











A11103 090274



# **INCE & TECHNOLOGY:**

National Bureau of Standards Library, E-01 Admin. Bldg.

0CT 1 1981 191058 QC /00 ,US7



# COMPUTERS IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT: A COMPILATION OF STATISTICS-1978



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards

#### NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards¹ was established by an act of Congress March 3, 1901. The Bureau's overall goal is to strengthen and advance the Nation's science and technology and facilitate their effective application for public benefit. To this end, the Bureau conducts research and provides: (1) a basis for the Nation's physical measurement system, (2) scientific and technological services for industry and government, (3) a technical basis for equity in trade, and (4) technical services to promote public safety. The Bureau's technical work is performed by the National Measurement Laboratory, the National Engineering Laboratory, and the Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology.

THE NATIONAL MEASUREMENT LABORATORY provides the national system of physical and chemical and materials measurement; coordinates the system with measurement systems of other nations and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical and chemical measurement throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce; conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement, standards, and data on the properties of materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; provides advisory and research services to other Government Agencies; develops, produces, and distributes Standard Reference Materials; and provides calibration services. The Laboratory consists of the following centers:

Absolute Physical Quantities<sup>2</sup> — Radiation Research — Thermodynamics and Molecular Science — Analytical Chemistry — Materials Science.

THE NATIONAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY provides technology and technical services to users in the public and private sectors to address national needs and to solve national problems in the public interest; conducts research in engineering and applied science in support of objectives in these efforts; builds and maintains competence in the necessary disciplines required to carry out this research and technical service; develops engineering data and measurement capabilities; provides engineering measurement traceability services; develops test methods and proposes engineering standards and code changes; develops and proposes new engineering practices; and develops and improves mechanisms to transfer results of its research to the utlimate user. The Laboratory consists of the following centers:

Applied Mathematics — Electronics and Electrical Engineering<sup>2</sup> — Mechanical Engineering and Process Technology<sup>2</sup> — Building Technology — Fire Research — Consumer Product Technology — Field Methods.

THE INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides scientific and technical services to aid Federal Agencies in the selection, acquisition, application, and use of computer technology to improve effectiveness and economy in Government operations in accordance with Public Law 89-306 (40 U.S.C. 759), relevant Executive Orders, and other directives; carries out this mission by managing the Federal Information Processing Standards Program, developing Federal ADP standards guidelines, and managing Federal participation in ADP voluntary standardization activities; provides scientific and technological advisory services and assistance to Federal Agencies; and provides the technical foundation for computer-related policies of the Federal Government. The Institute consists of the following divisions:

Systems and Software — Computer Systems Engineering — Information Technology.

<sup>2</sup>Some divisions within the center are located at Boulder, Colorado, 80303.

Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washington, D.C. 20234.

# COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY: Computers in the Federal Government: A Compilation of Statistics – 1978

00 100 .UST, 110,500

not ACZ = 1110

Martha Mulford Gray

Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary

Jordan J. Baruch, Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology

S NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, Director

Issued April 1979

## Reports on Computer Science and Technology

The National Bureau of Standards has a special responsibility within the Federal Government for computer science and technology activities. The programs of the NBS Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology are designed to provide ADP standards, guidelines, and technical advisory services to improve the effectiveness of computer utilization in the Federal sector, and to perform appropriate research and development efforts as foundation for such activities and programs. This publication series will report these NBS efforts to the Federal computer community as well as to interested specialists in the academic and private sectors. Those wishing to receive notices of publications in this series should complete and return the form at the end of this publication.

National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 500-46 Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Spec. Publ. 500-46, 95 pages (Apr. 1979) CODEN: XNBSAV

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 79-600023

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1979

#### COMPUTERS IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT: A COMPILATION OF STATISTICS - 1978

#### **ABSTRACT**

This report presents data on the status of computer technology in the Federal Government. It is an extension and update of "Computers in the Federal Government: Compilation of Statistics" (NBS SP-500-7), June 1977. report contains a combination of existing statistics from Federal Government and computer industry sources original statistics based on these sources. Data included on numbers of computers installed in the Federal Government, dollar value of computers installed, numbers computers installed by agency, Federal ADP costs by agency. Federal computers by acquisition date, and Federal ADP work-years. A detailed analysis is presented for Federal computers classifying the computers into three categories, general purpose computers, special computers and minicomputers. Federal computers are compared with U.S. computers in the same categories.

KEY WORDS: Federal Government Computers; Statistics; ADP Costs; Federal Minicomputers; Federal ADP Statistics; Federal acquisition dates; Federal ADP work-years

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges the extensive assistance provided by Miss Barbara A Moran and Mrs Jean P Yancey in the formulation and preparation of the graphs in this report. The graphs were produced on the Univac 1108 computer through Dataplot, a system for interactive graphical data analysis developed by James J Filliben, Statistical Engineering Laboratory, National Bureau of Standards. The author also wishes to thank Mr Filliben for his individual assistance in the utilization of Dataplot. The author also thanks Mrs Jackie Jones for assisting in the manuscript preparation.

Special thanks are extended to the staff of the Management Information Systems Division, General Services Administration, in particular Mr Alvin Krakow, for preparation of the special computer runs essential for obtaining the data necessary for this report. Mr Krakow's assistance and cooperation were invaluable to the data collection requirements.

# Table of Contents

			Page
List of	f Fig	gures	vii
Summary	y of	Findings	х
I.	Intr	roduction	1
II.	Clas	ssification System	3
III.	Expl	lanation of Computer Categories	5
IV.	Str	ucture of Report	9
٧.	Fisc	cal Year Data	10
	Α.	Federal Government Statistics	10
	В.	Federal Government Statistics Compared with U.S. Statistics	13
	C:	Federal Computer Systems by Purchase Price	16
	D.	Analysis of Federal Computers and ADP Costs by Agency	20
	Ε.	Computers by Federal Acquisition Date	28
	F.	Analysis of Federal ADP Work-Years	33
VI.	Ca 1 e	endar Year Data	4 4
	Α.	Federal Government Computers	44
	В.	United States Computers	49
	С.	Federal Government Computers Compared with United States Computers	54

	1. General Purpose Computers	5.4		
	a. Size Class 1 Computers b. Size Class 2 Computers c. Size Class 3 Computers d. Size Class 4 Computers e. Size Class 5 Computers f. Size Class 6 Computers g. Size Class 7 Computers	57 61 63 65 67 69		
	2. Special Computers	7 3		
	3. Minicomputers	76		
D .	Analysis of U.S. General Purpose Computers by SIC Code	76		
References		83		

# <u>List of Figures</u>

		Page
1.	Computers in the Federal Government by Management Classification	11
2.	Growth Rate of Computer Systems in the Federal Government by Number of CPU's and Dollar Value	12
3.	Growth Rate of Computer Systems in the United States by Number of CPU's and Dollar Value	14
4.	Total Computers (United States - Federal Government)	16
5.	Total Dollar Value of Computer Systems (United States - Federal Government)	17
6.	Federal Computer Systems by Purchase Price (table)	18
7.	Federal Computer Systems by Purchase Price (line graph)	19
8.	Growth Rate of Computer Systems by Purchase Price Classification	21
9.	Annual Federal Installed Computer Base by Agency	22
0.	Agency Percentage of Annual Federal Installed Computer Base	23
1.	Federal Computers, by Agency	24
2.	Annual Federal ADP Costs by Agency (General Management Classification)	26


13.	Agency Percentage of Annual Federal ADP Costs (General Management Classification)	27
14.	Federal ADP Costs, by Agency	29
15.	Computers by Federal Acquisition Date	31
16.	Computers by Federal Acquisition Date (line graphs)	32
17.	Analysis of Federal ADP Work-Years	34
18.	ADP Work-Years by Activity	35
19.	ADP Work-Years by Activity - 1967 and 1977	36
20.	Annual Costs for ADP (General Management Classification)	39
21.	Number of ADP Work-Years, by Agency (General Management Classification)	40
22.	Percentage of ADP Work-Years, by Agency (General Management Classification)	41
23.	Federal ADP Work-Years, by Agency	43
24.	Computers in the Federal Government by Category and Size (table)	45
25.	Computers in the Federal Government by Category (bar graph)	46
26.	Computers in the Federal Government by Category (line graph)	47
27.	Growth Rate of Federal Computers by Number	48
28.	Computers in the United States by Category (bar graph)	50
29.	Computers in the United States by Category and Size (table)	51
30.	Computers in the United States by Category	52

31.	Growth Rate of United States Computers by Number	5 3
32.	Total Number of Computers - U.S. and Federal Government	55
33.	Federal General Purpose Computers by Size and U.S. General Purpose Computers by Size	56
34.	Growth Rate of General Purpose Computers (Federal Government and United States)	58
35.	Size Class 2 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	62
36.	Size Class 3 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	64
37.	Size Class 4 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	66
38.	Size Class 5 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	68
39.	Size Class 6 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	70
40.	Size Class 7 Computers (Federal Government and United States)	72
41.	Special Computers (Federal Government and United States)	74
42.	Growth Rate of Special Computers (Federal Government and United States)	75
43.	Minicomputers (Federal Government and United States)	77
44.	Growth Rate of Minicomputers (Federal Government and United States)	78
45.	U.S. Installed Base Dollar Value by Industry - General Purpose Computers	80
46.	U.S. General Purpose Computer Dollar Value	81

## Summary of Findings

- o As of year-end 1977 there were over 11,000 computers in the Federal Government and over 325,000 computers in the United States.
- o The dollar value of the computer systems in the Federal Government was \$4.45 Billion in FY77.
  - The dollar value of the computer systems in the U.S. was approximately \$49.7 Billion as of year-end 1977.
- o Over the last eight fiscal years the number of Federal computers has increased over 11 percent annually while the dollar value has increased less than 7 percent annually.
- o Currently Federal Government computers consist of 55 percent minicomputers, 30 percent general purpose computers and 15 percent special computers.
  - U.S. computers consist of 66 percent minicomputers, 18 percent general purpose computers and 16 percent special computers.
- o Over the last six calendar years the number of U.S. computers has increased by over 25 percent annually while the number of Federal computers has increased by less than 11 percent.
- o The largest increase in U.S. and Federal general purpose computers was in the size class representing the largest and most expensive computers.
- o The largest increase of any segment of the U.S. computers was minicomputers with an increase of 39 percent.
  - The largest increase of any segment of Federal computers was minicomputers with an increase of 27 percent.

- o Federal Government computers represented 4 percent of the total number of U.S. computers, 3 percent of the U.S. minicomputers and 24 percent of the largest size class of U.S. general purpose computers.
- o By agency, DOD accounts for 45 percent of the total Federal computers, 55 percent of the ADP costs and 55 percent of the total ADP work-years.
- O Currently, the largest number of ADP work-years are devoted to services and support, and equipment operation.
- o As of FY77 less than 20 percent of the Federal computers have acquisition dates prior to FY68.



#### I. Introduction

The Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology serves as a Federal Government focal point for computer technology activities. The Institute programs are designed to provide standards, guidelines and technical advisory services to improve the effectiveness of computers and computer applications in the Federal Government. Appropriate research provides the foundation for these activities.

In the process of conducting its program, the Institute collects data from a variety of sources on the status of computer technology, the extent of computer use in the government and private sectors and the projected trends in the technology and applications areas. This data is analyzed from a number of points of view in order to support ICST efforts in development of standards and guidelines and in providing technical advisory services. The analyzed data and resulting graphs and charts have proved most useful to ICST personnel.

In the light of its own experience with the utility of this data and analyses, ICST prepared a publicati "Computers in the Federal Government: A Compilation publication Statistics," (NBS SP-500-7) published in June 1977. that time, further data has been collected to support the standards program. Much effort was expended to do more detailed analysis of the size and types of computers in the Federal Government, to ascertain the specific areas which require standards attention. Because of the response to the past publication, ICST decided to make available these further statistical analyses. This version of compilation of data on computers in the Federal Government will, it is believed, continue to be of general interest Federal Government within and outside the community.

