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## Introduction

Consider a system of linear equations
(I)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu}=y_{\mu}
$$

$$
(\mu=I, \ldots, n)
$$

With the matrix $A$, in which all diagonal elements $a_{\mu \mu}(\mu=1, \ldots, n)$ are $\neq 0$ and the elements off the diagonal satisfy for two positive numbers $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{M}$ the inequalities
(2)

$$
\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqslant m\left|a_{\mu \mu}\right| \quad(\nu<\mu ; \mu=1, \ldots, n),
$$

$$
\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq M\left|a_{\mu \mu}\right| \quad(\nu>\mu ; \mu=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

If $n$ is very small, the system does not essentially differ Prom the corresponding "triangular" system in which all a $a_{\nu \nu}$ with $\nu<\mu$ are re placed ky zeros and the matrix of which will be denoted by $A(0)$. It appears then plausible that the solutions of this "triangular" system does not differ very much from that of the system (I).

However the value of the determinant of the order $n$

shows that if $M$ is for instance $\sum 10$, the determinant of our system will not be even necessarily different from zero un jess $m<10^{-(n-1)}$. A detailed study of the problems connected with the matrices characterized by (2) appears therefore to be of importance and interest.

As the first problemein this connection we give a necessary and surficient condition for the determinants of all matrices $A$ satisfying the conditions (2) being non singular. This condition is, if $m<M$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{(1+m)^{n}}<\frac{M}{(1+M)^{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{M}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m<\frac{1}{n-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$



In order to obtain a precise measure of the influence of the change from $A$ to $A(0)$, we have to discuss the estimates for convenient norms of the matrix $A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}$.

We consider in particular two such norms defined in the section IV and denoted by $\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-I}\right|_{p}(p=I, \infty)$, which are particularly suitable for the problems of Numerical Analysis. We show then, in assuming without loss or generality that $a_{\mu \mu}=1(\mu=1, \ldots, n)$,
that for given values of $m$, $M$ we have, if $M \geqslant \frac{1.5}{n}, n \geqslant 4$ :
(4) $\quad\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}=(1+M)^{n-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \quad\left(M \geqslant \frac{1.5}{n}, n \geqslant 4\right)$

Where I- $\delta$ is the smallest modulus of the determinant attainable for the matrices $A$ and is connected with $m$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{M_{n} m}{I-\theta \frac{m}{M}}, \quad 0<\theta<I \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(6)

$$
M_{n}=\frac{(I+M)^{n}-n M-I}{M}
$$

If $\mathbb{N}<\frac{1.5}{n}$, the formula (4) need not be valid any longer, out we can prove in this case the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{p} \leqslant \frac{6 n m}{1-2 n m} \quad(M \leqslant 1,5 / n) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid as long as m remains less than $\frac{1}{2 n}$.
The estimate (7) is not a "best" estimate for all values of $M \leqq \frac{1.5}{n}$ lout still it is not very far from tho vest, since for $m=M \leqq \frac{I}{n-I}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{p} \leqq \frac{(n-1) m}{1-(n-1) m} \quad\left(m=M<\frac{1}{n-1}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which canot be improved for any value of $m<\frac{I}{n-1}$.
The condition (3) is derived in the section III of this paper, theorem $B$. However, we derive it as a special case or a more genemal theorem concerning the case where in the inequalities (2) the ex pressions $m, M$ depend on $\mu$, that is to say, change from one row to another. The necessamy and sufficient conclition of all matrices A being regular (theorem $A$, section III) is in this case ather unwieldy, rot may be still very useful in some cases, since it contains $2 n-2$ instead of two essential parameters. The direct derivation of theorem $B$ is of course much simpler since, as the reader will immediately see, the computations of the deteminant $\Omega_{n}$ in the section $I$ can be con... sideralule shortened in this case. The connection between the fommal algebra of the section I and II and the theorems $A$ and $B$ js provided by a result concerning the so-called $I$-determinants and M-determinants Which I pulolished I5 years ago[1]. The results about the norms $\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}$ are obtained in using the explicit representation of the inverse matrix of a certain matrix $\Delta_{F}$ which provides a majorant for all matrices $A^{-1}$. The formulae giving $\Delta_{n}^{-1}$ are derived in the second part of the section II, and in the section $V$ the norms $\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}$ are derived and discussed. The corresponding inequalities for $\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{P}$ are then obtained in the section VII, in using a nev theorem (Iemma III) concemning the connection loetween the H-determinants and the $M$-determinants.

We give in this section another application of this theorem in estimating the variation in the inverse matrix of a triangular matrix satisfying the conditions (2) with $m=0$. We ootain an unexpected simple and elegant formula (VI,33).

In the section VII we apply our results explicitly to the problems concerning the linear syitem (I). It may be finally remarked that our results remain with obvious changes valid if in the matrix
or (I) the rows and the columns are interchanged, although we did not care to mention it explicitly at every step ${ }^{2}$ ).
I. The Value of the eterminant $\Omega_{n}$

Let $K_{n}$ ne defined by
$(I, I) \quad K_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{llllllll}k_{n} & \delta_{n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ k_{n-1} & k_{n-1} & \delta_{n-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_{n-2} & k_{n-2} & k_{n-2} & \delta_{n-2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ k_{2} & k_{2} & k_{2} & k_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & k_{2} \\ k_{1} & k_{1} & k_{1} & k_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & k_{1} \\ k_{1}\end{array}\right| \quad(n \geqslant 3)$,

$$
K_{1}=K_{1} \quad, \quad K_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
K_{2} & \delta_{2} \\
k_{1} & k_{1}
\end{array}\right|=K_{1}\left(K_{2}-\delta_{2}\right),
$$

where in the $\mu$-t hov all elements to the left of the main diagonal and on this diagonal are equal to $K_{n-\mu}+1$, the next element to the right is $\delta_{n-\mu+1}$ and all other elements are $0 . \quad K_{\mu}, \delta_{\mu}$ are here indiependent variables. In subtracting the second column from the first we obtain $K_{n}=\left(K_{n}-\delta_{n}\right) K_{n-1}$ and therefore the following formula, valid al \$o for $n=1,2:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=k_{1} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n}\left(k_{\nu}-\delta_{\nu}\right) . \tag{I,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now for $n \geqq 3$ the determinant
$(I, 3) \quad T_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{llllllll}\delta_{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{n} \\ \gamma_{n-1} & \delta_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{n-1} \\ \gamma_{n-2} & \gamma_{n-2} & \delta_{n-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{n-2} \\ \dot{\gamma_{2}} & \dot{\gamma}_{2} & \dot{\gamma}_{2} & \gamma_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \dot{\gamma}_{2} & \beta_{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0\end{array}\right| \quad(n \geqq 3)$.

We have in particular

$$
(I, 4) \quad T_{3}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{3} & 0 & \beta_{3} \\
\gamma_{2} & \delta_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right|=-\left(\delta_{3} \beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\left(\delta_{2}-\gamma_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Developing $T_{n}$ in the elements of the first line and using the value $(I, 2)$ of $K_{n}$ we obtain for $n \geqq 4$

$$
T_{n}=\delta_{n} T_{n-1}-\beta_{n} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n-1}\left(\delta_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}\right),
$$

$$
\frac{T_{n}}{\delta_{2} \ldots \delta_{n}}=\frac{T_{n-1}}{\delta_{2} \ldots \delta_{n-1}}-\frac{\beta_{n}}{\delta_{n}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n=1}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right)_{g}
$$

and therefore generally for $n \geqslant 4$

$$
(I, 5) \frac{T_{n}}{\delta_{2} \ldots \delta_{n}}=-\left[\frac{\beta_{n}}{\delta_{n}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right)+\frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\delta_{n-1}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right)+\ldots+\frac{\beta_{4}}{\delta_{\psi}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{3}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{\nu}}{\delta_{\theta}}\right)\right]+\frac{T_{b}}{\delta_{2} \delta_{g}} .
$$

Since by ( 1,4 )

$$
\frac{T_{3}}{\delta_{2} \delta_{3}}=-\frac{\beta_{3}}{\delta_{3}}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\delta_{2}}\right)-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\delta_{2}}
$$

We obtain

$$
\frac{-T_{n}}{\delta_{2} \ldots \delta_{n}}=\sum_{\mu=2}^{n} \frac{\beta_{\mu}}{\delta_{\mu}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\mu-1}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right),
$$

Where $\prod_{V=2}^{d}$ is identically 1 , and therefore finally


If we now put
(I, 7)

$$
T_{3}^{*}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{1} & 0 & \beta_{1} \\
\gamma_{2} & \delta_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right| ;
$$

this goes over into $T_{n}$ if the indices of $\beta_{y}, \gamma_{y}, \delta_{y}$ are replaced by their complements with respect to $n+1$. We obtain then from (I, $\sigma$ )

$$
T_{n}^{*}=-\sum_{\mu=2}^{n} \beta_{n+1-\mu} \prod_{\nu+\beta+2}^{n} \delta_{n+\{-\nu} \prod_{\gamma=2}^{M=1}\left(g_{n+1-\nu}-\gamma_{n+2-\nu}\right) ;
$$

or in replacing the summation index by $n+1-\alpha$

$$
T_{n}^{*}=\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \beta_{k} \prod_{\nu=n+2-k}^{n} \delta_{n+1-\nu} \prod_{n=2}^{n-1}\left(S_{n+p-\gamma}-\gamma_{n+1-\nu}\right) g
$$

and finally, if fin both products $V$ is replaced by $n+1-\lambda$,
(I, 8)

$$
T_{n}^{*}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_{n} \prod_{x=1}^{k-1} \delta_{2} \prod_{\lambda=k \times 2=2}^{n}\left(\delta_{2}-\gamma_{2}\right) .
$$

