

NBS BLICATIONS ALLOO 990116

.....nuht

BUREAU

OF

NBS MONOGRAPH 147

Supplement 1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / National Bureau of Standards

Relativistic Many-Body Bound Systems: Electromagnetic Properties



NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards¹ was established by an act of Congress March 3, 1901. The Bureau's overall goal is to strengthen and advance the Nation's science and technology and facilitate their effective application for public benefit. To this end, the Bureau conducts research and provides: (1) a basis for the Nation's physical measurement system, (2) scientific and technological services for industry and government, (3) a technical basis for equity in trade, and (4) technical services to promote public safety. The Bureau consists of the Institute for Basic Standards, the Institute for Materials Research, the Institute for Applied Technology, the Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, the Office for Information Programs, and the Office of Experimental Technology Incentives Program.

THE INSTITUTE FOR BASIC STANDARDS provides the central basis within the United States of a complete and consistent system of physical measurement; coordinates that system with measurement systems of other nations; and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical measurements throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce. The Institute consists of the Office of Measurement Services, and the following center and divisions:

Applied Mathematics — Electricity — Mechanics — Heat — Optical Physics — Center for Radiation Research — Laboratory Astrophysics² — Cryogenics² — Electromagnetics² — Time and Frequency³.

THE INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement, standards, and data on the properties of well-characterized materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; provides advisory and research services to other Government agencies; and develops, produces, and distributes standard reference materials. The Institute consists of the Office of Standard Reference Materials, the Office of Air and Water Measurement, and the following divisions:

Analytical Chemistry — Polymers — Metallurgy — Inorganic Materials — Reactor Radiation — Physical Chemistry.

THE INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED TECHNOLOGY provides technical services developing and promoting the use of available technology; cooperates with public and private organizations in developing technological standards, codes, and test methods; and provides technical advice services, and information to Government agencies and the public. The Institute consists of the following divisions and centers:

Standards Application and Analysis — Electronic Technology — Center for Consumer Product Technology: Product Systems Analysis; Product Engineering — Center for Building Technology: Structures, Materials, and Safety; Building Environment; Technical Evaluation and Application — Center for Fire Research: Fire Science; Fire Safety Engineering.

THE INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides technical services designed to aid Government agencies in improving cost effectiveness in the conduct of their programs through the selection, acquisition, and effective utilization of automatic data processing equipment; and serves as the principal focus wthin the executive branch for the development of Federal standards for automatic data processing equipment, techniques, and computer languages. The Institute consist of the following divisions:

Computer Services — Systems and Software — Computer Systems Engineering — Information Technology.

THE OFFICE OF EXPERIMENTAL TECHNOLOGY INCENTIVES PROGRAM seeks to affect public policy and process to facilitate technological change in the private sector by examining and experimenting with Government policies and practices in order to identify and remove Government-related barriers and to correct inherent market imperfections that impede the innovation process.

THE OFFICE FOR INFORMATION PROGRAMS promotes optimum dissemination and accessibility of scientific information generated within NBS; promotes the development of the National Standard Reference Data System and a system of information analysis centers dealing with the broader aspects of the National Measurement System; provides appropriate services to ensure that the NBS staff has optimum accessibility to the scientific information of the world. The Office consists of the following organizational units:

Office of Standard Reference Data — Office of Information Activities — Office of Technical Publications — Library — Office of International Standards — Office of International Relations.

¹ Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washington, D.C. 20234.

² Located at Boulder, Colorado 80302.



1 1977

Relativistic Many-Body Bound Systems: Electromagnetic Properties

N, NO. IL

Michael Danos

Institute for Basic Standards National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

and

Vincent Gillet

Departement de Physique Nucléaire Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay BP n^o2-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette-France



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary

Dr. Betsy Ancker-Johnson, Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology

Issued April 1977

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Danos, Michael.

Relativistic many-body bound systems.

(NBS monograph ; 147, suppl. 1)
Supplement to the authors' Relativistic many-body bound systems.
Supt. of Docs. no.: C 13.44:147/Suppl. 1.
1. Field theory (Physics) 2. Quantum field theory. 3. Problem of many bodies. 4. Particles (Nuclear physics) 1. Gillet, Vincent, joint author. 11. Title III. Series: United States. National Bureau of Standards. Monograph ; 147, suppl. 1.
QC100.U556 no. 147, suppl.1 [QC793.3.F5] 602'.1 [530.1'44] 77-1469

National Bureau of Standards Monograph 147, Supplement 1

Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Monogr. 147, Suppl. 1, 52 pages (Apr. 1977) CODEN: NBSMA6

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1977

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402 - Price \$1.10

Stock No. 003-003-01754-0

CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter I - ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTION OPERATORS	1
I.1 - Introduction	1
I.2 - Form of the interactions	1
I.3 - Vector dominance	4
Chapter II - ELASTIC ELECTRON SCATTERING FORM FACTORS	6
II.1 - Spin 0 field	6
II.1.1 - Scattering terms	6
II.1.2 - Pair creation and pair annihilation terms	10
II.2 - Spin 1/2 field	12
II.3 - Spin 1 field	16
II.3.1 - The current operators	16
II.3.2 - Form factor matrix elements	17
II.4 - Center of mass and recoil corrections	21
Chapter III - QUADRUPOLE AND MAGNETIC STATIC MOMENTS	26
III.1 - Quadrupole moments	26
III.1.1 - Spin 0 field	26
III.1.2 - Spin 1/2 field	27
III.1.3 - Spin 1 field	28
III.2 - Magnetic moments	28
III.2.1 - Spin 0 field	28
III.2.2 - Spin 1/2 field	28
III.2.3 - Spin 1 field	29
Chapter IV - VECTOR DOMINANCE	30
IV.1 - Form factors	30
IV.2 - Quadrupole moment	32
IV.3 - Magnetic moment	35
Appendix - ADDENDUM AND ERRATA TO NBS MONOGRAPH 147	38

-

ABSTRACT

The formulae for the calculation of the electron scattering form factors, and of the static magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments, of relativistic many-body bound systems are derived. The framework, given in NBS Monograph 147, is relativistic quantum field theory in the Schrödinger picture ; the physical particles, i.e. the solutions of the interacting fields, are given as linear combinations of the solutions of the free fields, called the parton fields. The parton-photon interaction is taken as given by minimal coupling, $\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} - e\vec{A}$; in addition the contribution of the photon-vector meson vertex of the vector dominance model is derived.

Key words: Electromagnetic properties; form factors; magnetic moments; quadropole moments; quantum field theory; relativistic many body systems; vector dominance.

.

CHAPTER I

ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTION OPERATORS

I.1 - INTRODUCTION

In a previous publication ^[1], NBS Monograph 147, the description of a nucleus has been formulated as a stationary problem of relativistic quantum field theory. In that report, only the problem of the stationary state energies and wave functions has been considered.

In the present Monograph, we shall derive the formulae needed for computation of the electromagnetic properties of these states, i.e. the electron scattering form factors, the magnetic and quadrupole static and transition moments. To this end we shall give the explicit form of the one-body matrix elements in terms of the single particle discretized basis states used in NBS Monograph 147. These matrix elements can then be used in a well-known fashion to calculate the properties of the many-body solutions.

From now on, we shall refer to NBS Monograph 147 as I. In this report, we shall use all the definitions and notations introduced in I. We shall refer to a formula from that book as for example I(4.123) and to a page number as for example I p.105. In particular, we refer to Chapter II for the phase conventions and the angular momentum diagramatic coupling techniques and to Chapter III for the definition of the metric. As in I, we shall treat successively the cases of spin 0, spin 1/2, and spin 1 fields. For the spin 1 field, we employ the formulation of R. Hayward, ref. [2].

I.2 - FORM OF THE INTERACTIONS

As interaction with the electromagnetic field we consider only the minimal coupling, i.e. the forms obtained by the replacement

I.1

$$\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} - \vec{eA}$$

in the Lagrangian. In other words no anomalous moment is ascribed to the spin 1/2 and the spin 1 fields. However, we shall add explicitly the photon-vector meson interaction of the vector dominance model. These two prescriptions are introduced since in the present framework on the one hand a certain part of the mesonic contributions to the magnetic moments is treated explicitly while on the other hand the configuration spaces contemplated in I-Chapter V are truncated at rather low energies (about 1 GeV). In particular, they do not contain any baryon-antibaryon components which would presumably contribute the bulk of the py vertex.

Since we consider photon emission or absorption processes, we need only terms linear in the photon field A_{μ} . Thus we can write in general

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{interaction}} = -\int d^3 r J_{\mu} A_{\mu} . \qquad (1.1)$$

The currents J are of the form, for spin O fields

$$J_{\mu} = ie(\phi^{+} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \tau_{z} \phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \phi^{+} \tau_{z} \phi) , \qquad (1.2)$$

for spin 1/2 fields

$$J_{\mu} = ie \,\overline{\psi} \,\gamma_{\mu} \,(1/2 + \tau_{z}/2)\psi \,, \qquad (1.3)$$

and for spin 1 fields [2]

$$J_{\mu} = ie(\overline{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{4} \tau_{z} \overline{\pi} + \pi \gamma_{4} \gamma_{\mu} \tau_{z} \rho) . \qquad (1.4)$$

The electron scattering form factors, after factorizing out the photon propagator, are given by (see for example refs. [3] and [4])

$$F_{\mu}(\vec{q}) = \int d^{3}r J_{\mu}(\vec{r}) e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} . \qquad (1.5)$$

The quadrupole moment is obtained by evaluating the quadrupole operator

$$q(\vec{r}) = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$$
, (1.6)

with the charge density $\rho_0 = -i J_4$

$$Q = -i \int d^{3}r J_{4}(\vec{r}) q(\vec{r}) .$$
 (1.7)

This form follows from the application of Siegert's theorem, which we briefly recall here. Let us note first that in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ the electric field of the magnetic multipolarities goes to zero faster than that of the electric and lon-gitudinal multipolarities. Furthermore, for real photons the longitudinal multipolarities are absent. Then, one can apply Siegert's theorem which is based on

the identity for the electric field

$$\vec{e}_{l} = - \vec{\nabla} \nabla_{l} + (\vec{qr}) f_{l}(\vec{qr}) , \qquad (1.8)$$

where V, denotes the scalar multipole

$$V_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = a_{\ell} Y_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) j_{\ell}(q\mathbf{r}) . \qquad (1.9)$$

Thus for $q \rightarrow 0$ and combining the proper time dependence in the real fields A_{μ} and J_{μ} in order to obtain a real energy

$$-\int d^{3}r J_{\mu} A_{\mu} = \frac{i}{\omega} \int d^{3}r \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\delta} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \int d^{3}r \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\nabla} V$$
$$= \frac{i}{\omega} \int d^{3}r \nabla J \cdot V = -\frac{i}{\omega} \int d^{3}r \dot{\rho}_{o} V = \int d^{3}r \rho_{o} V$$

which yields the form (1.7) for the quadrupole part of V.

The magnetic moment operator is in general of the form, ref. [2]

$$\vec{\mu} = \mu_0 (\vec{k} + \vec{\sigma})$$
(1.10)

where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the spin operator of the field. Therefore we have for spin O

$$\vec{\mu} = -i\mu_0 \int d^3r J_4 \vec{k} , \qquad (1.11)$$

for spin 1/2

$$\vec{\mu} = -i\mu_0 \int d^3r J_4(\vec{j} + \vec{s}) ,$$
 (1.12)

and for spin 1

$$\vec{\mu} = -i\mu_0 \int d^3r J_4 \vec{j} . \qquad (1.13)$$

Note that these expressions contain no anomalous part in agreement with the introductory remarks. Note also that the form (1.10) is valid for arbitrary spin in the formulation of R. Hayward, ref. [2]. In the cases s = 0 and s = 1, eqs. (1.11) and (1.12) thus yield a particularly simple result since the magnetic moment is proportional to a conserved quantity, namely the total angular momentum of the particle. Finally, the use of the fourth component of the conserved electromagnetic current in eqs. (1.11) to (1.13), as is well-known, arises from a quasi-Siegert theorem. Namely from the relation

$$\vec{B} = rot \vec{A}$$

we get the identity in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{r} \times \vec{B} + \vec{q} \times \vec{r} f(qr) , \qquad (1.14)$$

where \vec{B} is a constant (independent of space coordinates). Herewith we have for the interaction

$$-\int d^{3}r \,\vec{J}.\vec{A} = -\frac{e}{m} \int d^{3}r \,\vec{p}.\vec{A} = \frac{-e}{2m} \int d^{3}r \,\vec{p}.(\vec{r} \times \vec{B})$$
$$= \frac{e}{2m} \int d^{3}r \,(\vec{r} \times \vec{p}).\vec{B} = \frac{e\vec{B}}{2m} \cdot \int d^{3}r \,\vec{k} , \qquad (1.15)$$

where the integral over \vec{k} means the expectation value of the orbital angular momentum of the system. The replacement of the current \vec{J} by the velocity \vec{v} must be carried out in a formulation of the Hamiltonian in which the spin-orbit coupling has been eliminated, e.g. for the spin 1/2 particle by going from the first order to the second order Dirac equations, see ref.[5], eq. (12.11), or more generally for any spin, ref.[2], section 4. In these formulations the interaction of the spin with the magnetic field is already in the form $\mu_0 \ \vec{s} \cdot \vec{B}$. The expectation value of (1.15) is evaluated by integration over a normalized quantity which has the sign of the charge, i.e. the charge density

$$\rho_{0}(\vec{r}) = -i J_{4}(\vec{r}).$$

I.3 - VECTOR DOMINANCE

In the vector dominance model, one assumes that the most important interaction of hadrons and photons arises by the process in which a photon is converted into a neutral vector meson which then interacts with the hadronic system via the strong interactions. Consequently the direct interaction of the photons with the hadronic current via the term $J_{\mu} A_{\mu}$ is assumed to be negligible and is frequently dropped. We shall not make here this assumption. We shall however add a term to the interaction Lagrangian describing the photon-vector meson interaction and call it the vector dominance term.

