NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

7003

EVALUATION OF RESISTANCE STRAIN GAGES AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES

-

Progress Report No. 10

SEP - 1962

by

Som-

R. L. Bloss, J. T. Trumbo and C. H. Melton

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

ż

Functions and Activities

The functions of the National Borean of Standards are set forth in the Act of Coogress, March 3, 1901, as amended by Congress in Public Law 649, 1950. These include the development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement and the provision of means and methods for making measurements consistent with these standards: the determination of physical constants and properties of materials; the development of methods and instruments for testing materials, devices, and structures; advisory services to government ageories on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; and the development of standard practices, codes, and specifications. The work includes hasic and applied research, development, engineering, instrumentation, testing, evaluation, calibration services, and various consultation and information services. Research projects are also performed for other government agencies when the work relates to and supplements the basic program of the Borean or when the Borean's unique competence is required. The scope of activities is suggested by the listing of divisions and sections on the inside of the back cover.

Publications

The results of the Burean's work take the form of either actual equipment and devices or published papers. These papers appear either in the Burean's own series of publications or in the journals of professional and scientific societies. The Borean itself publishes three periodicals available from the Government Printing Office: The Journal of Research, published in four separate sections, presents complete scientific and technical papers; the Technical News Boffetin presents summary and preliminary reports on work in progress; and Basic Radio Propagation Predictions provides data for determining the best frequencies to use for radio communications throughout the world. There are also five series of nonperiodical publications: Monographs, Applied Mathematics Series, Handbooks, Miscellaneous Publications, and Technical Notes.

Information on the Bureau's publications can be found in NBS Circular 460, Publications of the National Bureau of Standards (\$1.25) and its Supplement (\$1.50), available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT

NBS REPORT

0604-20-06441

November 1960

7003

EVALUATION OF RESISTANCE STRAIN GAGES AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES

Progress Report No. 10

by

R. L. Bloss, J. T. Trumbo and C. H. Melton Engineering Mechanics Section Division of Mechanics

> Technical Report to Bureau of Naval Weapons Wright Air Development Division

> > Order: 19-61-8017-WEPS

IMPORTANT. NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STA Approved for public release by the Intended for use within the C Director of the National Institute of to additional evaluation and re Standards and Technology (NIST) on listing of this Report, either In the Office of the Director, Nat October 9, 2015. however, by the Government a to reproduce additional copies

ogress accounting documents nally published it is subjected eproduction, or open-literature on is obtained in writing from such permission is not needed repared if that agency wishes.



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

FOREWORD

In recent years the use of structures at elevated temperatures has increased greatly. If the safe design and efficient use of structural materials are to be assured, a knowledge of the properties of materials and of structural configurations is essential. In determining these properties, the measurement of strains and deformations is important. Strain gages to measure these quantitities must be capable of operating satisfactorily over a wide temperature range.

In order to determine the characteristics of strain gages that are available for use at elevated temperatures, the Department of the Navy and the Department of the Air Force have sponsored a program for the evaluation of these gages. Results obtained from only one gage type are given in this report so that performance information may be made available without undue delay. Results obtained from other gage types have been presented in earlier reports of this series.

There is a continuing effort on the part of manufacturers and research organizations to develop improved strain gages for use at elevated temperatures. Therefore the results given in this report would not necessarily show the performance of similar gages which may differ in characteristics due to differences in materials, treatments, or methods of fabrication.

> L. K. Irwin Chief, Engineering Mechanics Section

B. L. Wilson Chief, Mechanics Division

CONTENTS

Page

FORI	EWORD		II
SYNC	OPSIS		1
1.	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
2.	GAGES	5	2
3.	TEST	EQUIPMENT AND METHODS	2
4.	RESULTS		2
	4.1	Strain Sensitivity	2
	4.2	Drift	3
	4.3	Temperature Sensitivity	4
	4.4	High Strains	4
	4.5	Transient Heating	4
	4.6	Resistance to Ground	5
	4.7	Gages Destroyed	5
5.	CONCI	LUSIONS	5
6.	REFEI	RENCES	7