Consider now for $\mathrm{n} \geqq 3$ the determinant
$\left.(I, 9) \quad \Omega_{n}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccccc}-m_{3} & -m_{3} & \alpha_{3} & \cdots & \cdots\end{array}\right.\right) \cdot-M_{3} \mid$.
If we subtract here the last column from each of the proceeding ones we obtain,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{1}+\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0-M_{2} \\
M_{2}-m_{2} & M_{2}+\alpha_{2} & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
M_{3}-m_{3} & M_{3}-m_{3} & M_{3}+\alpha_{3} & \cdots & 0-M_{2} \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \ddots & 0 \\
& & & \\
M_{n-1}-m_{n-1} & M_{n-1}-m_{n-1} & M_{n-1}-m_{n-1} & 0 M_{n=1} m_{n-1}-M_{n-1} \\
-\left(\alpha_{n}+m_{n}\right) & -\left(\alpha_{n}+m_{n}\right) & -\left(\alpha_{n}+m_{n}\right) & -\left(\alpha_{n}+m_{1}\right) & \alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Here the subdeterminant corresponding to the last element of the last row is obviously $\prod_{\nu=1}^{n-1}\left(M, \alpha_{\nu}\right)$, so that $\Omega_{n}$ is the sum of $\alpha_{n} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n-1}\left(M_{\nu}+\alpha_{\nu}\right)$ and

$$
-\left(\alpha_{n}+m_{n}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
M_{1}+\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -M_{1} \\
M_{2}-m_{2} & M_{2}+\alpha_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -M_{2} \\
M_{3}-m_{3} & M_{3}-m_{3} & M_{3}+\alpha_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -M_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
M_{n-1}-m_{n-1} & M_{n-1}-m_{n-1} & M_{n-1}-m_{n+1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 M_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-1}-M_{n-1} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right|
$$

This last determinant becomes $T_{n}$ * if we put

$$
\delta_{\nu}=M_{\nu}+\alpha_{\nu}, \quad \gamma_{\nu}=M_{\nu}-m_{\nu}, \beta_{\nu}=M_{\nu} \quad(\nu=1, \ldots, n-1),
$$

and has therefore by $(1,8)$ the value

$$
-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(-M_{k}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{k-1}\left(M_{\lambda}+\alpha_{\lambda}\right) \prod_{\lambda=k+1}^{n-1}\left(m_{\lambda}+\alpha_{\lambda}\right)
$$

We obtain therefore for $\Omega_{n}$ the expression

$$
(I, I 0) \Omega_{n}=\alpha_{n} \prod_{v=1}^{n-1}\left(M_{\nu}+\alpha_{\nu}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M_{k} \prod_{\lambda=1}^{k-1}\left(M_{\lambda}+\alpha_{\lambda}\right) \prod_{\lambda=k+1}^{n}\left(m_{\lambda}+\alpha_{\lambda}\right)
$$

The determinant $\Omega_{n}$ can be also written in the form

$$
(I, I I) \quad \Omega_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
\alpha_{n} & -m_{n} & -m_{n} & \cdots & \cdots-m_{n} & -m_{n} \\
-M_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-1} & -m_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & -m_{n-1} \\
-M_{n-2} & -m_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-2} & \cdots & \cdots m_{n-2} & -m_{n-2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-M_{2} & -M_{2} & -M_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{2} \\
-M_{1} & -M_{1} & -M_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & -m_{2} \\
-M_{1} & \alpha_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and we obtain therefore from (I,I0)
(I,12) $\quad \Omega_{n}=\alpha_{1} \prod_{\nu=2}^{n}\left(m_{\nu}+\alpha_{\nu}\right)-\sum_{k=2}^{n} m_{k} \prod_{\mu=k=1}^{n}\left(m_{\mu}+\alpha_{\mu}\right) \prod_{\mu=1}^{k-1}\left(M+\alpha_{\mu}\right)$.
II. The Matrix $\Delta_{n}$ and its Inverse

We consider now the matrix
$(I I, I) \Delta_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & -M & -M & 0 & -M \\ -m & 1 & -M & 0 & 0 & -M \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \\ -m & -m & -m & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
Its determinant is obtained from $\Omega_{n}$ in putting in (I,I2)

$$
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\ldots \alpha_{n}=1 ; m_{2}=m_{3}=\ldots m_{n}=m ; M_{1}=M_{2}=\ldots=M_{n=1}=M_{0}
$$

We obtain

$$
\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=(m+1)^{n-1}-m \sum_{k=2}^{n}(m+1)^{n-k}(M+1)^{k-1}
$$

and this becomes, if $M \neq m,=(m+1)^{n-1}-m(M+1) \frac{(M+1)^{n-1}-(m+1)^{n-1}}{M-M}=$

$$
=\frac{I}{M-m}\left[(m+I)^{n-I}(M-m+m(M+I))-m(M+I)^{n}\right]
$$

$\left.(I I, 2) \quad\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{M-m}\left[M(m+1)^{n}-m(M+1)^{n}\right] \quad(m \neq M) 9^{22}\right)$
while for $m=M$ we obtain from (II, 2 ) in letting $M \rightarrow m$ :
(I IT) $\quad\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=(1-(n-1) m)(1+m)^{n-1} \quad(m=M)$.

In particular we see that under hypothesis in < M necessary and sufficient for $\left.\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\right\rangle 0$ is
(II, 4)

$$
\frac{m}{(m+1)^{n}}<\frac{M}{(M+1)^{n}}
$$

We assume now in particular
(II, 5) $m<M \quad$.

If we introduce the abbreviations
(II, 6) $\quad M_{n}=\frac{(1+M)^{n}-n M-1}{M}, m_{n}=\frac{(1+m)^{n}-n M-1}{m}$,
we can write (I I,2) in the form
$(M-m)\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=M\left(I+n m+m m_{n}\right)-m\left(I+n M+M M_{n}\right)=M-m-m M\left(M_{n}-m_{n}\right)$
and therefore, it we put
(II, 7)

$$
\hat{\delta}=I-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|_{\partial}
$$

$$
\left(M_{n}\right) \delta=m M\left(M_{n}-m_{n}\right)
$$

$(I I, 8) \quad \frac{\delta}{m M}=\frac{M_{n}-m_{n}}{M-m}$

It follows from $(I I, 5)$ for $n \geqslant 2$

$$
\frac{M_{n}-m_{n}}{M-m}=\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}\binom{n}{\nu} \frac{M^{\nu-1}-m^{\nu-1}}{M-m}>\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}\binom{n}{\nu} M^{\nu-2}=\frac{(1+M)^{n}-1-n M}{M^{2}}=\frac{M_{n}}{M}
$$

so that from (II, 8) we have
(II, 9)

$$
\frac{M_{n}}{1-\frac{m}{M}}>\frac{\delta}{m}>M_{n}
$$

It follows in particular if (II, 4) holds $\delta>0,0<\left|\Delta_{n}\right|<I$. In solving (II, 9) with respect to $m$ we obtain
(II, 10) $\quad \frac{\delta}{M_{n}+\frac{\delta}{M}}<m<\frac{\delta}{M_{n}}, m=\frac{\delta}{M_{n}+\theta \frac{\delta}{M}}, 0<\theta<1$.
$\left(I I, 10^{\circ}\right) \quad M_{n} m<\delta<\frac{M_{n} m}{1-\frac{m}{M}}, \quad S=\frac{M_{n} m}{1-\theta \frac{m}{M}}, 0<\theta<4$.

In order to discuss the meaning of the condition (II, 4), consider the curve
(II ,II)

$$
y=f(x)=\frac{x}{(1+x)^{n}}
$$

we have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1-(n-1) x}{(1+x)^{n+1}} f^{\prime \prime}(x)=n \frac{(n-1) x-2}{(1+x)^{n+2}}
$$

For $x>0$ the curve (II, II) has one maximum at $x=\frac{1}{n-1}$ and an inflection point at $x=\frac{2}{n-1}$.

In the diagram 1 the curve (II ,II) is drawn (computed for $n=5$ ). The portion of this curve from the point 0 to the highest point $T$ will be denoted as the ascending branch and the portion between $T$ and $x=\infty$ as the descending branch.
$\dot{r}(x)$

If we assume that in (II, 4) $m$ is less than $M$, we see that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m<\frac{1}{n-1}, \quad M>\frac{1}{n-I} \tag{II,I2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or
(II,I20) m $M \frac{1}{n-I}$.
In order to find for a given $M>\frac{1}{n-1}$ the range of the values of $m$ satisfying (II, 4) we find on the curve the second point $P_{M}$ with the same ordinate as the point $x=M$, then if to $P_{M}$ corresponds the abcissa $m(\mathbb{M})$ we have $0<m<m(M)$.

We prove finally that from (II,4) and $m<M$ always follows $m M^{n-1}<1$.

In cred in proving (II, 13) we can obviously assume that $M$ is $>I$ and therefore on the descending branch in the diagram but then we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{M^{n-1}}}=\frac{M^{n-1}}{1+M^{n-1}}>\frac{M}{1+M}
$$

and therefore in raising it to the $n$th power

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{M^{n-1}}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{M^{n-1}}\right)^{n}}>\frac{M}{(1+M)^{n}}
$$

we see that $\frac{I}{M^{\mathrm{M}-1}}$ must lie in the fig. $I$ between $M$ and $m(M)$ and it follows $\frac{I}{M^{n}-1}>m$, that is (II, 13).

