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ABSTRACT

Tests were made to determine the cooling rate of water in three similar food containers insulated with block insulation, shredded insulation, and an air space, respectively. The lengths of time required for water to cool from an initial temperature of about 200°F to final temperatures of 130°F and 32°F were determined for each container during simultaneous tests in an ambient temperature of about -40°F. The cooling times to reach a water temperature of 32°F were: 29 hours for the specimen with block insulation, 26.5 hours for the specimen with shredded insulation, and 17.5 hours for the specimen with an air space for insulation. For the same final temperature of the water, the heat transmission coefficients in Btu/hr (°F temp diff) (sq ft of exterior surface) were 0.17, 0.19, and 0.28 for the containers with block, shredded and air insulation, respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Headquarters Quartermaster Research and Development Command, Quartermaster Research and Development Center, United States Army, by letter dated August 16, 1954, observations were made on the insulating characteristics of three types of Insulated Food Containers. Comparative outward heat flow through three types of insulated wall construction was determined in terms of the length of time required for water at an initial temperature of about 200°F in the container to be cooled to 130°F and to 32°F in an ambient temperature of about minus 40°F.

2. TEST SPECIMENS

The attached photograph, Fig. 1, shows the three specimen Insulated Food Containers. The three specimens were identical in dimension, configuration, and appearance. The materials used were the same except for the insulation which was identified only by the stamped description on the bottom panel of each container as block, shredded, and air, respectively. The specimens were not cut open to identify the insulating materials.
The insulated containers were manufactured by Ekco Products Company. The inside and outside linings were made of aluminum sheets, embossed with ridges and grooves. The handles and latches were also made of aluminum. A cellular rubber gasket was used for sealing the lid of the container.

Each insulated container enclosed three aluminum cans manufactured by the Knapp-Monarch Company. The three cans with aluminum lids fitted fairly tight in the insulated container. A rubber gasket was used for the seal between the can and the lid.

The overall dimensions of the insulated container, including the handles and latches, were, length 21", height 15 1/2", and width 10 1/2". The overall dimensions of an insert can were, 5 1/2"x6 1/4"x12".

The total weights of the three insulated containers with block, shredded and air insulation were 16 1/2 pounds, 16 3/4 pounds and 15 3/4 pounds, respectively including the three cans. Each insert can weighed one pound 4.7 ounces.

3. TEST PROCEDURE

Prior to the test, the insulated containers and the cans had been kept in an ambient room temperature of approximately 70°F. The cans were filled to within one inch from the top or 95% of the can volume with 10.9 lbs of water at a temperature of about 200°F. The cans were inserted in the insulated containers as soon as they were filled with hot water. The insulated containers were then put into a cold chamber where the average temperature was controlled at approximately minus 41°F.

Two thermocouples were placed along the vertical center line of each can; one an inch above the bottom of the can and the other one inch below the water surface. Readings of the temperatures were taken every 20 or 30 minutes until the water temperature of each insulated container reached 32°F.

4. TEST RESULTS

Fig. 2 shows the cooling curves of the water in the insulated food containers for three types of insulating materials when exposed to an ambient temperature averaging
-41°F. The temperature of the water plotted in Fig. 2 is the average of six thermocouple measurements taken one inch below the water surface and one inch above the can bottom in the three cans in each food container. It took 7.3 hours and 29 hours to cool the water from 203.5°F to 130°F and 32°F, respectively, for the food container with block insulation. The elapsed time to cool the water in the food container with shredded insulation from 202.0°F to 130°F and 32°F was 6.6 hours and 26.5 hours, respectively. For the food container with air insulation, the time required to cool from 199.4°F to 130°F and 32°F was 4.3 hours and 17.5 hours, respectively. These results are summarized in Table 1.

The effectiveness of the insulation in the three specimens can be compared more accurately by computation of the heat transmission rate for the entire box per unit temperature difference between water and ambient air or by evaluating the heat transmission coefficient in terms of the exterior surface area of the specimens. Such factors eliminate the effect of differences in the initial temperature of the water at the beginning of the test.

The heat transmission rates for the three specimens were evaluated for each food container for two different periods, from the beginning of the test until the water reached a temperature of 130°F, and from the beginning of the test until the water reached a temperature of 32°F. The results are summarized in Table 2. The specimen with block insulation had the lowest heat loss: 0.17 Btu/hr (°F temp diff)/(sq ft of exterior surface area) for a final water temperature of 32°F. The heat loss rate of the specimen with shredded insulation was about 10% greater and the specimen with air insulation about 62% greater than for the specimen with block insulation. The heat transmission rate from the beginning of the test to a final water temperature of 130°F was about 16 percent higher than the value computed from the beginning of the test to a final water temperature of 32°F in each case. This is accounted for in part at least by the higher mean temperature of the insulation in the former case.

The heat transmission coefficients summarized in Table 2 were obtained with the food containers in a test chamber in which the air velocities were moderately high. Somewhat lower coefficients would be expected if the containers were surrounded by still air due to lower exterior film conductance.
Fig. 3 shows the cooling curves of the water in the insulated food container with shredded insulation. The water temperatures plotted in Fig. 3 are the average of three thermocouple measurements one inch below the water surface (one in each can) and the average of three thermocouple measurements one inch above the can bottom (one in each can). Figs. 4 and 5 show similar curves for the food containers with air insulation and block insulation, respectively. At water temperatures above 40°F, the differences between the water temperatures measured at one inch below the surface and one inch above the can bottom averaged 4.4°F for the food container with block insulation, 2.7°F with the shredded insulation and 10.6°F with the air insulation. The crossing of two curves in each figure at a water temperature of about 39°F indicates a reversal in the convection currents inside each can when the density of water reached the maximum value at 39.2°F. Downward movement of water at the exterior walls of the individual cans and upward movement in the center of the cans would be expected for water temperatures above 40°F. A reversal of this direction of flow would be expected when the water temperature dropped below 39°F. The observed temperatures shown in Fig. 3 to 5 indicate that this reversal did occur.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The test results showed that the heat loss of the food container with air insulation was much larger than the food containers with block and shredded insulation in an ambient temperature of minus 41°F.

It is obvious from the test results that the food containers with block and shredded insulation would keep food warm longer and keep water from freezing longer than the one using an air space as insulation.

The water temperature spread between the top and bottom of the can was more pronounced in the case of the food container with air insulation than for the other two specimens. It is probable that the temperature difference between top and bottom of the container would be different for fluids of other viscosities than that observed for water in these tests.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Insulation</th>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Shredded</th>
<th>Air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial water temperature, °F</td>
<td>203.5</td>
<td>202.0</td>
<td>199.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial temp. difference compared to specimen with block insulation, °F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required for water to cool to 130°F, hr</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required for water to cool to 130°F, compensated for initial temp. diff., hr</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required for water to cool to 32°F, hr</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average water temperature difference between top and bottom of cans, °F</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Insulation</td>
<td>Block</td>
<td>Shredded</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final Water Temp., 130°F</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in enthalpy of water, Btu</td>
<td>2407</td>
<td>2359</td>
<td>2271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log mean temp. difference of water to ambient air, °F</td>
<td>206.2</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>204.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transmission, Btu/hr (°F)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transmission coefficient, Btu/hr (°F)(sq.ft. exterior surface)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final Water Temp. 32°F</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in enthalpy of water, Btu</td>
<td>5616</td>
<td>5562</td>
<td>5480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log mean temp. difference of water to ambient air, °F</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>141.9</td>
<td>141.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transmission, Btu/hr (°F)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transmission coefficient, Btu/hr (°F)(sq ft exterior surface)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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