NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

9513

The Stress Corrosion and Galvanic Behavior of 17-4 PH Fasteners in Marine Environments

by

W. F. Gerhold Engineering Metallurgy Section

То

Materials Division Air Systems Command Department of the Navy



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards is a principal focal point in the Federal Government for assuring maximum application of the physical and engineering sciences to the advancement of technology in industry and commerce. Its responsibilities include development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement, and the provisions of means for making measurements consistent with those standards; determination of physical constants and properties of materials; development of methods for testing materials, mechanisms, and structures, and making such tests as may be necessary, particularly for government agencies; cooperation in the establishment of standard practices for incorporation in codes and specifications; advisory service to government agencies on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; assistance to industry, business, and consumers in the development and acceptance of commercial standards and simplified trade practice recommendations; administration of programs in cooperation with United States business groups and standards organizations for the development of international standards of practice; and maintenance of a clearinghouse for the collection and dissemination of scientific, technical, and engineering information. The scope of the Bureau's activities is suggested in the following listing of its three Institutes and their organizational units.

Institute for Basic Standards. Applied Mathematics. Electricity. Metrology. Mechanics. Heat. Atomic Physics. Physical Chemistry. Laboratory Astrophysics.* Radiation Physics. Radio Standards Laboratory:* Radio Standards Physics; Radio Standards Engineering. Office of Standard Reference Data.

Institute for Materials Research. Analytical Chemistry. Polymers. Metallurgy. Inorganic Materials. Reactor Radiations. Cryogenics.* Materials Evaluation Laboratory. Office of Standard Reference Materials.

Institute for Applied Technology. Building Research. Information Technology. Performance Test Development. Electronic Instrumentation. Textile and Apparel Technology Center. Technical Analysis. Office of Weights and Measures. Office of Engineering Standards. Office of Invention and Innovation. Office of Technical Resources. Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information.**

^{*}Located at Boulder, Colorado, 80301.

^{**}Located at 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22171.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT

NBS REPORT

3120410

APR 2 5 1967

9513

(NASC) RRMA-2055

The Stress Corrosion and Galvanic Behavior of 17-4 PH Fasteners in Marine Environments

b y

W. F. Gerhold Engineering Metallurgy Section

t o

Materials Division Air Systems Command Department of the Navy

IMPORTANT NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ST for use within the Government. and review. For this reason, the whole or in part, is not author Bureau of Standards, Washingthe the Report has been specifically

Approved for public release by the director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) on October 9, 2015 ss accounting documents intended subjected to additional evaluation listing of this Report, either in 9 Office of the Director, National the Government agency for which opies for its own use.



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The Stress Corrosion and Galvanic Behavior of 17-4 PH Fasteners in Marine Environments

b y

William F. Gerhold

<u>Reference</u>: (a) Bureau of Naval Weapons (NASC) letter RRMA-43: SG/125, dated March 23, 1962.

<u>Introduction</u>: It has been proposed that 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy fasteners be used in Model T-56 engines. In this application the fasteners may affect or be affected by the corrosion of dissimilar metals with which they would be coupled, specifically cadmium plated carbon steel alloys and types 410, 302 and 321 stainless steel alloys. In addition, mismatch conditions between the fasteners and other components, due to fabrication and / or application, could precipitate stress corrosion. Reference (a) requested that the dissimilar metal and stress corrosion behavior of the fasteners be determined in marine environments for possible material limitations.

<u>Material</u>: Bolts representative of the types of 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy fasteners to be used in the fabrication of the engines were submitted under Reference (a). The number of pieces, part number and fastener size of the parts submitted are given in Table 1.

Stress Corrosion Tests.