We are going nov to determine the inverse matrix
(II, 14) $\quad \Delta_{n}^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{\mu \nu}\right) \quad(\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)$
of $\Delta_{n}$, if its determinant (I I,2) resp. (II,3) is $\neq 0$. It is sufficient for this purpose to solve the corresponding linear system

$$
\left(\text { II, 15) } \quad x_{\mu}-m \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} x_{\nu}-M \sum_{\nu=\mu+1}^{n} x_{\nu}=z_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)\right.
$$

for indeterminants $z_{M}$. Put

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}+\ldots .+x_{n}=s, \frac{m-M}{M+1}=\sigma \\
& \frac{M}{1+M}=\lambda, 1+\sigma=\frac{1+m}{1+M}=q  \tag{II,I6}\\
& \frac{z}{1+M}=\alpha_{v}, \quad \alpha_{\nu}+\lambda s=y_{v}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the system (II,15) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+M) x_{\mu} & =(m-M)\left(x_{2}+\ldots-x_{\mu-1}\right)+M s+z_{\mu}(\mu=1, \ldots, n) \\
x_{\mu} & =\sigma\left(x_{1}+\ldots+x_{\mu-1}\right)+y_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

or in putting

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\nu}=x_{1}+\ldots+x_{\nu}(\nu=1, \ldots, n), \quad S_{0}=0, \\
S_{\mu}-S_{\mu=1}=\sigma S_{\mu-1}+y_{\mu}, S_{\mu}=(1+\sigma) S_{\mu-1}+y_{\mu}=q_{\mu-1}+y_{\mu} g \\
S_{\mu} q^{-\mu}=S_{\mu-1} q^{-\mu+1}+y_{\mu} q^{-\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n) \\
S_{\mu} q^{-\mu}=\sum_{\nu=2} y_{\nu} q^{-\nu},
\end{gathered}
$$

(II, 17) $\quad S_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} y_{\nu} q^{\mu-\nu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)$
(I I,18)

$$
x_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} y_{\nu}(q-1) q^{\mu-\nu-1}+y_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

$\operatorname{From}(I I, I 7)$ for $\mu=n$ we have by (II, I6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad S_{n}=s=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} y_{\nu} q^{n-\nu}=\lambda s \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} q^{n-\nu}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \alpha_{\nu} q^{n-\nu}, \\
& (I I, I 9) \quad s=25 \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \alpha_{\nu} q^{n-\nu},
\end{aligned}
$$

Where for $m=M, q=1$ the coefficient of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is to be replaced by $n$. Since for $m \neq M$

$$
1-\lambda \frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}=\frac{m-M q^{n}}{m-M}=\frac{M q^{n}-m}{M-m}
$$

we have from (II,19) and (I I,2)
(II, 20)

$$
s=Q \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \alpha_{\nu} q^{n-\nu} \quad(m \neq M)
$$

(II, RI)

$$
Q=\frac{M-m}{M q-m}=\frac{(M+1)^{n}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad(m \neq M)
$$

While for $m=M$ we obtain again (I I,20) in defining $Q$ by
(II, 21 ${ }^{\circ}$ )

$$
Q=\frac{m+1}{1-(n-1) m}=\frac{(m+1)^{n}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad(m=M)
$$

If we now replace in $(I I, I 8) Y_{\nu}$ by $\lambda s+\alpha_{\nu}$ and use for $s$ the expressions from (I I,20)

$$
x_{\mu}=(q-1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} \alpha_{v} q^{\mu-\nu-1}+\alpha_{v}+\lambda s\left[(q-1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} q^{\mu-\nu-1}+1\right]
$$

But here the expression within the brackets is $q^{M-1}$ and we obtain therefore

$$
x_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} \alpha_{\nu} q^{\mu-\nu-1}(q-1)+\alpha_{\mu}+\lambda Q q^{\mu-1}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \alpha_{\nu} q^{n-\nu} \quad\left(\mu=1, \ldots \rho^{n}\right),
$$

and finally in introducing $\alpha_{\nu}$ from (II, 16 )

$$
(I I, 22) \quad x_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} z_{\nu} q^{\mu-\nu-1} \frac{q-1}{M+1}+\frac{z_{\mu}}{M+1}+\frac{\lambda Q q^{\mu-1}}{M+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} z_{\nu} q^{n-\nu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n) \cdot
$$

Introduce the two following triangular matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (I I, 23) \quad U_{n}(q)=\left(u_{\mu \nu}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & - & - & - \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\
q & 1 & 0 & & \\
q^{2} & q & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\therefore & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array}\right), \\
& \text { (II,24) } \quad u_{\mu \nu}= \begin{cases}q^{\mu-\nu-1} & (\mu>\nu) \\
0 & (\mu \leqq \nu)\end{cases} \\
& \text { (II,25) } \quad V_{n}(q)=\left(v_{\mu \nu}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & q^{-1} & q^{-2} & q^{-3} & \ldots \\
0 & q^{-n+1} \\
0 & 0 & q^{-1} & q^{-2} & \ldots . \\
q^{-n+2} \\
\cdots & - & \cdots & \cdots & . . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots .
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$(I I, 26) \quad v_{\mu \nu}= \begin{cases}0 & (\mu \geqslant \nu) \\ q^{\mu-\nu}(\mu<\nu)\end{cases}$
We see then that in (II,22) the matrix corresponding to the first right . hand side is

$$
\frac{a-I}{M+I} U_{n}=\frac{m-M}{(M+I)^{2}} U_{n}
$$

while to the second right hand sum in (II,22) corresponds the matrix

$$
\frac{\lambda_{Q}}{M+1} q^{n-1}\left(q U_{n}+E_{n}+V_{n}\right)
$$

We obtain

$$
\Delta_{n}^{-1}=\left(\frac{m-M}{(M+1)^{2}}+\frac{M Q q^{n}}{(M+1)^{2}}\right) U_{n}+\left(\frac{1}{M+1}+\frac{M Q q^{n-1}}{(M+1)^{2}}\right) E_{n}+\frac{M Q q^{n-1}}{(M+1)^{2}} V
$$

The coefficient of $U_{n}$ is here equal to $\frac{m Q}{(M+1)^{2}}$ both in the case (II, 2l) and (II, $2 I^{\circ}$ ) and we have finally
(II, 27) $\quad \Delta_{n}^{-1}=\frac{m Q}{(M+1)^{2}} U_{n}+\left(\frac{1}{M+1}+\frac{M Q q^{n-1}}{(M+1)^{2}}\right) E_{n}+\frac{M Q q^{n-1}}{(M+1)^{2}} V_{n}$.

We now denote by $s_{\mu}$ the sum of the elements in the $\mu$ th row of the matrix (I I,27) and by ${ }^{t} \mu$ the corresponding sum in the $\mu$ th column. If we assume first $\boldsymbol{M}=M_{\mathcal{I}}$ for the matrices $U_{n}$ and $V_{n}$ the $\mu$ th row terms are respectively equal to

$$
\frac{q^{\mu-1}-1}{q-1} ; \frac{1-q^{\mu-n}}{q-1},
$$

While the $\boldsymbol{v}$-th column sums are

$$
\frac{q^{\mu-\nu}-1}{q-1} ; \frac{1-q^{1-\nu}}{q-1}
$$

We obtain now from (II, 27) by (II, 16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(M+1)^{2} s_{p} & =m Q \frac{q^{n-1}}{q-1}+M+1+M Q q^{n-1}+M Q \frac{q^{n-1}-q^{\mu-1}}{q-1}= \\
& =\frac{Q}{\sigma}\left[m q^{\mu-1}-m+M q^{n}-M q^{n-1}+M q^{n-1}-M q^{\mu-1}\right]+M+1= \\
& =\frac{M+1}{m-M} Q\left[M q^{n}-m+(m-M) q^{p-1}\right]+M+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is $\mathrm{Ky}(I I, 2 I)=(M+1)$ Q and . We have finally
$(I I, 28) \quad S_{\mu}=\frac{Q}{M+1} q^{\mu-1}=\frac{(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} q^{\mu-1}$
and this remains true also for $m=M$, as is immediately seen.
Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(M+1)^{2} t_{\nu} & =m Q \frac{q^{n-\nu}-1}{q-1}+M+1+M Q q^{n-1}+M Q \frac{q^{n-1}-q^{n-\nu}}{q}= \\
& =\frac{Q}{\sigma}\left[m q^{n-\nu}-m+M q^{n}-M q^{n-1}+M q^{n-1}-M q^{n-\nu}\right]+M+1= \\
& =\frac{M+1}{m-M} Q\left[M q^{n}-m+(m-M) q^{n-\nu}\right]+M+1=(M+1) Q q^{n-\nu},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have
(II,29) $\quad t_{v}=\frac{Q}{M+1} q^{n-v}=\frac{(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|A_{n}\right|} q^{n-v}$,
a relation which is also immediately verified for the case $m=M$.
III. Bounds for the Determinants, depending on $\Omega_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}$

A determinant
(III,I) $M=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}\alpha_{1} & -m_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & -m_{1 n} \\ -m_{21} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & . & -m_{2 n} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ -m_{n 1} & -m_{n 2} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{n}\end{array}\right|$
will be called an M-determinant if all diagonal elements $\alpha_{0}$ are positive, all elements off the main diagonal, $-m_{\mu \nu}(\mu+\nu)$ are not positive and the determinant $M$ as well as of all principal (coaxial) minors of $M$ of all degrees are positive.

In what follows we will have to use a theorem given by the author in $1937[I]$ and which will be formulated as Lemma $I$. If we have for the M-determinant (III, I) and a determinant $H=\left|h_{\mu \nu}\right| \quad(\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)$ of the order n the inequalities
(II IT) $\quad\left|h_{\nu \nu}\right| \geqq \alpha_{\nu}, \quad\left|h_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq m_{\mu \nu}\left(\mu \neq \nu_{j} \mu_{\nu}=1, \cdot,, n\right)$,
then $H \neq 0$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H| \geqq M \tag{III,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

: f (H), (M) respectively denote the matrices of the determinants $H$ and $M$, the inverse matrix of ( $H$ ) is majorated by the inverse matrix of ( $M$ )
(III, $3^{\circ}$ )
$(\mathrm{H})^{-1} \ll(\mathrm{M})^{-1}$
-

Suppose now that in the determinant $\Omega_{n}$ given $0 y(I, 9)$ all $\alpha_{\nu}$ are posi five and $m_{v}, M_{\nu}$ non negative: Then it follows from (I ,IO) that $\Omega_{n}$ is a monotonically decreasing function of all $m_{v}$ and from (I,12) that $M$ is also monotonically decreasing in all $M_{*}$. Suppose now that for a certain set of values of $\alpha_{\nu}$, il $\nu, M_{\nu}$ the determinant $\Omega_{\eta} \neq 0$.

