A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEM FOR PHYSICAL DATABASE DESIGN - VOLUME II

Christopher E. Dabrowski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National institute of Standards and Technology National Computer Systems Laboratory Information Systems Engineering Division Gaithersburg, MD 20899

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director



QC 100 .U56 #89-4139 v.2 1989 C.2

NISTIR 89-4139 (Volume 2)

NISTC OCISIO USG USG V.2 C.2

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEM FOR PHYSICAL DATABASE DESIGN - VOLUME II

Christopher E. Dabrowski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Institute of Standards and Technology National Computer Systems Laboratory Information Systems Engineering Division Galthersburg, MD 20899

August 1989



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director



A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEM FOR PHYSICAL DATABASE DESIGN

VOLUME II

Christopher E. Dabrowski

National Computer Systems Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology

ABSTRACT

Volume II of this report lists the rules of the Knowledge-Based System for Physical Database Design. The volume consists of five Appendices containing rules; one for each of the major knowledge bases. A table of contents is provided to locate the Appendix for each knowledge base as well as to locate specific rule groups within knowledge bases. Volume I of this report contains explanatory text for each knowledge base and for each rule group.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPE	NDIX A: RULES FOR THE CONTROL MODULE KNOWLEDGE BASE	1
	Rules For Section 3.1> Control Module Action Rule	
	Group.	1
	Part A Of Section 3.1> Determining Basic	_
	Control Module Actions	1
	Part B OI Section 3.1> Determining More	-
	Complicated Control Module Actions	5
	Individual Clusters	0
	Part A Of Section 3.2> Initial Decision Pules	9
	For Clusters	9
	Part B Of Section 3.2> Follow Up Decision Rules	2
	On A Cluster.	15
	Rules For Section 3.3> Characterization Of	
	Individual Clusters	17
	Part A Of Section 3.3> Characterizations Of	
	Size And Workload Complexity	17
	Part B Of Section 3.3> Rules For Concluding	
	Priority Of Clusters On The Basis Of	
	Different Factors.	19
	Part C Of Section 3.3> Four Rules For	
	Determining Number Of Skeletons To Generate	
	Within A Cluster	21
DDF	NDTY B. DIILES FOD THE ENTITY DELATIONSHID ANALYSIS	
ALT DI	KNOWLEDGE BASE	23
	Rules For Section 4.4> Structural Characterization	23
	Rule Group.	23
	Part A Of Section 4.4> Partially Identifying	
	Relationships And Entity Dependency.	23
	Part B Of Section 4.4> Entity Types And Entity	
	Characterizations Based On Types	25
	Part C Of Section 4.4> Relationship Degree	30
	Part D Of Section 4.4> Structural	
	Characterizations For Relationships.	32
	Part E OI Section 4.4> Miscellaneous Structural	2.4
	Dulog For Soction (5> The Entity Activity	34
	Charactorization Pulo Crown	26
	Part A Of Section 4 5> The Characterization	20
	Rules	36
	Part B Of Section 4.5> Rules Concluding	20
	Frequency Totals For Direct Activity On	
	Entities	39
	Rules For Section 4.6> Relationship Activity	
	Characterization Rule Group	42
	Part A Of Section 4.6> Rules For Concluding	
	Characterizations About Absolute Activity	
	Levels For A Relationship.	42
	Part B Of Section 4.6> Rules For Concluding	

Characterizations About Forwarding	16
Part C Of Section 4.6> Rules For Determining	40
Frequency Totals For Relationship Activity	47
Rule Group.	51
Part A Of Section 4.7> Rules Which Identify	
On The Basis Of Activity Type And Level	51
Part B Of Section 4.7> Rules Which Characterize	
Relationships As Having Conflicting Retrieval	51
	54
APPENDIX C: RULES FOR THE REPRESENTATION SELECTION KNOWLEDGE	
BASE	59
Characterizations Proposal Rule Group	59
Rules For Section 5.5> The Structural	
Rules For Section 5.6> The Activity Characterization	66
Proposal Rule Group.	70
Rules For Section 5.7> The Activity Characterization	
"do Not Use" Rule Group	74
Proposal Rule Group	78
Part A Of Section 5.8> Complex Representations	
For Relationships With Characterizations	
Relationship Activity And The Existence Or	
Absence Of Conflicting Context Situations	78
Part B Of Section 5.8> Complex Representations	
For Relationships With Nonforwarding	01
Rules For Section 5.9> Reasonable Representation	01
Selection Rule Group.	84
Part A Of Section 5.9> Rules For Initial	
Use In Subsequent Design Actions	84
Part B Of Section 5.9> Rules For Translating	•••
Initial Reasonable Representations Into	
Representations Used By Target DBMS Being	96
Rules For Section 5.10> Rules For Restriction Of	00
Relationship Representations	90
Part A Of Section 5.10> Rules For Selecting	
Relationship Restriction.	90
Part B Of Section 5.10> Rules For Selecting	
Relationship Representations To Produce The	
Initial Skeleton For Selective Skeleton Generation	95
	55

APPENDIX D: RULES FOR THE CLUSTER DIVISION KNOWLEDGE BASE . Rules For Section 6.1> The Cluster Division Control	96
Rules For Section 6.2> The Bond Relationship	96
Selection Rule Group.	99
Groups.	104
Part A Of Section 6.3> Breakpoint Selection Rule Group Number One	104
Part B Of Section 6.3> Breakpoint Selection Rule Group Number Two.	107
Part C Of Section 6.3> Breakpoint Selection Rule Group Number Three.	109
Part D Of Section 6.3> Breakpoint Selection	111
Part E Of Section 6.3> The Top Level Breakpoint	111
Selection Rules	112
APPENDIX E: RULES FOR THE SKELETON GENERATION KNOWLEDGE BASE Rules For Section 7.2> Rules For Control Of Skeleton	114
Generation By The Control Module.	114
Group For Skeleton Generation.	119
Part A Of Section 7.3> Determining How Many Skeletons To Generate During Selective	
Skeleton Generation.	119
Part C Of Section 7.3> Determining Phase Part C Of Section 7.3> Determining Whether Or Not To Continue Selective Skeleton Generation	121
For A Cluster. \ldots Skeleton Selection Rule	124
Group	127
Skeleton To Work On	127
For Fine-tuning By The Detailed Design	100
Rules For Section 7.5> Relationship Selection Rule	128
Group	130
Combined Phase	130
Phase.	135
Part C Of Section 7.5> Conclusion Of Prevent_alteration Fact Expressions	137
Part D Of Section 7.5> Top Level Rules For Selecting Relationships.	142
Rules For Section 7.6> Selection Of Alternate	1 4 4
Rules For Section 7.7> The Skeleton Analysis Rule	144

	G	roup.	• •				•		•	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	153
	Rules	For	Sec	tion	7.8	>	3	he	De	esi	gn	St	cruc	ctur	ce .	
	E	valuat	tion	Rule	Group			•			• •		• •		•	160
					-											
GLC	SSARY .															163

APPENDIX A

RULES FOR THE CONTROL MODULE KNOWLEDGE BASE

RULES FOR SECTION 3.1 --> CONTROL MODULE ACTION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 3.1 --> DETERMINING BASIC CONTROL MODULE ACTIONS.

THIS RULE STARTS INITIAL PROCESSING BY INVOKING THE ENTITY RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION KNOWLEDGE BASE AND REPRESENTATION SELECTION KNOWLEDGE BASE.

*** RULE CM ACTION 1 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE START PROCESSING)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION INVOKE_INITIAL_RULE_GROUPS INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

THE NEXT TWO RULES DETERMINE WHICH CLUSTER TO WORK ON NEXT, REQUESTING AN INITIAL OR FOLLOW-UP DECISION FOR A DESIGN ACTION TO PERFORM. THIS WILL RESULT IN INVOCATION OF THE CLUSTER DECISION RULE GROUP.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_2 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE NONE)
 (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION GET_INITIAL_DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

```
*** RULE CM_ACTION_3 ***
```

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE RESTRICT-COMPLETE)
 (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION GET_FOLLOW_UP_DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT FIVE RULES DETERMINE WHAT ACTION TO TAKE ON A CLUSTER BASED ON THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE CLUSTER DECISION RULE GROUP. THE CLUSTER_STATUS FACT EXPRESSION REFLECTS THE DECISION WHICH HAS BEEN MADE FOR THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_4 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE CM_ACTION_5 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION ENUMERATE_SKELETONS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.8

*** RULE CM_ACTION_6 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.7

*** RULE CM_ACTION_7 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE DIVIDE_CLUSTER)
(USER-MESSAGE ALL-CLUSTERS BREAK-DIVIDE)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION DETERMINE_BREAKPOINTS_AND_FORM_CLUSTERS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

2

*** RULE CM_ACTION_8 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID IN_DIVIDE_PROCESS *DIVISION_UNSUCCESSFUL*)
(USER-MESSAGE ALL-CLUSTERS BREAK-DIVIDE)
(COULD NOT CONCLUDE (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_4))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION DETERMINE_BREAKPOINTS_AND_FORM_CLUSTERS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF NEW CLUSTERS WERE CREATED AFTER A SUCCESSFUL DIVIDE OPERATION, THEN ADD THE NEW CLUSTERS TO THE DATABASE AND PERFORM OTHER NECESSARY OPERATIONS.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_9 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID IN_DIVIDE_PROCESS CLUSTERS_FORMED)
(CLUSTER-SET ?CHILD_CLUSTER_SET ?CLUSTER_ID)
(WORK_ON_DIVIDED_CLUSTERS ?CHILD_CLUSTER_SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENTS-WORK-ON-SET ?CHILD_CLUSTER_SET ?CLUSTER_SET ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION COMPUTE_NEW_CLUSTER_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

THE NEXT TWO RULES DETERMINE WHEN TO END DESIGN ACTIVITY ON A PROBLEM AND INVOKE FILE ORGANIZATION PROGRAMS FOR DETAILED DESIGN.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_10 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET WORK_COMPLETE GENERATED_CANONICAL_RECORDS)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION INVOKE FILE_ORGANIZATION_MODULES FOR_DETAILED_DESIGN)

*** RULE CM_ACTION_11 ***

.

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET DIVIDE_COMPLETE CLUS-DONE) THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION INVOKE FILE_ORGANIZATION_MODULES FOR_DETAILED_DESIGN)

.

PART B OF SECTION 3.1 --> DETERMINING MORE COMPLICATED CONTROL MODULE ACTIONS.

THE FIRST FIVE RULES PERTAIN TO DETERMINING TO REVISIT A CLUSTER FOR FURTHER SKELETON GENERATION.

THIS RULE DETERMINES THE OF NUMBER OF EXTRA SKELETONS LEFT TO GENERATE AMONG THE CLUSTERS OF THE PARENT CLUSTER SET. THESE ARE "EXTRA" SKELETONS LEFT OVER FROM SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION. THESE "EXTRA" SKELETONS CAN SUBSEQUENTLY BE ALLOCATED TO ONE OF THE CLUSTERS.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_12 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
(NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?EXTRA_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL SUBTRACT2 ?EXTRA_NUMBER ?NUMBER_GENERATED ?LEFT_IN_CLUSTER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *GET-NUM-GEN* ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED_FOR_SET)
(CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID)
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED_IN_PARENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?NUMBER_GENERATED_IN_PARENT ?NUMBER_GENERATED_FOR_SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL SUBTRACT2 ?NUMBER_GENERATED_IN_PARENT ?NUMBER_GENERATED_FOR_SET ?EXTRA_TO_GENERATED ?)

THEN (CLUSTER-RESERVE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?LEFT_IN_CLUSTER ?EXTRA_TO_GENERATE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

DETERMINE THAT CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IS ELIGIBLE TO BE REVISITED FOR ADDITIONAL SKELETON GENERATION.

*** RULE CM ACTION 13 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID WORK_COMPLETE GENERATED_CANONICAL_RECORDS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (CLUSTER-RESERVE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?CLUS-LEFT ?TOT-LEFT))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (FOLLOW-UP-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS))

THEN (ELIGIBLE_FOR_REVISIT ?CLUSTER_ID)

THIS RULE DETERMINES TO REVISIT TO CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID FOR SKELETON GENERATION IF ALL CLUSTERS WITHIN A CLUSTER SET HAVE UNDERGONE RECORD FORMATION, THE CLUSTER HAS NOT BEEN REVISITED, AND IT IS ELIGIBLE TO BE REVISITED. SEE RULE CM_ACTION_13 FOR DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO REVISIT.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_14 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS-NOT* (CLUSTER_SET_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_SET_ID NO-SKEL-DETERM CHAR))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID WILL_NOT_REVISIT))
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (ELIGIBLE_FOR_REVISIT ?CLUSTER_ID))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION RETURN-INC-SKEL-GEN ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES THERE IS A CLUSTER WITHIN A CLUSTER SET FOR WHICH RECORD GENERATION IS NOT YET COMPLETE.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_15 ***

IF (CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID)
(CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DECISION_ACTIVE ?STAGE)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?STAGE (NONE RESTRICT RESTRICT-COMPLETE DIVIDE INC-SKEL-GEN))

THEN (CLUSTER_SET_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RECORD_FORMATION_INCOMPLETE CHAR)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

DETERMINE NOT TO REVISIT TO CLUSTER FOR ADDITIONAL SKELETON GENERATION IF THE CLUSTER IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO BE REVISITED. THIS WILL RESULT IN ADDITION OF A MESSAGE TO THE DATABASE STATING THE CLUSTER SHOULD NOT BE REVISITED.

*** RULE CM ACTION 16 ***

IF (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID WORK_COMPLETE GENERATED_CANONICAL_RECORDS)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID WILL_NOT_REVISIT))
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS-NOT* (ELIGIBLE_FOR_REVISIT ?CLUSTER_ID))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION ADD-NO-INC-SKEL-GEN ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

THE NEXT FOUR RULES PERTAIN TO CLUSTER RECOMBINATION.

THE NEXT THREE RULES CONCLUDE RECOMBINATION IS NECESSARY FOR TWO CLUSTERS IF THEY ARE ELIGIBLE, A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CLUSTERS HAS SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF ACTIVITY, AND A BREAKPOINT WAS SELECTED BETWEEN THEM. RULES DIFFER ON THE BASIS OF CERTAINTY FACTORS WHICH ARE DETERMINED BY THE LEVEL OF RESTRICTIVENESS OF THE BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE.

```
*** RULE CM_ACTION_17 ***
```

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CLUSTERS BREAK-DIVIDE)
(INTERSECT-READY-FOR-PROCESS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID)
(THERE_WAS_CONCLUDED (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID REGULAR-1 ?REAS))
(THERE_IS_ONE_OF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?OPS ?SIZE ?FREQ))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION FORM_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.333

*** RULE CM_ACTION_18 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CLUSTERS BREAK-DIVIDE)
(INTERSECT-READY-FOR-PROCESS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(THERE_IS_ONE_OF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?OPS ?SIZE ?FREQ))
(CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID)
(THERE WAS CONCLUDED (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL ID ?PARENT-CLUSTER ID REGULAR-2 ?REAS))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION FORM_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

*** RULE CM ACTION 19 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CLUSTERS BREAK-DIVIDE)
(INTERSECT-READY-FOR-PROCESS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(THERE_IS_ONE_OF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?OPS ?SIZE ?FREQ))
(CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID)
(THERE_WAS_CONCLUDED (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID ?PARENT-CLUSTER_ID ?LEVEL ?REAS))
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?LEVEL (REGULAR-3 REGULAR-4 DESPERATION))

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION FORM_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)

THIS RULE DETERMINES THAT TWO CLUSTERS ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RECOMBINATION. IT FIRES FOR TWO ADJACENT CLUSTERS WHICH HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN RECOMBINED AND WILL NOT BE REVISITED. ALSO, THE CONNECTING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO CLUSTERS MUST HAVE MORE THAN ONE REASONABLE REPRESENTATION.

*** RULE CM.ACTION_20 ***

IF (ADJACENT_CLUSTERS ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE (?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2) INTERSECTION_CLUSTER_FORMED))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE (?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_ID1) INTERSECTION_CLUSTER_FORMED))
(MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID1 WILL_NOT_REVISIT)
(MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID2 WILL_NOT_REVISIT)
(THERE_WAS_CONCLUDED (CONNECTING_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ONE_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID))

THEN (INTERSECT-READY-FOR-PROCESS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS RULE DETERMINES THAT COMPLETE RECOMBINATION IS REQUIRED.

*** RULE CM_ACTION_21 ***

IF (INTERSECTION_CLUSTERS ?INTNAME ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)
 (LOW_COST_SKELETON_IN_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?INTNAME ?S ?REL_ID)

THEN (CLUSTER-ACTION COMPLETELY_RECOMBINE ?INTNAME (?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2))

RULES FOR SECTION 3.2 --> MAKING DECISIONS ON INDIVIDUAL CLUSTERS.

PART A OF SECTION 3.2 ---> INITIAL DECISION RULES FOR CLUSTERS.

THE FIRST SIX RULES CONCERN DECISIONS ABOUT CLUSTER DIVISION. THE FIRST THREE RULES DETERMINE WHEN TO PROHIBIT DIVISION.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_1 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?SET)
(CLUSTER-SET ?SET ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?CLUSTER_ID2)
(INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID2 RULE_GROUP_4)

THEN (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SET MOST_RESTRICTIVE_MODE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

PROHIBIT DIVISION IF THE CLUSTER IS AN INTERSECTION CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_2 ***

```
IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER )
```

THEN (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

PROHIBIT DIVISION IF A MESSAGE EXISTS SPECIFYING THE CLUSTER MAY NOT BE DIVIDED.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_3 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID DO_NOT_DIVIDE)
 (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET)

THEN (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET USER_SPECIFIED)

DIVIDE A CLUSTER IF IT IS CHARACTERIZED AS EXTREMELY LARGE AND CERTAIN CONCLUSIONS PROHIBITING DIVISION ARE NOT IN FORCE. THIS RULE WILL FIRE UNTIL ALL ATTEMPTS AT DIVISION HAVE FAILED.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_4 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE)
(CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PARENT_CLUSTER_SET ?PAR-CG)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID USER_SPECIFIED))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID INTERSECTION_CLUSTER))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID AGGREGATE_IN_FORCE))

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DIVIDE_CLUSTER)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

DIVIDE A CLUSTER IF IT IS CHARACTERIZED AS TOO LARGE BUT NOT EXTREMELY LARGE, AND THERE IS NO CONCLUSION OF ANY KIND PROHIBITING DIVISION.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_5 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID OVER-MAXIMUM)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?ANY_REASON))

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID DIVIDE_CLUSTER)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

DIVIDE THE INITIAL CLUSTER IF IT HAS MORE THAN ONE ROOT ENTITY AND NO CONCLUSIONS PROHIBITING DIVISION EXIST.

*** RULE CLUSTER DECISION 6 ***

IF (CLUSTER INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET) (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET MULTIPLE_ROOT_ENTITIES_IN_CG) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET ?ANY_REASON))

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET DIVIDE_CLUSTER)

THE NEXT FOUR RULES CONCERN INITIAL DECISIONS TO RESTRICT RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS WITHIN A CLUSTER. RESTRICT RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS FOR A CLUSTER IF THE USER SPECIFIES THIS ACTION.

```
*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_7 ***
```

```
IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID )
 (USER-MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS )
```

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5 -

RESTRICT REPRESENTATIONS IF THE CLUSTER IS NOT TOO LARGE, THERE IS NO SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY WITHIN THE CLUSTER, AND NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS MORE THAN THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE.

```
*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_8 ***
```

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

RESTRICT RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS IF THE CLUSTER IS LARGE BUT NOT EXTREMELY LARGE, AND THERE IS A NO CONCLUSION PROHIBITING DIVISION.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_9 ***

```
IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID )
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID OVER-MAXIMUM )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE) )
(REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?ANY_REASON )
```

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)

RESTRICT RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS FOR AN INTERSECTION CLUSTER WHICH IS LARGE.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_10 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER) (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER OVER-MAXIMUM)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THE NEXT FOUR RULES CONCERN INITIAL DECISIONS TO GENERATE SKELETONS SELECTIVELY WITHIN A CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER DECISION 11 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (USER-MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

GENERATE SKELETONS SELECTIVELY IF THE CLUSTER HAS SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY, IT IS TOO LARGE BUT NOT EXTREMELY LARGE, AND CLUSTER DIVISION IS PROHIBITED.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_12 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(THERE_IS_ONE_OF (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID OVER-MAXIMUM)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE))
(REASON_NOT_TO_DIVIDE ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?ANY_REASON)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

GENERATE SKELETONS SELECTIVELY IF THE USER EXPLICITLY PROHIBITS DIVIDING THE CLUSTER AND THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS GREATER THAN THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_13 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(USER-MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID DO_NOT_DIVIDE)
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

GENERATE SKELETONS SELECTIVELY IF THE CLUSTER IS NOT TOO LARGE BUT THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS GREATER THAN THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE, AND THE NUMBER IS MORE THAN 36.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_14 ***

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS.)

THE NEXT TWO RULES CONCERN INITIAL DECISIONS TO ENUMERATE SKELETONS.

ENUMERATE SKELETONS IF THE CLUSTER IS NOT TOO LARGE BUT THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS LESS THAN THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_15 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

ENUMERATE SKELETONS IF THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 36.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_16 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 36 ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

IF THE CLUSTER HAS JUST ONE ENTITY, CONSIDER RECORD GENERATION TO BE COMPLETED.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_17 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUM-ENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS 1 ?NUM-ENT)

THEN (INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID WORK_COMPLETE)

```
PART B OF SECTION 3.2 --> FOLLOW UP DECISION RULES ON A CLUSTER.
```

ENUMERATE SKELETONS FOR CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID.IF THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IN THE CLUSTER IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 36.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_18 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 36 ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)

THEN (FOLLOW-UP-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

ENUMERATE SKELETONS IF THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS LESS THAN THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE.