The simplest gauge invariant Lorentz scalar which is linear in both the photon and vector meson fields is

$$\mathscr{L}_{int}^{VD} = g \int d^4 x F_{\mu\nu}(x) \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) , \qquad (1.16)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}(x) - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}(x) , \qquad (1.17)$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) , \qquad (1.18)$$

in terms of the photon vector potential $A_{\mu}(x)$ and the field of the ω -meson $\omega_{\mu}(x)$. A similar expression holds for the neutral ρ -meson. Since we shall use the Lorentz gauge

- 4 -

$$\partial_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} = 0, \qquad (1.19)$$

I.5

for the vector mesons, eq. (1.16) can be simplified by integrating by parts

$$\mathscr{G}_{\text{int}}^{\text{VD}} = -g \int d^4 x (A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \Phi_{\mu\nu} - A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \Phi_{\mu\nu})$$

$$= -2g \int d^4 x A_{\nu} (\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu})$$

$$= -2g m_{\omega}^2 \int d^4 x A_{\nu}(x) \omega_{\nu}(x) . \qquad (1.20)$$

In the first term we have used the Klein-Gordon equation, see I(3.149), to replace the d'Alembertian by the meson mass, while the second term vanishes owing to the Lorentz condition (1.19).

Thus the vector dominance interaction for the ω and the (neutral) ρ^{O} fields are of the form

$$\mathscr{Y}_{int}^{\text{VD}} = -G_{\gamma\omega} \int d^4x A_{\mu}(x) \omega_{\mu}(x) - G_{\gamma\rho} \int d^4x A_{\mu}(x) \rho_{\mu}^{\text{o}}(x) . \qquad (1.21)$$

Note that the replacement of the d'Alambertian by the mass in (1.20) is correct since (1.21) will be used to evaluate matrix elements and our basis functions for the vector mesons indeed obey the Klein-Gordon equation. Of course, it is not implied that the Klein-Gordon equation comprises the complete equations of motion. Also recall that (1.16) is only an <u>ad hoc</u> effective interaction which is not contained in the original Lagrangian.

CHAPTER II

ELASTIC ELECTRON SCATTERING FORM FACTOR

II.1 - SPIN O FIELD

II.1.1 - Scattering terms

In the case of pions, we must consider separately the photon absorption terms, figure 2.1.a (which we shall call "scattering terms") and the pair creation and annihilation terms, figures 2.1.b and 2.1.c. They differ by the form of the isospin operator and the coupling adopted between the particle states and the transferred multipoles.



Fig. 2.1

We treat first the scattering terms figure 2.1.a. Only the charged pions contribute, thus we introduce the charge operator

$$\tau_{z} = \hat{1} \begin{bmatrix} c^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \tau^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.1)$$

II.2

with

$$c_{\rm m}^{[1]} = -i\delta_{\rm m0}$$
 (2.2)

Polarization in ordinary space is added to polarization in isospin space with an overall space and isospin amplitude denoted by $c^{[11]}$ and $c^{[01]}$ for the space vector and space scalar parts respectively :

$$\vec{J} = ie \ \hat{I}^2 [c^{[11]}(\phi'^+(\nabla^{[1]}\tau^{[1]}\phi') - (\nabla^{[1]}\phi'^+)\tau^{[1]}\phi')]^{[00]}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$J_{4} = ie \ \hat{1} \left[c^{\left[01 \right]} \left(\phi^{\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \tau^{\left[1 \right]} \phi^{\dagger} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \phi^{\dagger} \right) \tau^{\left[1 \right]} \phi^{\dagger} \right) \right]^{\left[00 \right]} .$$

$$(2.4)$$

These forms are constructed to express the fact that the current is an invariant, see chapter I of ref. [5] and chapter II of ref. [1].

The complex fields ϕ'^+ and ϕ' are obtained in their discretized forms from the real fields, expressions I(3.24), by selecting the proper creation and annihilation parts. In the case of the scalar current one may introduce the π' fields of I(3.25) with the relations I(3.2a) and I(3.2b),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \phi' = -i \pi'^+$$
 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \phi'^+ = -i \pi'$. (2.5)

Finally in eq. (1.5) we shall make use of the multipole expansion in tensorial form of the plane wave

$$e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} = 4\pi \sum_{\ell} (i)^{\ell} \hat{\ell} [\hat{q}^{\ell}] \hat{r}^{\ell}] [0] j_{\ell}(qr) . \qquad (2.6)$$

In all the terms of the expressions (2.3) and (2.4), the isospin parts yield the same contribution according to the recoupling diagram of figure 2.2, namely

$$\hat{1}^{3}[\tilde{A}[1]][0] [c[1]][0] [A[1]][0] [A[1]][0]$$

$$= - [1|\tau|1][\tilde{A}^{[1]}A^{[1]}c^{[1]}][0] . \qquad (2.7)$$



Fig. 2.2

The first term of the vector current contribution, eq. (2.3), is calculated according to figure 2.3. The adopted coupling corresponds to the change of the initial angular momentum l_2 by one unit, $L = l_2 \pm 1$, after application of the gradient operator. The second term of eq. (2.3) yields the recoupling diagram of figure 2.4. The final result for the vector form factor for the spin 0 field is thus

$$\vec{F}(\vec{q}) = \frac{ie}{2} 4\pi \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 \nu_1 \\ \nu_1 \nu_1}} (i)^{\nu_2 - \nu_1 + \nu} (-[1|\tau|1]) \\ \nu_2 \nu_2 \\ \times \sum_{L} \frac{1}{\hat{L}} \left\{ (-)^{\nu_2 + 1} + L \alpha_{L \nu_2} [\nu_1 | L | \nu] \mathcal{F}_{\nu_1 L \nu_2}^{\nu_1 \nu_2} (q) \\ \times [\tilde{A}^{[\nu_1 1]} [A^{[\nu_2 1]} c^{[11]}] [L^1] \hat{q}^{[\nu_0]}] [00] \\ - (-)^{\nu_1 + 1 + L} \alpha_{L \nu_1} [\nu_2 | L | \nu] \mathcal{F}_{\nu_2 L \nu_1}^{\nu_2 \nu_1} (q) \\ \times [[\tilde{A}^{[\nu_1 1]} c^{[11]}] [L^1] A^{[\nu_2 1]} q^{[\nu_0]}] [00] \right\}, \qquad (2.8)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathscr{F}_{\ell_1 L \ell \ell_2}^{\nu_1 \nu_2}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int r^2 dr \int \frac{p^3 dp}{\sqrt{E}} g_{\nu_1 \ell_1}(r) j_L(qr) j_\lambda(pr) f_{\nu_2 \ell_2}(p) . \quad (2.9)$$



Fig. 2.3



Fig. 2.4

Next we evaluate the scalar form factor due to the scalar current, eq. (2.4). Owing to eq. (2.5), the two terms give equal contributions, the space part of which is evaluated in figure 2.5. Together with the isospin contribution of eq. (2.7) we get

11.5



Fig. 2.5

II.1.2 - Pair creation and pair annihilation terms

In the case of pair production or annihilation, figures 2.1.b and 2.1.c, we must supply in isospin space the operator

$$\Delta \mathbf{T}_{z} = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{L}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}} = \delta_{\mathbf{M}} & \mathbf{O} & (1 \ 1 \ 1 - 1 \ | \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{O})$$
(2.11)

with

since only charged pions contribute. Thus, with polarization amplitudes c [xT] which include both ordinary space and isospin space, the invariant form of the current for the pair diagram is (we write $\nabla^{[10]}$ to indicate that it is a unit

scalar in isospin space ; conversely for $\tau^{[01]}$)

$$\vec{J} = ie \ \hat{i} \sum_{\tau} \hat{T}[c^{[1T]}(\phi, (\nabla^{[10]}, \tau^{[01]}, \tau^{[01]}, \phi') - (\nabla^{[10]}, \phi', \tau^{[01]}, \tau^{[01]}, \phi')]^{[00]} + same \ \text{term with } \phi'^{\dagger} \ \text{in place of } \phi', \qquad (2.12)$$

$$J_{4} = ie \sum_{T} \hat{T} \left[c^{\left[OT\right]} \left(\phi' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \tau^{\left[O1\right]} \tau^{\left[O1\right]} \phi' \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \phi' \right) \tau^{\left[O1\right]} \tau^{\left[O1\right]} \phi' \right) \right] \begin{bmatrix} OO \end{bmatrix}$$

+ same term with ϕ'^{\dagger} in place of ϕ' . (2.13)

We consider first the pair creation term of figure 2.1.b.

The isospin part yields according to figure 2.6 the following contribution, both for the scalar and vector form factors

$${}^{2} \ \widehat{\mathbf{T}}\left[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\left[1\right] \ \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}\left[1\right] \left[0\right] \ \left[\mathbf{c}\left[\mathbf{T}\right] \ \mathbf{\tau}\left[1\right] \ \mathbf{\tau}\left[1\right] \right] \left[0\right] \ \left[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\left[1\right] \ \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}\left[1\right] \right] \left[0\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\widehat{\mathbf{1}}} \left[{}^{1} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{T} \$$



II.6

We turn to the space part. We consider first the vector form factor. The recoupling geometry is the same as in figures 2.3 and 2.4, except for a phase $(-)^{2}$. The final expression is thus

$$\hat{F}(\hat{q}) = \frac{ie}{2} 4\pi \sum_{\substack{\nu_1,\nu_1 \\ \nu_2}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \\ \nu_1,\nu_1 \\ \nu_2}} (i)^{-\nu_2 - \nu_1 + \nu} (i)^{1 + 1 + T}_{\hat{I}[1 + 1 + T]} [1 + \tau]^2)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\nu_2,\nu_2 \\ \nu_2,\nu_2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu_2,\nu_2 \\ \nu_2,\nu_2}} (i)^{\nu_2 + 1 + L} (i)^{\nu_2 + 1 + L}_{\hat{I}_{22}} (i)^{\nu_1 + \nu_2}_{\hat{I}_{21} - L + \nu_2} (i)^{\nu_2 + 1 + L}_{\hat{I}_{22}} (i)^{\nu_2 + 1 + L}_{\hat{I}_$$

Likewise for the scalar form factor, see figure 2.5 together with figure 2.6

$$F_{4}(\vec{q}) = -ie \ 4\pi \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1}\nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2}\nu_{2}}} \sum_{T} (i)^{-\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}+\nu_{1}} (\frac{1}{i} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & T \\ 1 & 1 & T \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [1|\tau|1]^{2})$$

$$\sim 2^{\nu_{2}}$$

$$(2.16)$$

$$\times \int r^{2} dr \ g_{\nu_{1}\nu_{1}}(r) \ j_{\nu}(qr) \ h_{\nu_{2}\nu_{2}}(r) [\tilde{A}^{[\nu_{1}1]} \ \tilde{A}^{[\nu_{2}1]} \ c^{[0T]} \ \tilde{q}^{[\nu_{0}]}]^{[00]}.$$

For the pair annihilation terms these expressions hold upon replacement of the creation operators \tilde{A} by the annihilation operators A and upon multiplication by the phase $(-1)^{\ell_1+\ell_2}$.