and the state of the

Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures

Progress Report No. 10

by

R. L. Bloss, J. T. Trumbo and C. H. Melton

SYNOPSIS

Resistance strain gages of the S-700 series, manufactured by the Instruments Division of the Budd Company (formerly the Tatnall Measuring Systems Company) were evaluated at elevated temperatures. The characteristics determined were (1) gage factor at room temperature, (2) variation of gage factor with increasing temperature, (3) drift, (4) resistance-temperature relationship, (5) behavior when subjected to large strains and (6) behavior under transient heating conditions. The results of these tests indicate that, when attached to stainless steel, the use of these gages may be limited by the effect of gage history on the gage factor; the high drift rate at about 800° F; the high temperature coefficient of resistance, especially at about 1000° F; and the difficulty in maintaining a good bond to stainless steel at 1500° F.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the continuing evaluation of resistance strain gages designed for use at elevated temperatures, gages manufactured by the Instruments Division of The Budd Company were subjected to evaluation tests. The gages tested were type S-740. The gages were subjected to tests to determine the following characteristics:

- (1) Gage factor at about 75° F,
- (2) Variation of gage factor with increasing temperature,
- (3) Relative change of resistance with time,
- (4) Resistance-temperature relationship,
- (5) Behavior when subjected to large strains, and
- (6) Behavior under transient heating conditions.

The results of previous evaluations of other gage types are given in references 1 through 9.

2. GAGES

The gages which are reported on herein are type S-740 purchased from the Instruments Division of The Budd Company (formerly the Tatnall Measuring Systems Company). The gage consists of a grid of very thin foil. The grid is attached to a plastic carrier which is removed during the installation process. The gages are described in Bulletin No. BN-6000 of the Tatnall Measuring Systems Company.

The gages were attached to test strips of stainless steel (types 302 and 303) with "H" cement also purchased from the Instruments Division of The Budd Company. The instructions given in Bulletin IB-6101 of the Tatnall Measuring Systems Company were followed.

3. TEST EQUIPMENT AND METHODS

The equipment and methods used for the evaluation tests are described in references 5, 8, and 10.

4. RESULTS

The number of gages subjected to the various tests is shown in table 1. The results of the evaluation tests are given in tables 2 and 3 and figures 1 through 24.

4.1 Strain Sensitivity

Gage factor values were obtained at about 75° F from four gages for a maximum strain of about 0.001 in tension and compression. These values are given in table 2 where

 $K_u =$ gage factor for increasing load $K_d =$ gage factor for decreasing load $\overline{K} =$ average gage factor value.

Gage 7.740-A₁ was tested in tension before being tested in compression. Gages 7.740-A₃, A₅, and A₆ were tested in compression before being tested in tension.

The gage factor values are also shown in figure 1. Differences between the manufacturer's nominal value and the experimentally determined values expressed as a percent of the nominal value are plotted. Values for tensile loading are plotted on the abscissa, and values for compressive loading are plotted on the ordinate. Departure from the diagonal line indicates a difference between gage factor values for tensile and compressive loading. The solid symbols represent the first loading cycle in each direction. In all cases, except gage 7.740-A₃ in compression, the gage factor values determined were higher than the manufacturer's nominal value. After the first loading cycle, the values obtained from any one gage were quite repeatable except for gage 7.740-A₃ in tension. The very high and erratic gage factors found for gage 7.740-A₃ for tensile loading cannot be explained from information available from the test and subsequent examination of the gage installation.

The variations of gage factor with increasing temperature are shown in figures 2 through 5. Each curve of figure 2 through 4 represents the average change of gage factor of two gages mounted on opposite sides of a cantilever beam and connected as adjacent arms of a bridge circuit. Each curve was obtained as the test temperature was increased at about 20° F per minute. After the results for Run 1 (to 1000° F) were obtained, the specimen was cooled to room temperad ture and the second test run was made. This procedure was repeated until all test runs were completed. These figures show that the results obtained during the first test to 1000° F are quite different from results obtained for subsequent tests of the same gages. Results for second, third and fourth test runs agree quite well. Figure 5 shows the average gage factor variation for the first and second tests to 1000° F for the six gages tested.

Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the departure from linearity of the gage response and the zero shift for the first and third loading cycles to about 1000 micro-inches per inch strain in tension and compression at room temperature. Open symbols indicate increasing load, and solid symbols are for decreasing load. Figures 6 and 7 show that, in general, the departure from a straight line relationship was less than forty micro-inches per inch. These figures also show that the gage response during the third strain cycle was nearly always better than during the first strain cycle. Figure 8 again shows the erratic behavior of gage 7.740-A3 during tensile loading.