The main source of statistical information on computers in the Federal Government is the General Services Administration (GSA). Routinely GSA makes some information

available in their publications, "Inventory of Automatic Data Processing Equipment in the United States Government for Fiscal Year 19xx" and "Summary of Federal ADP activities in the United States Government as of the end of Fiscal Year 19xx." The fiscal year figures in this report were generally taken from these publications. In order to make possible a more detailed comparison of Federal and U.S. computers. data for Federal computers on a calendar year basis was required. These figures for Federal computers installed as of year-end 1972 - 1977 were obtained from GSA by special Since these figures are not part of GSA's request. published statistics, and have therefore not received the same amount of scrutiny as the fiscal year figures, they are to be considered estimates of the year-end installed computers in the Federal Government. They are the figures that appear on GSA's data tapes for computers installed as of December 31, 1972, January 31, 1974, December 31, 1975, December 31, 1976, and December 31, 1977. (The January 31, 1974 data tape was the closest data that was available for a year-end figure for 1973. For the purpose of this report these January 31, 1974 figures will be considered year-end 1973 figures.)

The source of statistics on computers in the United States is International Data Corporation (IDC). In the Annual Review and Forecast issue of "EDP Industry Report" IDC publishes a census of computer models in the U.S. and the total number of computers for past, present and future years. Other U.S. figures in this report were obtained from IDC by special request or taken from IDC special reports.

## II. Classification System

In the June 1977 report, "Computers in the Federal Government: A Compilation of Statistics," (NBS SP-500-7) Federal computers were categorized by a management classification scheme to identify systems operated under a wide variety of operational environments. This system of classification is still used by the General Services Administration in their publications. The management classification identifies systems which are used general utility environment, whereas the special management classification identifies systems used control, classified and mobile environments. International Corporation, on the other hand, classified U.S. computers into two categories, general purpose computers and dedicated-application computers. The computers included in the general purpose category comprised "the bulk of digital computers (by value) in operation." They were "byte or oriented-- except for large-scale scientific character computers that have large words--and are primarily programmed in higher-level languages." The computers in the dedicated-application category were "those commonly referred to as mini-computers, plus certain larger systems designed primarily for one application such as process control, data communications, or data entry." This classification scheme was used by IDC until 1977.

In the Annual Review and Forecast issue of "EDP Industry Report," for April 22, 1977, IDC announced the establishment of more meaningful computer categories, general purpose computers, minicomputers and small business computers. General purpose computers were divided into six size classes numbered 2 through 7. The definition of general purpose computers remained as listed above except that small business computers formerly in size class 1 were eliminated from the general purpose category. Minicomputers were defined as "...general purpose in design but sold as tools, not just solutions; are available from the makers as complete systems, not just boards; are available to OEM's and usually discounted in volume buys; and are part of a family that has at least one product in the \$2,000-\$25,000

0

price range and comes with at least 4K RAM." Small business computers (SBCs) were defined as "...those small general-purpose computers marketed by the major mainframers and their competitors to small business and first time users. They include offerings from the major mainframers...; products...from the minimakers aimed at commercial first time users; offerings from firms that manufacture only SBCs; and offerings from companies that assemble systems from other's minis..."

The April 22, 1977 issue of "EDP Industry Report" also included a model-by-model census for all three categories of computers as of year-end 1976. IDC utilized this same breakout of computers again in their Annual Review and Forecast issue of the "EDP Industry Report" for May 19, 1978, and included a model-by-model census for the three categories of computers as of year-end 1977. Since by definition, small business computers include some minicomputers, the census for small business computers repeats some of the computers in the minicomputer census. The total number of U. S. computers given by IDC is the total of all general purpose computers, minicomputers, small business computers and "other" systems with the double counting of small business and minicomputers eliminated. Thus this total number is smaller than the sum of the number of general purpose, mini and small business computers listed on the censuses.

To facilitate the comparison of U. S. and Federal statistics, special year-end listings of all Federal CPUs by model number were matched with IDC's model-by-model census for U.S. general purpose computers (size class 2 through 7) and minicomputers, assigning IDC's categories and size classes to the Federal CPUs. For purposes of this report, the terms "CPU" and "computer" are used in agreement with GSA's definitions that "...the term computer is synonymous with central processing unit (CPU)." "Computer" should not be confused with "computer system," defined by GSA as "a configuration of ADP equipment which includes one or more CPU's." All other U.S. computers and Federal CPUs except a small number of old general purpose computers were placed in the special category. Using the general purpose, mini and special categories in this manner eliminated the double counting of minis experienced by IDC.

### III. Explanation of Computer Categories

General Purpose Computers

In the May 19, 1978 issue of "EDP Industry Report," Annual Review and Forecast issue, page 13, IDC describes general purpose computers as follows: "General-purpose computers . . . comprise the bulk of digital computers by value. They are primarily character or byte oriented and programmed in higher-level language." These general purpose computers are divided into six size classes. IDC describes the size classes as follows, "Instead of being pegged to constantly shifting average values, size classes are based on currently marketed IBM products and other manufacturers' models that compete with them, e.g., a computer in size Class 7 would compete with an IBM 3033 or 168." The size classes are listed for all of the current U.S. computers in the general purpose computer census on page 13 and 14 of the same source quoted above. The average main memory capacity and average monthly rentals are based on currently marketed models in the size classes. In addition to the six classes of IDC, size classes 2 through 7, we added size class 1 for Federal use only. The size classes are defined as follows.\*

Size class 1 contains Federal computers of historical interest only. Older computers still in the Federal inventory were placed in this separate size class since they are not really comparable to current computers.

Size Class 2 contains the smallest general purpose computers. They are usually the lowest cost machines and are considered entry level equipment. They have relatively limited I/O channels and limited software. In general the main memory capacity is from  $16-64 \mathrm{K}$  bytes. The average monthly rental of these machines is approximately \$1,250-

<sup>\*</sup>Certain commercial products are identified in this section in order to cite relevant examples. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Bureau of Standards.

\$2,500. Examples of computers in this category are: the IBM System 3 Models 4, 6, 8, and 10; Honeywell H-61 Models 58 and 60; Univac 9200; NCR Century 50 and 75; and Singer 10.

Size class 3 computers are generally considered small-scale computers, although some models do approach what is considered to be medium-scale. Some members of this size class are the smallest model of a family of larger computers or the largest member of a small business data processing computer family. The main memory capacity is generally 32-128K bytes, although some size class 3 computers have main memory as large as 256K bytes. The average monthly rental is \$2,500-\$9,000. Examples of this size class are: NCR Century 100, 101, and 151; Burroughs B-1800 and B-500; Univac 90 Models 25 and 30, and 9300; Honeywell H-Level 62, and H-2020; and IBM 370/115, System 3 Models 12 and 15, and 360/20.

Size class 4 computers are considered medium scale computers. The average main memory capacity is 64-512K bytes. The average monthly rental for size class 4 computers is approximately \$8,000-\$20,000. Examples of computers in this category are: IBM 370/125, 135, 138, and 360/30 and 40; Honeywell H-2040, 2050, 1200; Univac 90/60; Burroughs B-2500/2700/2800 and B-3500/3700/3800; NCR Century 200, 201,250; CDC Cyber 71, 171; DEC 10/40 and 50; and Xerox Sigma 5 and 6.

Size class 5 computers are also considered medium scale computers but usually have a much larger main memory capacity of 128K-2M bytes, with some models having memory which exceeds 4M bytes. The average monthly rental of a size class 5 computer is \$21,000-\$45,000. Representatives of this size class are: IBM 370/145, 148, and 360/50; Honeywell H-66/10, 17, 20, 27, and H3200; Univac 90/70, 9700, 1100/10 and 20 and Spectra 70/45 and 46; Burroughs B-4500, 4700, 4800; NCR Century 300, 8580, 8590; CDC Cyber 72, 3200, 3500, DEC 10/60 and 70; Itel AS/4; and XDS Sigma 7.

Size class 6 computers are generally considered large scale computers Some of the models have main memories as large as 8M bytes. This size category has small members of new families of large computers and the largest members of some old families of computers. The average monthly rental of these computers is \$45,000-\$95,000. Examples of size

class 6 computers include: IBM 3031 and 3032, 360/65, 370/155 and 158; CDC Cyber 172, 173, 174, 73, and 6400, 6500; Itel AS/5 and AS/6; DEC 10/80 and 90; XDS Sigma 9; Burroughs 6500, 6700; Univac 1100//40, 81 and 82, 1106 and Spectra 70/60, 6 and 7; Honeywell H-66/40 and 60, 6050/60, 6070 and 6080; and Amdahl 470/5.

Size class 7 computers are the largest computers in the general purpose category and in the U.S. installed base. These computers represent the largest models of a manufacturers product line. The largest members of this size class can have main memory capacity of 16M bytes. The average monthly rental of these machines is approximately \$100,000-\$200,000. Representatives of this size class are: IBM 3033, 370/165 and 168; Univac 1100/83 and 84, 1108, 1110; CDC Cyber 175, 176, 75 and 76, Star 100, 6600, 7600; Amdahl 470/6 and 7; Cray 1A; Burroughs B-7700, 7800; Honeywell H-66/80 and 85, H68/80, G-6180.

### Minicomputers

In the May 19, 1978 issue of "EDP Industry Report," Annual Review and Forecast issue, page 17, IDC describes minicomputers as follows: "The categorization of certain computers as 'minicomputers' is based on marketplace definitions as perceived by IDC. Minis are general-purpose in design but sold as tools, not just solutions; are available from the makers as complete systems, not just boards; are available to OEMs and usually discounted in volume buys; and are part of a family that has at least one product in the \$2,000-\$25,000 price range and comes with at least 4K RAM." This definition was adopted in matching Federal computers with the IDC census. The three size classes listed in the IDC minicomputer census were not used for this report because there was insufficent GSA data to match them. Therefore, all Federal and U.S. minicomputers are in one category.

## Special computers

The special computer category for Federal and U.S. figures includes computers which are not identified as general purpose computers or minicomputers. These "special" computers include computers such as small business machines, communications processors, data entry machines and process

control computers. Special militarized or ruggedized machines are included in Federal figures only.

## IV. Structure of Report

The rest of this report is divided into two parts, one on fiscal year data and one on calendar year data. The section on fiscal year data is mainly based on data available from GSA on Federal ADP. This includes number of Federal computers, computer systems by purchase price, number of computers and ADP costs by agency, computers by Federal acquisition date and analysis of Federal ADP work-years. The second section on calendar year data is based on U.S. data from IDC and Federal data developed by NBS for this report. This section presents the results of detailed analysis on the type and size of computers in the Federal Government. These results are then compared with the same analysis for the U.S. computers to look at growth rates and trends for the Federal computers. All of the figures are year-end figures and should not be compared with the data in the fiscal year section.


#### V. Fiscal Year Data

#### A. Federal Government Statistics

Figure 1 summarizes the number of computers in the Federal Government from Fiscal Year 1965 to Fiscal Year 1977, including the transition quarter between fiscal year 1976 (July 1, 1975 - June 30, 1976) and fiscal year 1977 (October 1, 1976 - September 31, 1977). Figure 1 also shows the classification of the computers into GSA general management and special management categories. As mentioned in the introduction, the general management category includes computers in the general utility environment. Figure 1 shows that the general management category has remained relatively stable by number and currently represents almost 40 percent of the Federal computers. Even though the number of general management computers has grown slightly over the last eight years, their percentage in the Federal inventory has dropped from 64 percent in fiscal year 1970 to less than 40 percent in fiscal year 1977.

The largest number of Federal computers are in the special management category. In addition to mobile and control computers, a large number of these computers are minicomputers. Figure 1 shows that the special management category of computers has grown considerbly since fiscal year 1970, doubling the number of computers in that category from fiscal year 1970 to fiscal year 1977. In addition, the percentage of Federal computers in the special management category has grown from 35 percent in fiscal year 1970 to over 60 percent in fiscal year 1977.

Figure 2 shows data on the number of computers in the Federal Government, their growth rate from 1970 to 1977 and the total dollar value of Federal computer systems and its growth rate from 1970 to 1977. The dollar values for computer systems have not been adjusted for inflation and are not presented in constant dollars. The growth rates are based on the increase in CPUs for one year compared to the total CPUs of the year before. The average yearly growth rate may also be called the average compound growth rate. The dollar values are presented for Federal computer systems instead of CPUs alone. We consider that when discussing the dollar value it is not correct to value only the CPU. The other components of the system need to be included. For example, the additional memory units that a system may have



\* TQ: Transition Quarter (July 1, 1976 - September 30, 1976)

GSA Inventory 1977

Source:

September 30, 1977

FIGURE 1

GROWTH RATE OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BY NUMBER OF CPUS AND DOLLAR VALUE

Growth Rate (%)		9.68		3.97		8.33		9.83		6.32		1.98		8.01		6.87%
<pre>\$ Added per year (\$ Billions)</pre>		.271		.122		.266		.340		.240		.08		,33		.235
Total Value of Computer Systems (\$ Billions)	\$2,801		3.072		3.194		3,460		3.80		40.4		4.12		4.45	
<b>^</b> u																
Growth Rate (%)		12.45		13.43		6.21		9.53		10.46		11.55		15.30		11.28%
# CPUs Growt] added Rate per year (%)		657 12,45		797 13.43		418 6.21		681 9.53		819 10,46		999 11.55		1,476 15.30		835 11.28%
	5,277		5,934		6,731		7,149		7,830		8,649		9,648		11,124	

Figure 2

make a difference in the dollar value of the system. We feel that this higher dollar value of the computer systems creates a more accurate dollar value of the computer hardware in the Federal Government. These dollar values are also more consistent with IDC's dollar values.

