

**NEW NIST PUBLICATION**

August 1989

# **FACTUNC: A USER-FRIENDLY SYSTEM FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION**

**Richard H.F. Jackson  
Garth P. McCormick  
Arieia Sofer**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Institute of Standards  
and Technology  
National Engineering Laboratory  
Center for Manufacturing Engineering  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899**

**A report for:  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
National Institute of Standards  
and Technology  
Center for Manufacturing Engineering  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Robert A. Moebacher, Secretary  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS  
AND TECHNOLOGY  
Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director**

**NIST**



# **FACTUNC: A USER-FRIENDLY SYSTEM FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION**

**Richard H.F. Jackson  
Garth P. McCormick  
Ariela Sofer**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Institute of Standards  
and Technology  
National Engineering Laboratory  
Center for Manufacturing Engineering  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899**

**August 1989**

**A report for:  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
National Institute of Standards  
and Technology  
Center for Manufacturing Engineering  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899**



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS  
AND TECHNOLOGY  
Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director**



**FACTUNC: A USER-FRIENDLY SYSTEM  
FOR  
UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION**

Richard H. F. Jackson  
Center for Manufacturing Engineering  
National Bureau of Standards  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Garth P. McCormick  
Department of Operations Research  
George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052

Ariela Sofer  
Department of Operations Research and Applied Statistics  
George Mason University  
Fairfax, VA 22030

**ABSTRACT**

FACTUNC is a system for solving unconstrained minimization problems based on the concept of factorable programming. This concept enables the user to provide the problem function and data in a user friendly way and does not require user-supplied derivatives. The system utilizes the factorable function concept to obtain the first and second derivatives required for unconstrained optimization. In all cases derivatives are obtained rapidly and accurately (up to roundoff errors due to machine precision), as opposed to finite differencing.

As a system for nonlinear minimization, FACTUNC allows several options. First the user can solve regression (nonlinear least squares) problems by providing the regression equation and the data for the dependent and independent variables. The second option allows for the minimization of the sum of an indexed function. The user provides the function, and the indexed data. This can be used for example to solve maximum likelihood estimation problems when the user provides the negative of the (weighted) log of the frequency function and the data. The third option is simply to minimize a function supplied by the user. Utilizing barrier function methodology, this third option can sometimes be used to solve constrained problems.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction
    - 1.1 Representation of Functions in FACTUNC
  2. Mathematical Background on Factorable Functions
  3. Solving Regression (Least Squares) Problems (Option 1)  
    EXAMPLE: SMOKING AND HEALTH
  4. Minimizing the Sum of an Indexed Function (Option 2)
    - 4.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimation  
    EXAMPLE: SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE
  5. Minimizing a General Unconstrained Function (Option 3)
    - 5.1 Solving Constrained Problems via Unconstrained Optimization  
    EXAMPLE: CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM
  6. Future Work
  7. References
- APPENDIX A: Abbreviated Output for MAXL Option Example
- APPENDIX B: Abbreviated Output for Chemical Equilibrium Problem ( $r_k=.01$ )
- APPENDIX C: Abbreviated Output for Chemical Equilibrium Problem ( $r_k=.001$ )



## 1. INTRODUCTION

We report here on FACTUNC, a system for solving unconstrained minimization problems developed at the National Bureau of Standards. FACTUNC differs from other optimization systems in one fundamental aspect: the internal representation of functions as "factorable". In section 2 we discuss the concept and merits of factorable functions in more detail. One advantage of this approach is that once a function is represented in factorable form, its gradient, Hessian and even higher order derivatives may be calculated automatically and exactly. In order to use FACTUNC, the user need only supply the system with a statement of the problem function in a FORTRAN-like expression. The system then proceeds to translate this function statement into factorable form, and uses this representation to compute the gradient and Hessians as required.

The Hessian matrices computed by FACTUNC, are initially obtained in dyadic form, i.e., as a sum of rank one matrices. Moreover, the factors of these rank one matrices are obtained from the gradient evaluation. The advantages of this representation, and how it can be utilized are explained in more detail in section 2.

There are a number of different ways to use FACTUNC. For example, FACTUNC may be used as a general unconstrained minimization system. The system also provides a user-friendly front-end for objective functions which involve an indexed sum of terms of the same form (such as the negative of the logarithm of the likelihood function which is used to solve maximum likelihood). When working with this option, the user need only supply the general form of a typical term in the indexed sum (e.g., a typical term in the logarithm of the likelihood function). The nonlinear least squares problem is another example of an indexed sum. Since nonlinear regression problems arise frequently in applications, FACTUNC has a special option for solving this type of problem. In order to solve such a least squares minimization problem, the user need only supply the general form of the nonlinear regression equation, and, of course, the data. Finally, by using a sequential unconstrained minimization approach for solving constrained minimization, FACTUNC may be incorporated as part of a constrained optimization system. Thus, FACTUNC may be used to solve the sequence of unconstrained problems which arise in the barrier method, or in the method of centers. In each of these cases, the option of using an indexed sum can be invoked.

The use of the various options available in FACTUNC is explained in detail in sections 3 - 5. For ease of presentation, we have chosen to start with the nonlinear least squares option. The underlying approach in implementing FACTUNC is common to all the various options, and is described in section 1.1 below.

## 1.1 Representation of Functions in FACTUNC

Computer programs for solving nonlinear problems are widely available. A major difficulty in using the software is addressed in the FACTUNC system. This difficulty is how to construct a user-friendly way to represent the information which is required for the nonlinear minimization, concerning the nonlinear function, which can also be accessed in a computationally efficient manner by algorithms for minimization. It is solved in FACTUNC by allowing the user to provide a FORTRAN-like expression to represent the nonlinear objective function. This is then processed by the system and represented internally in the form of a factorable function. In this form the quantities required by algorithms for solving the problem: function values, first derivatives, and second derivatives are automatically computed by the system.

The statement for the function allows the regular operations such as plus (+), minus (-), product (\*), division (/), power (\*\*), and parentheses. If parentheses do not conform (e.g., an extra left parenthesis, or some other mismatch, an error statement is produced). In addition, the statement allows for single variable functions. The single-variable functions available for use by the system are given in Table 1. Note that any single-variable function can theoretically be used. These are just the ones programmed to date.

FACTUNC also accepts complex terms which involve the nesting of parentheses and single variable transformations within other, provided that the resulting expression represents a well defined mathematical expression. Thus FACTUNC will be able to accept and process a function of the form

$$A*\text{SQRT}(X**3+ (Y/(X+Y))*\text{ENTROP}(Z))-1.7*\text{DECAY}(\text{SIN}(Y)).$$

However, the expression

$$(\text{GAMMA}(X+Y))/(2*\text{LOG}(Y))$$

is incorrect. The system will notify the user of the excess in the number of right parentheses. Removal of the last parenthesis, will result in an expression acceptable to FACTUNC.