4.2 Drift

The drift behaviors of two gages at each test temperature are shown in figures 9 through 18. The drifts of the two gages were nearly the same at temperatures as high as 900° F. However, at 1000° F and above there was considerable difference in the behavior of the two gages. At 600 and 700° F the drift was positive and less than 250 parts per million change of resistance in an hour. At 800° F the magnitude of the drift was more than ten times as large, but in a negative direction. It then became progressively less negative with increasing temperature, as least through 1100° F.

4.3 Temperature Sensitivity

Temperature coefficient values for two gages, determined as the slope of a line drawn tangent to a recorded curve of relative change of gage resistance versus temperature, are shown in figure 19. The vertical lines show the range of values obtained during three test runs per gage at temperatures as high as 1200° F and one test run per gage above 1200° F. The curve is faired through the average values for all runs. This curve shows that there are inflection points on the gage resistance versus temperature curve at about 800° F, 900° F and 1000° F. The generally high temperature coefficient of resistance shown by these gages (greater than forty parts per million resistance change per degree Fahrenheit between about 500 and 1200° F) and the rapidly changing temperature sensitivity near 1000° F would make these gages primarily useful for dynamic strain measurements since correction or compensation for temperatures effects would be difficult.

4.4 Large Strains

The results of tests in which the gages were subjected to tensile strains greater than those used for gage factor determination are shown in figures 20 and 21. The gage factor value used for determining the strain indicated by the resistance gage, ϵ_{ind} , at room temperature was the average of the values for tensile loading for gages 7.740-A₁, A₅, and A₆. For tests carried out at 600° F, this average gage factor value was adjusted by the amount indicated for the first test run in figure 5. The upward curvature of the curves of figure 20 might indicate a failure of the cement to properly transmit strain to the gage element. It was also noted that for all tests at room temperature the bond between the cement and the test strip failed. For two gages this failure occurred at an actual strain of about 0.004, and for the third gage failure occurred at an actual strain of about 0.008. At 600° F, figure 21, both tests were terminated because of gage element failure at actual strains of about 0.007. Failure was indicated either by an open circuit within the gage or by a very rapid increase of gage resistance for a small strain increment.

4.5 Transient Heating

The results of tests in which the temperature of the test strip to which the gage was attached was changed at about 60° F per second are shown in figures 22 and 23. Figure 22 shows the response of one gage when subjected to three series of transient heating cycles. Each heating series consisted of five heating cycles from room temperature to a maximum temperature and back to room temperature. The maximum temperatures were about 600, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1500° F in that order. (The temperature changes were about 500 to 1400° F.) This figure shows that the change of resistance is large, but that the response is repeatable, especially after the first heating series.

Figure 23 shows the results for a similar series of heating cycles of another gage. Results are only shown for one heating series since the bond between the gage and test strip failed after the first cycle to 1500° F.

4.6 Resistance to Ground

The average resistance between the strain sensitive element of the gage and the test strip for two gages is shown in figure 24. The resistance measurements were made with a Triplett vacuum tube voltohm meter, Model 650, using the scale range marked X 1 meg -. The common terminal of the instrument was connected to the test strip. The readings were taken at the start of drift tests, within a few minutes after the test strip had reached the test temperature. The values shown can be considered as only a qualitative indication of the insulating property of the cement since ceramic type cements would not be expected to follow Ohm's law⁽¹¹⁾.

4.7 Gages Destroyed

During the course of this evaluation, thirteen gages were either destroyed or the intended information was unobtainable from them. The bond between the gage and the test strip failed for five additional gages after they had been heated to 1500° F. A list of the gages lost and the reason for the loss is given in table 3.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The data obtained from the evaluation tests covered by this report indicate that:

- These gages have a high gage factor at room temperature. This factor is repeatable, especially after the first strain cycle.
- (2) The gage factor variation with temperature is a function of gage history. The results obtained were repeatable for the three sets of gages tested.
 (According to the manufacturer, a heating cycle at 1000° F changes the function, gage factor versus

temperature, and if tests were carried out only to 800° F the curve for Run 1 of figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 would be identical to that of subsequent runs.)