The dollar value of Federal computer systems for FY77 \$4.45 billion. The dollar values of the computers systems from FY70 to FY77 has been increasing on an average of \$.235 billion per year for an average yearly growth rate of less than 7 percent. For the same time period the number of computers has been growing at a larger rate than the growth rate of the dollar value. In the last fiscal year there were over 1,000 computers added to the Federal inventory. The average for the last eight fiscal years was 835 computers added per year. This means that there is an average yearly growth rate of numbers of computers for the eight fiscal years of over 11 percent. The fact that the number of computers continues to grow at a greater growth rate than the dollar value indicates that smaller, less expensive computers are being added to the inventory. This indication is also shown in the section of this report on computers by purchase price range.

# B. Federal Government Statistics Compared with U.S. Statistics

There is not a significant amount of data for fiscal year figures which make comparisons of Federal and U.S. figures possible. However, since the only dollar value figures that are available for the Federal computers are fiscal year dollar values, we have approximated the U.S. figures that were available to reflect fiscal year figures. Figure 3 presents these adjusted U.S. figures for both numbers of computers and dollar values. On an average 33,000 computers have been added to the U.S. installed base per fiscal year for an average yearly growth rate of over 24 percent. The growth rate by dollar value for these fiscal year figures is over 11 percent with an average of \$3.63 billion being added each fiscal year to the dollar value of the installed base. Thus these figures show that for the adjusted U.S. figures the number of computers continues to grow at a larger rate than the dollar value.

Growth Rate (%)		11.08		7.90		9.24		11.99		12.60		13.15		14.59		11.51%	
<pre>\$ Added per year (\$ Billions)</pre>		2.40		1.90		2.40		3.40		7,00		4.70		5.90		3.53	
Total Value of Computer Systems (\$ Billions)	\$21.65		24.05		25.95		28.35		31.75		35.75		40.45		46.35		
Growth Rate (%)		21.10		23.56		26.74		26.60		24.59		23.63		27.08		24.76	
# CPUs added per year		13,200		17,850		25,025		31,550		36,925		44,200		62,630		33,057	
Total # of CPUs	62,550		. 75,750		93,600		118,625		150,175		187,100		231,320		307,635	Φ	
Fiscal Year	1970		1971		1972		1973		1974		1975		1976		1977	Average	

Figure 3

When these growth rate figures are compared (figures 2 and 3) it is apparent that the growth rates of U.S. and Federal computer system dollar values are more closely related than the growth rate by number. The average growth rate of U.S. computers by dollar value is over 11 percent while the Federal average growth rate by dollar value is 7 percent. The difference between these two growth rates is less than the difference between the growth rates by number which are 25 percent for the U.S. and 11 percent for the Federal computers.

Figures 4 and 5 show the total Federal and U.S. computers by number and dollar value for fiscal years 72 - 77 in graph form. These comparisons show what portion of the U.S. installed base is held by the Federal Government. Figure 4 shows that the Federal Government computers represented about 8 percent of the U.S. installed base by number in FY72 but represented less than 4 percent in FY77. Figure 5 shows that the Federal Government represented almost 13 percent of the dollar value of U.S. computers in FY70 but less than 10 percent in FY77. Thus when the Federal Government figures are compared with the U.S. the Federal portion is much greater by dollar value than by number.

## C. Federal Computer Systems by Purchase Price

The only information that is available from GSA for a more detailed analysis of the dollar value of specific Federal computer systems is a listing of the costs of computer systems for general and special management computers by five price categories: \$50,000 or less; \$50,001-\$200,000; \$200,001-\$500,000; \$500,001-\$1,500,000; and over \$1,500,000. Data from these price listings was compiled for six years and is presented in figures 6 and 7. The dollar values of the price categories for the computer not been adjusted for inflation and are not systems have presented in constant dollars. The data was compiled as GSA presented it for each fiscal year. For purposes smoothing the numbers the general management and special management computer systems were added together. Figures 6 and 7 show that the largest numbers of computer systems are the \$50,000 or less category and the \$50,001-\$200,00 category. It is apparent in figure 7 that the curves of these two categories reflect a growing trend that should be expected to continue in the future.

# TOTAL COMPUTERS (in Thousands)




Figure 4

## TOTÀL DOLLAR VALUE OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS (in \$Billions)





Figure 5

FEDERAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS BY PURCHASE PRICE

YEAR \$50,000/LESS \$50,001-\$200,000	FY 72 1502 1683	FY 73 1648 1686	FY 74 1963 1766	FY 75 2262 1931	FY 76 2612 2184	FY 77 3269 2399
\$200,001-\$500,000	1288	1264	1224	1220	1202	1279
\$500,001-\$1,500,000	825	844	861	901	867	882
OVER \$1,500,000	505	572	989	<u> </u>	662	669

Figure 6



We analyzed the growth rate figures, shown in figure 8, for these price categories to see if they agreed with the plotted curves and to assess the actual growth rates. The smallest price category, \$50,000 or less has the largest average growth rate of all the price categories with a growth rate of 17 percent. The categories with the next highest growth rates were the \$50,001-\$200,000 and the over \$1,500,000 category. These both have an average growth rate of 7 percent. The computer systems in the \$200,001-\$500,000 category showed no growth rate when averaged over the six fiscal years and the computer systems in the \$500,001-\$1,500,000 category showed little average growth.

Thus the analysis by purchase price classification showed essentially that the least expensive computer systems represented the largest number of computer systems and the largest growth rate of any of the price categories. The most expensive computer systems represent the smallest number of computer systems and the second highest growth rate of any of the price categories. The computer systems in the middle price categories seem to be showing little or no growth.

## D. Analysis of Federal Computers and ADP Costs by Agency

Until further analysis on the function and uses of Federal computers can be undertaken, the only data that is available is that on the numbers of computers and ADP costs by agency. Data was compiled for twelve fiscal years, FY66-FY77, on the numbers of computers and the ADP costs by agency.\* The number of computers, both general management and special management, is presented in figure 9. These numbers were translated into percentages and are presented in figure 10. The pie chart, figure 11, highlights the changes in these percentages between FY67 and FY77.

<sup>\*</sup>Throughout this section the abreviations for each agency are GSA's Federal Departmental/Agency abreviations.

OVER \$1,500,000	No. Added Growth(%)		13%		11%		2%		-1%		%9		7%
ļ	of	505	<b>67</b>	572	79	<b>6</b> 36	31	299	5	662	37	669	39
		2		- 2		9							
\$500,001-\$1,500,000	No. Added Growth(%)		2%		2%		2%		%4-		2%		1%
500,001	f No.		19		16		40		-34		15		11
	No. of CPU's	825		844		861		901		867		882	-
500,000	No. Added Growth(%)		-2%		-3%		%0-		-1%		<b>%9</b> +		%0
\$200,001-\$500,000			-24		-40		7-		-18		77		-2
	No. of CPU's	1288		1264		1224		1220		1202		1279	
0	(%												
200,00	Growth(		%0		2%		%6		13%		10%		7%
,001-\$200,00	No. Added Growth(%)		3 0%		80 5%		165 9%		253 13%		215 10%		143 7%
\$50,001-\$200,000	No. of No. CPU's Added Growth(	1683		1686		1766		1931		2184		2399	
	ch(%) CPU's Added	1683		1686		1766		1931		2184		2399	
	ch(%) CPU's Added	1683	n	1686	8	1766	165	1931	253	2184	215	2399	143
\$50,000/LESS   \$50,001-\$200,00	ch(%) CPU's Added	1502	10%	1648	19% 80	1963   1766	15%	2262 1931	15% 253	2612 2184	25% 215	3269 2399	17% 143

Figure 8

ANNUAL FEDERAL INSTALLED COMPUTER BASE BY AGENCY

FY77	97	363	5059	2605	30	160	141	1441	347	202	291	478	24
		က	20			_					2	4	11124
FY76	99	321	4424	2279	29	140	114	1255	346	158	141	375	9648
FY75	56	294	4245	1904	28	134	69	1114	317	150	126	212	8649
FY74	58	241	4007	1574	29	104	70	1028	296	124	66	200	7830
FY73	61	170	3791	1311	30	94	22	166	287	122	88	149	7149
FY72	99	142	3733	1148	59	29	51	934	236	106	77	142	6731
FY71	89	66	3415	954	33	88	39	812	149	06	64	150	59611
FY70	42	73	3199	754	27	96	46	692	118	77	41	112	5277
FY69	39	29	2898	559	27	84	47	642	100	89	40	103	4666
FY68	37	53	2694	415	24	80	35	639	61	59	35	100	4232
FY67	32	41	2335	324	31 .	57	34	919	28	52	29	83	3692
FY66	28	47	1923	256	24	45	27	489	3].	28	17	62	3007
	Agri	Comm	000	ERDA	GSA	HEW	Int	NASA	Trans	Treas	٧A	Other Civil	Total No. of 3007 Computers

For FY72 and for each fiscal year thereafter, the GSA Inventory reported the total number of computers in FY71 to be 5934.


Source: Data for FY66 thru FY69 in the GSA Inventory, FY69; Data for FY70 thru 1977 in the GSA Inventories FY70 thru FY77 respectively.

Figure 9

# AGENCY PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL FEDERAL INSTALLED COMPUTER BASE

FY77	.87	3.26	45.48	23.42	.27	1.44	1.27	12.95	3.12	1.81	1.81	4.30	100.00
FY76	. 68	3.33	45.85	23.62	.30	1.45	1.18	13.01	3.59	1.64	1.46	3.89	100.001
FY75	.65	3.40	49.08	22.01	.32	1.55	.80	12.88	3.67	1.73	1.46	2.45	100.001
FY74	.74	3.08	51.18	20.10	.37	1.33	. 89	13,13	3.78	1.58	1.27	2.55	. 00.001
FY73	.85	2.38	53.03	18.34	.42	1.32	.77	13.86	4.01	1.71	1.23	2.08	100.00
FY72	.98	2.11	55.46	17.06	.43	66.	.76	13.88	3.51	1.57	1.14	2.11	100.00
FY71	1.14	1.66	57.29	16.00	.55	1.48	99*	13.62	2.50	1.51	1.07	2.52	100.00
FY70	.80	1.38	60.62	14.29	.51	1.82	.87	13.11	2.24	1.46	.78	2.12	100.00
FY69	.83	1.26	62.11	11.98	. 58	1.80	1.01	13.76	2.14	1.46	.86	2.21	100.00
FY68	.87	1.25	63.66	9.81	.57	1.89	.83	15.10	1.44	1.39	.83	2.36	100.00
FY67	.87	1.11	63.24	8.78	.84	1.54	.92	16.68	1.57	1.41	.79	2.25	100.00
FY66	.93	1.56	63.95	8.51	.80	1.50	06.	16.26	1.03	1.93	.57	2.06	100.00
	Agri	Comm	DOD	ERDA	GSA	HEW	Int	NASA	Trans	Treas	VA	Other Civil	Total Percentage

figure 10



The agencies showing the largest growth rate in numbers computers between FY66 and FY77 are Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) now part of Department Energy (DOE), Department of Transportation (DOT) and Veterans Administration (VA). In FY77 all three of these agencies have over ten times the number of computers they had in FY66. By percent, ERDA had 8.51 percent of Federal computers in FY66 and 23.42 percent in FY77. Transportation grew from 1.03 percent of the computers in FY66 and 3.12 percent in FY77. VA also grew with .57 percent in FY66 and 1.18 percent in FY77. When the figures are plotted in pie chart form, figure 11, these increases can be easily noticed as are the decreases in the portion of the Federal computers for DOD and NASA. NASA has actually dropped from approximately 16 percent of the Federal computers in FY66 to less than 13 percent in FY77. has dropped from almost 64 percent in FY66 approximately 45 percent in FY77. Figure 9 shows that both Department of Defense (DOD) and National Aeronautics Space Administration (NASA) increased the number computers installed over these years but their percentages of the total computers dropped.