TABLE 1. SINGLE ARGUMENT FUNCTIONS AVAILABLE IN THE FACTUNC SYSTEM

FACTUNC NAME	ALGEBRAIC NOTATION	DESCRIPTION
EXP(X)	$e^{-x}$	Exponential
LOG(X)	$\log_{10} x$	Common Logarithm
LN(X)	$\log_e x$	Natural Logarithm
SIN(X)	$\sin x$	Sine
COS(X)	$\cos x$	Cosine
TAN(X)	$\tan x$	Tangent
GAMMA(X)	$\Gamma(x)$	Gamma
ARCSIN(X)	$\arcsin x$	Arcsine
ARCTAN(X)	$\arctan x$	Arctangent
CUMNOR(X)	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \text{EXP}(-t^2/2) dt$	Cumulative Normal
SQRT(X)	$\sqrt{x}$	Square Root
DECAY(X)	$1 - e^{-x}$	Decay Function
ENTROP(X)	$x \cdot \ln x$	Entropy
NORDEN(X)	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \text{EXP}(-x^2/2)$	Normal Density
BARLN(X)	$\begin{cases} \infty & , x \leq 0 \\ -\ln x & , x > 0 \end{cases}$	Logarithmic Barrier Function

## 2. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND ON FACTORABLE FUNCTIONS

This section is provided as background for the interested reader. It is not necessary to understand the theory of factorable functions in order to use the FACTUNC system. Those interested only in using the system may therefore skip this section.

A factorable function is a multivariable function that can be written as the last of a finite sequence of functions, in which the first  $n$  functions in the sequence are just the coordinate variables, and each function beyond the  $n$ th is a sum, a product, or a single-variable transformation of previous functions in the sequence. More rigorously, let  $[f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_L(x)]$  be a finite sequence of functions such that  $f_i: R^n \rightarrow R$ , where each  $f_i(x)$  is defined according to one of the following rules.

Rule 1. For  $i=1, \dots, n$ ,  $f_i(x)$  is the value of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Euclidean coordinate:

$$f_i(x) = x_i. \quad (2.1)$$

Rule 2. For  $i=n+1, \dots, L$ ,  $f_i(x)$  is formed using one of the following compositions:

- a.)  $f_i(x) = f_{j(i)}(x) + f_{k(i)}(x)$ ; or
  - b.)  $f_i(x) = f_{j(i)}(x) * f_{k(i)}(x)$ ; or
  - c.)  $f_i(x) = T_i[f_{j(i)}(x)]$ ;
- (2.2)

where  $j(i) < i$ ,  $k(i) < i$ , and  $T_i$  is a function of a single variable. Then  $f(x) = f_L(x)$  is a factorable function and  $[f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_L(x)]$  is a factored sequence. Thus a function,  $f(x)$ , will be called factorable if it can be formed according to Rules 1 and 2, and the resulting sequence of functions will be called a factored sequence or, at times, the function written in factored form.

Although it is not always immediately grasped, the concept of a factorable function is actually a very natural one. In fact, it is just a formalization of the natural procedure one follows in evaluating a complicated function. Consider for example the function

$$f(x) = (a^T x)(\sin[b^T x])(\exp[c^T x]), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $x$  are  $(2 \times 1)$  vectors. The natural approach to evaluating this function for specific values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is first to compute the quantities within the parentheses, then to apply the sine and exponential functions, and finally to multiply the three resulting quantities. This might be done in stages as follows.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
f_1 = x_1 & f_6 = b_1 f_1 & f_{11} = f_9 + f_{10} \\
f_2 = x_2 & f_7 = b_2 f_2 & f_{12} = \sin(f_8) \\
f_3 = a_1 f_1 & f_8 = f_6 + f_7 & f_{13} = \exp(f_{11}) \\
f_4 = a_2 f_2 & f_9 = c_1 f_1 & f_{14} = f_5 \cdot f_{12} \\
f_5 = f_3 + f_4 & f_{10} = c_2 f_2 & f_{15} = f_{13} \cdot f_{14}
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

This is one possible factored sequence for the function in (2.3).

In order to appreciate fully the value of factorable functions the concept of an outer product matrix must be introduced. An  $(m \times n)$  matrix  $A$  is called an outer product matrix if there exists a scalar  $\alpha$ , an  $(m \times 1)$  vector  $a$ , and an  $(n \times 1)$  vector  $b$  such that

$$A = \alpha a b^T.$$

The expression  $\alpha a b^T$  is called an outer product or a dyad. Note that a dyad is conformable since the dimensions of the product are  $(m \times 1)(1 \times 1)(1 \times n)$ , which yields the  $(m \times n)$  outer product matrix  $A$  as desired. A useful property of outer product matrices is that, if they are kept as dyads, matrix multiplication with them is simplified to inner products alone, saving the computations required to form the matrices involved. For example,

$$Ac = \alpha \alpha [b^T c],$$

$$d^T A = [d^T a] \alpha b^T, \text{ and}$$

$$AF = \alpha \alpha [b^T F],$$

where  $c$  is  $(n \times 1)$ ,  $d$  is  $(m \times 1)$  and  $F$  is  $(n \times m)$ .

Factorable functions possess two very special properties that can be exploited to produce efficient (fast and accurate) algorithms: (i) once written in factorable form, their gradients and Hessians may be computed exactly, automatically, and efficiently; and (ii) their Hessians occur naturally as sums of dyads whose vector factors are gradients of terms in the factored sequence. The first of these properties is utilized in FACTUNC for providing the first and second derivatives of nonlinear functions. The second has obviated the task of multiplying a matrix by a vector, reducing it to a series of inner products, as noted above, which in many cases results in less effort.

In order to clarify these concepts, we consider again the illustrative function in (2.3). Table 1 is a display of the gradient and Hessian of this function. The entries in each column are the summands in the expressions for the gradient and Hessian. For example,



$$\nabla f = [\sin(b^T x)] [\exp(c^T x)] a + [a^T x] [\cos(b^T x)] [\exp(c^T x)] b \\ + [a^T x] [\sin(b^T x)] [\exp(c^T x)] c.$$

The table also illustrates the left-to-right, tree-like structure of the derivatives involved. From the table it can be seen that both the gradient and Hessian naturally have the dyadic structure discussed above. Notice too that the vectors in the monads and dyads are drawn from the set {a,b,c}, each of which is the gradient of a factored sequence function in (2.4).

TABLE 1.  
MONADIC AND DYADIC STRUCTURE OF GRADIENT  
AND HESSIAN OF ILLUSTRATIVE FUNCTION

Function	Gradient Summands	Hessian Summands
$a^T x \cdot \sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x]$	$(\sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : a)$	$(\cos[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : ab)$ $(\sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : ac)$
	$(a^T x \cdot \cos[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : b)$	$(\cos[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : ba)$ $(-a^T x \cdot \sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : bb)$ $(a^T x \cdot \cos[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : bc)$
	$(a^T x \cdot \sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : c)$	$(\sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : ca)$ $(a^T x \cdot \cos[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : cb)$ $(a^T x \cdot \sin[b^T x] \exp[c^T x] : cc)$

It is important to understand that the derivative calculations performed by the FACTUNC system are not estimations, but mathematically exact calculations. Furthermore, they are also compact, since factored sequences mimic hand calculations, and thus this technique is different from symbolic manipulation techniques for differentiation, which tend to produce large amounts of code. The techniques used in Factorable Programming are efficient exploitations of the special structure inherent in factorable functions and



their partial derivative arrays. These results were extended to higher order derivatives by Jackson and McCormick [1986], who showed that factorable functions have arrays of  $n^{\text{th}}$  order derivatives (tensors) which are naturally computed as sums of generalized outer product matrices (polyads).

### 3. SOLVING REGRESSION (LEAST SQUARES) PROBLEMS (OPTION 1)

We begin the discussion of the use of the code with a description of the simplest way to use it: for solving nonlinear regression problems.

A regression equation relates a dependent variable to a function involving one or more independent variables and parameters. Given a set of values of the dependent variable and simultaneously observed values of the independent variables, the "best" values of the parameters in a least squares sense are those which minimize the sum of the squared differences between the observed dependent values and the estimated ones. Models giving rise to least squares problems are legion in the physical and social sciences. The FACTUNC system provides a user friendly way to represent the data and the regression function. This is particularly important when the parameters enter nonlinearly in the regression function.