Specimen Preparation: For these tests two sections of 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy forged stock approximately 1 3/8 inches wide by 1 inch thick by 8 1/2 inches long were ground flat on parallel sides, across the width of the stock. Holes, 1/2 inch apart were drilled and tapped in each section to accept 3 bolts of each size of fastener. In order to establish mismatch conditions, the sections were further machined so that there were offsets of 0.005 inches and 0.003 inches at the center lines of the holes for two of each size of fastener. One area on each section was left as ground, with no offset, for control purposes. Fasteners of the appropriate size were threaded into the holes, finger tight, and then torqued down to 85 lb-in. and 190 lb-in., respectively, for the 1/4 in.-28 and 5/16 in.-24 fasteners. The torque values used were in accordance with standard manufacturing procedures. Figure 1 is a sketch of a typical assembly. As noted in Figure 1, parallelism between the spot face of the bolt head and mating surface of the part resulting from this arrangement may deviate up to 0.003 inch maximum. This deviation is governed by the squareness of the head surface to the shank and is a function of the tooling operations.

Exposure: All of the stress corrosion tests were conducted at the marine corrosion test facilities of the International Nickel Co. One of the assemblies was exposed in the seawater in the tidal zone at Harbor Island, N.C. and the other was exposed in the marine atmosphere in the 80 ft. lot at Kure Beach, N.C.

<u>Results</u>: There were no stress corrosion failures of the 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy bolts after 39 1/2 months exposure in either test environment. Localized corrosion, attributed to the formation of oxygen concentration cells, at the bolt and block interface areas and at areas where marine organisms had attached themselves, was observed on the seawater exposure assembly. Similar effects from oxygen concentration cells, but to a lesser degree, were also observed at the bolt and block interface areas on the marine atmosphere exposure assembly. The effects on both assemblies and fasteners are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4.

Dissimilar Metal Corrosion Tests.

Specimen Preparation: Panels for these tests were prepared by fastening together 2 pieces of sheet material in the combinations given in Table 2. Each member of the couple was machined to size, 4 inches by 5 inches. Bolt holes (1/4 inch in diameter), 1 inch apart and 1/2 inch from the edges, were drilled in one end of each member. The members were then fastened together by alternately inserting into the drilled holes one bolt from the top surface of one member and one bolt from the bottom surface of the other member of the couple. The bolts were held in place by a finger tight 18-8 stainless steel alloy hex nut. A torque of 85 lb-in. was then applied to draw the nut up tight on each bolt. The finished panels were 4 inches by 9 inches with a 1 inch overlap at the faying surfaces. Figure 5 shows a sketch of a typical assembly.

Exposure: All of the dissimilar metal couples were exposed in the marine atmosphere in the 80 ft. lot of the International Nickel Company's test facility at Kure Beach, N.C. for 39 1/2 months.

Examination: The dissimilar metal couples were examined after removal from exposure, then disassembled and cleaned, using the procedures given in Table 3, and then reexamined. The extent of the corrosion based on visual examination after disassembly and cleaning is given in Table 4.

Figures 6, 7 and 8 show before disassembly, the cadmium plated steel members that were coupled respectively with the types 410, 302 and 321 stainless steel alloy members. The most severe corrosion on the cadmium plated steel was indicated



by adherent rust colored corrosion products (darker areas) at the fastener areas and at areas immediately adjacent to the faying surface. When these couples were disassembled, it was noted that the corrosion products beneath the bolt heads and at the faying surfaces were gray to grayish white indicating the presence of sufficient cadmium at these areas to provide protection for the fasteners and coupled members. No corrosion was observed at the contact areas of any of the fasteners coupled with the cadmium plated steel members.

Figures 9, 10 and 11 show, respectively, after cleaning, the surfaces of the types 410, 302 and 321 stainless steel members that had been coupled to the cadmium plated steel members. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas of any of the stainless steel members or at the faying surfaces of the types 302 or 321 steel specimens. The type 410 steel was slightly etched at the faying surface. No corrosion was found at the washer face contact areas on any of the fasteners coupled with the type 410 steel member or on the type 18-8 stainless steel nuts that were coupled with the types 302 and 321 steel members. However, shallow pits were found on the washer face of the 17-4 PH steel fasteners that were coupled with the types 302 and 321 steel members.

Figure 12 shows the extent of corrosion on the types 321 and 410 steels that were coupled together. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas on the type 321 steel member, but there was some slight pin hole attack at the faying surface. There was pitting corrosion at the fastener contact areas on the type 410 steel specimen which was more severe where the type 18-8 stainless steel nuts made contact than where contact was made with the 17-4 PH steel bolts. Pitting was more severe at the faying surface on this member than at the exposed surfaces.