Then replace the small $\mathrm{m}_{\nu}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\nu}$ corresponding to certain rows $\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k}\right)$ by zeros; the determinant $\Omega_{n}$ cannot decrease and remains therefore positive; lout then $\Omega_{n}$ becomes equal to the product of $\alpha_{\nu_{1}} \alpha_{\nu_{2} \ldots} \alpha_{\nu_{k}}$ with the principal minor complementary to the set of indices $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k}$. Therefore all principal minors of $\Omega_{n}$ are positive. We see that $\Omega_{n}$ is an M-aterminant, if the conditions (III, 4) are satisfied and $\Omega_{n} \neq 0$. But now we can easily deduce the following theorem.
A. Consider the set of all determinants $A=\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right|$ satisfying the conditions
(II IE)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|a_{\mu}\right| \leqq m_{\mu}(\nu<\mu) \quad\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq M_{\mu}(\nu>\mu), \\
\left|a_{\mu \mu}\right| \geqq \alpha_{\mu} \quad(\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{\mu}$ are $n$ given positive constants and $m_{\mu}, M_{\mu} 2 n-2$ given nonnegative constants. Then necessary and sufficient in order that all determinants $A$ of this set do not vanish is the inequality $\quad \Omega_{n}>0$. If this condition is satisfied, we have
(III, 6)

$$
|A| \geqq \Omega_{n}
$$

Proof: Since in the case $\Omega_{n}>0, \Omega_{n}$ is an M-determinant, the suficiency of our condition follows immediately from the inequality (III, 3 ) mentioned a hove.

If $\Omega_{n}=0$ we can take obviously $a_{\mu \mu}=\alpha_{\mu}$ and $a_{\mu \nu}=m_{\mu}$ or $a_{\mu \nu}=M_{\mu}$ according as $\nu<\mu$ or $\nu>\mu$ and obtain a vanishing determinant $A$ satisfying the condition (III,5).

Suppose now $\Omega_{n}<0$, then it follows from the form (I,9) of $\Omega_{n}$ that $\Omega_{n}$ becomes positive if all $m_{\mu}$ are replaced by zeros. There exists therefore such a positive $t<I$ that $\Omega_{n}$ vanishes if all $m_{\mu}$ in (I, 9 ) are replaced by $t m_{\mu}$, but then we obtain a vanishing determinant $A$ of our set in taking $a_{\mu \mu}=\alpha_{\mu}$ and $a_{\mu \nu}=t m_{\mu}$ or $a_{\mu \nu}=M_{\mu}$ according as $\nu>\mu$ or $\nu<\mu$. The theorem $A$ is proved.

In specializing the matrix of $\Omega_{n}$ to $\Delta_{n}$ and in assuming that in particular $0<m<M$ we obtain. immediately from (II, 2) and the theorem $A$ :
B. Consider for two positive constants $m, M, m<M$ the set of all determinants $A=\left|z_{N v}\right|$ of the $n^{\text {th }}$ order for which
then necessary and sufficient in order that all determinants A of this
set do not vanish is for $m<M$, the inequality

$$
(\text { III }, 8) \quad \frac{m}{(1+m)^{n}}<\frac{M}{(1+M)^{n}} \quad(m<M)
$$

and if this inequality is satisfied we have for each determinant A of the set
(III, 9) $\quad|A| \geqq\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=\frac{(1+m)^{n}(1+M)^{n}}{M-m}\left[\frac{M}{(1+M)^{n}}-\frac{m}{(1+m)^{n}}\right]$.

If $m=M$, necessary and sufficient for all determinants A being $\neq 0$ is
(III, © ${ }^{\circ}$ )

$$
m<\frac{1}{n-1}
$$

$$
(\mathbb{M}=m)
$$

Dire te have, if (III, 80) is satisfied,

$$
\left(\text { III, } 9^{\circ}\right) \quad|A| \geqq\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=(1-(n-1) m)(1+m)^{n-1} \quad(m=M)
$$

Prom the theorem $B$ we can deduce the following theorem.
C. Let $A=\left(a_{\mu \nu}\right)(\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)$ be a matrix satisfying the conditions
(III, IO) $\quad\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq m(\nu<\mu),\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq M \quad(\nu>\mu)$ )

Where m, Wire two constants with $0<m<M$.
Put
(III, II)

$$
\delta(m, M)=\frac{M m^{1 / n}-m M^{1 / n}}{M^{1 / n}-m^{1 / n}}
$$

then all fundamental roots of the matrix A are contained in the set of the $n$ closed circles described around the elements $a_{\mu \mu}$ with the radius $\delta(m, M)$. The value (III, II) of $\delta(m, M)$ is indeed assumed if $A=\Delta_{n}{ }^{3}$ ).
Proof:
Let $\lambda$ be a fundamental root of $A$ so that the matrix $\lambda E-A$ is singular. Put $\operatorname{Min}_{\mu}\left|\lambda-\alpha_{\mu \mu}\right|=\alpha$, we have to prove that $\alpha \leqslant \delta(m, M)$. If $\alpha=0$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose $\alpha>0$ and consider the matrix

$$
\frac{\lambda E-A}{\alpha}=\left(b_{\mu \nu}\right),
$$

2 this matrix we have

$$
\left|b_{\mu \mu}\right| \geqq 1, \quad\left|b_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq \frac{m}{\alpha}(\nu<\mu), \quad\left|b_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq \frac{M}{\alpha}(\nu>\mu)
$$

therefore we have by the theorem $B$

$$
\frac{\frac{m}{\alpha}}{\left(1+\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^{n}} \geqq \frac{\frac{M}{\alpha}}{\left(1+\frac{M}{\alpha}\right)^{n}}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{1+\frac{m}{\alpha}}{m^{1 / n}} \leqslant \frac{1+\frac{M}{\alpha}}{M^{1 / n}}\right) \\
\alpha\left(\frac{1}{m^{1 / n}}-\frac{1}{M^{1 / n}}\right) \leqslant \frac{M}{M^{1 / n}}-\frac{m}{m^{1 / n}} \\
\alpha \leqslant \frac{M-m}{m^{-1 / n}-M^{1 / n}}=S(m, M)
\end{gathered}
$$

IV. The Bounds of the Matrix $\Delta_{n}^{-1}$

For an $n$ dimensional vector $\xi=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ the $H 0$ older norms corresponding to the exponent $p \geqq I$ is given by

$$
(I V, 1) \quad|\xi|_{p}=\sqrt[p]{\left|x_{1}\right|^{p}+\ldots++\left|x_{n}\right|^{p}} \quad(p \geqq 1)
$$

We will only use the three cases corresponding to $p=1,2, \infty$ :

$$
(I V, 2)|\xi|_{1}=\left|x_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{n}\right|,|\xi|_{\infty}=M_{2 x}\left|x_{v}\right|,|\xi|_{2}=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{2}}} .
$$

We have between these three norms the following inequalities
(IV, Ba) $|\xi|_{\infty} \leqq|\xi|_{1} \leqq \mid$ 的 $|\xi|_{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (IV, Sb) }|\xi|_{\infty} \leqq|\xi|_{2} \leqq \sqrt{n}|\xi|_{\infty}, \\
& \left.(I V, 4,) \quad|\xi|_{1} \leqq\left.\sqrt{n}\right|_{\xi} \leqq \sqrt{n}|\xi|_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which are immediately verified. The left land inequality (IV, 3c) implies the well known inequality between the arithmetical mean and the arith metical mean of the squares. If $A=\left(a_{\mu \nu}\right)$ is an $n x n$ matrix we define its nom corresponding to the exponent $p(1 \leqq p \leq \infty)$ by

$$
(I V, 4) \quad|A|_{P}=M_{\xi=0} \frac{|A \xi|_{P}}{|\xi|_{p}}
$$

and denote it by $|A|_{p}$. We will use here too only the cases $p=I, 2, \infty$. In applying to the definition (IV 4) the formulae (IV, Ba), (IV, 30 ) and (I V,3c) we obtain immediately

$$
(I V, 5 a) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}|A|_{1} \leqq|A|_{2} \leqq \sqrt{n}|A|_{1} g
$$

$$
\text { (I V,5b) }\left.\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left|A_{\infty} \leqq|A|_{2} \leqq \sqrt{n}\right| A\right|_{\infty}
$$

For $p=1, \infty$ the expressions of $|A|_{I},|A|_{\infty}$ are easy to write down; we have as is well known and very easy to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{I}=\operatorname{Max}_{\nu} \sum_{\mu}\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \tag{IV,6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{\infty}=\operatorname{Max}_{\mu} \sum_{\nu}\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \tag{IV,6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

As to $|A|_{2}$, its expression is irrational, $|A|_{2}$ is the square root or the maximum fundamental root of the symmetric and non negative matrix A A*. Since the direct computation of $|A|_{2}$ is in most cases difficult we prove in what follows the following estimate for $|A|_{2}$ :

Lemma II. We have for any matrix $A$
$(I V, 7) \quad \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Max}\left(|A|_{1}, \quad|A|_{\infty}\right) \leqq|A|_{2} \leqq \sqrt{|A| I|A|_{\infty}}$,

The first part o? (I V,7) follows from (IV,5a) and (IV, 5b). To prove the second part we introduce the notations

$$
s_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu}\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right|, \quad t_{\nu}=\sum_{\mu}\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \quad(\mu \nu \nu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

The sum of the moduli of all elements in the $\mu^{\text {th }}$ row of $A A *$ can be estimated as follows

$$
\left|\sum_{\nu, k} a_{\mu k} 2_{\nu K}\right| \leq \sum_{k} \sum_{\nu}\left|a_{\mu k} z_{\nu K}\right| \leqq \sum_{k}\left|z_{\mu k}\right| t_{k} \leqq s_{\mu}|A| \leq|A|_{\infty}|A|_{1}
$$