*** RULE CLUSTER_DECISION_19 ***

```
IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID )
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE )
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER )
```

THEN (FOLLOW-UP-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)

SELECTIVELY GENERATE SKELETONS IF THE INITIAL DECISION FOR THE CLUSTER WAS TO RESTRICT RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS, THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS FOR THE CLUSTER IS GREATER THAT THE ALLOTTED NUMBER TO GENERATE, AND THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SKELETONS IS MORE THAN 36.

*** RULE CLUSTER DECISION 20 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(INITIAL-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID RESTRICT_REPRESENTATIONS)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER 36)

THEN (FOLLOW-UP-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)

RULES FOR SECTION 3.3 --> CHARACTERIZATION OF INDIVIDUAL CLUSTERS.

PART A OF SECTION 3.3 --> CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SIZE AND WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY.

CHARACTERIZE A CLUSTER AS "OVER THE LIMIT" IF IT HAS MORE THAN 256 SKELETONS, THE NUMBER OF ENTITIES IS LARGER THAN A USER SPECIFIED MAXIMUM, AND THE CLUSTER HAS AT LEAST 25% OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ENTITIES IN THE PROBLEM.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_1 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER 256)
(NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUM_ENT_IN_CLUSTER)
(USER_SPECIFIED_SIZE MAXIMUM ?MAXIMUM_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?NUM_ENT1 ?MAXIMUM_NUMBER)
(NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER INITIAL-CG ?NUM_ENT_IN_INITIAL)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM_ENT_IN_CLUSTER ?NUM_ENT2_IN_INITIAL ?QUOTIENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?QUOTIENT 0.25)

THEN (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID OVER-MAXIMUM)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

CHARACTERIZE A CLUSTER AS HAVING "EXCESSIVE SIZE" IF IT HAS MORE THAN 256 SKELETONS AND HAS MORE ENTITIES THAN THE NUMBER OF ENTITIES SPECIFIED BY THE USER AS BEING EXTREMELY LARGE.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_2 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?POSSIBLE_NUMBER 256)
(NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUM_ENT_IN_CLUSTER)
(USER_SPECIFIED_SIZE EXTREMELY_LARGE ?SUPER_LARGE_NUMBER)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?NUM_ENT_IN_CLUSTER ?SUPER_LARGE_NUMBER)

THEN (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE)

THE NEXT TWO RULES IDENTIFY CLUSTERS AS HAVING SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY BASED ON THE EXISTENCE OF INDIVIDUAL RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATIONS WITHIN THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_3 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID) (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID) (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?PROB-TYPE SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PROB-TYPE (2WAY-TRAFFIC-1-M CONTEXT-CONFLICT DESC-USAGE MANY_TO_ONE_ACTIVITY_ONLY INDEPENDENT-DESC-COMPONENT-UPDATE))

THEN (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_4 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUM-REL)
(NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUM-ENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM-REL ?NUM-ENT ?RATIO)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?RATIO 1.2)

THEN (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS A RULE CHARACTERIZING A CLUSTER AS HAVING MORE THAN ONE ROOT ENTITY. THE FUNCTION "COUNT-E" SUMS THE NUMBER OF ROOT ENTITIES IN THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER CHAR 5 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(THERE_IS_ONE_OF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTNAME *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*))
(FUNCTION-CALL *NUMBER-CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITIES* ?CLUSTER_ID ?N)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N 1)

THEN (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID MULTIPLE_ROOT_ENTITIES_IN_CG)

PART B OF SECTION 3.3 --> RULES FOR CONCLUDING PRIORITY OF CLUSTERS ON THE BASIS OF DIFFERENT FACTORS.

CLUSTER PRIORITY IS A NUMERIC VALUE PROVIDED BY THE CERTAINTY FACTOR. THIS IS A RULE FOR CONCLUDING PRIORITY FOR A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_6 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.75

THIS IS A RULE FOR CONCLUDING PRIORITY FOR AN EXTREMELY LARGE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_7 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID) (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.75

THE NEXT THREE RULES CONCLUDE PRIORITIES FOR CLUSTERS WITH SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY ALONG RELATIONSHIPS. THE ASSOCIATED CERTAINTY FACTORS DIFFER BY THE PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL RELATIONSHIPS IN THE ENTIRE PROBLEM WHICH ARE WITHIN THE CLUSTERS.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_8 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
(NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS)
(NUM-REL-CLUS-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUM_REL_IN_SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS ?NUM_REL_IN_SET ?QUOTIENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *** ?QUOTIENT 0.5)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_9 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
(NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS)
(NUM-REL-CLUS-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUM_REL_IN_SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS ?NUM_REL_IN_SET ?QUOTIENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?QUOTIENT 0.2)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* 0.5 ?QUOTIENT)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.333

*** RULE CLUSTER CHAR_10 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
(NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS)
(NUM-REL-CLUS-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?NUM_REL_IN_SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUMBER_REL_IN_CLUS ?NUM_REL_IN_SET ?QUOTIENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 0.2 ?QUOTIENT)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.25

THIS IS A RULE CONCLUDING A LOW PRIORITY FOR A CLUSTER IF IT HAS SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY ALONG ONE OF ITS RELATIONSHIPS. THIS RULE WILL FIRE ONCE FOR EACH SUCH RELATIONSHIP WITHIN A CLUSTER, INCREASING THE TOTAL PRIORITY FOR THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_11 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL ?CHAR SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

THEN (CLUSTER_PRIORITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

PART C OF SECTION 3.3 --> FOUR RULES FOR DETERMINING NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE WITHIN A CLUSTER.

IF THE NUMBER OF RELATIONSHIPS IN THE CLUSTER IS THREE OR LESS, GENERATE ONLY TWO SKELETONS.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_12 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 3 ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS)

THEN (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

FOR AN INTERSECTION CLUSTER, GENERATE 1.5 TIMES THE NUMBER OF RELATIONSHIPS IN THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_13 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS 3)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?NUMBER_RELATIONSHIPS 1.5 ?NUMBER TO GENERATE)

THEN (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

FOR A NON-INTERSECTION CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID WITH NO SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY, THE ALLOTTED NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE SHOULD BE PROPORTIONAL TO:

(NUMBER OF RELATIONSHIPS IN ?CLUSTER_ID / TOTAL NUMBER IN THE PROBLEM, E.G. THE INITIAL CLUSTER).

*** RULE CLUSTER CHAR 14 ***

THEN (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

FOR A NON-INTERSECTION CLUSTER WITH SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY, THE NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO BE GENERATED SHOULD BE 1.5 TIMES THE PROPORTION: (NUMBER OF RELATIONSHIPS IN ?CLUSTER_ID / TOTAL NUMBER IN THE PROBLEM, E.G. THE INITIAL CLUSTER).

*** RULE CLUSTER_CHAR_15 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER-SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?CLUSTER-SET TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
(CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER-SET SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
(NUM-REL-CLUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER-SET ?NUM-REL)
(NUM-REL-CLUS INITIAL-CG INITIAL-SET ?NUM-REL-INIT-CLUS)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM-REL ?NUM-REL-INIT-CLUS ?PROPORTION)
(FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?NUM-REL-INIT-CLUS ?PROPORTION ?FIRST_NUM)
(FUNCTION-CALL INTMULT2 1.5 ?FIRST_NUM ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

THEN (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

APPENDIX B

RULES FOR THE ENTITY RELATIONSHIP ANALYSIS KNOWLEDGE BASE

RULES FOR SECTION 4.4 --> STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 4.4 --> PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS AND ENTITY DEPENDENCY.

THIS IS A RULE FOR CONCLUDING THAT A RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTOR IS A PARTIAL IDENTIFIER OF AN ENTITY.

*** RULE STRUCT_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (IDENTIFIER ?ENT2 PRIMARY ?REL_DESC_NAME)

THEN (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENT2 ?REL_ID)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN ENTITY HAS TWO DIFFERENT PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE STRUCT 2 ***

IF (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1) (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID2) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)

THEN (TWO-PRIMARY-IDENTIFYING-RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)

THIS RULE CONCLUDES THAT AN ENTITY IS DEPENDENT ON ANOTHER ENTITY ON THE BASIS OF A PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE STRUCT_3 ***

IF (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENT1 ?REL_ID)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 1 ?N)

THEN (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT1 ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN ENTITY IS DEPENDENT ON ANOTHER ON THE BASIS OF A NUMERIC DEPENDENCY FACTOR.

*** RULE STRUCT_4 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-INFORMATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ENTITY-DEPENDENCY-FACTOR ?STR)
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?STR 0.75)

THEN (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.75

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN ENTITY IS DEPENDENT ON ANOTHER BASED ON INFORMATION EXPLICITLY PROVIDED IN THE PROBLEM STATEMENT.

*** RULE STRUCT_5 ***

IF (GIVEN-DEPENDENT-ON ?ENT1 ?ENT2)

THEN (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT1 ?ENT2)

PART B OF SECTION 4.4 --> ENTITY TYPES AND ENTITY CHARACTERIZATIONS BASED ON TYPES.

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN INDEPENDENT TYPE ENTITY. AN INDEPENDENT ENTITY IS DEFINED BY HAVING AN IDENTIFIER COMPOSED OF ONE OR MORE OF ITS OWN ATTRIBUTES, WITH AT LEAST ONE ENTITY ATTRIBUTE THAT IS NOT PART OF THE IDENTIFIER. IN ADDITION, THE ENTITY MUST HAVE NO PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE STRUCT 6 ***

IF (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ALL-ITEMS-FORM-IDENTIFIER-FOR ?ENTITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INDEPENDENT-ENTITY*)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

CONCLUDE AN ENTITY ATTRIBUTE IS PART OF THE IDENTIFIER.

*** RULE STRUCT_7 ***

IF (IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY PRIMARY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME) (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE)

THEN (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

CONCLUDE THAT ALL OF THE ATTRIBUTES OF AN ENTITY FORM ITS IDENTIFIER, WITH NO ATTRIBUTES WHICH ARE NOT PART OF THE IDENTIFIER.

*** RULE STRUCT_8 ***

IF (IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY PRIMARY ?ATTR1) (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTR1 ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (NON-IDENTIFIER-ATTRIBUTE ?ENTITY ?ATTR2))

THEN (ALL-ITEMS-FORM-IDENTIFIER-FOR ?ENTITY)

CONCLUDE AN ENTITY HAS AT LEAST ONE ATTRIBUTE WHICH IS NOT IN THE IDENTIFIER, E.G. A NON-IDENTIFYING ATTRIBUTE.

*** RULE STRUCT_9 ***

IF (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTR ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY PRIMARY ?ATTR))

THEN (NON-IDENTIFIER-ATTRIBUTE ?ENTITY ?ATTR)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE CHARACTERIZING A ROOT ENTITY, E.G. AN INDEPENDENT ENTITY WITH AT LEAST ONE DEPENDENT ENTITY.

*** RULE STRUCT_10 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INDEPENDENT-ENTITY*) (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (DEPENDS-ON ?ANOTHER-ENTITY ?ENTITY))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN AGGREGATE TYPE ENTITY. AN AGGREGATE ENTITY IS DEFINED BY HAVING AN IDENTIFIER COMPOSED OF ALL OF ITS ATTRIBUTES WITH NO ATTRIBUTES WHICH ARE NOT PART OF THE IDENTIFIER. IN ADDITION, THE ENTITY MUST HAVE NO PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE STRUCT_11 ***

IF (ALL-ITEMS-FORM-IDENTIFIER-FOR ?ENTITY) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*)
THIS RULE PROVIDES A CHARACTERIZATION FOR AN AGGREGATE ENTITY WHICH RECEIVES DIRECT RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY.

*** RULE STRUCT 12 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*) (RETRIEVE-CONTEXT ?QUERY 1 ?ENTITY INITIAL ?PROPORTION ?FREQUENCY ?CODE ?FORWARD)

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A DEPENDENT TYPE ENTITY. A DEPENDENT ENTITY IS DEFINED BY HAVING AN IDENTIFIER COMPOSED OF AT LEAST ONE OF ITS ATTRIBUTES AND ONE AND ONLY ONE PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE STRUCT_13 ***

IF (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1) (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TWO-PRIMARY-IDENTIFYING-RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A INTERSECTION TYPE ENTITY. AN INTERSECTION ENTITY IS DEFINED BY HAVING AN IDENTIFIER WITH TWO OR MORE PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE STRUCT 14 ***

IF (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1) (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID2) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL ID1 ?REL ID2)

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*)

THIS IS A RULE CHARACTERIZING AN ENTITY AS BEING AN INTERSECTION ENTITY WITH NO ATTRIBUTES OF ITS OWN.

*** RULE STRUCT 15 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INTERSECTION-NO-ATTRIBUTES*)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP FOR AN INTERSECTION ENTITY. THIS CONCLUSION IS USED BY THE CLUSTER DIVISION EXPERT TO PREVENT USING REL_ID AS A BREAK POINT.

*** RULE STRUCT_16 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*) (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID *INTERSECTION* CHARACTERIZATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES A LEAF ENTITY AS BEING AN INDEPENDENT OR DEPENDENT ENTITY WHICH HAS ONLY ONE RELATIONSHIP. IT IS THEREFORE AT THE "FRINGE" WHERE IT IS A LEAF OF THE LDS.

*** RULE STRUCT_17 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENTITY 1 ?M)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY ?TYPE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?TYPE (*INDEPENDENT-ENTITY* *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTITY ?ENT2 1 M))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTITY ?ENT2 1 1))

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY LEAF_ENTITY)

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES A DEPENDENT ENTITY WHICH IS THE FOCUS OF DIRECT LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY, WHERE THE PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTOR IS IN THE SELECTION CRITERIA OF THE LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL. THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE IDENTIFIER ATTRIBUTES MUST BE EXPLICITLY REPRESENTED IN THE RECORD TO MAKE THE RETRIEVAL POSSIBLE.

*** RULE STRUCT_18 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENTITY ?ENT2)
 (RETRIEVE-CONTEXT ?QUERY 1 ?ENTITY INITIAL ?PROPORTION ?FREQUENCY ?CODE ?FORWARD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?PROPORTION 0.1)
 (CONTEXT-SELECTION-CRITERIA ?QUERY 1 ?ENTITY ?SELECT_CRIT)
 (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENTITY ?DESC)
 (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?SELECT_CRIT ?DESC)

THEN (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *DEPENDENT-ENTITY-HAS-LG-SUB-ACTIVITY-WITH-SELECTION*)

```
PART C OF SECTION 4.4 --> RELATIONSHIP DEGREE.
```

THE FOLLOWING SIX RULES REPRESENT GENERALIZATIONS ABOUT RELATIONSHIP DEGREE FOR RELATIONSHIPS BASED ON THE ACTUAL RATIOS OF ENTITIES IN THE RELATIONSHIP E.G. 1 TO MANY ETC.

*** RULE STRUCT_19 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1)

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT_20 ***

```
IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1 )
```

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 1 1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT_21 ***

```
IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N )
   (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N 1 )
```

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT_22 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N 1)

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 M 1)

*** RULE STRUCT_23 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 ?N)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N 1)

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 M)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT_24 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 ?N)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N 1)

THEN (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 M 0)

PART D OF SECTION 4.4 --> STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR RELATIONSHIPS.

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF A RECORD RESULTING FROM THE ABSORPTION OF ?ENT2 INTO ?ENT1 ALONG ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID HAS A LENGTH LESS THAN 5% OF THE LENGTH OF THE TRACK, THEN A CHARACTERIZATION OF TRIVIAL RECORD SIZE FOR A MANY ENTITY SHOULD BE MADE FOR ?REL_ID. THIS RULE APPLIES ONLY TO NON-LEAF ENTITIES.

*** RULE STRUCT_25 ***

IF (DEVICE_LENGTH ?SYSTEM ?TR-LEN)
 (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?DEG)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?DEG 1)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY))
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT1 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1)
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?DEG ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL SUM2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES ?TR-LEN ?QUOT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 0.05 ?QUOT)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF A LEAF ENTITY WITH A CORRESPONDING REPEATING GROUP IS LESS THAN 5% OF TRACK, CONCLUDE THAT A SMALL RECORD WOULD BE FORMED FROM THE LEAF ENTITY.

*** RULE STRUCT_26 ***

IF (DEVICE_LENGTH ?SYSTEM ?TR-LEN)
 (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?DEG)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT1 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1)
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?DEG ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL SUM2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES ?TR-LEN ?QUOT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 0.05 ?QUOT)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF A RECORD RESULTING FROM THE ABSORPTION OF ?ENT2 INTO ?ENT1 ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID HAS A LENGTH GREATER THAN THE LENGTH OF A TRACK, THEN A CHARACTERIZATION OF RECORD SIZE EXCEEDING DEVICE LENGTH SHOULD BE MADE FOR ?REL_ID.

*** RULE STRUCT 27 ***

IF (DEVICE_LENGTH ?SYSTEM ?TR-LEN)
 (RELATIONSHIP-CARDINALITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?DEG)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT1 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1)
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?DEG ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES2 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL SUM2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES1 ?DEG-LEN2-PROD ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?REC-LENGTH_IN_BYTES ?TR-LEN)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_EXCEEDS_DEVICE_LENGTH CHARACTERIZATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE WHICH CONCLUDES THE LENGTH OF AN ENTITY BASED ON ITS ATTRIBUTES ONLY. IT CALLS A SUMMATION FUNCTION TO SUM THE LENGTH.

*** RULE STRUCT_28 ***

IF (ENTITY ?ENTITY) (FUNCTION-CALL SUM-VALS ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY 2 4 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES)

THEN (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENTITY ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES)

PART E OF SECTION 4.4 --> MISCELLANEOUS STRUCTURAL IDENTIFICATIONS.

THE NEXT THREE RULES CONCLUDE THAT ATTRIBUTES ARE PART OF RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTORS FOR INDEPENDENT AND DEPENDENT ENTITIES. THE RULES PROPAGATE ATTRIBUTES THROUGH TWO LEVELS OF CHAINS OF DEPENDENT ENTITIES. THE INFORMATION CONCLUDED BY THESE RULES IS USED TO DETERMINE THE IDENTIFIERS OF CANONICAL RECORDS BASED ON DEPENDENT ENTITIES.

*** RULE STRUCT 29 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?ENT2 ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

THEN (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME ?REL_ID ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT 30 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?PARENT_ENT ?ENTITY ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID)
 (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?PARENT_ENT ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

THEN (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME ?REL_ID ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE STRUCT 31 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID1 ?PARENT_ENT ?ENTITY ?REL_DESC_NAME1)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?PARENT_ENT *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*)
 (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ENTITY ?REL_ID1)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID2 ?GRAND_PAR_ENT ?PARENT_ENT ?REL_DESC_NAME2)
 (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?PARENT_ENT ?REL_ID2)
 (ATTRIBUTE-IS-PRIMARY-IDENTIFIER ?GRAND_PAR_ENT ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

THEN (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME2 ?REL_ID1 ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

THE FOLLOWING RULES CONCLUDE WHETHER AN ATTRIBUTE BELONGS IN A PRIMARY SEGMENT OR A SECONDARY SEGMENT. THE FACT EXPRESSION "CALC-PRIM-SEG-ITEM" IS COMPUTED BY A ROUTINE INVOKED BY THE CONTROL MODULE AT THE BEGINNING OF A SESSION. THE ROUTINE USES THE "EIGHTY-TWENTY" RULE FOR FREQUENCY TO DETERMINE PRIMARY SEGMENT NON-IDENTIFYING ATTRIBUTES.

*** RULE STRUCT_32 ***

IF (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE) (CALC-PRIM-SEG-ITEM ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?PRIM_FREQ ?TOTAL_FREQ)

THEN (PRIM-SEG-ITEM ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME EIGHTY-TWENTY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE CONCLUDES MEMBERSHIP IN THE PRIMARY SEGMENT OF AN IDENTIFYING ATTRIBUTE.

*** RULE STRUCT_33 ***

IF (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE) (IDENTIFIER ?ENTITY PRIMARY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)

THEN (PRIM-SEG-ITEM ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME EIGHTY-TWENTY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE CONCLUDES MEMBERSHIP IN THE SECONDARY SEGMENT.

*** RULE STRUCT_34 ***

IF (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PRIM-SEG-ITEM ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME EIGHTY-TWENTY))

THEN (SEC-SEG-ITEM ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME EIGHTY-TWENTY)

.

RULES FOR SECTION 4.5 --> THE ENTITY ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 4.5 --> THE CHARACTERIZATION RULES.

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF UPDATE ACTIVITY FOR AN ENTITY IF THE FREQUENCY OF INSERTS AND DELETES IS ABOVE A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL, AND EXCEEDS 10% OF FREQUENCY OF DIRECT ACTIVITY ON ENTITY.

*** RULE ENTACT_1 ***

IF (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME INSERT SINGLE ?UP-FREQ1)
 (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME DELETE SINGLE ?UP-FREQ2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL SUM2 ?UP-FREQ1 ?UP-FREQ2 ?UP-FREQ-SUM)
 (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL ALL-SIZE ?RET-FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?UP-FREQ-SUM ?RET-FREQ ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?QUOTIENT 0.1)
 (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY UPDATE SINGLE ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?UP-FREQ-SUM ?CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR CORRESPONDINGLY HEAVIER LEVELS OF ACTIVITY. THE FUNCTION *SCALE* IS USED TO DETERMINE THE CHARACTERIZATION.