II.2 - SPIN 1/2 FIELD

Owing to the limitation of our configuration space, see Chapter VI ref. [1] we here have to consider only the scattering terms. Furthermore, since we assume the neutron to have no electromagnetic interactions we introduce the charge pro-

II.8

jection operator

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \hat{1} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), \qquad (2.17)$$

with, as in eq. (2.2)

$$c_{\rm m}^{[1]} = -i \delta_{\rm m0}$$
 (2.18)

Introducing again polarization amplitudes $c^{[xy]}$ in ordinary (index x) and isospin (index y) spaces the currents are

$$\hat{J} = \frac{ie}{2} \hat{I} \left[\bar{\psi} c^{[10]} \gamma^{[10]} \psi + \hat{I} \psi c^{[11]} \gamma^{[10]} \tau^{[01]} \psi \right]^{[00]}, (2.19)$$

$$J_{4} = \frac{ie}{2} \left[\overline{\psi} c^{[00]} \gamma_{4} \psi + \hat{1} \overline{\psi} c^{[01]} \gamma_{4} \tau^{[01]} \psi \right]^{[00]} . \qquad (2.20)$$

The isospin part is treated in figure 2.7 and yields

$$(\hat{\frac{1}{2}}) [\tilde{B}^{[1/2]} \tilde{\eta}^{[1/2]}] [0] \frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{1}[c^{[1]} \tau^{[1]}] [0]) (\hat{\frac{1}{2}}) [B^{[1/2]} \eta^{[1/2]}] [0]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=0,1} (-)^{y} [1/2] \tau^{[y]} |1/2] [\tilde{B}^{[1/2]} B^{[1/2]} c^{[y]}] [0] , \quad (2.21)$$

with

$$c^{[0]} = 1$$
 (2.22)





We shall evaluate first the vector current contribution to the form factor. The spinor field with its large and small components

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} L \\ S \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.23)$$

II.9

is given in its discretized form in I(3.98). Expressing the γ matrices in terms of the Pauli matrices σ , the expression (2.19) for the vector current becomes

$$\mathbf{\hat{J}} = \frac{\mathbf{i}e}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{y}=1,0} \mathbf{\hat{1}} \ \mathbf{\hat{y}}(-)^{\mathbf{y}} \left[\mathbf{c}^{\left[1\mathbf{y}\right]} \left[\mathbf{L}^{+} \sigma^{\left[10\right]} \tau^{\left[0\mathbf{y}\right]} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}^{+} \sigma^{\left[10\right]} \tau^{\left[0\mathbf{y}\right]} \mathbf{L} \right] \right] \begin{bmatrix} 00 \\ . \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.24)

The vector part of the form factor has thus a geometry given by figure 2.8 together with figure 2.7 for the isospin

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}(\hat{q}) &= -ie \ 2\pi \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=1,0} (-)^{y} [1/2|\tau^{\left[y\right]}|1/2] [1/2|\sigma^{\left[1\right]}|1/2] \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} j} [\lambda_{1} j]} \sum_{i} (-)^{\ell_{2} - \ell_{1} + \ell} \frac{1}{\hat{1} \cdot \hat{\ell}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & \ell_{1} & j_{1} \\ 1/2 & \lambda_{2} & j_{2} \\ 1 & \ell & L \end{bmatrix} [\ell_{1} |\lambda_{2}|\ell] g_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2} \ell}^{\nu_{1} \nu_{2}}(q) \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\ell \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2} j} [\ell_{2}] \lambda_{2}} \left[\frac{1/2 & \lambda_{1} & j_{1}}{1/2 & \lambda_{2} & j_{2}} \right] [\lambda_{1} |\ell_{2}|\ell] g_{\ell_{2} \ell_{1} \lambda_{1} \ell}^{\nu_{2} \nu_{1}}(q) \\ &+ \left[\frac{1/2 & \lambda_{1} & j_{1}}{1/2 & \lambda_{2} & j_{2}} \right] [\lambda_{1} |\ell_{2}|\ell] g_{\ell_{2} \ell_{1} \lambda_{1} \ell}^{\nu_{2} \nu_{1}}(q) \\ &+ \left[\tilde{B}_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}}^{\left[j_{1} / 2\right]} & B_{\nu_{2} \ell_{2}}^{\left[j_{2} / 2\right]} \left[\hat{q} [\ell_{0}] & c^{\left[1y\right]} \right] [Ly] \right] [00] \end{split}$$

$$(2.25)$$

where

$$\mathscr{G}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\lambda_{2}\ell}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}(q) = \int r^{2} dr \ u_{\nu_{1}\ell_{1}}(r) \ j_{\ell}(qr) \ v_{\nu_{2}\ell_{2}\lambda_{2}}(r) \ . \tag{2.26}$$



Fig. 2.8

The scalar current (2.20) is in terms of the large and small components eq. (2.23),

$$J_{4} = \frac{ie}{2} \sum_{y=0,1} \hat{y} [c^{[0y]}(L^{+}\tau^{[y]}L + S^{+}\tau^{[y]}S)]^{[00]}. \qquad (2.27)$$

The evaluation of the scalar form factor for the space geometry is given in figure 2.9 and the final result together with the isospin part (2.21) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{4}(\mathbf{q}) &= \mathbf{ie} \ 2\pi \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{y}=1,0}^{\mathcal{I}} (-)^{\mathbf{y}} [1/2|\tau^{\left[\mathbf{y}\right]}|1/2] \mathbf{1}/2 \right. \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} \mathbf{j}_{1}(\lambda_{1})}^{\mathcal{I}} (\mathbf{i})^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}+\ell} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \ \ell_{1} \ \mathbf{j}_{1} \\ 1/2 \ \ell_{2} \ \mathbf{j}_{2} \ \mathbf{j}_{2} \\ \mathbf{0} \ \ell \ \ell \end{array} \right) [\ell_{1}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_{2}|\ell_$$

with the definitions

$$u_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}(q) = \int r^{2} dr \ u_{\nu_{1}\ell_{1}}(r) \ u_{\nu_{2}\ell_{2}}(r) \ j_{\ell}(qr) , \qquad (2.29)$$

$$v_{\ell_{1}\lambda_{1}\ell_{2}\lambda_{2}\ell}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}(q) = \int r^{2} dr \, v_{\nu_{1}\ell_{1}\lambda_{1}}(r) \, v_{\nu_{2}\ell_{2}\lambda_{2}}(r) \, j_{\ell}(qr) \, . \quad (2.30)$$

II.11



Fig. 2.9

II.3 - SPIN 1 FIELD

II.3.1 - The current operators

The invariant form of the spin 1 current operator (1.4) is obtained by introducing explicitly the γ matrices for spin 1, I(3.118), and the ρ ' fields of I(3.131), I(3.132) and I(3.133). These fields are already broken into their scalar and vector components. Thus, noting that in the Lorentz gauge, see I(3.134)

$$\pi_4^* = \pi_4^* = 0$$
,

we get for the vector current

$$\vec{J} = ie \ \hat{1}^{2} (\vec{\rho}^{*} [e^{[11]}_{\gamma} [10]_{\tau} [01]] [00]_{\gamma_{4}} \vec{\pi}^{*} + \pi^{*}_{\gamma_{4}} [e^{[11]}_{\gamma} [10]_{\tau} [01]] [00]_{\rho^{*}}) \equiv ie \ \hat{1}^{2} (\rho_{4}^{*} [e^{[11]}_{\gamma} [10]_{\tau} [01]] [00]_{\vec{\pi}}, - \vec{\pi}^{*} [e^{[11]}_{\gamma} [10]_{\tau} [01]] [00]_{\rho_{4}^{*}}), \quad (2.31)$$

where the charge projection operator of eq. (2.1) has been introduced and where $c^{[11]}$ denotes both space and isospin polarization amplitudes.

.

II.12

Likewise the scalar current is given by

$$J_{4} = ie \hat{1}(\vec{\rho}' [c^{[01]}_{\tau} [01]]^{[00]}_{\tau} + \hat{\pi}' + [c^{[01]}_{\tau} [01]]^{[00]}_{\rho} + .$$
(2.32)

II.3.2 - Form factor matrix elements

We have to consider only scattering terms of the type shown in figures 2.1.a owing to the limitation of our configuration space to at most one spin 1 vector meson, see Chapter VI ref. [1].

The evaluation of the isospin matrix elements in (2.31) and (2.32) is identical to the spin O case and is given in eq. (2.7), figure 2.2.

For the vector part of the current and from the explicit form of the γ matrices, one sees that after acting on the fields, i.e. in the step from the first to the second form of equation (2.31), the remaining parts of $\gamma^{[10]}$ are simply unit vectors $e^{[10]}$. We therefore will have to evaluate terms of the form

 $\begin{bmatrix} d \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} & \gamma \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} & Y \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\hat{1}} \begin{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} & d \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} & \hat{r} \begin{bmatrix} L \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.33)$

according to figure 2.10, where the symmetry properties of the invariant triple product have been used. We also have introduced the space polarization amplitudes $d^{[1]}$.



Fig. 2.10

When evaluating the several contributions to the vector current (2.31) from the multipolarities $\kappa = \mathcal{M}$, & and \mathcal{L} we note that for the ρ_4^{\prime} field only the longitudinal multipole \mathcal{L} exists. Furthermore, we note that the second term in eq. (2.31) is the hermitian conjugate of the first one. We therefore calculate explicitly its contribution only to the vector form factor, the space geometry of which is given in figure 2.11 and yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{\mathscr{D}_{1}}^{[J_{1}]} \\ \tilde{P}_{\mathscr{D}_{1}}^{[J_{1}]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}^{[\ell]} \\ \hat{r}^{[\ell]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\kappa\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}]} \\ A_{\kappa\nu_{2}}^{[\ell]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\tilde{J}_{1}\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\ell}_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} J_{1} \\ \ell_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{\beta\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}]} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta\nu_{2}} \\ \ell_{\beta\nu_{1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\kappa\nu} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(2.34)



Fig. 2.11

The complete vector form factor with the isospin geometry of figure 2.2 thus is, denoting again by $c^{[11]}$ both the space and isospin amplitudes

$$\begin{split} \vec{F}(\vec{q}) &= ie \ 4\pi \left(-\left[1\right] \left(\tau\right] \left(1\right)\right) \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} \ \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2}}} (i)^{J_{2}-J_{1}-1+\ell} \\ \times \left\{-i \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}\ell}^{\mathcal{M}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}]} \left[A_{\mathcal{M}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{J_{1}}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}}}{(J_{2}+1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}+1\ell}^{\mathcal{R}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}}}{(J_{2}-1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}-1\ell}^{\mathcal{R}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}+1}}{(J_{2}+1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}-1\ell}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}}}{(J_{2}-1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}-1\ell}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}}}{(J_{2}-1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}-1\ell}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{J_{2}}}{(J_{2}-1)} \ x_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{2}-1\ell}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} \left[\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} \left[A_{\mathcal{G}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} \ c^{[11]}\right] \left[J_{2}^{-1-1}\right] \ \vec{q}^{[\ell_{0}]} \left[00\right] \\ &+ h.c. \dots\right\} , , \end{split}$$

$$(2.35)$$

with the definitions

$$\kappa_{J_1 J_2 \ell_2 \ell}^{\kappa_{V_1 V_2}}(q) = \left[J_1 | \ell_2 | \ell\right] \int r^2 dr \, v_{V_1 J_1}^{\mathscr{L}}(r) \, j_{\ell}(qr) \, x_{V_2 J_2 \ell_2}^{\kappa}(r) \,, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{J_{1}J_{2}\ell_{2}\ell}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}(q) = \left[J_{1}|\ell_{2}|\ell\right] \int r^{2} dr \, v_{\nu_{1}J_{1}}^{\mathscr{L}}(r) \, j_{\ell}(qr) \, \mathcal{Z}_{\nu_{2}J_{2}\ell_{2}}^{\mathscr{L}}(r) \,, \quad (2.37)$$

where the radial functions $v^{\mathscr{L}}$, $\mathfrak{x}^{\mathsf{K}}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathscr{L}}$ are given in eqs. I(3.236), I(3.233) and I(3.235) respectively. As an example of the hermitian conjugate contributions, we obtain for the second term of (2.35) (recall eq. I(2.28)),

$$- ie 4\pi(+ [1|\tau^{[1]}|1]) \sum_{(-i)}^{J_1 - J_2 - 1 + \ell} \frac{\sqrt{J_1}}{(J_1 + 1)}$$

$$\times \mathcal{X}_{J_2 J_1 J_1 + 1\ell}^{\ell \vee 2^{\nu_1}}(q) [\hat{q}^{[\ell 0]} [c^{[11]} \tilde{A}_{\ell \vee 1}^{[J_1 1]}]^{[J_1 + 1 \ 1]} A_{\ell \vee 2}^{[J_2 1]}]^{[00]} .$$

$$(2.38)$$

We now turn to the scalar current, eq. (2.32). Here the two terms give the same contribution and we have

$$J_{4}(q) = 2ie \ \hat{1} \ \vec{\rho}' [c^{[01]}_{\tau} [01]^{[00]}_{\pi}, \qquad (2.39)$$

