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## ABSTRACT

This report is a programmer's manual for a microcomputer system designed at the National Bureau of Standards for selecting optimal locations of IRS Posts-of-Duty. The mathematical model is the uncapacitated, fixed charge, facility location model which minimizes travel and facility costs. The package consists of two sections of code, one in FORTRAN and the other in PASCAL. The FORTRAN driver handles graphics displays and controls input and output for the solution procedure. This report discusses the mathematical techniques used to solve the mathematical model developed and includes a Greedy procedure, an Interchange procedure, and a Lagrangian approach to the related linear program. A description of these PASCAL routines and definitions of key data structures and variables are provided.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The Internal Revenue Service Post-of-Duty Location System is a microcomputer package designed to assist IRS district planners in selecting locations for Post-of-Duty (POD's) that will minimize total costs. This paper is part of a series of reports documenting the POD location system. The reports in the series are as follows.

1) The Internal Revenue Service Post-of-Duty Location Modeling System: Final Report.

This report describes the post-of-duty location problem and its mathematical model. This report discusses the data used in calculating costs, describes the solution procedures, and provides a brief introduction to the computer implementation of the model (NBS Contact: Paul D. Domich).
2) The Internal Revenue Service Post-of-Duty Location Modeling System: User's Manual.

This report is a user's guide for the post-of-duty location computer system. This report gives the hardware and software requirements, the instructions for installing the system, a description of data files, and detailed instructions for operating the system (NBS Contact: Marjorie A. McClain).
3) The Internal Revenue Service Post-of-Duty Location Modeling System: Programmer's Manual for FORTRAN Driver.

This report describes the FORTRAN driver which handles graphics displays and controls input and output for the solution procedure. An alphabetical list of the FORTRAN routines includes a description of purpose, a list of variables, and the calling sequence (NBS Contact: Marjorie A. McClain).
4) The Internal Revenue Service Post-of-Duty Location Modeling System: Programmer's Manual for PASCAL Solver.

This report is a programmer's manual for the PASCAL solver and describes the mathematical techniques used to solve the facility location problem. Included are a Greedy procedure, an Interchange procedure, and a Lagrangian approach to the related linear program. A description of these PASCAL routines and definitions of key data structures and variables are provided (NBS Contact: Paul D. Domich).

For the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), the facility location problem involves the placement of Posts-of-Duty (POD's) for a given tax district, according to the following model: locate $k$ POD's so as to minimize the total "cost" of the allocation. This cost is the sum of the fixed costs incurred by opening or closing POD's, the operating costs for open POD sites, and the travel costs incurred by taxpayers and IRS personnel. The interested reader may also refer to any introductory textbook in Integer Programming (for example, Garfinkel and Nemhauser (1972), Hu (1969)) or one of the many papers on this subject (for example, Cornuejols, Fisher, and Nemhauser (1977), Erlenkotter (1978)) for a general mathematical description of the facility location model.

In the model, data is aggregated to a 5 -digit zip code level. The travel cost of serving a given zip code is a function of the Euclidean distance to the nearest POD in the solution, the workload for that zip code in the period of interest (for example, one year), and the difficulty of travel between that particular zip code and the zip code in which the POD is located.

The disaggregated data for the problem comes in a variety of forms. The first is map data which includes co-ordinates used as the centroid to the zip code area, along with a list of zip code boundary points and of boundary segments of adjacent zip code areas. This data is provided by contract to IRS from Geographic Data Technology Inc. The centroid co-ordinates are used in calculating distances between zip code areas and for displaying the map of the state. Boundary points are used to draw the state map, while the list of adjacent zip code areas can be used to display the POD service regions for a given solution to the POD location problem.

A second source of data is workload data from the IRS Individual and Business Master Files and includes Examination, Collection, Taxpayer Service and Criminal Investigation workload data. Opening costs for new "potential" POD sites or closing costs for currently "existing" POD sites, and the cost of operating a POD facility in a particular zip code area are costs determined by the individual IRS District Offices. A more complete description of these costs follows.

