A	2	-16.5000	
A	3	6.8500	
А	4	4.1000	
Α	5	-28.8500	
Α	6	8.8000	
А	7	-26.7000	
А	8	14.2500	
А	9	-25.3000	
А	10	-45.2000	
Α	11	-28,3000	9971.7002

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

SENSITIVITY WEIGHT MASS 10.00000 MG VOLUME 0.00000 CM3 AT 20 C COEFFICIENT OF EXPANSION 0.000000 S\*=S-PV(S)= 10.00000 MG ACCEPTED SENSITIVITY = 0.00100 MG/DIV OBSERVED SENSITIVITY = 0.00100 MG/DIV T-TEST = 0.000

				OBSERVED
		A(I)	DELTA(I)	SENSITIVITY
		(MG)	(MG)	(MG/DIV)
A	1	0.02040	-0.00081	0.00100
A	2	-0.01650	-0.00016	
Α	3	0.00685	-0.00003	
A	4	0.00410	0.00018	
A	5	-0.02885	0.00083	
A	6	0.00880	0.00019	
Α	7	-0.02670	-0.00073	
A	8	0.01425	-0.00029	
Α	9	-0.02530	-0.00038	
Α	10	-0.04520	-0.00003	
A	11	-0.02830	-0.00042	0.00100

		VOLUME	SYSTEMATIC	3 S.D.	UNCERTAINTY
ITEM	CORRECTION	(AT T)	ERROR	LIMIT	LIMIT
(G)	(MG)	(CM3)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
0.5000	-0.07767	0.03012	0.00043	0.00257	0.00300
0.3000	-0.04280	0.01807	0.00026	0.00159	0.00185
0.2000	-0.03879	0.01205	0.00017	0.00109	0.00127
0.1000	0.00171	0.00602	0.00009	0.00074	0.00082
0.1000	-0.00862	0.01189	0.00009	0.00074	0.00082
0.1000	0.01204	0.01223	0.00009	0.00074	0.00082

PAGE 12

SERIES 2

8/29/ 86

TEMPERATURE T= 23.28 C

R	ESTRAINT	FOR	FOLLOW	ING	SERIES				
RI	ESTRAINT	VECT	TOR	0	0	0	0	0	1
M	ASS CORRI	ECTIC	DN					0.01204	MG
V	LUME AT	20 0	2					0.01223	CM3
S	STEMATIC	C ERF	ROR					0.00009	MG
3	STANDARI	DEN	/IATION	LIN	TIP			0.00074	MG

PAGE 13 SERIES 2 8/29/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

SUM		WEIGH	TS US	ED FOR	R THE	LINEAR	COMBINATIONS
(MG)		MG					
	500	300	200	100	100	100	
1000	+	+	+				
900	+	+		+			
800	+	+					
700	+		+				
600	+			+			
500		+	+				
400		+		+			
300		+					
200			+				
100				+			

VALUES AND UNCERTAINTIES FOR COMBINATIONS OF WEIGHTS (UNCERTAINTY IS 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT PLUS ALLOWANCE FOR SYSTEMATIC ERROR.)

			3 S.D.	UNCERTAINTY
SUM	CORR	SYSTEMATIC	ERROR	LIMIT
(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
1000	-0.15925	0.00087	0.00509	0.00596
900	-0.11875	0.00078	0.00463	0.00542
800	-0.12046	0.00070	0.00409	0.00479
700	-0.11646	0.00061	0.00359	0.00420
600	-0.07595	0.00052	0.00312	0.00364
500	-0.08159	0.00043	0.00257	0.00300
400	-0.04108	0.00035	0.00216	0.00251
300	-0.04280	0.00026	0.00159	0.00185
200	-0.03879	0.00017	0.00109	0.00127
100	0.00171	0.00009	0.00074	0.00082

PAGE 14 SERIES 2 8/29/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39 MAXIMUM LOAD 0.6000 G STARTING RESTRAINT NUMBER 4

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

PRECISION CONTROL

OBSERVED STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS0.00063MGACCEPTED STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS0.00050MGDEGREES OF FREEDOM6F RATIO1.584

F RATIO IS LESS THAN 2.81 (CRITICAL VALUE FOR PROBABILITY = .01). THEREFORE THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS IN CONTROL.

CHECK STANDARD VECTOR00010CHECK STANDARD USED1212-0.00854 MGACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00852 MG-0.00862 MGOBSERVED CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00862 MGSTANDARD DEVIATION OF THE OBSERVED CORRECTION0.00025 MGT VALUE-0.34

ABSOLUTE VALUE OF T IS LESS THAN 3. THEREFORE CHECK STANDARD IS IN CONTROL.