In the FACTUNC system, one variable,  $y$ , is the dependent variable and there may be one or more independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . The problem modeler must specify the form of the function  $f$  which is believed to represent the relationship between the variables. The function has parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  which must be calculated in a way to give a "best" fit to the observed data. Ideally, the relationship  $y = f(a_1, \dots, a_m; x_1, \dots, x_n)$  would hold precisely, i.e.,

$$y_i = f(a_1, \dots, a_m; x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i)$$

for each  $i=1,2,\dots,k$ , where  $k$  is the total number of observations and the super/subscript  $i$  indicates the values of the variables at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  observation. However, it will not usually be possible to get an exact fit. In the least squares method, the parameters are chosen to minimize the quantity

$$\sum_{i=1}^k [y_i - f(a_1, \dots, a_m; x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i)]^2,$$

the sum of the squares of the residuals. A least squares problem is said to be nonlinear if the parameters appear nonlinearly in the regression equation. For more discussion see McCormick [1983], pp. 93-99.

To solve a nonlinear least squares problem using FACTUNC, the user must provide an input file giving the regression equation, the names of the parameters along with their starting values and upper and lower bounds, and a list of the observed data. The general structure of the input file is explained in the following.

## Input File Format

(1) NLSQ

All characters on this line are ignored and can be used as a comment to entitle the problem.

(2) This can also be used to identify the regression equation.

(3) Regression equation - given in the following format:

(dependent variable) = (expression involving functions, parameters, constants, and independent variables)\$

The notation follows the usual FORTRAN conventions (e.g. "\*" indicates multiplication). See the table for a list of the allowed functions. The equation may be written on several lines, but the "=" must appear on the first line. The end of the equation is signalled by the "\$". Spaces are ignored.

(4) Comment - demarcates problem constants section (must be present).

(5) Problem constants - number of parameters, constants, independent variables, and observations (in free format).

(6) Comment - used to demarcate parameter section (must be present).

(7) Parameter section - A line is given for each parameter in the regression equation showing the parameter name in quotes, a starting value, a lower bound, and an upper bound (in free format).

(8) Comment - used to demarcate constant section (must be present).

(9) Constant section - A line is given for each named constant in the regression equation showing the constant name in quotes and its value. This section may be blank, but the preceding comment (8) must always be present.

(10) Comment - used to demarcate data section (must be present).

(11) Data section - A line is given showing the names of the variables (in quotes) in the regression equation. Under each variable name a column of data values is listed. Each row of this section represents one observation.

As an example of the use of the regression (least squares) option consider the data in Table 2. The elements in the table are the ratios of those people who died in the category indicated in the appropriate row and column, divided by those who would be expected to die if the sample were taken from a general

population of nonsmokers. If the matrix elements are denoted by DEATH, the row indicator by DEPTH, and the column indicator by CIGS, a reasonable regression equation is

$$DEATH = 1 + (a_1 + a_2 DEPTH)(1 - EXP(-a_3 CIGS))$$

where  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  are parameters. The values of DEPTH and CIGS need to be quantified. The values used for this example are given in Figure 1, the input file for FACTUNC. The optimization problem is:

$$\min_{a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{16} [DEATH_i - (1 + (a_1 + a_2 DEPTH_i)(1 - EXP(-a_3 CIGS_i)))]^2$$

TABLE 2. DATA ON SMOKING AND HEALTH

Degree of Inhalation	Number of Cigarettes per Day			
	1-9	10-19	20-40	40+
None	1.29	1.46	1.56	2.05
Slight	1.29	1.68	1.84	1.97
Moderate	1.61	1.82	1.84	2.01
Deep	1.88	1.76	2.18	2.50

The general format of the input file for this example is given in Figure 1, with each line of the file numbered and keyed to the input file format given above. Figure 2 is the exact input file for this example, while Figure 3 is part of the FACTUNC output. Table 1 is a list of the functions of a single argument which can be used in describing input functions.



FIGURE 1. SAMPLE INPUT FILE FOR LEAST SQUARES OPTIONS.\*

```

(1) NLSQ - EXCESS DEATHS AS FCN OF DAILY SMOKES AND DEPTH OF INHALATION
(2) REGRESSION EQUATION
(3) DEATH=1.+(A1*DEPTH+A2)*(C1-EXP(-A3*CIGS))$
(4) NUMBER OF PARAMETERS, CONSTANTS, INDEPENDENT VARIABLES, OBSERVATIONS
(5)      3      1      2      16
(6) PARAMETERS, STARTING VALUE, LOWER BOUND, UPPER BOUND
(7)      'A1'      0.1      0.      10.
          'A2'      0.6      0.      10.
          'A3'      0.01     0.      10.
(8) CONSTANTS: NAME, VALUE
(9)      'C1'      1.
(10) VARIABLES: NAME ABOVE COLUMN
(11)      'DEATH'      'CIGS'      'DEPTH'
          1.29          5.          0.
          1.46          15.         0.
          1.56          30.         0.
          2.05          65.         0.
          1.29          5.          .3333
          1.68          15.         .3333
          1.84          30.         .3333
          1.97          65.         .3333
          1.61          5.          .6667
          1.82          15.         .6667
          1.84          30.         .6667
          2.01          65.         .6667
          1.88          5.          1.0000
          1.76          15.         1.0000
          2.18          30.         1.0000
          2.50          65.         1.0000

```

\*All inputs are free form. The numbers in parentheses correspond to the numbers in the explanation above, but are not part of the input file.



FIGURE 2. Exact Input File for Smoking and Health Regression Problem

```

NLSQ - SMOKING AND HEALTH REGRESSION
REGRESSION EQUATIONS
DEATH = 1. +(A1*DEPTH+A2)*(C1-EXP(-A3*CIGS))$
NUMBER OF PARAMETERS, CONSTANTS, INDEPENDENT VARIABLES, OBSERVATIONS
  3   2   2   16
PARAMETERS , STARTING VALUE, LOWER BOUND, UPPER BOUND
  'A1'   4.   0.  10.
  'A2'   3.   0.  10.
  'A3'   .1   0.  10.
CONSTANTS: NAME, VALUE
  'DUM'   2.2
  'C1'    1.
VARIABLES: NAME ABOVE COLUMN: THOSE NOT HERE ARE ASSUMED ON FILES
  'DEATH'           'CIGS'           'DEPTH'
    1.29             5.              0.
    1.46             15.             0.
    1.56             30.             0.
    2.05             65.             0.
    1.29             5.              .3333
    1.68             15.             .3333
    1.84             30.             .3333
    1.97             65.             .3333
    1.61             5.              .6667
    1.82             15.             .6667
    1.84             30.             .6667
    2.01             65.             .6667
    1.88             5.              1.000
    1.76             15.             1.000
    2.18             30.             1.000
    2.50             65.             1.000