Shallow pitting was noted on the contact surfaces of the 17-4 PH steel fasteners coupled with the type 321 steel member. The 17-4 PH steel fasteners coupled with the type 410 steel were stained at the washer face contact areas. No corrosion was observed at the contact areas of the type 18-8 stainless steel alloy nuts.

Figure 13 shows the surface appearance of the types 302 and 410 steel specimens that were coupled together. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas on either member. However, there was some local pitting at the faying surface of the type 302 steel member and severe attack at the faying surface of the type 410 steel member. The attack at the faying surface on the type 410 steel

member was more severe than at exposed areas.

Shallow pitting at the washer face contact areas was noted on the 17-4 PH steel fasteners that were coupled with both the types 302 and 410 steel members. The pitting was of a more general nature than that observed on the other fasteners previously cited. There was no corrosion damage on any of the type 18-8 steel nuts coupled with either member.

The surface appearance of the types 321 and 302 steel members that were coupled together is shown in Figure 14. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas on either member. Evidence of concentration cell corrosion was noted at the bolt hole areas on the faying surfaces of both specimens. The attack was more severe on the type 321 steel specimen in that surface cracking was noted in these areas. One of these areas is shown in Figure 15. The path of the cracking at the surface was in a direction that was predominantly concentric with the bolt holes and 1/8 to 3/16 inches from the bolt hole base.

Other areas on the type 321 steel specimen, one of which is shown at arrow a in Figure 15, showed evidence of the metal surface erupting from corrosion below the surface.

Metallographic examination of a section cut from one of the bolt hole areas, that included both modes of cracking, revealed that the concentric cracking propogated from a pitted area on the surface, arrow a, Figure 16, to a depth of approximately 0.02 inches at which point it branched. One branch progressed in a direction nearly parallel with the surface, and then curved back toward the surface, emerging at the area designated by arrow a in Figure 15 and by arrow b in Figure 16. The propagation of the other branch was in a direction toward the opposite surface (exposed surface) to a point which was nearly coincidental with the periphery of the type 18-8 stainless steel nut washer face (arrow c in Figure 16). However, this crack did not penetrate to the surface. Additional surface cracks were noted at the area designated by arrow d in Figure 16. These faying surface cracks were directly opposite the periphery of the type 18-8 stainless steel nut washer face on the exposed surface.

Metallographic examination of the section after repolishing and etching showed that the cracks were predominantly transgranular, Figure 17.

The nature and paths of the cracks observed indicate the presence of high tensile stresses at areas on the faying surface adjacent to similar areas at the periphy of the fastener washer faces on the exposed surface. The stresses were most likely induced by the pressure exerted from the exposed surface when the fasteners were tightened prior to exposure. The entrapment of salt laden moisture from the atmospheres at the faying surface and the apparent cathodic nature of the type 302 steel member of the couple resulted in the formation of a galvanic cell which initiated local pitting corrosion. The combination of high surface tensile stresses and the corrosive effects at the faying area resulted in the surface cracking or stress corrosion failure observed.

No cracking was observed on any of the other couple members examined.

The corrosion damage on the fasteners in contact with both the types 321 and 302 steel members of this couple was similar to that observed on the type 321 vs. type 302 dissimilar metal couple.

<u>Conclusions</u>: The results of stress corrosion tests conducted on 17-4 PH stainless steel fasteners in the tidewater and in the marine atmosphere indicate that the fasteners have good resistance to stress corrosion cracking. There were no failure of any of the specimens after 39 1/2 months exposure.

The results of the visual examination after $39 \ 1/2$ months exposure in the marine atmosphere of the various components used in the dissimilar metal couples indicated:

(1) Cadmium plated SAE 4130 alloy steel is anodic to type 410, 302 and 321 stainless steel alloys.

(2) Type 321 stainless steel is anodic to type 302 stainless steel alloy.