TEST CONDITIONS	BEFORE	AFTER	AVERAGE
CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	23.25	23.30	23.28
CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG	758.828	758.429	758.629
CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.30	24.10	25.70
COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3	1.1863	1.1859	1.1861
TEMPERATURE CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
PRESSURE CORRECTION	-0.172	-0.171	
HUMIDITY CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	23.25	23.30	
OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.000	758.600	
OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	27.30	24.10	

COMPANY X PAGE 15 LOCUS, U.S.A. SERIES 3 8/30/ 86 SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG TEST NUMBER DEMO1 BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39 ACCEPTED WITHIN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00050 MG ACCEPTED BETWEEN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00000 MG CALIBRATION DESIGN 62 RESTRAINT VECTOR 1 1 1 0 0 0 MASS CORRECTION OF RESTRAINT 0.01204 MG VOLUME OF WEIGHTS BEING USED IN RESTRAINT AT 23.00 0.01223 CM3 SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE RESTRAINT 0.00009 MG 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT FOR RANDOM ERROR AFFECTING RESTRAINT 0.00074 MG CHECK STANDARD USED 14 CHECK STANDARD VECTOR 0 0 0 1 0 ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD -0.00046 MG REPORT VECTOR 1 1 1 0 0 AFTER 
 BEFORE
 AFTER

 22.95
 23.05

 760.227
 760.027

 30.00
 30.70

 1.1895
 1.1886

 0.00
 0.00
 TEST CONDITIONS AVERAGE 23.00 CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG 760.127 CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT 30.35 1.1891 COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3 0.00 TEMPERATURE CORRECTION 0.00 PRESSURE CORRECTION -0.173 -0.173 0.00 22.95 0.00 HUMIDITY CORRECTION 
 22.95
 23.05

 760.400
 760.200

 30.00
 20.75
 OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT 30.00 30.70 WEIGHTS BEING NOMINAL DENSITY COEFFICIENT ACCEPTED VALUE G G/CM3 AT 20C OF EXPANSION CORRECTION MG TESTED

NEW	50MG	0.0500	16.6000	.000020	
	30MG	0.0300	16.6000	.000020	
	20MG	0.0200	2.7000	.000069	
	10MG	0.0100	2.7000	.000069	
AN/	10MG	0.0100	8.4100	.000039	-0.00046
SUM	10MG	0.0100	2.7000	.000069	

PAGE 16 SERIES 3 8/30/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

# CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

		MG					
		50	30	20	10	10	10
А	1	+	-	-	+	-	
А	2	+	-	-		+	-
Α	3	+	-	-	-		+
Α	4	+	-	-			
А	5	+		-	-	-	-
А	6		+	-	+	-	-
Α	7		+	-	-	+	-
Α	8		+	-	-	-	+
Α	9			+	-	-	
А	10			+	-		-
А	11			+		-	-
R		+	+	+			

### OBSERVATIONS IN DIVISIONS DIRECT READING

А	1	9.1000	10009.1006
А	2	-43.2000	
А	3	-0.1000	
А	4	-11.4000	
Α	5	-59,6000	
Α	6	-13.8000	
А	7	-55.7000	
Α	8	8.4000	
Α	9	-11.8000	
Α	10	-43.8000	
Α	11	-24.0000	9976.0000

PAGE 17 SERIES 3 8/30/ 86

COMPANY X LOCUS, U.S.A. SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG TEST NUMBER DEMO1

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

 SENSITIVITY WEIGHT

 MASS
 10.00000 MG

 VOLUME
 0.00000 CM3 AT 20 C

 COEFFICIENT OF EXPANSION
 0.000000 MG

 S\*=S-PV(S)=
 10.00000 MG

 ACCEPTED SENSITIVITY =
 0.00100 MG/DIV

 OBSERVED SENSITIVITY =
 0.00100 MG/DIV

 T-TEST =
 0.000

				OBSERVED
		A(I)	DELTA(I)	SENSITIVITY
		(MG)	(MG)	(MG/DIV)
A	1	0.00910	0.00003	0.00100
A	2	-0.04320	0.00032	
A	3	-0.00010	0.00016	
A	4	-0.01140	0.00017	
A	5	-0.05960	-0.00068	
A	6	-0.01380	0.00058	
A	7	-0.05570	-0.00005	
A	8	0.00840	0.00015	
A	9	-0.01180	0.00054	
A	10	-0.04380	0.00049	
A	11	-0.02400	-0.00035	0.00100