*** RULE ENTACT_2 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME UPDATE SINGLE ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

CONCLUDE THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT DEGREE OF DIRECT SMALL SUBSET RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY ON ENTITY ?ENTNAME IF THE FREQUENCY OF THIS ACTIVITY EXCEEDS 10% OF TOTAL ACTIVITY FORWARDED TO ?ENTNAME THROUGH THE RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTNAME IS IN, AND THE SMALL SUBSET ACTIVITY IS ABOVE A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE ENTACT 3 ***

IF (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?SM-SUB_FREQ)
 (CARDINALITY ?ENTNAME ?CARDNUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES (?CARDNUM ?SM-SUB_FREQ 0.05) ?TOTAL)
 (REL_ACTIVITY_FORWARDED_TO_ENTITY ?ENTNAME ?REL-FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?TOTAL ?REL-FREQ ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QUOTIENT 0.1)
 (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?SM_SUB_CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SM-SUB_FREQ ?SM_SUB_CUTOFF FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR CORRESPONDINGLY HEAVIER LEVELS OF ACTIVITY. IT USES THE *SCALE* FUNCTION.

*** RULE ENTACT_4 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

CONCLUDE THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF DIRECT LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY ON ENTITY ?ENTNAME IF { FREQUENCY OF LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY * CARDINALITY OF ENTNAME} EXCEEDS 5% OF THE TOTAL ACTIVITY FORWARDED TO ?ENTNAME BY ALL THE RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTNAME IS IN, AND THE LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY IS ABOVE A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE ENTACT_5 ***

IF (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?LG-SUB_FREQUENCY)
 (CARDINALITY ?ENTNAME ?CARDNUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?CARDNUM ?LG-SUB_FREQUENCY ?NUM-ACCESSED)
 (REL_ACTIVITY_FORWARDED_TO_ENTITY ?ENTNAME ?REL-FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM-ACCESSED ?REL-FREQ ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QUOTIENT 0.05)
 (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?LG_SUB_CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?LG-SUB_FREQUENCY ?LG_SUB_CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A CORRESPONDING RULE FOR HEAVIER LEVELS OF ACTIVITY. IT USES THE *SCALE* FUNCTION.

*** RULE ENTACT_6 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

PART B OF SECTION 4.5 --> RULES CONCLUDING FREQUENCY TOTALS FOR DIRECT ACTIVITY ON ENTITIES.

THE NEXT RULE CALLS A FUNCTION *TOTAL_RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY* TO TOTAL ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON ENTITY ALONG ALL RELATIONSHIPS THE ENTITY IS IN.

*** RULE ENTACT_7 ***

IF (ENTITY ?ENTITY_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL *TOTAL_RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY* ?ENTITY_NAME ?TOTAL_FREQUENCY)

THEN (REL_ACTIVITY_FORWARDED_TO_ENTITY ?ENTITY_NAME ?TOTAL_FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT FOUR RULES CONCLUDE TOTAL FREQUENCIES OF DIRECT ACTIVITY ON ENTITIES FOR DIFFERENT SUBSET SIZES AND TOTAL ACTIVITY. THEY CALL FUNCTIONS TO GET THE TOTAL.

*** RULE ENTACT 8 ***

IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?ENTNAME SINGLE ?FREQUENCY)

THEN (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL SINGLE ?FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

```
*** RULE ENTACT_9 ***
```

IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?ENTNAME SMALL-SUBSET ?FREQUENCY)

THEN (DIRECT ACTIVITY ON ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?FREQUENCY)

```
*** RULE ENTACT_10 ***
```

```
IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME )
(FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?ENTNAME LARGE-SUBSET ?FREQUENCY )
```

THEN (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE ENTACT 11 ***

IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?ENTNAME ALL-SIZE ?FREQUENCY)

THEN (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME RETRIEVAL ALL-SIZE ?FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES CONCLUDE TOTAL FREQUENCIES OF INSERTS AND DELETES. THEY ALSO CALL FUNCTIONS TO GET THE TOTAL.

```
*** RULE ENTACT_12 ***
```

IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-INSERTION-FREQUENCY ?ENTNAME ?FREQUENCY)

THEN (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME INSERT SINGLE ?FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE ENTACT_13 ***

IF (ENTITY ?ENTNAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-ENTITY-DELETION-FREQUENCY ?ENTNAME ?FREQUENCY)

THEN (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENTNAME DELETE SINGLE ?FREQUENCY)

RULES FOR DETERMINING MINIMUM LEVELS OF DIRECT ACTIVITY ON ENTITIES FOR DIFFERENT TYPES OF ACTIVITIES.

IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REC-ACT-CUT* RETRIEVAL SINGLE ?CUTPOINT)

THEN (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY RETRIEVAL SINGLE ?CUTPOINT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE ENTACT_15 ***

```
IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REC-ACT-CUT* RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?CUTPOINT )
```

THEN (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?CUTPOINT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE ENTACT_16 ***

```
IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REC-ACT-CUT* RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?CUTPOINT )
```

THEN (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?CUTPOINT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE ENTACT_17 ***

IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REC-ACT-CUT* INSERT SINGLE ?CUTPOINT).

THEN (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY UPDATE SINGLE ?CUTPOINT)

```
NO CERTAINTY FACTOR
```

RULES FOR SECTION 4.6 --> RELATIONSHIP ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 4.6 --> RULES FOR CONCLUDING CHARACTERIZATIONS ABOUT ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY LEVELS FOR A RELATIONSHIP.

THE NEXT SIX RULES CHARACTERIZE ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY LEVELS FOR BOTH FORWARDING AND NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY. FORWARDING ACTIVITY IS OF THE TYPE "RETRIEVAL", NONFORWARDING IS "NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY".

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF SINGLE RECORD RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2 IF FREQUENCY OF ACTIVITY EXCEEDS A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE RELACT_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SINGLE ?FREQUENCY)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?TYPE (RETRIEVAL NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RETRIEVAL ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?FREQUENCY ?CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR CONCLUDING HEAVIER LEVELS OF ACTIVITY OF SINGLE RECORD RETRIEVAL ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID. SINGLE RECORD ACTIVITY ALONG THE RELATIONSHIP IS COMPARED WITH THE LEVEL OF THE TOTAL DIRECT ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON BOTH ENTITIES IN THE RELATIONSHIP. THE *SCALE* FUNCTION IS USED.

*** RULE RELACT 2 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SINGLE ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

THIS IS A RULE FOR CONCLUDING A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF SMALL SUBSET RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID. THE PRODUCT { 0.05 * SMALL SUBSET FREQUENCY * ENTITY CARDINALITY } IS COMPARED WITH THE LEVEL OF TOTAL DIRECT ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON BOTH ENTITIES IN THE RELATIONSHIP. THE SMALL SUBSET FREQUENCY MUST ALSO EXCEED A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE RELACT_3 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SMALL-SUBSET ?SM_SUB_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?TYPE (RETRIEVAL NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITIES_IN ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DIRECT_FREQUENCY)
 (CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?CARDNUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES (?CARDNUM ?SM_SUB_FREQ 0.05) ?NUM-ACCESSED)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM-ACCESSED ?DIRECT_FREQUENCY ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QUOTIENT 0.075)
 (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RETRIEVAL ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SM_SUB_FREQ ?CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SMALL-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR CORRESPONDINGLY HIGHER LEVELS OF SMALL SUBSET RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY FOR A RELATIONSHIP. THE *SCALE* FUNCTION IS USED.

*** RULE RELACT 4 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION FOR ?REL ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE SMALL-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY LEVEL)

CONCLUDE THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2 IF THE PRODUCT { FREQUENCY OF ACTIVITY * CARDINALITY OF ENT2} EXCEEDS 20% OF THE TOTAL DIRECT ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON THE ENTITIES IN THE RELATIONSHIP. LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY MUST BE ABOVE A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE RELACT_5 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE LARGE-SUBSET ?LG-SUB_FREQUENCY)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?TYPE (RETRIEVAL NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?CARDNUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?CARDNUM ?LG-SUB_FREQUENCY ?NUM-ACCESSED)
 (TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITIES_IN ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DIRECT_FREQUENCY)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?NUM-ACCESSED ?DIRECT_FREQUENCY ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QUOTIENT 0.2)
 (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RETRIEVAL ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?NUM-ACCESSED ?CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE LARGE-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR CORRESPONDINGLY HIGHER LEVELS OF LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY ALONG A RELATIONSHIP. THE *SCALE* FUNCTION IS USED TO DETERMINE THE ACTIVITY LEVEL.

*** RULE RELACT 6 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TYPE LARGE-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF UPDATE ACTIVITY FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IF THE FREQUENCY OF RELATIONSHIP MODIFICATION FOR ?REL_ID EXCEEDS 10% OF THE COMBINED FREQUENCY OF RETRIEVAL ALONG ?REL_ID. THE FREQUENCY OF RELATIONSHIP MODIFICATION MUST ALSO BE GREATER THAN A MINIMUM CUTOFF LEVEL.

*** RULE RELACT 7 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE ALL-SIZE ?FREQUENCY1)
 (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ALL-SIZE ?FREQUENCY2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?FREQUENCY1 ?FREQUENCY2 ?QUOTIENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QUOTIENT 0.1)
 (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?FREQUENCY1 ?CUTOFF_FREQ)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 UPDATE ALL-SIZE SIGNIFICANT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_8 ***

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 UPDATE ALL-SIZE ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)

PART B OF SECTION 4.6 --> RULES FOR CONCLUDING CHARACTERIZATIONS ABOUT FORWARDING PERCENTAGE.

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF FORWARDING ACTIVITY ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2 IF OVER 50% OF ACTIVITY ON ?ENT1 IS FORWARDED TO ?ENT2

*** RULE RELACT 9 ***

IF (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?SUBSET-SIZE ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PERCENT_THRU)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?PERCENT_THRU 0.5)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET-SIZE SIGNIFICANT FORWARDING %)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A MARGINAL LEVEL OF FORWARDING ACTIVITY ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2 IF BETWEEN 25% AND 50% OF ACTIVITY ON ?ENT1 IS FORWARDED TO ?ENT2.

*** RULE RELACT_10 ***

IF (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?SUBSET-SIZE ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PERCENT_THRU)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 0.5 ?PERCENT_THRU)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?PERCENT_THRU 0.25)

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET-SIZE MARGINAL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES HIGHER LEVELS OF FORWARDING ACTIVITY FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID.

*** RULE RELACT_11 ***

IF (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?SUBSET-SIZE ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PERCENT_THRU) (FUNCTION-CALL *SCALE* ?PERCENT_THRU ?FORWARDING_LEVEL (0.5 HIGH-THRU-ACT-0.6 HIGH-THRU-ACT-1 0.7 HIGH-THRU-ACT-2 0.85 HIGH-THRU-ACT-3))

THEN (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET-SIZE ?FORWARDING_LEVEL)

PART C OF SECTION 4.6 --> RULES FOR DETERMINING FREQUENCY TOTALS FOR RELATIONSHIP ACTIVITY.

THIS IS A RULE FOR DETERMINING TOTAL DIRECT ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON THE TWO ENTITIES IN A RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE RELACT_12 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL *TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY* ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TOTAL_DIRECT_FREQUENCY)

THEN (TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITIES_IN ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?TOTAL_DIRECT_FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT NINE RULES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTIVITY TOTALS ALONG RELATIONSHIPS. THE FIRST FIVE RULES (NUMBERS 13-17) CONCERN RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY, THE NEXT RULE (NUMBER 18) ADDRESSES UPDATE ACTIVITY, AND THE LAST THREE (NUMBERS 19-21) ARE FOR COMPUTATION OF FORWARDING PERCENTAGE. FUNCTIONS ARE CALLED TO PERFORM THE COMPUTATIONS.

*** RULE RELACT 13 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-RELATIONSHIP-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SMALL-SUBSET ?NUM1)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL SMALL-SUBSET ?NUM1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT 14 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-RELATIONSHIP-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?REL_ID ?ENT2 LARGE-SUBSET ?NUM1)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?NUM1)

*** RULE RELACT_15 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP-DESC-NAME-PROJECTION ?REL_DESC_NAME ?PROJ-DESC) (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY SMALL-SUBSET ?PROJ-DESC)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_16 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-RELATIONSHIP-RETRIEVAL-FREQ ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ALL-SIZE ?NUM1)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ALL-SIZE ?NUM1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR DETERMINING TOTAL NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY ON A RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTOR.

*** RULE RELACT 17 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DESC-NAME) (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (RETRIEVE-CONTEXT ?Q ?C ?TARGET-ENT ?REL_ID ?PROPORTION ?FREQUENCY ?SUBSET_SIZE DESC) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-RELATIONSHIP-DESCRIPTOR-PROJECTION-FREQUENCY ?REL_ID ?ENT2 UNKNOWN ?NF_FREQUENCY)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP-DESC-NAME-PROJECTION ?DESC-NAME ?NF_FREQUENCY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_18 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL TOTAL-RELATIONSHIP-MOD-FREQ ?REL_ID ?NUM1)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE ALL-SIZE ?NUM1)

*** RULE RELACT_19 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL *TOT-THRU-ACT* ?ENT1 ?REL_ID SINGLE ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

THEN (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SINGLE ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_20 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL *TOT-THRU-ACT* ?ENT1 ?REL_ID SMALL-SUBSET ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

THEN (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SMALL-SUBSET ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_21 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL *TOT-THRU-ACT* ?ENT1 ?REL_ID LARGE-SUBSET ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

THEN (FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 LARGE-SUBSET ?E1-ACT ?E2-ACT ?PER)

THE LAST TWO RULES DETERMINE MINIMUM CUTOFF POINTS FOR RETRIEVAL AND UPDATE ACTIVITY RESPECTIVELY.

*** RULE RELACT_22 ***

IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REL-ACT-CUT* RETRIEVAL ?CUTPOINT)

THEN (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RETRIEVAL ?CUTPOINT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELACT_23 ***

IF (FUNCTION-CALL *FIND-REL-ACT-CUT* RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE ?CUTPOINT)

THEN (MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE ?CUTPOINT)

RULES FOR SECTION 4.7 --> RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 4.7 --> RULES WHICH IDENTIFY RELATIONSHIPS WITH IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTICS ON THE BASIS OF ACTIVITY TYPE AND LEVEL.

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID AS HAVING A LOW FORWARDING PERCENTAGE FOR ALL TYPES OF ACTIVITY.

*** RULE RELCH_1 ***

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID LOW_FORWARDING_% CHARACTERIZATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES A RELATIONSHIP AS HAVING SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS.

*** RULE RELCH_2 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 SIGNIFICANT)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL ID BIDIRECTIONAL ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY)

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES A RELATIONSHIP AS HAVING ACTIVITY ONLY IN THE MANY TO ONE DIRECTION.

*** RULE RELCH_3 ***

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID MANY_TO_ONE_ACTIVITY_ONLY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A PROBLEM CHARACTERIZATION FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IF SIGNIFICANT NONFORWARDING CONTEXT ACTIVITY EXISTS IN ONE TO MANY DIRECTION.

*** RULE RELCH 4 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?ANY-DEGREE)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CHARACTERIZES A RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID AS HAVING ONLY DESCRIPTOR UPDATE ACTIVITY AND NO RETRIEVAL ACTIVITY.

*** RULE RELCH_5 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 UPDATE ALL-SIZE SIGNIFICANT)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE
 SIGNIFICANT))

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

THIS RULE IDENTIFIES RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID AS HAVING ATTRIBUTE COMPONENTS OF THE DESCRIPTOR WHICH ARE MODIFIED DIRECTLY AND INDEPENDENTLY, AND ARE NOT MODIFIED AS PART OF A RELATIONSHIP UPDATE ACTIVITY.

*** RULE RELCH 6 ***

- IF (MODIFICATION-CONTEXT ?QUERY ?CON-NUM ?ENT-NAME ?REL_ID ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?FREQUENCY ?CODE)
 (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME ?REL_ID ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY UPDATE SINGLE ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?FREQUENCY ?CUTOFF_FREQ)
- THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID INDEPENDENT-DESC-COMPONENT-UPDATE SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES IDENTIFY RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID AS HAVING A "MANY" ENTITY WHICH CAN BE ABSORBED ALONG TWO DIFFERENT RELATIONSHIPS BASED ON ACTIVITY FORWARDED ALONG BOTH RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE RELCH 7 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID1 ENTITY_IS_IN_TWO_M_RELATIONSHIPS CHARACTERIZATION) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID1 ?ENTA ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID2 ?ENTB ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 SIGNIFICANT)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID1 ALTERNATE-ABSORPTION CHARACTERIZATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE RELCH 8 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENTA ?ENT2 1 M) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTB ?ENT2 1 M) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID1 ENTITY_IS_IN_TWO_M_RELATIONSHIPS CHARACTERIZATION)

PART B OF SECTION 4.7 --> RULES WHICH CHARACTERIZE RELATIONSHIPS AS HAVING CONFLICTING RETRIEVAL CONTEXTS.

CHARACTERIZE A RELATIONSHIP AS HAVING SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY BECAUSE IT HAS CONFLICTING RETRIEVAL CONFLICTS.

*** RULE RELCH_9 ***

IF (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?REL_ID2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?REASON ?STRENGTH)

THEN (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT THREE RULES CONCLUDE CONFLICTING CONTEXT SITUATIONS OCCURRING ALONG A PAIR OF ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE SAME "MANY" ENTITY.

CONCLUDE THAT ENTITY ?ENT2 SHOULD NOT BE ABSORBED ALONG ?REL_ID1 BECAUSE ?REL_ID1 HAS NO ACTIVITY, WHILE ?REL-ID2 HAS AT LEAST A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF ACTIVITY. THE ?STRENGTH VARIABLE INDICATING THE STRENGTH OF THIS CONCLUSION WILL HAVE A HIGH VALUE.

*** RULE RELCH_10 ***

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 RELATIONSHIP-PHASE ?STRENGTH)

CONCLUDE THAT CONFLICTING CONTEXTS EXIST AND THAT ENTITY ?ENT2 SHOULD NOT BE ABSORBED ALONG ?REL_ID1 BECAUSE ?REL_ID1 HAS MUCH LESS ACTIVITY THAN ?REL_ID2. THE ?STRENGTH VARIABLE WILL HAVE A HIGH VALUE.

*** RULE RELCH_11 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?ENT2 1 M)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1 (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1))
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2 (HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL ID1 ?REL ID2)

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 RELATIONSHIP-PHASE HEAVY-4)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

CONCLUDE THAT ENTITY ?ENT2 SHOULD NOT BE ABSORBED ALONG ?REL_ID1 BECAUSE ?REL_ID1 HAS ABOUT THE SAME LEVEL OF ACTIVITY AS ?REL_ID2. THE ?STRENGTH VARIABLE WILL ALSO HAVE A A LOW VALUE. THIS RULE WOULD PROBABLY FIRE FOR BOTH ?REL_ID1 AND ?REL_ID2, REDUCING THE STRENGTH OF ABSORPTION FOR BOTH RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE RELCH 12 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?ENT2 1 M)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1 (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2 (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *APPROXIMATE-EQUAL-LEVEL* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *ACTIVITY-DIFFERENCE* ?ACTIVITY LEVEL1 ?ACTIVITY LEVEL2 ?STRENGTH)

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 RELATIONSHIP-PHASE ?STRENGTH)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

55

THIS RULE RECOGNIZES CONFLICTING CONTEXTS BETWEEN DIFFERING ORDERING CRITERIA AND RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTORS FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID. IT RECOMMENDS AGAINST ABSORBING ALONG ?REL_ID.

*** RULE RELCH_13 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (ORDERING-CRITERIA ?ENT2 ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?MEASURE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?REL_DESC_NAME)

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ORDERING-CRITERIA HEAVY-2)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES CONCERN CONFLICTING CONTEXT SITUATIONS BETWEEN DIRECT ACTIVITY ON AN ENTITY AND A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP TO THAT ENTITY.

CONCLUDE THAT ENTITY ?ENT2 SHOULD NOT BE ABSORBED ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID BECAUSE ?REL_ID1 HAS ABOUT THE SAME LEVEL OF ACTIVITY AS DIRECT ACTIVITY FOCUSING ON ?ENT2. THIS DIRECT ACTIVITY MUST NOT CONTAIN THE DESCRIPTOR OF ?REL_ID AS A SELECTION CRITERIA. THE ?STRENGTH VARIABLE WILL HAVE A LOW VALUE.

*** RULE RELCH 14 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1)
 (RETRIEVE-CONTEXT ?QUERY 1 ?ENT2 INITIAL ?PROPORTION ?FREQUENCY NON-SING-RET ?FORWARD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?PROPORTION 0.1)
 (CONTEXT-SELECTION-CRITERIA ?QUERY 1 ?ENT2 ?SELECT_CRIT)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME ?REL_ID ?SELECT_CRIT))
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1 (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2 (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *APPROXIMATE-EQUAL-LEVEL* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL2 ?STRENGTH)

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DIRECT-ACTIVITY ?STRENGTH)

THIS RULE CONCLUDES THAT IF ?ENT2 HAS A HIGH LEVEL OF DIRECT LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL, ?ENT2 IS IN RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID, AND ?REL_ID DOES NOT HAVE SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY, A CONFLICTING CONTEXT EXISTS AND ABSORPTION SHOULD NOT TAKE PLACE ALONG ?REL_ID. THE ?STRENGTH VARIABLE WILL HAVE A HIGH VALUE.