(3) Type 410 is anodic to both the type 302 and 321 stainless steel alloys.

(4) There was no apparent galvanic reaction between the type 410 stainless steel alloy members and the 17-4 PH steel fasteners.

(5) The 17-4 PH steel fasteners were anodic to the type 302 and 321 stainless steel alloys.

(6) Cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel is anodic to the 17-4 PH stainless steel fasteners.

(7) The type 18-8 fasteners were cathodic to all of the dissimilar metal couple members except where no galvanic reaction could be determined, as in the case of those fasteners in contact with the types 302 and 321 steel members that were coupled with the cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel members; or when type 410 steel was coupled with type 302 steel.

Table 1

17-4 PH stainless steel alloy fasteners submitted for determination of the gavlanic and stress corrosion behavior in marine environments

Bolt Size	Part No.	No. of pieces
1/4 in28 by 0.750 inch 1/4 in28 by 0.812 inch 1/4 in28 by 0.469 inch(a) 5/16 in 24 by 0.938 inch	6808565 6820181-11 6820217 6823447 11	6 6 24
5/16 in25 by 1.250 inches	6823447-16	6

 (a) These bolts furnished for dissimilar metal corrosion tests only. Type 18-8 stainless steel alloy hex nuts furnished for fastening purposes.

Table 2

Combinations of materials fastened together with 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy bolts and type 18-8 stainless steel alloy nuts

Couple Combination

Type 410 stainless steel sheet and Cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel sheet

Type 302 stainless steel sheet and Cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel sheet

Type 321 stainless steel sheet and Cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel sheet

Type 410 stainless steel sheet and Type 321 stainless steel sheet

Type 302 stainless steel sheet and Type 410 stainless steel sheet

Type 321 stainless steel sheet and Type 302 stainless steel sheet

7

Table 3

Procedures employed to clean dissimilar metal couple components

MaterialProcedure17-4 PH stainless steel
alloy boltsUltrasonically cleaned by
immersion in an agueous
solution of nitric acid (10%
by volume) at 140°F.18-8 stainless steel alloy
nuts.Same as above.

Stainless steel alloyInmersed at room temperaturesheet specimensin an aqueous solution ofnitric acid (50% by volume).

Cadmium plated SAE 4130Scrubbed with a bristle brushalloy steelin warm water, rinsed and dried.

1.0

Table 4

Results of Visual Examination of Specimens after Exposure. All specimens were examined after disassembly and cleaning, except cadmium plated steel specimens which were examined after disassembly only.

Material	Panel		Fastener Contact Areas			
	Exposed	Faying	17-4 PH	Bolts	Type 18-	8 Nuts
	Surfaces	Surfaces	Panel	Bolts	Panel	Nut
Type 410 Cd plated SAE 4130	$\frac{3}{4(a)}$ 6(b)	0	0	0	6	0
Type 302 Cd plated SAE 4130	(a), (b)	0 3(c)	0	5	0 3(c)	0
Type 321 Cd plated SAE 4130	7(d) 4(a), 6(b)	0 3(c)	0 3(c)	5 0	0 3(c)	0
Туре 321 Туре 410	7(d) 4, 1	7(d),(e) 8, 6 (e)	0 1	5 1	5 6	0 0
Туре 302 Туре 410	0 3	1, 8 (d) 8, 6 (e)	0 5	6 6	0 5	0 0
Туре 321 Туре 302	7(d) 7(d)	2(g),9(f) 2(g),7(d)	0 0	6 6	5 5	0 0

- 0 No corrosion
- 1 Stained
- 2 Etched
- 3 Light general attack
- 4 General attack
- 5 Few shallow pits
- 6 Shallow pits
- 7 Pin-hole surface attack
- 8 Medium pits
- 9 Surface cracks

- (a) At edge of faying surface at fastener areas.
- (b) Scattered, had not penetrated to base metal.
- (c) Gray to grayish white corrosion products at these areas.
- (d) Scattered
- (e) At edge of faying surface.
- (g) Around bolt holes

đ



BOLT NO'S	SIZE	PART NO.	APPLIED TORQUE
1,3,5	1/4"-28 X 0.750"	6808565	85 LB-IN.
2,4,6	1/4"- 28 X 0.812"	6820181-11	85 LB - IN.
7,9,11	5/16"-24 X 0.938"	6823447-11	190 LB-IN.
8,10,12	5/16"-24 X 1.250"	6823447-16	190 LB-IN.