For each zipcode-POD pair, workload is combined with the distance and travel difficulty factors between the two locations to produce a single factor which represents the cost of servicing the zip code by that POD site
(see Report 1 for more information). The distance from zip code to POD is calculated using centroid co-ordinates from the geographic data mentioned above, while difficulty factors are user-specified.

The fixed costs represent the cost of opening a potential site or closing an existing site while operating costs are associated only with POD sites determined by the solver routine to be open. These costs are included directly in the zipcode-pair cost factors and are implicitly handled by the SOLVER routine. The model correctly accommodates the interactive changes made by the user. The initial POD file should reflect the current $P O D$ configuration and accurately specify opening and closing costs. Opening or closing costs for $P O D$ sites not specified in the $P O D$ data file can be interactively set by the user.

The operating cost for a POD site is computed in part from the zip code areas it services. For each zip code area the number of tax returns received is translated into a floor space requirement at a particular POD site. The cost of the floor space being different for each POD site requires that this cost be included with the travel cost associated with that zipcode-POD pair. Other related costs, for example overnight travel costs and parking costs, may also be added to this factor. This cost data can be used to determine the objective function coefficients for the facility location problem.

Finally, a list of zip code areas designated as potential or currently existing POD sites and a list of those zip code areas required to contain a POD site in any solution are required. The maximum distance allowed between a POD site and the zip codes it serves determines which zipcode-POD pairs are considered by the SOLVER routines. This distance represents the maximum distance either an agent or taxpayer is expected to travel and varies from region to region. This data along with the number of POD sites desired in the final solution define the constraints to the facility location problem.

[^0]The method for finding a "good" solution to the IRS POD location problem is based on two well-known and dependable heuristic procedures. The first is the Greedy heuristic (see, for example, Cornuejols, et al., (1977)) and the other is the Teitz-Bart Interchange heuristic (Teitz and Bart (1968)). Also used by the procedure is a graph coloring algorithm, called the Sequential Least-first Interchange Algorithm (see Matula et al., (1972)), to display the final solution graphically. Each procedure is discussed below.

## 1. The Greedy Heuristic

In its simplest form, the Greedy heuristic for adding a POD to the current configuration proceeds as follows (see procedure GreedyADD in the Appendix).

1) Choose the "cheapest" $P O D$ site and assign all workload to that site.
2) Choose $k$, the final number of open POD sites desired in the optimal solution.
3) Among all allowable POD locations not currently in use, select that site $S$ which would most diminish the total assignment cost for the problem, were it added to the current solution.
4) If this improvement is positive, and fewer than $k$ sites are currently active, add site $S$ to the active $P O D$ set, let $k=k+1$, and go to 3 ).
5) Else, stop.

The GreedySUB routine for removing a POD from the current configuration operates in a similar fashion.

The above procedure has been modified to accommodate the presence of feasibility restrictions for the IRS model. Specifically, because of the limit on the maximum travel distance from POD site to zip code area, an initial feasible solution must be provided by the user as input to the solver routines. Without these travel distance restrictions, step 1 would yield a feasible solution, though possibly at a large cost. Since the Greedy heuristic restricts itself to feasible assignments, it assumes that a feasible solution exists prior to altering POD sites.

The current $P O D$ configuration is an adequate initial solution provided the distance limit is properly specified. Unfortunately, the pre-specified distance limit may be less than the actual distance traveled between a $z i p$ code and a POD site in the current configuration. Feasibility can be attained by increasing the distance limit to the maximum actual distance traveled. Note that as a result of altering the distance limit, the number of feasible zipcode/POD pairs changes, which consequently, affects the complexity of the problem.

The second modification is that the target number of facilities, $k$, supercedes objective function tests; the Greedy heuristic adds or subtracts facilities from the current set as long as feasibility is maintained. It is possible that an increase in cost may result after adding a facility. This may be a result of a large fixed cost associated with a particular POD site or a temporary aberration in the current assignment which will be adjusted later in the algorithm. The procedure will add the site regardless of the effect on the objective value. This provides the user with control over the number of open POD sites in the final solution, against the chance that the number of facilities desired may be influenced by factors not incorporated in the mathematical model.