- (3) The drift is low and positive at 600 and 700° F, is high and negative at 800° F, and then becomes less negative with increasing temperature, at least to 1100° F.
- (4) The temperature coefficient of resistance is quite high, but repeatable, except between 900° F and 1100° F. In this range it is very high and changes rapidly. The change of resistance versus temperature curve shows inflection points at about 800, 900, and 1000° F.
- (5) The drift and temperature sensitivity of these gages will limit their usefulness for static strain measurements.
- (6) These gages, with "H" cement, cannot be expected to be useful on stainless steel (type 302) at temperatures approaching 1500° F because of the weakening of the bond between the gage and specimen.

Washington, D. C. November 1960

7. REFERENCES

- R. L. Bloss and C. H. Melton, An Evaluation of Two Types of Resistance Strain Gages at Temperatures up to 600° F, NBS Report No. 4676, May 1956 (ASTIA No. AD 94696).
- (2) R. L. Bloss and C. H. Melton, An Evaluation of One Type of Resistance Strain Gage at Temperatures up to 600° F, NBS Report No. 4747, July 1956 (ASTIA No. AD 101079).
- (3) R. L. Bloss and C. H. Melton, An Evaluation of Two Types of Resistance Strain Gages at Temperatures up to 600° F, NBS Report No. 4843, September 1956 (ASTIA No. AD 107662).
- (4) R. L. Bloss and C. H. Melton, An Evaluation of Strain Gages Designed for Use at Elevated Temperatures -- Preliminary Tests for Temperatures up to 1000° F, NBS Report No. 5286, May 1957 (ASTIA No. AD 135050).
- (5) R. L. Bloss and C. H. Melton, Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures (Progress Report No. 5), NBS Report No. 6117, August 1958 (ASTIA No. AD 202419).
- (6) R. L. Bloss, C. H. Melton, and M. L. Seman, Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures (Progress Report No. 6), NBS Report No. 6245, December 1958, (ASTIA No. AD 211391).
- (7) R. L. Bloss, C. H. Melton, and J. T. Trumbo, Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures (Progress Report No. 7) NBS Report No. 6395, April 1959, (ASTIA No. AD 217651).
- (8) R. L. Bloss, C. H. Melton, and J. T. Trumbo, Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures (Progress Report No. 8) NBS Report No. 6526, August 1959, (ASTIA No. AD 227197).
- (9) R. L. Bloss, C. H. Melton, and J. T. Trumbo, Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures (Progress Report No. 9) NBS Report No. 6900, July 1960, (ASTIA No. AD 240829).

- (10) R. L. Bloss, A Facility for the Evaluation of Resistance Strain Gages at Elevated Temperatures, Symposium on Elevated Temperature Strain Gages, ASTM Special Technical Publication No. 230, pp. 57-66.
- (11) J. W. Pitts, E. Buzzard, and D. G. Moore, Resistance Measurement of Ceramic-Type Strain-Gage Cements, Symposium on Elevated Temperature Strain Gages, ASTM Special Technical Publication No. 230, pp 67-75.

Table 1 - Number of Gages Subjected to Tests

	Number of
Type of Test	gages tested
Gage factor determination	4
Gage factor variation with temperature	6
Drift	2
Temperature sensitivity	2
Transient heating	2
Large strains	5

		Gage Factor Values						
Cage	Run	Tension			С	Compression		
No.	No .	Ku	К _d	κ _t	К _u	Kd	К _с	
7.740-A ₁	1 2 3	2.866 2.772 2.768	2.772 2.755 2.753	2.819 2.763 2.760	2.761 2.744 2.749	2.719 2.723 2.718	2.740 2.733 2.734	
	Average	2.802	2.760	2.781	2.751	2.720	2.736	
7.740-A ₃	1 2 3	4。795 4。175 3。291	4.172 3.442 3.002	4.484 3.809 3.146	2.544 2.574 2.572	2.630 2.586 2.583	2,587 2,580 2,578	
	Average	4.087	3.539	3.813	2.563	2.600	2,582	
7.740-A ₅	1 2 3	2.769 2.727 2.722	2.719 2.718 2.709	2.744 2.722 2.716	2.727 2.705 2.711	2.716 2.719 2.709	2.722 2.712 2.710	
	Average	2.739	2.715	2.727	2.714	2.715	2.715	
7.740-A ₆	1 2 3	2.756 2.688 2.704	2.670 2.675 2.679	2.713 2.682 2.691	2.890 2.816 2.817	2.867 2.808 2.807	2.878 2.812 2.812	
	Average	2.716	2.675	2.695	2.841	2 . 827	2.834	
Gran Gran	d Average d Average [*]	3.086 2.752	2.922 2.717	3.004 2.734	2.718 2.769	2.715 2.754	2.716 2.761	

Table 2 - Gage Factor Values at $75^\circ\ F$

*The values shown on this line do not include the results obtained from gage $7.740-A_3$. The results obtained from gage $7.740-A_3$ may not be representative of the performance of this gage type since, for tensile loading, they were erratic and significantly different than the results obtained from other gages.