```

FIGURE 3. Partial Output for Least Squares Example.

```

NLSQ - SMOKING AND HEALTH REGRESSION
LEAST SQUARES PROBLEM
HESSIAN FORMED EXPLICITLY
REGRESSION EQUATION
DEATH = 1. +(A1*DEPTH+A2)*(C1-EXP(-A3*CIGS))$
SINGLE CHARACTER OUTPUT STRING OF (FUNCTION) EQUATION
1.+(A1*DEPTH+A2)*(C1-EXP(-A3*CIGS))$
NUMBER OF PARAMETERS, CONSTANTS, INDEPENDENT VARIABLES, OBSERVATIONS
THE NUMBER OF UNKNOWN IS = 3
THE NUMBER OF SYMBOLIC CONSTANTS IN THE EQUATION (FUNCTION) = 2
THE NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES = 2
THE NUMBER OF DATA OBSERVATIONS = 16
PARAMETERS , STARTING VALUE, LOWER BOUND, UPPER BOUND
A1 .400000E+01 .000000E+00 .100000E+02
A2 .300000E+01 .000000E+00 .100000E+02
A3 .100000E+00 .000000E+00 .100000E+02
CONSTANTS: NAME, VALUE
DUM .220000E+01
C1 .100000E+01
VARIABLES: NAME ABOVE COLUMN
DEATH CIGS DEPTH
1.29000 5.00000 .00000
1.46000 15.00000 .00000
1.56000 30.00000 .00000
2.05000 65.00000 .00000
1.29000 5.00000 .33330
1.68000 15.00000 .33330
1.84000 30.00000 .33330
1.97000 65.00000 .33330
1.61000 5.00000 .66670
1.82000 15.00000 .66670
1.84000 30.00000 .66670
2.01000 65.00000 .66670
1.88000 5.00000 1.00000
1.76000 15.00000 1.00000
2.18000 30.00000 1.00000
2.50000 65.00000 1.00000

THE OPTIMAL VALUES OF THE UNKNOWN ARE
1 A1 = .54488E+00
2 A2 = .74750E+00
3 A3 = .93753E-01
THE LEAST SQUARES VALUE IS = .46916E+00

THE GRADIENT IS -.14019E-09 -.25256E-09 -.23803E-08

```

#### 4. MINIMIZING THE SUM OF AN INDEXED FUNCTION (OPTION 2)

The general structure of the input file for minimizing the sum of an indexed function is explained in the following. This option is triggered by placing the word MAXL in columns 1-4 of the first line in the input file. The main difference between the input format of this option and the least squares option is that on line three the "=" sign and name of the dependent variable do not appear. The user merely writes on line 3 (and for as many following lines as needed) the indexed function whose sum is to be minimized. The remainder of the input file is exactly that described in Section 3.

##### 4.1 MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

Another common method of finding estimates of parameter values that explain observed data is the method of maximum likelihood estimation. Let  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$  be  $r$  unknown parameters of a frequency function  $g_o(y, b)$  of the random variable  $y$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  be  $m$  observations of  $y$ . The likelihood function associated with these observations and frequency function is

$$L(y_1, \dots, y_m, b_1, \dots, b_r) = g_o(y_1, b)g_o(y_2, b)\dots g_o(y_m, b). \quad (4.1)$$

An important method of estimating the values of  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  based on the observed  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  is to maximize the likelihood function (4.1). The  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  that maximize (4.1) are called maximum likelihood estimators and have many desirable statistical properties. The reader is referred to Cramer [??] for a fuller discussion.

The problem of maximizing  $L(y_1, \dots, y_m, b)$  is an unconstrained mathematical programming problem. Since the logarithm of the likelihood function achieves its maximum at the same  $b$  as the likelihood function itself, the general problem of likelihood estimation is stated as follows.

Find values  $(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_r)$  that

$$\text{maximize } \sum_{i=1}^r \ln g_o(y_i, b), \quad (4.2)$$

or

$$\text{minimize } -\sum_{i=1}^r \ln g_o(y_i, b), \quad (4.3)$$

The example for this section comes from the world of biomedicine and is taken from [Bracken and McCormick, 1968]. The mathematical technique illustrated is the minimization of the negative of the log-likelihood function.

It is hypothesized that the population of systolic blood pressures can be separated into three separate groups. The distribution of blood pressures within each of these groups can be represented by a normal frequency function. Let  $p_1, p_2,$  and  $p_3$  represent the proportions of the population in each of the



three groups. Let  $(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$ ,  $(\mu_2, \sigma_2)$ , and  $(\mu_3, \sigma_3)$  be the means and standard deviations of the normal frequency functions corresponding to each group. These nine values correspond to the unknown  $\{b_j\}$  parameters.

Then under these assumptions the frequency function for the random variable  $y$ , which denotes systolic blood pressure, is obtained by summing the frequency functions of the individual groups times their probability of occurrence to yield

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{p_k}{\sigma_k} \exp \left[ - \frac{(y - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right]$$

where

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1.$$

There are eight parameters in this frequency function since one proportion, or probability, can be eliminated. Let  $p_3 = 1 - p_1 - p_2$ . Let  $n_i$  equal the frequency of occurrence of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  observation. The problem then is to find values of  $(p_1, p_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m -n_i \ln & \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \frac{p_1}{\sigma_1} \exp \left[ - \frac{(y_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} \right] + \frac{p_2}{\sigma_2} \exp \left[ - \frac{(y_i - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right] \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1 - p_1 - p_2}{\sigma_3} \exp \left[ - \frac{(y_i - \mu_3)^2}{2\sigma_3^2} \right] \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The data for this are given in Table 4. Using the FACTUNC Systems yields estimates. (See Appendix A)

$\bar{p}_1 = .255$	$\bar{\mu}_1 = 128.8$	$\bar{\sigma}_1 = 10.3$
$\bar{p}_2 = .594$	$\bar{\mu}_2 = 158.3$	$\bar{\sigma}_2 = 21.7$
$\bar{p}_3 = .151$	$\bar{\mu}_3 = 222.2$	$\bar{\sigma}_3 = 18.5$

The input file for this problem is given in Figure 4. Abbreviated output is given in the Appendix A.



TABLE 4. SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE VALUES WITH FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE

Systolic Blood Pressure	Frequency of Occurrence	Systolic Blood Pressure	Frequency of Occurrence	Systolic Blood Pressure	Frequency of Occurrence
95	1	150	17	200	3
105	1	155	4	205	3
110	4	160	20	210	8
115	4	165	8	215	1
120	15	170	17	220	6
125	15	175	8	225	0
130	15	180	6	230	5
135	13	185	6	235	1
140	21	190	7	240	7
145	12	195	4	245	1
				260	2

FIGURE 4. INPUT FILE FOR SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE PARAMETERS ESTIMATION.

```

MAXL-FIND PARAMETERS DEFINING FREQUENCY FUNCTION MIN -(LOG LIKE FN)
FREQUENCY FUNCTION IS WEIGHTED SUM OF THREE NORMALS
(-FREQ)*LN((P/SIG)*NORDEN((Y-MU)/SIG)+(((1.-P-Q)/SIG2)*
NORDEN((Y-MU2)/SIG2)+(Q/SIG3)*NORDEN((Y-MU3)/SIG3))$
NUMBER OF UNKNOWN PARAMETERS, CONSTANTS, IND. VARS. AND OBSERVATIONS
8 0 2 31
PARAMETERS: STARTING VALUE, LOWER AND UPPER BOUND
'MU' 133.2425 120. 200.
'SIG' 9.47758 8. 70.
'P' .28802 .2 .9
'MU2' 171.10458 141. 230.
'SIG2' 33.76752 10. 100.
'Q' .2 .1 .9
'MU3' 200. 100. 300.
'SIG3' 30. 10. 50.
CONSTANTS ( NONE FOR THIS PROBLEM)
INDEXED DATA
'Y' 'FREQ'
95. 1.
105. 1.
110. 4.
115. 4.
120. 15.
125. 15.
130. 15.
135. 13.
140. 21.
145. 12.
150. 17.
155. 4.
160. 20.
165. 8.
170. 17.
175. 8.
180. 6.
185. 6.
190. 7.
195. 4.
200. 3.
205. 3.
210. 8.
215. 1.
220. 6.
225. 0.
230. 5.
235. 1.
240. 7.
245. 1.
260. 2.