		VOLUME	SYSTEMATIC	3 S.D.	UNCERTAINTY
ITEM	CORRECTION	(AT T)	ERROR	LIMIT	LIMIT
(G)	(MG)	(CM3)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
0.0500	-0.00346	0.00301	0.00004	0.00051	0.00055
0.0300	0.00198	0.00181	0.00003	0.00050	0.00053
0.0200	0.01351	0.00741	0.00002	0.00042	0.00044
0.0100	0.02325	0.00371	0.00001	0.00054	0.00055
0.0100	-0.00039	0.00119	0.00001	0.00054	0.00055
0.0100	0.03457	0.00372	0.00001	0.00054	0.00055

TEMPERATURE T= 23.00 C

RESTRAINT	FOR	FOLLOW	ING	SERIES				
RESTRAINT	VEC	TOR	0	0	0	0	0	1
MASS CORR	ECTI	ON					0.03457	MG
VOLUME AT	20 (	С					0.00372	СМЗ
SYSTEMATI	C ER	ROR					0.00001	MG
3 STANDAR	D DE	VIATION	LI	TIP			0.00054	MG

PAGE 18 SERIES 3 8/30/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

SUM WEIGHTS USED FOR THE LINEAR COMBINATIONS (MG) MG 50 30 20 10 10 10 100 + + + + 90 + + 80 + + 70 + + 60 + + 50 + + 40 + + 30 + 20 + 10 +

VALUES AND UNCERTAINTIES FOR COMBINATIONS OF WEIGHTS (UNCERTAINTY IS 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT PLUS ALLOWANCE FOR SYSTEMATIC ERROR.)

			J J.D.	UNCERTAINII
SUM	CORR	SYSTEMATIC	ERROR	LIMIT
(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
100	0.01204	0.00009	0.00074	0.00082
90	0.02178	0.00008	0.00096	0.00104
80	-0.00147	0.00007	0.00071	0.00078
70	0.01006	0.00006	0.00068	0.00074
60	0.01980	0.00005	0.00078	0.00083
50	0.01550	0.00004	0.00051	0.00055
40	0.02523	0.00003	0.00077	0.00081
30	0.00198	0.00003	0.00050	0.00053
20	0.01351	0.00002	0.00042	0.00044
10	0,02325	0.00001	0.00054	0.00055

PAGE 19 SERIES 3 8/30/ 86

BALANCE13OPERATOR39MAXIMUM LOAD0.0600 GSTARTING RESTRAINT NUMBER4

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

PRECISION CONTROL

OBSERVEDSTANDARDDEVIATION OFTHEPROCESS0.00052MGACCEPTEDSTANDARDDEVIATION OFTHEPROCESS0.00050MGDEGREESOFFREEDOM6655FRATIO1.0831.0831000000010000000

F RATIO IS LESS THAN 2.81 (CRITICAL VALUE FOR PROBABILITY = .01). THEREFORE THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS IN CONTROL.

 CHECK STANDARD VECTOR
 0
 0
 0
 1
 0

 CHECK STANDARD USED
 14
 -0.00046 MG

 ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD
 -0.00039 MG

 OBSERVED CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD
 -0.00039 MG

 STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE OBSERVED CORRECTION
 0.00018 MG

 T VALUE
 0.41

ABSOLUTE VALUE OF T IS LESS THAN 3. THEREFORE CHECK STANDARD IS IN CONTROL.

TEST CONDITIONS	BEFORE	AFTER	AVERAGE
CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.95	23.05	23.00
CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG	760.227	760.027	760.127
CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	30.00	30.70	30.35
COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3	1.1895	1,1886	1.1891
TEMPERATURE CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
PRESSURE CORRECTION	-0.173	-0.173	
HUMIDITY CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.95	23.05	
OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG	760.400	760.200	
OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	30.00	30.70	