(IV, 7) follows now from the theorem of probenius that the modulus of each fundamental root of a square matrix does not exceed the greatest sum of the moduli of the elements of this matrix in different rows. If we apply now these results to the matrix $A_{n}^{-2}$ discussed in the section II, we obtain from (II, I8, (II, I9), IV, Ga) and (IV, 60)

$$
(I V, 8) \quad\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right|_{1}=\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right|_{\infty}=\frac{Q}{M+1}=\frac{(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}
$$

and from (I V,7) and (IV, 5a)

$$
(I V, 9) \frac{Q}{\sqrt{n}(M+1)} \leqq\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right|_{2} \leqq \frac{Q}{M+1}=\frac{(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}
$$

In combining these inequalities with the result given in the section III, we obtain the following theorem.
D. Let $A=\left(a_{\mu}, 2\right)$ be a square matrix of order $n$ satisfying to the conditions (III, 7) and let (III, 8) be satisfied, then we have

$$
\left|A^{-1}\right|_{p} \leqq \frac{(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}\right|} \quad(p=1,2, \infty)
$$

and therefore for any vector $\xi$

$$
(I V, I 0) \quad|A \xi|_{p} \geqq \frac{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}{(M+1)^{n+1}}|\xi|_{p} \quad(p=1,2, \infty) .
$$

Prof. It follows from (III, $3^{\circ}$ ) of the leman I at once in virtue of (IV aa) and (I V,6b) that

$$
(I V, 13) \quad\left|A^{-1}\right|_{p} \leqq\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right|_{p}=\frac{Q}{M+1} \quad(p=1, \infty)
$$

further, the matrix $A^{-1}\left(A^{-1}\right) *$ is majorated by $\Delta_{n}^{-1}\left(\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right)^{*}$. Since therefore by a well known theorem of Frobenius, the maximum modulus of a fundamental root of $A^{-1}\left(A^{-1}\right) *$ is majorated by that of $\Delta_{n}^{-1}\left(\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right)^{*}$, we have
(IV, 14) $\quad\left|A^{-1}\right|_{2} \leqq\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}\right|_{2} \leqq \frac{Q}{M+1}$ )
and therefore by definition (IV,4) in putting A $\xi=\eta$

$$
\left|A^{-I} \eta_{\square}\right|_{2} \leq \frac{Q}{M+1}\left|\eta_{2}\right|_{2}
$$

and this is equivalent with (IV ,Il).
V. The bounds of the matrix $\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}$

We denote by $\Delta_{n}^{(0)}$ the matrix obtained from (II, I) in replacing there $m$ everywhere by zero.
$(V, I) \quad \Delta_{n}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & -M & -M & \cdots & -M \\ 0 & 1 & -M & \cdots & -M \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1\end{array}\right)$.

He will prove the following theorem:
E. If we have $0<n<M$ and (III, 8) is satisfied, then the matrix
$\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)=1}$ is a non negative matrix and we have

Proof: Since $\Delta_{n}^{(0)}$ satisfies the inequalities (III, 2) it follows from (III, $3^{\circ}$ ) that $\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}$ is non negative. Denote by $s_{k}^{(0)}$ and $t_{\nu}^{(0)}$ the sums of the elements in the $\mu$ th and in the $\nu$ th column of $\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}$ and by $\bar{s}_{\mu}$ and $\bar{t}_{\nu}$ the corresponding expressions for the matrix $\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(\theta)-1}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}_{\mu}=s_{\mu}-s_{\mu}^{(0)}, \bar{t}_{\nu}=v_{\nu}{v_{v}}_{v}, v, 1, \ldots, \ldots \tag{V,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows then from the formulae (I I,22) and (I I,23) that $\bar{s}_{\mu}$ run for $\mu=n, n-1, \ldots, l$ through the sane set or values as $\bar{t}_{\nu}$ for $\nu=1, \ldots, n$.

The formula(II,29) can be written in the form
(V, 4) $\quad t_{\nu}=\frac{q^{n-\nu}(M+1)^{n-1}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}=\frac{(1+m)^{n-\nu}(1+M)^{\nu-1}}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}$.

Since $\Delta_{n}$ becomes $I$ for $m=0$, we have $(V, 2)$ and $E$ is proved. Discussion of $\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}$. We prove first that $t_{\nu}-t_{\nu}(0)$ goes increasing with $\nu$ as long as the condition
$(v, 5)$

$$
1-\frac{m}{M} \geqslant\left|\Delta_{n}\right|
$$

is satisfied. Indeed we put

$$
(v, 6) \quad K_{\nu} \equiv \frac{t_{\nu+1}-t_{\nu+1}^{(0)}}{t_{\nu}-t_{\nu}^{(0)}}=(M+1) \frac{(1+m)^{n-\nu-1}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}{(1+m)^{n-\nu}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}
$$

In solving the 3 inequalities
( $\mathrm{v}, 7$ )

$$
k_{v} \geqslant 1
$$

with respect to $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$, we obtain correspondingly

$$
\text { (v,8) } \quad\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq \sigma_{\nu} \equiv\left(1-\frac{m}{M}\right)(1+m)^{n-\nu-1} \text {. }
$$

The inequalities $k_{\nu} \geqq l(\nu=i, \ldots, n)$ are obviously satisfied in virtue of $(V, 5)$ as long as $\nu \leqq n-1$. We have therefore in this case, in using (II, 7 )

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{\nu}\left(t_{\nu}-t_{\nu}^{(0)}\right)=(1+M)^{n-1} \frac{1-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}=(1-M)^{n-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta},
$$

$(v, 9)\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}=(1+M)^{n-1} \frac{1-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}=(1+M)^{n-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \quad\left(p=1, \infty ;\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1-\frac{m}{M}\right)$.

The condition ( $V, 5$ ) can be written using the notation (II, 7 )

$$
\varsigma \geqq \frac{m}{M}
$$

and this is in virtue of (I I,10) certainly satisfied, if we have $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{I}$,
( $\mathrm{V}, 10$ )

$$
(M+I)^{n}-n M-2 \geqq 0 \text {. }
$$

The condition ( $V, I 0$ ) becomes for $n=3: M \geqq .5321$. For $n \geqslant 4(V, I O)$ is in any case satisfied if we have
(V ,II)

$$
M \geqslant \frac{1.5}{n}
$$

$$
(n \geqq 4)
$$

Indeed if the relation ( $V, I 0$ ) is satisfied for a positive $M$ it is satisfied for any greater value since the coefficients of all positive powers of $M$ in the left side expression are greater or equal 0 . To prove the sufficiency of $(V, I l)$ it is sufficient to prove that $\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n} \geqq 3.5 \quad(n \geqq 4)$. But here the left side expression is monotonically growing with $n$ and this inequality follows therefore from

$$
\left(\frac{5.5}{4}\right)^{4}=3.75 \ldots>3.5
$$

Suppose now that we have

$$
(v, 12) \quad 1-\frac{m}{M} \leqq\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1 \quad 5 \leqq \frac{m}{M}
$$

Then we have, since $0<m<\frac{1}{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(1+m)^{n-\nu}-1}{m} \leqq \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-\nu}-1}{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq(n-1)\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}-1\right) \leqq(e-1)(n-1) \\
& (1+m)^{n-\nu}=1+1.72 \theta_{n} m ; 0<\theta<1 \\
& (1+m)^{n-\nu}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=1.72 \theta n m+1-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore by $(V, 12)(1+m)^{n-r}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqslant 1.72 \theta n m+\frac{m}{M}$.
For $n \geqq 4$ we have in the case ( $\mathrm{V}, 12$ ) since the inequality ( $V, I 1$ ) is not verified,

$$
\frac{1}{M} \leqq \frac{n}{1.5}
$$

and therefore finally
( V , ユ3)

$$
(1+m)^{n-\nu}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 2.39 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

On the other hand, since $M<\frac{I \cdot 5}{n},(1+M)^{\nu-I} \leqq\left(1+\frac{I \cdot 5}{n}\right)^{n}<e^{1.5}=4.481689$ and therefore from $(V, 2)$ and $(V, j)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t_{v}-t_{v}^{(0)} \leqq 10.72 n \frac{m}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad\left(\nu=1, \ldots, n ;\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \geqq 1-\frac{m}{M} ; n>3\right), \\
(v, 14) \quad\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p} \leqq 10.72 n \frac{m}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad\left(p=1, \infty ;\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \geqq 1-\frac{m}{M} ; n>3\right) .
\end{array}
$$

To obtain a lower bound, we take in $(V, 4) V=1$. We obtain, since $0<\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1, t_{1}-t_{1}(0)=\frac{(1+m)^{n}-1}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \geqq \frac{(n-1) m}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \geqq .75$ n $\frac{m}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}$
and therefore

$$
(v, 15) \quad\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p} \triangleq .75 n \frac{m}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad(p=1, \infty ; n \pm 4) .
$$

To obtain the exact value of $\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{\eta}^{101-1}\right|_{p}$ io $(V, 5)$ is not satisfied, We return to the inequalities $(V, 8)$ equivalent to ( $V, 7$ ).

Demote by $n_{0}$ the smallest integer between $I$ and $n$ such that we hove

$$
(V, 16) \quad \sigma_{n_{0}-1} \equiv\left|A_{n}\right|>\sigma_{0} \quad\left(1 \leq n_{0} \leq n\right) .
$$

The parts of this inequality implying $\sigma_{0}$ or $\sigma_{n}$ must be disregarded, that is to say, this inequality reduces to $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|>\sigma_{j}$ for $n_{0}=1$ and
to $\quad \nabla_{n-1} \geqq\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \quad$ Tor $n_{0}=n$. Then we see at once that $\operatorname{Max}\left(t_{\nu}-t_{\nu}^{(0)}\right)=t_{n_{0}}-t_{0}(0)$ and therefore

$$
\text { (V,I7) } \left.\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}=t_{n_{0}} t_{0}^{(0)}=\frac{(1+M)^{n_{0}-1}(1+m)^{n-n_{0}}-\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|} \quad(p=1, \infty)\right)
$$

For $m=M$ we have $n_{0}=1$ and therefore

$$
\left(V, 17^{\circ}\right) \quad\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}-\Delta_{n}^{(0)-1}\right|_{p}=\frac{(n-1) m}{1-(n-1) m} \quad\left(m=M<\frac{1}{n-1}\right) .
$$

VI. The bounds of $A^{-I}-A(0)-I$

In order to obtain the theorem corresponding to $E$ for a determinant A satisfying the conditions (III,7), (III, 8) of the theorem $B$, we prove first the following important lemma which generalizes considerably the relation (III, $3^{\circ}$ ) of the lemma I.