```

## 5. MINIMIZING A GENERAL UNCONSTRAINED FUNCTION (OPTION 3)

This option is triggered by placing UNCO in the first four positions of the first line of input. The main difference between the input format of this and that for an indexed function (See Section 4) is that there is no data defining the index at the end of the input.

This option will be illustrated after a discussion on how to solve constrained problems by solving a sequence of unconstrained problems.

### 5.1 SOLVING CONSTRAINED PROBLEMS VIA UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION

Although FACTNLS is primarily for unconstrained problems, it is possible to use it as an aid for solving constrained optimization problems. There is a well-known algorithm, SUMT, which solves constrained problems by solving a sequence of unconstrained problems. For more information the reader is referred to [Fiacco and McCormick 1968] or [McCormick 1983, Chapter 16]. A brief description of the SUMT algorithm follows.

The optimization problem is assumed to be in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } f(x) \\ & \text{s.t. } \quad g_i(x) \geq 0, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m, \\ & \quad \quad h_j(x) = 0, \text{ for } j=1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

The vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ . Any optimization problem can be put into the above form. Denote  $R^0 = \{x: g_i(x) > 0, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m\}$ . The SUMT algorithm solves the problem

$$\text{minimize}_{x \in R^0} P(x, r_k) = f(x) - r_k \sum_{i=1}^m \ln[g_i(x)] + \sum_{j=1}^p h_j^2(x)/r_k$$

for a sequence of values  $\{r_k\}$  which decrease strictly to zero. If  $x(r_k)$  denotes a solution to the problem for  $r_k$ , the starting point for the new problem with  $r_{k+1}$  is  $x(r_k)$ . For  $r_k$  small,  $x(r_k)$  is an approximation to the solution of the original constrained nonlinear programming problem. There is an extrapolation method which can be used to estimate the solution accurately using two vectors  $x(r_k)$  and  $x(r_{k+1})$  on the trajectory of unconstrained minimizers. The chemical equilibrium problem will be used to explain this.

The example illustrating this option is to solve a constrained problem by solving a sequence of unconstrained problems. The theory behind this approach is given after a description of the optimization problem: CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM. The example and data are taken from Bracken and McCormick [1968].



The problem of determining the chemical composition of a complex mixture under chemical equilibrium conditions has long been of interest. Such problems arise in the analysis of the performance of fuels and propellants and in the synthesis of complex organic compounds.

A mixture of chemical species held at a constant temperature and pressure reaches its chemical equilibrium state concurrently with reduction of the free energy of the mixture to a minimum. This is a consequence of the second law of thermodynamics. The objective function to be minimized in the chemical equilibrium model is the expression of the free energy of the chemical mixture under study. The value of the free energy of the mixture is minimized subject to the chemical reactions possible between species of the mixture.

White, Johnson, and Dantzig formulated the chemical equilibrium problem as a mathematical programming problem with linear mass balance constraints representing the possible chemical combinations of the chemical species of the mixture, and a nonlinear objective function representing the free energy of the mixture (to be minimized). They investigated steepest descent and piecewise linear programming approaches to formulating the problem. In a second paper, they explored the piecewise linear programming problem further. The problem is discussed briefly by Dantzig, who used it to illustrate the method of generalized linear programming.

Consider a mixture of  $m$  chemical elements. It has been predetermined that the  $m$  different types of atoms can combine chemically to produce  $n$  compounds, where the monotonic atom is regarded for our purpose as a possible compound. Define

$x_j$  = the number of moles of compound  $j$  in the mixture at equilibrium,

$\bar{x}$  = the total number of moles in the mixture, where  $\bar{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$ ,

$a_{ij}$  = the number of atoms of element  $i$  in a molecule of compound  $j$ ,

$b_i$  = the number of atomic weights of element  $i$  in the mixture.

The mass balance relationships that must hold among the  $m$  elements are

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i=1, \dots, m. \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$x_j \geq 0, \quad j=1, \dots, n. \quad (5.2)$$

Determination of the composition of the mixture at equilibrium is equivalent to determination of the values of  $x_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) that satisfy (5.1) and (5.2) and also minimize the total free energy of the mixture. The total free energy of the mixture is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j [c_j + \ln(x_j/\bar{x})] \quad (5.3)$$



where

$$c_j = (F_j^\circ/RT) + \ln P$$

where  $(F_j^\circ/RT_j)$  is the modal standard (Gibbs) free energy function for the  $j$ th compound, which may be found in tables, and  $P$  is the total pressure in atmospheres.

Thus the nonlinear programming problem is as follows. Choose  $x_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) to minimize the nonlinear objective function (5.3) subject to linear constraints (5.1) and non-negativity restrictions (5.2).

We consider the example problem formulated and solved by White, Johnson, and Dantzig [??]. We solve the nonlinear programming problem by the sequential unconstrained minimization technique and obtain similar answers.

The problem considered is the determination of the equilibrium composition resulting from subjecting the compound  $1/2N_2H_4 + 1/2O_2$  to a temperature of  $3500^\circ K$  and a pressure of 750 psi. In Table 5 we show for each compound  $j$  of 10 possible compounds (where the monotonic atoms are termed compounds) the Gibbs free energy function  $(F^\circ/RT)_j$ , the computed value of  $c_j$  for  $P = 750$  psi, and the number atoms of H, N, and O per molecule. The number of atomic weights of H, N, and O in the mixture are  $b_1=2$ ,  $b_2=1$ , and  $b_3=1$ .

Formulating the nonlinear programming model, the nonlinear objective function to be minimized is

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 [ -6.089 + \ln(x_1/\bar{x}) ] \\ & + \dots \\ & + x_{10} [ -22.179 + \ln(x_{10}/\bar{x}) ] \end{aligned}$$

and the linear constraints of the nonlinear programming problem are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_6 + x_{10} &= 2, \\ x_4 + 2x_5 + x_6 + x_7 &= 1, \\ x_3 + x_7 + x_8 + 2x_9 + x_{10} &= 1, \\ x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \dots, x_{10} &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above nonlinear programming problem, we obtain the values of  $x_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, 10$ ), the number of moles of the 10 compounds present in the equilibrium mixture, which are given in Table 5. These values agree with those obtained in [??]. The corresponding value of the objective function is -47.76.

TABLE 5. DATA ON  $1/2N_2H_4 + 1/2O_2$  AT 3500°K, 750 psi.

j	Compound	$(F^\circ/RT)_j$	$c_j$	$a_{ij}$		
				i=1	i=2	i=3
				H	N	O
1	H	-10.021	- 6.089	1	0	0
2	H <sub>2</sub>	-21.096	-17.164	2	0	0
3	H <sub>2</sub> O	-37.986	-34.054	2	0	1
4	N	- 9.846	- 5.914	0	1	0
5	N <sub>2</sub>	-28.653	-24.721	0	2	0
6	NH	-18.918	-14.986	1	1	0
7	NO	-28.032	-24.100	0	1	1
8	O	-14.640	-10.708	0	0	1
9	O <sub>2</sub>	-30.594	-26.662	0	0	2
10	OH	-26.111	-22.179	1	0	1

TABLE 6.  
COMPOSITION OF  $1/2N_2H_4 + 1/2O_2$   
AT 3500°K, 750 PSI

j	Compound	$x_j$
1	H	.0407
2	H <sub>2</sub>	.1477
3	H <sub>2</sub> O	.7831
4	N	.0014
5	N <sub>2</sub>	.4853
6	NH	.0007
7	NO	.0274
8	O	.0180
9	O <sub>2</sub>	.0373
10	OH	.0969