Figure 12 shows the dollar value of the annual costs Federal ADP, general management category, by agency. Since no cost data is required to be reported to GSA for any computers in the special management category, the annual costs for Federal ADP special management category are unavailable. The dollar values shown are given in actual dollars, not constant dollars. Some of the ADP expenditures included in these annual ADP costs include equipment purchase, site preparation, equipment rental, personnel, contract service, support products and other operating costs. The largest increases for agencies by dollar value are Treasury with an increase of \$437M, from \$42M in FY65 to \$479M in FY77 and Commerce with an increase of \$80M, from \$19M in FY65 to \$99M in FY77. The "Other Civil" category has also increased significantly. When these costs are examined by agency percentages, figure 13, Treasury has increased from almost 4 percent of the total Federal ADP costs (general management classification) in FY65 to over 13 percent in FY77. Commerce represented a small increase from almost 2 percent of the total in FY65 to almost 3 percent in FY77.

ANNUAL FEDERAL ADP COSTS BY AGENCY GENERAL MANAGEMENT CLASSIFICATION (\$ MILLIONS)

\$3548	\$3188	\$3100	\$2662	\$2651	\$2427	\$2382	\$2125	\$1830	\$1653	\$1445	\$1182	1132	Total \$1132
229	160	201	198	207	163	139	06	81	72	5.8	59	46	Other Civil
7.0	09	52	39	44	37	32	40	30	20	18	17	16	VA
479	453	447	324	298	288	233	205	170	140	113	48	42	Treas
51	44	39	31	32	24	23	17	14	14	12			Trans
170	160	170	156	150	147	149	172	140	151	140	131	187	NASA
52	40	35	24	22	19	22	17	14	11	∞			Int
156	252	233	132	139	8 8	109	94	8 0	89	57	42	32	HEW
40	40	65	30	19	20	17	14	11	14	16	15	10	GSA
206	200	154	147	124	116	160	130	135	120	66	95	81	ERDA
1937	1633	1568	1470	1499	1416	1417	1281	1093	992.	880	742	684	DOD
66	95	85	89	29	59	47	39	38	33	23	17	19	Сошт
\$ 59	\$ 51	\$ 51	\$ 43	\$ 50	\$ 49	\$ 34	\$ 26	\$ 24	\$ 18	\$ 21	\$ 15	\$ 15	Agri
FY77	FY76	FY75	FY74	FY73	FY72	FY71	FY70	FY69	FY68	FY67	FY66	FY65	

26

Agency Percentage Of Annual Federal ADP Costs General Management Classification

FY77	1.66	2.79	54.59	5.81	1.13	4.40	1.47	4.79	1.44	13.50	1.97	6.45	100.00
FY76	1.60	2.98	51.22	6.27	1.26	7.90	1.26	5.02	1.38	14.21	1.88	5.02	100.00
FY75	1.64	2.74	50.58	4.97	2.10	7.52	1.13	5.48	1.26	14.42	1.68	6.48	100.00
FY74	1.62	2.55	55.22	5.52	1.13	4.96	06.	5.86	1.16	12.17	1.47	7.44	100.00
FY73	1.88	2.53	56.54	4.68	.72	5.24	.83	5.66	1.21	11.24	1.66	7.81	100.00
FY72	2.02	2.43	58.34	4.78	.82	3.67	.78	90.9	66.	11.87	1.52	6.75	100.00
FY71	1.43	1.97	59.49	6.72	.71	4.58	.92	6.25	.97	9.78	1.34	5.84	100.00
FY70	1.22	1.84	60.28	6.12	99.	4.42	.80	8.09	.80	9.65	1.88	4.24	100.00
FY69	1.31	2.08	59.73	7.38	09.	4.37	.76	7.65	.76	9.29	1.64	4.43	100.00
FY68	1.09	2.00	60.01	7.26	.85	4.11	99.	9.13	.85	8.47	1.21	4.36	100.00
FY67	1.45	1.59	60.90	6.85	1.11	3,95	.55	69.6	.83	7.82	1.25	4.01	100.00 100.00 100.00
FY66	1.27	1.44	62.83	8.04	1.27	3.56		11.09		4.06	1.44	5.00	100.00
FY65	1.33	1.68	60.42	7.16	. 88	2.83		16.52		3.71	1.41	4.06	100.00
ec.	Agri	Сошт	DOD	ERDA	GSA	HEW	Int	NASA	Trans.	Treas	VA	Other Givil	Total %


Figure 13

The growth of both Treasury and Commerce are graphically visible in the two pie charts, figure 14. These two graphs of the annual costs for ADP, general management category, for FY67 and FY77 not only show the increase in Treasury and Commerce but also show the decrease in the portion of the total represented by DOD and NASA. DOD has decreased from over 60 percent of the total costs in FY67 to less than 55 percent in FY77. NASA has decreased from almost 10 percent of the total in FY67 to less than 5 percent in FY77. Thus, not only has the portion of the total Federal computers represented by DOD and NASA (previous part of this section) decreased but also their portion of the annual ADP costs.

## E. Computers by Federal Acquisition Date

In the explanation of size classes, size class 1 was identified as historical computers in the Federal Government. Because of a Congressional request, and other questions on the age of the computers in the Federal Government, an analysis was made of the date of purchase of the computers in the Federal inventory. Special computer runs were obtained from GSA of computers installed by Federal acquisition date. The Federal acquisition date, according to the GSA ADP Management Information System Reporting Procedures dated February 1, 1971, and updated through June 13, 1975, is the date of machine acquisition in the Federal Government. Acquisition is "a term which describes the actual purchase of a machine or system from a source outside the Federal Government or describes the initial lease of a machine or system by an agency of the Federal Government from an external source." Agencies were required to provide this acquisition date for machines acquired after June 30, 1971. The date was entered for machines acquired before this date on a voluntary basis. Machines with no acquisition date are in the "not specified" category.

It should be noted that this date is very different from an actual installation date or delivery date. Often contracts are signed for purchasing a computer in one year and the machine is not delivered and accepted until the next year. Since the GSA inventory data is provided by each agency for equipment actually installed, many computers appearing in the inventory for the first time will have an acquisition data of a year or two prior to the inventory



date. For example, the majority of computers which have an acquisition date of FY77 will not appear until the FY78 or FY79 inventory. This explains why the last acquisition date for each of the years examined shows a decline in the number of computers.

Figure 15 shows that as of year-end 1977 there were still a number of older computers in the Federal inventory. There were 1,514 computers that were ten years old or older, not including the computers that were not specified. These older computers, however, only represented 13 percent of the total Federal computers. Even if all of the not specified computers were added to the 1,514 older computers, the total would still only represent less than 20 percent of the Federal computers.

Some of the data does appear to be inconsistent for the oldest acquisition dates. For example, it appears that suddenly in 1975 two additional computers with acquisition dates of 1956 were identified. This can only be explained by differences in reporting procedures. Since each agency provides an accounting of the computers installed each year, we presume that in 1975 some agency did a more thorough job of reporting and listed computers which had been overlooked in previous years. It is obvious that these computers were in the Federal inventory somewhere in 1972, 73, and 74 but for some reason were simply not listed.


Figure 16 shows graphically the number of computers by Federal acquisition date as of year-end 1972-1977. As was explained earlier in this section, the decline at the end of each year is due to the nature of the reporting procedures and the definition of Federal acquisition date. The solid line on each graph represents the most recent year or the number of computers installed as of the date on the bottom of each graph. The dotted lines indicate previous years. By 12/31/76 and 12/31/77 the number of older computers, computers with acquisition dates prior to 1968, were declining. It is presumed that these older computers will continue to decease in number as they are replaced by newer systems.


COMPUTERS BY FEDERAL ACQUISITION DATE


Total	6812	7370	8186	8880	9730	11232
Not Specfd	2375	2189	1945	875	721	929
1977						514
1976					431	1140
1975				437	1128	1480
1974			572	1005	1128	1210
1973		440	884	9001	1059	1062
1972	517	870	1033	1038	1044	1069
1971	696	:717	751	814	808	827
1970	009	594	591	765	734	719
1969	477	465	445	581	559	562
1968	448	463	454	525	489	459
1967	350	321	312	349	323	322
1966	357	361	330	443	404	371
1965	379	358	327	391	350	318
1964	277	274	248	260	214	195
1963	171	166	153	195	154	132
1962	86	92	85	110	109	100
1961	44	40	41	60	53	25
1960 1961	18	15	13	12	8	6
1959	2	2	0	4	4	2
1958		_	0	9	9	2
1957	_	_	_	1	1	0
1956		-		ю	æ	
Installed CPUs as of	12/31/72	12/31/73	12/31/74	12/31/75	12/31/76	12/31/77


FIGURE 15


## COMPUTERS BY FEDERAL ACQUISITION DATE














Figure 16

## F. Analysis of Federal ADP Work-Years

The first part of this analysis of Federal work-years is based on data from GSA's charts titled "ADP Work-Years by Activity - General Management Classification." GSA defines number of ADP work years as "the number of work-years of all civilian and military personnel whose principal duties are directly identified with ADP functions." Data was collected and analyzed for fiscal years 67-77 on the following ADP activities: systems analysis and design, programming, key punch and key verify, ADPE selection, in-house maintenance, services and support and equipment operation. The definitions of these ADP activities are given in the "Summary of Federal ADP Activities in the United States Government," as of the end of Fiscal Year 1976, General Services Administration, page 35. Figure 17 shows the number of work-years for each activity and what percent each activity represents of the total for FY67 through FY77. A line graph of each of the activities for all eleven fiscal years and a line graph of the total ADP work-years is shown in figure 18. Figure 19 is a bar graph of these activities for FY67 and FY77. These two bar graphs serve to highlight the major changes that have occured over these eleven fiscal years.

Systems analysis and design is a job activity whose number of work-years increased 117 percent from FY67 to FY77. In FY67 there were 8027 work-years involved with this activity and 17483 work-years in FY77. This activity represented almost 8 percent of the total work-years in FY67 and over 14 percent in FY77. This growth in the portion of the total is the largest of any job activity. As the line graph figure 18 shows, systems analysis and design has been consistently increasing over these eleven fiscal years and should probably continue to do so in the future.

Programming is another job activity which has also been increasing in number of work-years. There were 15492 work-years involved in programming in FY67 and 19813 in FY77 for an increase of 28 percent. Programming represented almost 15 percent of the total work years in FY67 and over 16 percent in FY77. The increase in programming has been a steady gradual increase that is expected to continue.

ANALYSIS OF FEDERAL ADP WORK-YEARS

	N 0/0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
TOTAL	Work Years	105,934	118,813	118,869	127,491	122,190	124,286	118,801	114,286	117,374	114,014	122.298
ION	ears	31.8	30.0	29.1	28.9	27.9	26.7	27.7	26.4	26.2	26.9	23.9
EQUIPMENT OPERATION	Work Years No. %	33665	35585	34591	36769	34137	33214	33001	30226	30761	30632	29244
S AND	(ears	22.2	24.0	25.1	25.9	26.1	29.4	27.7	30.9	28.5	27.6	25.1
SERVICES AND SUPPORT	Work Years	23475	28573	29743	33229	31831	36607	32916	35273	33406	31502	30753
USE	Work Years	. 6 • 0	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.8
IN-HOUSE MAINTENANCE	Work No.	1043	1292	1774	2152	2239	2596	2446	2273	2334	2764	3365
LION	rears	0.7	0.8	0.7	9.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9	6.0	6.0	4.1
ADPE SELECTION	Work Years	. 969	1003	843	742	1076	916	805	916	1036	1074	4956
KEY PUNCH AND KEY VERIFY	ears	22.2	21.1	19.2	18.2	16.7	14.9	14.1	11.0	13.7	13.3	13.6
KEY PUN KEY V	Work Years No. %	23536	25062	22826	23126	20448	18486	16696	12586	16143	15193	16684
MING	rears %	14.6	14.1	14.3	14.5	15.5	15.8	15.8	16.5	16.4	16.7	16.2
PROGRAMMING	Work Years No. %	15492	16765	17086	18552	18911	19654	18780	18838	19301	19056	19813
ANALYSIS	Years	7.6	8.9	10.1	10.2	11.1	10,3	11.9	12.3	12.3	12.2	14.3
SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	Work Years No. %	8027	10533	12006	12921	13548	12753	14157	14114	14393	13793	17483
		FY 67	FY 68	FY 69	FY 70	FY 71	FY 72	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77

Figure 17





Figure 18





Key punch/key verify is a job activity which shows the largest decrease in number of work-years when compared with all of the other job activities. In FY67 there were 23536 work-years in the key punch/key verify activity and 16684 in FY77. This represents a decrease of 29 percent. Key punch/key verify represented about 22 percent of the total work-years in FY67 and in FY77 only represented less then 14 percent. Since current computer technology utilizes key punch/key verify tasks to a lesser degree than in the past, this trend of decreasing key punch/key verify activities should continue in the future.