*** RULE RELCH_15 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DIRECT-ACTIVITY ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE LAST TWO RULES ADDRESS ACTIVITY ON INTERSECTION ENTITIES ALONG PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS. THEY CONCLUDE STRONG ASSOCIATIONS FOR THE INTERSECTION ENTITY ALONG ONE OF ITS RELATIONSHIPS ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTENCE OF LOCAL ACTIVITY (RETRIEVALS WHICH USE ONLY THIS RELATIONSHIP AND NO OTHER) AND THE EXISTENCE OF HIGH FORWARDING PERCENTAGE. CERTAINTY FACTORS ARE DETERMINED BY THE FUNCTION *SELECT_INTERSECT_REL* WHICH COMPUTES RELATIVE FREQUENCIES OF ACTIVITY ALONG THE PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS.

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN ASSOCIATION ON THE BASIS OF LOCAL ACTIVITY (SEE ABOVE).

*** RULE RELCH 16 ***

THEN (ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID ?REL_WORKLOAD ?TOTAL_WORKLOAD ?REL%_WORKLOAD ?OTHER_PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIPS LOCAL-ACTIVITY)

THIS RULE CONCLUDES AN ASSOCIATION ON THE BASIS OF FORWARDING PERCENTAGE (SEE ABOVE).

*** RULE RELCH_17 ***

- THEN (ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID ?REL_WORKLOAD ?TOTAL_WORKLOAD ?REL%_WORKLOAD ?OTHER_PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIPS FORWARDING_PERCENTAGE)

APPENDIX C

RULES FOR THE REPRESENTATION SELECTION KNOWLEDGE BASE

```
RULES FOR SECTION 5.4 --> THE STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATIONS PROPOSAL RULE GROUP.
```

THE FIRST RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION OF A DEPENDENT LEAF ENTITY IF THE RESULTING RECORD WOULD NOT BE SMALL (SEE ENTITY RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION KNOWLEDGE BASE).

*** RULE PROP_S_1 ***

THEN (PROPOSE REPRESENTATION FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.6

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION OF A DEPENDENT LEAF ENTITY IF THE ABSORPTION WOULD RESULT IN A SMALL RECORD. THE CERTAINTY FACTOR IS MUCH HIGHER.

*** RULE PROP_S 2 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

FOR A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP, RECOMMEND ABSORPTION OF A DEPENDENT, NON-LEAF, "MANY" ENTITY ALONG A RELATIONSHIP WITH A MEDIUM CERTAINTY FACTOR.

*** RULE PROP_S_3 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

FOR A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP, PROPOSE A SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A DEPENDENT "MANY" ENTITY.

*** RULE PROP_S_4 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THE NEXT FOUR RULES CONCERN ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN INDEPENDENT ENTITIES. NOTE THE SPECIFIC EXCLUSION OF AGGREGATE ENTITIES. THE FOLLOWING RULE RECOMMENDS A MANY TO ONE DIRECT POINTER WITH A MODERATE CERTAINTY FACTOR.

*** RULE PROP_S_5 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE PREVIOUS RULE, BUT RECOMMENDS A SYMBOLIC POINTER WITH A HIGHER CERTAINTY.

*** RULE PROP_S_6 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))
 (COULD NOT CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.6

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION OF AN INDEPENDENT "MANY" ENTITY IN A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP WITH A MEDIUM CERTAINTY FACTOR.

*** RULE PROP_S_7 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS RULE PROPOSES ABSORPTION OF INDEPENDENT, "MANY", LEAF ENTITY WITH HIGHER CERTAINTY.

*** RULE PROP_S_8 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS A SYMBOLIC POINTER TO AN AGGREGATE ENTITY, IN EFFECT REMOVING IT FROM THE PHYSICAL DESIGN, E.G. THE ENTITY WILL NOT BE REPRESENTED EXPLICITLY IN A RECORD.

*** RULE PROP_S_9 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?N 1)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.7

THIS RULE MODIFIES THE PREVIOUS RULE TO USE DIRECT POINTERS IF THE SYMBOLIC POINTER TO AN AGGREGATE ENTITY WOULD BE TOO LONG, RESULTING IN A LARGE RECORD. THE AGGREGATE ENTITY IS EXPLICITLY REPRESENTED AS A PHYSICAL RECORD.

*** RULE PROP_S_10 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*))
 (ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES ?ENT2 ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?LENGTH_IN_BYTES 250)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?N 1)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)
THE NEXT TWO RULES ADDRESS THE EXCEPTIONAL CASE IN WHICH THE AGGREGATE ENTITY HAS DIRECT ACTIVITY. IN THIS CASE, THE AGGREGATE ENTITY WOULD BE EXPLICITLY REPRESENTED AS A PHYSICAL RECORD.

*** RULE PROP_S_11 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

*** RULE PROP S 12 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ABSORBS ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THE NEXT THREE RULES CONCERN ONE TO ONE RELATIONSHIPS. THE FIRST RULE PLACES A SYMBOLIC POINTER IN THE ENTITY WITH THE HIGHER CARDINALITY.

*** RULE PROP_S_13 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1) (CARDINALITY ?ENT1 ?CARD1) (CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?CARD2) (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?CARD2 ?CARD1)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

THE NEXT RULE AMENDS THE PREVIOUS RULE, ALLOWING FOR A SYMBOLIC POINTER TO BE PLACED IN AN ENTITY WITH ONLY A SLIGHTLY LARGER CARDINALITY (IN THIS CASE BOTH RULES PROP_S_13 AND PROP_S_14 WOULD FIRE, PROVIDING AN EXTRA CHOICE FOR REPRESENTING THIS RELATIONSHIP IN SUBSEQUENT DESIGNS).

*** RULE PROP S 14 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1)
 (CARDINALITY ?ENT1 ?CARD1)
 (CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?CARD2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?CARD2 ?CARD1)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?CARD1 ?CARD2 ?QUOT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?QUOT 0.9)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.6

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS A DIRECT POINTER IN THE ENTITY WITH THE LOWER CARDINALITY TO ACCOUNT FOR HEAVY ACTIVITY ALONG A ONE TO ONE RELATIONSHIP. THE ENTITIES MUST NOT BE DEPENDENT ON EACH OTHER AND THE RELATIONSHIP MUST NOT BE UPDATED.

*** RULE PROP_S_15 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

THE NEXT THREE RULES COVERS THE SPECIAL CASE WHERE THE RELATIONSHIP MAY NOT EXIST E.G. THE RELATIONSHIP IS 0 TO MANY.

```
*** RULE PROP_S_16 ***
```

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 1 )
```

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

```
CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5
```

*** RULE PROP_S_17 ***

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 1 )
```

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

*** RULE PROP_S_18 ***

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 M )
```

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.7

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS A SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE SAME ENTITY.

*** RULE PROP_S_19 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?ENT1 ?ENT2)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

RULES FOR SECTION 5.5 --> THE STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATIONS "DO NOT USE" RULE GROUP.

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS NOT ABSORBING A ONE ENTITY INTO A MANY ENTITY. IT THUS PREVENTS REDUNDANT ABSORPTION OF AN ENTITY.

*** RULE PREV_S_1 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 M 1)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.9

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS AGAINST ABSORPTION OF AN ENTITY BY ITS DEPENDENT ENTITY.

*** RULE PREV_S_2 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT1 ?ENT2) (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.99

SIMILARLY, THIS RULE RECOMMENDS AGAINST USING A SYMBOLIC POINTER TO REPRESENT A RELATIONSHIP INVOLVING A DEPENDENT LEAF ENTITY.

*** RULE PREV_S_3 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)
 (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL PRODUCE-STRENGTH (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2) ?CERT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?CERT 0.7)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

THE NEXT RULE PROVIDES A COMPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATION TO RULE PROP_S_10 IN THE PREVIOUS RULE GROUP.

*** RULE PREV S 4 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-ENTITY*) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *AGGREGATE-WITH-DIRECT-ACTIVITY*))

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.99

THIS RULE IS THE COMPLEMENT TO PROP_S_13. IT PREVENTS REDUNDANT REPRESENTATION OF A SYMBOLIC POINTER.

*** RULE PREV_S_6 ***

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1 )
  (CARDINALITY ?ENT1 ?CARD1 )
  (CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?CARD2 )
  (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?CARD1 ?CARD2 )
  (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?CARD2 ?CARD1 ?QUOTIENT )
  (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 0.9 ?QUOTIENT )
```

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.75

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS AGAINST ABSORPTION IF THE RESULTING RECORD WOULD EXCEED A DEVICE LENGTH RESTRICTION. IT FIRES ONLY IF THE USER SPECIFIES SUCH RESTRICTIONS ARE IN FORCE.

*** RULE PREV_S_7 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_EXCEEDS_DEVICE_LENGTH CHARACTERIZATION)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS THAT DIRECT POINTERS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED ALONG PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS IF THE SIZE OF THE SYMBOLIC POINTER IS NOT LARGE. THE RULE APPLIES TO SELECTION OF GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS.

*** RULE PREV_S_8 ***

IF (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT ?REL_DESC_NAME ?REL_ID ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME)
 (ATTRIBUTE-INFORMATION ?ENTITY ?ATTRIBUTE_NAME ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH ?VOCAB_SIZE ?UPDATE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 100 ?ITEM_BYTE_LENGTH)

THEN (DBMS-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 1 M GENERIC)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.95

THIS RULE PROHIBITS ABSORPTION IF THE RELATIONSHIP MAY NOT EXIST IN ALL CASES, E.G. IT IS A ZERO TO ONE OR MANY RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE PREV_S_9 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 ?N)

THEN (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THE SAME PROHIBITION AS THE PRECEDING-RULE, BUT IS BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED IN A CODASYL DATABASE DESCRIPTION.

*** RULE PREV_S_10 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
 (OPTIONAL-IND-REL ?REL_ID ?PERCENT-IN-EXISTENCE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 0.999 ?PERCENT-IN-EXISTENCE)

THEN (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE PROHIBITS ABSORPTION ALONG A RELATIONSHIP IF THE RELATIONSHIP IS BETWEEN THE SAME ENTITY. THIS RULE MAY BE QUESTIONABLE.

*** RULE PREV_S_11 ***

.

IF (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?ENT1 ?ENT2)

THEN (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

RULES FOR SECTION 5.6 --> THE ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION PROPOSAL RULE GROUP.

THE NEXT FOUR RULES RECOMMEND ABSORPTION FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH CHARACTERIZATIONS OF HIGH LEVELS OF ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY AND HIGH FORWARDING PERCENTAGE. THE LEVEL OF CERTAINTY IS DETERMINED BY THE FUNCTION *WORK-STRENGTH*. THE VARIABLES ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL AND ?FORWARDING_LEVEL ARE USED TO REPRESENT THE LEVEL OF ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY AND FORWARDING PERCENTAGE RESPECTIVELY. THEY RANGE FROM LEVEL 0 (SIGNIFICANT) TO THE HEAVIEST LEVELS (3 AND 4).

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION ALONG A ONE TO MANY RELATIONSHIP -- ?REL_ID, IF ?REL_ID DOES NOT INVOLVE AN INTERSECTION OR LEAF ENTITY, AND THERE IS AT LEAST SIGNIFICANT SMALL SUBSET ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY AND SIGNIFICANT FORWARDING PERCENTAGE ALONG ?REL_ID.

*** RULE PROP_A_1 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID *INTERSECTION* CHARACTERIZATION))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY))
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 ?FORWARDING_LEVEL)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?FORWARDING_LEVEL (HIGH-THRU-ACT-0 HIGH-THRU-ACT-3))
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?SUBSET_SIZE1 (SM-SUB SINGLE))
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))

(FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *WORK-STRENGTH* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL ?FORWARDING_LEVEL 0.05 0.3)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE IS ALMOST THE SAME BUT PROPOSES A HIGHER CERTAINTY FACTOR FOR RELATIONSHIPS WHERE THE "MANY" ENTITY IS A LEAF ENTITY.

*** RULE PROP A 2 ***

.

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE CONDITIONS IN THIS RULE ARE SIMILAR TO RULE PROP_A_1 EXCEPT THAT ONE OF THE ENTITIES RECEIVES SIGNIFICANT TO HEAVY DIRECT LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL WHICH IS FORWARDED ALONG THE RELATIONSHIP. THIS RULE IS SPECIALIZED FOR NON-LEAF ENTITIES.

*** RULE PROP_A_3 ***

(FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *WORK-STRENGTH* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL ?FORWARDING_LEVEL 0.1 0.4)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE IS THE SAME AS THE PREVIOUS ONE (PROP_A_3) EXCEPT IT IS SPECIALIZED FOR LEAF ENTITIES AND THEREFORE HAS A HIGHER ASSOCIATED CERTAINTY FACTOR.

*** RULE PROP A 4 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENTX RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ENTX (?ENT1 ?ENT2))
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID *INTERSECTION* CHARACTERIZATION))
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET ?FORWARDING_LEVEL)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?FORWARDING_LEVEL (HIGH-THRU-ACT-0 HIGH-THRU-ACT-1
 HIGH-THRU-ACT-2 HIGH-THRU-ACT-3))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *WORK-STRENGTH* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL ?FORWARDING_LEVEL 0.4 0.9)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION ALONG ONE TO ONE RELATIONSHIPS IF ONE OF THE ENTITIES IS DEPENDENT ON THE OTHER, AND THERE EXISTS SIGNIFICANT TO HEAVY ABSOLUTE ACTIVITY AND FORWARDING PERCENTAGE ALONG THE RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE PROP A 5 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS ABSORPTION OF INTERSECTION ENTITY BASED ON STRENGTH OF ASSOCIATION ALONG A PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIP. THE ASSOCIATE_INTERSECT_ENTITY_WITH_REL FACT EXPRESSION IS USED. THE FUNCTION *FUN-COMP-STR* USES THE BERNOULLI FORMULA TO DETERMINE CERTAINTY.

*** RULE PROP_A 6 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?INT_ENT)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS A MANY TO ONE DIRECT POINTER IF THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL ACTIVITY IN MANY TO 1 DIRECTION ONLY.

*** RULE PROP A_7 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID MANY_TO_ONE_ACTIVITY_ONLY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 M 1)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS A MANY TO ONE SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A RELATIONSHIP WHERE THE RESULTING RECORD SIZE WOULD NOT BE SMALL, THE MANY ENTITY IS NOT A LEAF ENTITY, AND ACTIVITY IS LOW.

and the second sec

*** RULE PROP_A_8 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

RULES FOR SECTION 5.7 --> THE ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION "DO NOT USE" RULE GROUP.

THE FIRST THREE RULES RECOMMEND AGAINST USING ABSORPTION WHERE ONE OF THE TWO ENTITIES IN A RELATIONSHIP RECEIVES AT LEAST A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF DIRECT ACTIVITY AND FORWARDING PERCENTAGES ARE LOW. RESULTING RECORD SIZES ARE ALSO TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS AGAINST ABSORPTION ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IF ?REL_ID IS A 1 TO MANY RELATIONSHIP, ONE OF THE ENTITIES IN ?REL_ID IS SUBJECT TO DIRECT LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL, ?REL_ID DOES NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT FORWARDING PERCENTAGE, AND THE RECORD WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM ABSORPTION IS NOT TRIVIAL. THE FUNCTION *WORK-STRENGTH* IS USED TO COMPUTE THE CERTAINTY.

*** RULE PREV_A_1 ***

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS THE SAME AS THE PREVIOUS RULE BUT CONCERNS DIRECT SMALL SUBSET ACTIVITY ON RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID.

*** RULE PREV_A_2 ***

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS NOT USING A SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A RELATIONSHIP TO A DEPENDENT LEAF ENTITY WHERE THE LEVEL OF ACTIVITY ALONG THE RELATIONSHIP IS SIGNIFICANT. THE CERTAINTY FACTOR IS LOW.

*** RULE PREV_A_3 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*) (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT1 ?ENT2) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT) (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF ENTITY)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.2

THE NEXT RULE ADDRESSES RELATIONSHIPS TO INTERSECTION ENTITIES WITH LOW ACTIVITY. THE FACT EXPRESSION ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL IS USED.

*** RULE PREV 4 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?INT_ENT *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?INT_ENT 1 M)
 (ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID2 ?REL_ID2_WORKLOAD
 ?TOTAL_WORKLOAD ?REL%_WORKLOAD ?OTHER_PARTIAL_ID_RELS LOCAL-ACTIVITY)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?REL%_WORKLOAD 0.5)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *BERNOULLI-FORMULA* ?REL%_WORKLOAD)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?INT_ENT)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS AGAINST USING ABSORPTION WHERE A CONFLICTING CONTEXT SITUATION EXISTS TOGETHER WITH A RECOMMENDATION OF NOT ABSORBING ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID.

*** RULE PREV_A_5 ***

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

DO NOT USE A DIRECT POINTER FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2 UNLESS A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF ACTIVITY EXISTS FROM ?ENT1 TO ?ENT2.

*** RULE PREV_A_6 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?N 1) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT))

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.7

DO NOT ABSORB IF RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID HAS ONLY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE ONE TO MANY DIRECTION AND THE MANY ENTITY IS NOT SMALL. IN THIS CASE, A ONE TO MANY SYMBOLIC POINTER IS BETTER.

*** RULE PREV A 7 ***

```
IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE
SIGNIFICANT )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTA ?ENTB RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE
SIGNIFICANT) )
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY
CHARACTERIZATION) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *INTERSECTION-NO-ATTRIBUTES*) )
```

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

DO NOT USE DIRECT POINTERS IF HEAVY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY EXISTS. NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY FOCUSES ONLY ON THE RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTORS WHICH WILL NOT BE REPRESENTED IF DIRECT POINTERS ARE USED.

*** RULE PREV A 8 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

THE NEXT THREE RULES RECOMMEND NOT USING ABSORPTION IF RELATIONSHIP UPDATE ACTIVITY EXISTS AND IS LIKELY TO VIOLATE INTEGRITY CONSTRAINTS. THESE RULES MAY NEED FURTHER ELABORATION AND EXPANSION.

*** RULE PREV_A_9 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT1 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT) (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.25

RECOMMEND NOT ABSORBING ALONG A RELATIONSHIP WHICH WILL BE UPDATED IF THE ENTITIES ARE INDEPENDENT OF EACH OTHER.

*** RULE PREV_A_10 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTX1 ?ENTX2 UPDATE ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1))

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.333

RECOMMEND NOT ABSORBING ALONG A RELATIONSHIP WHERE THE ATTRIBUTES OF THE RELATIONSHIP DESCRIPTOR ARE UPDATED SEPARATELY.

*** RULE PREV_A_11 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID INDEPENDENT-DESC-COMPONENT-UPDATE SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.4

77

RULES FOR SECTION 5.8 --> THE COMPLEX REPRESENTATION PROPOSAL RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 5.8 --> COMPLEX REPRESENTATIONS FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH CHARACTERIZATIONS ABOUT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY BASED ON RELATIONSHIP ACTIVITY AND THE EXISTENCE OR ABSENCE OF CONFLICTING CONTEXT SITUATIONS.

RECOMMEND A SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZED AS BEING IN A CONFLICTING CONTEXT.

*** RULE PROP_CM_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS THAT ARE NOT IN CONFLICTING CONTEXTS, RECOMMEND ABSORPTION OF THE MANY ENTITY INTO THE ONE ENTITY.

*** RULE PROP_CM_2 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS, RECOMMEND A MANY TO ONE SYMBOLIC AND DIRECT POINTER.

*** RULE PROP_CM_3 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMB-&-DIR-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

FOR A RELATIONSHIP INVOLVED IN A CONFLICTING RETRIEVAL CONTEXT WHICH HAS LITTLE RETRIEVAL AND UPDATE ACTIVITY, RECOMMEND A MANY TO ONE DIRECT POINTER WITH A MODERATE CERTAINTY FACTOR.

*** RULE PROP_CM_4 ***

(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.25

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS BUT WITH NO SIGNIFICANT UPDATE ACTIVITY, RECOMMEND DIRECT POINTERS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS.

*** RULE PROP_CM_5 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIR-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2)

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH A ONE TO ONE DEGREE AND SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF ACTIVITY AND FORWARDING PERCENTAGE DIRECTED TO THE DEPENDENT ENTITY, RECOMMEND A DIRECT POINTER TO THE DEPENDENT ENTITY AND A SYMBOLIC POINTER IN THE DEPENDENT ENTITY.

*** RULE PROP_CM_6 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1) (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 ?FORWARDING_LEVEL) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?FORWARDING_LEVEL (HIGH-THRU-ACT-0 HIGH-THRU-ACT-1 HIGH-THRU-ACT-2 HIGH-THRU-ACT-3)) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4)) (FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *WORK-STRENGTH* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL ?FORWARDING_LEVEL 0.2 0.7)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO-&-SYMB-BACK ?ENT2)

•

PART B OF SECTION 5.8 --> COMPLEX REPRESENTATIONS FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH NONFORWARDING CONTEXTS.

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH SIGNIFICANT NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE ONE TO MANY DIRECTION, RECOMMEND ABSORPTION.

*** RULE PROP_CM_7 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY > (DEGREE-OF ?REL ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (PROPOSE REPRESENTATION FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.2

FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH SIGNIFICANT NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY, RECOMMEND A ONE TO MANY SYMBOLIC POINTER TOWARD THE ENTITY RECEIVING THE ACTIVITY. THE RULE SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDES ZERO TO ONE OR MANY RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE PROP_CM_8 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY
 ?SUBSET_SIZE ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?ACTIVITY_LEVEL (HEAVY-0 HEAVY-1 HEAVY-2 HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 0 ?N))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *FUN-COMP-STR* *WORK-STRENGTH* ?ACTIVITY LEVEL NONE 0.2 0.7)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS SYMBOLIC POINTERS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS FOR A RELATIONSHIP WITH HEAVY FORWARDING AND NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE ONE TO MANY DIRECTION.

*** RULE PROP CM 125 ***

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2)

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS SYMBOLIC POINTERS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS FOR A RELATIONSHIP WITH HEAVY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE ONE TO MANY DIRECTION AND HEAVY FORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE MANY TO ONE DIRECTION.