Table 3 - Gages Lost Before Completing Tests

Number of gages lost	Remarks				
5	Grid damaged while attaching ribbon lead to gage be- fore installation				
2	Grid damaged during application of cement				
1	Grid damaged while removing handling tabs				
1	Gage damaged in handling before installation				
1	Gage damaged in attaching leads after installation				
1	Gage had high resistance (350 ohms) after installa- tion				
1	Gage shorted to the test strip				
1	Open circuit in gage upon heating to 800° F				
5	Bond failed during test after heating to 1500 $^\circ$ F.				

page 11



Gage No.

Gage Factor Deviation FIG. I 75°F at



1

I





Gage Factor with Temperature ч<u>-</u> О Variation

FIG.4



FIG. 5



TÍ

<u>в</u> 8



1

1

Tension Loading Deviation for Strain FIG. 7

<u>א</u> 8





FIG. 9 Drift Behavior at 600°F



FIG. 10 Drift Behavior at 700°F



800°F Drift Behavior at

FIG. II

















60 03 Gage 7.740 D1 50 7.740 Gage 40 0 ۵ С Time, Minutes 0 08 20 \cap 0 ...*'* 0 100×10⁻⁶ r -350 -300 - 250 - 200 - 100 -150 50 - 50 0 <u>ਮ</u> ਬ⊽ 0 rift,

Drift Behavior at 1200°F FIG. 15



FIG. 16 Drift Behavior at 1300°F



Drift Behavior at 1400 FIG. 17



FIG. 18 Drift Behavior at 1500° F



Temperature Coefficient For Two Gages FIG. 19



75°F Gage Behavior at Large Strains at FIG. 20





With Transient Heating Response of Gage 7.740 R FIG. 22



Response of Gage 7.740 R. With Transient Heating FIG. 23



DISTRIBUTION LIST

U. S. Government Agencies

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons (RAAD-232)	1	U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Technical Information Service	
washington 25, D. C.	4	P. U. BOX 02 Oak Pidan Terranan	1
Commander, Wright Air Develop- ment Division (WWFESS) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	6	U. S. Department of Agriculture Madison Branch Forest Products Laboratory Madison 5. Wisconsin	1
Commander, Wright Air Develop- ment Division (MCPHCA) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	1	Chief, Bureau of Ships (Code 548) Washington 25, D. C.	3
Commander, Wright Air Develop- ment Division (WCRTL-4) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	1	Material Center (ASL) Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. R. Friedman	2
Director, National Aeronautics and Space Administration 1520 H Street. N. W.		Office of Naval Research (Mechanics Branch Code 438) Washington 25, D. C.	2
Washington 25, D. C.	5	Naval Boiler and Turbine Laboratory	
Director, National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Langley Field, Virginia		Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. Murdock, Instrumen- tation Division	1
Attn: Mr. J. Munick	1	Naval Research Laboratory	
Director, National Aeronautics and S pace Administration		Anacostia, D. C.	2
Lewis Research Center Cleveland 11, Ohio	1	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee Attn: Mr. H. J. Metz.	
Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland		Instrument Department	1
Attn: Technical Library	1	Commanding General, Redstone Arsenal	
Commander, Air Research and Development Command Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland	2	Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Technical Library	2
Commanding Officer Air Force Flight Test Center Attn: FTOTL		Commander, Armed Services Technical Information Agency Attn: TIPCR Arlington Hall Station	
Edwards Air Force Base, Calif.	1	Arlington 12, Virginia	10