Lemma III. Consider $n$ positive numbers $\alpha_{n}$ to $\alpha_{n}$ and $2 n^{2}-2 n$ non negative numbers

$$
m_{\mu \nu,} E_{\mu \nu} \quad(\mu \neq \nu ; \mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

such that the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (V I, I) \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & -m_{12}-\epsilon_{12} & \cdots & \cdots-m_{12}-\epsilon_{n n} \\
-m_{31}-\epsilon_{21} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \cdots m_{2 n}-\epsilon_{2 n} \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-m_{n 1} \epsilon_{n 1} & -m_{n 2} \epsilon_{n 2} & \cdots \cdots & \alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right) g \\
& (V I, 2) \quad M^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & -m_{12} & \cdots & \cdots \\
-m_{1 n} \\
-m_{21} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & -m_{2 n} \\
\bullet & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-m_{n 1} & -m_{n 2} & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are M-matrices.
Consider $n$ constants ${ }^{A} \mu \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n) \underline{\text { such that }}$
$(V I, 3) \quad\left|A_{\mu}\right| \geqq \alpha_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1,--, n)$
and $2 n^{2}-2 n$ constants $a_{\mu \nu} b_{\mu \nu}\left(\mu \infty \nu ; \mu_{\nu} \nu=1,=-, n\right)$

$$
(V I, 4) \quad\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq m_{\mu \nu},\left|b_{\mu \nu}-a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq \epsilon_{\mu \nu}(\mu * \nu ; \mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n)_{j}
$$

and form the two matrices


$$
(\mathrm{VI}, 6) \quad A^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & A_{2} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\cdot & 0 & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & A_{n}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

then we have
(VI, 7)

$$
A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1} \ll M^{-1}-M^{(0)-1}
$$

Proof: We can write $M=P-T, M(0)=P-T(0)$ where the matrices $T$, $T^{(0)}$ have in the main diagonal zeros and off the main diagonal respectively the non negative lements $m_{\mu \nu}+\epsilon_{p \nu}, m_{\mu \nu}$, while $P$ is the diagonal matrix
(VI, 8)


We can develop the inverse of $P-T=P\left(E-P^{-1} T\right.$ ) ( $E$ is the unit matrix) in the following way
(VI, 9)

$$
(P-T)^{-1}=\sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty}\left(P^{-1} T\right)^{k} P^{-1}
$$

The convergence of this development and the validity of (VI,9) foIlows
easily from the fact that the determinant of the matrix $P-t T$ does not vanish for $|t| \leqslant l$ as follows immediately from the leman $I$. The corresponding development holds also for $(P-T(0))^{-1}$ and we obtain therefore (VI, 10)

$$
M^{-1}-M(0)-1=\sum_{K=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(p-I_{T}\right)^{k}-\left(p^{-1} I_{T}(0)\right)^{k}\right] p^{-1}
$$

The elements of $P^{-I_{T}}$ are here $\frac{m_{\mu \nu}+\epsilon_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$ or zeros and those of $P^{-I_{T}}$ o are $\frac{m_{\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$ or zeros, therefore all elements of the matrices
(VI ,II)

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}^{-I_{T}}\right)^{k}-\left(\mathrm{P}^{-I_{T}(0)}\right)^{k}
$$

$$
(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

are polynomials in $\frac{m_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$ and $\frac{\epsilon_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$ with nonnegative coefficients. Denote by $Q$ the diagonal matrix
and write

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & & & \\
& A_{2} & & 0 \\
& 0 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & A_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
A=Q-S, A^{(0)}=Q-S(0)
$$

Where the elements of $S$ are $b_{\mu \nu}$ or zeros and those of $S^{(0)} a_{\mu \nu}$ or zeros. Since therefore we have

$$
Q^{-I_{S} \ll P^{-1}} I_{T}, \quad Q^{-I_{S}}(0) \ll P^{-I_{T}}(0)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(Q^{-1}\right)^{k} Q^{-1}, \quad A(0)-1=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(Q^{-1} S(0)\right)^{k} Q^{-1}, \\
(V I, 12) A^{-1}-A(0)-1=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(Q^{-1} S\right)^{k}-\left(Q^{-1}(0)\right)^{k}\right] Q^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

But now the elements of

$$
\left(Q^{-1} S\right)^{k}-\left(Q^{-1} S(0)\right)^{k}
$$

are obtained from those of (VI, II) in substituting there $\frac{Z_{\mu \nu}}{A_{\mu}}$ instead of
$\frac{m_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$ and $\frac{b \mu \nu-z_{\mu \nu}}{A_{\mu}} \quad$ instead of $\frac{\epsilon_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}$. Hire we have by (VI, 3)
and (VI, 4)

$$
\left|\frac{a_{\mu \nu}}{A_{\mu}}\right| \leqq \frac{m_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}},\left|\frac{b_{\mu \nu}-a_{\mu \nu}}{A_{\mu}}\right| \leqq \frac{\epsilon_{\mu \nu}}{\alpha_{\mu}}
$$

and therefore since the coefficients in (VI ,ll) are, as already mentioned, not negative

$$
\left(Q^{-I_{S}}\right)^{k}-\left(Q^{-I_{S}}(0)\right) k \ll\left(P^{-1} T\right)^{k}-\left(P^{-I_{T}(0)}\right)^{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(Q^{-1} S\right)^{k}-\left(Q^{-1} I_{S}(0)\right)^{k}\right] \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(P^{-I_{T}}\right)^{k}-\left(P^{-I_{T}}(0)\right)^{k}\right] \tag{VI,I3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from (VI,13) in virtue of (VI,3) it follows that the development (VI,12) is majorated by (VI ,IO) and our lemma is proved.

Under the conditions of the theorem $B$ in the section III, if the inequalities (III,7) and (III,8) are satisfied, we can apply the lemma, III in replacing there $M$ by $\Delta_{n}, M^{(0)}$ by $\Delta_{n}^{(0)}$. We obtain
F. Under the conditions of the theorem B, if the inequalities (III, 7) and (III, 8) are satisfied, we have

Where the values and the estimates for the right side expression in (VI,I4) are obtained from the formulae ( $V, 9$ ) to ( $\mathrm{V}, 17^{\circ}$ ).

The lemma III can be applied in many problems similar to that solved by the theorem $F$. For instance, in the theory of the solutions of linear equations the following problem has to be dealt with, although its complete discussion is usually avoided:

Consider a "triangular" system of linear equations
(VI, 15) $\quad \sum^{n} a_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu}=y_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)$,

Where the coefficients $a_{\mu \nu}$ are only approximate values to the "true" values ${ }^{0}{ }_{\mu \nu}$. Suppose that we have generally
(VI, 16) $\quad\left|b_{\mu \nu}-a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqslant \epsilon(\nu \geqq \mu) ;$
how far the solution of the system
(VI, IT) $\quad \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{n} b_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu}=y_{\mu}$
is influenced if the $b_{\mu \nu}$ are replaced by $a_{\mu \nu}$ ?
If we denote the matrix of (VI,I5) by $A(O)$ and that of (VI,I7) by A, the question can be in particula answered by giving estimates of

$$
\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)}-1\right| p(p=1, \infty)
$$

Suppose that we have generally
(VI, IB) $\quad a_{\mu \mu}=1, \quad\left|a_{\mu \nu}\right| \leqq M_{\mu} \quad(\mu>\mu ; \mu=1, \ldots, n-1)$
and consider the matrix
(VI,I9) $\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & -M_{1} & \ldots & -M_{1} \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & -M_{2} \\ 0 & \cdots & \ldots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right)$,
where all elements to the left of the main diagonal are zeros, while aIl elements to the right of the main diagonal in the $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ th row are equal to $M_{M}$.

We obtain from the lemma III at once the majoration

$$
A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1} \ll \Delta\left(M_{1}+\epsilon, \ldots, M_{n-1}+\epsilon\right)^{-1}-\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

To obtain the inverse of the matrix $\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)$, consider the system of linear equations
(VI, 20) $\quad X_{\mu}-M_{\mu}\left(X_{\mu+1}+\ldots+X_{n}\right)=u_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)$.

To solve it explicitly we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(V I, 21) s_{\mu}=\sum_{k=\mu}^{n} x_{k} \\
&(V I, 22) \quad 1+M_{\mu}=N_{\mu}, \quad N_{\mu} N_{\mu+i} \ldots N_{n}=P_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n) \\
& N_{n}=1, P_{n}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (VI,20) becomes

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
(V I, 23) & x_{\mu}=M_{\mu} & s_{\mu+1}+u_{\mu}
\end{array}\right)(\mu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Where $S_{n+1}=0$. Dividing (V I,24) by $P_{\mu}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{s_{\mu}}{P_{\mu}}=\frac{s_{\mu+1}}{P_{\mu+1}}+\frac{u_{\mu}}{P_{\mu}}
$$

and therefore

$$
s_{\mu}=P_{\mu} \sum_{k \times \mu}^{n} \frac{u_{k}}{P_{k}}
$$

introducing this in (V I,23) we have

$$
(V I, 25) \quad x_{\mu}=u_{\mu}+M_{\mu} P_{\mu+1} \sum_{k=\mu+1}^{n} \frac{u_{k}}{P_{k}} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

We obtain therefore for the inverse of our $\Delta\left(M_{I}, \ldots, M_{n-I}\right)$, in denoting by $E$ the unit matrix,
(VI, 26)

$$
\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)^{-1}=E+D T
$$

Where $D$ is the diagonal matrix (VI, 27)

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{1} P_{2} & & & & \\
& M_{2} P_{3} & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & M_{n-1} P_{n} \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\text { (VI, 28) } \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \frac{1}{P_{2}} & \frac{1}{P_{3}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{P_{n}} \\
& 0 & \frac{1}{P_{3}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{P_{n}} \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{P_{n}} \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The expressions
(VI, 29)

$$
\Delta\left(M_{1}+\epsilon, \ldots, M_{n-1}+\epsilon\right)^{-1}-\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

obtained from (VI,26) to (VI,28) is of course rather unwieldy; however we shall obtain for its norm corresponding to $p=1$ (ce IV, Ga) a very simple and elegant expression.

