This report has been prepared for information and record purposes and is not to be references in any publication.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

1

9612

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR MEASUREMENT OF TOXIC COMPONENTS OF GASEOUS PRODUCTS IN FIRES --A SURVEY

by

T. G. LEE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards' provides measurement and technical information services essential to the efficiency and effectiveness of the work of the Nation's scientists and engineers. The Bureau serves also as a focal point in the Federal Government for assuring maximum application of the physical and engineering sciences to the advancement of technology in industry and commerce. To accomplish this mission, the Bureau is organized into three institutes covering broad program areas of research and services:

THE INSTITUTE FOR BASIC STANDARDS . . . provides the central basis within the United States for a complete and consistent system of physical measurements, coordinates that system with the measurement systems of other nations, and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical measurements throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce. This Institute comprises a series of divisions, each serving a classical subject matter area:

-Applied Mathematics-Electricity-Metrology-Mechanics-Heat-Atomic Physics-Physical Chemistry-Radiation Physics- Laboratory Astrophysics²-Radio Standards Laboratory,² which includes Radio Standards Physics and Radio Standards Engineering-Office of Standard Reference Data.

THE INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH . . . conducts materials research and provides associated materials services including mainly reference materials and data on the properties of materials. Beyond its direct interest to the Nation's scientists and engineers, this Institute yields services which are essential to the advancement of technology in industry and commerce. This Institute is organized primarily by technical fields:

—Analytical Chemistry—Metallurgy—Reactor Radiations—Polymers—Inorganic Materials—Cryogenics²—Office of Standard Reference Materials.

THE INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED TECHNOLOGY... provides technical services to promote the use of available technology and to facilitate technological innovation in industry and government. The principal elements of this Institute are:

-Building Research-Electronic Instrumentation-Technical Analysis-Center for Computer Sciences and Technology-Textile and Apparel Technology Center-Office of Weights and Measures -Office of Engineering Standards Services-Office of Invention and Innovation-Office of Vehicle Systems Research-Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information³-Materials Evaluation Laboratory-NBS/GSA Testing Laboratory.

¹ Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washington, D. C., 20234.

² Located at Boulder, Colorado, 80302.

³ Located at 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT

NBS REPORT

421 6223

OCT 2 5 1957

9612

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR MEASUREMENT OF TOXIC COMPONENTS OF GASEOUS PRODUCTS IN FIRE --

A SURVEY

by T. G. Lee

IMPORTANT NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF for use within the Governmer and review. For this reason, whole or in part, is not au Bureau of Standards, Washin the Report has been specific

Approved for public release by the director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) on October 9, 2015 gress accounting documents intended : is subjected to additional evaluation ure listing of this Report, either in the Office of the Director, National if, by the Government agency for which al copies for its own use.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR MEASUREMENT OF TOXIC COMPONENTS OF GASEOUS PRODUCTS IN FIRES --A SURVEY

by

T. G. Lee

ABSTRACT

A survey was made of available methods of analyzing gases above 10 p.p.m. from fire involving polymeric materials. These included gas chromatography, specific ion electrode, colorimetric indicator tube, infrared absorption as well as some classical wet procedures. Experimental data on the accuracy of some indicator tubes, calibration techniques for gases in low concentrations and retention times of some components by a two-column chromatograph were also obtained. The limitations, estimated errors, interferences, and other pertinent data of the selected methods are summarized in the tables.

1. Introduction

This study was initiated to examine and develop methods of identifying the important chemical constituents of smoke and gases generated under fire conditions in modern dwellings. It considers the critical components of the gaseous products generated, and suggests effective methods and equipment suitable for both laboratory and field analysis. These methods were evaluated in terms of their desirable features, limitations, and reliability. The initial portion of the study on which this report is based, was confined to analysis of gases at concentration levels above 10 p.p.m. from fires with a high loading of polymeric materials.

In the past, considerable emphasis has been placed on achieving structural stability and low flammability as a means for providing safety during building fires. Recently, there has been increasing recognition of the life safety hazards associated with smokes and decomposition products formed during such fires. The justification for such emphasis seems evident when it is considered that, apart from fatalities caused by the direct ignition of clothing, smoke and toxic products were regarded as the major cause of death in fires during 1964, recorded by the fire brigades in the United Kingdom [1]. Before proposing code requirements to limit the hazard of smoke and gas during fires, it is desirable to have a detailed technical understanding of the materials and the effects of physical conditions under which these products are generated. Indispensable tools to permit such studies are reliable methods and techniques for analysis of the combustion products.

