NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

3655- PROPERTY OF SOUTHWEET SERVICE HERAPY

4

CHECK OF METHOD FOR COMPUTING INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS OF DELTA AND OTHER WINGS

by

Ruth M. Woolley

Report to Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Sinclair Weeks, Secretary

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS A. V. Astin, Director



THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The scope of activities of the National Bureau of Standards is suggested in the following listing of the divisions and sections engaged in technical work. In general, each section is engaged in specialized research, development, and engineering in the field indicated by its title. A brief description of the activities, and of the resultant reports and publications, appears on the inside of the back cover of this report.

Electricity. Resistance and Reactance Measurements. Electrical Instruments. Magnetic Measurements. Electrochemistry.

Optics and Metrology. Photometry and Colorimetry. Optical Instruments. Photographic Technology. Length. Engineering Metrology.

Heat and Power. Temperature Measurements. Thermodynamics. Cryogenic Physics. Engines and Lubrication. Engine Fuels. Cryogenic Engineering.

Atomic and Radiation Physics. Spectroscopy. Radiometry. Mass Spectrometry. Solid State Physics. Electron Physics. Atomic Physics. Neutron Measurements. Infrared Spectroscopy. Nuclear Physics. Radioactivity. X-Ray. Betatron. Nucleonic Instrumentation. Radiological Equipment. Atomic Energy Commission Radiation Instruments Branch.

Chemistry. Organic Coatings. Surface Chemistry. Organic Chemistry. Analytical Chemistry. Inorganic Chemistry. Electrodeposition. Gas Chemistry. Physical Chemistry. Thermochemistry. Spectrochemistry. Pure Substances.

Mechanics. Sound. Mechanical Instruments. Fluid Mechanics. Engineering Mechanics. Mass and Scale. Capacity, Density, and Fluid Meters. Combustion Control.

Organic and Fibrous Materials. Rubber. Textiles. Paper. Leather. Testing and Specifications. Polymer Structure. Organic Plastics. Dental Research.

Metallurgy. Thermal Metallurgy. Chemical Metallurgy. Mechanical Metallurgy. Corrosion.

Mineral Products. Porcelain and Pottery. Glass. Refractories. Enameled Metals. Concreting Materials. Constitution and Microstructure.

Building Technology. Structural Engineering. Fire Protection. Heating and Air Conditioning. Floor, Roof, and Wall Coverings. Codes and Specifications.

Applied Mathematics. Numerical Analysis. Computation. Statistical Engineering.

Electronics. Engineering Electronics. Electron Tubes. Electronic Computers. Electronic Instrumentation. Process Technology.

Radio Propagation. Upper Atmosphere Research. Ionospheric Research. Regular Propagation Services. Frequency Utilization Research. Tropospheric Propagation Research. High Frequency Standards. Microwave Standards.

•Office of Basic Instrumentation

Office of Weights and Measures.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT NBS PROJECT NBS REPORT

0604-10-3517

September 15, 1954

3655

CHECK OF METHOD FOR COMPUTING INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS OF DELTA AND OTHER WINGS

by

Ruth M. Woolley

To Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The publication, re unless permission 1 25, D. C. Such pee cally prepared if t Approved for public release by the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) on October 9, 2015.

In part, is prohibited tandards, Washington sport has been specifieport for its own use.

CHECK OF METHOD FOR COMPUTING INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS OF DELTA AND OTHER WINGS

SUMMARY

Computations, based on a proposed method of computing the influence coefficients of a delta wing, were made to determine the influence coefficients of a swept-back model wing for which experimental results were available. Comparison of computed results with experimental data showed poor agreement. The lack of agreement is in part attributed to insufficient knowledge regarding the shear stiffness of ribs and bulkheads.

INTRODUCTION

With the continuing demand for increased speed in aircraft, there has been a change in wing shape from the familiar thick, straight wing to the thin swept-back wing and to the delta wing. For the swept and delta wings conventional methods of analysis using beam theory do not give satisfactory results. In the past few years newer types of analysis based on energy methods, on plate theory, on continuity considerations, and on the assumption of constant chordwise slope of multi-spar wings have been developed. (Ref. 1) These methods were generally satisfactory for swept-back wings but for delta wings and for wing-fold joints in swept wings, there was not sufficiently close agreement of computed values with experimental ones.