The data available on the number of work-years in the ADPE selection activity seems inconsistent. From FY67 to FY76 ADPE selection showed an increase of 54 percent, 696 work-years to 1074 work-years. The FY77 figure, 4956 work-years, is over 300 percent higher than the FY76 figure and make the increase since FY67 show as an increase of 612 percent. This abrupt increase in the number of work-years is most clearly seen in the line graph, figure 18. In relation to the total number of work-years ADPE selection was less than 1 percent of the total from FY67 to FY76 and over 4 percent in FY77. It will be interesting to see if this number returns to the lower level of FY77 number of work-years or if it remains at this higher FY77 number.

The number of work-years for the in-house maintenance job activity has increased 223 percent from FY67 to FY77. In FY67 there were 1043 work-years for this activity and 3365 in FY77. In relation to the total number of work-years in-house maintenance increased from less then 1 percent in FY67 to almost 3 percent in FY77. This activity will probably continue to increase in the future but still remain a relatively small segment of the total number of work-years.

Overall, the figures show that the two job activities with the largest number of work-years are services and support and equipment operations. These two had the largest number of work-years in FY67 representing over 50 percent of the total and are still the largest in FY77 representing almost 50 percent of the total. Services and support had 23475 work-years in FY67 and 30753 in FY77 for an increase of 31 percent. This job activity represented over 22 percent in FY67 and over 25 percent in FY77. Equipment operations had 33665 work-years in FY67 and 29244 for a decrease of 13 percent. In relation to the total, equipment

operations represented over 31 percent of the total work-years in FY67 and less then 24 percent in FY77. These two job activities, services and support and equipment operations, will probably remain the largest two activities for the next few years.

When all of the job activities are viewed together the total number of work-years has only increased 15 percent from the total FY67 number to the FY77 number. As figures 17 and 18 show the total number of work-years was higher in FY70 than it was in FY77. For comparison, figure 20 shows the costs for ADP personnel for this same time frame. The costs for ADP personnel have increased by 142 percent from FY67 to FY77. (The ADP costs have not been adjusted for inflation. They are not given in constant dollars.) Also for this same time period the number of general management computers has increased 60 percent. Thus the ADP costs and the number of computers for the general management category have increased much more than the number of work-years have increased.

The next part of this section is based on data that has recently been in GSA charts, "ADP Work-Years, by Agency-General Management Classification." Data was compiled for eleven fiscal years to show each agency's portion of the total number of work-years. Data is presented in figures 21 and 22 which show the number of work-years for each agency and the percentage of the total each agency represented for FY67 through FY77.

The agency with the largest number of ADP work-years in FY77 is DOD with approximately 55 percent of the total number. This is a decrease for DOD over these eleven fiscal years since in FY67 they had almost 66 percent of the total work-years. In FY77 Treasury was the agency having the next largest portion of the total with approximately 24 percent. This is an increase for Treasury over their FY67 figure of 15 percent of the total. Thus in FY77 two agencies, DOD and Treasury, represented over 75 percent of the total number of work-years. These agencies were also the two largest agencies for percentage of annual Federal ADP Costs, General Management Classification (see section "Analysis of Federal Computers and ADP Costs by Agency") representing approximately 68 percent of the total ADP costs.

ANNUAL COSTS FOR ADP - GENERAL MANAGEMENT CLASSIFICATION

(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

	отнек тотац	3 \$1445	0 1653	1830	4 2125	2 2382	2 2427	2 2651	3 2662	3100	3188	2540
	SUPPORT OTH	\$- \$128	- 140	84 74	85 94	82 132	83 122	85 112	98 133	123 257	114 202	910
OPERATING COSTS	CONTRACT	\$194	203	235	280	271	335	408	415	482	506	003
0PERA	PERSONNEL	\$722	838	947	1099	7/11	1267	1350	1372	1504	1666	
	EQUI PMENT RENTAL	\$270	312	345	369	451	406	430	428	466	403	100
CAPITAL COSTS	SITE PREPARATION	\$17	16	25	33	17	26	29	30	22	18	
CAPITA	EQUIPMENT PURCHASE	\$114	144	120	165	252	188	237	186	246	279	77.0
	FISCAL	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	27.0

Figure 20

AGENCY	FY 67	FY 68	FY 69	FY 70	FY 71	FY 72	FY 73	FY 74	FY 75	FY 76	FY 77
Agri	1601	1431	1816	1850	1959	1789	1574	1732	1742	1541	2004
Сошш	1597	1893	2004	2156	2381	2458	2373	2282	2520	2538	2536
000	69685	76518	76648	79687	75933	77289	68434	64462	61872	59638	67192
ERDA	3066	3492	3592	3764	3924	3727	3839	3686	3623	4278	. 4448
GSA	742	834	856	822	879	885	923	673	1096	1207	1911
HEW	5268	6208	5116	5227	2690	5217	5823	6189	7879	6118	4474
Int	613	710	911	1044	296	958	820	835	973	1104	1174
NASA	1249	1312	1345	1335	1256	1287	1659	1627	1534	1460	1396
Trans	882	972	686	1065	1064	1039	. 1042	1026	1072	1072	1083
Treas	15495	19440	19259	23442	22952	23715	25828	25585	28225	27745	29250
۱۷A	1689	1755.	1791	1866	1968	2054	1712	2057	2371	2571	2568
Other Civil	4047	4248	4542	5233	3217	3868	4315	4132	4467	4742	5012
TOTAL	105934	118813	118869	127491	122190	124286	118801	114286	117374	114014	122298


Figure 21

## PERCENTAGE OF ADP WORK-YEARS, BY AGENCY GENERAL MANAGEMENT CLASSIFICATION

FY 77	1.64	2.07	54.94	3.64	.95	3.66	96.	1.14	888	23.92	2.10	4.10	100%
FY 76	1.35	2.23	52.31	3.75	1.06	5.37	76.	1.28	.94	24.33	2.25	4.16	%001
FY 75	1.48	2.15	52.71	3°09	.93	6.71	.83	1.31	.91	24.05	2.02	3.81	100%
FY 74	1.51	2.00	56.40	3.23	. 59	5.41	.73	1.42	06.	22.39	1.80	3.62	%001
FY 73	1.32	2.00	57.60	3.23	.78	4.90	69.	1.40	88.	21.74	1.83	3.63	100%
FY 72	1.44	1.98	62.19	3.00	.77	4.20	.77	1.03	.84	19.08	1.65	3.11	100%
FY 71	1.60	1.96	62.14	3.21	.72	4.66	.79	1.03	.87	18.78	1.61	2.63	100%
FY 70	1.45	1.69	62.50	2.95	.65	4.10	.82	1.05	. 84	18.39	1.46	4.10	%00L
FY 69	1.53	1.69	64.48	3.02	.72	4.30	.77	1.13	. 83	16.20	1.51	3.82	%001
FY 68	1.20	1.59	64.40	2.94	.70	5.23	09.	1.10	.82	16.36	1.48	3.58	100%
FY 67	1.51	1.51	65.78	2.89	.70	4.97	.58	1.18	.83	14.63	1.59	3.83	100%
AGENCY	Agri	Comm	000	ERDA	GSA	HEW	Int	NASA	Trans	Treas	VA	Other Civil	TOTAL

Figure 22

All of the remaining civilian agencies represent the other 25 percent of the total work-years. None of these agencies separately represent 5 percent of the total. Figure 23 shows, in pie chart form, the percentages of total ADP work-years, general management classification, for each agency in FY67 and FY77. Since the total work-years have not changed dramatically over these eleven fiscal years, none of the agencies show tremendous changes. The increase of Treasury and the decrease of DOD are the most noticable changes.



43

## A. Federal Government Computers


To facilitate comparisons of Federal and U.S. computers and for a more detailed analysis than used in the previous report ("Computers in the Federal Government: A Compilation of Statistics", NBS SP-500-7, June 1977), a model-by-model census of Federal computers was developed. As mentioned earlier in this report, the census was developed by comparing IDC's model-by-model census with computer printouts obtained from GSA of Federal computers for year-end 1972-1977. Where the computer was not listed in the IDC census we made a judgment of the appropriate category and size. Some of the CPU model numbers were deleted from the GSA listings for purposes of our analysis because, in our judgment, they could not be classified as computers. Some examples of these deleted items are add-on memory units, programmable calculators and intelligent terminals. Since both censuses were based on year-end data no extrapolation of data was necessary for comparison.

Figures 24, 25 and 26 show the totals from the census. The largest growth area in the Federal Government by number of computers is the minicomputers category. The general purpose computers are declining in number and percentage and now represent less then 30 percent of the Federal computers, from a high of 56 percent in 1972. By comparison, in 1972 minicomputers were 27 percent of total Federal computers and are 55 percent in 1977. The special computers have remained relatively constant by number over these years. They represented 17 percent of the Federal computers in 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975 and in 1977 represent 15 percent.

Figure 27 shows the average growth rate for Federal computers by number for calendar year totals. These growth rate figures are not affected by a transitional quarter and therefore should be more accurate growth rate figures. According to these year-end figures the Federal Government is currently adding an average of 868 computers to the inventory each year, for an average growth rate of over 10 percent. 1977 showed a very large increase, adding almost 1500 computers for a growth rate of over 15 percent. If the average growth rate of 10.43 percent is projected forward in time there would be an estimated 15,000 computers in the

	1977		9	720	711	790	364	077	277 3308	9609	1728	11,132
	1976		13	755	720	804	337	403	253 3285	4791	1587	6996
Year	1975		21	988	811	811	348	398	223 3498	3848	1482	8828
Calendar Year	1974		28	889	872	606	342	393	$\frac{221}{3654}$	3050	1364	8908
	1973		42	1027	957	927	363	353	176 3845	2256	1227	7328
	1972		52	1135	973	888	328	308	141 3825	1804	1159	6788
	Size and Category	General Purpose	Size Class 1	2	3	7	5	9	7 Total General Purpose	Minicomputers	Special	Total

Figure 24



46




FIGURE 26

## FEDERAL GROWTH RATE BY NUMBER

Year End	Number of CPUs	Number Added	Growth
1972	6,788		
1973	7,328	540	7.96
1974	8,068	740	10.10
	•	760	9.42
1975	8,828	835	9.46
1976	9,663	1,469	15.20
1977	11,132	1,105	13.20
	Average	868.8	10.43

Figure 27


Federal Government by 1980. This projected figure, however, may be a conservative one.

## B. United States Computers

The U.S. computer data by calendar year presented in Figures 28, 29, 30 and 31 represent the majority of the U.S. data used throughout this report. The figures are based on data presented in IDC's "EDP Industry Report," Annual Review and Forecast issue, April 22, 1977, "EDP Industry Report," Annual Review and Forecast issue, May 19, 1978, and data provided by IDC in answer to special requests.

Figures 28 and 29 show the totals of the IDC (restructured to eliminate the category of small business computers) for year-end 1972-1977. These figures show that the number of computers in the U.S. has tripled from 1972 to 1977 with minicomputers showing the largest growth number. The number of minicomputers in 1977 is more than five times the number of minicomputers that were installed in the U.S. in 1972. The number of general purpose computers has not varied significantly over the last six years. However, general purpose computers represented 48 percent of the U.S. installed base in 1972 and in 1977 represented only 18 percent by number. Minicomputers represented 40 percent of the U.S. installed base in 1972 and now represent 66 percent. The portion of the U.S. computers in the special computer category which include, for example, some small business machines, communication processors, data entry and process control computers, had minimal change over the six year period. The special category represented 12 percent of the U.S. computers in 1972 and 16 percent in 1977. The number of special computers has, however, quadrupled from 1972 to 1977.