MACHINED AREAS FOR MISMATCH SURFACES.



*Parallelism between spot face surface of bolt head and mating surface of part may deviate up to 0.003 inches. This is governed by squareness of head surface to shank and is a function of tooling operations, according to D. F. Wright, General Motors, Allison Div. communication dated Feb. 2, 1962.

Figure 1. Typical Stress Corrosion Assembly.

,

Figure 2. Photograph of the 17-4 Ph threaded blocks after disassembly and cleaning; showing oxygen concentration cell effects at bolt-block interface areas on (a), specimen exposed in the marine atmosphere, and on (b), specimen exposed in the sea water in the tidal zone. Note also effects of marine organism attachment on (b). X1





Appearance of 17-4 PH stainless steel alloy bolts removed from the marine atmosphere test assembly. Bolts are shown after cleaning. Note pitting effects from oxygen concentration cell corrosion at areas on the bolt washer faces. Xl

s.



• :

·



а

Figure 6. Appearance of dissimilar metal couple after 39 1/2 months exposure in the marine atmosphere at Kure Beach, N.C. In each photograph top panel component is type 410 stainless steel alloy and bottom panel component is cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy. Corrosion products (dark areas) on cadmium plated steel at the faying surface and around the bolt holes were rust colored. After disassembly it was noted that the corrosion products on the faying surface and beneath the bolt heads were gray to grayish white in color. X 1/2

b

- (a) skyward exposed surfaces
- (b) earthward exposed surfaces



а

b

- Figure 7. Dissimilar metal couple after 39 1/2 months exposure in the marine atmosphere at Kure Beach, N.C. In each photograph top panel component is type 302 stainless steel alloy and bottom panel component is cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy. Corrosion products (dark areas) on cadmium plated steel at the edge of the faying surface and around the bolt holes were rust colored. After disassembly it was noted that the corrosion products on the faying surface and beneath the bolt heads were gray to grayish-white in color. X1/2
 - (a) skyward exposed surfaces.
 - (b) earthward exposed surfaces.

• • • •••



а

Figure 8.

Dissimilar metal couple assembly after 39 1/2months exposure in the marine atmosphere at Kure Beach, N.C. In each photograph top panel component is type 321 stainless steel alloy and bottom panel component is cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy. Corrosion products (dark areas) on cadmium plated steel member at the faying area and around the bolt holes were rust colored. After disassembly it was noted that the corrosion products on the faying surface and beneath the bolt heads were gray to grayish white in color. X 1/2.

b

- (a) skyward exposure surfaces.
- (b) earthward exposure surfaces.





а

b

Figure 9. Photographs of the surfaces of the type 410 stainless steel alloy specimen that had been fastened to a cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy member. Specimen is shown after 39 1/2 months exposure and after disassembly and cleaning. Note that the corrosion is uniform on both surfaces except at fastener contact areas on the skyward exposed surface (a) and at the faying area of the earthward exposed surface (b). Faying surface area was slightly etched. Dark patches at bolt hole areas and in upper left corner of (a) are rusted areas that appeared after cleaning before the specimen could be photographed. X 1.2

а. .

*





а

b

Figure 10.