*** RULE PROP_CM_9 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE1
 SIGNIFICANT)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 SIGNIFICANT)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.25

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS SYMBOLIC POINTERS IN BOTH DIRECTIONS FOR A RELATIONSHIP WITH HEAVY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS.

*** RULE PROP CM 10 ***

IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS RULE PROPOSES A MANY TO ONE SYMBOLIC AND DIRECT POINTER IF HEAVY FORWARDING AND NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY EXIST IN THE MANY TO ONE DIRECTION, BUT NO NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY EXISTS IN THE ONE TO MANY DIRECTION.

```
*** RULE PROP CM 11 ***
```

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 M 1)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE1
SIGNIFICANT)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 SIGNIFICANT)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1
NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-&-DIR-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

THE NEXT TWO RULES ARE CONCERNED WITH ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS ALONG A RELATIONSHIP AND WITH NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY. PROPOSE A SYMBOLIC POINTER IN BOTH DIRECTIONS IF ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS EXISTS AND HEAVY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY IN THE ONE TO MANY ACTIVITY ALSO EXISTS.

*** RULE PROP_CM_12 ***

```
IF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE
SIGNIFICANT )
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M )
(RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY )
```

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

IF ACTIVITY IN BOTH DIRECTIONS EXISTS AND HEAVY ONE TO MANY NONFORWARDING ACTIVITY ALSO EXISTS, Recommend symbolic pointers in both directions and a many to one direct pointer.

*** RULE PROP CM 13 ***

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?SUBSET_SIZE
 SIGNIFICANT)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMB-&-DIR-POINTS-TO-&-SYMBOL-BACK ?ENT1)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

FOR AN INTERSECTION ENTITY WITH NO ATTRIBUTES, PROPOSE ONE TO MANY SYMBOLIC POINTERS. THIS WILL RESULT IN REMOVAL OF THE INTERSECTION ENTITY FROM THE PHYSICAL DESIGN.

*** RULE PROP_CM_14 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *INTERSECTION-NO-ATTRIBUTES*) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE ?FREQUENCY))

THEN (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2)

RULES FOR SECTION 5.9 --> REASONABLE REPRESENTATION SELECTION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 5.9 --> RULES FOR INITIAL SELECTION OF REASONABLE REPRESENTATIONS FOR USE IN SUBSEQUENT DESIGN ACTIONS.

THESE RULES COVER DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF PROPOSED_REPRESENTATION, DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION, AND ABSOLUTE_PREVENTS FACT EXPRESSIONS TO MAKE SELECTIONS. CERTAINTY FACTORS ARE OBTAINED BY COMBINING CERTAINTY FACTORS FROM THESE FACT EXPRESSIONS. DBMS_SUPPORT_AVAILABLE FACT EXPRESSIONS INDICATE WHICH REPRESENTATIONS ARE ALLOWABLE BY THE TARGET DBMS BEING DESIGNED FOR.

*** RULE REAS_1 ***

IF (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?REP-NAME ?ENT1 ?ENT2))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS_SUPPORT_AVAILABLE ?DBMS LINK-IMPLEMENTATION ?REP-NAME ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR ?DBMS SELECT)
 (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (DBMS-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?REP-NUMBER ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2 ?DBMS)

THEN (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DBMS)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE REAS_2 ***

IF (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS_SUPPORT_AVAILABLE ?DBMS LINK-IMPLEMENTATION ?REP-NAME ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR ?DBMS SELECT)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2))
 (DBMS-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?REP-NUMBER ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2 ?DBMS)

THEN (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DBMS)

*** RULE REAS_3 ***

IF (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS_SUPPORT_AVAILABLE ?DBMS LINK-IMPLEMENTATION ?REP-NAME ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR ?DBMS SELECT)
 (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DBMS-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2 ?DBMS))

THEN (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DBMS)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE REAS_4 ***

IF (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ABSOLUTE-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR ?DBMS SELECT)
 (DBMS_SUPPORT_AVAILABLE ?DBMS LINK-IMPLEMENTATION ?REP-NAME ?DEG1 ?DEG2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DBMS-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?REP-NUMBER ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DEG1 ?DEG2 ?DBMS))

THEN (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 ?DBMS)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE REAS_5 ***

IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR ?DBMS SELECT)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)

THEN (INITIAL_REASONABLE REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 ?DBMS)

PART B OF SECTION 5.9 --> RULES FOR TRANSLATING INITIAL REASONABLE REPRESENTATIONS INTO REPRESENTATIONS USED BY TARGET DBMS BEING DESIGNED FOR.

THE FIRST TWO RULES IDENTIFY REPRESENTATIONS FOR USE IN THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA DESIGN SYSTEM.

*** RULE DBMSREP_1 ***

IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR GENERIC DESIGN) (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2 GENERIC)

THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REP-NAME ?REP-NAME GENERIC)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP_2 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR GENERIC DESIGN) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?N 1) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY GENERIC))
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO SYMBOL-POINTS-TO GENERIC)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWELVE RULES PERFORM TRANSLATIONS INTO CODASYL SPECIFIC REPRESENTATIONS.

*** RULE DBMSREP 3 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL))
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 REP-ABSORB MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT CODASYL)

*** RULE DBMSREP_4 ***

- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 REP-ABSORB MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT-OWNER CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP 5 ***

IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN) (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP_6 ***

IF (DBMS~BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE SET-INDEX-OWNER CODASYL)

- *** RULE DBMSREP_7 ***
- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT-PRIOR CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP 8 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIR-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT))
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT-OWNER CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP 9 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN) (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIR-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2 CODASYL) (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE MEMBER-CHAIN-NEXT-OWNER-PRIOR CODASYL)

*** RULE DBMSREP_10 ***

IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIR-POINT-TO-EACH-OTHER ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?ENT2 UPDATE SINGLE SIGNIFICANT)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M⁻)

THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE SET-INDEX-OWNER CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP_12 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN) (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1)
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE SYMBOL-POINTS-TO CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP_13 ***

- IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN)
 (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL))
- THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE SET-INDEX-SEARCH CODASYL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DBMSREP_14 ***

IF (DBMS-BEING-DESIGNED-FOR CODASYL DESIGN) (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMB-&-DIR-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 CODASYL) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 SEPARATE SET-INDEX-SEARCH CODASYL)

RULES FOR SECTION 5.10 --> RULES FOR RESTRICTION OF RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS.

PART A OF SECTION 5.10 --> RULES FOR SELECTING RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS DURING RELATIONSHIP RESTRICTION.

.

THE FIRST FOUR RULES IDENTIFY RELATIONSHIPS WHICH MAY BE RESTRICTED TO ONE RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION OR FOR WHICH A RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION MAY BE ELIMINATED.

*** RULE RESTRICT_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)

THEN (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR RESTRICTING TO THE SINGLE REPRESENTATION WITH THE HIGHEST CERTAINTY FOR A RELATIONSHIP TO A NON-LEAF "MANY" ENTITY WITH VERY LOW ACTIVITY LEVELS.

*** RULE RESTRICT_2 ***

```
IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID )
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M )
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY) )
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTE ?ENTF ?TY ?SUBSET_SIZE SIGNIFICANT) )
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE
        (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENTA ?ENTB RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE
        SIGNIFICANT_FORWARDING_%) )
 (FUNCTION-CALL HIGHEST-CERT-RV
        (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY ?AB-VAR ?REP-NAME ?DBMS )
        ?REP-NAME ?CERT )
 (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REP-NAME SYMBOL-POINTS-TO )
```

```
THEN (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION
?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY NON-LEAF-ENTITY)
```

THIS IS A RULE FOR ELIMINATION OF A DIRECT POINTER AS A REPRESENTATION FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH NO ACTIVITY IN THE MANY TO ONE DIRECTION.

*** RULE RESTRICT_3 ***

THEN (ELIMINATE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT2 DIRECTLY-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 DIRECT-POINTER)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A RULE FOR ELIMINATING ABSORPTION AS A REPRESENTATION FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IF DEVICE LENGTH RESTRICTIONS ARE IN EFFECT AND ABSORPTION ALONG ?REL_ID WOULD CREATE A RECORD EXCEEDING DEVICE LENGTH LIMITS.

*** RULE RESTRICT_4 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M)
 (RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION ?REL ID RECORD EXCEEDS DEVICE LENGTH CHARACTERIZATION)

THEN (ELIMINATE_REPRESENTATION

?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT2 ABSORBS ?ENT1 RECORD_EXCEEDS_DEVICE_LENGTH)

THE NEXT FIVE RULES DO THE ACTUAL SELECTION OF RESTRICTED RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS USING THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE FIRST FOUR RULES.

THIS RULE ALWAYS RESTRICTS TO ABSORPTION IF ABSORPTION IS RECOMMENDED BY RULE RESTRICT_1 FOR A LEAF ENTITY

*** RULE RESTRICT_5 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID RESTRICTED-2) (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)

THEN (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE RESTRICTS A RELATIONSHIP TO ONE REPRESENTATION IF A RECOMMENDATION BY EITHER RULES RESTRICT_1 OR RESTRICT_2 EXIST, AND THE RELATIONSHIP DOES NOT HAVE SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY.

*** RULE RESTRICT_6 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID RESTRICTED-2)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?PROB SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY))
 (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY ?REASON)

THEN (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY)

THIS RULE SELECTS (POSSIBLY MORE THAN ONE REPRESENTATION) FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY WHICH CAN NOT BE RESTRICTED TO ONE RELATIONSHIP --- ABSORPTION. THIS RULE PREVENTS OVER-RESTRICTION FOR RELATIONSHIPS WHICH NEED TO BE WORKED ON.

*** RULE RESTRICT_7 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID RESTRICTED-2)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?PROB SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE
 (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY))
 (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?AB-VAR ?REP-NAME ?DBMS)

THEN (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS SIMILAR TO RULE RESTRICT_7, BUT FOR RELATIONSHIPS WITHOUT CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY. IT SELECTS REPRESENTATIONS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN ELIMINATED.

*** RULE RESTRICT 8 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID RESTRICTED-2)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?PROB SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE
 (RESTRICT_TO_ONE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAMEX ?ENTY ?REASON))
 (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?AB-VAR ?REP-NAME ?DBMS)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ELIMINATE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2
 ?REASON))

THEN (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT1 ?REP-NAME ?ENT2)

THIS IS A RULE SIMILAR TO RESTRICT_8, BUT INTENDED FOR CONNECTING RELATIONSHIPS IN TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTERS. THESE CONNECTING RELATIONSHIPS PREVIOUSLY CONNECTED THE CLUSTERS FROM WHICH THE TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER WAS FORMED AND THEREFORE SHOULD BE VARIED IN THE TEMPORARY CLUSTER. IT SELECTS ONLY REPRESENTATIONS WHICH CANNOT BE ELIMINATED.

*** RULE RESTRICT 9 ***

THEN (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY)

PART B OF SECTION 5.10 --> RULES FOR SELECTING RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS TO PRODUCE THE INITIAL SKELETON FOR SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION.

THE NEXT THREE RULES SELECT AN INITIAL SKELETON TO BEGIN SKELETON GENERATION.

*** RULE INITIAL_SKEL_1 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (PREF-ABS ?ENT ?REL_ID ?CERT1)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (SKEL-GEN-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 INFEASIBLE-RECORD-LENGTH))
 (FUNCTION-CALL HIGHEST-CERT-2
 (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY ?AB-VAR ?REP-NAME
 ?DBMS) ?CERT2)

THEN (INITIAL REP SKEL 1 ?REL ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX ?REP-NAME ?ENTY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE INITIAL_SKEL_2 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (PREF-ABS ?ENT ?REL_ID ?CERT1)
 (SKEL-GEN-PREVENTS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 INFEASIBLE-RECORD-LENGTH)

THEN (INITIAL_REP_SKEL_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE INITIAL_SKEL_3 ***

IF (REP-SELECTION-MODE ?CLUSTER-ID SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREF-ABS ?ENT ?REL_ID ?CERT1))
 (DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY ?AB-VAR SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?DBMS)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY ?X 1)

THEN (INITIAL_REP_SKEL_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?ENTX SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENTY)

APPENDIX D

RULES FOR THE CLUSTER DIVISION KNOWLEDGE BASE

RULES FOR SECTION 6.1 --> THE CLUSTER DIVISION CONTROL RULE GROUP.

THIS RULE STATES THAT BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP 1 SHOULD BE APPLIED TO THE INITIAL CLUSTER. THIS IS THE MOST RESTRICTIVE RULE GROUP.

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_1 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?CLUSTER_ID INITIAL-CG)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_1)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT SIX RULES INSURE PROGRESSIVE INVOCATION OF THE NEXT LEAST MOST RESTRICTIVE RULE GROUP. RULES DIV_CONTROL_2, DIV_CONTROL_4, AND DIV_CONTROL_6 IDENTIFY THE NEXT LEAST MOST RESTRICTIVE RULE GROUP FOR A CLUSTER ON BASIS OF THE RESTRICTIVENESS OF THE RULE GROUP USED TO DIVIDE ITS PARENT CLUSTER. IF DIVISION FAILS FOR A CLUSTER, RULES DIV_CONTROL_3, DIV_CONTROL_5, AND DIV_CONTROL_7 SELECT THE NEXT LEAST MOST RESTRICTIVE RULE GROUP.

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_2 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PAR_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT_CLUSTER)
 (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?PARENT_CLUSTER_RULE_GROUP_1)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_2)

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_3 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID IN_DIVIDE_PROCESS *DIVISION_UNSUCCESSFUL*)
 (PREVIOUS_BREAK_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_1)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_2)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_4 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PAR_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT_CLUSTER)
 (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?PARENT_CLUSTER RULE_GROUP_2)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_3)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_5 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID IN_DIVIDE_PROCESS *DIVISION_UNSUCCESSFUL*)
 (PREVIOUS_BREAK_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_2)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_3)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_6 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER-SET ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?PAR_CLUSTER_SET ?PARENT_CLUSTER)
 (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?PARENT_CLUSTER RULE_GROUP_3)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_4)

*** RULE DIV_CONTROL_7 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (CLUSTER_STATUS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID IN_DIVIDE_PROCESS *DIVISION_UNSUCCESSFUL*)
 (PREVIOUS_BREAK_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_3)

THEN (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_4)
RULES FOR SECTION 6.2 --> THE BOND RELATIONSHIP SELECTION RULE GROUP.

THE FIRST FOUR RULES DECLARE BOND RELATIONSHIPS ON THE BASIS OF CHARACTERIZATIONS INCREASING LEVELS OF FORWARDING PERCENTAGE.

*** RULE BOND RELATIONSHIP_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT)
 (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?LEVEL)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?LEVEL (HIGH-THRU-ACT-3 HIGH-THRU-ACT-2 HIGH-THRU-ACT-1))

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID MODERATE_FORWARDING_%)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_2 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 ?LEVEL)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?LEVEL (HIGH-THRU-ACT-3 HIGH-THRU-ACT-2))

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_FORWARDING_%)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND RELATIONSHIP 3 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE1 SIGNIFICANT)
(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE2 HIGH_FORWARDING_%_3)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID VERY_HEAVY_FORWARDING_%)

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_4 ***

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_5 ***

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_6 ***

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

I F

I F

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE)

(ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION FOR ?REL ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU)

(ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 RETRIEVAL LARGE-SUBSET SIGNIFICANT_FORWARDING_%)

THE NEXT RULE DECLARES A BOND RELATIONSHIP ON THE BASIS OF HEAVY LARGE SUBSET ACTIVITY.

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY)

SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY DUE TO RELATIONSHIP UPDATE ACTIVITY.

THE NEXT RULE DECLARES A BOND RELATIONSHIP ON THE BASIS OF A CHARACTERIZATION OF

100

(RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RELATIONSHIP-UPDATE SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

THE NEXT RULE CONCLUDES A BOND RELATIONSHIP BECAUSE OF THE EXISTENCE OF A CONTEXT CONFLICT. THE BOND RELATIONSHIP HAS A "DO NOT TO ABSORB" DETERMINATION IN THE CONFLICTING RETRIEVAL CONTEXTS FACT EXPRESSION.

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_7 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID) (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?REL TO ABSORB DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL ID ?ENT2 ?REASON ?EXTENT)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES DECLARE BOND RELATIONSHIPS FOR PARTIALLY IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS OF INTERSECTION ENTITIES.

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_8 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID)
(TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?INT_ENT *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*)
(ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID ?REL_ID_WORKLOAD ?TOTAL_WORKLOAD
?REL_%_WORK ?OTHER_PARTIAL_ID_RELS LOCAL-ACTIVITY)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECT-BOND-LOCAL-ACTIVITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_9 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?INT_ENT *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*)
 (ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL ?INT_ENT ?REL_ID ?REL_ID_WORKLOAD ?TOTAL_WORKLOAD
 ?REL_%_WORK ?OTHER_PARTIAL_ID_RELS HIGH-FLOW-THRU)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID NONE)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECT-BOND-HIGH-FLOW-THRU)

THE NEXT TWO RULES DECLARE RELATIONSHIPS TO LEAF ENTITIES AS A BOND RELATIONSHIP. THIS ALSO PREVENTS EXCESSIVE SPLITTING OF THE LDS INTO TINY FRAGMENTS.

*** RULE BOND RELATIONSHIP 10 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
(TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 LEAF_ENTITY)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_11 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
(TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 LEAF_ENTITY)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE CONCLUDES A BOND RELATIONSHIP FOR A RELATIONSHIP IN WHICH ONE ENTITY IS DEPENDENT ON THE OTHER.

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_12 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
 (DEPENDS-ON ?ENT2 ?ENT1)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID DEPENDENCY)

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A BOND RELATIONSHIP FOR A NON-LEAF "MANY" ENTITY WHICH WOULD NOT FORM A SMALL RECORD IF ABSORBED ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID.

*** RULE BOND_RELATIONSHIP_13 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID) (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A "CATCH ALL" BOND RULE FOR CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY.

*** RULE BOND RELATIONSHIP 14 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID) (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?ANY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)

THEN (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?ANY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ONE_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION)

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (FUNCTION-CALL *ONE-REASONABLE-REP* ?REL_ID)

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_2 ***

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LOW_FORWARDING_%)

(ReLATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID LOW_FORWARDING_% CHARACTERIZATION)
(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID LOW_FORWARDING_% CHARACTERIZATION)

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_1 ***

THIS IS THE MOST RESTRICTIVE BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 6.3 --> BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP NUMBER ONE.

RULES FOR SECTION 6.3 --> THE BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUPS.

105

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID2 ?REL_ID)

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECTION)

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_5 ***

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))
THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ONE_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION)

(RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_EXCEEDS_DEVICE_LENGTH CHARACTERIZATION)

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_4 ***

(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

ΙF

THIS RULE IS THE SAME AS THE PREVIOUS RULE, EXCEPT THAT ABSORPTION IS RULED OUT BECAUSE THE RESULTING RECORD WOULD EXCEED A DEVICE LENGTH. DEVICE LENGTH RESTRICTIONS MUST BE IN EFFECT.

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ONE_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ENTITY_IS_IN_TWO_M_RELATIONSHIPS CHARACTERIZATION)
 (CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS ?REL_ID ?REL_TO_ABSORB DO-NOT-ABSORB ?REL_ID ?ENT2 ?REASON ?EXTENT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?EXTENT (HEAVY-3 HEAVY-4))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_3 ***

the second s

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_ONE_NO_6 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECTION)

PART B OF SECTION 6.3 --> BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP NUMBER TWO.

