



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Sinclair Weeks, *Secretary*

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

A. V. Astin, *Director*



## THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The scope of activities of the National Bureau of Standards at its headquarters in Washington, D. C., and its major field laboratories in Boulder, Colorado, is suggested in the following listing of the divisions and sections engaged in technical work. In general, each section carries out specialized research, development, and engineering in the field indicated by its title. A brief description of the activities, and of the resultant reports and publications, appears on the inside back cover of this report.

### WASHINGTON, D. C.

**Electricity and Electronics.** Resistance and Reactance. Electron Tubes. Electrical Instruments. Magnetic Measurements. Dielectrics. Engineering Electronics. Electronic Instrumentation. Electrochemistry.

**Optics and Metrology.** Photometry and Colorimetry. Optical Instruments. Photographic Technology. Length. Engineering Metrology.

**Heat and Power.** Temperature Physics. Thermodynamics. Cryogenic Physics. Rheology and Lubrication. Engine Fuels.

**Atomic and Radiation Physics.** Spectroscopy. Radiometry. Mass Spectrometry. Solid State Physics. Electron Physics. Atomic Physics. Nuclear Physics. Radioactivity. X-rays. Betatron. Nucleonic Instrumentation. Radiological Equipment. AEC Radiation Instruments.

**Chemistry.** Organic Coatings. Surface Chemistry. Organic Chemistry. Analytical Chemistry. Inorganic Chemistry. Electrodeposition. Gas Chemistry. Physical Chemistry. Thermochemistry. Spectrochemistry. Pure Substances.

**Mechanics.** Sound. Mechanical Instruments. Fluid Mechanics. Engineering Mechanics. Mass and Scale. Capacity, Density, and Fluid Meters. Combustion Controls.

**Organic and Fibrous Materials.** Rubber. Textiles. Paper. Leather. Testing and Specifications. Polymer Structure. Organic Plastics. Dental Research.

**Metallurgy.** Thermal Metallurgy. Chemical Metallurgy. Mechanical Metallurgy. Corrosion. Metals Physics.

**Mineral Products.** Engineering Ceramics. Glass. Refractories. Enameled Metals. Concreting Materials. Constitution and Microstructure.

**Building Technology.** Structural Engineering. Fire Protection. Heating and Air Conditioning. Floor, Roof, and Wall Coverings. Codes and Specifications.

**Applied Mathematics.** Numerical Analysis. Computation. Statistical Engineering. Mathematical Physics.

**Data Processing Systems.** SEAC Engineering Group. Components and Techniques. Digital Circuitry. Digital Systems. Analogue Systems. Application Engineering.

• Office of Basic Instrumentation

• Office of Weights and Measures

### BOULDER, COLORADO

**Cryogenic Engineering.** Cryogenic Equipment. Cryogenic Processes. Properties of Materials. Gas Liquefaction.

**Radio Propagation Physics.** Upper Atmosphere Research. Ionospheric Research. Regular Propagation Services. Sun-Earth Relationships.

**Radio Propagation Engineering.** Data Reduction Instrumentation. Modulation Systems. Navigation Systems. Radio Noise. Tropospheric Measurements. Tropospheric Analysis. Radio Systems Application Engineering.

**Radio Standards.** Radio Frequencies. Microwave Frequencies. High Frequency Electrical Standards. Radio Broadcast Service. High Frequency Impedance Standards. Calibration Center. Microwave Physics. Microwave Circuit Standards.

# NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT  
1000-30-4830

January 18, 1957

NBS REPORT  
4978

## PERFORMANCE TESTS OF A MICROMAT DRY-TYPE AIR FILTER

by  
Thomas W. Watson  
Henry E. Robinson  
Heating and Air Conditioning Section  
Building Technology Division

To :  
General Services Administration  
Public Buildings Service  
Washington 25, D. C.