The scalar form factor thus is, see figure 2.12

$$F_{4}(\vec{q}) = 2ie \left(- \left[1 \right] \left[1 \right] \right] = 4\pi \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1}J_{1} \\ \mu}} (i)^{J_{2}-J_{1}+\mu} \frac{1}{\hat{J}_{1}\hat{J}_{2}} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{\kappa_{1}\lambda_{1} \\ \kappa_{2}J_{2} \\ 0 \ \mu \ \mu}} \left[\frac{1 \ \lambda_{1} \ J_{1} \\ 1 \ \lambda_{2} \ J_{2} \\ 0 \ \mu \ \mu} \right] \left[\lambda_{1} \left[\lambda_{2} \right] \mu \right] \int r^{2}dr \ \kappa_{J_{1}\lambda_{1}}^{\kappa_{1}\nu_{1}}(r) \ L_{J_{2}\lambda_{2}}^{\kappa_{2}\nu_{2}}(r) \ j_{\mu}(qr) \\ \kappa_{2}\lambda_{2}} \\ \times \left[\tilde{A}_{\kappa_{1}\nu_{1}}^{\left[J_{1} \right] 1} A_{\kappa_{2}\nu_{2}}^{\left[J_{2} \right] 1} \ c^{\left[01 \right]} \ \hat{q}^{\left[\mu 0 \right]} \right] \left[00 \right], \qquad (2.40)$$

with (see eqs. I(3.232) through I(3.236))

$$\mathbf{K}_{J\lambda}^{\mathcal{M}\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\lambda J} \, \widehat{\mathbf{J}} \, \mathbf{W}_{\nu J J}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{r}) \, , \qquad (2.41)$$

$$K_{J\lambda}^{\ell v}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\lambda J-1} i \sqrt{J+1} \mathcal{W}_{\nu JJ-1}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}) + \delta_{\lambda J+1} i \sqrt{J} \mathcal{W}_{\nu JJ+1}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (2.42)$$

$$K_{J\lambda}^{\mathscr{G}\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\lambda J-1} i \sqrt{J} \mathscr{W}_{\nu JJ-1}^{\mathscr{G}}(\mathbf{r}) - \delta_{\lambda J+1} i \sqrt{J+1} \mathscr{W}_{\nu JJ+1}^{\mathscr{G}}(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (2.43)$$

and

$$L_{J\lambda}^{M\nu}(r) = -\delta_{\lambda J} i \hat{J} \mathfrak{X}_{\nu JJ}^{\mathcal{M}}(r) , \qquad (2.44)$$

$$L_{J\lambda}^{\ell v}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\lambda J+1} \sqrt{J} \mathfrak{x} \, \mathcal{E}_{\nu JJ+1}(\mathbf{r}) + \delta_{\lambda J-1} \sqrt{J+1} \mathfrak{x} \, \mathcal{E}_{\nu JJ-1}(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (2.45)$$

$$L_{J\lambda}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\delta_{\lambda J+1} \sqrt{J+1} z_{\nu JJ+1}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{r}) + \delta_{\lambda J-1} \sqrt{J} z_{\nu JJ-1}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2.46)$$



Fig. 2.12

II.4 - CENTER OF MASS AND RECOIL CORRECTIONS

We must distinguish the pseudo-center of mass motion introduced into the solutions by the use of the center of mass pseudo-Hamiltonian, eq. I(1.41), and by the use of a truncated basis, from the relativistic recoil of the target nucleus in the electron scattering process. We shall discuss successively the extraction of the center of mass motion and the corrections required to account for the relativistic recoil.

We assume that the pseudo-Hamiltonian, I(1.50) and I(1.41)

$$\mathcal{H} = H + \frac{1}{2} \xi(\vec{P}^2 + \Omega^2 \vec{R}^2), \qquad (2.47)$$

has solutions of the form I(1.55) for the ground state

$$\psi_{g.s.} = \phi_{o}(\vec{R}) \chi_{g.s.}(\vec{\xi}_{i}),$$
(2.48)

where $\phi_0(\mathbf{R})$ is the normalized Os center of mass wave function and $\chi(\vec{\xi}_i)$ is the physical ground state wave function of the relative intrinsic particle coordinates $\vec{\xi}_i$. From the relation

$$\langle \Psi_{g.s.} | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} | \Psi_{g.s.} \rangle = \langle \phi_{o} | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{R}} | \phi_{o} \rangle \langle \chi_{g.s.} | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{\xi}} | \chi_{g.s.} \rangle, \quad (2.49)$$

we see that the physical elastic form factor is of the form

- 21 -

$$F_{\mu}(\vec{q})_{\text{corrected}} = e^{q^2/4\Omega^2} F_{\mu}(\vec{q})_{\text{calculated}}$$
(2.50)

II.17

where $\mathbf{F}_{\mu}(\vec{q})$ calculated is the form factor calculated from the solution $\psi_{g,s}$ with the one-body matrix elements given in the previous sections. Note that the correction resulting from eq. (2.50) can be important even for small values of the transferred momentum \vec{q} depending on the magnitude of the parameter Ω .

We now evaluate the effect of the relativistic recoil of the target nucleus at large momentum transfer. The following remarks are limited to the case of elastic scattering. It is best to use the brick-wall coordinate system in which the recoiling composite object moves with momentum - $\dot{q}/2$ before collision and + $\dot{q}/2$ after collision. The velocity is

$$\vec{v} = \pm (\vec{q}/2) (M^2 + (q^2/4))^{-1/2},$$
 (2.51)

and the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma = (1 + (\frac{q}{2M})^2)^{1/2},$$
 (2.52)

where M is the mass of the composite particle. In the brick-wall system the Lorentz contraction is the same in the initial and final states. Thus, in the many-body matrix elements, the overlaps associated with the constituents which do not interact with the electromagnetic field are unity. We must however evaluate the effect of the boost on the value of the one-body matrix element involving the interacting constituent particle.

For example, for a spin O constituent in the basis state $\phi_{\nu\ell}(\mathbf{x})$ of the solution ψ calculated in the laboratory system, we get in the brick-wall system

$$F(q) = \left\langle \phi_{\nu \ell}'(\vec{q}/2) | e^{iqz} | \phi_{\nu \ell}'(-\vec{q}/2) \right\rangle$$
(2.53)

where we have assumed the transferred momentum to be along the z direction. In eq. (2.53), $\phi'_{\nu l}(\pm \dot{q}/2)$ is the state boosted with the velocity $\dot{\vec{v}}$, eq. (2.51). For the spin 0 case, the boosted functions $\phi'_{\nu l}$ differ from the laboratory functions $\phi_{\nu l}$ firstly by a Lorentz contraction, secondly by a change of normalization. The Lorentz contraction is of course the same for the initial and final states. The change of normalization is given by the Lorentz factor γ . Therefore we have

$$\phi_{y,0}^{\dagger}(x, y, z) = \sqrt{\gamma} \phi(x, y, \gamma z),$$
 (2.54)

II.18

and eq. (2.53) becomes

$$F(q) = \int dx dy \gamma dz \phi^{*}(x, y, \gamma z) e^{iqz} \phi(x, y, \gamma z) . \qquad (2.55)$$

A change of variables yields finally

$$F(q) = \int dx \, dy \, d\xi \, \phi^{*}(x, \, y, \, \xi) \, e^{i(q/\gamma)\xi} \, \phi(x, \, y, \, \xi) \quad . \tag{2.56}$$

Thus the effect of the relativistic recoil for a spin 0 constituent is fully taken into account by scaling of the transfer momentum

$$F(q)_{\text{physical}} = F_{\text{corrected}}(q/\gamma)$$
 (2.57)

However, for a constituent of non-zero spin, in addition to compensating for the Lorentz contraction as in eq. (2.52), we must also evaluate the effect of the Lorentz transformation on the spinors. This way eq. (2.56) becomes

$$F(q) = \frac{1}{N} \int dx \, dy \, d\xi(\overline{\psi}(x, y, \xi) + \delta \overline{\psi}(x, y, \xi)) e^{i(q/\gamma)\xi}$$

$$\times (\psi(x, y, \xi) + \delta \psi(x, y, \xi)) , \qquad (2.58)$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\langle \psi + \delta \psi \middle| \psi + \delta \psi \right\rangle \quad (2.59)$$

We outline the calculation of the change $\delta \psi$ in the wave function of the constituent, using as an example the case of a spin 1/2 particle. There only the small components are modified by the boost which entails the substitution

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \partial_{\mathbf{x}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \partial_{\mathbf{y}} + \gamma \sigma_{\xi} \partial_{\xi} = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\xi} + (\gamma - 1) \sigma_{\xi} \partial_{\xi} .$$
 (2.60)

The term $\vec{\sigma}.\vec{\nabla}_{\xi}$ contributes to ψ as in eqs. I(3.72) and I(3.73) which have been evaluated to yield eqs. I(3.88) and I(3.89). In order to evaluate the correction term we introduce

$$(\gamma - 1)\sigma_{\xi}\partial_{\xi} = \hat{1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} h^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} \sigma^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} \nabla^{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.61)$$

with

$$h_{\rm m}^{[1]} = -i(\gamma - 1)^{1/2} \delta_{\rm mO} \quad . \tag{2.62}$$



Fig. 2.13

From the recouplings of figure 2.13 we obtain the field expansion

$$\delta \psi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \& j \\ N \lambda K}} (i)^{\&} (-)^{j-N} \frac{\widehat{N}}{\widehat{\lambda}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & K \\ 1/2 \& j \\ 1/2 & \lambda & N \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{6} \alpha_{\lambda\&}$$

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} B_{\nu\ell}^{[j]} & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}_{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} [h^{[1]}_{h} [1]]^{[K]} & Y_{1/2\lambda}^{[N]}(\hat{\xi}) \, \delta v_{\nu\ell\lambda}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}^{[j]} \\ \begin{bmatrix} [h^{[1]}_{h} [1]]^{[K]} & Y_{1/2\lambda}^{[N]}(\hat{\xi}) \, \delta v_{\nu\ell\lambda}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}^{[j]} \\ + & (-)^{\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_{\nu\ell}^{[j]} & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}_{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [h^{[1]}_{h} [1]]^{[K]} & \tilde{Y}_{1/2\lambda}^{[N]}(\hat{\xi}) \, \delta v_{\nu\ell\lambda}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}^{[j]} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2.63)$$

where

$$\delta v_{\nu \ell \lambda}(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int p^2 dp (2E(E + m))^{-1/2} f_{\nu \ell}(p) j_{\lambda}(p\xi) .$$
 (2.64)

We note however that for the relatively low transfer momentum region covered by the truncated configuration space of Chapter VI, ref. [1], we expect the recoil corrections to be small, in contrast to the center of mass correction discussed above, since $\delta \psi$ is of the order of

II.20

$$\gamma - 1 \sim \frac{q^2}{8M^2}$$
 (2.65)

We finally note for completeness that for the case of inelastic scattering the calculation is most easily performed in the generalized brick wall system in which the γ -factors are the same in the initial and the final states. Then, considering the excitation of the system of rest-mass M by the energy B, so that the rest-mass of the final state is $M_2 = M + B$, we have $\vec{P}_1 = -M\gamma \vec{v}$, $\vec{P}_2 = (M+B)\gamma \vec{v}$, $E_1 = M\gamma$, $E_2 = (M+B)\gamma$ and the momentum transfer four-vector is $q = ((2M+B)\gamma \vec{v}, iB\gamma)$. With obvious modifications the formulae of this Chapter then hold also for inelastic form factors. We shall not go into detail here.