The method of reference 1, used for the computations for this report, combines the interaction of ribs, spars and torque cells. It was intended to give improved accuracy and to take account of secondary effects, such as chordwise bending, discontinuities in the cover sheets and the actual support condition at the root of the individual spars. The load carried by the wing was considered to be the sum of (1) that carried by spars in bending, (2) that carried by the ribs in bending, and (3) that carried by the cover sheet in torsion. The load carried by each of these three mechanisms was determined in terms of the deflections at the junctions of ribs and spars. The sum of these loads expressed in terms of deflections, was equated to the applied external forces at the junctions. The equations which result were solved for the deflections at the junctions. From the deflections, the

bending and torsional stresses were in turn determined, thus giving a complete deformation and stress analysis of the wing.

For this report the method of analysis described above was applied to a swept-back wing model which had already been tested at the National Bureau of Standards. The computed results were then compared with the experimental data.

APPLICATION

In this computation, the swept-back wing (figure 1) was considered to be divided into three spanwise beams: - a main spar, a leading edge spar and a trailing edge spar. These beams were separated by lines, CD and EF, figure 2, midway between the center lines of the adjacent spars.

The wing was also considered to be divided into four chordwise beams shown in figure 3 and a root beam which does enter in the computation. The effective width of the sheet to each side of the rib was taken to be 0.181 of the total rib length (see fig. 3) as recommended in reference 1. Only the cover sheet and ribs were considered as contributing to the bending stiffness of the chordwise beams. The values of EI computed for a number of stations along the spars and ribs are given in table 1.

The next step was to find the clamped root influence coefficients for the spars and ribs, following the method described in reference 2. The necessary scale factors (reference 2, op. 5-6), the EI, the distances between stations and the number of stations were tabulated and read into SEAC together with the code for computation of influence coefficents for tapered cantilever beams in bending. The results gave, for each beam, a series of equations of deflections in terms of loads. The results are given in table 2. For example, the first line of table 2 written as an equation reads:

 $y_1 = 10^{-6} [16.791301L_1 + 43.716262L_4 + 70.641223L_7 + 96.594665L_10]$

where

 y_1 is the deflection at station 1 L1 is the load at station 1 L₄ is the load at station 4, etc. the subscripts are stations in figure 4 . .

For the main spar, where the root was clamped, inverting the influence coefficient matrix was sufficient to give the loads in terms of deflections, according to equation (2b) of reference (1):

$$\{L\} = [\delta] - l \{y\}$$

For the other two spars, the beams were simply supported at the root, and the loads in terms of deflections were computed using equation (10) of reference (1):

$$\{ \mathbf{L} \} = \begin{bmatrix} [\delta]^{-1} & \underline{[\delta]^{-1}} & [\underline{\ell}] & [\underline{\delta}]^{-1} \\ \underline{[\ell]} & [\underline{\delta}]^{-1} & [\underline{\ell}] \\ \text{(simply-supported root condition)} \end{bmatrix}$$

The ribs were considered free at the root, and for them equations (11) and (12) of reference (1) were used:

$$\left\{ \mathbf{L} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} [\delta]^{-1} & -\frac{[\delta]^{-1} \left\{ l \right\} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left\{ l \\ free root condition \right\} } \left\{ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{t} \right\}$$

for loads at stations other than the root station t and at root station t,

$$L_{t} = -L_{r} - L_{s} - \dots L_{j} - \dots - L_{n}$$
(free root condition)

Table 3 gives the matrix of loads in terms of deflections for each of the spanwise and chordwise beams with their appropriate root fixity.