COMPANY X PAGE 20 LOCUS, U.S.A. SERIES 4 SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG 8/30/ 86 TEST NUMBER DEMO1 BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39 ACCEPTED WITHIN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00050 MG ACCEPTED BETWEEN STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS 0.00000 MG CALIBRATION DESIGN 62 62 1 1 1 0 0 0 RESTRAINT VECTOR MASS CORRECTION OF RESTRAINT 0.03457 MG VOLUME OF WEIGHTS BEING USED IN RESTRAINT AT 22.95 0.00372 CM3 SYSTEMATIC ERROR IN THE RESTRAINT 0.00001 MG 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT FOR RANDOM ERROR AFFECTING RESTRAINT 0.00054 MG CHECK STANDARD USED 139 CHECK STANDARD VECTOR 0 0 0 0 1 0 ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD -0.00216 MG REPORT VECTOR 1 1 1 0 0 TEST CONDITIONSBEFOREAFTERAVERAGECORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C22.9023.0022.95CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG759.527759.327759.427CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT31.6032.6032.10 1.1884 1.1875 COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3 1.1879 0.00 -0.173 TEMPERATURE CORRECTION 0.00 -0.173 
 PRESSURE CORRECTION
 0.00

 HUMIDITY CORRECTION
 0.00

 OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C
 22.90

 TEMPERATURE IN MM HG
 759.700
 PRESSURE CORRECTION 0.00 OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN MM HG 23.00 759.500 31.60 OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT 32.60 WEIGHTS BEING NOMINAL DENSITY COEFFICIENT ACCEPTED TESTED VALUE G G/CM3 AT 20C OF EXPANSION CORRECTION MG

	5MG	0.0050	2.7000	.000069	
	3MG	0.0030	2.7000	.000069	
	2MG	0.0020	2,7000	.000069	
	1MG	0.0010	2.7000	.000069	
Т	1MG	0.0010	8.5000	.000039	-0.00216
SUM	1MG	0.0010	2.7000	.000069	

PAGE 21 SERIES 4 8/30/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

### CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

		MG					
		5	3	2	1	1	1
А	1	+	-	-	+	-	
А	2	+	-	-		+	-
А	3	+	-	-	-		+
A	4	+	-	-			
А	5	+		-	-	-	-
А	6		+	-	+	-	-
А	7		+	-	-	+	-
А	8		+	-	-	-	+
A	9			+	-	-	
A	10			+	-		-
A	11			+		-	-
R		+	+	+			

### OBSERVATIONS IN DIVISIONS DIRECT READING

Α	1	8.4000	10008.4004
Α	2	2.4000	
А	3	-8.8000	
A	4	1.1000	
Α	5	7.2000	
Α	6	6.0000	
Α	7	-9.1000	
Α	8	-11.2000	
А	9	7.6000	
Α	10	8.0000	
Α	11	17.8000	10017.7998

PAGE 22 SERIES 4 8/30/ 86

COMPANY X LOCUS, U.S.A. SET OF MASS STANDARDS : 500 MG - 1MG TEST NUMBER DEMO1

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

SENSITIVITY WEIGHT MASS 10.00000 MG VOLUME 0.00000 CM3 AT 20 C COEFFICIENT OF EXPANSION 0.000000 S\*=S-PV(S)= 10.00000 MG ACCEPTED SENSITIVITY = 0.00100 MG/DIV OBSERVED SENSITIVITY = 0.00100 MG/DIV T-TEST = 0.000

				OBSERVED
		A(I)	DELTA(I)	SENSITIVITY
		(MG)	(MG)	(MG/DIV)
A	1	0.00840	-0.00028	0.00100
Α	2	0.00240	0.00039	
Α	3	-0.00880	-0.00046	
А	4	0.00110	0.00032	
Α	5	0.00720	0.00003	
А	6	0.00600	-0.00059	
А	7	-0.00910	0.00011	
А	8	-0.01120	0.00046	
А	9	0.00760	-0.00009	
А	10	0.00800	-0.00092	
А	11	0.01780	0.00098	0.00100

		VOLUME	SYSTEMATIC	3 S.D.	UNCERTAINTY
ITEM	CORRECTION	(AT T)	ERROR	LIMIT	LIMIT
(G)	(MG)	(CM3)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
0.0050	0.01768	0.00186	0.00000	0.00044	0.00045
0.0030	0.00600	0.00111	0.00000	0.00048	0.00048
0.0020	0.01089	0.00074	0.00000	0.00041	0.00041
0.0010	0.00555	0.00037	0.00000	0.00054	0.00054
0.0010	-0.00265	0.00012	0.00000	0.00054	0.00054
0.0010	-0.00358	0.00037	0.00000	0.00054	0.00054