CHAPTER III

QUADRUPOLE AND MAGNETIC STATIC MOMENTS

III.1 - QUADRUPOLE MOMENTS

The quadrupole moment operator the form of which is derived in Chapter I section 2

$$Q(\vec{r}) = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2 = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} r^2 Y_{20}(\hat{r})$$
, (3.1)

reads in tensorial form

$$Q(\vec{r}) = \hat{2} \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} [b^{[2]} \hat{r}^{[2]}]^{[0]} r^2,$$
 (3.2)

where the amplitudes $b_m^{[2]}$ corresponding to the definition (3.1) are

$$b_{\rm m}^{[2]} = -\delta_{\rm m0} \qquad (3.3)$$

Furthermore, the complete quadrupole operator must of course include the charge projection operators defined in eqs. (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) for the spin 0, 1/2 and 1 cases respectively.

III.1.1 - Spin O field

The scattering terms, figure 2.1.a give the contribution to the quadrupole moment

III.2

$$Q = -ie \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 \ell_1 \\ \nu_2 \ell_2}} (i)^{\ell_2 - \ell_1} [1|\tau^{[1]}|1] [\ell_1|2|\ell_2]$$

$$\times \int r^2 dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} g_{\nu_1 \ell_1}(r) r^2 h_{\nu_2 \ell_2}(r) [\tilde{A}^{[\ell_1 1]} A^{[\ell_2 1]} c^{[01]} b^{[20]}] [00],$$
(3.4)

where the recoupling is similar to that used in figure 2.5 for eq. 2.10. The definitions of the amplitudes are given by eqs. (3.3) and (2.2). Likewise for the pair creation contribution, figure 2.1.b, we get from figures 2.5 and 2.6 and from eq. (2.16)

$$Q = -ie \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2}}} \sum_{T} (i)^{-\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}} (\frac{1}{i} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & T \\ 1 & 1 & T \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [1|\tau^{[1]}|1]^{2})$$

$$\times \int r^{2} dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} g_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}}(r) r^{2} h_{\nu_{2} \ell_{2}}(r) [\tilde{A}^{[\ell_{1}1]} \tilde{A}^{[\ell_{2}1]} c^{[0T]} b^{[20]}] [00],$$
(3.5)

with the definition of eq. (2.11). The pair annihilation term, figure 2.1.c, gives the same contribution as (3.5) except for the additional phase $(-)^{l_1+l_2}$.

III.1.2 - Spin 1/2 field

The quadrupole matrix elements for the spin 1/2 scattering term is derived according to the recoupling of figure 2.9 and, in similar fashion as in eq. (2.28), we get

$$Q = \frac{ie}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=1,0}^{\infty} (-)^{y} [1/2|\tau^{[y]}|1/2] (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} \lambda_{1} j_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2} j_{2} \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2} j_{2} \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2} j_{2} \\ 0 = 2 - 2 \end{bmatrix} [\ell_{1}|\ell_{2}|2] \int r^{2} dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} r^{2} u_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}}(r) u_{\nu_{2} \ell_{2}}(r) \\ + \left[\frac{1/2}{2} \lambda_{1} j_{1} \\ 1/2 \lambda_{2} j_{2} \\ 0 = 2 - 2 \right] [\lambda_{1}|\lambda_{2}|2] \int r^{2} dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} r^{2} v_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} \lambda_{1}}(r) v_{\nu_{2} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2}}(r) \\ + \left[\frac{\beta_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}}^{[j_{1} 1/2]}}{\rho_{2} \ell_{2} \ell_{2}} \right] [\lambda_{1}|\lambda_{2}|2] \int r^{2} dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} r^{2} v_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} \lambda_{1}}(r) v_{\nu_{2} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2}}(r) \right) \\ \times \left[\frac{\beta_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}}^{[j_{1} 1/2]}}{\rho_{2} \ell_{2} \ell_{2}} c^{[0y]} b^{[20]} \right] [00] \right\} .$$
(3.6)

III.1.3 - Spin 1 field

For the spin 1 field figure 2.12 and eq. (2.40) yield

$$Q = -2ie[1|\tau^{[1]}|1] \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1}J_{1} \\ \nu_{2}J_{2}}} (i)^{J_{2}-J_{1}} \frac{1}{\hat{J}_{1}\hat{J}_{2}} \sum_{\substack{\kappa_{1}\lambda_{1} \\ \kappa_{2}\lambda_{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_{1} & J_{1} \\ 1 & \lambda_{2} & J_{2} \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} [\lambda_{1}|\lambda_{2}|2]$$

$$\times \int r^{2}dr \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} r^{2} K_{J_{1}\lambda_{1}}^{\kappa_{1}\nu_{1}}(r) L_{J_{2}\lambda_{2}}^{\kappa_{2}\nu_{2}}(r) \times [\tilde{A}_{\kappa_{1}\nu_{1}}^{[J_{1}1]} A_{\kappa_{2}\nu_{2}}^{[J_{2}1]} c^{[01]} b^{[20]}]^{[00]}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where the functions K and L are given in eqs. (2.41) through (2.46). The summations κ_1 and κ_2 in (3.7) extend of course over all the multipolarities of the particle.

III.2 - MAGNETIC MOMENTS

III.2.1 - Spin O field

From the remarks of Chapter I section 2 we get immediately for the spin O "scatering" term,

$$\mu = ie [1|\tau^{\lfloor 1 \rfloor} [1] \sum_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1}} \delta_{\nu_{1} \nu_{2}} \delta_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}} [\ell_{1}|\ell^{\lfloor 1 \rfloor} [\ell_{2}] \\ \nu_{2} \ell_{2} \\ \times [\tilde{A}_{\nu_{1}}^{\ell_{1} 1]} A_{\nu_{2}}^{\ell_{2} 2} e^{[01]} b^{[10]}]^{[00]}, \qquad (3.8)$$

and similar terms for pair creation and annihilation contributions with the added phase $(-)^{l_1}$ and $(-)^{l_1+l_2}$ respectively.

III.2.2 - Spin 1/2 field

For spin 1/2 the \vec{j} term of (1.12) is immediate since \vec{j} is a good quantum number, while the spin term \vec{s} requires a simple recoupling,

III.4

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u} &= \frac{ie}{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{y}=1,0} (-)^{\mathbf{y}} [1/2|\tau^{\left[\mathbf{y}\right]} |1/2] \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{\nu_{1} k_{1}(\lambda_{1}) j_{1} \\ \nu_{2} k_{2}(\lambda_{2}) j_{2}}} (i)^{k_{2}-k_{1}} \\ &\times \left\{ \delta_{j_{1}j_{2}} \delta_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} \delta_{k_{1}k_{2}} (- [j_{1}|j^{\left[1\right]}] j_{2}] \right) \\ &+ \left(\delta_{k_{1}k_{2}} \hat{k}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & k_{1} & j_{1} \\ 1/2 & k_{1} & j_{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \int r^{2} dr \, u_{\nu_{1}k_{1}}(r) \, u_{\nu_{2}k_{1}}(r) \\ &+ \delta_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \hat{\lambda}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & \lambda_{1} & j_{1} \\ 1/2 & \lambda_{1} & j_{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \int r^{2} dr \, v_{\nu_{1}k_{1}\lambda_{1}}(r) \, v_{\nu_{2}k_{2}\lambda_{1}}(r) \right) (- [1/2|s^{\left[1\right]}|1/2]) \right\} \\ &\times \left[\tilde{B}_{\nu_{1}k_{1}}^{\left[j_{1}1/2\right]} B_{\nu_{2}k_{2}}^{\left[j_{2}1/2\right]} c^{\left[0\mathbf{y}\right]} b^{\left[10\right]} \right] \left[00 \right] . \end{split}$$

$$(3.9)$$

III.2.3 - Spin 1 field

For spin 1 the expression is as simple as in the spin 0 case since \vec{J} is a good quantum number

$$\mu = ie \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \nu_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} \\ \delta_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} \\ \delta_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}$$

where the summation extends over all multipolarities κ_1 and κ_2 of the particle.

Note that in expressions (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) we have set $\mu_0 = 1$, cf. eqs. (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) in conformity with our basic assumption of no anomalous moment. Furthermore, the truncated space of ref. [1], Chapter VI, does not allow for pair creation or annihilation terms in the static moments of spin 1/2 and spin 1 fields.

CHAPTER IV

VECTOR DOMINANCE

IV.1 - FORM FACTORS

The contribution to the elastic scattering form factor of the ω meson through the vector dominance graphs of figure 4.1.a and 4.1.b is simply of the form, according to Chapter I section 3

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu}^{(\omega)}(\vec{q}) = \mathbf{G}_{\gamma\omega} \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \ e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} \ \omega_{\mu}(\vec{r}) , \qquad (4.1)$$

where $\omega_{\mu}(\vec{r})$ is the field, the expansion of which is given in eqs. I(3.222) through I(3.225).



Fig. 4.1

The analogous expression for the ρ meson must include a projector on the neutral component ρ^0

IV.2

$$F_{\mu}^{(\rho)}(\vec{q}) = G_{\gamma\rho} \int d^{3}r \ e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}}(P_{0}\vec{\rho})$$
$$= G_{\gamma\rho} \int d^{3}r \ e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} \ \hat{1}[c^{[1]}\eta^{[1]}][0],\vec{\rho} , \qquad (4.2)$$

with the isospin amplitudes $c_m^{[1]}$ given by eq. (2.2) and where in (4.2) the dot implies a scalar product in isospin space. Thus the isospin wave function in each term of the ρ expansion is replaced as follows

$$\hat{i}[c^{[1]} \eta^{[1]}] \cdot \hat{i}[\tilde{A}^{[1]} \tilde{\eta}^{[1]}] = \hat{i}[\tilde{A}^{[1]} c^{[1]}]^{[0]} .$$
(4.3)

Apart from this isospin coupling of the creation (or annihilation) operators to the amplitude $c^{[1]}$, the ω and ρ mesons yield identical expressions.

Furthermore, the Fourier-Bessel transforms of the radial part of the field which appear in the matrix elements are, for example, of the general form (see expressions I(3.232) through I(3.236))

$$\begin{cases} r^{2} dr j_{\ell}(qr) \mathscr{W}_{\nu J \lambda}^{\kappa}(r) \delta_{\ell \lambda} = \int r^{2} dr \int p^{2} dp j_{\ell}(qr) j_{\ell}(pr) \sqrt{\frac{N_{\kappa}}{2E}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} f_{\nu J}(p) \\ = \int p^{2} dp \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\delta(q-p)}{p^{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{N_{\kappa}}{2E}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} f_{\nu J}(p) \right) \\ = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{N_{\kappa}}{2E}} f_{\nu J}(q) , \qquad (4.4)$$

while the space geometry is obtained, introducing space polarization amplitudes $d^{[1]}$ as in eq. (2.33)

$$\int d\hat{\mathbf{r}} \left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\left[\ell \right]} \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}^{\left[\ell \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \left[\mathbf{A}_{\kappa\nu}^{\left[\mathbf{J} \right]} \, \mathbf{d}^{\left[\mathbf{1} \right]} \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}^{\left[\lambda \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \delta_{\ell\lambda} \, \hat{\ell} \left[\mathbf{A}_{\kappa\nu}^{\left[\mathbf{J} \right]} \, \mathbf{d}^{\left[\mathbf{1} \right]} \, \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\left[\ell \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

$$(4.5)$$

The vector form factor for the ω -meson dominance term is thus given by

$$\vec{F}(\vec{q}) = G_{\gamma\omega} 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{\kappa \nu J \ell} (i)^{\ell+J} \sqrt{\frac{N_{\kappa}}{2E}} \mathcal{N}_{NJ\ell}^{\kappa}$$

$$\times f_{\nu J}(q) \left(\left[A_{\kappa\nu}^{\left[J \right]} d^{\left[1 \right]} \hat{q}^{\left[\ell \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} + (-)^{J+M} \left[\tilde{A}_{\kappa\nu}^{\left[J \right]} d^{\left[1 \right]} \hat{q}^{\left[\ell \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{vJl}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \delta_{\text{lJ}} \hat{J}, \qquad (4.7)$$

$$N_{\nu J\ell}^{\mathscr{E}} = - \mathrm{i}(\delta_{\ell, J-1} \sqrt{J+1} + \delta_{\ell, J+1} \sqrt{J}), \qquad (4.8)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v}J\ell}^{\mathscr{Q}} = -\mathbf{i}(\delta_{\ell,J-1} \sqrt{J} - \delta_{\ell,J+1} \sqrt{J+1}) , \qquad (4.9)$$

and

$$M = 0 \text{ if } \kappa = \mathcal{M} ,$$

$$M = 1 \text{ if } \kappa = \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{L} . \qquad (4.10)$$

The scalar form factor is

$$\mathbf{F}_{4}(\mathbf{\dot{q}}) = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{G}_{\gamma\omega} \ 4\pi \ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{\nu,\mathbf{J}} (-)^{\mathbf{J}} \ \mathbf{\hat{J}} \ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2E}} \ \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{m}} \ \mathbf{f}_{\nu,\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{q}) \\ \times \left[(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{L}\nu}^{[\mathbf{J}]} + (-)^{\mathbf{J}+1} \ \mathbf{\tilde{A}}_{\mathcal{L}\nu}^{[\mathbf{J}]}) \mathbf{\hat{q}}^{[\mathbf{J}]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4.11)

For the ρ field, these expressions hold with a replacement according to 4.3, for example

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\kappa\nu}^{[J]} & d^{[1]} & \hat{q}^{[\ell]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \hat{1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\kappa\nu}^{[J1]} & d^{[10]} & c^{[01]} & \hat{q}^{[\ell 0]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 00 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4.12)

IV.2 - QUADRUPOLE MOMENT

The evaluation of the quadrupole moment requires a special treatment, since the usual procedure, followed in Chapter III, involves an interaction of the electromagnetic field with a conserved vector current. In contrast, here the interaction energy arises from the emission or absorption of a neutral particle. Thus we shall start from the defining equation for the energy of a quadrupole moment (rank two tensor denoted by Q) interacting with an electric field gradient

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{Q} \bigotimes \nabla \mathcal{E} \equiv \mathbf{Q} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{Q}} \bigotimes \nabla \mathcal{E} \quad , \tag{4.13}$$

where the tensor product implies contraction of the tensor indices.