The problems of analysis of combustion products from polymeric materials in a fire are much more complex than in the case of cellulosic materials. Unlike fire gas studies of cellulosic materials in buildings [2] [3], studies of combustion products and their hazards from fire involving polymeric materials are limited. The analytical procedures and the toxicological effects of stable CO from cellulosic materials are rather well understood, whereas for example, the sampling problem of the very reactive HCL and physiological response to it have received but little attention in the literature [4]. It is interesting to note that hardwood under theoretical optimum burning conditions where all carbon is consumed and with CO and CO2 in equilibrium at 700 °C. generates only 0,026 mole of CO per gram of wood. This compares with about 0.016 mole of HCl generated per gram of polyvinylchloride under any condition of combustion or pyrolysis at temperatures above 300 °C. But the estimated human tolerable limit for a few minutes is much higher for CO (3000 p.p.m.) than that for HCL (500 p.p.m.) [4,18]. Furthermore, experimental enclosure burning of gellulose-base fiberboard showed a maximum of about 0.017 mole CO per Gram [5] On either of the above basis, combustion products of PVC appear to have greater potential hazard than those of wood. But the stability of the components are different and must be considered in evaluating this hazard. The CO remains airborne, whereas HCL, a highly reactive gas, combines rapidly with water vapor and, in this form or as pure gas, is rapidly adsorbed on walls or smoke particulate matter. This example shows the complexity of the problem and the need for basic studies.

2. Types of Gases and Vapors Expected and Their Significance

Thermal degradation studies of polymers [6] showed that as many as 30 distinct chemical components may result from decomposition and combustion of a given resin. In a fire where conditions may vary all the way from complete oxidation to low temperature pyrolysis and where numerous types of plastic materials are available, individual analyzable products may literally run into the hundreds. Some criteria are needed to minimize the number of components for which analyses must be made. For this purpose, the products may be divided into three categories: (1) Components which are likely to approach toxic concentration levels in a typical fire, (2) Components which give important clues to the rate and extent of burning, (3) Components which reveal the progress of an extinguishment effort. The significant ones in each category are as follows:

(1)	Toxic Components	CO, HCL, HCN, NO ₂ , NO
		HF, HBr, Br ₂ , Cl ₂ , NH ₃ ,
		SO_2 , H_2S CO_2 , $COC\ell_2$
(2)	Rate Components	0 ₂ , CO ₂ , H ₂ O, N ₂ , CO
(3)	Extinguishment Components	H ₂ O, CF ₃ Br, CF ₂ ClBr, CO ₂

The present discussion of analysis methods will be limited to these compounds only.

To obtain a reasonable characterization of a fire and its progress it may be necessary to monitor the concentration of only one or two components under each category. Obviously, the components selected depend on the type of combustible, location, and the time after the initial ignition. For example, at the ignition and growth state, the concentration of $HC\ell$ and HCN from some plastic floor tiles, foam cushions, and furnishings in a typical room, can reach far above the toxic level in the vicinity of the source. But, due to its high reactivity and thus dropout rate, HCL may become quite negligible at some distance away from the source, or a short time after the source has ceased to burn actively. On the other hand, the rate of CO generation reaches its maximum during the later stages of burning. Because of its relatively slow decay rate. the concentration of CO slowly, but steadily, approaches some maximum value, and may far exceed a lethal level not only near the source, but at much greater distances away from it. Neglecting ventilation effects, this example shows the need for careful selection of both the sample probe location and the time of sampling for each gas in order to obtain meaningful results.

In general, it is desirable to minimize not only the number of analyses required, but also the amount of sample withdrawn for analysis, in order not to distort the combustion atmosphere. This is particularly true in smoke test chambers where the total volume may be relatively small.