THIS IS LESS RESTRICTIVE THAN RULE GROUP NUMBER 1.

```
*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_TWO_NO_1 ***
```

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M )
```

```
(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID MODERATE_FORWARDING_%) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP) )
```

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP 2 ?REL ID ?CLUSTER ID LOW FORWARDING %)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE APPLIES TO ONE TO ONE RELATIONSHIPS WITH NO SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY AND A COMBINATION OF ABSENT WORKLOAD CHARACTERIZATIONS.

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_TWO_NO_2 ***

```
IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 1)
(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID )
(CARDINALITY ?ENT1 ?N1 )
(CARDINALITY ?ENT2 ?N2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?N1 ?N2 )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE
        (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY ?ANY-SIZE
        SIGNIFICANT) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS) )
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP) )
```

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_2 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ONE-TO-ONE)

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS A BREAKPOINT FOR A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TWO ROOT ENTITIES WHICH HAS A COMBINATION OF NEGATED BOND RELATIONSHIP CONCLUSIONS.

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_TWO_NO_3 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*)
 (FIRST-ENT ?REL_ID ?ENT1)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?N)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID MODERATE_FORWARDING_%))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*)

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_2 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ROOT-ENTITY)

PART C OF SECTION 6.3 --> BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP NUMBER THREE.

THIS IS LESS RESTRICTIVE THAN RULE GROUP NUMBER 2.

*** RULE BREAK GROUP THREE NO 1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?X)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_FORWARDING_%))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_3 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LOW_FORWARDING_%)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID BE A BREAKPOINT IF THE ENTITIES IN THE RELATIONSHIP ARE ROOT ENTITIES WITH A COMBINATION OF LESS RESTRICTIVE BOND RELATIONSHIP CONCLUSIONS.

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_THREE_NO_2 ***

IF (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FIRST-ENT ?REL_ID ?ENT1)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?X)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_FORWARDING_%))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT2 *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY*)

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_3 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ROOT-ENTITY)

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_THREE_NO_3 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENT1 *DEPENDENT-ENTITY*)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP ?ANY-ENT ?REL_ID))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_3 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID DEPENDENT-ENTITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_THREE_NO_4 ***

```
I F
    (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID )
    (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M )
    (FUNCTION-CALL PRODUCE-STRENGTH (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID
                                       ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1) ?CERT )
    (FUNCTION-CALL >= ?CERT 0.5 )
    (FUNCTION-CALL PRODUCE-STRENGTH (INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION ?REL_ID
                                       ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2) ?CERT )
    (FUNCTION-CALL <= ?CERT -0.25 )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION FOR ?REL ID ?ENT2 ?ENT1 RETRIEVAL ?SUBSET_SIZE
                             SIGNIFICANT) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ORDERING-CRITERIA ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME ?MEASURE2) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECT-BOND-LOCAL-ACTIVITY) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY) )
    (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP) )
```

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_3 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ONE_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION)

PART D OF SECTION 6.3 --> BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULE GROUP NUMBER FOUR.

THIS RULE GROUP IS LESS RESTRICTIVE THAN RULE GROUP NUMBER 3.

THIS ROLE GROOP IS LESS RESTRICTIVE THAN ROLE GROOP NOMB

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_THREE_NO_1 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 ?X)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NONFORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LEAF_ENTITY_RELATIONSHIP))

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_4 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LOW_FORWARDING_%)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE BREAK_GROUP_THREE_NO_2 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ORDERING-CRITERIA ?ENT2 ?REL_DESC_NAME ?MEASURE2))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECT-BOND-LOCAL-ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID HEAVY_LARGE_SUBSET_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID BIDIRECTIONAL_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID NONFORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (BOND_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LG-SUB-FLOW-THRU))

THEN (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_4 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID LOW-ABSORPTION-LIKELY)

PART E OF SECTION 6.3 --> THE TOP LEVEL BREAKPOINT SELECTION RULES.

THESE ARE THE TOP LEVEL BREAK RULES. THEY STATE THAT IF A RELATIONSHIP HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED AS A BREAKPOINT BY ONE OF THE BREAKPOINT RECOMMENDATION RULE GROUPS, AND IF THERE IS NO CONCLUSION OF EXCESSIVE FRAGMENTATION, THEN SELECT THE RELATIONSHIP AS A BREAKPOINT.

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_1 ***

IF (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_1)
 (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_1 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?REASON)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?PR-REASON))

THEN (TOP_LEVEL_BREAKPOINT_SELECTION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_2 ***

IF (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_2)
 (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_2 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?REASON)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?PR-REASON))

THEN (TOP_LEVEL_BREAKPOINT_SELECTION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_3 ***

IF (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_3)
 (RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_3 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?REASON)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?PR-REASON))

THEN (TOP_LEVEL_BREAKPOINT_SELECTION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_4 ***

IF (INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP ?CLUSTER_ID RULE_GROUP_4)
(RECOMMEND_BREAKPOINT_GROUP_4 ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?REASON)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?PR-REASON))

THEN (TOP_LEVEL_BREAKPOINT_SELECTION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE PREVENTS EXCESSIVE FRAGMENTATION OF THE LDS ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IF ?REL_ID CHOSEN AS A BREAKPOINT FOR ENTITY ?ENTITY_NAME. THE REASON IS ?ENTITY_NAME IS IN TWO RELATIONSHIPS, BOTH OF WHICH HAVE BEEN CHOSEN AS BREAKPOINTS. THE SELECTION OF ?REL_ID AS A BREAKPOINT WILL BE OVERRIDDEN TO PREVENT A ONE ENTITY FRAGMENT.

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_5 ***

IF (MEMBER-ENTITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?ENTITY_NAME)
(COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY_NAME *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*))
(NUMBER_OF_RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTITY_NAME 2 (?REL_ID ?REL_ID2)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?BREAK-LEV1 ?REASON1))
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID2 ?CLUSTER_ID ?BREAK-LEV2 ?REASON2))

THEN (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL ID ?CLUSTER ID SIMPLE-FRAGMENT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE IS SIMILAR, BUT IS SPECIALIZED FOR RELATIONSHIPS INVOLVING INTERSECTION ENTITIES.

*** RULE SELECT_BREAKPOINT_6 ***

IF (MEMBER-ENTITY ?CLUSTER_ID ?ENTITY_NAME)
(TYPE-OF-ENTITY ?ENTITY_NAME *INTERSECTION-ENTITY*)
(NUMBER_OF_RELATIONSHIPS ?ENTITY_NAME 2 (?REL_IDX ? REL_IDY))
(RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID1 ?ENTITY_NAME ?ENT2 ?RELATIONSHIP_NAME1)
(RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID2 ?ENTITY_NAME ?ENT3 ?RELATIONSHIP_NAME2)
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID FIRST-LINE INTERSECTION)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IF-THERE-IS* (PROPOSED-BREAK ?REL_ID2 ?CLUSTER_ID ?LEVEL2 ?REASON))
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?LEVEL2 INTERSECTION)

THEN (FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS ?REL_ID2 ?CLUSTER_ID INTERSECTION-FRAGMENT)

APPENDIX E

RULES FOR THE SKELETON GENERATION KNOWLEDGE BASE

RULES FOR SECTION 7.2 --> RULES FOR CONTROL OF SKELETON GENERATION BY THE CONTROL MODULE.

*** RULE SK_ACT_1 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* INITIAL-SET-UP)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID DO-INITIAL-SET-UP - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_1_1 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* INITIAL-SET-UP-ENUM)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID DO-INITIAL-SET-UP-ENUM - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_3 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* EVALUATED-NEW-SKELETON-FROM ?SKELNAME)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID GET-HIGH-LEVEL-DECISION - -)

*** RULE SK_ACT_4 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID GET-HIGH-LEVEL-DECISION-OUT-SKEL - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE CONCLUDES THAT A NEW SKELETON MUST BE SELECTED AFTER INITIAL SET UP OPERATIONS ARE COMPLETE.

*** RULE SK_ACT_9_2 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* INITIAL-SET-UP-ENUM-DONE) (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER-ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-SKELETON - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_5 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* HIGH-LEVEL-DECISION)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER-ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (DECISION ?CLUSTER-ID **CONTINUE**)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID FIND-PHASE - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_9 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* HIGH-LEVEL-DECISION)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FOUND-PHASE))
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER-ID ?PH)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PH (RELATIONSHIPS COMBINED-PHASE RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (DECISION ?CLUSTER-ID **CONTINUE**)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-SKELETON - -)

*** RULE SK_ACT_7 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-REL-GEN-FOR-SKEL ?SKELNAME -)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-SKELETON - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_11 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FOUND-PHASE)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER-ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)
 (PREVIOUS_PHASE ?CLUSTER-ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID CHANGE_TO_RELATIONSHIP_PHASE - -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_6 ***

- IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* HIGH-LEVEL-DECISION)
 (DECISION ?CLUSTER-ID **TERMINATE**)
- THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID TERMINATE-OPERATION -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_6_1 ***

- IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID **NO-DECISION-CONCLUDED**)
- THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID TERMINATE-OPERATION -)

```
*** RULE SK_ACT_12 ***
```

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* SELECT-SKELETON)
 (CURRENT SKELETON ?SKELNAME ?CLUSTER-ID)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-RELATIONSHIP-FOR-SKEL ?SKELNAME -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_13 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-REP-FOR ?SKELNAME ?REL)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-RELATIONSHIP-FOR-SKEL ?SKELNAME -)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_15 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* SELECT-RELATIONSHIP-FOR-SKEL ?SKELNAME -)
(SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL-ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?SKELNAME ?CRITICALITY)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-REPRESENTATION-FOR ?SKELNAME ?REL-ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK ACT 14 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* *ABORTED-NEW-SKEL-FROM-SKEL* ?SKELNAME ?REASON) (SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL-ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?SKELNAME ?CRITICALITY)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID SELECT-REPRESENTATION-FOR ?SKELNAME ?REL-ID)

*** RULE SK_ACT_16 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* SELECT-REPRESENTATION-FOR ?SKELNAME ?REL-ID)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID GENERATE-NEW-SKELETON-FROM ?SKELNAME ?REL-ID)

COMPUTED CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SK_ACT_16_A ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER-ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* GENERATED-NEW-SKELETON-FROM ?SKELNAME) (SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL-ID ?CLUSTER-ID ?SKELNAME ?CRITICALITY)

THEN (ACTION-ON ?CLUSTER-ID EVALUATE-NEW-SKELETON-FROM ?SKELNAME ?REL-ID)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.3 --> CONTROL MODULE SUPPORT RULE GROUP FOR SKELETON GENERATION.

PART A OF SECTION 7.3 --> DETERMINING HOW MANY SKELETONS TO GENERATE DURING SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION.

FOR A CLUSTER WITH LESS THAN 64 ALLOTTED SKELETONS, GENERATE THE SAME NUMBER.

*** RULE NUM_GEN_1 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
(ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* 64 ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

THEN (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

FOR A CLUSTER WITH AT LEAST 64 BUT LESS THAN 128 ALLOTTED, GENERATE 75% OF THE NUMBER.

*** RULE NUM_GEN_2 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE 64)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 128 ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE 0.75 ?PROD)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *ROUND* ?PROD ?ALLOTTED_NUMBER)

THEN (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)

FOR A CLUSTER WITH 128 OR MORE ALLOTTED SKELETONS, GENERATE ONLY 100 SKELETONS.

*** RULE NUM_GEN_3 ***

- IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (ALLOTTED_NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_TO_GENERATE 128)
- THEN (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID 100)

PART B OF SECTION 7.3 --> DETERMINING PHASE.

IF THE NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE SELECTIVELY > 6, LESS THAN 66.7% THIS NUMBER HAVE BEEN GENERATED, THE CLUSTER IS NOT VERY LARGE, AND MORE SKELETONS CAN BE GENERATED, THEN CONCLUDE THE PHASE SHOULD BE RECORD.

*** RULE PHASE 1 ***

IF (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 6)
 (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 0.6667 ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID END-OF-RECORD-PHASE))
 (COULD NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL))

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-PHASE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF THE CONDITIONS IN RULE PHASE_1 PREVAIL FOR A CLUSTER WHICH IS EXTREMELY LARGE, CONCLUDE THE PHASE SHOULD BE RESTRICTED-RECORD.

*** RULE PHASE 2 ***

IF (CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID EXTREMELY_LARGE)
 (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 6)
 (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 0.6667 ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID END-OF-RECORD-PHASE))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL))

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RESTRICTED-RECORD)

IF AT LEAST 66.7% OF THE NUMBER OF SELECTIVE SKELETONS HAVE BEEN GENERATED, THE PHASE SHOULD BE RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE PHASE_3 ***

IF (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 6)
 (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER 0.6667 ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_GENERATED ?PERCENT_ADJ_NUM)

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF NO MORE NEW SKELETONS CAN BE GENERATED DURING THE RECORD PHASE, THE PHASE SHOULD BE RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE PHASE 4 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL) (PREVIOUS_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PH) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PH (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF AN EXTERNAL MESSAGE STATES THE RECORD PHASE HAS ENDED, THE PHASE SHOULD BE RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE PHASE_5 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID END-OF-RECORD-PHASE)

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)

IF THE NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE SELECTIVELY IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 6, THE PHASE SHOULD BE COMBINED-PHASE.

*** RULE PHASE_6 ***

IF (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 6 ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)

THEN (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID COMBINED-PHASE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

PART C OF SECTION 7.3 --> DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT TO CONTINUE SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION FOR A CLUSTER.

IF THE NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE SELECTIVELY EXCEEDS THE NUMBER GENERATED, THERE ARE NEW SKELETONS WHICH CAN BE GENERATED, THIS IS NOT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER IN WHICH A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST SKELETON HAS BEEN FOUND, THEN DETERMINE THAT SKELETON GENERATION SHOULD CONTINUE FOR CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID.

*** RULE GENERATION_DECISION_1 ***

IF (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (LOW_COST_SKELETON_IN_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID))

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER ID **CONTINUE**)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF DURING THE RECORD PHASE NO MORE NEW SKELETONS CAN BE GENERATED, THE NUMBER OF SKELETONS TO GENERATE SELECTIVELY EXCEEDS THE NUMBER GENERATED, AND THIS IS NOT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER IN WHICH A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST SKELETON HAS BEEN FOUND, THEN DETERMINE THAT SKELETON GENERATION SHOULD CONTINUE FOR CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID.

AT THIS POINT, A NEW DETERMINATION OF PHASE WILL RESULT IN A SWITCH FROM RECORD TO RELATIONSHIP PHASE. IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO GENERATE MORE SKELETONS IN THE RELATIONSHIP PHASE. SEE RULES PHASE_4 AND PHASE_5 IN PART B.

*** RULE GENERATION_DECISION_2 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL)
 (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (LOW_COST_SKELETON_IN_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID))

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID **CONTINUE**)

IF THE PREVIOUSLY GENERATED SKELETON IN A CLUSTER WHICH IS NOT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, HAS RESULTED IN A LOWER COST, THEN CONTINUE TO GENERATE SKELETONS. THIS RULE WILL FIRE EVEN IF THE NUMBER TO GENERATE SELECTIVELY IS EXCEEDED.

*** RULE GENERATION DECISION_3 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?CLUSTER_SET_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID LOWER-SKEL-FOUND)

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID **CONTINUE**)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF THE NUMBER OF ALLOTTED SKELETONS IS EQUALED OR EXCEEDED BY THE NUMBER GENERATED, AND THE PREVIOUSLY GENERATED SKELETON DID NOT HAVE A LOWER COST, THEN DETERMINE THAT SKELETON GENERATION SHOULD STOP.

*** RULE GENERATION_DECISION_4 ***

IF (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID LOWER-SKEL-FOUND))
 (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?NUMBER_GENERATED ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID **TERMINATE**)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF DURING THE RELATIONSHIP OR COMBINED-PHASE NO MORE NEW SKELETONS CAN BE GENERATED, DETERMINE THAT SKELETON GENERATION SHOULD STOP.

*** RULE GENERATION DECISION 5 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID *PREVIOUS-ACTION* FAILED-TO-FIND-NEW-SKEL)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RELATIONSHIPS COMBINED-PHASE))

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER ID **TERMINATE**)

IF THIS IS A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER IN WHICH A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST SKELETON HAS BEEN FOUND, THEN DETERMINE THAT SKELETON GENERATION SHOULD STOP. THIS INDICATES THAT COMPLETE RECOMBINATION MUST TAKE PLACE.

*** RULE GENERATION_DECISION_6 ***

IF (LOW_COST_SKELETON_IN_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID)

THEN (DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID **TERMINATE**)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.4 --> SKELETON SELECTION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 7.4 --> SELECTION OF THE NEXT SKELETON TO WORK ON.

THIS IS THE MAIN RULE FOR SELECTING A SKELETON TO WORK ON. THE RULE FINDS THE LOWEST COST SKELETON VIA FUNCTION *GET_LEAST_COST_VIABLE_SKELETON*.

*** RULE SELECT SKEL 1 ***

IF (NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED ?CLUSTER_ID ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (NUMBER_SKELETONS_TO_GENERATE_SELECTIVELY ?CLUSTER_ID ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SELECTIVE_NUMBER ?NUMBER_GENERATED)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *GET_LEAST_COST_VIABLE_SKELETON* ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER)

THEN (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.5

THIS IS A RULE FOR RECOMMENDING SELECTION OF AN ALTERNATIVE SKELETON TO WORK ON IF A POSSIBLE FALSE MINIMUM HAS BEEN DETECTED. THE SKELETON WOULD NOT BE RECOMMENDED IF THE ALTERNATIVE HAS BEEN TRIED BEFORE.

- *** RULE SELECT_SKEL_2 ***
- IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER LOCAL-MINIMUM-BRANCH ?REL_ID ?VARY-REL_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID LOCAL-MINIMUM-CHECKED))

THEN (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.6

PART B OF SECTION 7.4 --> SELECTION OF SKELETONS FOR FINE-TUNING BY THE DETAILED DESIGN SYSTEM.

IF CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IS NOT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, THE CLUSTER'S SKELETONS WERE ENUMERATED AND ORDERED BY COST, SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER HAS NOT BEEN DISCARDED BECAUSE IT IS RANKED ABOVE THE FINE-TUNING QUOTA, THE SKELETON IS NOT A HIGH COST SKELETON, AND DOES NOT CONTAIN AN INFEASIBLE RECORD, THEN SELECT SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER FOR FINE-TUNING.

*** RULE FINAL_SKEL_SELECT_1 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?CLUSTER_SET_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (CG-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?WHICH ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID *OVER_QUOTA_BY_ALGORITHM*))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ENUMERATED_HIGH_COST_SKELETON))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ENUMERATED_HIGH_COST_SKELETON))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INFEASIBLE_RECORD_STRUCTURE))

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER FINAL-SELECTION SELECTED ?SKEL_COST)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IS NOT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION WAS USED, SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER DOES NOT CONTAIN A RECORD WHICH IS STRUCTURALLY INFEASIBLE, AND THE SKELETON IS NO MORE THAN THREE TIMES AS COSTLY AS THE LEAST COSTLY SKELETON GENERATED, THEN SELECT THE SKELETON FOR FINE-TUNING.

*** RULE FINAL_SKEL_SELECT_2 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?CLUSTER_SET_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (CG-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?WHICH SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *MIN-SKEL-COST* ?CLUSTER_ID ?LOW_SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?LOW-COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?LOW-COST 3.0 ?CUTOFF)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PARENT_SKEL ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?CUTOFF ?SKEL_COST)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INFEASIBLE_RECORD_STRUCTURE))

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER FINAL-SELECTION SELECTED ?SKEL COST)

IF CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IS A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, SELECTIVE SKELETON GENERATION WAS USED TO GENERATE CANONICAL RECORDS, SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS LESS THAN 1.2 TIMES THE LEAST COST SKELETON GENERATED IN THE CLUSTER, IS NOT THE FIRST SKELETON GENERATED, AND HAS NOT BEEN DISCARDED BECAUSE IT CONTAINS AN INFEASIBLE RECORD STRUCTURE, THEN SELECT THE SKELETON FOR FINE-TUNING.

*** RULE FINAL_SKEL_SELECT_3 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (CG-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?WHICH SELECTIVELY_GENERATE_SKELETONS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *MIN-SKEL-COST* ?CLUSTER_ID ?LOW_SKEL_NAME ?MIN_COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?MIN_COST 1.2 ?COST_CEILING)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?COST_CEILING ?SKEL_COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 1)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INFEASIBLE_RECORD_STRUCTURE))

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER FINAL-SELECTION SELECTED ?SKEL_COST)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE IS SIMILAR TO THE PREVIOUS THREE RULES BUT IS FOR TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTERS WHERE SKELETON ENUMERATION WAS USED. NO SKELETON IS SELECTED WHICH IS MORE COSTLY THAN 1.1 TIMES THE LEAST COST "O" SKELETON IN THE CLUSTER. SKELETON ENUMERATION IN A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER WILL RESULT IN FEWER SELECTED SKELETONS THAN IN A REGULAR CLUSTER.

*** RULE FINAL_SKEL_SELECT_4 ***

IF (CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
 (CG-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?WHICH ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY 0 NO-PARENT ?LOW_SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PARENT_SKEL_ID ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?LOW_SKEL_COST 1.1 ?COST_CEILING)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?COST_CEILING ?SKEL_COST)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ELIMINATED-RECORD))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID *OVER_QUOTA_BY_ALGORITHM*))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ENUMERATED_HIGH_COST_SKELETON))

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER FINAL-SELECTION SELECTED ?SKEL_COST)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.5 --> RELATIONSHIP SELECTION RULE GROUP.

PART A OF SECTION 7.5 --> RULES TO RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIPS TO VARY DURING RECORD OR COMBINED PHASE.

THESE RULES MAY BE APPLIED TO ANY RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID FOR THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER CURRENTLY SELECTED TO BE WORKED ON. THE VARIABLE ?LIST_OF_REPS IS THE LIST OF RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS FOR THE SKELETON. THIS VALUE OF THIS VARIABLE SERVES AS AN INPUT PARAMETER TO THE FUNCTIONS CALLED FROM THE RULES

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, AND THE FUNCTION *MOST-COSTLY-REL* RECOMMENDS RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID AS A RELATIONSHIP TO BE VARIED, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY_REL_1 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, AND THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, AND THE FUNCTION *REL-ROOT-ACC-COST* SELECTS RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID TO BE VARIED, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY_REL_2 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE FUNCTION *ABSORB-ENT-ACC-COST* SELECTS RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID TO BE VARIED, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY REL 3 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER ID ?SKEL ID NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE FUNCTION *COMPLEX-ABS-REL* SELECTS RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID TO BE VARIED, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY_REL_4 ***

- THEN (RECOMMEND FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, DEVICE LENGTH RESTRICTIONS ARE BEING OBSERVED, AND THE FUNCTION *OVERSIZE-REC* HAS FOUND A RECORD WITH EXCESSIVE LENGTH AND SELECTED RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITHIN THIS RECORD FOR ALTERATION, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A VERY HIGH CRITICALITY OF 5.0.

*** RULE VARY_REL_5 ***

- IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (COMBINED-PHASE RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *OVERSIZE-REC* ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REC-VAR ?LENGTH-VAR ?REL_ID)
- THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 5.0)

IF THE PHASE IS RECORD, RESTRICTED-RECORD, OR COMBINED, THE CLUSTER BEING WORKED IS A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, AND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WAS A CONNECTING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO PARENT CLUSTERS OF THE INTERSECTION CLUSTER, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH THE WITH A HIGH CRITICALITY OF 2.0.

*** RULE VARY_REL_6 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (COMBINED-PHASE RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD)
 (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (INTERSECTION_CLUSTERS ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2)
 (CONNECTING_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID1 ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CLUSTER_SET_ID)

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 2.0)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE RECOMMENDS AN ALTERNATIVE RELATIONSHIP IF A LOCAL MINIMA PROBLEM IS DETECTED. SEE RULE SKEL_ANAL_13 IN SECTION 7.7 FOR EXPLANATION OF THE LOCAL MINIMA PROBLEM.

*** RULE VARY_REL_7 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID))
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER LOCAL-MINIMUM-BRANCH ?REL_ID ?VARY-REL_ID)

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 0.99)

THIS RULE MATCHES ON A RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION FOR A RELATIONSHIP TO A "MANY" ENTITY WHICH CAN BE ABSORBED ALONG TWO POSSIBLE RELATIONSHIPS. RULE RELCH_7 IN SECTION 3.7 CONCLUDES THE RELATIONSHIP CHARACTERIZATION. IT RECOMMENDS THIS RELATIONSHIP FOR ALTERATION.

*** RULE VARY REL 8 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-PHASE)
 (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ALTERNATE-ABSORPTION CHARACTERIZATION)

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 0.9)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS A RELATIONSHIP ON THE BASIS OF A SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY CHARACTERIZATION. A WEAK CRITICALITY ACCOMPANIES THE CONCLUSION.

*** RULE VARY REL 9 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-PHASE)
 (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (THERE_IS_ONE_OF (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?ACT-TYPE ?ANY-SIZE SIGNIFICANT))

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 0.25)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT RULE RECOMMENDS A RELATIONSHIP ON THE BASIS OF MERE MEMBERSHIP IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID. THIS RULE IS NECESSARY TO INSURE THAT ANY RELATIONSHIP CAN ULTIMATELY BE ALTERED (IF NECESSARY) DURING THE SEARCH PROCESS. A VERY WEAK CRITICALITY ACCOMPANIES THE CONCLUSION.

*** RULE VARY REL 10 ***

- IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-PHASE)
 (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?ACT-TYPE ?ANY-SIZE SIGNIFICANT)
- THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 0.0 0.1)

PART B OF SECTION 7.5 --> RECOMMENDING RELATIONSHIPS TO VARY DURING RELATIONSHIP PHASE.

THESE RULES ARE APPLIED TO ALL RELATIONSHIPS IN THE CURRENT SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER BEING WORKED ON IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID.

IF THE PHASE IS RELATIONSHIPS OR COMBINED, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE FUNCTION *MOST-COSTLY-REL* RECOMMENDS ?REL_ID AS HIGH COST RELATIONSHIP, AND THE RELATIONSHIP HAS A CHARACTERIZATION OF WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID TO BE VARIED WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY. THE VARIABLE ?LIST_OF_REPS IS THE LIST OF RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS FOR THE SKELETON. IT IS AN INPUT PARAMETER TO THE FUNCTION *MOST-COSTLY-REL*.

*** RULE VARY_REL_11 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

IF THE PHASE IS RELATIONSHIPS, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE FUNCTION *MOST-COSTLY-REL* RECOMMENDS REL_ID AS HIGH COST RELATIONSHIP, THE CURRENT REPRESENTATION FOR THE RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IN ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS NOT ABSORPTION, AND THE RELATIONSHIP IS NOT CHARACTERIZED AS HAVING SIGNIFICANT INTERDEPENDENCY WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY, THEN RECOMMEND RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUT CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY_REL_12 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

IF THE PHASE IS RELATIONSHIPS, THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE FUNCTION *MOST-COSTLY-REL* RECOMMENDS ?REL_ID AS HIGH COST RELATIONSHIP, ABSORBING THE "MANY" ENTITY ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID WOULD NOT RESULT IN A TRIVIAL PHYSICAL RECORD, AND THE RELATIONSHIP HAS NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN VARIED, THEN RECOMMEND ?REL_ID WITH A COMPUTED CRITICALITY.

*** RULE VARY_REL_13 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL-COST ?CRITICALITY)

PART C OF SECTION 7.5 --> CONCLUSION OF PREVENT_ALTERATION FACT EXPRESSIONS.

THE FIRST SIX RULES ARE "INITIAL" RULES WHICH FIRE WHEN THE SKELETON IS INITIALLY CREATED. THEY ARE APPLIED TO RELATIONSHIPS OF A PARTICULAR SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER ID.

THIS RULE STATES THAT A RELATIONSHIP WHICH CONNECTS TWO CLUSTERS, E.G. A BREAKPOINT, SHOULD NOT BE VARIED.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_1 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (CONNECTING_RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_ID2 ?CGSET)

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID CONNECTING-REL)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THAT, DURING RECORD PHASE, A RELATIONSHIP SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF ABSORPTION HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED AS A REASONABLE REPRESENTATION.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_2 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY))

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID NO-ABSORB-REP)

THIS RULE STATES THAT, DURING RECORD PHASES, A LEAF ENTITY RELATIONSHIP SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF ABSORPTION HAS BEEN SELECTED AS AN INITIAL REASONABLE REPRESENTATION WITH A CERTAINTY OF AT LEAST 0.98. IN THIS SITUATION, IT IS ALMOST CERTAIN THAT ABSORPTION IS THE BEST REPRESENTATION FOR A LEAF ENTITY RELATIONSHIP.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_3 ***

(FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?CERT1 0.98)

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID LEAF-ABSORPTION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THAT A LEAF ENTITY RELATIONSHIP SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF THE CURRENT REPRESENTATION IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS ABSORPTION, AND THE RESULTING CANONICAL RECORD WOULD NOT BE LARGE. THE JUSTIFICATION IS THAT VARYING THIS REPRESENTATION IS PROBABLY NOT WORTH THE EFFORT. THE RULE APPLIES TO BOTH RECORD AND RELATIONSHIP PHASES.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_4 ***

- IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY CHARACTERIZATION)
 (SKELETON ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?LIST_OF_REPS ?CODE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?LIST_OF_REPS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REPRESENTATION ABSORBS)
- THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY)

THIS RULE STATES THAT A RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF THE REPRESENTATION HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE GLOBALLY EFFICIENT FOR CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID BY THE DESIGN STRUCTURE EVALUATION RULE GROUP.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_5 ***

IF (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?LIST_OF_REPS ?COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?LIST_OF_REPS)
 (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ALL_SKELETONS ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2
 EFFICIENT REPRESENTATION)

• THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID EFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION_FOUND)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THAT, DURING RELATIONSHIP PHASE, A RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTED BY ABSORPTION IN THE CURRENT SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF THERE IS NO CHARACTERIZATION OF SIGNIFICANT WORKLOAD LEVELS AND NO WORKLOAD COMPLEXITY. THE JUSTIFICATION IS THAT IN THIS CASE THERE IS NO NEED TO CHANGE THE CURRENT REPRESENTATION IF IT WAS PREVIOUSLY DETERMINED TO BE EFFICIENT IN THE RECORD PHASE.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_6 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?LIST_OF_REPS ?COST)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?LIST_OF_REPS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REPRESENTATION ABSORBS)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (ACTIVITY_CHARACTERIZATION_FOR ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?ENT2 ?ACTIVITY_TYPE ?ANY_SUBSET_SIZE
 SIGNIFICANT))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION ?REL_ID ?ANY SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY))

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID NO-PROBLEM)

THE NEXT THREE RULES ARE "SUBSEQUENT" RULES WHICH FIRE ONLY AFTER AT LEAST ONE RELATIONSHIP HAS BEEN VARIED IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER.

THIS RULE STATES THAT RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF ALL REASONABLE REPRESENTATIONS FOR THIS RELATIONSHIP HAVE BEEN ATTEMPTED. THIS RULE APPLIES IN ALL PHASES.

*** RULE PREV VAR 7 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
(RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
(ALL_REPRESENTATIONS_TRIED ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ALL-TRIED)

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION SUBSEQ ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID CANNOT-CONCLUDE-DIFFERENT-REP)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES APPLY TO THE RECORD "PHASE" ONLY. TOGETHER, THEY STATE THAT RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID SHOULD NOT BE VARIED IF ABSORPTION OR A MANY TO ONE SYMBOLIC POINTER HAS BEEN FOUND TO BE LOCALLY INEFFICIENT IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER AND ?REL_ID IS CURRENTLY REPRESENTED IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER WITH A DIFFERENT REPRESENTATION.

*** RULE PREV_VAR_8 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
 (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT
 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)
 (SKELETON ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?LIST_OF_REPS ?COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?LIST_OF_REPS)
 (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REPRESENTATION SYMBOL-POINTS-TO)

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION SUBSEQ ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID OK-REP-IN-PLACE)

*** RULE PREV_VAR_9 ***

THEN (PREVENT_ALTERATION SUBSEQ ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID OK-REP-IN-PLACE)

PART D OF SECTION 7.5 --> TOP LEVEL RULES FOR SELECTING RELATIONSHIPS.

THIS RULE IS USED TO SUM TOTAL CRITICALITY FOR EACH RELATIONSHIP RECOMMENDED FOR ALTERATION WITHIN THE SKELETON SELECTED TO BE WORKED ON.

*** RULE FINAL REL SELECT 1 ***

THEN (TOTAL_ALTERATION_CRITICALITY ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CRITICALITY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES QUALIFYING_RELATIONSHIP FACT EXPRESSIONS WITHIN THE SELECTED SKELETON. THE RULE MATCHES ON RELATIONSHIPS FOR WHICH TOTAL_ALTERNATION_CRITICALITY FACT EXPRESSIONS HAVE BEEN CONCLUDED BY RULE VAR_REL_1 AND FOR WHICH THERE DOES NOT EXIST A DO_NOT_VARY CONCLUSION.

*** RULE FINAL_REL_SELECT_2 ***

IF (CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (TOTAL_ALTERATION_CRITICALITY ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CRITICALITY)
 (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION INITIAL ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?INIT-REASON))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION SUBSEQ ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?SUBSEQ-REASON))

THEN (QUALIFYING_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CRITICALITY)

THIS IS THE TOP LEVEL RULE FOR SELECTING A SINGLE RELATIONSHIP TO VARY. IT INVOKES RULES TO SUM CRITICALITY, CONCLUDE DO_NOT_VARY FACT EXPRESSIONS, AND TO DETERMINE QUALIFYING_RELATIONSHIPS. *GET-HIGHEST-CRITICALITY-REL* IS A FUNCTION WHICH CHOOSES A SINGLE RELATIONSHIP WITH A QUALIFYING_RELATIONSHIP CONCLUSION ON THE BASIS OF THE HIGHEST CRITICALITY.

*** RULE FINAL_REL_SELECT_3 ***

THEN (SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CRITICALITY)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.6. --> SELECTION OF ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIONS TO VARY TO.

THE FOLLOWING ARE TWO TOP LEVEL RULES FOR SELECTION OF A SINGLE ALTERNATIVE REPRESENTATION FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID, WHICH HAS BEEN SELECTED TO BE VARIED. THEY SELECT THE RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION CONCLUSION WITH THE HIGHEST CERTAINTY FACTOR. ONE RULE IS FOR RECORD AND COMBINED PHASES AND THE OTHER FOR RELATIONSHIP PHASE

*** RULE SEL_REP_1 ***

- THEN (SELECT_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?CERT)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SEL_REP_2 ***

- THEN (SELECT_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?CERT)

THE REMAINDER OF THE RULES PERTAIN TO RECOMMENDING INDIVIDUAL OR MULTIPLE RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS TO VARY. IN THESE RULES, THE VARIABLE ?REP-LIST IS INSTANTIATED WITH A LIST OF RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS FOR SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER

THE NEXT TWO RULES ARE GENERAL RULES FOR SELECTING ABSORPTION AND SYMBOLIC POINTERS DURING THE RECORD PHASE.

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, ABSORPTION IS A REASONABLE REPRESENTATION IN THIS CLUSTER, ABSORPTION HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED TO BE A BAD REPRESENTATION FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER, IT HAS NOT BEEN FOUND TO BE GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT FOR ALL SKELETONS IN THE CLUSTER, THE CERTAINTY FACTOR OF ABSORPTION IS ABOVE A THRESHOLD - IN THIS CASE -0.9, THE RESULTING SKELETON WILL NOT BE A DUPLICATE, THEN RECOMMEND VARYING TO ABSORPTION ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID.

*** RULE SEL REP 3 ***

IF (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?ENTX ?ENTY)
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER
 ?REL_ID ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION))
 (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER
 ?REL_ID ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION))
 (FUNCTION-CALL PRODUCE-STRENGTH (SELECTED-REP ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID
 ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY) ?STR)
 (SKELETON ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?LIST_OF_REPS ?CODE)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *CONVERT-NUMBER* ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?ENTY ?NEW-NUMBER)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?STR -0.9)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *SKELETON-NOT-DUPLICATE* ?LIST_OF_REPS ?CODE ?REL_ID ?NEW-NUMBER)

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL ID ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENTY)

THIS RULE IS THE SAME AS SEL_REP_3, EXCEPT THE CHANGE IS TO A SYMBOLIC POINTER INSTEAD OF ABSORPTION.