In Figure 7 is the input file for the chemical equilibrium problem with an initial value of  $r_k = .01$ . In Appendix A is a portion of the output. The final values at the unconstrained minimizer are then used in the input file shown in Figure 8, which has a value of "RK" = .001. The solution of this second unconstrained problem is given in Figure 10. With these two vectors,

FIGURE 7. INPUT FILE FOR CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM WITH  $r_k = .01$ .

```

UNCO--CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM USING BARRIER FUNCTION
SOURCE- PP 253-254 OF NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING BY GPMCC
C1*H+C2*H2+C3*H2O+C4*N+C5*N2+C6*NH+C7*NO+C8*O+
C9*O2+C10*OH +RK*(BARLN(H)+BARLN(H2)+BARLN(H2O)
+BARLN(N) + BARLN(N2) + BARLN(NH) + BARLN(NO) + BARLN(O)
+BARLN(O2) +BARLN(OH) ) + ((H+2.*H2+2.*H2O+NH+OH-B1)**2
+(N +2.*N2 +NH +NO-B2)**2 +(H2O+NO+O+2.*O2+OH-B3)**2)/RK
-ENTROP(H+H2+H2O+N+N2+NH+NO+O+O2+OH) + ENTROP(H) + ENTROP(H2)
+ ENTROP(H2O) + ENTROP(N) + ENTROP(N2) + ENTROP(NH) + ENTROP(NO)
+ ENTROP(O) + ENTROP(O2)+ ENTROP(OH) $
NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS, CONSTANTS, QUANTITIES, AND OBSERVATIONS
10 14 0 0
STARTING VALUES FOR UNKNOWNNS
'H' .1 .00001 10.
'H2' .1 0.00001 10.
'H2O' 0.1 0.00001 10.
'N' .1 0.00001 10.
'N2' 0.1 0.00001 10.
'NH' .1 0.00001 10.
'NO' .1 0.00001 10.
'O' .1 0.00001 10.
'O2' 0.1 0.00001 10.
'OH' .1 0.00001 10.
CONSTANTS
'C1' -6.089
'C2' -17.164
'C3' -34.054
'C4' -5.914
'C5' -24.721
'C6' -14.986
'C7' -24.100
'C8' -10.708
'C9' -26.662
'C10' -22.179
'B1' 2.
'B2' 1.
'B3' 1.
'RK' .01

```

FIGURE 8: INPUT FILE FOR CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM WITH  $r_k = .001$

```

UNCO--CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM USING BARRIER FUNCTION
SOURCE- PP 253-254 OF NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING BY GPMCC(RK=.001)
C1*H+C2*H2+C3*H2O+C4*N+C5*N2+C6*NH+C7*NO=C8*O+
  C9*O2+C10*OH +RK*(BARLN(H)+BARLN(H2)+BARLN(H2O)
  +BARLN(N) + BARLN(N2)+BARLN(NH)+BARLN(NO)+BARLN(O)
  +BARLN(O2) +BARLN(OH) )+((H+2. *H2+2. *H2O+NH+OH-B1)**2
  +(N +2. *N2 +NH +NO-B2)**2 +(H2O+NO+O+2. *O2+Oh-B3)**2)/RK
-ENTROP(H+H2+H2O+N+N+N2+NH+NO+O+O2+OH)
+ ENTROP(H)+ENTROP(N2)+ENTROP(h2O)+ENTROP(N)+ENTROP(N2)+ENTROP(NH)+ENTROP
ENTROP(O) +ENTROP(O2)+ ENTROP(OH) $
NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS, CONSTANTS, QUANTITIES, AND OBSERVATIONS
10 14 0 0
STARTING VALUES FOR UNKNOWNNS
'H' .049942 .00001 10.
'H2' .15007 0.00001 10.
'H2O' .79116 0.00001 10.
'N' .0066461 0.00001 10.
'N2' .50722 0.00001 10.
'NH' .0050182 0.00001 10.
'NO' .038836 0.00001 10.
'O' .02846 0.00001 10.
'O2' .052818 0.00001 10.
'OH' .11177 0.00001 10.
CONSTANTS
'C1' -6.089
'C2' -17.164
'C3' -34.054
'C4' -5.914
'C5' -24.721
'C6' -14.986
'C7' -24.100
'C8' -10.708
'C9' -26.662
'C10' -22.179
'B1' 2.
'B2' 1.
'B3' 1.
'RK' .001
VARIABLES

```



the solution can be approximated using extrapolation theory. The two point extrapolation is

$$x^*(\text{est}) = [cx(r_{k+1}) - x(r_k)] / (c - 1)$$

where

$$c = r_k / r_{k+1}.$$

In Table 7, the extrapolation is performed using the output from the two unconstrained minimizations.

Eventually, solving constrained problems will be an automatic procedure, For now, with care, the FACTUNC program can be used to find solutions to constrained problems.

When implementing the SUMT approach, it is important to use the single argument function BARLN(X). This prevents the sequence of iterates from straying from  $R^0$ , the interior of the feasible region. The use of LN(X) will result in disaster. The user must also be sure that the initial starting point is in the strict interior of the feasible region.

Choosing the  $r_k$  and  $r_{k+1}$  is still more of an art than a science. Experience is often a help in doing this. A large value to start with is usually better than a small one, which creates a number of difficult unconstrained minimization problems.

## 6. FUTURE WORK

The system described in this manual is just the first step in a proposed series of optimization programs. One basic addition that is envisioned is the implementation of a general indexing capability based on modern data base concepts. Allowing "subscripted" data will extend the applicability of the system to large problems without a concomitant increase in program size.

The second generalization is to the solution of constrained optimization problems. Although this can be accomplished (as indicated in subsection 5.1) using a barrier function approach, it is important for a user to specify in a user friendly way inequality and equality constraints on the problem unknowns.

A third extension will be to allow the use of this system by algorithmists to test their methodology. The system can be used to represent the problems and to compute automatically the derivatives required by the algorithms.

**TABLE 7.**  
**EXTRAPOLATION FOR CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM**  
**USING VALUES FROM TWO SUMT MINIMIZERS**

Compound	Solution for $r_k=.01$	Solution for $r_k=.001$	Extrapo- lation	True Solution
H	.049942	.041726	.0408	.0407
H <sub>2</sub>	.15007	.14829	.1481	.1477
H <sub>2</sub> O	.79116	.78409	.7833	.7831
N	.0066461	.0022263	.0017	.0014
N <sub>2</sub>	.50722	.48779	.4856	.4853
NH	.0050182	.00008635	-.0005	.0007
NO	.038836	.028593	.0275	.0274
O	.028460	.019089	.0180	.0180
O <sub>2</sub>	.052818	.038759	.0372	.0373
OH	.11177	.098314	.0968	.0969
Free Energy	-48.702	-47.850	-47.755	-47.76

## 7. REFERENCES

Bracken, J. and McCormick, G.P. (1968), Selected Applications of Nonlinear Programming, Wiley, New York.

Cramer, H. (1955), The Elements of Probability Theory, Wiley, New York.

Dantzig, G., Johnson, S., and White, W. (1958), A linear programming approach to the chemical equilibrium problem, Management Science, 5, 38-43.

Fiacco, A.V. and McCormick, G.P. (1968), Nonlinear Programming: Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Techniques, Wiley, New York.

Jackson, R.H.F. and McCormick, G.P. (1986), The polyadic structure of factorable function tensors with applications to high-order minimization techniques, J.O.T.A., 51, 63-94.