The torsional stiffness, GJ, was computed for each of the eight torque boxes of the wing according to the method described in reference (1), paragraph 1 of page 5. A torque box is that formed from two adjacent ribs, two adjacent spars, and the cover sheets. Using an X-X axis midway between the spars as the torque axis, the GJ for the cross-section normal to the X-X axis at its mid-point was computed as representative of the box, assuming for convenience the sheet thickness of the spars to be infinite. The cross-section for computation of GJ for a leading edge torque box is given in figure 5. The effective GJ was computed as

$$GJ = \frac{4 A^2 G}{\int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{ds}{t}}$$

where (see figure 5)

- s is the midline of the torque box. In the spar s is located at the midthickness. In the cover sheets, where the corrugation and outer surface are riveted together, s is located at the midthickness of the corrugation plus outer surface. In the cover sheets, where the corrugation stands out, s is located between the corrugation and outer skin at a distance from them inversely proportional to their respective thicknesses.
- A is the area enclosed by s.
- t is the thickness of the walls of the torque box. In the cover sheets, thickness is taken as outer sheet thickness plus corrugation thickness. In the spar, the walls are considered thick enough to be taken as infinite.

The GJ values obtained are given in table μ . The loads were computed in terms of deflections according to equation (18) of reference (1). These results are given in table 5.

When all the loads had been found in terms of deflections due to torque and to spanwise and chordwise bending, the total load P was found according to equations (19) and (20) of reference (1):

 $P_{r} = \Sigma L_{r}$ $P_{s} = \Sigma L_{s}$ $P_{j} = \Sigma L_{j}$ $P_{n} = \Sigma L_{n}$

which can be written as $\{P\} = \left[\Delta\right] \{y\}$

This matrix is given in table 6.

The deflections in terms of load were found by inverting the above matrix, as indicated in equation (21) of reference (1). .

·

·

The value of $\left[\bigtriangleup \right]^{-1}$ is given in table 7.

RESULTS

The results given in table 7 were compared with available experimental data, table 8. There was poor agreement, the computed values varying from 63 percent less (at 3, 3) to 5 percent more (at 1, 12) than experimental values.

Because of the poor agreement, particularly in the root region, consideration was given to possible causes for the discrepancy. A thorough check of the experimental techniques and computational procedure indicated that both were done accurately and that such large differences could not be the result of error. The only possible cause for the difference seemed to lie in the fact that the computations assumed the bulkheads rigidly connected to the cover sheets; whereas, actually they were riveted by single rivets to the tops of the corrugations. This could have made the structure much weaker in carrying torsional loads. To approximate this in the computation all the torsional stiffness matrices, table 5, were modified arbitrarily. The torsional stiffness of cells I and V, figure 4, was taken as zero, that of cells II and VI as 1/10 their original value, and that of the remaining cells as their original values. With these values the computation was repeated. The resulting load matrix is given in table 9 and its inverse, the influence coefficient matrix is given in table 10.

Comparison of tables 7, 8, and 10 indicates some improvement due to considering the shear stiffness of the bulkheads to be reduced. The discrepancy still remaining, particularly at (12,12) may also be due to using too high a shear stiffness, in this case for the spars. The computations neglect shear deformation of the spars, while for the heavy, thin, riveted spars used these deformations may not be negligible.

CONCLUSIONS

The lack of agreement of computed and experimental values is considered to be primarily due to lack of knowledge regarding the shearing deformations. Experiments on elementary structures are needed to provide better information in this regard.

For the Director,

B. L. Wilson, Chief, Engineering Mechanics Section. Division of Mechanics.

Washington, D. C.

1.0.0

REFERENCES

- (1) Levy, Samuel: Structural Analysis and Influence Coefficients for Delta Wings, NBS Report No. 2031 for Bureau of Aeronautics, Dept. of Navy, Oct. 1952.
- (2) Levy, Samuel: Influence Coefficients of Tapered Beams Computed on SEAC, NBS Report No. 1464 for Bureau of Aeronautics, Dept. of Navy, Feb. 1952.

.