We have here introduced the unit tensor of rank 2, \hat{Q} . Eq. (4.13) is valid as long as the gradient of the field is constant over the volume of the system. On the other hand the vector dominance interaction energy is given by (1.21). Hence we shall recognize the vector dominance quadrupole moment Q by equating these energies,

$$G_{\gamma\omega} \int d^{3}r A_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} = Q \hat{Q} \otimes \nabla \mathcal{E} \quad \text{for} \quad q \to 0 \quad . \tag{4.14}$$

IV.4

For the photon vector potential A_{μ} we use a plane wave in the Lorentz gauge $\vec{A} = \vec{a} e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} = \hat{1}[a^{[1]}e^{[1]}]^{[0]}e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}}$. (4.15)

The electric field \vec{e} thus is

$$\vec{e} = -\vec{A} = iq\vec{a} e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}}$$
, (4.16)

and the electric field gradient

$$\nabla \vec{e} = -q \vec{q} \otimes \vec{a} e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} , \qquad (4.17)$$

where the wavy line denotes the construction of rank two tensors according to

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} = -q \, \widehat{1} [q^{[1]} e^{[1]}]^{[0]} \, \widehat{1} [a^{[1]} e^{[1]}]^{[0]} e^{i \overrightarrow{qr}}$$

$$= -q^2 \, \widehat{2} [[\widehat{q}^{[1]} \overrightarrow{a}^{[1]}]^{[2]} [e^{[1]} e^{[1]}]^{[2]}]^{[0]} e^{i \overrightarrow{qr}} . (4.18)$$

Let us write eq. (3.2) as

$$Q = \hat{2} Q[b^{[2]} \hat{Q}^{[2]}][0] , \qquad (4.19)$$

with $\hat{Q}^{[2]}$ the unit spherical tensor of rank 2. We get with a simple recoupling

^Elim
$$q \to 0 = -Q \hat{2} q^2 [b^{[2]} \hat{q}^{[1]} \hat{a}^{[1]}] [0] e^{i\hat{q}\hat{r}}, \quad (4.20)$$

where we have made use of the defining relation

$$[\hat{Q}^{[2]}_{e} e^{[1]}_{e} e^{[1]}]^{[0]} = \hat{2} .$$
 (4.21)

On the other hand the left hand term of eq. (4.14) is calculated with the multipole J = 2 of the ω field which in the limit q \rightarrow 0 has the dominant components (of space character), see eqs. I(3.222) through I(3.225)

$$\vec{\omega} \Longrightarrow \sum_{v} \left\{ (i)^{2-1} \left\{ \left[A_{\ell v}^{[2]} \left[e^{[1]} \hat{r}^{[1]} \right]^{[2]} \right]^{[0]} \sqrt{2+1} W_{v21}^{\ell} (qr) \right. \right. \\ \left. + \left[A_{\ell v}^{[2]} \left[e^{[1]} r^{[1]} \right]^{[2]} \right]^{[0]} \sqrt{2} W_{v21}^{\ell} (qr) \right. \\ \left. + h.c. \dots \right\} .$$

$$(4.22)$$

We substitute the plane wave expansion (2.6) for the vector potential \vec{A} in

eq. (4.14) together with the field expansion (4.22). The recoupling geometry of figure 4.2 yields in the limit $q \Rightarrow 0$

$$E = -4\pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} f_{\nu 2}(q \Rightarrow 0) G_{\gamma \omega} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2+1}{2E_{\omega}}} \left[(A_{\mathcal{E}\nu}^{\left[2\right]} - \widetilde{A}_{\mathcal{E}\nu}^{\left[2\right]}) \widehat{q}^{\left[1\right]} \stackrel{+}{a}^{\left[1\right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \right.$$

$$\left. + \sqrt{\frac{E_{\omega}}{m_{\omega}}} \left[(A_{\mathcal{L}\nu}^{\left[2\right]} - \widetilde{A}_{\mathcal{L}\nu}^{\left[2\right]}) \widehat{q}^{\left[1\right]} \stackrel{+}{a}^{\left[1\right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$(4.23)$$

where we have used eqs. I(3.232), I(3.215) and, for the integration over r the relation

$$\int r^{2} dr j_{1}(pr) j_{1}(qr) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\delta(p-q)}{p^{2}} . \qquad (4.24)$$



Fig. 4.2

We finally compare the expressions (4.23) and (4.20) noting that (see I(3.31))

$$f_{\nu 2}(q)_{1 \xrightarrow{im} q \to 0} \quad \alpha^{7/2} C_{\nu 2} L_{\nu}^{5/2}(0) q^2 , \qquad (4.25)$$

which yields the complete contribution of the vector dominance term to the static quadrupole moment from the ω field

$$Q = \sum_{v} Q_{v}^{\mathscr{E}} \hat{2} \left[(A_{\mathscr{E}v}^{[2]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathscr{E}v}^{[2]}) d^{[2]} \right]^{[0]} + \sum_{v} Q_{v}^{\mathscr{L}} \hat{2} \left[(A_{\mathscr{L}v}^{[2]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathscr{L}v}^{[2]}) d^{[2]} \right]^{[0]},$$
(4.26)

with

$$Q_{\nu}^{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{2}{2} \pi^{3/2} \alpha^{7/2} C_{\nu 2} L_{\nu}^{5/2}(0) \sqrt{\frac{3}{m_{\omega}}} G_{\gamma \omega} , \qquad (4.27)$$

$$Q_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathscr{L}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Q_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathscr{E}} , \qquad (4.28)$$

since in (4.22) the field energy E_{ω} equals the ω mass m_{ω} .

In eq. (4.26) the quadrupole polarization tensor $d^{\lfloor 2 \rfloor}$ has been substituted according to

$$d^{[2]} = [\hat{q}^{[1]} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} [1]]^{[2]}, \qquad (4.29)$$

where $\hat{a}^{[1]}$ is the polarization vector of the real photon field; it is coupled with the momentum direction amplitude $\hat{q}^{[1]}$ of the real photon. The values of $d_{m}^{[2]}$ are associated with the preparation of the system according to well known rules, for example see ref. [5].

As in the case of section IV.1, one goes from the contribution of the ω meson to the contribution of the ρ^0 meson by a substitution analogous to eq. (4.12), namely

$$\left[\left(A_{\kappa\nu}^{[2]} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa\nu}^{[2]} \right) d^{[2]} \right]^{[0]} \rightarrow \hat{1} \left[\left(A_{\kappa\nu}^{[21]} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa\nu}^{[21]} \right) d^{[20]} c^{[01]} \right]^{[00]} .$$
(4.30)

In order to calculate eq. (4.27) the following values are useful

$$L_{\nu}^{5/2}(0) \begin{cases} = 1 \text{ if } \nu = 0 , \\ = 5/2 \text{ if } \nu = 1 , \\ = 63/8 \text{ if } \nu = 2 . \end{cases}$$
(4.31)

IV.3 - MAGNETIC MOMENT

We shall follow a similar path as in the previous section. On the one hand the magnetic coupling energy is of the form

$$\mathbf{E} = \overrightarrow{\mu} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \quad . \tag{4.32}$$

With a plane wave potential \vec{A} , eq. (4.15)

$$\vec{B} = \operatorname{rot} \vec{A} = \hat{1}\sqrt{2} \left[e^{\left[1 \right]} \nabla^{\left[1 \right]} \vec{a} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \right] \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i \vec{q} \vec{r}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \hat{1}\sqrt{2} iq \left[e^{\left[1 \right]} \hat{q} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vec{a} \end{bmatrix} \vec{a} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e^{i \vec{q} \vec{r}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i \vec{q} \vec{r}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (4.33)$$

see I(2.38). Hence with a simple recoupling

$$E = iq \ \hat{l}\sqrt{2} \mu \ \hat{l}\left[\hat{\mu}^{\left[1\right]} \ \hat{q}^{\left[1\right]} \ \hat{a}^{\left[1\right]}\right] \left[0\right] e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}}, \qquad (4.34)$$

where μ is the unknown magnetic moment and $\hat{\mu}^{[1]}$ a unit tensor of rank 1.

On the other hand we shall use the expression of the energy in terms of the

IV.6

interacting fields, limiting the expansion of ω_{μ} , I(3.222), to the magnetic di-

$$\vec{\omega} \Rightarrow \sum_{\nu} i^{1} \mathscr{W}_{\nu 1 1}^{\mathcal{M}} (qr) \hat{1} \left[\left[e^{\left[1 \right]} \hat{r}^{\left[1 \right]} \right] \left[1 \right]} \left(A_{\mathcal{M} \nu}^{\left[1 \right]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathcal{M} \nu}^{\left[1 \right]} \right) \right] \left[0 \right] .$$

$$(4.35)$$

Utilising the recoupling of figure 4.3 corresponding to the substitution of its expansion for the plane wave real photon potential \vec{A}

$$E = G_{\gamma\omega} 4\pi \sum_{\nu} \int r^2 dr \, j_1(qr) W_{\nu 11}^{\mathcal{M}}(r) \, \hat{1} \left[(A_{\mathcal{M}\nu}^{[1]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathcal{M}\nu}^{[1]}) \, \hat{q}^{[1]}_{a} \hat{a}^{[1]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ . \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4.36)

We note that

pole and taking the limit q =>0. Thus

$$\int r^{2} dr j_{1}(qr) W_{v11}^{\mathcal{M}}(qr) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_{\omega}}} f_{v1}(q) , \qquad (4.37)$$

$$f_{v1}(q)_{q \to 0} = \alpha^{5/2} C_{v1} q L_{v}^{3/2}(0) ,$$
 (4.38)

$$L_{\nu}^{3/2}(0) \begin{cases} = 1 \text{ if } \nu = 0 , \\ = 3/2 \text{ if } \nu = 1 , \\ = 35/8 \text{ if } \nu = 2 . \end{cases}$$
(4.39)

Thus the contribution of the ω field dominance term to the magnetic moment is equal to

$$\mu = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \mu_{\mathcal{V}} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{i}} \left[\left(A_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}}^{\left[1 \right]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}}^{\left[1 \right]} \right) d^{\left[1 \right]} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (4.40)$$

with from comparing eq. (4.34) to eqs. (4.36), (4.37) and (4.38)

$$\mu_{v} = -i \sqrt{2} \pi^{3/2} \alpha^{5/2} C_{v1} L_{v}^{3/2}(0) \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_{\omega}}} G_{\gamma \omega} . \qquad (4.41)$$

As before we have introduced the system polarization amplitudes

$$\mathbf{d}^{\left[1\right]} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{q}}^{\left[1\right]} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Finally, the ρ^0 field contributions are similar to eq. (4.39) with the substitution of eq. (4.12), namely

$$\left[\left(A_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\left[1\right]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\left[1\right]} \right) d^{\left[1\right]} \right]^{\left[0\right]} \rightarrow \hat{1} \left[\left(A_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\left[11\right]} - \tilde{A}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\left[11\right]} \right) d^{\left[10\right]} c^{\left[01\right]} \right]^{\left[00\right]} . \quad (4.42)$$

APPENDIX

ADDENDUM AND ERRATA TO N.B.S. MONOGRAPH 147

A - DIRECT CALCULATION OF THE C.M. QUADRATIC COORDINATE MATRIX ELEMENTS

In N.B.S. Monograph 147, ref. [1], the matrix elements of the operator

$$\frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}_{i}^{2} e^{-zE} E^{2} + e^{-zE} E^{2} \dot{x}_{i}^{2}) , \qquad (A.1)$$

were calculated by expanding twice on complete basis vector sets. This leads to complicated numerical steps. Furthermore, since by necessity any functional space must be truncated, the expressions I(4.43) and I(4.44) may yield poor accuracy. This is of course not the case for the bi-linear terms I(4.45) which involve no intermediate sums.

