

NIST PUBLICATIONS

USE OF THE IRDS STANDARD IN CALS

David K. Jefferson Cita M. Furlani

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Institute of Standards and Technology National Computer Systems Laboratory Gaithersburg, MD 20899

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY John W. Lyons, Director



QC 100 .U56 89-4169 Rev. 11/90 1990

NATIONAL INST. DE OF STANDARDS & Prodectio OGY Research Longuism Center Gaithersburg, MD 20899

NISTIR 89-4169

USE OF THE IRDS STANDARD IN CALS

David K. Jefferson Cita M. Furlani

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Institute of Standards and Technology National Computer Systems Laboratory Gaithersburg, MD 20899

September 1989 Revised November 1990



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY John W. Lyons, Director

David K. Jefferson Cita M. Furlani

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Abstract

The objective of this point paper is to show how the Information Resource Dictionary System fulfill critical (IRDS) can design and operational requirements for CALS Phase II. First, a series of assumptions are made about the data management services which are needed by CALS Phase II. Next, these assumptions are used develop a series of requirements to for а dictionary system. The structure of the IRDS family of standards is then described. Examples are provided to illustrate how the IRDS could meet the requirements. A schedule is presented to show that the IRDS and other data management standards will be available when needed to meet the immediate requirements of CALS. An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standards required to achieve longerrange goals of distributed database. Finally, development tasks are recommended.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Assumptions	1
2.	Requirements for a Dictionary	2
3.	Structure of the IRDS Family of Standards	3
	 3.1. ANS X3.138 3.2. IRDS Services Interface 3.3. IRDS Export/Import File Format 3.4. Categorization of the IRDS and Other Standards 	4
4.	Availability of the IRDS and Data Management Standards	7
5.	An Architecture for Distributed Database	8
6.	Development Tasks	9
7.	Bibliography	10



1. Assumptions

The following assumptions are made about the mechanisms needed to achieve CALS Phase II:

- o A <u>logically integrated database</u> is absolutely necessary.
- A single physical database is impractical--<u>multiple</u> <u>physical databases</u> controlled by multiple DBMSs are required.
- A <u>three-schema architecture</u> is the only practical way of achieving control over such a database.
- All activity of the DBMSs must be logically controlled by a <u>logically single representation of the three-schema</u> <u>architecture</u>.
- A single physical representation of the three-schema architecture is impractical--<u>multiple</u>, coordinated, <u>geographically distributed physical representations</u> are required.
- o The development and maintenance of the three-schema architecture will be <u>extremely complex and require a very</u> <u>large volume of data</u>.
- To add further complication, a three-schema architecture, since it represents a union of different disciplines (as represented by different external schemas), may be developed using more than one modeling methodology.

2. Requirements for a Dictionary

Given the preceding assumptions, the following may be concluded:

- A mechanism is required to actively <u>supply three-schema</u> <u>information</u> to the various DBMSs.
- A mechanism is required to <u>coordinate separate sources</u> of such three-schema information.
- A mechanism is required to support <u>data modeling and</u> <u>schema maintenance</u>.
- A mechanism may be required to <u>support multiple</u> <u>methodologies</u> for performing the data modeling process-i.e., there may be a requirement for a supporting mechanism that is neutral with respect to methodology.

Coordinated active dictionaries which are neutral with respect to data modeling methodology are one mechanism for satisfying the preceding requirements. Such dictionaries must support four distinct processes:

- They must have a <u>standard interface to provide three</u><u>schema information</u> to a variety of database management systems.
- o They must support the process of <u>coordinating</u> <u>communication</u> to control the DBMSs.
- o They must support the process of <u>describing the</u> <u>vocabulary</u> needed by the chosen data modeling methodologies.
- They must support the process by which each methodology <u>populates a dictionary</u> using its vocabulary.