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 P	PR 2		pa
Table 1-Bending stiffn beams for comp	utin	of equival g influenc	ent spar and rib e coefficients
E = 10.5 (10) $l_m = \text{distance}$ $I_m = \text{moment of}$ station m	6 lb; from ine:	s/in ² station m rtia of a	n-l to station m cross-section at
	m	l _m ,in.	10 ⁻⁶ EI _m ,1b. in ²
leading edge spar	0 1 2 3 4 5	2.27 8.74 11.64 11.64 11.22	24.286 28.886 21.976 13.398 7.754 1.064
main spar	012345	3.17 7.23 11.00 11.00 10.60	69.142 74.361 52.500 30.555 15.918 4.838
trailing edge spar	012345	9.83 10.39 10.39 6.37 3.65	37.306 27.069 15.498 9.825 7.113 3.567
rib 2	0 1 2	5•945 9•693	5•734 5•734 5•734
rib 3	0 1 2	4.883 8.567	2.948 2.948 2.948

0 1 2

0 1 2 3.834 7.432

0 2.808 6.347

rib 4

rib 5

1.307 1.307 1.307

0.4095 0.4095 0.4095

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

Table 2-Matrix $[\delta]$ for computing deflections in terms of loads, for spars and ribs considered as cantilever beams, $[d_j^{\perp} = [\delta] \{L\}$. Subscripts refer to stations in figure 4.

leading edge spar

$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_1 \\ d_7 \\ d_{10} \end{bmatrix} = 10^{-6}$	16.791301 43.716262 70.641223 96.594665	43.716262 157.487488 287.048389 411.934412	70.641223 287.048389 616.820283 960.486274	96.594665 411.934412 960.486274 1752.424891	L] L ₄ L7 L10
main spar	E 260072	14 061074	22 762875		[T -]
d5 =10-6	14.061974	52.298946	96.278684	138.659159	15 15
d8 d11	22.763875 31.149344	96.278684 138.659159	212.140098 334.001058	334.001058 604.296267	L8 LJJ
trailing ed	ge spar	24,141738	39,593932	54,206539	Tra T
$\begin{vmatrix} a_3 \\ a_6 \end{vmatrix} = n^{-6}$	24.441738	89.807517	164.657277	236.841549	L6
d12	54.206539	236.841549	559.135760	971.277820	L9 L12

$\begin{bmatrix} d_2 \\ d_3 \end{bmatrix} = 10^{-6}$	12.214526	42.087208	L2
	42.087208	222.312901	L3
rib 3 [d5]=10-6 [d6]	13.164714 47.810048	47.810048 275.117426	L5 L6
rib 4 [d8]=10-6 [d9]	14.373399 56.166478	56.166478 364.680368	L8 L9
rib 5 $\begin{bmatrix} d_{11} \\ d_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$	18.022546	79.127838	L ₁₁
	79.127838	624.597000	L ₁₂

page 8

-

Table 3- Matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \delta \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$ for computing loads in terms of deflections for spars and ribs, taking account of root condition: $\{L\} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \{y\}$ Subscripts refer to stations in figure 4.

leading edg L1 L4 L7 L7 L10	e_spar .124734 0976097 .0280772 00275172	0976097 .105965 0500207 .00856489	.0280772 0500207 .0390029 0112848	00275172 .00856489 0112848 .00490565	yı y4 y7 y10
main spar L2 L5 L8 L11	•777932 •355439 •0968070 •0120485	355439 .316882 154424 .0309630	.0968070 154424 .126966 0397324	0120485 .0309630 0397324 .0171318	У2 У5 У8 У11
trailing ed $\begin{bmatrix} L_3 \\ L_6 \\ L_9 \\ L_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$	ge_spar .214208 171456 .0553350 00818668	171456 .197616 109643 .0257392	.0553350 109643 .100034 0341868	00818668 .0257392 0341868 .0149271	у ₃ у6 у9 у12
rib 2 $\begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$.0311 <i>2</i> 40 0502133 .0190893	0502133 .0810106 0307973	.0190893 0307973 .0117080	yı y2 y3	
$ \begin{array}{c} r \underbrace{ib}_{1} 3 \\ L_{4} \\ L_{5} \\ L_{6} \end{array} = 10^{6} $.0275698 0432858 .0157160	0432858 .0679606 0246748	.0157160 0246748 .00895882	ダ4 ダ5 ダ6	
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_7 \\ \mathbf{L}_8 \\ \mathbf{L}_9 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$.0236767 0358910 .0122143	0358910 .0544064 0185154	.0122143 0185154 .00630109	У7 У8 У9	
rib 5 [L10 [L11]=10 ⁶ [L12]	.0170185 0245478 .00752923	0245478 .0354080 0108603	.00752923 0108603 .00333103	У10 У11 У12	