3. Comparative Method of Analysis

The limitations, accuracy and some other pertinent data of two or more selected methods of analysis are presented in Table 1. In evaluating any particular method, the criteria of reliability, versatility, adaptability to automation, and availability from commercial sources of instrumentation are given emphasis at the expense of precision and a high degree of specificity. The table is self explanatory. The minimum value listed under the concentration range column means the minimum detectable concentration for the size of the sample indicated. In some cases, however, a larger sample size may proportionally lower the detectable threshold. Conversely, smaller sample size or instrumental signal attenuation becomes necessary for components of higher concentration. Such flexibility applies mainly to the wet-chemical and gas chromatographic methods. Estimated errors(calibration) are errors expected from variation of individual indicator tubes (including reading) from the calibration based on representative samples of a given batch of tubes measured by the user. Under the analysis time column, the numbers denote the time interval before a second sample may be analyzed using the instrument for that particular component. More detailed discussion and experimental results will be given under the heading of each method.

3.1 Chromatography

The gas chromatographic technique is considered the most effective quantitative method for analysis of some components in fire gases. It requires a relatively small sample (1-5 cc), has good precision $(\pm 0.5\%)$, wide dynamic range (10^4) , and versatility. Though the method is not a continuous one, analysis time per sample of five components, excluding HCN, usually requires only about 15 minutes; with but little additional time for data reduction. However, this method is not useful for certain reactive components.

In the present application, an Aerograph 202-1B (Dual column and dual thermal conductivity detectors) chromatograph was used for the analysis of N2, O2, CO2, CO, H2O, and HCN. Two columns, a 20" x 1/4" OD stainless steel column packed with 30-60 mesh molecular sieve 13x and a 6' x 1/4" OD Teflon column packed with 120-150 mesh Porapak R were arranged in series with a thermal conductivity detector at the end of each column. Fig. 1 shows a typical chromatogram and a schematic diagram of the flow system. The length of column packing, temperature and flow rate were chosen to avoid overlapping of the peaks. Air, CO2, H2O and HCN were separated by the Porapak R while 02, N2, and CO were separated by the molecular sieve column. Helium was used as the carrier gas. Since the output polarity of the two detectors are opposite, manual or automatic switching of polarity is required if all output signals are to show as positive peaks. The minimum detectable limit was 30 p.p.m. for CO2, 200 p.p.m. for CO, 1000 p.p.m. for H2O and 500 p.p.m. for HCN using a 1 cc sample with the peak area measured by a disc integrator coupled to the recorder. Larger samples would lower the limit proportionally. In practice, the sample is collected in the sampling valve in the chromatograph after sufficient flushing by vacuum. The tubing connecting the sample port and the valve consisted of a small diameter, 1/16 I.D. teflon tubing maintained at a temperature above that corresponding to the initial temperature of the gas sample, to avoid vapor condensation.

Though Hollis [7] and Obermiller [8] reported that HCL and H_2O may be separated either by a Porapak or a 5% Carbowax 200 column using either a stainless steel or teflon-coated thermal conductivity detector, such separation of HCL was not successful under the present operating conditions. Pretreatment of the column and detector with HCL were shown to be necessary. These modifications will be incorporated in future attempts to include HCL gas in the separations. Although HCL, reportedly, can be separated by the chromatographic technique, the minimum detectable limit is only 0.1% using a 5 cc sample [8]. Fish and coworkers reported the use of silica gel to separate fire gas components including HCL [9]. Other methods, notably the specific ion electrode, to be discussed later, appear much better suited for the determination of this component in the fire gases.

3.2 Colorimetric Indicator Tubes

The colorimetric indicator tubes used in this study were glass tubes 8 mm OD and of about 110 mm length available commercially in this country. They are packed with chemically impregnated granules which change color when the granules react chemically with a specific gas. A known volume of sample is drawn through the tube by a suction type hand pump located at one end of the tube. The concentration of the specific gas is indicated by the length of color change. Generally, there are layers of precleaning granules to adsorb interfering gases and a porous plug to control the sample flow rate. With good quality control during manufacturing and frequent calibration, some specific types of tubes can give useful results. In practice, however, there are several shortcomings:

- 1. Variation of packing density within the tube and nonuniformity of indicator gel among the tubes. Since sorption rate of sample gas by the gel depends primarily on the reacting surface area available per length of tube, variable density would affect reproducibility.
- Unexpected interferences by certain gases and vapors not adsorbed by the precleaning layer which produce a color change similar to the gas of interest.
- A gradual transition zone of the discolored stain front makes it difficult to judge the exact line of demarcation and thus introduces reading errors.
- Gas sample temperature must be within a given limit, usually 0-40 °C to avoid errors.
- Relative humidity of gas sample must be within a given limit for some tubes.