### IMPORTANT NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF  
intended for use within the  
to additional evaluation and  
listing of this Report, either  
the Office of the Director,  
however, by the Government  
to reproduce additional copies

Approved for public release by the  
Director of the National Institute of  
Standards and Technology (NIST)  
on October 9, 2015.

progress accounting documents  
formally published it is subjected  
g, reproduction, or open-literature  
permission is obtained in writing from  
. Such permission is not needed,  
ly prepared if that agency wishes



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS



# PERFORMANCE TESTS OF A MICROMAT DRY-TYPE AIR FILTER

by

Thomas W. Watson and Henry E. Robinson

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION\*

At the request of the Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration, the performance characteristics of dry-type air filters were determined to provide information to assist in the preparation of new air filter specifications.

The test results presented herein were obtained on a specimen dry-type air filter submitted by its manufacturer at the request of the Public Buildings Service and included determinations of dust arresting efficiency with two aerosols (atmospheric air and Cottrell precipitate), pressure drop and dirt load.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE FILTER SPECIMEN

The filter was manufactured by the Microtron Corporation of Charlotte, North Carolina, and was of the renewable media type, identified as a standard "Micromat" air filter, 20 x 20 x 2 inches in nominal size. The filter consisted of a flat sheet of media approximately 20 inches square held in place in the filter frame by a spring clamp at the edges on the upstream face and supported on the downstream side by 2 3/4 x 1 1/8-inch expanded metal mesh. The filter had actual outside dimensions 19 5/8 x 19 11/16 x 2 inches, leaving a free opening 17 1/2 inches square (2.18 ft<sup>2</sup>) and weighed 4.8 pounds when clean. Two types of media were submitted and were identified as three ounce per square yard Micromat media and two ounce per square yard Micromat media.

\*This report is submitted for information only, and is not released for use in connection with advertising or sales promotion.



### 3. TEST METHOD AND PROCEDURE

Efficiency determinations were made by the NBS "Dust-Spot Method" using the following aerosols: (a) outdoor atmospheric air drawn through the laboratory without addition of other dust or contaminant; and (b) Cottrell precipitate, dispersed in the outdoor atmospheric air. The test method is described in the paper "A Test Method for Air Filters" by R. S. Dill (ASHVE Transactions, Vol. 44, p. 379, 1938).

For these tests, the filter was installed in the apparatus and the desired rate of air flow through the cleaner was established. Samples of air were drawn from the center of the test duct, at points one foot upstream and eight feet downstream of the filter and passed through known areas of Whatman No. 41 filter paper. The areas of the filter papers used upstream and downstream and the times during which the air was sampled upstream and downstream were selected experimentally so that the change in transmission of light through the two filter paper spots would be about the same. The filter efficiency was calculated by means of the formula

$$\text{Efficiency, percent} = 100 \left[ 1 - \frac{A_2}{A_1} \cdot \frac{O_2}{O_1} \cdot \frac{T_1}{T_2} \right]$$

where A represents the dust spot area, O the change in light transmittance of the filter paper as measured before and after the deposition of dust, and T the time during which the air sample was drawn. Subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the upstream and downstream positions, respectively.

Three efficiency-measuring techniques or modifications based on the above formula were used, depending on the apparent efficiency of the filter with the different aerosols. For the tests made, techniques L, M and N were used, as indicated in table 2.

All light transmission measurements were made with the photometer illumination at a constant intensity as determined by measurement on a reference of constant transmission characteristics. The filter papers used upstream and downstream were selected to have equal light transmissions when clean.





The efficiency of the filter in arresting particulate matter in atmospheric air was determined by means of three tests of the M and L types, as described in table 2, with the filter clean. Following these, the efficiency of the filter in arresting Cottrell precipitate was measured by means of two N-type tests, after which was begun the process of loading the filter with a mixture of four percent of cotton lint and 96 percent of Cottrell precipitate, by weight, separately dispersed in the air stream. The lint used for this purpose was No. 7 cotton linters previously ground in a Wiley mill with a four-millimeter screen. At suitable periods as the loading progressed, the efficiency of the filter was determined using Cottrell precipitate in outdoor air. Pressure drops were recorded at intervals during the test. The dirt-loading was continued until the pressure drop increased to approximately 0.50 inch W. G. The efficiency was again determined with Cottrell precipitate and then with atmospheric air as the aerosols.