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR

Table 4-Torsional stiffness of torque boxes shown in figure 4 for use in computation

 $(G = 3.947 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/in}^2)$

Torque Box	10 ⁻⁶ GJ, 1bs. in ²
I	31.057743
II	17.060205
III	7.219533
IV	3.744005
V	45.299802
IV	29.758063
VII	13.317379
VIII	7.871230

page 10

Table 5-Torsional loads in terms of deflections, $\{L\} = [\delta]^{-1} \{y\}.$ Stations in figure 4 are referred to by subscripts.

$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$	- 330315 - 330310	330310 .330305	y1 y2		
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_1 \\ \mathbf{L}_2 \\ \mathbf{L}_4 \\ \mathbf{L}_5 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$.143618 143629 174723 .174734	143629 .143640 .174737 174748	174723 .174737 .212566 212579	.174734 174748 212579 .212593	ז ז צ ז ג ג ג ג
$\begin{bmatrix} L_{J_{1}} \\ L_{5} \\ L_{7} \\ L_{8} \end{bmatrix} = 10^{6}$	•143957	143947	183873	.183863	УЦ
	•143947	.143936	.183860	183849	У5
	•183873	.183860	.234856	234843	У7
	•183863	183849	234843	.234830	У8
$\begin{bmatrix} L_7 \\ L_8 \\ L_{10} \\ L_{11} \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$	095322	095345	129953	.129976	y7
	095345	.095368	.129985	130008	y8
	129953	.129985	.177167	177198	y10
	.129976	130008	177198	.177230	y11
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_2 \\ \mathbf{L}_3 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$	•576995 •577026	577026 .577056	[y2 y3]		
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_2 \\ \mathbf{L}_3 \\ \mathbf{L}_5 \\ \mathbf{L}_6 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$.319500 319518 361665 .361683	319518 .319536 .361686 361704	361665 .361686 .409395 409416	• 361683 • 361704 • 409416 • 409436	у 2 23 25 26
$\begin{bmatrix} Box & VII \\ L5 \\ L6 \\ L8 \\ L9 \end{bmatrix} = 10^6$.160351	160344	184729	.184722	У5
	160344	.160338	.184721	184714	У6
	184729	.184721	.212813	212805	У8
	.184722	184714	212805	.212798	У9
$ \begin{bmatrix} Box & VIII \\ L8 \\ L9 \\ L11 \\ L12 \end{bmatrix} = 10^{6} $.112283	112273	131573	.131562	У8
	112273	.112262	.131560	131550	У9
	131573	.131560	.154176	154164	У11
	.131562	131550	154164	.154151	У12

page ll

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

.044B92 °025739 -.056915 •007529 ~°037496 -.008187 .022731 0 0 0 0 -.012048 .030963 .050259 -.112736 .022730 .147708 -.037496 -.093066 0 0 С 0 .050262 °0641060° °007529 -0.002752 - ,093066 .008565 --061534 0 0 0 0 0 Table 6 - Load Matrix. $[\Delta]$ in $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{12}\} = 10^6 [\Delta] \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{12}\}$.027450 ,012210 -.056915 .055335 .022730 -.137092 -.069537 .157354 0 0 0 0 .337777 .053635 .050262 -.112736 .022731 .096807 -.235507 .027450 -.141266 -.069537 0 0 0.028077 **•053634** .168049 -.141266 .012214 -.061535 .050259 -.103659 0 0 0 0 · 04 7042 .015716 .027450 -.137092 -.101753 .283655 .025739 -.218501 0 0 0 0 .053634 .027450 -.1484.048 • 04 7042 .603142 .030963 0.081563 -.184506 -.101753 -.235507 0 0 .081564 -0.179168 .015716 .053635 .008565 .274751 -.184506 -.103659 0 0 0 0 0.019089 • 04 7042 -.139261 .334386 .055335 -.218501 -.008187 0 0 0 0 0 -.484048 -0.246342 1.163532 · 04 7042 .096807 -.139261 .081564 -.012048 0 0 0 0 0.351983 .081563 -.246342 .019089 -.179168 .028077 -.002752 0 0 0 0 0