These shortcomings can be reduced in various way. For example, by frequent calibration to establish probable errors, by knowing the specific interfering gases in the sample and the sensitivity of the tube to these gases; and by determining the concentration of the interference gas, if any, by an independent method. With cumulative experience in using the tubes, both during calibration and sampling, the probable error in judging the line of demarcation of the colored section can be minimized. The merit of the colorimetric tubes, as in any other analytical method, should be judged by its performance on the problem at hand. Sensitivity, accuracy and interference depend on the chemical system used in the tube and they differ with different gases. Review of some of the techniques and problems associated with these tubes is given by Kusnetz, et al [10]. Table 2 shows indicating reagents used and interfering components of the tubes studied

The advantages of the indicator tubes are their convenience, simplicity, immediate results, and minimal sampling problem; with care they can produce reliable results. Tubes for analysis of HCL, HF, HCN and CO have been calibrated and examined for interference and temperature effect during this study.

Table 3 shows the calibration data for some of the colorimetric indicator tubes, the concentration ranges in which the tubes are applicable, the sample volume and rate in which the predetermined scale calibration (by manufacturer) holds. The length of the indicating layer compared with the maximum of the concentration range indicates the resolution of the tube. The transition zone is the width between complete color change and no change. It is a subjective estimate which determines the reading error. The value of indicated concentration was based on the mean of three separate determinations for each of the concentrations stated. Calibration procedures will be given in the next section. Unlike another study [10], where several disinterested observers were asked to judge the demarcation front of the color change, the present result was based on the observation of one individual. The calibration error for a pure, single mixture in air shows that both CO and HCN tubes showed an error within ± 20%, Type A HCL ±20%, Type B HCL + 90%.

3.3 Calibration of Colorimetric Tubes

To avoid adsorption losses, low concentrations of $HC\ell$ and HCN were prepared dynamically in a flow dilution system suggested by Saltzman [11]. Fig. 2 shows the schematic drawing of the arrangement. The system consists of an asbestos plug flowmeter [12] and a diluting tube. The

asbestos fiber was packed into a 1 mm I.D. teflon tube to impede the flow rate to a desirable range. The height of the liquid in the pressure regulating cylinder provided further control of the flow rate. The cylinder was filled with concentrated H2SO4 instead of water to avoid absorption of the metered gas by water. The asbestos plug flow meter was calibrated by attaching a graduated 0.1 cc pipet to the plug outlet and timing with a stopwatch, the movement of a drop of mercury past certain graduations. Flow rates as low as 0.01 cc/min can be achieved with stability and reproducibility. The degree of dilution of pure HCl from the tank was controlled by the asbestos plug flow meter and the diluting gas metered by a rotameter. Mixture concentration could be varied from 10 p.p.m. to 1000 p.p.m. A needle valve controlled the flow rate to the indicator tube. The pressure drop across the colorimetric indicator during calibration was balanced by applying an appropriate vacuum at the other end of the tube. This arrangement avoids creating any disturbance to the diluting system when the calibration starts with the insertion of the tube.

Low concentrations of HCN were generated by aeration of a 30 g per 100 cc solution of KCN in a midget impinger. A thermostated water bath surrounding the bubbler maintained a temperature of 30 °C. The system produced an output of 100 p.p.m. Further dilution in the Saltzman apparatus was necessary for lower concentrations. Both the HCL and HCN systems were very stable and consistent.

A static method using a FEP teflon 5-mil-thick collapsible bag was used to generate low concentrations of other non-reacting gases. Under this arrangement, the sample gas was introduced into the bag by a gas-tight microsyringe and diluted with air or other gases from a one liter syringe. This method is not applicable to HC ℓ or HCN because of losses resulting from adsorption by the bag surfaces but gave satisfactory results with CO from 10 to 1000 p.p.m.