```
*** RULE SEL_REP_4 ***
```

```
?REL_ID ?ENTX SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENTY )
```

THE NEXT THREE RULES RECOMMEND VARYING TWO RELATIONSHIPS AT ONCE IF A SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATIONS FACT HAS BEEN CONCLUDED FOR THE SELECTED RELATIONSHIP. THE VARIABLE ?SWITCH-RELS IS INSTANTIATED WITH TWO FACTS, ONE FOR EACH NEW RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION. SEE THE SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATION RULES WHICH FOLLOW.

DURING THE RECORD PHASE, IF THE SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, ABSORPTION HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE A REASONABLE REPRESENTATION FOR THIS RELATIONSHIP AND IS A SELECTED REPRESENTATION FOR THIS CLUSTER, ABSORPTION HAS NOT BEEN FOUND TO BE INEFFICIENT FOR THIS SKELETON, THE "MANY" ENTITY IN RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID HAS A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF DIRECT LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL, A SWITCH_TWO_RELATIONSHIPS FACT HAS BEEN CONCLUDED FOR ?REL_ID AND FOR AN ADJACENT RELATIONSHIP IN THE VARIABLE ?SWITCH-RELS, THE CHANGES CREATED BY THE ?SWITCH-RELS REPRESENTATIONS WERE NOT PREVIOUSLY FOUND TO BE INEFFICIENT IN SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER, THE ?SWITCH-RELS REPRESENTATIONS WERE NOT FOUND TO BE GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT FOR CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID, AND THE RESULTING SKELETON WILL NOT BE A DUPLICATE, THEN RECOMMEND VARYING THE TWO RELATIONSHIPS IN ?SWITCH-RELS

*** RULE SEL_REP_5 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?SWITCH-RELS - - *SWITCH*)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.1

THIS RULE IS THE SAME AS ABOVE, BUT FOCUSES ON PROBLEM RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE SEL_REP_6 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?SWITCH-RELS - - *SWITCH*)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.1

THIS RULE IS THE SAME EXCEPT IT FOCUSES ONLY ON FORMER CONNECTING RELATIONSHIPS IN TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTERS.

*** RULE SEL_REP_7 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?SWITCH-RELS - - *SWITCH*)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.1

THE FOLLOWING TWO RULES APPLY TO THE RELATIONSHIP PHASE.

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF A REPRESENTATION ?REPRESENTATION HAS BEEN SELECTED FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID OF CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID, SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THIS REPRESENTATION IS NOT A BAD REPRESENTATION FOR SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER, THIS REPRESENTATION HAS NOT BEEN CONCLUDED TO BE A GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT REPRESENTATION FOR ALL SKELETONS IN THE CLUSTER, THE CERTAINTY FACTOR OF ABSORPTION IS ABOVE A THRESHOLD - IN THIS CASE -0.9, AND THE RESULTING SKELETON WILL NOT BE A DUPLICATE, THEN RECOMMEND VARYING TO REPRESENTATION ?REL-NAME FOR ?REL ID.

*** RULE SEL REP 8 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?REPRESENTATION ?ENTY)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE STATES THAT IF SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IS THE CURRENT SKELETON BEING WORKED ON, THE RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION ?REPRESENTATION HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE GOOD FOR ALL SKELETONS, AND THE RESULTING SKELETON WILL NOT BE A DUPLICATE, THEN RECOMMEND REPRESENTATION ?REPRESENTATION FOR ?REL_ID.

*** RULE INC10 ***

THEN (RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?ENTX ?REPRESENTATION ?ENTY)

CERTAINTY FACTOR = 0.8

THE FOLLOWING ARE THREE RULES FOR SELECTING TWO RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATIONS TO VARY, E.G. CONCLUSION OF "SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATION" FACT EXPRESSIONS. NOTE THAT THE THIRD VARIABLE CONTAINING PATTERNS FOR TWO REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION FACT EXPRESSIONS CORRESPONDS TO ?SWITCH-RELS IN THE RULES ABOVE. THE CONCLUSIONS OF THESE RULES ARE USED BY RECOMMEND_NEW_REPRESENTATION RULES WHICH RECOMMEND TWO REPRESENTATIONS TO VARY AT ONCE.

*** RULE SEL_REP_11 ***

(DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENTX ?ENT2 1 M) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION ?STAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?REAS)) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID1 ?ENTR ?REPRESENTATION1 ?ENTS ?LIST_OF_REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REPRESENTATION1 ABSORBS) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?REPRESENTATION2 ?ENTB ?LIST_OF_REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REPRESENTATION2 ABSORBS) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID2 ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?ENTX ABSORBS ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION))

THEN (SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATIONS ?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2 T ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?LIST_OF_REPS)

*** RULE SEL_REP_12 ***

ΙF (DEGREE-OF ?REL ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENT2 ?ENT3 1 M) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?REL_IDX (?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)) (FUNCTION-CALL *IDENTIFY-OTHER-REL* ?REL_IDX (?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2) ?OTHER-REL) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION ?STAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL ID1 ?REAS)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION ?STAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?REAS)) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID1 ?ENTR ?REPRESENTATION1 ?ENTS ?LIST_OF_REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REPRESENTATION1 ABSORBS) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL ID2 ?ENTA ?REPRESENTATION2 ?ENTB ?LIST OF REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REPRESENTATION2 ABSORBS) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD NOT CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER ID ?SKEL ID NUMBER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT2 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID2 ?ENT2 ABSORBS ?ENT3 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?ENT2 ABSORBS ?ENT3 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION))

THEN (SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATIONS ?REL_IDX ?OTHER-REL T ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?LIST_OF_REPS)