ра£е 12

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

Table 7 - Influence coefficient matrix, $[\Delta \Gamma^{1}_{1n} \{y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots y_{12}\} = 10^{-5} [\Delta]^{-1} \{p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots p_{12}\}$ (in./lb.)

Unit load at station					Defle	ection at ste	tlon no.					
•ou	r	2	3	t,	2	Q,	7	8	6	10	11	12
1	10.6606	5.3839	4.5012	18.3460	14.1561	11.2895	25.2424	22.4937	19.2416	32.0979	30.2217	27.2128
5	5.3839	5.1713	5.6365	12.5056	12.6225	12.5133	19,8021	19.8120	19.7259	26.7618	26.7621	26.6955
ñ	4.5012	5.6365	15.3096	13.3441	16.1792	26.5152	24 . 2199	27.1809	35.7383	35.2185	37.5921	44.6288
4	18.3460	12.5056	13.3441	49.2445	40.3413	35.3353	77.9417	71.0377	63.4080	104.39148	99°1329	92.3884
м	14.1561	12.6225	16.1792	40.3413	40.9265	40.7325	70.5397	70.7877	69.7134	99.1568	99.2000	98 . 36 34
6	11.2895	12.5133	26.5152	35.3353	40.7325	61.4178	67.2523	73.7071	93.3447	100.6213	105.9193	122.2389
7	25.2424	19,8021	24.2199	71,94,17	70.5397	67.2523	155.2519	142.1422	130.0021	224.2203	214.6217	200.3632
8	22.4937	19.8120	27.1809	71.0377	70.7877	73.7071	142.1422	143.3462	140.0401	215.3920	215.7267	227.115
6	19.2416	19.7259	35.7383	63.4080	46.7134	93.3447	130.0021	140.0401	172.9873	207.5273	216.6172	247.5327
10	32.0979	26.7618	35.2185	104.3948	99.1668	100.6213	224.2203	215.3920	207.5274	384.2291	367.2525	345.0853
11	30.2217	26.7621	37.5921	99.7329	99.2000	105.9193	214.6217	215.7267	216.6173	367.2525	368.6910	357.8844
12	27.2128	26.6955	44,.6288	92.3884	4E3E.94	122.2389	200.3632	211.7227	247.5327	345.0853	357.8844	408.0012

ра£с 13

•

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

page 14

Table 8 - Measured influence coefficients.

One pound load at station no.		Defle	ction	(mi	cro-in	ches)	at	station	no	•		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	24.0	7.9	8.2	-	-	-	-	29.3	-	41.	-	33.
2	7.2	10.2	11.4	-	-	-	-	29.0	-	37.	-	43.
3	5.6	8.0	41.	-	-		-	52.2	-	63.	-	104.
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
8	22.8	26,	57.3	-	-	-	-	187.	-	249.	-	288.
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ŧ	-	-	~
10	35.	32.	69.	-	-	-	-	246.	-	445.	-	410.
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	262.	-	~1	-	-
12	26.	33.	97.	-	-	-	-	277.	-	399.	-	680

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

page 15

Table 9 - Influence coefficient matrix setting the torsional stiffness of calls I and V, figure 4 to zero, satting the torsional stiffness of calls II and VI to 1/10 the values used in table 6, and setting the torsional stiffness for calls III, VII, IV, and VIII to the values used in table 6. Matrix $[\Delta']$ in $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{12}\} = 10^6 [\Delta'] \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{12}\}$