3. Structure of the IRDS Family of Standards

3.1. ANS X3.138

Most of the functionality of the IRDS is defined by the American National Standard for Information Systems - Information Resource Dictionary System, which is an American National Standard (ANS), X3.138-1988, and a Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS), Publication 156. The parts of this standard most relevant to CALS Phase II deal with the creation, maintenance, The parts of this standard most and retrieval of two distinct levels of data. One level of data is used to describe a vocabulary, and the other level is used to apply that vocabulary in the data modeling methodologies. The former level is referred to as the IRD Schema Level, and the latter as the IRD Level. The IRDS provides for extensibility at the IRD Schema Level to satisfy the particular requirements of any set of methodologies and applications. This degree of generality is not free: the first task in the use of the IRDS to support concurrent engineering must be to use the IRD Schema Level to define a standard vocabulary which will serve as a union the individual methodologies and applications. among Fortunately, the different data modeling methodologies have small vocabularies (e.g., a few different kinds of boxes, lines, and arrows) so this should not be a particularly difficult task. For example, the following vocabulary covers the major concepts of the IDEF1X modeling methodology:

- o <u>entity</u> is an IDEF1X entity,
- <u>entity-is-assembled-of-entity</u> is a type of IDEF1X relationship,
- <u>(cardinality-1, cardinality-2)</u> is a property of an IDEF1X relationship,
- <u>entity-contains-relationship</u> associates an attribute (or data element) with an entity; the association may have various properties (e.g., an attribute may be <u>used-as</u> <u>"pk"</u> to indicate that it is part of the primary key),
- o <u>category</u> is an IDEF1X category,
- <u>entity-is-a-category</u> indicates that an entity is associated with a particular category, and
- <u>category-set-is-total</u> indicates that the set of entities is exhaustive.

The next task is then to use that vocabulary within the data modeling methodologies to define the three types of schemas. For example, the following objects serve to group entities into the indicated schemas:

- <u>conceptual-schema-view</u> collects together the entities in the conceptual schema, and
- <u>LSA-external-schema-view</u> collects together the entities in the external schema used for LSA.

The following are examples of entities, relationships, and attributes in a schema:

- o product-item is-assembled-of product-item-usage cardinality = (parent="1", child="n") indicates that one item may be assembled from many simpler items,
- o <u>product-item contains part-number used-as = "pk"</u> indicates that the primary key of item is the part number, and
- <u>geometric-model is-a geometric-model-category geometric-model-category can-be-a wire-frame-model</u> indicates that
 a geometric model can be a wire frame model or some other
 type of model which may or may not be enumerated
 explicitly.

A particularly important feature of the IRDS is the ability to provide user-oriented names. For example, associated with product-item may be the following:

- o <u>identification-names = (alternate-name = "LSA product item", alternate-name-context = "LSA")</u>, which could provide a special name for product-item in the LSA external schema,
- o <u>descriptive-name</u> = <u>some-very-long-descriptive-name</u> <u>which-is-readable-but-not-easily-typed-or-accepted-by-</u> <u>programming-languages-or-DBMSs</u>, provides an alternate to the normal short access name, and
- <u>some-general-name (LSA-SQL-variation: 2)</u> indicates that this is revision 2 of a general object which has been specialized to the use of SQL in an LSA application.

3.2. IRDS Services Interface

ANS X3.138 defines two interfaces which can be used by people to define and populate a <u>repository for schema definitions</u>, but there are no standard capabilities for constructing analyses, diagrams, and so on for the schemas. This is appropriate, given that there are no standard methodologies. Other tools, dependent on particular methodologies, must request data from the IRDS to provide these capabilities. The draft standard for the <u>IRDS</u> <u>Services Interface</u> defines functionality for communicating between the IRDS and other software, such as a diagramming program or a DBMS. The Services Interface is a program call interface which complements the human interfaces defined in ANS X3.138. This interface will provide the active dictionary support that is required to <u>control the DBMS</u>, as well as allowing <u>continued use and integration of existing support tools</u> for IDEF0, IDEF1X and other methodologies.