3.4 Specific Ion Electrode

A permeable membrane electrode designed by Pungor for chloride ions, available recently [13], was used in a system to determine HCL concentrations in the gas sample, potentiometrically. This method has higher accuracy, range, and reliability than that of the colorimetric indicator tube. Its working range for a 100 cc gas sample is between 20 and 20,000 p.p.m. For lower concentrations, a larger sample must be used. Specific Ion Electrodes for Chloride, Fluoride and Bromide available from Orion Research Inc. were also used.

In practice, the highly soluble HCl gas and vapor in the sample (100 cc) was totally absorbed by water when the sample flowed at a rate of about 100 cc/min through a long narrow spiral passage of a threaded Teflon plug covered by a water-saturated paper inside a glass tube. Whatman No. 2 filter paper, as described in Fig. 3, wetted with 0.1 cc water was used. An alternative method is the use of about 40 mg of glass wool as a filter in a 1/4 OD Teflon tube. The glass wool was also wetted with 0,1 cc of water. The exposed paper or wool was carefully transferred to a Teflon cup of small internal volume. Water was added to make a total solution of 1 cc before insertion of the specific ion electrode and a low leakage, small-diameter-tip, conventional calomel KC1 reference electrode (Beckman 39270). A high impedance, differential voltmeter or an expanded-scale pH meter may be used to measure the EMF between the electrodes. The specific ion electrode has a sensitivity limit in the order of 1×10^{-5} mole/liter for chloride ions in solution and a equilibrium response time of about 1 min. It consists essentially of polymeric silicone rubber membrance impregnated with particles of silver chloride precipitate. The membrane covers the tip of a small diameter glass tube filled with a chloride solution. Fig. 4 shows the calibration curve of potential in mV versus HCL concentration in p.p.m. calculated on the basis of 100 cc sample absorbed in a 1 cc water. The curves were based on measurement made with solutions of known HCL concentration.

Known interference of Br or I ion on the chloride electrode is reported to be negligible if the concentration of these ions are less than 0.1 that of the chloride ions [13]. Fluoride ion concentrations less than 10 times that of the chloride ions produce no interference. In most fire gas or smoke chamber analyses, this problem would not arise. In cases where bromide ion concentration is expected to exist in the same order as that of chloride, a bromide specific ion electrode can be used. This electrode is not affected by chloride ions at concentrations as high as 50 times that of the bromide ions. A fluoride ion electrode, with a sensitivity as low as 10⁻⁶ moles/liter is a preferred instrument for HF concentration measurement. The latter electrode shows no interference from other halogen ions if their concentration are less than 10,000 times that of the fluoride ions [19].

3.5 Infrared Methods

In certain cases where continuous monitoring of a single component in a multi-component sample is essential, non-dispersive infrared methods may be used [14][15]. Such instruments are commercially available from the Mine Safety Appliance Company and Beckman Instrument Company. The analysis is based on the difference of absorption of infrared radiation between a reference and a sample cell, the detector being sensitive to a single gas. For the MSA instrument, a nominal range of 0-2000 p.p.m. for CO and CO₂; 0-3000 p.p.m. for NH₃; and 0-5000 p.p.m.for SO₂ with an accuracy of $\pm 2\%$ of full scale is available. Detectors for some other gases are available at about the same sensitivity. Flow rates in the order of 1000 cc/min are required for continuous analysis. Several specific detectors may be connected to monitor other components in the sample. The specificity of the method depends on knowing other major components in the sample whose spectra may possibly overlap those of the component of interest. Discrimination ratio values predicting the degree of interference among some gases are available for feasibility studies [16].

Though considered a non-continuous method, a regular dispersive infrared spectrophotometer equipped with special gas cells may also be used to measure gas components in the low concentration range. The method is only suited for samples with a low number of major components which would avoid the difficulty of excessive overlapping of spectra. Unlike the non-dispersive method, however, the display of the complete spectrum helps identify and gives quantitative information on all components of the sample. The sensitivity expressed as a minimum detectable concentration, using a simple 10 cm-path-length gas cell (sample volume 200 cc) is shown on Table 4 [17]. For a smaller sample and higher sensitivity, micro gas cells may be used. These are multi-path cells with an internal reflecting mirror to increase the path length. A 60 cm path length (sample volume 22 cc) cell is available from Beckman Instrument Company. The six-fold improvement in sensitivity of detectable concentration over that shown in Table 4 would make the cell useful for HCN, NO, and CF₂Br Cl. A longer path length cell such as the 10 meter cell (sample volume 4000 cc) may also be useful under some situations. Corrosive gases may be harmful however, to mirrors in multi-path cells.