Springfield Armory Federal Street Springfield, Massachusetts		National Bureau of Standards Enameled Metals S ection
Attn: Mr. Salame	1	Washington 25, D. C.
Other	Agencies	
Advanced Technology Laboratories		Armour Research Foundation
369 Whisman Road		Illinois Institute of Technology
Mountain View, California	1	Chicago 16, Illinois Attn: Mr. H. L. Rechter
Aerolab Development Company, Inc.	•	
330 W. Holly Street		Atomic Instrument Company
Pasadena 3, California		84 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Aeronutronics Systems, Inc.		
1234 Air Way		Atomics International
Glendale, California	1	A Division of North American
Attn: G. J. Pastor	T	Aviation, Inc.
ATR second Mar for the first of Course		P. U. BOX 309
of Arizona		Canoga Park, California
402 S. 36th Street		Atomic Power Development
Phoenix, Arizona	1	Associates, Inc.
Allied Research Associates Inc		Detroit 26 Michigan
43 Leon Street		Attn. Mr. F. R. Bever
Boston 15. Massachusetts		
Attn: Mr. D. Franklin	1	Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Corp.
	-	Electronics and Instrumentation
Allison Division		Division
General Motors Corp.		42 Fourth Avenue
Indianapolis 6, Indiana	1	Waltham 54, Massachusetts
1 ,		,
American Instrument Company		Beech Aircraft Corporation
Silver Spring, Maryland	1	Wichita, Kansas
A. O. Smith Corporation		Bell Aircraft Corporation
Milwaukee 1, Wisconsin		Niagara Falls, New York
Attn: Research Library	1	
		Bell Aircraft Corporation
Armour Research Foundation		Fort Worth, Texas
Illinois Institute of Technology		
Chicago 16, Illinois		ARO, Inc.
Attn: Mr. W. Graft	1	Tullahoma, Tennessee
		Attn: Mr. H. K. Matt

Bendix Products Division -Consolidated Electrodynamics Missiles Bendix Aviation Corporation Mishawaka, Indiana Division Attn: George T. Cramer 1 Benson-Lehner Corporation West Los Angeles, California 1 B. J. Electronics P. O. Box 1679 1 Santa Ana, California Boeing Airplane Company 1 Seattle, Washington Boeing Airplane Company 1 Wichita, Kansas The Budd Company Instruments Division **P**. **O**. Box 245 Inc。 1 Phoenixville, Pennsylvania Bulova Research & Development Laboratories, Inc. 62-10 Woodside Avenue Woodside 77, New York 1 Division Cessna Aircraft Company 1 Wichita, Kansas Chance Vought Aircraft, Inc. Dallas, Texas 1 Columbia Research Laboratories MacDade Blvd. and Bullens Lane 1 Woodlyn, Pennsylvania Combustion Engineering, Inc. Chattanooga Division 1 Chatanooga 1, Tennessee Consolidated Electrodynamics Corporation 360 Sierra Madre Villa Pasadena 15, California 1 Attn: Research Library

Corporation Strain Gage Products Transducer 1400 South Shamrock Avenue Monrovia, California 1 Attn: Robert E. Stanaway Convair, A Division of General Dynamics Corporation 1 San Diego, California Convair, A Division of General Dynamics Corporation 1 Fort Worth, Texas Cook Research Laboratories 6401 Oakton Street 1 Morton Grove, Illinois Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Structural Laboratory Section Buffalo 21, New York Attn: Mr. J. E. Carpenter 1 Curtiss-Wright Corporation Test Instrumentation & Equipment Wood-Ridge, New Jersey 1 Attn: Mr. Neil Eisen Curtiss-Wright Corporation Propeller Division 1 Caldwell, New Jersey Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. Santa Monica, California 1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. El Segundo, California 1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. Long Beach, California 1 Esso Research and Engineering Co. **P**. **O**. Box 8 Linden, New Jersey Attn: Design Engineering Division 1

Fairchild Aircraft Division Fairchild Engine and Airplane Corporation Hagerstown, Maryland 1 Fairchild Engine Division Fairchild Engine and Airplane Corporation Deer Park, Long Island, New York 1 Fielden Instrument Division Robert Shaw-Fulton Controls Co. 2920 N. 4th Street Philadelphia 33, Pennsylvania 1 Fluor Products Company P. O. Box 510 Whittier, California 1 Foster Wheeler Corporation 165 Broadway New York 6, New York 1 General Electric Company ANP Department Cincinnati 15, Ohio 1 General Electric Company General Engineering Laboratory Schenectady, New York Attn: Mr. D. DeMichele 1 General Electric Company Aircraft Gas Turbine Division Cincinnati 15, Ohio 1 General Electric Company Special Products Division 30th and Walnut Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. M. Bennon 1 General Electric Company Missile and Ordnance Systems Department