3.3. IRDS Export/Import File Format

ANS X3.138 also specifies functionality for <u>exporting</u> all or part of a dictionary into a file, <u>checking</u> a second dictionary for compatibility with that file, and optionally <u>importing</u> that file into the second dictionary. However, ANS X3.138 does not specify the format of the file; this is the subject of the final critical element in the IRDS family of standards, the draft standard for the <u>IRDS Export/Import File Format</u>. This defines an efficient and reliable format for communicating mass data, such as an entire database schema or collection of database schemas, from one dictionary to another. ANS X3.138 in conjunction with the IRDS Export/Import File Format standard can be used to compare one dictionary with another, as well as to populate an empty dictionary. The standards will therefore provide the required capability of dictionary coordination.

3.4. Categorization of the IRDS and Other Standards

The standards needed by CALS Phase II can be divided into two general categories:

- <u>Data standards</u> represent the "business rules" of CALS, and are developed by the CALS Office, the military Services and Agencies, and defense contractors. They are represented by the contents of two layers of the IRDS:
 - 00 IRD Schema Layer represents modeling rules, and
 - oo <u>IRD Layer</u> represents the schemas and related information.
- <u>Technical standards</u> represent the standard "tools" for building CALS database systems. These are currently the following:
 - oo <u>IRDS ANS X3.138</u>,
 - oo proposed <u>IRDS Services Interface</u>,
 - oo proposed <u>IRDS Export/Import File Format</u>,

- oo <u>SQL</u> for relational database management at a particular site, and
- oo proposed <u>Remote Database Access (RDA)</u> for executing a query at a remote site.

4. Availability of the IRDS and Data Management Standards

The diagram on **Timelines of Data Standards Needed by CALS and PDES** is intended to demonstrate that data management standards and commercial implementations are expected to be available when they are needed to satisfy CALS requirements. SQL is evolving through SQL2 to SQL3, which is expected to be object-oriented and capable of effectively managing text, graphics, and other CALS data types. Remote Database Access (RDA) will provide a primitive capability for sending a query to a remote database and receiving data and status information in response.

	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
LSAR SQL Schema							
PDES	PDES Integra	ation	PDES 1.0 Std.	LSAR/PDES Integration			
SQL	SQL Software		SQL2 Std. and Software			SQL3 Std.	
RDA			RDA Std. and Software				
IRDS	Std.	Soft- ware	E/I	Services Interface Standards and Software			
	Information Modeling			Use of Information Models			

Timelines of Data Standards Needed by CALS and PDES

5. An Architecture for Distributed Database

RDA is insufficient for true distributed database management, since it does not deal with such issues as query decomposition (where a single query requests data from multiple databases) or transaction management (needed to resolve simultaneous accesses and updates to the same data). The following is a possible layered architecture for standards that would provide the required services:

- 6. DBMS syntax and presentation transparency
- 5. location and performance transparency
- 4. transaction transparency
- 3. communication transparency
- 2. mapping from global conceptual schema into local internal schema
- 1. database access

The users interact with Layer 6, which isolates them from details of names, formats, and DBMS syntax at the various databases. Each user seems to be dealing with a single, dedicated database specific to his or her requirements. IRDS support of a threeschema architecture is essential to map from the user's local external schema into the global conceptual schema. Layer 5 performs services such as query decomposition and optimization, in order to effectively deal with data distribution. Directory and schema information is essential. Layer 4 provides for detection and resolution of conflicts in accessing and updating data. Layer 3 handles the details of communicating with remote RDA is positioned in Layer 3. Layers 2 and 1 provide sites. services at a particular remote site to satisfy a particular piece of the original query or update. IRDS services are again required to provide support for the three-schema architecture. New standards will be required for Layers 6, 5, 4, and 3, while IRDS and SQL may require extensions to deal with Layer 2. Layer 1 provides operating system services that are identical for distributed or centralized databases.