McCormick, G.P. (1983), Nonlinear Programming: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Wiley, New York.

Sofer, A. (1983), Computationally Efficient Techniques for Generalized Inversion, Dissertation, Department of Operations Research, The George Washington University, Washington, D.C.

White, W.B., Johnson, S.H. and Dantzig, G.B. (1958), Chemical equilibrium in complex mixtures, J. Chem. Phys., 28, 751-755.

APPENDIX A:

ABBREVIATED OUTPUT FOR MAXL OPTION EXAMPLE.

MAXL-FIND PARAMETERS DEFINING FREQUENCY FUNCTION MIN -(LOG LIKE FN)  
 MINIMIZING INDEXED SUM  
 HESSIAN FORMED EXPLICITLY

FREQUENCY FUNCTION IS WEIGHTED SUM OF THREE NORMALS  
 $(-FREQ) * \ln((P/SIG) * NORDEN((Y-MU)/SIG) + ((1.-P-Q)/SIG2) * NORDEN((Y-MU2)/SIG2)) + (Q/SIG3) * NORDEN((Y-MU3)/SIG3))$   
 SINGLE CHARACTER OUTPUT STRING OF (FUNCTION) EQUATION  
 $(-FREQ) * \ln((P/SIG) * NORDEN((Y-MU)/SIG) + ((1.-P-Q)/SIG2) * NORDEN((Y-MU2)/SIG2)) + (Q/SIG3) * NORDEN((Y-MU3)/SIG3))$

NUMBER OF UNKNOWN PARAMETERS, CONSTANTS, DATA COLS., OBSERVATIONS  
 THE NUMBER OF UNKNOWN IS = 8  
 THE NUMBER OF SYMBOLIC CONSTANTS IN THE EQUATION (FUNCTION) = 0  
 THE NUMBER OF DATA COLUMNS = 2  
 THE NUMBER OF DATA OBSERVATIONS = 31

PARAMETERS: STARTING VALUE, LOWER AND UPPER BOUND

MU	.133243E+03	.120000E+03	.200000E+03
SIG	.947758E+01	.800000E+01	.700000E+02
P	.288020E+00	.200000E+00	.900000E+00
MU2	.171105E+03	.141000E+03	.230000E+03
SIG2	.337675E+02	.100000E+02	.100000E+03
Q	.200000E+00	.100000E+00	.900000E+00
MU3	.200000E+03	.100000E+03	.300000E+03
SIG3	.300000E+02	.100000E+02	.500000E+02

CONSTANTS ( NONE FOR THIS PROBLEM)

INDEXED DATA

Y	FREQ
95.00000	1.00000
105.00000	1.00000
110.00000	4.00000
115.00000	4.00000
120.00000	15.00000
125.00000	15.00000
130.00000	15.00000
135.00000	13.00000
140.00000	21.00000
145.00000	12.00000
150.00000	17.00000
155.00000	4.00000
160.00000	20.00000
165.00000	8.00000
170.00000	17.00000



175.00000	8.00000
180.00000	6.00000
185.00000	6.00000
190.00000	7.00000
195.00000	4.00000
200.00000	3.00000
205.00000	3.00000
210.00000	8.00000
215.00000	1.00000
220.00000	6.00000
225.00000	.00000
230.00000	5.00000
235.00000	1.00000
240.00000	7.00000
245.00000	1.00000
260.00000	2.00000

THE OPTIMAL VALUES OF THE UNKNOWNNS ARE

1 MU	=	.12878E+03
2 SIG	=	.10297E+02
3 P	=	.25475E+00
4 MU2	=	.15826E+03
5 SIG2	=	.21741E+02
6 Q	=	.15123E+00
7 MU3	=	.22224E+03
8 SIG3	=	.18505E+02

THE LEAST SQUARES VALUE IS = .11384E+04

THE GRADIENT IS      -.37851E-13      -.60924E-13      -.60966E-11      -.43141E-13  
 -.10113E-13

THE GRADIENT IS      -.76739E-11      .67502E-13      -.20073E-12

APPENDIX B:

ABBREVIATED OUTPUT FOR CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM ( $\tau_x=.01$ )

UNCO--CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM USING BARRIER FUNCTION  
UNCONSTRAINED PROBLEM  
HESSIAN FORMED EXPLICITLY

SOURCE- PP 253-254 OF NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING BY GPMCC

$C1 \cdot H + C2 \cdot H_2 + C3 \cdot H_2O + C4 \cdot N + C5 \cdot N_2 + C6 \cdot NH + C7 \cdot NO + C8 \cdot O +$   
 $C9 \cdot O_2 + C10 \cdot OH + RK \cdot (BARLN(H) + BARLN(H_2) + BARLN(H_2O)$   
 $+ BARLN(N) + BARLN(N_2) + BARLN(NH) + BARLN(NO) + BARLN(O)$   
 $+ BARLN(O_2) + BARLN(OH)) + ((H + 2 \cdot H_2 + 2 \cdot H_2O + NH + OH - B1) ** 2$   
 $+ (N + 2 \cdot N_2 + NH + NO - B2) ** 2 + (H_2O + NO + O + 2 \cdot O_2 + OH - B3) ** 2) / RK$   
 $- ENTROP(H + H_2 + H_2O + N + N_2 + NH + NO + O + O_2 + OH)$

+

$ENTROP(H) + ENTROP(H_2) + ENTROP(H_2O) + ENTROP(N) + ENTROP(N_2) + ENTROP(NH) + ENTROP(NO) +$   
 $ENTROP(O) + ENTROP(O_2) + ENTROP(OH) \$$

SINGLE CHARACTER OUTPUT STRING OF (FUNCTION) EQUATION

$C1 \cdot H + C2 \cdot H_2 + C3 \cdot H_2O + C4 \cdot N + C5 \cdot N_2 + C6 \cdot NH + C7 \cdot NO + C8 \cdot O + C9 \cdot O$   
 $2 + C10 \cdot OH + RK \cdot (BARLN(H) + BARLN(H_2) + BARLN(H_2O) + BARLN(N$   
 $) + BARLN(N_2) + BARLN(NH) + BARLN(NO) + BARLN(O) + BARLN(O_2)$   
 $+ BARLN(OH)) + ((H + 2 \cdot H_2 + 2 \cdot H_2O + NH + OH - B1) ** 2 + (N + 2 \cdot N_2$   
 $+ NH + NO - B2) ** 2 + (H_2O + NO + O + 2 \cdot O_2 + OH - B3) ** 2) / RK - ENTROP$   
 $(H + H_2 + H_2O + N + N_2 + NH + NO + O + O_2 + OH) + ENTROP(H) + ENTROP(H_2)$   
 $+ ENTROP(H_2O) + ENTROP(N) + ENTROP(N_2) + ENTROP(NH) + ENTRO$   
 $P(NO) + ENTROP(O) + ENTROP(O_2) + ENTROP(OH) \$$

NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS, CONSTANTS, QUANTITIES, AND OBSERVATIONS

THE NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS IS = 10

THE NUMBER OF SYMBOLIC CONSTANTS IN THE EQUATION (FUNCTION) = 14

THE NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES = 0

THE NUMBER OF DATA OBSERVATIONS = 0

STARTING VALUES FOR UNKNOWNNS

H	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
H2	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
H2O	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
N	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
N2	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
NH	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
NO	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
O	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
O2	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
OH	.100000E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02