0	0	-0.008187	0	0	0.025739	0	0.022731	-0.056915	0.007529	-0-037496	0.044892
o	-0.012049	0	0	0.030963	0	0.050259	-0.122736	0.022730	-0.093066	0.147708	-0-037496
-0.002752	o	0	0.008565	0	0	-0.061535	0.050262	0	0£4060*0	-0-093066	0.007529
0	0	0.055335	0	0.027451	-0.137092	0.012214	-0-069537	0.157354	0	0.022730	-0. 056915
0	0.096807	o	0.053635	-0.235507	0.027450	-0.141266	0.337777	-0*069537	0.050262	-0.122736	0.022731
0.028077	0	0	-0.103659	0.053634	0	0.168049	-0.141266	0.012214	-0.061535	0.050259	0
0	0.004704	-0.176161	0.015716	-0.053828	0.235727	0	0.027450	-0.137092	0	0	0.025739
0.008156	-0.368300	0°04704	-0.095200	0.465908	-0.053828	0.053634	-0.235507	0.027451	0	0.030963	0
-0.105765	0.008156	0	0.185451	-0.095200	0.015716	-0.103659	0.053635	0	0.008565	0	Q
0.019089	-0.034953	0.230072	0	0.004704	-0.176161	0	0	0.055335	0	0	-0.008187
-0.056918	0.869803	-0.034953	0.008156	-0-368300	0.004704	0	0.096807	0	0	-0.012049	0
0.162562	-0.056918	0.019089	-0.105765	0.008156	0	0.028077	0	0	-0.002752	0	0

NBS Lab. No. 6.4/276 PR 2

Table 10 - Influence coefficient matrix setting the torsional stiffness of cells I and V, figure 4 to zero, setting the torsional stiffness of calls II and V figure 4 to zero, setting the torsional stiffness of calls II and V to 1/10 the velues used in table 7, and satting the torsionel stiffness for cells III, VII, IV, and VII to the values used in table 7.

Metrix $[\Delta']^{-1}$ in $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{12}\} = 10^{-6} [\Delta']^{-1} \{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_{12}\} (1n, /1b.)$

18.4034 4t6.4486
59.5753 18.5421 22.2583
18.5421 90.h739 41.8531
22.2583 41.8531 45.0623
100.9298 21.9860 49.4815
6.3144 110.2230 74.8933
42 . 9827 69 . 7687 77 . 7194
119.4975 0.2079 80.4600
37.6280 121.8006 106.7333
65.0147 92.6209 108.5368
130.7273 31.0948 111.1004



b.,





(b) Cross section of wing at a-a'.

ı

NBS

center lines

Fig. 2 Geometry of Wing.

• .



Chor	dwise Bea	ms
Rib	Ľ	0.181 L
/	/5.638"	2.830 "
2	13.449"	2.434 "
3	/1.266"	2.039 "
4	9.155	1.657 "

NBS

Fig. 3 Selection of chordwise beams.

.



Fig. 4. Numbering of stations on wing. Designations for wing torque boxes.

.



----S line

Fig. 5 Cross-section for GJ computation for a leading edge torque box showing location of line S.