Figure 29 also shows the number of computers in each of the size classes in the general purpose category. An analysis of each one of the size classes is presented in later sections. Figure 30 is a line graph of the totals from figure 29 to highlight which segments of the U.S. installed base are increasing by number at the largest rate. It is evident from this line graph that the increase in minicomputers has greatly influenced the total increase in numbers of U.S. computers. The curve for minicomputers is similar to the curve for total computer systems. This line graph also shows that the general purpose category as a




# COMPUTER IN THE UNITED STATES BY CATEGORY AND SIZE

Calendar Year

1977	20,832	16,579	11,461	4,674	3,354	1,178	58,078
1976	24,726	14,905	11,639	4,037	3,191	1,007	59,505
1975	28,704	13,214	12,334	4,022	2,957	866	62,097
1974	28,636	12,720	12,474	4,179	2,704	737	61,450
1973	27,110	13,234	11,077	3,790	2,623	466	58,300
1972	23,594	11,345	9,488	3,213	2,209	351	50,200
Size and Category General Purpose	Size Class 2	3	4	ß	9	7	Total General Purpose

215,800	51,122	325,000
162,700	33,335	255,540
122,800	22,203	207,100
89,700	15,950	167,100
65,400	9,550	133,250
41,370	12,430	104,000
Minicomputers	Special	Total

Figure 29



# GROWTH RATE OF UNITED STATES COMPUTERS BY NUMBER

Year End	Number of CPUs	Number Added	Growth (%)
1972	104,000	29,250	28.13
1973	133,250	33,850	25.40
1974	167,100	40,000	23.94
1975	207,100	48,440	23.39
1976	255,540	69,460	27.18
1977	325,000		
	Average	44,200	25.61

Figure 31

whole does not show much change over the last few years while special computers have increased slightly.

Figure 31 shows the U.S. growth rate by year for the total number of computers in the U.S. For the last six years there has been an average of 44,200 computers added to the installed base each year for a growth rate of over 25 percent. If this growth rate was projected forward in time to 1980 there would be an estimated 634,765 computers in the U.S. In the "EDP Industry Report," Annual Review and Forecast issue, May 19, 1978, IDC projects that by 1980 there will be 677,400 computers. Thus this average growth rate of 25 percent seems a realistic, perhaps conservative, growth rate for the next few years.


# C. Federal Government Computers Compared With United States Computers

When the total number of Federal computers is compared with the total number of U.S. computers, the Federal portion of the U.S. installed base appears quite small. Figure 32 displays these totals. By number, the Federal portion of the U.S. installed base was over 6 percent in 1972 and less than 4 percent in 1976 and 1977. That is, the number of U.S. computers is increasing at a greater rate than the number of Federal computers.


## 1. General Purpose Computers

The definitions for general purpose computers and the size classes in this category were given in Section III. In summary, size class 2 contains the smallest and generally least expensive of the general purpose computers and size class 7, the largest and generally most expensive of the general purpose computers.

Figure 33 shows the numbers of general purpose computers in the various size classes, for both the U.S. and Federal Government. It is interesting to note that the computer size classes seem to fall into two groups, 2, 3, and 4 in one group and 5, 6, and 7 in the other for both the U.S. and the Federal Government computers. The larger Federal computers, i.e. size classes 5, 6, and 7, represent a stable influence on the Federal inventory. These large computers represented 21 percent of the general purpose computers in 1972 and 32 percent in 1977. Since these



#### U. S. GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTERS BY SIZE



#### FEDERAL GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTERS BY SIZE




Figure 33

computers are the most expensive, they exert a large the dollar value of Federal computers. influence on same observations may be made for the U.S. size classes and 7. This group of computers represented 11 percent of the U.S. general purpose segment in 1972 and 16 percent in 1977. Size classes 2, 3, and 4 for both the U.S. and the Federal Government fluctuate more than the other three size classes. As a group these are less expensive machines and are usually the entry level machines for an installation. However, by number these machines represent the largest part of the general purpose computers. Size classes 2, 3, and 4 general purpose represented 84 percent of the U.S. computers in 1977 and 68 percent of the Federal purpose computers.

The growth rates of total general purpose computers and growth rates of each of the size classes calculated. This data is presented in figure 34. The average compound growth rate of all Federal general purpose computers is a negative 3 percent while the average growth rate for the U.S. Computers is a positive 3 percent. Thus the general purpose segment as a whole is not significantly changing. However, when each size class is analyzed seperately, it becomes apparent that there are different growth patterns for the various size classes in the general purpose segment. The largest growth rate of any of the size classes for both the Federal and U.S. computer systems is for size class 7, the largest and most expensive computer both the Federal and U.S. computers, the systems. For larger size classes, 5, 6, and 7 show a much larger growth rate than size classes 2, 3, and 4. The growth rate of each size class is discussed in the following sections. Please note that the figures in the following sections, figures 35-41 and figure 43, utilize a logarithmic scale for the number of computers.

## a. Size Class 1 Computers

Since size class 1 is used only for Federal computers, there is no graph comparing Federal vs. U.S. size class 1 computers. In Figure 24 the raw data for size class 1 computers is presented. In 1972 there were 52 old computers representing approximately 1 percent of the Federal computers by number and in 1977 there were 6 computers remaining, representing .005 percent of the Federal computers. These historical machines are a miniscule part of the Federal computers and should probably disappear from

## GROWTH RATE OF GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTERS

# All General Purpose Computers

	Fe	ederal			U.S.	
Year End	No. of CPU's	No. <u>Added</u>	Growth(%)	No. of CPU's	No. <u>Added</u>	Growth(%)
1972	3,825	20	-1%	50,200	8,100	16%
1973	3,845	-191	-5%	58,300	3,150	5%
1974	3,654	-156	- 4%	61,450	647	1%
1975	3,498	-213	-6%	62,097	-2,592	-4%
1976	3,285	23	1%	59,505	-1,427	-2%
1977	3,308			58,078		
Av	erage	-103.4	- 3%	Avera	ge 1575.6	3%

SIZE CLASS 1

Year End	No. of CPU's	No. <u>Added</u>	Growth(%)
1972	52	-10	-19
1973	42	-14	-33
1974	28	-7	-25
1975	21	-8	-38
1976	13	-7	-54
1977	6		
	Average	e -9.2	-34

Figure 34a

# SIZE CLASS 2

	Fe	deral			U.S.	
Year End	No. of CPU's	No. Added	Growth(%)	No. of CPU's	No. Added	Growth(%)
1972	1,135	-108	-10	23,594	3,516	15
1973	1,027	-138	-13	27,110	1,526	6
1974	889	3	3	28,636	68	.2
1975	886	-131	-15	28,704	-3,978	-14
1976	755	-35	-5	24,726	-3,894	-16
1977	720			20,832		
	Average	-83	-9	Average	-552.4	-2
			SIZE CLAS	S\$ 3		
1972	973	-16	-2	11,345	1,889	17
1973	957	-85	<b>-</b> 9	13,234	-514	-4
1974	872	-61	<b>-</b> 7	12,720	494	4
1975	811	- 91	-11	13,214	1,691	13
1976	720	-9	-1	14,905	1,674	11
1977	711			16,579		
	Average	-52	-6	Average	1,046.88	3 8
			SIZE CLAS	SS 4		
1972	888	39	4	9,488	1,589	17
1973	927	-18	-2	11,077	1,397	13
1974	909	-98	-11	12,474	-140	-1
1975	811	-7	-1	12,334	-695	-6
1976	804	-14	-2	11,639	<b>-1</b> 78	-2
1977	790			11,461		
	Average	-19.6	-2 Figure	Average	394.6	4

# SIZE CLASS 5

	Fe	deral			U.S.	
Year End	No. of CPU's	No. Added	Growth(%)	No. of CPU's	No. Added	Growth(%)
1972	328	35	11	3,213	577	18
1973	363	-21	-6	3,790	389	10
1974	342	6	2	4,179	-157	-4
1975	348	-11	-3	4,022	15	.4
1976	337	27	8	4,037	637	16
1977	364			4,674		
	Average	7.2	2	Average	584.4	8
			SIZE CLASS	6		
1972	308	45	15	2,209	414	19
1973	353	40	11	2,623	81	3
1974	393	5	1	2,704	253	9
1975	398	5	1	2,957	234	8
1976	403	37	9	3,191	163	5
1977	440			3,354		
	Average	26.4	7	Average	229	9
			SIZE CLASS	7		
1972	141	35	25	351	115	33
1973	176	45	26	466	271	58
1974	221	2	1	737	129	18
1975	223	30	14	866	141	16
1976	253	24	10	1,007	171	17
1977	277	-		1,178		
	Average	27.2	15 Figure 34	Average łc	165.2	28

the inventory lists in the next couple of years.

#### b. Size Class 2 Computers

Figure 35 shows the number of size class 2 computers in the Federal Government and the U.S. The Federal size class 2 computers have decreased from 1135 in 1972 to 720 in 1977 (see figure 24). The U.S. size class 2 computers increased from 23,594 computers in 1972 to 28,704 in 1975 and then decreased to 20,832 in 1977 (see figure 29).

Experts at IDC have a number of theories for the fluctuations in the number of size 2 computers. They believe that U.S. size 2 computers have a large leased base and are therefore more easily upgraded to other systems, size class 3 or higher. Since these size 2 computers are often considered entry level machines, IDC theorizes that once the small computer systems are implemented, businesses realize that they can use computers for additional tasks and obtain larger machines. Since computers have made inroads into almost all segments of industry, most businesses are now aware of the uses of computers and are obtaining larger systems to begin with.

Since the Federal Government leases 9 percent of Federal computers and leases only 7 percent of the computers costing \$50,000 or less, the owned vs. leased theory for the fluctuation in size class 2 computers does not seem relevant. The rest of the theories may, however, be appropriate. Federal size class 2 computers represented 17 percent of all Federal computers in 1972 and represented only about 6 percent in 1977. They represented 30 percent of the general purpose computers in 1972 and 22 percent in 1977. Either the computer users are upgrading these systems, using minicomputers for the same application, the number of applications appropriate for this size computer are diminishing. With the data now available there no way to accurately describe the reasons for these fluctuations. It is evident, however, that size class 2 computers are decreasing in the Federal Government with a negative growth rate of almost 9 percent. Since U.S. class 2 computers represented 23 percent of the U.S. installed base in 1972 and represented only 6 percent in 1977, their role in the total U.S. computers seems to be decreasing also. These computers represented 47 percent the general purpose computers in 1972 and 36 percent in 1977. It is interesting to note that both the Federal and

6 2

the U.S. size class 2 computers represent 6 percent (in 1977) of their respective total number of computers.


The portion of Federal size class 2 computers in the U.S. size class 2 category has not changed significantly over the last six years. In 1972 the Federal size class 2 computers represented almost 5 percent of the number of U.S. size class 2 computers while in 1977 these computers were approximately 3 percent of the U.S. computers. One reason for this decrease is that the U.S. size class 2 computers are only at an average rate of 2 percent while the Federal computers have a negative growth rate of 9 percent. Thus the portion of Federal size class 2 computers in the U.S. size class 2 category has diminished.

In summary the Federal computers in size class 2 and the U.S. computers in size class 2 are decreasing by number. They still represent a fairly large segment of the general purpose computers, 36 percent for the U.S. in 1977 and 22 percent of the Federal computers in 1977, but since they are the least expensive of all of the general purpose equipment, their influence on the dollar value of the general purpose segment is not that extensive.

#### c. Size Class 3 Computers

Figure 36 shows the number of Federal and U.S. size class 3 computers. The number of Federal computers in this size class has decreased from 972 in 1972 to 711 in 1977 for an average negative growth rate of 6 percent. The portion of total Federal computers represented by these size class 3 computers has decreased from 14 percent in 1972 to 6 percent in 1977. For this same time period the U.S. size class 3 computers have increased from 11,345 to 16,579 for an average growth rate of 8 percent. However, the portion of the total U.S. computers represented by this size class has dropped from 11 percent in 1972 to 5 percent in 1977. Thus the number of U.S. size class 3 computers is growing but growing at a slower rate than the rest of the U.S. computer population.