6. Development Tasks

The following are some of the near-term tasks required for CALS Phase II:

- Development of the vocabulary at the <u>IRD Schema Layer</u> to support methodologies for modeling the various applications.
- o Development of the vocabulary at the <u>IRD Schema Layer</u> to support modeling distributed databases.
- o Population of the <u>IRD Layer</u> with application models.
- Population of the <u>IRD Layer</u> with distributed database models.
- <u>Interfacing Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE)</u> <u>tools to the IRDS</u>, in order to assist in development and analysis of models.
- Development of standard ways of using the <u>IRDS to support</u> <u>integrated process and data modeling</u> (e.g., through a standard based on IDEF0 and IDEF1X).

The following are some of the longer-term tasks required for CALS Phase II:

- o Completion of the architecture for distributed database.
- o Development of standards based on that architecture.

The conclusion is that the IRDS is a good basis for CALS Phase II, but a large amount of work is needed to develop other standards that will be required.

7. Bibliography

Goldfine, Alan and Konig, Patricia. <u>A Technical Overview of the</u> <u>Information Resource Dictionary System (Second Edition)</u>, NBSIR 88-3700, January 1988, 142 p.

Goldfine, Alan. <u>Using the Information Resource Dictionary System</u> <u>Command Language (Second Edition)</u>, NBSIR 88-3701, January 1988, 83 p.

Goldfine, Alan and Kirkendall, Thomasin. <u>The ICST-NBS</u> <u>Information Resource Dictionary System Command Language</u> <u>Prototype</u>, NBSIR 88-3830, August 1988, 55 p.

Law, Margaret Henderson. <u>Guide to Information Resource</u> <u>Dictionary System Applications: General Concepts and Strategic</u> <u>systems Planning</u>, NBS SP 500-152, April 1988, 145 p.

NIST-114A U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (REV. 3-89) NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY				
	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NUMBER NISTIR 89-4169			
	2. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET				
	3. PUBLICATION DATE September 1989			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				
Use of the IRDS Standard in CALS				
5. AUTHOR(S)				
David K. Jefferson and Cita M. Furlani				
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (IF JOINT OR OTHER THAN NIST, SEE INSTRUCTIONS) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	7. CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER			
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY	8. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED			
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
DOCUMENT DESCRIBES A COMPUTER PROGRAM; SF-185, FIPS SOFTWARE SUMMARY, IS ATTACHE 1. ABSTRACT (A 200-WORD OR LESS FACTUAL SUMMARY OF MOST SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION. IF DOCU				
LITERATURE SURVEY, MENTION IT HERE.)	MENT INCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT BIBLIOGRAPHY OR			
The objective of this point paper is to show how the Informat				
System (IRDS) can fulfill critical design and operational requ First, a series of assumptions are made about the data manager needed by CALS Phase II. Next, these assumptions are used to requirements for a dictionary system. The structure of the II is then described. Examples are provided to illustrate how the requirements. A schedule is presented to show that the IRDS a standards will be available when needed to meet the immediate	ment services which are develop a series of RDS family of standards he IRDS could meet the and other data management			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develop	ds required to achieve			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develop	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended.			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS)			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data r	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data n management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ref	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ref SQL	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ref SQL	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data mote Database Access (RDA); 14. NUMBER OF PRINTED PAGES			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2 KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ref SQL	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data mote Database Access (RDA); 14. NUMBER OF PRINTED PAGES 15			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develop 12. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data n management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ren SQL 13. AVAILABILITY X UNLIMITED FOR OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION. DO NOT RELEASE TO NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE ORDER FROM SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS, U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data mote Database Access (RDA); 14. NUMBER OF PRINTED PAGES 15			
An architecture is presented to illustrate additional standard longer-range goals of distributed database. Finally, develops 2. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARA Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS); data management; Information Resource Dictionary System (IRDS); Ref SQL 3. AVAILABILITY TOR OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION. DO NOT RELEASE TO NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE	ds required to achieve ment tasks are recommended. TE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS) modeling; distributed data mote Database Access (RDA); 14. NUMBER OF PRINTED PAGES 15			



. .