In the event of such objective value degeneration, a warning message will be printed to the user. Note that such worsening does not necessarily imply that fewer facilities will yield an eventual solution which is better than that yielded by a larger number of facilities. Rather, the Greedy heuristic has exhausted all other advantageous POD sites given its initial allocation. The final application of the interchange heuristic will attempt to correct this objective function value deterioration.

Should the Greedy heuristic fail to find a feasible solution at some iteration, the program will advise the user and continue with the last known feasible number of facilities as the target number in all subsequent calculations.
2. Teitz-Bart Interchange.

Once the target number of facilities has been allocated by the Greedy heuristic, the solution procedure tries to determine a better solution with the same specified number of open POD sites. The procedure iteratively
locates pairs of POD sites, one which is presently selected and one not, such that if the two are interchanged in the current configuration, the overall cost is reduced. When no such pair exists, the routine terminates with the last configuration. The following heuristic, which is a modified version of that of Teitz and Bart (1968) is used:

1) Partition the set of allowable sites into two sets, $A$ and $B$, where $A$ is the set of currently assigned sites and $B$ is all other potential POD sites.
2) Look for a pair of sites, $a$ in $A$ and $b$ in $B$ such that (i) $\operatorname{cost}(A-(a)+(b))$ is less than $\operatorname{cost}(A)$, (ii) a is not required to be a POD site, and (iii) A - (a) + (b) is feasible.
3) For all pairs satisfying 2, select that pair which produces the largest improvement and exchange $a$ for $b$ in the set of active sites. Go to 2.
4) If no such pair exists, stop.

The modification of step 2 parts (ii) and (iii) are excluded in the original reference which did not have the initial feasibility restrictions. Because of the travel distance limit previously described, an initial feasible solution is required. The combination of the Greedy heuristic followed by the Interchange heuristic is well known to produce very good solutions to the facility location problem (see, for example, Cornuejols, Fisher, and Nemhauser (1977))
3. Graph Coloring.

To display in color the final assignment of $z i p$ code areas to POD locations, it is necessary to ensure that no two adjacent POD service areas, i.e., two areas sharing a common border, are colored with the same color. This is a map coloring problem, where the regions involved are groups of customers aggregated by their assigned POD facility. The problem is to choose colors $C_{j}$ for the regions $V_{j}$ of a graph $G$, such that $C_{i}$ is not equal to $C_{j}$ if $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are adjacent regions, and in such a fashion that a "small" number of colors are used. Since all of the zip code maps can be represented as planar graphs (i.e., graphs that can be drawn on a sheet of paper so that no two edges cross), theoretically all can be colored using only four colors. In practice, to find a four-coloring is a very difficult problem, so a five- or six-coloring is used. For a description of the
coloring algorithm, see Matula, et al, "Graph Coloring Algorithms", (1972). The procedure used is called the Sequential Least-first Interchange heuristic (SII) and is presented in the Appendix.
4. Lower Bounds and Lagrangian Relaxation.

As previously stated, the Greedy heuristic and the Interchange heuristic described above are well-known to produce good solutions to the facility location problem. One drawback with these procedures involves determining when the generated solution is in fact the optimal integral solution to the described problem. One way to demonstrate the optimality of a solution involves generating lower bounds to the optimal objective function value. One bound can be obtained by solving the linear programming (LP) relaxation of the original problem, i.e., the original problem without the integrality constraints.

In general the LP formulation of the facility location problem has a large number of constraints in the problem description and it, too, can be difficult to solve. One Lagrangian relaxation of this LP problem removes the requirement that a $z i p$ code is serviced by exactly one POD and adds a penalty to the objective value for any violation of these constraints. This relaxation can produce the optimal LP objective function value in an iterative manner, and provide at each step a lower bound to the optimal solution to the original facility location problem. Further, by rounding the possibly fractional real-valued solution produced by this method, an improved integral solution may be found as a by-product. The interested reader may refer to the many articles in this subject (e.g., Cornuejols, et al. (1977), Fisher(1982)).