Indeed, if we take the sum of the elements in the $\nu$ th colum i of
$\Delta\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}\right)^{-1}$ and denote it by $t^{\prime}$, we have

$$
t_{\nu}^{\prime}=1+\frac{1}{P_{\nu}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} M_{\mu} P_{\mu+1}=1+\sum_{\mu=1}^{N_{1-1}} M_{\mu} \prod_{k=\mu+1}^{\nu-1}\left(1+M_{k}\right) .
$$

If we write now $t_{\nu+1}^{\prime}$ out, we obtain

$$
t_{\nu+1}^{\prime}=1+\left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} M_{\mu} \prod_{k=p+1}^{\nu-1}\left(1+M_{k}\right)\right]\left(1+M_{\nu}\right)+M_{\nu} ;
$$

and in comparing this with the expression of ty we see that we have ${ }^{t_{\nu}^{\prime} I \equiv\left(1-M_{\nu}\right)} t_{\nu}^{\prime}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\nu}^{\prime}=\prod_{K=1}^{\nu-1}\left(I+M_{k}\right) \tag{VI,30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain now for the sum of the elements in the $\nu$ th column of (VI,29)

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=0, \quad t_{2}=\epsilon \\
t_{\nu}=\prod_{k=1}^{\nu-1}\left(1+M_{k}+\epsilon\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{\nu-1}\left(1+M_{k}\right) \quad(\nu=1, \ldots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

In multiplying this by $I+M_{\nu}+\epsilon$ we obtain

$$
\left.\prod_{k=1}^{\nu}\left(1+M_{k}+\epsilon\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{v}\left(1+M_{k}\right)-\epsilon \prod_{k=1}^{v=1}\left(1+M_{k}\right)\right)
$$

and comparing this with $t_{\nu+1}$
(VI, SI) $\quad t_{\nu+1}=\left(1+M_{\nu}+\epsilon\right) t_{\nu}+\epsilon \prod_{k=1}^{\nu-1}\left(1+M_{k}\right)$.

Therefore, $t_{\nu}$ is monotonically increasing with $\nu$ and we see that the norm of (VI,29) corresponding to $p=1$ has the value
(VI, 32) $\prod_{V=1}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{\nu}+\epsilon\right)-\prod_{V=1}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{\nu}\right)$
and obtain therefore
$(V I, 33)\left|A^{-1}-A^{(0)-1}\right|_{1} \leqq \prod_{v=1}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{v}+\epsilon\right)-\prod_{v=1}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{\nu}\right)$
as the solution of our problem. In applications it may be better to use the recurrent formula (VI, 31 ). If all $M_{\mu}$ have the same value $M$, the expression (VI,26) coincides with that obtained in IV for $\Delta_{n}^{-1}$ under the hypothesis $m=0$. But in this case we see from (I I,28) and (I I,29) that the row sum run through the same values as the sums of the columns. We obtain therefore in this case the expression

$$
(M+1+\epsilon)^{n-1}-(M+1)^{n-1}=(n-1) \in(M+1+\theta \epsilon), \quad 0<\theta<1,
$$

as the norm of (VI,29); both for $p=1$ and $p=\infty$.

## VII. Linear systems with a Nearly Triangular Matrix

The results of the proceeding sections give the means to discuss the following problem concerning the system (l) in the introduction under the conditions (2) and the "triangular" system.
(VI IT)

$$
\sum_{\nu=\mu}^{n} a_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu}=y_{\mu} \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

with the matrix $A^{(0)}$. In discussing this problem we can obviously assume that

$$
a_{\mu \mu}=1 \quad(\mu=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Then the difference between the solutions (I) and of (VII, I) is given by the vector

$$
\left(A^{-1}-A(0)-I\right) \eta, \quad \eta=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right),
$$

and the norm of this vector corresponding to one of the indices $p=1, \infty$ does not exceed.

$$
\mid A^{-I}-A^{(0)-\left.I\right|_{p}|\eta|_{p} \quad(p=I, \infty), ~}
$$

and can indeed for suitable choice of the vector $\eta_{6}$ attain this limit. Therefore the norms $\left|A^{-I_{-A}}(0)-I\right|_{p}$ nocsure the error committed in replaci the system (7) by (VII,I).

For an $\quad \epsilon>0, M>0$ being given, how small must $m>0$ be taken in order that we have
(VII, 2)

$$
\left|A^{-1}-A(0)-I\right|_{D} \leqslant \epsilon
$$

$$
(p=1, \infty)
$$

If we introduce the quantities $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$ and $\$$ corresponding by (I I,2), (II,3) and (II, 7) to $m$ and $M$, we obtain from ( $V, 9$ ) and (VI,7) the condiction

$$
(1+M)^{n-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \leqq \epsilon
$$

(VII, 3)

$$
\delta \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{(1+M)^{n-1}+\epsilon}
$$

$$
\left(\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1-\frac{m}{M}\right)
$$

as long as the condition ( $V, 5$ ) is satisfied and therefore certainly as long as $M \geqq \frac{1.5}{n}(n \equiv 4)$.
on the other hand we have by $(2,10)$
(VII, 4)

$$
m=\frac{\delta}{M_{n}+\theta \frac{\delta}{M}}
$$

and from (VII,3) and (VII, 4)
(VII ,5) $\quad m \leqq \frac{\epsilon}{M_{n}(1+M)^{n-1}+\left(M_{n}+\frac{\theta}{M}\right) \epsilon} \quad\left(\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1-\frac{m}{M}\right)$.

It will te therese surficiont for (VI. 2) to take
(VII, 6) $\quad m \leqq m_{0} \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{M_{n}(1+M)^{n-1}+\left(M_{n}+\frac{1}{M}\right) \epsilon}\left(\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqq 1-\frac{m}{M}\right)$.

Solve our problem, for instance, if we have $M \geqq \frac{1.5}{n}(n \geqq 4)$ or
$\mathrm{n} \geq .5321(\mathrm{n}=3)$. For small values of $\in$ obviously, only the first term in the denominator is essential and we have
(VII, T) $\quad m_{0}=K(n, M) \in \quad, K(n, M)=\frac{1}{M_{n}(1+M)^{n-1}} \quad *$

The tables I and III give the values of $K(n, M)$ for a set or integer $M$ from 1 to 10 and some values of $M>\frac{1.5}{n}$. We have obviously
(VII., 8)

$$
K(n, M)<\frac{1}{M^{2 n-2}}
$$

The bound in (VII,6) is obviously the "best" under the condition $(V, 5)$, save that the factor $\frac{l}{M}$ of $\in$ in the denominator could be replaced by an (unknot) fraction of it.

If $N<\frac{1.5}{n}$, the use of the general formula ( $\mathrm{V}, 17$ ) is very cumbersome; we can however obtain a good working limit for $m$ in the following way. If the inequalities (2) are valid for an $\mathbb{W} \frac{1.5}{n}=M^{(n)}$, then are also satisfied if $M$ is replaced by $M(n)$, but then the limit for $m$ obtained for $M(n)$ is also sufficient for our $M$. We obtain therefore in this case for in the sufficient condition for (VII, 2).

$$
\left(\text { VII, 9) } \quad m \leqq m_{0}^{\prime} \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{M_{n}^{(n)}\left(1+M^{(n)}\right)^{n-1}+\left(M_{n}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{M_{n}}\right) t}\right.
$$

The table IIgives the values of $K(n, M(n)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots, 50$.
The expression for $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ o can be written in introducing the value of

$$
M_{12}(n) \text { as }
$$

$$
\frac{3}{2 n} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n-1}\left(\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n}-2.5\right)+\left(\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n}-1.5\right) \epsilon}
$$

We observe now that for any positive $\alpha$ and positive $x$ the expression $\left(1+\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^{n \cdot \alpha}$ mun $\quad 11$, Increase and tends to $e^{x}$ if the positive $n$ increases monotonically to $\infty$. Indeed, is We put $u=\frac{1}{n}$, take the logarithm of this expression, differentiate it with respect to $u$ and multiply by $u^{2}$, we obtain $\frac{x\left(u-\alpha u^{2}\right)}{1+u}-1.08(1+u x) ;$ but this expression vanishes for $u=0$ and decreases for positive u since its derivative is

$$
\frac{-x u}{(1+u x)^{2}} \quad(2 a+x+a x u)
$$

We see therefore that $\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n-1} \uparrow e^{1.5} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1.5}{n}\right)^{n} \uparrow e^{1.5}$
and our hound for m can therefore be replaced wy
$\frac{3}{2 n} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{e^{1.5}\left(e^{1.5}-2.5\right)+\left(e^{1.5}-1.5\right) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}=$


We can replace therefore the condition mono by the simpler condition
(VII, 10)
$m \leqslant n_{0}=\frac{\epsilon}{n} \frac{1}{6+2 \epsilon}$

On the other hand we obtain at once the solution of our problem in the case $n=M<\frac{1}{n-1}$ from $(V, I 7)$.
(VII ,II)
$m \leqq m_{0} \leqslant \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{6}{1+6}$

$$
\left(\dot{m}=M<\frac{1}{n-1}\right)
$$

This is an "exact" condition, while the condition (VII ,IO) is ny an estimate. We see however, in comparing (VII ,lO) with (VII ,II) that the bound in (VII ,IO) cannot be improved by a factor $>6$.