Photographs of the surfaces of the type 302 stainless alloy specimen that had been fastened to the cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy member. Specimen is shown after 39 1/2 months exposure in the marine atmosphere and after disassembly and cleaning. There was no corrosive attack at the fastener contact areas on the skyward exposed surface (a) or the faying area on the earthward exposed surface(b). Rings around bolt holes are scoring marks introduced by the bolt and nut washer faces and by tools used to remove the fasteners. Darkened areas at bolt holes are from lighting used in photographing the specimen. X 1/2

+ <u>4</u>.





b

а

Photographs of the surfaces of the type 321 stain-Figure 11. less steel alloy specimen that had been fastened to the cadmium plated SAE 4130 steel alloy member. Specimen is shown after $39 \ 1/2$ months exposure in the marine atmosphere and after disassembly and cleaning. There was no corrosive attack at the fastener contact areas on the skyward exposed surface (a) or at the faying area on the earthward exposed surface(b). There was scattered pin-point attack at other areas on the exposed surfaces. Rings at bolt hole areas are scoring marks introduced by the bolt and nut washer faces and by tools used to install and remove the fasteners. X 1/2

l

Figure 12. Surface appearance after $39 \ 1/2$ months exposure in the marine atmosphere and after disassembly and cleaning of types 321 and 410 stainless steel allov specimens that had been coupled together. (a) and (b) are the skyward and earhtward exposure surfaces, respectively, of the type 321 steel specimen and (c) and (d) are the earthward and skyward exposure surfaces, respectively, of the type 410 steel specimen. There was no corrosion at the fastener areas on the exposed surface of the type 321 steel, but there was some slight pin hole surface attack at the faying areas. Rings at bolt hole areas are scoring marks introduced by the bolt and nut washer faces and by tools used to install and remove the fasteners. Corrosive effects were noted at the fastener contact areas on the type 410 steel specimen. This attack in the form of pitting was more severe at the 18-8 stainless steel nut contact areas than at the 17-4 PH steel bolt contact areas. Pitting occurred generally at the faying surface and was more severe here than on the exposed surfaces. X 1/2



.





а

с



Figure 13. Surface appearance, after $39 \ 1/2$ months exposure in the marine atmosphere and after disassembly and cleaning, of types 302 and 410 stainless steel specimens that had been coupled together. (a) and (b) are the skyward and earthward surfaces, respectively, of the type 302 steel and (c) and (d) are the skyward and earthward exposure surfaces respectively, of the type 410 steel member. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas on the exposed surface of the type 302 steel specimen, but there was some pitting attack at the faving area. Rings at fastener contact area are scoring marks introduced by the bolt and nut washer faces and by tools used to install or remove the fasteners. There was no pitting corrosion at the fastener contact areas on the type 410 steel. The faying surface of the type 410 steel was pitted more severely than the exposed surfaces. X 1/2



а

С



Figure 14. Surface appearance, after $39 \ 1/2$ months exposure in the marine atmosphere and after disassembly and cleaning of types 321 and 302 stainless steel specimens that had been coupled together. (a) and (b) are the skyward and earthward exposure surfaces, respectively, of the type 321 steel and (c) and (d) are the earthward and skyward exposure surfaces, respectively, of the type 302 steel member. There was no corrosion at the fastener contact areas on either specimen. Rings at bolt hole areas are scoring marks introduced by the bolt and nut washer faces and by tools used to install or remove the specimens. Evidence of concentration cell corrosion was noted at the bolt hole areas at the faying surfaces of both specimens. The attack was more severe on the type 321 steel specimen in that surface cracking was noted in these areas. X 1/2



а

С



b



Figure 15. Example of surface cracking observed at the bolt holes on the faying surfaces on the type 321 steel specimen that was coupled with a type 302 steel specimen. Arrow a denotes area where an eruption at the surface was noted. X5

.

. .



Figure 16. Photomacrograph of a section cut from the bolt hole area of a 321 stainless steel alloy specimen that had been coupled with a 302 stainless steel alloy specimen. Arrow a denotes the pitted area where circumferential cracking occurred adjacent to the bolt hole. Arrow b shows the area at arrow a in Figure 6 where eruption of the surface occurred and arrow c is at the periphery of the bolt or nut washer face. Arrow d denotes area where other cracks were observed. Unetched. X10





Figure 17. Photomicrograph of a portion of the section shown in Figure 16. Large cracks on right hand side are those whose origin were at arrow a in Figure 16. Tighter cracks toward center are those whose origin were at arrow d in Figure 16. Cracking is predominantly transgranular. Reversal of this photograph is due to the nature of the metallograph used. Etched with HCl and FeCl₃. X100