3198 Chestnut Street

Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania

Gilmore Technical Associates Cleveland, Ohio Goodyear Aircraft Corporation Akron 15, Ohio Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation Bethpage, Long Island, New York Attn: Engineering Library Plant 5 High Temperature Instruments Corp. 225 West Lehigh Philadelphia, Pennsylvania HITEMP Wires, Inc. 26 Windsor Avenue Mineola, New York J. T. Hill Company 420 S. Pine Street San Gabriel, California Hughes Aircraft Company Florence and Teale Sts. Culver City, California Attn: Mr. Philip O. Vulliet Mail Stat. A-2004, Bldg. 6 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation P. O. Box 551 Burbank, California Attn: C. J. Buzzetti Bldg. 360, Plant B-6 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Burbank, California Attn: Mr. W. Brewer, Research Dept. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Georgia Division Marietta, Georgia Attn: Engr. Tech. Library

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Missiles Systems Division Van Nuys, California

Lockheed Electronics Company Auronics & Industrial Products Div. Transducer Dept. 6201 E Randolph Street Los Angeles 22, California

Lycoming Division AVCO Manufacturing Corporation Stratford, Connecticut Attn: Mr. R. Hohenberg

Magnavox Research Laboratories Los Angeles, California Attn: Mr. J. Francis

Marquardt Corporation 16555 Saticoy Street Van Nuys, California Attn: Engineering Library Mr. Leslie Bermann Structures Development Lab.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Laboratory for Insulation Research Cambridge 39, Massachusetts 1

McDonnell Aircraft Corporation St. Louis, Missouri

Mr. Given A. Brewer Consulting Engineer Marion, Massachusetts

Micro-Test, Inc. 1718 21st Street Santa Monica, California

Mithra Engineering Company P. O. Box 472 Van Nuys, California National Electronics Laboratories Inc。 1713 Kalorama Road, N. W. Washington 9, D. C. 1 North American Aviation, Inc. Structures Engineering Department 1 Inglewood, California North American Aviation, Inc. 1 Columbus, Ohio Northrop Aircraft, Inc. 1 Hawthorne, California Pennsylvania State College 1 University Park, Pennsylvania Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn 99 Livingston Street Brooklyn 1, New York 1 Attn: Mr. N. J. Hoff Research Librarian Portland Cement Association 5420 Old Orchard Road Skokie, Illinois 1 Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Div. United Aircraft Corporation East Hartford, Connecticut Attn: Mr. G. E. Beardsley, Jr. 1 Radiation Incorporated Instrumentation Division P.O. Box 2040, Pine Castle Branch Orlando, Florida Attn: Mr. U. R. Barnett 1 Republic Aviation Company Farmingdale, Long Island, New York 1 Research, Incorporated

115 N. Buchanan Avenue Hopkins, Minnesota Attn: Mr. F. G. Anderson 1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Ryan Aeronautical Company San Diego, California 1 Solar Aircraft Company 2200 Pacific Highway San Diego 12, California 1 Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road 1 San Antonio 6, Texas Statham Laboratories, Inc. 12401 W. Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles 64, California 1 Stratos Division Fairchild Engine & Airplane Corp. Bay Shore, L. I., New York 1 Systems Research Laboratories, Inc. 300 Woods Drive Dayton 32, Ohio Attn: R. A. Johnson 1 Temco Aircraft Corporation Dallas, Texas 1 The Martin Company Baltimore, Maryland 1 The Martin Company Denver 1, Colorado 1 The Society for Experimental Stress Analysis Central Square Station P. O. Box 168 Cambridge 39, Massachusetts 1 Thiokol Chemical Corporation Utah Division Brigham City, Utah Attn: Instrumentation Engineering Unit 1 Trans-Sonics, Inc. P. O. Box 328 Lexington 73, Massachusetts 1 University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado Attn: Prof. F. C. Walz University of Dayton Research Institute Special Projects Division Dayton 9, Ohio Attn: E. A. Young University of New Mexico Engineering Experiment Station Albuquerque, New Mexico Westinghouse Electric Corp. Atomic Power Division Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Westinghouse Electric Corp., AGT Engineering Library **P. O.** Box 288 Kansas City, Missouri Professor H. H. Bleich (NR 064-417) Department of Civil Engineering Columbia University Broadway at 117 Street New York 27, New York Professor D. C. Drucker (NR 064-424)Division of Engineering Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island Professor N. J. Hoff (NR 064-425) Division of Aeronautical Engineering Standford University Standford, California Professor Joseph Kempner (NR 064-433) Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering and Applied Mechanics Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn 333 Jay Street Brooklyn 1, New York