TEMPERATURE T= 22.95 C

PAGE 23 SERIES 4 8/30/ 86

BALANCE 13 OPERATOR 39

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

SUM WEIGHTS USED FOR THE LINEAR COMBINATIONS (MG) MG 5 3 2 1 1 1 10 + + + 9 + + + 8 + + 7 + + 6 + + 5 + + 4 + + 3 + 2 + 1 +

VALUES AND UNCERTAINTIES FOR COMBINATIONS OF WEIGHTS (UNCERTAINTY IS 3 STANDARD DEVIATION LIMIT PLUS ALLOWANCE FOR SYSTEMATIC ERROR.) 3.5.D UNCERTAINTY

			3 S.D.	UNCERTAINIT
SUM	CORR	SYSTEMATIC	ERROR	LIMIT
(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)
10	0.03457	0.00001	0.00054	0.00055
9	0.02923	0.00001	0.00084	0.00085
8	0.02368	0.00001	0.00058	0.00059
7	0.02857	0.00001	0.00058	0.00059
6	0.02322	0.00001	0.00072	0.00073
5	0.01689	0.00000	0.00044	0.00045
4	0.01155	0.00000	0.00075	0.00075
3	0.00600	0.00000	0.00048	0.00048
2	0.01089	0.00000	0.00041	0.00041
1	0.00555	0.00000	0.00054	0.00054

PAGE 24 SERIES 4 8/30/ 86

BALANCE13OPERATOR39MAXIMUM LOAD0.0060STARTING RESTRAINT NUMBER4

CALIBRATION DESIGN 62

PRECISION CONTROL

OBSERVED STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS0.00070MGACCEPTED STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE PROCESS0.00050MGDEGREES OF FREEDOM6F RATIO1.955

F RATIO IS LESS THAN 2.81 (CRITICAL VALUE FOR PROBABILITY = .01). THEREFORE THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS IN CONTROL.

CHECK STANDARD VECTOR0001CHECK STANDARD USED139ACCEPTED MASS CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00216 MGOBSERVED CORRECTION OF CHECK STANDARD-0.00265 MGSTANDARD DEVIATION OF THE OBSERVED CORRECTION0.00018 MGT VALUE-2.77

ABSOLUTE VALUE OF T IS LESS THAN 3. THEREFORE CHECK STANDARD IS IN CONTROL.

TEST CONDITIONS	BEFORE	AFTER	AVERAGE
CORRECTED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.90	23.00	22.95
CORRECTED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.527	759.327	759.427
CORRECTED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	31.60	32.60	32.10
COMPUTED AIR DENSITY IN MG/CM3	1.1884	1.1875	1.1879
TEMPERATURE CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
PRESSURE CORRECTION	-0.173	-0.173	
HUMIDITY CORRECTION	0.00	0.00	
OBSERVED TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES C	22.90	23.00	
OBSERVED PRESSURE IN MM HG	759.700	759.500	
OBSERVED HUMIDITY IN PERCENT	31.60	32.60	

### SUMMARY

FOR CONVENIENCE, THE RESULTS OF THIS WORK ARE SUMMARIZED IN TABLES I AND II. THE VALUES 'APPARENT MASS VERSUS BRASS'. ASSIGNED ARE WITH REFERENCE TO THE 'APPARENT MASS VERSUS DENSITY STANDARDS IDENTIFIED ON THE DATA 8.0'. THE VALUES ARE LISTED AS SHEETS. THE UNCERTAINTY FIGURE IS CORRECTIONS TO BE APPLIED TO THE AN EXPRESSION OF THE OVERALL LISTED NOMINAL VALUE (A POSITIVE UNCERTAINTY USING THREE STANDARD CORRECTION INDICATES THAT THE MASS DEVIATIONS AS A LIMIT TO THE IS LARGER THAN THE STATED NOMINAL EFFECT OF RANDOM ERRORS OF THE VALUE BY THE AMOUNT OF THE MEASUREMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THE CORRECTION). THESE VALUES ARE MEASUREMENT PROCESSES. THE MAGNI- COMPUTED FROM THE VALUES BASED ON TUDE OF SYSTEMATIC ERRORS FROM AN EXPLICIT TREATMENT OF DISPLACE-SOURCES OTHER THAN THE USE OF MENT VOLUMES USING THE FOLLOWING ACCEPTED VALUES FOR CERTAIN DEFINING RELATIONS AND ARE STARTING STANDARDS ARE CONSIDERED UNCERTAIN BY THE AMOUNT SHOWN IN NEGLIGIBLE. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE MAGNITUDE OF THE UNCER-TAINTY REFLECTS THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MEASUREMENT PROCESS USED TO MINIMIZE THE DEVIATION FROM NOMI-ESTABLISH THESE VALUES. THE MASS NAL ON THE BASIS OF 'NORMAL BRASS' UNIT, AS REALIZABLE IN ANOTHER MEASUREMENT PROCESS, WILL BE UNCERTAIN BY AN AMOUNT WHICH IS A COMBINATION OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF VALUES STATED ON EITHER BASIS ARE THIS PROCESS AND THE PROCESS IN INTERNALLY CONSISTENT AND WHICH THESE STANDARDS ARE USED.