CONSTANTS

C1 -.608900E+01  
C2 -.171640E+02  
C3 -.340540E+02  
C4 -.591400E+01

C5     -.247210E+02  
 C6     -.149860E+02  
 C7     -.241000E+02  
 C8     -.107080E+02  
 C9     -.266620E+02  
 C10    -.221790E+02  
 B1     .200000E+01  
 B2     .100000E+01  
 B3     .100000E+01  
 RK     .100000E-01

VARIABLES

THE OPTIMAL VALUES OF THE UNKNOWNNS ARE

1 H       =     .49942E-01  
 2 H2      =     .15007E+00  
 3 H2O     =     .79116E+00  
 4 N       =     .66461E-02  
 5 N2      =     .50722E+00  
 6 NH      =     .50182E-02  
 7 NO      =     .38836E-01  
 8 O       =     .28460E-01  
 9 O2      =     .52818E-01  
 10 OH     =     .11177E+00

THE LEAST SQUARES VALUE IS =     -.48702E+02

THE GRADIENT IS     -.22860E-07     -.28291E-07     -.22719E-08     -.10537E-03  
                   -.94860E-09

THE GRADIENT IS     -.67860E-05     -.49977E-09     -.20685E-09     -.51097E-07  
                   -.49182E-07



## APPENDIX C:

PARTIAL OUTPUT FOR CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM ( $r_k = .001$ )

UNCO--CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM USING BARRIER FUNCTION  
UNCONSTRAINED PROBLEM  
HESSIAN FORMED EXPLICITLY

SOURCE- PP 253-254 OF NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING BY GPMCC (RK=.001)

C1\*H+C2\*H2+C3\*H2O+C4\*N+C5\*N2+C6\*NH+C7\*NO+C8\*O+  
C9\*O2+C10\*OH +RK\*(BARLN(H)+BARLN(H2)+BARLN(H2O)  
+BARLN(N) + BARLN(N2)+BARLN(NH)+BARLN(NO)+BARLN(O)  
+BARLN(O2) +BARLN(OH) )+((H+2. \*H2+2. \*H2O+NH+OH-B1)\*\*2  
+(N +2. \*N2 +NH +NO-B2)\*\*2 +(H2O+NO+O+2. \*O2+OH-B3)\*\*2)/RK  
-ENTROP(H+H2+H2O+N+N2+NH+NO+O+O2+OH)  
+ENTROP(H)+ENTROP(H2)+ENTROP(H2O)+ENTROP(N)+ENTROP(N2)+ENTROP(N2)+ENTROP(NH)  
+ENTROP(NO)+ENTROP(O) + ENTROP(O2)+ ENTROP(OH) \$  
SINGLE CHARACTER OUTPUT STRING OF (FUNCTION) EQUATION  
C1\*H+C2\*H2+C3\*H2O+C4\*N+C5\*N2+C6\*NH+C7\*NO+C8\*O+C9\*O  
2+C10\*OH+RK\*(BARLN(H)+BARLN(H2)+BARLN(H2O)+BARLN(N  
) +BARLN(N2)+BARLN(NH)+BARLN(NO)+BARLN(O)+BARLN(O2)  
+BARLN(OH))+( (H+2. \*H2+2. \*H2O+NH+OH-B1)\*\*2+(N+2. \*N2  
+NH+NO-B2)\*\*2+(H2O+NO+O+2. \*O2+OH-B3)\*\*2)/RK-ENTROP  
(H+H2+H2O+N+N2+NH+NO+O+O2+OH)+ENTROP(H)+ENTROP(H2)  
+ENTROP(H2O)+ENTROP(N)+ENTROP(N2)+ENTROP(NH)+ENTROP  
(NO)+ENTROP(O)+ENTROP(O2)+ENTROP(OH) \$

NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS, CONSTANTS, QUANTITIES, AND OBSERVATIONS  
THE NUMBER OF UNKNOWNNS IS = 10  
THE NUMBER OF SYMBOLIC CONSTANTS IN THE EQUATION (FUNCTION) = 14  
THE NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES = 0  
THE NUMBER OF DATA OBSERVATIONS = 0

## STARTING VALUES FOR UNKNOWNNS

H	.499420E-01	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
H2	.150070E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
H2O	.791160E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
N	.664610E-02	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
N2	.507220E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
NH	.501820E-02	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
NO	.388360E-01	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
O	.284600E-01	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
O2	.528180E-01	.100000E-04	.100000E+02
OH	.111770E+00	.100000E-04	.100000E+02

## CONSTANTS

C1 -.608900E+01  
C2 -.171640E+02  
C3 -.340540E+02  
C4 -.591400E+01  
C5 -.247210E+02  
C6 -.149860E+02



C7 -.241000E+02  
C8 -.107080E+02  
C9 -.266620E+02  
C10 -.221790E+02  
B1 .200000E+01  
B2 .100000E+01  
B3 .100000E+01  
RK .100000E-02

THE OPTIMAL VALUES OF THE UNKNOWNNS ARE

THE CURRENT VALUES OF THE UNKNOWNNS ARE

1 H	=	.41726E-01
2 H2	=	.14829E+00
3 H2O	=	.78409E+00
4 N	=	.22263E-02
5 N2	=	.48779E+00
6 NH	=	.86349E-04
7 NO	=	.28593E-01
8 O	=	.19089E-01
9 O2	=	.38759E-01
10 OH	=	.98314E-01

THE LEAST SQUARES VALUE IS = -.47850E+02

THE GRADIENT IS -.20583E-09 -.21088E-08 -.17735E-10 -.20692

THE GRADIENT IS -.13664E+02 -.10834E-09 -.24742E-08 -.68331

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. <b>BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET</b> <i>(See instructions)</i>	<b>1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.</b> NISTIR 89-4159	<b>2. Performing Organ. Report No.</b>	<b>3. Publication Date</b> SEPTEMBER 1989
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b>  FACTUNC: A USER-FRIENDLY SYSTEM FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION			
<b>5. AUTHOR(S)</b> Richard H.F. Jackson; Garth P. McCormick; Ariela Sofer			
<b>6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION</b> <i>(If joint or other than NBS, see instructions)</i>  NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE GAITHERSBURG, MD 20899		<b>7. Contract/Grant No.</b>	<b>8. Type of Report &amp; Period Covered</b>
<b>9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS</b> <i>(Street, City, State, ZIP)</i>			
<b>10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Summary, is attached.			
<b>11. ABSTRACT</b> <i>(A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here)</i> FACTUNC is a system for solving unconstrained minimization problems based on the concept of factorable programming. This concept enables the user to provide the problem function and data in a user friendly way and does not require user-supplied derivatives. The system utilizes the factorable function concept to obtain the first and second derivatives required for unconstrained optimization. In all cases derivatives are obtained rapidly and accurately (up to roundoff errors due to machine precision), as opposed to finite differencing.  As a system for nonlinear minimization, FACTUNC allows several options. First the user can solve regression (nonlinear least squares) problems by providing the regression equation and the data for the dependent and independent variables. The second option allows for the minimization of the sum of an indexed function. The user provides the function, and the indexed data. This can be used for example to solve maximum likelihood estimation problems when the user provides the negative of the (weighted) log of the frequency function and the data. The third option is simply to minimize a function supplied by the user. Utilizing barrier function methodology, this third option can sometimes be used to solve constrained problems.			
<b>12. KEY WORDS</b> <i>(Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons)</i>  FACTUNC; machine; optimization; precision; programming; regression			
<b>13. AVAILABILITY</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> For Official Distribution. Do Not Release to NTIS <input type="checkbox"/> Order From Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order From National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA. 22161		<b>14. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES</b>  36	<b>15. Price</b>  \$12.95