When we analyze these computers compared with the rest of the general purpose computers, we get a much different view of the trends of this size class. In the Federal Government size class 3 computers represented 25 percent of the general purpose computer segment in 1972 and almost 22 percent in 1977. In the U.S. size class 3 computers



increased from 23 percent of the general purpose computers in 1972 and 29 percent in 1977. Thus the U.S. size class 3 computers are increasing both in numbers and in the percent of the general purpose computers. Of all the computer size classes in the general purpose segment of the U.S. installed base, this size class shows the largest percent increase. In the Federal Government, size class 3 shows the second largest decrease with size class 2 showing the largest.

The Federal portion of the U.S. size class 3 computers has also decreased over these years. In 1972 the Federal size class 3 computers represented almost 9 percent of the U.S. size class 3 computers and only 4 percent in 1977. Thus the Federal size class 3 computers seem to be showing the growth trends opposite those of the U.S. At this time we have no sound explanations for this occurrence.

### d. Size Class 4 Computers

Figure 37 shows the number of size class 4 computers in the U.S. and Federal Government. In the U.S. the number of size class 4 computers has increased from 9,488 in 1972 to 11,461 in 1977, for an average compound growth rate of 4 percent. At the same time the Federal size class 4 computers have decreased from 888 to 790, for an average negative growth rate of 2 percent. Both the number of U.S. and Federal computers increased during these years and then decreased to their 1977 level. Both curves seem to be leveling off and appear to show a very gradual decline.

In 1972 the Federal size class 4 computers represented percent of the total Federal computers and 23 percent of the general purpose computers. In 1977 these computers represented 4 percent of the total computers and 24 percent of the general purpose computers. Thus the portion size class 4 computers in the general purpose segment has not really changed significantly in this year time frame. For the U.S. installed base, size class 4 computers represented 9 percent of the total computers and 19 percent of the general purpose segment. In 1977 these computers represented 4 percent of the total and 20 percent of the general purpose segment. Thus in the U.S. also the portion of size class 4 computers in the general purpose segment for this time frame has remained relatively unchanged. The decrease in percent of the total Federal and U.S. computers is mainly reflective of the decrease in the

SIZE CLASS 4 COMPUTERS




FIGURE 37


general purpose segment of the totals.

The Federal portion of the U.S. installed base of size class 4 computers has not changed drastically over these years. In 1972 the Federal size class 4 computers represented 9 percent of the U.S. size class 4 computers and 7 percent in 1977. Thus this size class seems to show no major change in the Federal vs. U.S. computer trends. In recent years the number of both U.S. and Federal size class 4 computers has decreased slightly.

#### e. Size Class 5 Computers

Figure 38 shows the number of Federal and U.S. size class 5 computers. As was shown in figure 33, size class 5 computers seem to fall into a pattern with the larger size class 6 and 7 computers for both the Federal and U.S. computers. In 1972 the Federal Government had 328 size class 5 computers and had 364 in 1977 for an average compound growth rate of 2 percent. This number represented percent of the total computers and 9 percent of the general purpose segment in 1972 and 3 percent of the total and 11 percent of the general purpose segment in 1977. The U.S. had 3,213 size class computers in 1972 and 4,674 in 1977 for an average growth rate of 8 percent. These represented 3 percent of the total U.S. computers and 6 percent of the general purpose segment in 1972 and approximately 1 percent of the total and 8 percent of the general purpose segment in 1977. Both the Federal and the. U.S. size class 5 computers decreased by 2 percent of the total computers between 1972 and 1977 and increased by 2 percent for the general purpose segment during the same time period. Thus, even though the graph of the number of Federal size class 5 computers appears to be linear, the Federal and the U.S. size class 5 computers have increased by the same amount, i.e., 2 percent of each general purpose segment.

The Federal portion of the U.S. size class 5 installed base has decreased from 10 percent in 1972 to 8 percent in 1977. This means that on the whole the U.S. size class 5 computers is growing a little faster than the Federal size class 5 computers but not really significantly. Because both the Federal and the U.S. size class 5 computers are showing only a slight increase in number of computers this size class is considered relatively stable. With the data available we are unable to predict any significant changes



in this size class.

### f. Size Class 6 Computers

Figure 39 shows the size class 6 Federal and U.S. computers. Even though these large-scale computers are small in numbers they represent a large dollar value, since the average monthly rental of this size class is between \$45,000-\$95,000 according to IDC. Both the Federal and U.S. numbers of computers in this size class are increasing. The Federal computers have grown from 308 in 1972 to 440 in 1977, for an average compound growth rate of 7 percent. This represents an increase of 5 percent of the purpose segment. The Federal size class 6 computers represented 8 percent of the general purpose segment in 1972 and 13 percent in 1977. At the same time this number of computers represented 4 percent of the total computers in both 1972 and 1977. Since all of the smaller size classes had decreased in their percent of the total computer population, the fact that this size class remained the same is significant. The smaller size classes seemed to reflect the overall decrease in the percent of general purpose computers compared with the total.

The U.S. size class 6 computers have increased from 2,209 computers in 1972 to 3,354 in 1977 for an average growth rate of 9 percent. This represents a 2 percent increase of the general purpose segment. In 1972 the U.S. size class 6 computers were 4 percent of the total general purpose segment and were 6 percent in 1977. At the same time they were 2 percent of the total U.S. computers in 1972 and 1 percent in 1977. Thus these computers do not show the same signs of growth in the general purpose segment as Federal computers show.

There is one other interesting statistic on the size class 6 computers. In 1972 the Federal size class 6 computers represented 14 percent of the U.S. size class 6 installed computers and in 1977 represented 13 percent of the U.S. Of all of the size classes this size class showed the smallest decrease in the Federal portion of the U.S. installed base. Thus this is the only Federal size class whose overall growth is almost keeping pace with the U.S. growth.

### g. Size Class 7 Computers

Figure 40 shows the size class 7 computers for the Federal Government and the U.S. This size class includes the largest of the general purpose computers, machines which cost as much as \$5M dollars. This is also the size class with the smallest number of computers. In 1972 the Federal Government had 141 size class 7 computers and had 277 in 1977, for an average compound growth rate of 15 percent. These numbers represent 4 percent of the Federal general purpose computers in 1972 and 8 percent in 1977. Compared with the total number of Federal computers, this size class represented 2 percent in 1972 and a little over 2 percent in 1977.

The U.S. had 351 size class 7 computers in 1972 and 1,178 in 1977 for an average compound growth rate of 28 percent. These numbers represent 1 percent of the general purpose computers in 1972 and 2 percent in 1977. When compared with the total U.S. computers, these size class 7 computers represent less than 1 percent of the total in both 1972 and 1977. The 2 percent of the U.S. general purpose segment that is the number of size class 7 computers represented over 19 percent of this segment by dollar value, according to IDC. This equalled a dollar value of over \$8B with an average system value of almost \$7M. If this average system value was applied to the Federal size class 7 computers, the approximate dollar value would be almost \$2B. Thus the small number of these computers represents a large dollar value.

For these size class 7 computers the Federal Government represents a large portion of the U.S. installed base. In 1972 the Federal size class 7 computers represented over percent of the U.S. size class 7 computers, and in 1977 represented almost 24 percent. The Federal Government represents the largest portion of the U.S. installed base for this size class when compared with the Federal of all of the other size classes. It is significant that it is the largest size class of computers that has the largest Federal portion of the U.S. installed base. It is also important to note that, as was shown in figure 34, these size class 7 computers are showing the largest growth rates of any of the size classes for both the Federal and U.S. computers. Thus, this size class should continue to grow in importance in the future.

72

#### 2. Special Computers

Figure 41 shows the special computers that are in the Federal Government and the U.S. Remember that these computers in the Federal Government include military systems or computers for special Government use, plus all of the which are neither general purpose minicomputers. In the U.S. these computers are also the computers that are not either minicomputer or general purpose, including small business machines, communication processors, data entry and process control computers. In 1972 the Federal Government represented 9 percent or 1,159 computers of the U.S. 12,430 special computers. Federal Government represented 3 percent, 1,728 computers, of the U.S. 51,122 special computers. Thus even though the Federal special computers increased they did not even double while the number of U.S. special computers more than quadrupled.

mentioned in Section VI. A., the Federal special computers have remained relatively constant over these years when compared with the total Federal computers. The special computers represented 17 percent of the Federal computers in 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975, and in 1977 represented percent. At the same time the special U.S. computers represented 12 percent of the U.S. computers in 1972 and 16 percent in 1977. Thus the U.S. special computers when compared with the total U.S. computers are increasing. while the Federal special computers when compared with the total Federal computers are decreasing. This difference can be further explained by analyzing the growth rate for both Federal and U.S. special computers. Figure 42 shows the average compound growth rates for these computers. It is obvious from this figure that the U.S. average growth rate of 37 percent is far greater than the Federal growth rate of 8 percent. This explains why the portion of Federal special computers in the U.S. installed base is diminishing. One of the reasons for this may be the increase of small business machines in the U.S. special category. When the Federal computers were searched model by model, there were very few small business machines listed. Thus, the category of special computers in the Federal Government will probably remain stable while the U.S. special computers will contine to increase.

FIGURE 41

SPECIAL COMPUTERS

74

GROWTH RATE OF SPECIAL COMPUTERS

	Growth Rate (%)	%9	11%	%6	7%	%6	8.4%
Federal	# CPUs added per year	89	137	118	105	141	113.8
	Total # of CPUs	1,159	1,227	1,364	1,482	1,587	1,728
	Growth Rate (%)	-23%	81%	39%	20%	53%	37.2%
U.S.	# CPUs added per year	2,880	6,400	6,253	11,132	17,785	88,904
	Total # of CPUs	12,430	9,550	15,950	22,203	33,335	51,122
	Fiscal Year	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977


Figure 42

#### 3. Minicomputers

As has been shown in Figures 24,25, and 26 for the Federal Government and Figures 28, 29, and 30 for the U.S., minicomputers now represent the largest segment of both of the installed bases. In 1977 minicomputers represented 55 percent of the Federal computers and 66 percent of the U.S. It was also obvious in Figures 26 and 30 that the growth curve for minicomputers in both the Federal Government was greater than that for either the general purpose computer segment or the special computers. Figure 43 shows the curve of the U.S. and Federal minicomputers. The number of both Federal and U.S. minicomputers are increasing but this figure shows that the U.S. numbers appear to be increasing much more dramatically. In 1972 the Federal portion of U.S. minicomputers was a little over 4 percent. In 1977 the Federal portion equalled less than 3 of the U.S. installed minicomputers. however, is not that great a change. Figure 44 shows that the average compound growth rate for minicomputers in the is 39 percent and 27 percent for the Federal Government. The 27 percent is the largest growth rate of any segment of the Federal computers. The growth rate of 39 percent is the largest growth rate of any of the segments of the U.S. computer systems. Thus when both the U.S. and Federal minicomputers are analyzed by growth rate based on number of computers, minicomputers by far show the largest growth. The U.S. minicomputers are growing at a faster rate than the Federal computers, but in recent years difference in the growth rates has grown smaller. The Federal minicomputers will probably follow the average growth rate of 27 percent and approach 10,000 computers by the year-end 1979. The U.S. minicomputers will probably not follow the average growth rate of 39 percent but will approach a growth of closer to 34 percent or approximately 387,000 computers by year end 1979. This means that the portion of Federal minicomputers in the U.S. installed base will still be between 2.5 percent and 3 percent.

# D. Analysis of the Dollar Value of U.S. General Purpose Computers by SIC Code

There is no direct method to compare the uses of computers in the Federal Government to the uses of the U.S. computer population. However, it is interesting to see what trends or growth areas are appearing in the uses of the U.S.



77

GROWTH RATE OF MINICOMPUTERS

	Growth Rate (%)	75%	1 c	%66	%97 %97	27%	27%
Federal	# CPUs added per year	757	1 70	† 00 r	867	1,305	
	Total # of CPUs	1,804	2,256	3,050	3,848	4,791	960*9
	Growth Rate (%)	78%	% C C	97.6	37%	33%	39%
U.S.	# CPUs added per year	080 76	0000	74,000	39,900	53,100	
	Total # of CPUs	41,370	65,400	89,700	122,800	162,700	215,800
	Fiscal Year	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977

Figure 44


computers. Since there is a SIC code (Standard Industrial Classification) for Federal Government and State and Local Governments, data is available to relate these two SIC codes to the rest of the codes. International Data Corporation (IDC) provided data for the U.S. installed dollar value of general purpose computers by SIC code for 1973-1977. This data came from a number of IDC sources including several special reports that are provided through their Corporate Planning Service.