We shall give here an alternate way for calculating the matrix elements (A.1), which is direct and free from the limitations of intermediate expansions. From the result

$$\int x^{4} dx j_{\ell}(p_{1}x) j_{\ell}(p_{2}x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \frac{-\delta''(p_{1}-p_{2})}{p_{1}p_{2}} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \left(\frac{\delta(p_{1}-p_{2})}{p_{1}^{4}} + \delta'(p_{1}-p_{2}) \frac{1}{p_{1}p_{2}} (\frac{1}{p_{1}} - \frac{1}{p_{2}}) \right) \right\} , \qquad (A.2)$$

we get the general expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 & J_1 & \kappa & |\frac{1}{2} & (\vec{x}^2 & e^{-zE} & E^2 + e^{-zE} & E^2 & \vec{x}^2) | v_2 & J_2 & \kappa \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \delta_{J_1 J_2} \hat{J}_1 \hat{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \int p_1^2 dp_1 \int p_2^2 dp_2 F_{\kappa}(E_1, E_2)$$

$$\times f_{v_1 \ell_1}(p_1) f_{v_2 \ell_2}(p_2) \frac{1}{2} (E_1^2 e^{-zE_1} + E_2^2 e^{-zE_2})$$

$$\times \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \frac{-\delta''(p_1 - p_2)}{p_1 p_2} + A_{\kappa}^J \left(\frac{\delta(p_1 - p_2)}{p_1^4} + \delta'(p_1 - p_2) \frac{(p_2 - p_1)}{p_1^2 p_2^2} \right) \right\} , \quad (A.3)$$

where $F_{\kappa}(E_1,E_2)$ is the norm factor for the various cases κ and A_{κ}^{J} is a weight. Namely for the π -meson, $\kappa = 1$, $J = \ell$

$$F_1(E_1, E_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E_1}{E_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{E_2}{E_1}} \right) , \qquad (A.4)$$

$$A_1^J = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$$
; (A.5)

,

for the ω -and ρ -mesons, magnetic multipolarities, $\kappa = 2$, $J = \ell$

$$F_2 = F_1$$
 and $A_2^J = A_1^J$; (A.6)

for the ω -and ρ -mesons, electric multipolarities, $\kappa = 3$, $J = \ell \pm 1$

$$F_3 = (\sqrt{\frac{E_1}{E_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{E_2}{E_1}}) , \qquad (A.7)$$

$$A_{3}^{J} = \frac{J}{\hat{J}^{2}} \frac{J(J-1)}{2} + \frac{J+1}{\hat{J}^{2}} \frac{(J+1)(J+2)}{2} = J(J+1) + 2 \quad ; \tag{A.8}$$

for the ω -and ρ -mesons, longitudinal multipolarities, $\kappa = 4$, $J = \ell \pm 1$

$$F_4 = \frac{m}{\sqrt{E_1 E_2}} , \qquad (A.9)$$

$$A_4^J = A_3^J$$
; (A.10)

for the nucleon, $\kappa = 5$, $J = \ell \pm 1/2$, $\lambda = J \pm 1/2$

- 39 -

A.3

$$F_5 = \sqrt{\frac{E_1 + m}{E_1}} \sqrt{\frac{E_2 + m}{E_2}}$$
, (A.11)

$$A_5^{J} = \frac{E+m}{E} \ell(\ell+1) + \frac{E-m}{E} \lambda(\lambda+1) , \qquad (A.12)$$

where in A_5^J we have already taken the limit $E_1 = E_2$.

The evaluation of eq. (A.3) is carried out with the change of variables,

$$p_1 - p_2 = p$$
 $p_1 + p_2 = P$ $dp_1 dp_2 = \frac{1}{2} dP dp$, (A.13)

setting in the integrand

$$\delta'(p) F(p) = -F'(p)/_{p=0}$$
, (A.14)

$$\delta''(p) F(p) = F''(p)/_{p=0}$$
, (A.15)

the limit p = 0 being taken after differentiation.

We thus obtain the general result

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{1} \ J_{1} \ \kappa \ | \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x}^{2} \ e^{-zE} \ E^{2} \ + \ e^{-zE} \ E^{2} \ \vec{x}^{2}) | v_{2} \ J_{2} \ \kappa \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \delta_{J_{1}J_{2}} \delta_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}} \hat{1} \ \hat{J}_{1} \Biggl\{ \int dP \ f_{v_{1}\ell}(P) \ f_{v_{2}\ell}(P) \ E^{2} \ e^{-zE}$$

$$\times \left(N_{\kappa} \ + \ \frac{1}{2} \ - \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{P^{2}}{E^{2}} \ + \ \frac{zP^{2}}{4E} \ + \ \frac{3}{4} \ \frac{zP^{4}}{E^{3}} \ - \ \frac{z^{2}P^{4}}{4E^{2}} \right)$$

$$- \int P^{2}DPE^{2} \ e^{-zE} \left(f_{v_{1}\ell}^{"}(P) \ f_{v_{2}\ell}(P) \ + 2f_{v_{1}\ell}^{"}(P) \ f_{v_{2}\ell}^{"}(P) \ + f_{v_{1}\ell}(P) \ f_{v_{2}\ell}^{"}(P) \right) \Biggr\}$$

$$(A.16)$$

In this expression $\ell = J_1$ for the meson cases and $\ell = \ell_1$ for the nucleon case. The factor $(\delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2})$ exists only for the nucleon case. The coefficient N_K takes the following values

 $\kappa = 1, \pi$ -meson

$$N_1 = J_1(J_1+1) - \frac{P^4}{4E^4}$$
; (A.17)

 κ = 2, ω - and ρ -mesons, magnetic multipolarities

$$N_2 = N_1$$
; (A.18)

 $\kappa = 3$, ω - and ρ -mesons, electric multipolarities

$$N_3 = J_1(J_1+1) + 2 - \frac{P^4}{4E^4}$$
; (A.19)

 $\kappa = 4$, ω - and ρ -mesons, longitudinal multipolarities

$$N_4 = J_1(J_1+1) + 2 + (\frac{P^2}{4E^3} - \frac{P^4}{2E^5})m$$
; (A.20)

 $\kappa = 5$, the nucleon

$$N_{5} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)(E+m)}{E} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)(E-m)}{E} \right) - \frac{P^{4}}{4E^{4}} + \frac{P^{2}}{4E^{2}} \quad . \tag{A.21}$$

Finally the factor \hat{l} in eq. (A.16) comes from the expression of \vec{X}^2 in tensorial form. No isospin coefficient (which would be \hat{t}) is included.

B - DIRECT CALCULATION OF THE C.M. LINEAR COORDINATE MATRIX ELEMENTS

When computing the matrix elements of the operator \mathscr{Ex} , I(4.31), an expansion on a complete set has been used, see I(4.32), with the above inconveniences. A more direct expression can be obtained starting from I(4.31) and carrying out the steps I(4.35) through I(4.37) with the full integrand \mathscr{Ex} . One notes that $\mathscr{E} = e^{-zE} E^n$ is a symmetric function of P_1 and P_2 . When integrating by part the $\delta'(P_1-P_2)$ term, see I(4.36), the $\mathscr{E}'(p)$ factor goes to zero when $p = P_1-P_2$ goes to zero. Consequently the expression for I(4.32) is directly (see I(4.37))

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{1}\ell_{1} | \tilde{\mathcal{E}x}^{\dagger} [1] | v_{2}\ell_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{i} \int \mathbf{P}^{2} d\mathbf{P} \, \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{P}) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\alpha_{\ell_{1}}\ell_{2})^{3}}{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{f}_{v_{1}\ell_{1}}(\mathbf{P}) \, \mathbf{f}_{v_{2}\ell_{2}}(\mathbf{P}) \\ + \frac{\alpha_{\ell_{1}}\ell_{2}}{2} \left(\mathbf{f}_{v_{1}}^{\prime} \ell_{1}(\mathbf{P}) \, \mathbf{f}_{v_{2}\ell_{2}}(\mathbf{P}) - \mathbf{f}_{v_{1}\ell_{1}}(\mathbf{P}) \, \mathbf{f}_{v_{2}\ell_{2}}(\mathbf{P}) \right] . \quad (B.1)$$

The same applies to I(4.38), I(4.39), I(4.41) and I(4.42).

C - NEW PHASE DEFINITION FOR THE PION FIELD

Finally note that the way the pion field is defined p. 42, eq. (3.8), the pion nucleon matrix elements p. 98, eq. (5.16), are purely imaginary. It is

therefore worthwhile, for computational purposes, to redefine the pion field as

$$\Phi(\vec{r},t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \sum_{\kappa} \int d^{3}p \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E}} \left\{ e^{i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} - E t)} a^{(1)}_{\kappa \cdot \vec{p}} \eta^{[1]}_{\kappa} - e^{-i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} - E t)} a^{(1)\dagger}_{\kappa \cdot \vec{p}} \eta^{[1]}_{\kappa} \right\}$$

Using that definition the complex phase of (5.16) becomes the real number ${}^{-\ell}_{3}{}^{+\ell}_{2}{}^{+\ell}_{1}{}^{+1}$. (i)

D - ERRATA TO N.B.S. MONOGRAPH 147

The errata are given in the form of the complete corrected formula or of a fraction thereof such that they may be directly pasted over the wrong parts in the Monograph 147

p. 7, eq. (1.8)

p. 19, eq. (2.4)

$$\psi^{(S)}(x) = \sum_{j} (u_{j}(x)b_{j} + v_{j}(x)c_{j}^{+})$$

p. 7, eq. (1.12)

$$\psi^{(H)}(x,t) = \sum_{j} \left(e^{-i\epsilon_{j}t} u_{j}(x)b_{j} + e^{i\epsilon_{j}t} v_{j}(x)c_{j}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\alpha_{n+1}^{T}(T) \beta_{n}^{T}(T-1) \begin{bmatrix} T & 1 & T-1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ T & t & T \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{n+1}^{T}(T) \alpha_{n}^{T}(T+1) \begin{bmatrix} T & 1 & T+1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ T & t & T \end{bmatrix} = 0 , \quad t = 1$$

 $v^{(\ell)}(0,r) = \hat{v}^{(\ell)} = (-)^{\ell+m} v^{[\ell]}(0,r)$

p. 46, eq. (3.37)

$$\mathscr{L} = -\sum_{\kappa} (\overline{\Psi}_{\kappa} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi_{\kappa}) + m(\overline{\Psi}_{\kappa} \Psi_{\kappa}) - 42 -$$

p. 73

Utilizing the formulas (2.60) and (2.61), it can be checked that

p. 75, second line of eq. (3.238)

$$+ \frac{J+1}{\hat{J}^2} \left(w_{\nu J J+1}^{\mathscr{O}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathfrak{X}_{\nu J J+1}^{\mathscr{O}}(\mathbf{r'}) + w_{\nu J J+1}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{Z}_{\nu J J+1}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathbf{r'}) \right) = 3 \frac{\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r'})}{\mathbf{r}^2}$$

p. 76, add to the end of the section the comment :

We list the parities and the number ν of quantas for the lowest energy discretized multipole solutions of the spin 1 fields