Except for the continuous analysis of CO and CO₂ using the nondispersive IR and the measurement of HCN using the 10 cm cell in the dispersive IR instrument, infrared methods in general do not appear to be particularly suited for the analysis of other components in fire gases as compared with other available methods.

4. Summary

This report has examined some of the quantitative analytical methods of analyzing fire gases resulting from combustion of plastic materials. It points out the significant limitations as well as advantages of some of the basic analytical methods. Tables are presented to show pertinent data on two methods considered to be well suited for laboratory and field use in the analysis of aoubt 15 gaseous components. Although the gas chromatographic and specific ion electrode techniques are invaluable, the necessity for classical wet methods, in some cases, still exists. The sampling technique, involving smoke particles, aerosols and gas phase needs considerable study because of the way individual components can partition among the three phases. Reliable results must be based on recognition of this fact.

5. References

- [1] Rasbash, D. J., Smoke and Toxic Products Produced at Fires, The Plastic Institute Tran. and J. Conference Supplement #2 on Flame Resistance with Polymers, London, (Jan 1967).
- [2] Kingman, E. F. T., Coleman, E. H., and Rasbash D. J. The Products of Combustion in Burning Buildings, Journal of Applied Chemistry 3 463, (1953).
- [3] Bieberdorf, F. W., Yuill, C. H., An Investigation of the Hazard of Combustion in Building Fires. Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, (1963).
- [4] Maehle, W., Kitzmiller, K. J., Scott, E. W. The effect of Inhalation of HCl, Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxic, <u>24</u>, 222, (1942).
- [5] Gross, D. and Robertson, A. F. Tenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, p. 931 (1965).
- [6] Madorsky, S. L. Thermal Degradation of Organic Polymers. Interscience Publishers, (1964).
- [7] Hollie, O. L. Journal of Gas Chromatog, 235 (July 1966).
- [8] Obermiller, E. L. Charlier, G. O. Anal. Chem 39 396, (1967).
- [9] Fish., A., Franklin, N. H., Pollard, R.T., J.Appl. Chem 13, 506, (1963)
- [10] Kusnetz, H. L., Saltzman, B. E., Lanier, M. E. American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal 361, (October 1960).
- [11] Saltzman, B. E. Anal. Chem 33, 1100, (1961).
- [12] Saltzman, B. E., Gilbert N., American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal 20, 379-86, (1959).
- [13] Rechnitz G. A., Kresz, M. R., Anal. Chem 38, 1786, (1966).
- [14] Hartz, N. W., Waters, J. L., An Improved Luft Type Infrared Analyzer, ISA, National Conference, Huston, Texas, (1951).
- [15] Maley, L. E. Combined Positive and Negative IR Analyser Has Features of Both, ISA journal, (September 1958).

- [16] Daily, W. V, Determination and Use of Discrimination Ratio for Non-Dispersive Infrared Analysers, Mine Safety Appliances Company, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- [17] Pierson, R. H., Fletcher A. N., Gantz, E. Catalog of Infrared Spectra for Qualitative Analysis of Gases, Anal. Chem. 1218, 28, (1956).
- [18] Henderson, Y, Haggard, H. W., Noxious Gases and the Principles of Respiration Influencing Their Action. Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, (1943).
- [19] Data Sheets of Model 94-09 and 92-17 Orion Specific Ion Electodes, Orion Research Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, (1967).