From the condition (VII ,IO) we can finally derive the inequality (7) of the introduction. Indeed, if; positive $m_{0}<\frac{1}{2 n}$ is given, we can solve (VII, IO) with respect to $\epsilon$,

$$
t=\frac{6 n m_{1}}{1-2 n m_{0}}
$$

and obtain therefore in applying (VII ,IO) for $m=m_{0}$ the inequality

$$
\left|A^{-1}-A(0)-1\right|_{P} \leqslant \frac{6 n m_{0}}{1-2 n m_{0}}
$$

Which holds for $\varepsilon$ all positive $m_{0}<\frac{1}{2 m}$ and Gives the inequality ( 7 ) if we replace here mo by $m$.
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## Foot INotes

1) This condition ( $3^{\circ}$ ) is already contained in some results of my paper [2], and can be 0 Iso deduced from a well knom theorem of the theory of determinants discussed in [4].
2) Some of the results contained in the section I - IV have been pulished without proof in my note [3].
3) For $M=m, 6(m, M)$ becomes ( $n-l$ ) m, but in this case our result is obtained in Gerschgorin's theorem cf. [4].
From the theorem $c$ the result given by Stein and Rosenberg in [ 30 ] as theorem III follows immediately.

2a) This formula can be also obtained from the formulae, given in the proof of theorem III in $[30], p, 113$.

Table I

$$
K(n, M)
$$

| M | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $n=4$ | $n=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $n=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 0625 | . 0114 | - (2)240 | . (3) 548 | . (3) 130 | . (4)316 |
| 2 | . 0111 | . (2) 103 | . 3 106 | . ${ }^{(4) 115}$ | . 5126 | . 6140 |
| 3 | . (2)347 | . 31193 | . ${ }^{\text {d }} 1116$ | . 6) 719 | - 7 ( 448 | . 8280 |
| 4 | - 2143 | . 44526 | - 52206 | - 7 ( 821 | - 8 328 | . 9 9 131 |
| 5 | - 3 - 694 | . ${ }^{\text {( }} 4182$ | - 62498 | . 71138 | . 9$) 383$ | . 10$) 106$ |
| 6 | - 3 378 | - 55736 | - 6149 | . 83304 | - 10)619 | - 11126 |
| 7 | - 3223 | - 5 ) 336 | . 7 ( 522 | - (9)815 | - 10 127 | - (12)199 |
| 8 | . 3140 | - 5168 | - 7 -207 | - 9)255 | - 11315 | - 13 389 |
| 9 | . 34926 | - 6903 | - 8900 | - 10)900 | - (12)900 | . 14900 |
| 10 | . $4 \longdiv { 6 3 6 }$ | . (6)515 | . 8 (824 | .(10)351 | .(12)290 | .(14)239 |
| M | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - (5) 778 | . (5) 193 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - 71155 | . (8) 172 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | - (9)175 | . $1 0 \longdiv { 1 0 9 }$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | - 11) 524 | - 12210 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | . 12295 | . 14 821 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | - 13258 | . 15 526 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | - 14311 | . 164486 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | . 15480 | . 178592 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | . (16)900 | . 18 900 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | . 16$) 198$ | . 18$) 164$ |  |  |  |  |

Table II

$$
M=M^{(n)}=\frac{1.5}{n}
$$

| $n$ | $(1+\mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{n} \mathrm{M-1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{M})$ | n | $(1+\mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{n} \mathrm{M}-1$ | $\mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | .871 | .255 | 41 | 1.863 | .00466 |
| 4 | 1.074 | .134 | 42 | 1.866 | .00454 |
| 5 | 1.213 | .0866 | 43 | 1.869 | .00442 |
| 6 | 1.315 | .0623 | 44 | 1.871 | .00431 |
| 7 | 1.393 | .0480 | 45 | 1.873 | .00420 |
| 8 | 1.454 | .0387 | 46 | 1.876 | .00410 |
| 9 | 1.504 | .0323 | 47 | 1.878 | .00401 |
| 10 | 1.546 | .0276 | 48 | 1.880 | .00391 |
| 11 | 1.580 | .0240 | 49 | 1.882 | .00383 |
| 12 | 1.610 | .0213 | 50 | 1.884 | .00374 |
| 13 | 1.635 | .0190 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1.658 | .0172 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1.677 | .0157 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1.695 | .0144 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1.710 | .0133 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1.724 | .0124 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.736 | .0116 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.758 | .0109 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1.768 | .00965 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1.776 | .00914 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 1.784 | .00869 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1.792 | .00827 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1.799 | .00789 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1.805 | .00755 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 1.811 | .00723 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1.817 | .00694 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1.822 | .00667 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 1.827 | .00642 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 1.831 | .00619 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 1.836 | .00597 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 1.840 | .00577 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 1.848 | 1.00558 | .00540 |  |  |

Table III
$\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{M})$

| M | $n=3$ | $\mathrm{n}=4$ | $n=5$ | $n=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 2 |  |  |  |  |  | . 0328 | . 0 |
| . 3 |  |  | . 0866 | . 0399 | . 0196 | . 0101 | - (2) 533 |
| . 4 |  | . 117 | . 0438 | . 0180 | . (2)788 | - (2)359 | - 2 2)169 |
| . 5 | .254 . | . 0718 | 8.0241 | - (2) 891 | - 22349 | - 2 142 | - 3592 |
| . 6 | .181. | . 0464 | 4.0141 | - 2 2 470 | - 2165 | - $3 \longdiv { 6 0 2 }$ | . $3 \bigcirc 224$ |
| . 7 | .134 . | . 0313 | 3.00864 | 4 - 22260 | - 3 3 825 | - 3270 | - 44902 |
| . 8 | . 102 . | . 0218 | 8.00548 | .. 2150 | . 3431 | . 3127 | . 43382 |
| . 9 | .0789 . | . 0156 | 6.00359 | - 3 894 | . $3 \longdiv { 2 3 3 }$ | . 44623 | . 4169 |
| . 0 | .0625. | . 0114 | 4.00240 | - 3 (348 | . (3)130 | . 4 316 | . (5) 778 |
| M | $\mathrm{n}=10$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $n=20$ | $\mathrm{n}=25$ | $n=30$ | $n=35$ |
| .1 |  |  | 0157 • | (2) 439 | - (2) 138 | . (3)469 | - (3) 166 |
| . 2 | . 0121 |  | (2)137 • | (3) 188 | - 34281 | - ${ }^{\text {- }} 54439$ | . 66697 |
| .3 | - (2)289 |  | \} 3167 - | (4)112 | - 6793 | - 75570 | - 8 ( 413 |
| . 4 | - 3 ( 809 |  | ( $4\{242$ • | , 68809 | - 72277 | - $\cdot 9) 957$ | - 10)331 |
| . 5 | - 3 ( 252 |  | (5)399 - | - 7 681 | . - $^{(1) 118}$ | - $10 \lcm{204}$ | - 123354 |
| . 6 | - - 48848 |  | (6) 729 - | - 8365 | - $10 \lcm{597}$ | - 12543 | - 144494 |
| .7 | - $\{43305$ |  | 6 6146 • | (9) 721 | - 11355 | - 13177 | - 16879 |
| . 8 | . . 4116 |  | (7)317 . | - 10$) 886$ | - 12248 | . 15669 | - 171195 |
| . 9 | - 54462 |  | (8) 743 . | - 10$) 121$ | - 13197 | . $1 6 \longdiv { 3 2 2 }$ | . 1955 |
| .0 | . (5)193 |  | (8)186 . | (11) 182 | . 14 ) 178 | . 17$) 173$ | . 20$) 169$ |
| M | $n=40$ |  | $n=45$ | $n=50$ |  |  |  |
| . 1 | . (4)604 |  | (4)224 . | (5) 841 |  |  |  |
| . 2 | . 61112 |  | 7) 180 . | (8)290 |  |  |  |
| . 3 | - 9) 299 |  | 10)217 . | (11) 157 |  |  |  |
| . 4 | - 111114 |  | 13 395 . | (14)137 |  |  |  |
| . 5 | - 14613 |  | 15106 | (17) 184 |  |  |  |
| . 6 | - 16449 |  | 18)409 . | $\text { - }\} 20\} 372$ |  |  |  |
| . 7 | - 181436 |  | 20)216 . | - 221107 |  |  |  |
| . 8 | - 20 545 |  | $22\{153$ • | - 254428 |  |  |  |
| . 9 | - $22 \times 856$ | $6$ | 24140 . | (27)228 |  |  |  |
| .0 | . 23 (235 |  | (26)162 . | (29)158 |  |  |  |

## THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

## Functions and Activities

The functions of the National Bureau of Standards are set forth in the Act of Congress, March 3, 1901, as amended by Congress in Public Law 619, 1950. These include the development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement and the provision of means and methods for making measurements consistent with these standards; the determination of physical constants and properties of materials; the development of methods and instruments for testing materials, devices, and structures; advisory services to Government Agencies on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; and the development of standard practices, codes, and specifications. The work includes basic and applied research, development, engineering, instrumentation, testing, evaluation, calibration services, and various consultation and information services. A major portion of the Bureau's work is performed for other Government Agencies, particularly the Department of Defense and the Atomic Energy Commission. The scope of activities is suggested by the listing of divisions and sections on the inside of the front cover.

## Reports and Publications

The results of the Bureau's work take the form of either actual equipment and devices or published papers and reports. Reports are issued to the sponsoring agency of a particular project or program. Published papers appear either in the Bureau's own series of publications or in the journals of professional and scientific societies. The Bureau itself publishes three monthly periodicals, available from the Government Printing Office: The Journal of Research, which presents complete papers reporting technical investigations; the Technical News Bulletin, which presents summary and preliminary reports on work in progress; and Basic Radio Propagation Predictions, which provides data for determining the best frequencies to use for radio communications throughout the world. There are also five series of nonperiodical publications: The Applied Mathematics Series, Circulars, Handbooks, Building Materials and Structures Reports, and Miscellaneous Publications.

Information on the Bureau's publications can be found in NBS Circular 460, Publications of the National Bureau of Standards (\$1.00). Information on calibration services and fees can be found in NBS Circular 483, Testing by the National Bureau of Standards ( 25 cents). Both are available from the Government Printing Office. Inquiries regarding the Bureau's reports and publications should be addressed to the Office of Scientific Publications, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C.