The compilation of this five years of data is presented in figure 45. The dollar value of computers in the SIC code for the Federal Government is shown to have decreased from 10.8 percent of the total in 1973 to 6.7 percent in 1977. These numbers should not be compared with the figures in earlier sections since the IDC analysis of Federal data is different from ours. IDC in the past has looked at the GSA general management category of computers and considered about 70 percent of these to be general purpose. Thus the IDC figures for the Federal Government are generally lower than those in the earlier sections of this report.

The data for 1973 and 1977 from figure 45 is presented graphic form in figure 46. The 1973 figures presented as dotted lines and the 1977 data as solid lines. bars appear only as solid lines because the 1973 and 1977 figure are the same, that is, that no change occurred. According to figure 45 and also the graphic representation of the data in figure 46 the SIC codes showing the largest increase from 1973 to 1977 are business and miscellaneous services with an increase of 2.8 percent, State and Local Government with an increase of .9 percent, insurance with an increase of .9 percent, and wholesale trade with an increase of .8 percent. The business and miscellaneous services SIC code includes the following categories: advertising, consumer credit reporting agencies, mailing, reproduction, commercial art and photography services, accounting, educational, scientific and research organizations. engineering services, and computer and data processing services. Although data is not available, the large increase in the dollar value of this SIC code may be due to the increase in computers in computer and data processing services, accounting services, and the consumer credit reporting services. All of these services are now utilizing computers to a large extent.

U.S. INSTALLED BASE DOLLAR VALUE BY INDUSTRY - General Purpose Computers

13 CA				% of lotal		
		1973	1974	1975	1976	1977
	Crude Petroleum Mfg.	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2
01-12,14-17 Mi	Mining & Construction	1.0	1.0	1.0	6.	ο.
20 Fc	Food Products Mfg.	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6
27 Pr	Printing & Publishing	1.6	3.0	1.7	1.8	1.6
28 CF	Chemical Mfg.	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2
21-6,29 0t	Other Nondurable Mfg.	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.3	2.9
33 Pr	Primary Metals Mfg.	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3
35 NC	Non-Electrical Machinery Mfg.	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.6
36 E1	Electrical Machinery Mfg.	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.3
37 Tr	Transportation Equipment Mfg.	4.0	3.7	e. e.	3.0	3,3
1930-2,34,38,39 0t	Other Durable Mfg.	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.5
40-47 Tr	Transportation Carriers	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
48 Cc	Communications	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.2
49 E1	Electric & Gas	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.2
50,51 W	Wholesale	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7
52-59 Re	Retail	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1
60 Be	Banking	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.8
63 Ir	Insurance	6.1	5.9	6.4	6.7	7.0
,64-67	Other Financial	4.2	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7
73,89 Bı	Business & Misc. Services	13.6	14.7	15.6	16.1	16.4
80 Me	Med. & Health Services	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8
82 Ec	Education	6.1	5.9	6.4	6.1	5.9
70,72,75-9,81,83-6 0t	Other Services	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.3
91 Fe	Federal Government	10.8	6.6	8.7	7.2	6.7
92,93 St	State & Local Government	5.6	5.8	5.9	6.5	6.5



THROMEH OF HOHEN

The largest decreases shown in figures 45 and 46 are the SIC codes for the Federal Government, with a decrease of 4.1 percent, electrical machines manufacturing with a decrease of .8 percent, and transportation equipment manufactures with a decrease of .7 percent. These decreases do not immply that the total dollar value of the Federal Government or the other codes has declined but simply that the rest of the general purpose computer dollar values have increased more than these codes. Thus this data simply shows that the dollar value of Federal general purpose computers is not increasing as much as the dollar values in other industrial sectors.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. General Services Administration, Inventory of Automatic Data Processing Equipment in the United States Government, Washington, D.C., Automated Data and Telecommunications Service, (published annually) for Fiscal Year 1968-1977. This publication "contains inventory data on digital computers installed throughout the United States Government. Excluded from this inventory are analog computers and digital computers which are built or modified to special Government design and integral to a weapons or space system." (From foreword, October 1975).
- 2. General Services Administration, Summary of Federal ADP Activities in the United States Government, Washington, D.C., Automated Data and Telecommunications Services, (published annually) for fiscal years 1974 1977. This publication "contains cost, manpower and utilization data associated with computers in the General Management Classification." (From foreword, October 1975).
- 3. Gray, Martha M., Computers in the Federal Government:

  A Compilation of Statistics, Washington, D.C.,
  Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology,
  Information Technology Division, June 1977 (NBS-SP-500-7).
- 4. International Data Corporation EDP Industry Report, 13:20 and 21 (May 19, 1978), 12:19 and 20 (April 22, 1977), 11:18 and 19, (April 30, 1976) and 9:13 (April 19, 1974), (Annual Review and Forecast issues).

NBS-114A (REV. 9-78)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.  BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET  1. PUBLICATION OR REPO		L Accession No.	3. Recipient's	Accession No.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. Publication	Date
COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY:			Apri	i1 1979
Computers in the Federal Govern A Compilation of Statistics19	ment: 78		6. Performing	Organization Code
7. AUTHOR(\$)			8. Performing	Organ, Report No.
Martha Mulford Gray			or r crioning	organic responsition
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS			10 Declare Pro	sk/Work Unit No.
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS			to Liniacr, 19	SK/WOIN OHIT NO.
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE WASHINGTON, DC 20234			11. Contract/G	irant No.
2. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE A	DDRESS (Street, City, S	tate, ZIP)	13. Type of Re	port & Period Covered
			14. Sponsoring	Agency Code
5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				dialiki je za kaliku i dikumene kaliki
Library pf Congress Catalog Card Nu	mber: 79-6000	23		
Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS				
combination of existing statistics numbers of computers installed in tinstalled, numbers of computers installed, numbers by acquisition da analysis is presented for Federal omajor categories, general purpose of Federal computers are compared with	the Federal Goveral Stalled by agent ite, and Federa computers class computers, spec	ernment, do cy, Federal 1 ADP work- ifying the ial compute	11ar value ADP costs years. A computers rs and min	e of computers s by agency, detailed into three nicomputers.
17. KEY WORDS (six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; cap separated by semicolons)  Federal Government computers; stati ADP statistics; Federal acquisition  18. AVAILABILITY	stics; ADP cos	ts; Federal	minicompo years.	
Est Official Distribution De Not Belleve & MTO		(11110112	2.,,,	95
For Official Distribution. Do Not Release to NTIS		UNCLASS	IFIED	93
XX Order From Sup. of Doc., U.S. Government Printing Off 20402, SD Stock No. SN003-003-02037-1	fice, Washington, DC	20. SECURIT (THIS PA		22. Price
Order From National Technical Information Service (NT VA. 22161	ΓΙS), Springfield,	UNCLASS	IFIED	\$2.75
	•		1.	USCOMM-DC

# ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEW PUBLICATIONS ON COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402

Dear Sir:

Please add my name to the announcement list of new publications to be issued in the series: National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 500-.

Name		
Company		
Address		
City	Zip Code	

(Notification key N-503)



. . . the monthly There's magazine of the National Bureau of Standards. Still featured are special articles of general interest on current topics such as consumer product safety and building look technology. In addition, new sections are designed to . . . PROVIDE SCIENTISTS with illustrated discussions of recent technical developments and work in progress . . . INFORM INDUSTRIAL MANAGERS of technology transfer activities in Federal and private labs. . . DESCRIBE TO MAN-UFACTURERS advances in the field of voluntary and mandatory standards. The new DIMENSIONS/NBS also carries complete listings of upcoming conferences to be held at NBS and reports on all the latest NBS publications, with information on how to order. Finally, each issue carries a page of News Briefs, aimed at keeping scientist and consumer alike up to date on major developments at the Nation's physical sciences and measurement laboratory.

(please detach here)

#### SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

Enter my Subscription To DIMENSIONS/NBS at \$12.50. Add \$3.15 for foreign mailing. No additional postage is required for mailing within the United States or its possessions. Domestic remittances should be made either by postal money order, express money order, or check. Foreign remittances should be made either by international money order, draft on an American bank, or by UNESCO coupons.

Send Subscription to:

NAME-FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY


STATE

ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT

- Remittance Enclosed
  (Make checks payable
  to Superintendent of
  Documents)
- Charge to my Deposit Account No.

MAIL ORDER FORM TO: Superintendent of Documents Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402



#### **NBS TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS**

#### **PERIODICALS**

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH—The Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards reports NBS research and development in those disciplines of the physical and engineering sciences in which the Bureau is active. These include physics, chemistry, engineering, mathematics, and computer sciences. Papers cover a broad range of subjects, with major emphasis on measurement methodology, and the basic technology underlying standardization. Also included from time to time are survey articles on topics closely related to the Bureau's technical and scientific programs. As a special service to subscribers each issue contains complete citations to all recent NBS publications in NBS and non-NBS media. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: domestic \$17.00; foreign \$21.25. Single copy, \$3.00 domestic; \$3.75 foreign.

Note: The Journal was formerly published in two sections: Section A "Physics and Chemistry" and Section B "Mathematical Sciences."

#### **DIMENSIONS/NBS**

This monthly magazine is published to inform scientists, engineers, businessmen, industry, teachers, students, and consumers of the latest advances in science and technology, with primary emphasis on the work at NBS. The magazine highlights and reviews such issues as energy research, fire protection, building technology, metric conversion, pollution abatement, health and safety, and consumer product performance. In addition, it reports the results of Bureau programs in measurement standards and techniques, properties of matter and materials, engineering standards and services, instrumentation, and automatic data processing.

Annual subscription: Domestic, \$11.00; Foreign \$13.75

#### NONPERIODICALS

Monographs—Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau's scientific and technical activities.

**Handbooks**—Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

**Special Publications**—Include proceedings of conferences sponsored by NBS, NBS annual reports, and other special publications appropriate to this grouping such as wall charts, pocket cards, and bibliographies.

Applied Mathematics Series—Mathematical tables, manuals, and studies of special interest to physicists, engineers, chemists, biologists, mathematicians, computer programmers, and others engaged in scientific and technical work.

National Standard Reference Data Series—Provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world's literature and critically evaluated. Developed under a world-wide program coordinated by NBS. Program under authority of National Standard Data Act (Public Law 90-396).

NOTE: At present the principal publication outlet for these data is the Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data (JPCRD) published quarterly for NBS by the American Chemical Society (ACS) and the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Subscriptions, reprints, and supplements available from ACS, 1155 Sixteenth St. N.W., Wash., D.C. 20056.

Building Science Series—Disseminates technical information developed at the Bureau on building materials, components, systems, and whole structures. The series presents research results, test methods, and performance criteria related to the structural and environmental functions and the durability and safety characteristics of building elements and systems.

Technical Notes—Studies or reports which are complete in themselves but restrictive in their treatment of a subject. Analogous to monographs but not so comprehensive in scope or definitive in treatment of the subject area. Often serve as a vehicle for final reports of work performed at NBS under the sponsorship of other government agencies.

Voluntary Product Standards—Developed under procedures published by the Department of Commerce in Part 10, Title 15, of the Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of the standards is to establish nationally recognized requirements for products, and to provide all concerned interests with a basis for common understanding of the characteristics of the products. NBS administers this program as a supplement to the activities of the private sector standardizing organizations.

Consumer Information Series—Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today's technological marketplace.

Order above NBS publications from: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Order following NBS publications—NBSIR's and FIPS from the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, Va. 22161.

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications (FIPS PUB)—Publications in this series collectively constitute the Federal Information Processing Standards Register. Register serves as the official source of information in the Federal Government regarding standards issued by NBS pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 as amended, Public Law 89-306 (79 Stat. 1127), and as implemented by Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973) and Part 6 of Title 15 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations).

NBS Interagency Reports (NBSIR)—A special series of interim or final reports on work performed by NBS for outside sponsors (both government and non-government). In general, initial distribution is handled by the sponsor; public distribution is by the National Technical Information Services (Springfield, Va. 22161) in paper copy or microfiche form.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES**

The following current-awareness and literature-survey bibliographies are issued periodically by the Bureau:

Cryogenic Data Center Current Awareness Service. A literature survey issued biweekly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$25.00; Foreign, \$30.00.

Liquified Natural Gas. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription; \$20.00.

Superconducting Devices and Materials. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: \$30.00. Send subscription orders and remittances for the preceding bibliographic services to National Bureau of Standards, Cryogenic Data Center (275.02) Boulder, Colorado 80302.

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COM-215



SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE BOOK