Remarks		60 sec response	30 sec response		30 sec response	at 14.1µ	nsitivity range fons in solu- on -5-10 ⁻¹ mole/1	-6-10 ⁻¹ mole/1	-6-10 ⁻¹ mole/1
Inter- ference	0	0	0 4	0	0 4 4	0 4 4	P Se of 10	P 0 10	Р Р 10
Analysis Time min	2	4	e	12	9 1-2	24 7 1	3 Ъ	з 1	m
<u>Size</u> <u>Flow</u> cc/min		1000	1000		1000				
Sample Volume cc	1	1	1	1	1 100-1000	1 200 100- 500	100-1000 100	1000 100	100 100
Estimated Error %	± 0.5	± 0.5 ± 2	± 0.5 ± 2	± 2	± 0.5 ± 2 ±20*	± 0.5 ± 2 ±20*	±20* ±10	+20* +10	± 5 ±10
iful ration ge ppm			30- 10 ⁶ 20-2000		200- 10 ⁶ 20-2000 10-3000	$\begin{array}{r} 500- & 10^{6}\\ 30- & 10^{6}\\ 2- & 150 \end{array}$	2- 300 10-5000	0.5- 15 5-1000	10-2000 5-1000
Use Concent Ran	0.1 - 100	0.1 - 100 0.02- 20		0.1 - 7					
1	(B)	(C) (C)	(C) (C)	(B)	(B)	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B)	(B) (B)	(B) (B)
Method C=Continuous B=Batch	Chrom. Molecular Sieve	Chrom. Molecular Sieve Magnetic Susceptability	Chrom. Porapak Infrared, Nondisp.	Chrom. Porapak	Chrom. Molecular Sieve Infrared, Nondisp. Indicator Tube	Chrom. Porapak Infrared, 10 cm cell Indicator Tube	Indicator Tube Absorp. Ion Electrode	Indicator Tube Absorp., Ion Electrode	Absorp., Turbidimetric Abosrp., Ion Electrode
Gag	N2	02	c0 ₂	н ₂ о	CO	HCN	нсл	Ē	HBr

TABLE 1 SUGGESTED METHODS OF FIRE GAS ANALYSIS

TABLE 1 SUGGESTED METHODS OF FIRE CAS ANALYSIS Page 2	MethodUsefulSample SizeC=ContinuousConcentrationEstimatedSample SizeAnalysisInterB=Batch71meFlow771meFerence770m71me770m71me	cor Tube (B) 0.2^{-30} 100^{-1000} 1 P Liberation of 1^{-1} P 1_{2} from KI , Colorimetric (B) 1^{-2000} ± 5 1000 ± 5 1000 3 P 3^{-1} colorimetric	ed, Nondisp. (C) (C)	, Colorimetric (B) $2-2000 \pm 5$ 1000 $3 P < Fuchsin Fomald cor Tube$ (B) $10-1500$ 100-1000 $1 P$ hyde method	cor Tube (B) 0.5-75 100-500 1 P , Iodometric 2-2000 ±5 1000 A Store Acetone	Carbowax 20M (B) $10-10^{6} \pm 0.5$ 1 10 0	Carbowax 20M (B) 10- 10 ⁶ \pm 0.5 1 10 0 ed 10 cm cell (B) 100-5000 \pm 2 200 \pm 2 200 7 P at 11.5 μ	ed number of tubes in each batch studied, the standard deviation was found to be within ±20%. Dased on known concentrations were found to differ from manufacturer's calibration by as much as 90%. User calibration of different batches is necessary to establish error.	
	Method C=Continuous B=Batch	Indicator Tube Absorp., Colorimetric	Infrared, Nondisp. Indicator Tube	Absorp., Colorimetric Indicator Tube	Indicator Tube Absorp., Iodometric	Chrom. Carbowax 20M	Chrom. Carbowax 20M Infrared 10 cm cell	limited number of tube tions based on known co le 3. User calibration	viation:
	Gas	cl2 :	NH ₃	so ₂	coct ₂	CF ₃ Br (CF 2 C&Br	* For the Calibrat See Tabi	Abbre