J	ν	E	м	£
0	0			+
1	1	-	+	-
2	2	+	-	+
3	3	-	+	-

p. 80, eq. (4.13)

$$[v_1 e_1 | \vec{p}^{[1]} | v_2 e_2] = \int p^2 dp f_{v_1 e_1}(p) f_{v_2 e_2}(p) p \times \alpha_{e_1 e_2}(p)$$

p. 83, last line of eq. (4.18)

$$+ \sqrt{(J_1^{+1})J_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & J_1^{-1} & J_1 \\ 1 & J_2^{+1} & J_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha_{J_1^{-1}, J_2^{+1}} f_{\nu_1 J_1^{-1}} f_{\nu_2 J_2^{+1}}$$

p. 90, second line of eq. (4.41)

$$\times \left[\sqrt{J_{1}(J_{2}+1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & J_{1}+1 & J_{1} \\ 1 & J_{2}-1 & J_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \nu_{1}\nu_{2} \\ \varphi_{J_{1}+1,J_{2}-1}(p) + \sqrt{J_{1}J_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & J_{1}+1 & J_{1} \\ 1 & J_{2}+1 & J_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \nu_{1}\nu_{2} \\ \varphi_{J_{1}+1,J_{2}+1}(p) \\ \varphi_{J_{1}+1,J_{2}+1}(p) \end{array} \right]$$

p. 94, first line of eq. (5.8)

$$\mathcal{H}_{PV(\pi NN)}(\text{time-like}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} G_{PV(\pi NN)} \sum_{\substack{\nu \nu \ \nu \nu' \nu'' \\ \ell \ell' \ell'' \\ j' j''}} i^{-\ell'' + \ell' + \ell'} \hat{j}' \hat{j}'' (-)^{j' + \lambda' + 1/2}$$

p. 95, Exchange in figure 5.3.b the boson and fermion lines



p. 96, first line of eq. (5.15)

$$f^{\langle (\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\ell_{1}\ell_{2}j_{2})j_{3}1/2 | [\tilde{B}_{\nu''\ell''}^{[j''1/2]}(A_{\nu}^{[\ell 1]} \pm (-)\ell_{A_{\nu}}^{\ell}[\ell^{1}])B_{\nu'\ell'}^{[j'1/2]}]^{[00]} | \nu_{3}\ell_{3}j_{3}1/2 \rangle_{i}}$$

p. 98, fourth line of eq. (5.16)

$$\times \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{3} & j_{3} & 1/2 \\ j_{2} & \lambda_{2} & \ell_{1} \end{pmatrix} \left[\ell_{3} | \lambda_{2} | \ell_{1} \right] \int r^{2} dr h_{\nu_{1} \ell_{1} } u_{\nu_{3}} \ell_{3} v_{\nu_{2}} \ell_{2} \lambda_{2} - \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{3} & j_{3} & 1/2 \\ j_{2} & \ell_{2} & \ell_{1} \end{pmatrix} \left[\lambda_{3} | \ell_{2} | \ell_{1} \right] \right\}$$

p.100, first line of eq. (5.26)

$$\mathscr{H}_{(4\pi)} = \frac{\overset{G}{}_{(4\pi)}}{4} \sum_{R=0, 2} I(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta) i^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta} Q^{L}_{\alpha\beta} Q^{\delta}_{L\gamma} Q^{0}_{\delta\delta} \sqrt{4\pi}$$

p.102, first line of eq. (5.27)

$$\mathscr{H}_{(4\pi)} = \frac{G_{(4\pi)}}{4} \sum I(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta) i^{-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta)} Q_{\alpha\beta}^{L} Q_{L\gamma}^{\delta}$$

- 44 -

p.102, first line of eq. (5.28)

$$\mathscr{H}_{(4\pi)} = \frac{G_{(4\pi)}}{4} \sum I(J_{\beta}\gamma\delta) i^{(I-\beta-\gamma-\delta)} Q_{\beta\gamma}^{L} Q_{L\delta}^{J}$$

p.102, first line of eq. (5.29)

$$\mathscr{H}_{(4\pi)} = \frac{G(4\pi)}{4} \sum I(J\beta\gamma\delta) i^{(-J+\beta+\gamma+\delta)} Q^{L}_{\beta\gamma} Q^{J}_{L\delta}$$

p.102, first line of eq. (5.30)

$$\mathscr{H}_{(4\pi)} = \frac{G_{(4\pi)}}{4} \sum I(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta) i^{(-\alpha-\beta+\gamma+\delta)} Q^{J}_{\alpha\beta} Q^{J}_{\gamma\delta}$$

p.125, eq. (A.5)

 $x_1 = x_0 + v_1(t-t_0)$,

p.125, eq. (A.6)

 $x_2 = x_0 + v_2(t-t_0)$,

p.135, add at the bottom of the page the missing equation :

$$\Psi = 4\pi \sum_{\lambda} i^{\lambda} \hat{\lambda} F_{\lambda j}(\mathbf{pr}) \left[\hat{p}^{\left[\lambda \right]} \hat{r}^{\left[\lambda \right]} \right] \left[0 \right]$$

$$\times \sum_{k,\ell} \hat{k} \hat{\ell} \left[\mathbf{b}_{N}^{\left[k \right]} \left(\vec{p} \right) \chi_{\nu N}^{\left[k \right]} \left(\vec{\xi}_{j} \right) \right] \left[0 \right] \left[\mathbf{b}_{\pi}^{\left[\ell \right]} \left(-\vec{p} \right) \chi_{\mu \pi}^{\left[\ell \right]} \left(\vec{\xi}_{j} \right) \right] \left[0 \right]$$
(C.4)

p.136, eq. (C.7) $\chi_{\lambda j}^{(\nu,\mu)} = \mathcal{N} \, \hat{j} \, F_{\lambda j}^{(pr)} \sum_{k_{\ell}} \left[B_{k\ell}^{[j]}(p) \left[\chi_{\nu N}^{[k]}(\vec{\xi}_{i}) A_{\mu \pi}^{[\ell]}(\vec{\xi}_{j}') \right]^{[s]} \hat{r}^{[\lambda]} \right]^{[0]}$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of the documentary Group of the Département de Physique Nucléaire at Saclay in preparing the text. They are particularly indebted to Mrs. Paulette Gugenberger who prepared and edited the manuscript and its final typed version and to Mrs. Eliane Thureau who carried out the typing in a form suitable for direct reproduction.

REFERENCES

- 1 DANOS M. and GILLET V., Relativistic Many-Body Bound Systems, N.B.S. Monograph 147 (1975).
- 2 HAYWARD R.W., The Dynamics of Fields of Higher Spin, NBS Monograph 154 and private communication.
- 3 DE FOREST T. and WALECKA J.D., Advances in Physics 15, 1 (1966).
- 4 DONNELY T.W., Annual Review of Nuclear Sciences (1975).
- 5 FANO U. and RACAH G., Irreducible Tensorial Sets, Academic Press, New York (1959).
- 6 BETHE H.A. and SALPETER E.E., Quantum Mechanics of One and Two Electron Systems, in Handbuch der Physik, S. Flügge Ed., Springer (1957).

NBS-114A (REV. 7-73)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO. NBS MN 147, Suppl. 1	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipient'	s Accession No.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_1	5. Publicatio	n Date
Relativistic Many-Body Bound Systems:				1977
Electromagnetic Properties			6. Performing	; Organization Code
7 AUTHOR(S)			P.D. (0 P N
Michael D	6. Performing	g Organ. Report No.		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS				ask/Work Unit No.
NATIONAL DEPARTMEN WASHINGTO	11. Contract/Grant No.			
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Complete Address (Street, City, State, ZIP)				Report & Period
Same as	14. Sponsorin	g Agency Code		
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			1	
Library	of Congress Catalog Card	Number: 77-14	69	
16. ABSTRACT (A 200-word or	less factual summary of most significant	t information. If docume	ent includes a s	ignificant
body bound syste relativistic qua particles, i.e., combinations of parton-photon in addition the con model is derived	ms are derived. The framework ntum field theory in the Solutions of the inter the solutions of the free for the teraction is taken as given tribution of the photon-vector.	work, given in Ni chrödinger pictur acting fields, a fields, called th by minimal coup tor meson verter	SS Monograp re; the phy are given a ne parton f oling, p → x of the ve	h 147, is sical s linear ields. The p - eA; in ector dominance
17. KEY WORDS (six to twelve name; separated by semicol Electromagnetic pro quantum field th	entries; alphabetical order; capitalize or ons) perties; form factors; magn eory; relativistic many bod	nly the first letter of the netic moments; qu ly systems; vecto	first key word adropole m or dominanc	unless a proper coments; ce.
18. AVAILABILITY	X Unlimited	19. SECURI	TY CLASS	21. NO. OF PAGES
For Official Distributio	n. Do Not Release to NTIS	(THIS R	EPORT)	52
Vashington, D.C. 2040	., U.S. Government Printing Office 2, SD Cat. No. C13, 44:147 Suppl.	1. UNCLAS	TY CLASS	22. Price \$1.10
Order From National To Springfield, Virginia 22	echnical Information Service (NTIS) 151	UNCLAS	SIFIED	

. USCOMM-DC 29042-P74

.

•

PERIODICALS

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH reports National Bureau of Standards research and development in physics, mathematics, and chemistry. It is published in two sections, available separately:

• Physics and Chemistry (Section A)

Papers of interest primarily to scientists working in these fields. This section covers a broad range of physical and chemical research, with major emphasis on standards of physical measurement, fundamental constants, and properties of matter. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$17.00; Foreign, \$21.25.

• Mathematical Sciences (Section B)

Studies and compilations designed mainly for the mathematician and theoretical physicist. Topics in mathematical statistics, theory of experiment design, numerical analysis, theoretical physics and chemistry, logical design and programming of computers and computer systems. Short numerical tables. Issued quarterly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$9.00; Foreign, \$11.25.

DIMENSIONS/NBS (formerly Technical News Bulletin)—This monthly magazine is published to inform scientists, engineers, businessmen, industry, teachers, students, and consumers of the latest advances in science and technology, with primary emphasis on the work at NBS. The magazine highlights and reviews such issues as energy research, fire protection, building technology, metric conversion, pollution abatement, health and safety, and consumer product performance. In addition, it reports the results of Bureau programs in measurement standards and techniques, properties of matter and materials, engineering standards and services, instrumentation, and automatic data processing.

Annual subscription: Domestic, \$12.50; Foreign, \$15.65.

NONPERIODICALS

Monographs—Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau's scientific and technical activities.

Handbooks—Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

Special Publications—Include proceedings of conferences sponsored by NBS, NBS annual reports, and other special publications appropriate to this grouping such as wall charts, pocket cards, and bibliographies.

Applied Mathematics Series—Mathematical tables, manuals, and studies of special interest to physicists, engineers, chemists, biologists, mathematicians, computer programmers, and others engaged in scientific and technical work.

National Standard Reference Data Series—Provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world's literature and critically evaluated. Developed under a world-wide program coordinated by NBS. Program under authority of National Standard Data Act (Public Law 90-396). NOTE: At present the principal publication outlet for these data is the Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data (JPCRD) published quarterly for NBS by the American Chemical Society (ACS) and the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Subscriptions, reprints, and supplements available from ACS, 1155 Sixteenth St. N.W., Wash. D. C. 20056.

Building Science Series—Disseminates technical information developed at the Bureau on building materials, components, systems, and whole structures. The series presents research results, test methods, and performance criteria related to the structural and environmental functions and the durability and safety characteristics of building elements and systems.

Technical Notes—Studies or reports which are complete in themselves but restrictive in their treatment of a subject. Analogous to monographs but not so comprehensive in scope or definitive in treatment of the subject area. Often serve as a vehicle for final reports of work performed at NBS under the sponsorship of other government agencies.

Voluntary Product Standards—Developed under procedures published by the Department of Commerce in Part 10, Title 15, of the Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of the standards is to establish nationally recognized requirements for products, and to provide all concerned interests with a basis for common understanding of the characteristics of the products. NBS administers this program as a supplement to the activities of the private sector standardizing organizations.

Consumer Information Series—Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today's technological marketplace.

Order above NBS publications from: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Order following NBS publications—NBSIR's and FIPS from the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, Va. 22161.

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications (FIPS PUBS)—Publications in this series collectively constitute the Federal Information Processing Standards Register. Register serves as the official source of information in the Federal Government regarding standards issued by NBS pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 as amended, Public Law 89-306 (79 Stat. 1127), and as implemented by Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973) and Part 6 of Title 15 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations).

NBS Interagency Reports (NBSIR)—A special series of interim or final reports on work performed by NBS for outside sponsors (both government and non-government). In general, initial distribution is handled by the sponsor; public distribution is by the National Technical Information Services (Springfield, Va. 22161) in paper copy or microfiche form.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES

The following current-awareness and literature-survey bibliographies are issued periodically by the Bureau: Cryogenic Data Center Current Awareness Service. A

literature survey issued biweekly. Annual subscription: Domestic, \$20.00; Foreign, \$25.00.

Liquified Natural Gas. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: \$20.00. Superconducting Devices and Materials. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: \$20.00. Send subscription orders and remittances for the preceding bibliographic services to National Bureau of Standards, Cryogenic Data Center (275.02) Boulder, Colorado 80302.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COM+215



SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE BOOK