#### 4. TEST RESULTS

Table 1 presents data as to the pressure drop of the clean filter at several rates of air flow for both the two-ounce and three-ounce media.

The performance of the filter for the two types of media at 800 cubic feet per minute is summarized in table 2 for two aerosols. The performance of the filter in regard to aerosol C (Cottrell precipitate in atmospheric air) is also shown graphically in figure 1. The efficiency of the filter in arresting aerosol A (atmospheric particulate matter), both initially, and after its pressure drop had been increased by dirt-loading to 0.5 inch W. G., is indicated in table 2.

Observation of the filters at the end of the dirt-loading tests revealed that the greater part of the arrested lint was found on the upstream faces and had not penetrated the media to any noticeable degree. The downstream faces of the media were uniformly darkened by dust. No lint was observed on the downstream face of either filter.



After the filters had been removed from the test duct, the section of the duct five feet long downstream of the unit, and upstream of a 3/4-inch wood strip fastened flat across the bottom of the test duct, was carefully swept out with a fine brush. The amount of material obtained from the duct by this sweeping was 1.2 grams or 2.9 percent for the three-ounce media, and 8.1 grams or 8.8 percent for the two-ounce media, of the dust load reaching the filter. This material consisted for the most part of large dust particles, and constituted the fall-out in the first five feet of the duct from the air passed through the filter.

Cellophane tapes, stretched across the test duct downstream of the filter with the adhesive side facing upstream, indicated upon visual and microscopic examination after exposure to the air stream that some particles of sizes up to approximately 125 microns had passed through each media in the dirt-loading tests. Particles smaller than five microns were observed in quantity by microscopic examination of the downstream filter papers obtained in tests on the two filters with each of the aerosols. No lint was observed on the tapes downstream of either filter during these tests.

At the conclusion of the dirt-loading tests, cellophane tapes also indicated that there was some release or escape of Cottrell precipitate from the media of the filters (see table 2) during the efficiency tests with atmospheric air as the aerosol. For the two-ounce media, this is also shown by the negative efficiency value and the pressure drop decrease from 0.515 to 0.505 inches W. G. as noted in table 2.



Table 1

Pressure Drop of Clean Filter

<u>Air Flow</u> cfm	<u>Air Velocity Through Media</u> fpm*	<u>Pressure Drop, Initial</u> inch, W. G.	
		<u>2-oz. Media</u>	<u>3-oz. Media</u>
1000	419	0.348	0.450
800	367	.250	.320
600	275	.158	.213

\*Based on free face area of nominal 20 x 20-inch filter (2.18 ft<sup>2</sup>)



Table 2

## Performance of Filter at 800 CFM

<u>Aerosol (1)</u>	<u>Total Dirt Load (2) grams</u>	<u>Pressure Drop inch W. G.</u>	<u>Eff. Meas. Technique (3)</u>	<u>Efficiency percent</u>
--------------------	--	---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------

3-ounce Micromat Media

A	-	0.323	M	22
	-	.360 (4)	M	15
	-	.362 (4)	L	20
C	7	.359	N	50
	14	.398	N	52
	42	.523	N	53
A	42	.528	M	15

2-ounce Micromat Media

A	-	0.250	M	6
C	7	.278	N	44
	14	.298	N	48
	42	.388	N	46
	92	.515	N	41
A	92	.505	M	-11

- (1) Aerosol A: Particulate matter in atmospheric air at NBS.  
Aerosol C: Cottrell precipitate in atmospheric air  
(1 gram per 1000 cubic feet).
- (2) Average mixture: 4.0 percent lint, 96.0 percent Cottrell precipitate by weight.
- (3) Efficiency measuring technique:  
L: Air sampled at equal rates through equal areas; upstream sampling time selected to yield approximately equal dust-spot opacities of the upstream and downstream filter papers.  
M: Air sampled at equal rates through equal areas for equal times.  
N: Air sampled at equal rates for equal times; downstream areas selected to yield approximately equal dust-spot opacities of the upstream and downstream filter papers.
- (4) A different piece of 3-ounce media was used for these two measurements after completion of the tests using the first 3-ounce media.