```
*** RULE SEL_REP_13 ***
```

IF (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ?ENT2 1 M) (DEGREE-OF ?REL_ID2 ?ENT2 ?ENT3 1 M) (FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?REL_IDX (?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2)) (FUNCTION-CALL *IDENTIFY-OTHER-REL* ?REL_IDX (?REL_ID1 ?REL_ID2) ?OTHER-REL) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION ?STAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID1 ?REAS)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (PREVENT_ALTERATION ?STAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?REAS)) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID1 ?ENTR ?REPRESENTATION1 ?ENTS ?LIST_OF_REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?REPRESENTATION1 ABSORBS) (FUNCTION-CALL *EXTRACT-CURR-REP* ?REL_ID2 ?ENTA ?REPRESENTATION2 ?ENTB ?LIST_OF_REPS) (FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?REPRESENTATION2 ABSORBS) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID2 ?ENT3 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID2 ?ENT3 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD_NOT_CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)) (COULD NOT CONCLUDE (REPRESENTATION CONCLUSION ?CLUSTER ID ?SKEL ID NUMBER ?REL_ID1 ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION))

THEN (SWITCH_TWO_REPRESENTATIONS ?REL_IDX ?OTHER-REL T ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?LIST_OF_REPS)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.7 --> THE SKELETON ANALYSIS RULE GROUP.

A SKELETON SHOULD BE DISCARDED IF ITS COST GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 100,000 PROCESSING SECONDS, AND THE COST OF THE SKELETON GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.25 TIMES THE COST OF THE ITS PARENT SKELETON.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 1 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?ANCESTOR_ID ?PARCOST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBERSKEL ?SKEL-COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?SKEL-COST 100000.0)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?SKEL-COST ?PARCOST ?QT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QT 1.25)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID EXCESSIVE-COST)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

A SKELETON SHOULD BE DISCARDED IF ITS COST IS LESS THAN 100000 IN PROCESSING SECONDS, AND THE COST OF THE SKELETON GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 2.0 TIMES THE COST OF THE ITS PARENT SKELETON.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 2 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?PAR_ID_NUMBERSKEL ?ANOTHER ?PARCOST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* 100000.0 ?SKEL-COST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?SKEL-COST ?PARCOST ?QT)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QT 2.0)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID EXCESSIVE-COST)

A SKELETON HAVING A LOWER COST SUCCESSOR SHOULD BE DISCARDED IF ITS COST IS GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 100,000 PROCESSING SECONDS, AND THE COST OF THE SKELETON GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.25 TIMES THE COST OF THE SUCCESSOR.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 3 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(SKELETON-HISTORY ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?GP ?PARCOST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?SKEL-COST 100000.0)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?PARCOST ?SKEL-COST ?QT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QT 1.25)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID BETTER-CHILD-COST)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

A SKELETON HAVING A LOWER COST SUCCESSOR SHOULD DISCARDED IF ITS COST IS LESS THAN 100000 PROCESSING SECONDS, AND THE COST OF THE SKELETON GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 2.0 TIMES THE COST OF THE SUCCESSOR.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 4 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(SKELETON-HISTORY ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?GP ?PARCOST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>* 100000.0 ?SKEL-COST)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?PARCOST ?SKEL-COST ?QT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?QT 2.0)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID BETTER-CHILD-COST)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE DISCARDS A SKELETON BECAUSE OF EXCESSIVE COST IN A CLUSTER WHERE ENUMERATION HAS TAKEN PLACE.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 5 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL-COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (LOWEST-COST-SKEL ?CLUSTER_ID ?LOWSKEL ?LOWCOST)
 (FUNCTION-CALL MULTIPLY-VALUES ?LOWCOST 4 ?COST_CUTOFF)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?SKEL-COST ?COST_CUTOFF)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ENUMERATED_HIGH_COST_SKELETON)

A SKELETON SHOULD DISCARDED IF IT CONTAINS A RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION WHICH IS PART OF A CANONICAL RECORD THAT HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE INEFFICIENT BY THE DESIGN STRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_6 ***

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INTERNAL-BAD-REP)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

.....

THE NEXT SIX RULES CONCLUDE A SKELETON SHOULD DISCARDED IF IT CONTAINS A CANONICAL RECORD WHICH EXCEEDS A DEVICE LENGTH LIMIT. SUCH RECORDS ARE CONSIDERED INFEASIBLE. THE RULES ARE APPLIED DURING SKELETON GENERATION ONLY FOR DESIGN PROBLEMS WHERE DEVICE LENGTH LIMITATIONS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED BY THE USER. THE FIRST FOUR RULES TEMPORARILY DISCARD SKELETONS WITH EXCESSIVELY LONG RECORDS, THAT IS WHILE SKELETON GENERATION IS IN PROGRESS. THE LAST TWO RULES MAKE PERMANENT DETERMINATIONS AND ARE INVOKED AFTER SKELETON GENERATION OR ENUMERATION IS COMPLETE.

THIS RULE CONCLUDES THAT A SKELETON IS INFEASIBLE BECAUSE IT HAS A CANONICAL RECORD EXCEEDING A DEVICE LENGTH LIMITATION. THE NEXT FIVE RULES USE THIS CONCLUSION TO DISCARD SKELETONS IN VARIOUS SITUATIONS.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_7 ***

IF (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *LARGE-RECORD* ?CLUSTER ID ?SKEL ID_NUMBER ?REC-VAR ?LENGTH)

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH)

THIS RULE DISCARDS THE FIRST SKELETON GENERATED IF IT HAS INFEASIBLE RECORDS AND SUBSEQUENT SKELETONS HAVE BEEN GENERATED WHICH DO NOT HAVE INFEASIBLE RECORDS.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_8 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 1 ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID 1 INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *WITHIN-TRACK-LENGTH-RECORDS* ?CLUSTER_ID ?ANOTHER-SKEL)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON 1 ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-LENGTH)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES APPLY TO SKELETONS GENERATED AFTER THE FIRST SKELETON. THE RULES CONCLUDE THAT A SKELETON SHOULD BE TEMPORARILY DISCARDED BECAUSE IT CONTAINS AN INFEASIBLE RECORD WITH A LENGTH EXCEEDING A DEVICE LENGTH LIMIT, AND THE RECORDS OF THE PARENT SKELETON ARE WITHIN LIMITS.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 9 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
(SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBERENT_SKEL ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 1)
(SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH)
(FUNCTION-CALL *WITHIN-TRACK-LENGTH-RECORDS* ?CLUSTER_ID ?PAR_ID_NUMBERENT_SKEL)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-LENGTH)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 10 ***

- IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBERENT_SKEL ?SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
 (FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER 1)
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH1)
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?PAR_ID_NUMBERENT_SKEL INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH2)
 (FUNCTION-CALL *>* ?LENGTH1 ?LENGTH2)
- THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID RECORD-LENGTH)

THIS RULE PERMANENTLY DISCARDS A SKELETON FROM SELECTION FOR FINE-TUNING BECAUSE OF EXCESSIVE RECORD LENGTH AFTER THE RECORD PHASE OF SKELETON GENERATION IS COMPLETE.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_11 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*) (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID END-OF-RECORD-PHASE) (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INFEASIBLE_RECORD_STRUCTURE)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE PERMANENTLY DISCARDS A SKELETON FROM SELECTION FOR FINE-TUNING BECAUSE OF EXCESSIVE RECORD LENGTH IN A CLUSTER. IT IS APPLIED AFTER SKELETON ENUMERATION HAS TAKEN PLACE.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_12 ***

IF (USER-MESSAGE ALL-CG *ENF-TRACK-LIMITS*)
 (CG-DECISION ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER_SET_ID ?WHICH ENUMERATE_SKELETONS)
 (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID END-OF-RECORD-PHASE)
 (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER INFEASIBLE RECORD-LENGTH ?LENGTH)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID INFEASIBLE_RECORD_STRUCTURE)

THIS IS A RULE FOR IDENTIFYING A SKELETON AS HAVING A POSSIBLE LOCAL MINIMUM. THE RELATIONSHIP ?REL_TO_BE_CHANGED MUST HAVE ITS REPRESENTATION CHANGED TO ABSORPTION TO AVOID THE LOCAL MINIMUM.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_13 ***

THEN (SKELETON_INFORMATION ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER LOCAL-MINIMUM-BRANCH ?REL_ID ?REL_TO_BE_CHANGED)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THE NEXT TWO RULES DISCARD SKELETONS BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN A CYCLE OF ABSORPTION. THE EXISTENCE OF THESE CONDITIONS IS DETERMINED ALGORITHMICALLY AND THE "MESSAGE" FACT EXPRESSIONS ARE THEN ADDED TO THE DATABASE.

*** RULE SKEL ANAL 14 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ABSORPTION_CYCLE)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID ABSORPTION_CYCLE)

THIS RULE IS THE SAME AS ABOVE EXCEPT IT PERTAINS TO A SKELETON CONTAINING AN ENTITY ABSORBED ALONG TWO DIFFERENT RELATIONSHIPS.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_15 ***

IF (MESSAGE ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER DOUBLE_ABSORB_RELATIONSHIP)

THEN (DISCARD-SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID DOUBLE_ABSORB_RELATIONSHIP)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES THAT A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER HAS A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST. THE RULE STATES THAT IF THE PHASE IS RECORD OR RESTRICTED RECORD, THE CLUSTER IS A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER, A SKELETON WITH A COST 10% LOWER HAS BEEN GENERATED, THEN CONCLUDE THIS IS A TEMPORARY INTERSECTION CLUSTER IN WHICH A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST SKELETON HAS BEEN FOUND. THE RELATIONSHIP WHICH WAS VARIED TO PRODUCE TO LOWER COST IS INSTANTIATED TO ?REL_ID. SEE SECTION 7.3.

*** RULE SKEL_ANAL_16 ***

IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID ?PHASE)
(FUNCTION-CALL *IS-ONE-OF* ?PHASE (RECORD RESTRICTED-RECORD))
(CURRENT_SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CLUSTER_ID)
(CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?CLUSTER-SET)
(FUNCTION-CALL EQUAL-TERMS ?CLUSTER-SET TEMPORARY_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER)
(SKELETON-HISTORY ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?SKELCOST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(SKELETON-HISTORY ?PAR_ID_NUMBER ?PAR_ID_NUMBER2 ?PAR_SKEL_COST ?CLUSTER_ID)
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?SKELCOST ?PAR_SKEL_COST ?PERCENT)
(FUNCTION-CALL *<=* ?PERCENT 0.9)
(SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?CRITICALITY)</pre>

THEN (LOW_COST_SKELETON_IN_INTERSECTION_CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID)

RULES FOR SECTION 7.8 --> THE DESIGN STRUCTURE EVALUATION RULE GROUP.

THIS RULE DETERMINES THAT ABSORPTION ALONG RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IS GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT FOR ALL SKELETONS IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IF THE PHASE IS RECORDS, ABSORPTION ALONG ?REL_ID WAS FOUND TO BE BAD IN TWO RECORDS WITH LENGTHS WHICH VARY BY MORE THAN 15% OF EACH OTHER, THE ENTITY BEING ABSORBED HAS A LARGE AMOUNT OF LARGE SUBSET RETRIEVAL ON IT.

*** RULE REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION_1 ***

- THEN (DESIGN_STRUCTURE_ANALYSIS ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ABSORBS ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)

A REPRESENTATION FOR RELATIONSHIP ?REL_ID IS GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT FOR ALL SKELETONS IN CLUSTER ?CLUSTER_ID IF THE PHASE IS RELATIONSHIPS, AND THE REPRESENTATION WAS FOUND TO BE BAD IN TWO RECORDS WITH LENGTHS VARY BY MORE THAN 15% OF EACH OTHER.

*** RULE REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION_2 ***

```
IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE )
(CONCLUSION_FOR_SKELETON ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER1
    ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER1 ?RECORD_LEN1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION )
(CONCLUSION_FOR_SKELETON ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER2
    ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER2 ?RECORD_LEN2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION )
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER1 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER1 ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?RECORD_LEN1 ?RECORD_LEN2 )
(DEVICE_LENGTH ?WHAT ?TRACK_LEN ?RECORD_LEN2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?TRACK_LEN ?RECORD_LEN2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?RECORD_LEN2 ?RECORD_LEN1 ?Q )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 0.85 ?Q )
```

```
THEN (DESIGN_STRUCTURE_ANALYSIS ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER
?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)
```

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS IS A SIMILAR RULE WHICH APPLIES TO TWO RECORDS LONGER THAN A TRACK HAVING LENGTHS WITHIN 40% OF EACH OTHER. USER SPECIFIED DEVICE LENGTH RESTRICTIONS NEED NOT BE IN FORCE.

*** RULE REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION_3 ***

```
IF (CONCLUDE_PHASE ?CLUSTER_ID RELATIONSHIP-PHASE )
(CONCLUSION_FOR_SKELETON ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER1
    ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER1 ?RECORD_LEN1 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION )
(CONCLUSION_FOR_SKELETON ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER2
    ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER2 ?RECORD_LEN2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION )
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER1 ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL NOT-EQUAL ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER1 ?RECORD_ID_NUMBER2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?RECORD_LEN1 ?RECORD_LEN2 )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* ?RECORD_LEN2 ?TRACK_LEN )
(FUNCTION-CALL DIVIDE ?RECORD_LEN2 ?RECORD_LEN1 ?Q )
(FUNCTION-CALL *>=* 0.6 ?Q )
```

```
THEN (DESIGN_STRUCTURE_ANALYSIS ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER
?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2 INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)
```

THIS RULE DETERMINES THAT A SYMBOLIC POINTER FOR A RELATIONSHIP IS GLOBALLY EFFICIENT FOR ALL SKELETONS IN A CLUSTER IF THE POINTER WAS PREVIOUSLY FOUND TO BE GOOD FOR IN A SKELETON OF THE SAME CLUSTER, AND THE POINTER IS IN THE SAME DIRECTION AS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF NONFORWARDING CONTEXT ACTIVITY. THIS RULE EFFECTIVELY CONFIRMS THE EXISTENCE OF A GOOD SOLUTION FOR A RELATIONSHIP WITH NONFORWARDING CONTEXT ACTIVITY.

*** RULE REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION_4 ***

- - (RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?CLUSTER_ID)
- THEN (DESIGN_STRUCTURE_ANALYSIS ?CLUSTER_ID GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 SYMBOL-POINTS-TO ?ENT2 EFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION)

NO CERTAINTY FACTOR

THIS RULE CONCLUDES A RELATIONSHIP REPRESENTATION IS EFFICIENT FOR SKELETON ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER IF THIS REPRESENTATION HAS NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN FOUND TO BE INEFFICIENT IN ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER AND HAS NOT BEEN FOUND TO BE GLOBALLY INEFFICIENT IN THE CLUSTER.

*** RULE REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION_5 ***

THEN (EFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION_FOUND ?CLUSTER_ID ?SKEL_ID_NUMBER ?REL_ID ?ENT1 ?REPRESENTATION ?ENT2)

GLOSSARY

- *CLUSTER-ROOT-ENTITY* an independent entity having one or more dependent entities.
- *COMPUTE_CRITICALITY* compute criticality of relationship alteration.
- *DEPENDENT-ENTITY-HAS-LG-SUB-ACTIVITY-WITH-SELECTION* a dependent entity is the focus of large subset activity with selection criteria.
- *FREQ-INDEPENDENT-TO-INTERSECTION* total retrieval frequency from independent to dependent entity with no forwarding contexts.
- *FREQ-INTERSECTION-TO-INDEPENDENT* total retrieval frequency from dependent to independent entity with no forwarding contexts.
- *GET_LEAST_COST_VIABLE_SKELETON* the lowest cost, e.g. most efficient skeleton generated within a cluster.
- *INTERSECTION-NO-ATTRIBUTES* an intersection entity with no attributes.
- *TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY* total direct activity, e.g. initial context activity on a specific entity.
- *TOTAL_RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY* total all activity using a relationship, e.g. subsequent context activity.
- ACTION-ON determination of control module decision during selective skeleton generation.
- ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-FOR-BREAKS boundary between high and low activity for establishing break relationships.
- ACTIVITY-CUTOFF-POINT-FOR-ENTITY boundary between high and low retrieval activity for record.
- ADJACENT_CLUSTERS identifies two clusters as being adjacent, e.g. they have a connecting relationship between them.
- ALL-ITEMS-FORM-IDENTIFIER-FOR all attributes for the entity are part of primary identifier.
- ASSOCIATE-INTERSECT-ENTITY-WITH-REL intersection entity most strongly associated with independent entity along relationship.

- BOND_RELATIONSHIP indicates a conclusion prohibiting selection of this relationship as a breakpoint.
- BREAK indicates a relationship has been selected as a breakpoint.
- CANON-RECORD-ANALYSIS analysis predicate for canonical record.
- CANON-RECORD-DETERMINATION conclusion about record as part of solution.
- CARDINALITY indicates entity cardinality, e.g. number of instances of an entity.
- CLUSTER-ACTION determination of control module design action on cluster.
- CLUSTER_CHARACTERIZATION cluster characterization: cluster name, set name, characterization.
- CLUSTER_PRIORITY a measure of priority of an individual cluster for processing.
- COMPLETELY RECOMBINE indicates complete recombination action.
- CONCLUDED-BECAUSE-OF-FACTS--> the preceding fact was concluded by the following list of facts.
- CONCLUDE_PHASE relationship generation phase record or relationship, or combined.
- CONCLUSION_FOR_SKELETON indicates determination of efficiency or inefficiency of a relationship representation relevant to all skeletons in a cluster.
- CONFLICTING-RETRIEVAL-CONTEXTS indicates a relationship is involved in conflicting retrieval contexts.
- COULD-NOT-CONCLUDE the following fact could not be concluded.
- CURRENT_SKELETON identifies the skeleton selected to be worked on.
- DBMS_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION translation of reasonable representation into form consistent with target database management system.
- DEGREE-OF indicates relationship degree, or relationship cardinality.

DEPENDS-ON - the first entity depends on the second.

- DESCRIPTOR-COMPONENT identifies an attribute as being part of the descriptor.
- DEVICE_LENGTH maximum allowable record based on physical device restriction.
- DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITY indicates total of direct activity on an entity according to subset size.
- DISCARD-SKELETON determination the skeleton will no longer be worked on and reason.
- DIVIDE CLUSTER indicates a cluster will undergo division.
- DIVIDE COMPLETE indicates a cluster has been subdivided with all 'child' clusters having completed processing.
- DO_NOT_USE_REPRESENTATION_FOR indicates there is a reason for not using a representation for a particular relationship.
- EFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION identifies an efficient relationship representation within the context of a particular canonical record.
- ELIMINATE_REPRESENTATION eliminate this relationship representation during relationship restriction.
- ENTITY_LENGTH_80_20_SEGMENTATION the total length of the entity and the length of the primary segment based on 80/20 rule.
- ENTITY_LENGTH_FOR_ATTRIBUTES the total length of the entity based on attributes alone.
- ENUMERATED_HIGH_COST_SKELETON identification of a skeleton which can be discarded during skeleton enumeration because of high cost.
- EQUAL-TERMS the following terms are equal.
- FOLLOW-UP-DECISION follow up determination of design action on a cluster.
- FRAGMENT-PROHIBITS indicates a relationship should not be used as breakpoint to prevent excessive fragmentation of cluster.
- FUNCTION-CALL call to function which returns value: function name and arguments.

- GENERATED_CANONICAL_RECORDS indicates canonical records have been generated for a cluster.
- GLOBAL_TO_CLUSTER indicates determination of efficiency or inefficiency of a relationship representation relevant to all skeletons in a cluster.
- HEAVY-DESCRIPTOR-ACTIVITY existence of heavy nonforwarding context.
- IMPORTANCE-FACTOR numeric factor indicating importance of cluster based on internal problems.
- INEFFICIENT_REPRESENTATION identifies an inefficient relationship representation within the context of a particular canonical record.
- INITIAL-CONTEXT-RECORD record is initial context for retrieval: record name, identifier, frequency.
- INITIAL-DECISION initial determination of design action to take on a cluster.
- INITIAL_REASONABLE_REPRESENTATION indicates this is initial reasonable representation chosen at the beginning of processing.
- INVOKE_BREAKPOINT_GROUP control module decision for use of a breakpoint selection rule group.
- LEAF_ENTITY an entity which corresponds to a leaf node in the LDS, e.g. has only one relationship.
- LOW_FORWARDING_% characterization of low forwarding percentage for a relationship.
- MEMBER-ENTITY identifies an entity as a member of a cluster.
- MINIMUM_CUTOFF_FOR boundary between high and low retrieval activity for relationship.
- NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY the descriptor is used as projection criteria, e.g. existence of nonforwarding context.
- NON_FORWARDING_CONTEXT_ACTIVITY indicates existence of nonforwarding context activity.

NUMBER-OF-ENTITIES-IN-CLUSTER - number of entities in a cluster.

- NUMBER-SKELETONS-GENERATED number of skeletons generated for the cluster.
- NUMBER_OF_POTENTIAL_SKELETONS_FOR number of skeletons in the cluster.
- PARTIAL_ID_RELATIONSHIP indicates a relationship is part of an entity identifier.
- PREVENTS-WORK-ON-SET reason not to work on descendent cluster set.
- PREVENT_ALTERATION indicates there is a reason to prevent alteration of a relationship.
- PROPOSED-BREAK indicates a relationship has been proposed as a breakpoint.
- PROPOSE_REPRESENTATION_FOR proposed representation for relationship.
- QUALIFYING_FOR_ALTERATION indicates a relationship recommended for alteration has no conclusions recommending preventing alteration.
- RECOMMEND_FOR_ALTERATION indicates a relationship is recommended for alteration of its representation.
- RECORD_SIZE_LARGE the record produced by absorption along this relationship would be very small.
- RECORD_SIZE_SMALL_&_LEAF_ENTITY the record produced by absorbing the leaf entity would be very small.
- RELATIONSHIP_ACTIVITY indicates total of activity forwarded along a relationship according to subset size.
- RELATIONSHIP_CHARACTERIZATION identification of relationship as problem area or relationship of interest.
- RELATIONSHIP_IN_CLUSTER relationship belonging to a particular cluster.
- REL_ACTIVITY_FORWARDED_TO_ENTITY total activity on entity along relationships.
- REPRESENTATION_CONCLUSION a representation which was varied to and the resulting conclusion.

RESTRICT-REPRESENTATIONS - decision to apply rules to restrict representations.

- SELECT-SPECIAL-REPS decision to apply rules to select specialized representations.
- SELECTED-REP relationship representation is considered for processing in a particular cluster.
- SELECT_FOR_ALTERATION identifies a single relationship as selected for alteration.
- SIGNIFICANT_WORKLOAD_COMPLEXITY characterizes relationship as having significant workload complexity.
- SKELETON-HISTORY contains parent skeleton, cost, and name of a cluster.
- TOTAL-ACTIVITY-ON-RELATIONSHIP total activity on relationship.
- TOTAL-ENTITY-DELETION-FREQUENCY frequency of entity deletion.
- TOTAL-ENTITY-INSERTION-FREQUENCY frequency of entity insertion.
- TOTAL_ALTERATION_CRITICALITY indicates total alteration criticality for a relationship recommended for alteration.
- TOTAL_DIRECT_ACTIVITY_ON_ENTITIES_IN total retrieval frequency for relationship entities weighted by retrieval size.
- TRIVIAL_SIZE_MANY_ENTITY the record produced by absorption along this relationship would be very small.
- TWO-PRIMARY-IDENTIFYING-RELATIONSHIPS entity has more than one relationship which is part of the primary identifier.
- TYPE-OF-ENTITY one of four major entity types.
- VERY-HEAVY-DESCRIPTOR-ACTIVITY existence of very heavy nonforwarding context.

ND3+174A KEV. 240			
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Performing Organ. Report No. 3.	Publication Date
SHEET (See instructions)	NISTIR 89-4139		August, 1989
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Detailed Description of the Knowledge-Based System for Physical Database Design			
3. AUTHOR(3)			
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (If joint or other than NBS, see instructions)			Contract/Grant No.
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE GAITHERSBURG, MD 20899			Type of Report & Period Covered ternal Report (IR)
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZAT	ION NAME AND COMPLETE	ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP)	00 - 12/08
IV. SUPPLEMENTART NUTES			
Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Summary, is attached.			
 ABSTRACT (A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here) 			
A knowledge-based system for physical database design has been developed at the National Computer Systems Laboratory. This system was previously described in NIST Special Publication 500-151. This is a follow-up report to that publication which describes the knowledge base for this system in detail. The description includes a complete explanation of each component of the knowledge base together with the actual rules used by the system.			
	•		
certainty factor; entity-relationship model; inference engine; knowledge-based system; logical data structure; physical database design.			
13. AVAILABILITY			14. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES
T Unlimited	00 Do Not Belence to NTIS		176
Order From Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.			.C. 15 Price
Order From National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA. 22161			\$19.95