ESTIMATED MASS VALUES THE LISTED IN TABLE I ARE BASED ON AN EXPLICIT TREATMENT OF DISPLACEMENT 8.0' BEING 7 MICROGRAMS/GRAM LAR-VOLUMES, E.G., 'TRUE MASS', 'MASS GER THAN THE VALUE ON THE BASIS OF IN VACUO', MASS IN THE NEWTONIAN NORMAL BRASS. THIS SYSTEMATIC SENSE. THE DISPLACEMENT VOLUME DIFFERENCE IS CLEARLY DETECTABLE ASSOCIATED WITH EACH VALUE IS ON MANY DIRECT READING BALANCES. LISTED AS WELL AS THE VOLUMETRIC COEFFICIENT OF EXPANSION. THESE VALUES SHOULD BE USED, TOGETHER WITH APPROPRIATE CORRECTION FOR THE BUOYANT EFFECTS OF THE HYPOTHETICAL WEIGHING OF THE ENVIRONMENT. TO ESTABLISH CONSIST- WEIGHT AT 20 CELSIUS IN AIR HAVING ENT MASS VALUES FOR OBJECTS WHICH A DENSITY OF 1.2 MG/CM3, WITH A DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY IN DENSITY (NORMAL BRASS) STANDARD HAVING A AND/OR FOR MEASUREMENTS WHICH MUST DENSITY OF 8.4 G/CM3 AT 0 CELSIUS BE MADE IN DIFFERING ENVIRONMENTS. THE RELATION 1LB AVDP=.45359237KG IS USED AS REQUIRED.

THE ESTIMATED MASS VALUES LISTED IN TABLE II ARE BASED ON AN IMPLICIT TREATMENT OF DISPLACEMENT VOLUMES, E.G., 'APPARENT MASS', TABLE I.

THE ADJUSTMENT OF WEIGHTS TO (IN ACCORDANCE WITH COR. A BELOW) IS WIDESPREAD IN THIS COUNTRY AND IN MANY PARTS OF THE WORLD. DEFINITE. THERE IS, HOWEVER, A SYSTEMATIC DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE VALUES ASSIGNED ON EACH BASIS. THE VALUE ON THE BASIS OF 'DENSITY

WHOSE COEFFICIENT OF VOLUMETRIC EXPANSION IS 0.000 054 PER DEGREE CELSIUS, AND WHOSE VALUE IS BASED

VACUO.

ON ITS TRUE MASS OR WEIGHT IN WEIGHT, IN AIR HAVING A DENSITY OF 1.2 MG/CM3, WITH A STANDARD HAVING A DENSITY OF 8.0 G/CM3 AT 20 CORRECTION B - 'APPARENT MASS CELSIUS, AND WHOSE VALUE IS BASED VERSUS DENSITY 8.0' IS DETERMINED ON ITS TRUE MASS OR WEIGHT IN BY A HYPOTHETICAL WEIGHING OF THE VACUO.

PAGE 27 8/30/ 86

### TABLE I

		MASS	UNCERTAINTY	VOL AT 20	COEF OF	EXP
	ITEM	(G)	(G)	(CM3)		
	500MG	. 4999223	3 0.00000300	0.03012	0.000020	
	300MG	.2999572	2 0.00000185	0.01807	0.000020	
	200MG	.1999612	1 0.00000127	0.01205	0.000020	
	100MG	.1000017	1 0.0000082	0.00602	0.000020	
NEW	50MG	.0499965	4 0.0000055	0.00301	0.000020	
	30MG	.0300019	8 0.0000053	0.00181	0.000020	
	20MG	.0200135	1 0.0000044	0.00741	0.000069	
	10MG	.0100232	5 0.0000055	0.00371	0.000069	
	5MG	.0050176	8 0.0000045	0.00186	0.000069	
	3MG	.0030060	0.0000048	0.00111	0.000069	
	2MG	.0020108	9 0.0000041	0.00074	0.000069	
	1MG	.0010055	5 0.0000054	0.00037	0.000069	