	Nominal			
Tube	Range	Indicating Reagents	Interfering Components	Non-Interference
**HCl Type A	2 - 30	Organic Metal Salt to react with C&	$H_2S > 20 \text{ ppm}$, no HC& sensitivity. (C&2, NO2, NO) \ge HC&, reading will be low HF $> 3 \times$ HC&, reading will be high H2O $> 70\%$ RH reading will be low H2O $< 30\%$ RH reading will be high	HCN, CO, SO ₂ , SO ₃
HC <i>l</i> Type B	2 - 500	Congo red on beaded alkali salt	Any strong acid gas	
** HCN	2 - 150	Hg salt to release acid gas	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
** CO	10 - 3000	Iodine Pentoxide Selenious Dioxide Sulfuric Acid	Saturated and unsaturated Hydrocarbons > 4000 ppm Hydrocarbons to CO ratio > 30.	H ₂ O, HC <i>L</i> , and HCN adsorbed by precleaning layers (Watch precleaning layer
°HN3	25 - 700	Gold Chloride	Aliphatic Amines H2S > 50 ppm	saturation by color change, Hydrocarbons
HF	1 - 15	Alizarin-Zirconium Complex	None known Specific for HF	HCL, SO2, NO2, CO
NO+NO2	0.5 - 10	Diphenylbenzidine	$\frac{HC\ell}{NO_2} > 270 \qquad \frac{C\ell_2}{NO_2} > 2$	
so_2	5 - 1500	Iodate	Reducing Compounds	
Da ** The	ta based on 2 lack of mut 1CL, 100 ppm	manufacturer's informati tual interference among l HCN and 1000 ppm CO was	on. HCL, HCN and CO for these tubes for concentration confirmed by NBS results.	up to 1000 ppm

INTERFERING COMPONENTS ON COLORIMETRIC TUBES

TABLE 2.

TUBES	
INDICATOR	
COLORIMETRIC	
Э	
TABLE	

		Error	%	+20	+10	06+	+20	+10 0 0	+20	-13			
	itration	Indicated [‡]	mdd	30	95 180	570	120	220 500 1000	35	14			
(2)	Concer	Actua1	mdd	5 25	86 200	300	50 100	200 500 1000	5 30 75	16			
Data (NBS	on Zone	Error	2/0	±7		с +	77	•	4 89	±5	1 8	4 4	77
bration 1	[rans1t1c	Length	UUU	4		5	2		9	ຕ	Ŋ	4	m
Cali	Length 1	Indicating	MI	60		65	50		50	60	60	65	75
	Packing 1	Precleaning	um	30		0	40		30	0	30	0	0
	Sampling	Rate	sec/stroke	11		30	27		10	2	6	12	6
by Mfr.)	Sample	Volume	CC	1000	100	500 100	1000 100		500 100	$1000 \\ 100$	500 100	1000 100	1000
a (supplied	oncentration	Range	ppm	2- 30	10- 300*	2- 100 20- 500	10- 300 100-3000		2- 30 10- 150	1- 15 5- 150*	0 .5- 10 5- 50*	5- 150 50-1500	25- 700
Basic Dat	0	Tube		HC <i>l</i> Type A	1	HCℓ Type B	co		HCN	HF	NO + NO ₂	s0 ₂	е ни

* Concentration range extended by use of individual calibration (not furnished by the manufacturer) \neq Average readings of three separate tubes

TABLE 4 MINIMUM DETECTABLE CONCENTRATION

Using a 10 cm cell*

GAS	CONCENTRATION	PEAK WAVE LENGTH
	ppm	μ
co ₂	300	4.3
со	1,000	4.6
HCN	30	14.1
HCL	10,000	3.4
NH3	300	10.3, 10.8
NO ₂	100	6.2
so ₂	300	7.3
NO	3,000	5.2
HBr	30,000	3.9
CF ₃ Br	30,000	8.3
CF ₂ BrCL	100	11.5

* See reference [17]



Column 6' x 1/4" Porapak R and 20' x 1/4" Mol. Sieve 13 x Temp: Column 75 ^bC Det. 105 ^oC 60 cc/min He Sample: 1 cc Flow: Conditions:

Chromatogram of Some Combustion Products and Schematic Diagram of the Apparatus F18. 1.

Figure 2 Flow Dilution and Calibration Arrangement

1. Gas of interest

4.

- 5. Diluting gas
- 2. Pressure regulator
- 6. Mixture waste
- 3. Asbestos plug flowmeter 7. Colorimetric tube
 - Rotameter
- 8. Vacuum source





Figure 3 Sample Gas Absorption Device

 Teflon rod, 1 1/4" x 1/4" 0.D., threaded with 1/4 - 20 N.C. threads.

:

- 2. No. 2 filter paper, 1" x 0.78".
- 3. Glass tubing, 1 1/2" x 0.247 I.D.





)

~ ~