[h

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Mr. Peter Stein 5602 E. Monte Rosa Phoenix, Arizona

1

1

1

Bristol Aircraft Limited Electronic and Vibration Laboratory - E. D. L. Filton House Bristol, England

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Materials Engineering Department K-70, Performance Laboratory East Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania



NATIONAL BURÉAU OF STANDARDS A. V. Astin, Director



THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The scope of activities of the National Bureau of Stendards at its major laboratories in Washington, D.C., and Boulder, Colorado, is suggested in the following listing of the divisions and sections engaged in technical work. In general, each section earries out specialized research, development, and engineering in the field indicated by its title. A brief description of the activities, and of the resultant publications, appears on the inside of the front cover.

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Electricity. Resistance and Reactance. Electrochemistry. Electrical Instruments. Magnetic Measurements. Dielectrics.

Metrology. Photometry and Colorimetry. Refractometry. Photographic Research. Length. Engineering Metrology. Mass and Scale. Volumetry and Densimetry.

Heat. Temperature Physics. Heat Measurements. Cryogenie Physics. Equation of State. Statistical Physics.

Radiation Physics. X-ray. Radioactivity. Radiation Theory. High Energy Radiation. Radiological Equipment. Nucleonic Instrumentation. Neutron Physics.

Analytical and Inorganic Chemistry. Pure Substances. Spectrochemistry. Solution Chemistry. Analytical Chemistry. Inorganic Chemistry.

Mechanics. Sound. Pressure and Vacuum. Fluid Mechanics. Engineering Mechanics. Rheology. Combustion Controls.

Organic and Fibrous Materials. Rubber. Textiles. Paper. Leather. Testing and Specifications. Polymer Structure. Plastics. Dental Research.

Metalhirgy. Thermal Metallurgy. Chemical Metallurgy. Mechanical Metallurgy. Corrosion. Metal Physics' Mineral Products. Engineering Ceramics. Glass. Refractorics. Enameled Metals. Crystal Growth. Physical Properties. Constitution and Microstructure.

Building Research. Structural Engineering. Fire Research. Mechanical Systems. Organic Building Materials. Codes and Safety Standards. Heat Transfer. Inorganic Building Materials.

Applied Mathematics. Numerical Analysis. Computation. Statistical Engineering. Mathematical Physics.

Data Processing Systems. Components and Techniques. Digital Circuitry. Digital Systems. Analog Systems. Applications Engineering.

Atomic Physics. Spectroscopy. Radiometry. Solid State Physics. Electron Physics. Atomic Physics. Instrumentation. Engineering Electronics. Electron Devices. Electronic Instrumentation. Mechanical Instruments. Basic Instrumentation.

Physical Chemistry. Thermoehemistry. Surface Chemistry. Organic Chemistry. Molecular Spectroscopy. Molecular Kinetics. Mass Spectrometry. Molecular Structure and Radiation Chemistry.

• Office of Weights and Measures.

BOULDER, COLO.

Cryogenic Engineering. Cryogenic Equipment. Cryogenic Processes. Properties of Materials. Gas Liquefaction. Ionosphere Research and Propagation. Low Frequency and Very Low Frequency Research. Ionosphere Research. Prediction Services. Sun-Earth Relationships. Field Engineering. Radio Warning Services.

Radio Propagation Engineering. Data Reduction Instrumentation. Radio Noise. Tropospheric Measurements. Tropospheric Analysis. Propagation-Terrain Effects. Radio-Meteorology. Lower Atmosphere Physics.

Radio Standards. High Frequency Electrical Standards. Radio Broadcast Service. Radio and Microwave Materials. Atomic Frequency and Time Interval Standards. Electronic Calibration Center. Millimeter-Wave Research. Microwave Circuit Standards.

Radio Systems. High Frequency and Very High Frequency Research. Modulation Research. Antenna Research. Navigation Systems. Space Telecommunications.

Upper Atmosphere and Space Physics. Upper Atmosphere and Plasma Physics. Ionosphere and Exosphere Seatter. Airglow and Aurora. lonospheric Radio Astronomy.



.

NBS

.

*

.