DISTRIBITION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED REPORTS ON

BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS PROJECT TED NBS AD 220

Beech Aircraft Corporation Wichita, Kansas	(1)	Bell Aircraft Corporation Buffalo 5, New York	(1)
Boeing Aircraft Corporation Seattle 14, Washington	(1)	Chance Vought Aircraft Dallas, Texas	(1)
Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation Fort Worth Texas	t (1)	Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation San Diego 12, California	(1)
Douglas Aircraft Corporation Santa Monica, California	n (1)	Douglas Aircraft Corporation El Segundo Division	
Foinshild Arristion Component	ion	El Segundo, California	(1)
Hagerstown, Maryland	(1)	Grumman Aircraft Engineering Co Bethpage, Long Island, New Yorl	orp. k (l
Hughes Aircraft Company			
Culver City, California	(1)	Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Burbank, California	(1)
Baltimore 3, Maryland	(1)	McDonnell Aircraft Corporation	
North American Aviation, Ind 12241 Lakewood Blvd.	с.	St. Louis, Missouri	(1)
Downey, California	(1)	Northrop Aircraft, Inc. Hawthorne, California	(1)
Republic Aviation Corporation Farmingdale, Long Island, New York	on (1)	Ryan Aeronautical Company San Diego 12, California	(1)
National Advisory Committee Aeronautics	for	National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	(1)
Washington. D. C.	(18)	Civil Aeronautics Administratio	on
Civil Aeronautics Administra	ation	(Airframes and Equipment Section Washington, D. C.	on) (1)
Regional Office			
385 Madison Avenue New York, New York	(1)	Civil Aeronautics Administration Regional Office	on
Civil Aeronautics Administra Regional Office	ation	Atlanta 3, Georgia	(1)
P. O. Box 689 Ft. Worth, Texas	(1)	Civil Aeronautics Administratic Regional Office 9th Floor, City Hall Building	on
Civil Aeronautics Administra Regional Office	ation	Kansas City 6, Missouri	(1)
5651 West Manchester Avenue Los Angeles 45, California	(1)	Civil Aeronautics Administration Regional Office P. O. Box 3224, Smith Tower And Seattle 14, Washington	on nex (1)

-

.

Commanding Officer Naval Air Material Center (1)Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Bureau of Aeronautics General Representative Central District Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (2)Dayton, Ohio Cornell Aeronautical Labatory Box 235 Buffalo 21, New York (1)Midwest Research Institute 4049 Pennsylvania Avenue Kansas City 2, Missouri (1)Bell Aircraft Corporation (1)

North American Aviation, Inc. Columbus, Ohio (1)

Ft. Worth, Texas

Commanding Officer Naval Air Development Station Johnsville, Pennsylvania (1)Bureau of Aeronautics Technical Data Washington 25, D. C. (2)Southwest Research Institute Engineering Mechanics Section P. O. Box 2296 San Antonio 6, Texas (1)Armed Services Technical Information Agency Document Service Center Knott Building Dayton 2, Ohio (3) Lockheed Aircraft Corporation

(1)

Marietta, Georgia

- 2 -

,

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Functions and Activities

The functions of the National Bureau of Standards are set forth in the Act of Congress, March 3, 1901, as amended by Congress in Public Law 619, 1950. These include the development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement and the provision of means and methods for making measurements consistent with these standards; the determination of physical constants and properties of materials; the development of methods and instruments for testing materials, devices, and structures; advisory services to Government Agencies on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; and the development of standard practices, codes, and specifications. The work includes basic and applied research, development, engineering, instrumentation, testing, 'evaluation, calibration services, and various consultation and information services. A major portion of the Bureau's work is performed for other Government Agencies, particularly the Department of Defense and the Atomic Energy Commission. The scope of activities is suggested by the listing of divisions and sections on the inside of the front cover.

Reports and Publications

The results of the Bureau's work take the form of either actual equipment and devices or published papers and reports. Reports are issued to the sponsoring agency of a particular project or program. Published papers appear either in the Bureau's own series of publications or in the journals of professional and scientific societies. The Bureau itself publishes three monthly periodicals, available from the Government Printing Office: The Journal of Research, which presents complete papers reporting technical investigations; the Technical News Bulletin, which presents summary and preliminary reports on work in progress; and Basic Radio Propagation Predictions, which provides data for determining the best frequencies to use for radio communications throughout the world. There are also five series of nonperiodical publications: The Applied Mathematics Series, Circulars, Handbooks, Building Materials and Structures Reports, and Miscellaneous Publications.

Information on the Bureau's publications can be found in NBS Circular 460, Publications of the National Bureau of Standards (\$1.25) and its Supplement (\$0.75), available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office. Inquiries regarding the Bureau's reports and publications should be addressed to the Office of Scientific Publications, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C.