PAGE 28 8/30/ 86

# TABLE II

ITEM	COR.A (MG)	COR.B (MG)
500MG	04231	03881
300MG	02158	01948
200MG	02465	02325
100MG	.00879	.00948
NEW 50MG	.00008	.00043
30 MG	.00410	.00431
20MG	.00748	.00762
10MG	.02023	.02030
5MG	.01616	.01620
3MG	.00510	.00512
2MG	.01028	.01030
1MG	.00525	.00525

# Appendix C

### Surveillance Test

The following is the report of a surveillance test [7]. Subsequent to a calibration such as that shown in Appendix B, weights may be resubmitted for periodic surveillance. Surveillance is a more rapid and less costly procedure than calibration. The surveillance test can provide assurance that the values of mass previously assigned to a set of weights are still valid.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

September 18, 1986

In reply refer to:

Subject:

Items:

The above items have been intercompared in sums. The differences as measured have been compared with the differences computed from the values under 225716-B. One or more of the items have been checked against national standards. The results of this test indicate that there is no significant change since the last calibration. This test assures the continuing accuracy of the values under 225716-B.

Sincerely. unit

ZOE D. SIMMONS, Deputy Director Center for Basic Standards

Attachment
## <u>Appendix D</u>

## Calibration of Dead Weights

The following is a typical report of calibration for a set of dead weights.

### U.S. DEPARMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS GAITHERSBURG, MARYLAND 20899

# REPORT OF CALIBRATION

NBS Test Number:

For:

Items:

The above items have the mass values shown with reference to the NBS standard of mass.

Item	Mass (g)	Uncertainty (g)	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
1kg-1	999.9968	0.0033	7.92
1kg-2	999.9985	0.0033	7.92
1kg-3	1000.0007	0.0033	7.92
1kg-4	999.9969	0.0033	7.92
1kg-5	999.9960	0.0033	7.92
1kg-6	999.9952	0.0033	7.92

The uncertainty figure is an expression of the overall uncertainty using three standard deviation as a limit to the effect of random errors of measurement plus the systematic errors, assuming the density is correct within 1%. Test conditions: mass computed using air density  $1.175 \text{mg/cm}^3$  for all items.

D2

The National Bureau of Standards uses the following relationship between the metric unit of mass and the U.S. customary unit of mass: one pound (avoirdupois) equals 0.45359237 kilogram.

For the Director, National Measurement Laboratory

Joe D. Simmons, Chief Length and Mass Division Center for Basic Standards

rest completed: September 3, 1986

Note: Mass and associated density values listed above are appropriate for  $M_m$  and  $\rho_m$  in Equation (24) from NBS Monograph 65, "Reduction of Data for Piston Gage Pressure Measurements."

NBS-114A (REV. 2-80)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.	1. PUBLICATION OR	2. Performing Organ. Report No.	3. Publication Date	
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET (See instructions)	NIST/SP-250/31		January 1989	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				
NIST Measurement S	ervices: Mass Calibra	tions		
5. AUTHOR(S) Richard S. Davis				
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION (If joint or other than NB	5, see instructions)	I. Contract/Grant No.	
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (formerly NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE GAITHERSBURG, MD 20899			• Type of Report & Period Covered Final	
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZA	FION NAME AND COMPLETE /	DDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP)		
Same as Item #6				
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE	S			
Library of Congres	s Catalog Card Number	: 88-600608		
	o oddarog odra namoer			
Document describes a	a computer program; SF-18S, FIF	S Software Summary, is attached.		
11. ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature	or less factual summary of most survey, mention it here)	significant information. If docume	nt includes a significant	
The NIST calibrati	on service for standa	rd masses is described.	Weights which	
are accepted for c	alibration range in n	ominal values from 1 mg	to 13,600 kg	
(30,000 pounds). W	e also accept weights	used to generate stand	ard pressures in	
piston gages. Clea	ning procedures used	on weights prior to cal	ibration are	
described. The mea	surement algorithms (	including density deter	minations of	
single-piece kilog	ram weights) and the	uncertainties assigned	to calibrated	
weights are discus	sed. We also describe	the system now in plac	e to monitor the	
quality of calibra	tions. Finally, we as	sess the limitations of	the present	
controls on measur	ement quality and out	line improvements which	are underway.	
12. KEY WORDS (Six to twelv	e entries; alphabetical order; c	apitalize only proper names; and se	eparate key words by semicolons)	
calibration; densi	ty; kilogram; least s	quares; mass; piston we	ights; uncertainty	
13. AVAILABILITY			14. NO. OF	
			PRINTED PAGES	
For Official Distribut	ion。 Do Not Release to NTIS		70	
X Order From Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 72				
20402.				
X Order From National	Technical Information Service ()	NTIS), Springfield, VA. 22161		

	s	с	0	мм-	DC	604	3-P	80
-	~	~	~		20	004	2-6	0.0



#### THE SP 250 SERIES ON NIST MEASUREMENT SERVICES\*

SP 250-1	Spectral Radiance Calibrations SN003–003–02792–8 \$3.50
SP 250-2	Far Ultraviolet Detector Standards PB87227609
SP 250-3	Radiometric Standards in the Vacuum Ultraviolet SN003–003–02806–1 \$6.50
SP 250-4	Fricke Dosimetry in High-Energy Electron Beams SN003-003-02816-9 \$2.75
SP 250-5	Alpha-Particle Calibrations SN003-003-02823-1 \$2.00
SP 2506	Regular Spectral Transmittance SN003-003-02805-3 \$3.25
SP 250-7	Radiance Temperature Calibrations SN003-003-02827-4 \$2.25
SP 250-8	Spectral Reflectance PB88109905
SP 250-9	Calibration of Beta-Particle-Emitting Ophthalmic Applicators SN003-003-02817-7 \$2.00
SP 250-10	Radioactivity Calibrations with the " $4\pi$ " Gamma Ionization Chamber, and Other Radioactivity Calibration Capabilities SN003–003–02824–0 \$2.25
SP 250-11	Dosimetry for High-Dose Applications SN003–003–02854–1 \$2.75
SP 250-12	Neutron Personnel Dosimetry SN003–003–02811–8 \$2.50
SP 250-13	Activation Foil Irradiation with Californium Fission Sources SN003–003–02866–5 \$2.25
SP 250-14	Activation Foil Irradiation by Reactor Cavity Fission Sources SN003–003–02861–4 \$3.25
SP 250-15	Photometric Calibrations PB88153747
SP 250-16	Calibration of X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Measuring Instruments SN003–003–02862–2 \$7.00
SP 250-17	The NBS Photodetector Spectral Response Calibration Transfer Program

SP	250–18	Neutron Source Strength	Calibrations
		SN003-003-02863-1	\$3.25

- SP 250–19 Calibration of Gamma-Ray-Emitting Brachytherapy Sources
- SP 250–20 Spectral Irradiance Calibrations SN003–003–02829–1 \$5.50
- SP 250–21 Calibration of Beta-Particle Radiation Instrumentation PB88201579
- SP 250–22 Platinum Resistance Thermometer Calibrations PB88138367
- SP 250–23 Liquid-in-Glass Thermometer Calibration Service SN003–003–02891–6
- SP 250-24 Standard Cell Calibrations SN003-003-02825-8 \$2.75
- SP 250–25 Calibration Service for Inductive Voltage Dividers
- SP 250-26 Phase Angle Calibrations SN003-003-02871-1 \$5.00
- SP 250-27 AC-DC Difference Calibrations
- SP 250–28 Solid-State DC Voltage Standard Calibrations SN003-003-02842-8 \$2.00
- SP 250–29 Traceable Frequency Calibrations SN003-003-02844-4 \$2.25
- SP 250–30 GOES Satellite Time Code Dissemination: Description and Operation SN003-003-02845-2 \$2.75
- SP 250-31 Mass Calibrations
- SP 250–32 A Calibration Service for 30 MHz Attenuation and Phase Shift SN003–003–02875–4
- SP 250-33 A Calibration Service for Voltage Transformers and High-Voltage Capacitors SN003-003-02880-1 \$1.25
- SP 250–34 High Vacuum Standard and Its Use

\* Those entries containing a stock number (003–003– –) and price can be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. GPO will accept checks, money orders, VISA, and Mastercharge. For more infomation, or to place an order, call (202) 783–3238. Be sure to use the stock number in all orders.

Entries containing PB numbers can be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161. NTIS will accept American Express in addition to the payment methods listed for GPO. For more information call (703) 487-4650; to place an order call (800) 336-4700. Be sure to use the PB number on all orders.

Titles without stock numbers are in preparation.

SN003-003-02857-6 \$3.25

U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology (formerly National Bureau of Standards) Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300