The Lagrangian solution procedure will relax the constraints requiring that a zip code area can be serviced by exactly one POD site while penalizing the objective function for any violation in these constraints. A feasible solution to the relaxed problem is found and the penalty factors are modified in a manner which forces the relaxed constraints to be satisfied. This iterative procedure generates a series of objective values which are lower bounds to the optimal integral objective value to the original problem. Further, by rounding the real-valued objective value
produced by this method, an improved integral objective value may be found as a by-product of the procedure.

Often for the facility location problem, the optimal LP objective value is equal to the optimal integral objective value (see, for example, Morris (1978)) and therefore the optimality of the heuristic integral solution can be demonstrated using the real-valued objective value. Otherwise, either there exists a "gap" between the optimal LP objective value and the optimal integral objective value, or the integral solution is nonoptimal. In the latter case, the bound provides an estimate on the "goodness" of the integral solution value.
III. USER'S GUIDE

## 1. System Requirements

The SOLVER package, and the graphics environment in which it runs, are written specifically for systems running MS/DOS on an IBM PC compatible (Intel 8088-based) microcomputer with a math co-processor and a l0mb fixed disk. When executing the FORTRAN driver routines, it is essential to have the math co-processor to ensure correct type-matching in the input data files produced by driver routines, as well as desirable speed of execution. The graphics capability is provided via a number of different hardware and software functions. Included are the following:

Graphics Display Monitor, Graphics Expansion Card, IBM Graphical Kernel System.

The SOLVER routines are written in TURBO PASCAL Version 5.0 (Borland International Inc., (1988)). There are several reasons for choosing Pascal as the language for the SOLVER and these are summarized below.

1. Pascal has a dynamic storage capability, permitting a more efficient use of core memory than is possible in static-allocation languages like FORTRAN, BASIC, and APL. This is essential to solve large problems.
2. TURBO PASCAL compiles about one order of magnitude faster than other available Pascal compilers, and several orders of magnitude faster than available FORTRAN. As an example, the 2721 lines of code in the SOLVER program compile and link in under 9 seconds, to a file of only 45 K
bytes. A similar FORTRAN code requires over 6 minutes to compile and link and has a much larger storage requirement.
3. Pascal supports pointer variables and structured data-types (user defined records), making for much more legible, structured, and easily altered code.
4. TURBO PASCAL is about one-fifth the price of most other Pascal or FORTRAN packages, and includes a number of graphics and utility programs in this price. It runs its own developmental operating system, and traps and locates run-time errors automatically, thus greatly enhancing program development.

The flexibility provided by the Pascal programming language allows development of a well-structured program which is easily understood. The only limitation of the language in this application involved data transfers. This problem was resolved using a FORTRAN unformatted write statement in the preprocessor graphics routines which create the data files used by the SOLVER routines. I/O issues are discussed in Section 4.

## 2. Using the Package

The SOLVER package is used as a subprogram to the IRS POD Location Modeling System which performs all preprocessing of input data and graphically displays workload data and SOLVER's final solution. As input data the SOLVER routine requires a single file (called TRANSER. $x$ ) that is automatically generated by the graphics package (see the IRS Post-Of-Duty Location Modeling System: User's Manual). This file defines the facility location problem and contains information about the individual zip code areas and also specifies assignment costs from zip code areas to the feasible POD sites. For computational efficiency, this file is written in binary format. The exact commands needed to call SOLVER from the main program are discussed in detail in the report mentioned above.

Once the driver routine generates the input files for the solver routines, the user is provided with a summary of the problem characteristics, followed by a query to the user for additional information on the number of POD's desired in the final solution. Once the current problem is fully described, control is passed to the SOLVER routines and the following steps occur. The following text illustrates this phase of the program:

# Total mmber of possible POD's is mm. Number of current and fixed POD's is man. 

Enter the desired mumer of POD's in the final solution: kkk
where $n n n$, mmm, and kkk are integer values. After the last prompt has been answered, the solver proceeds to solve the POD location problem. A summary of the problem characteristics is provided with the initial and improved solution values. An in-depth examination of the solver routine is given in the next section.

## IV. THE CODE

## 1. General Outline

The structure of the solver routines involves four basic program units. The first performs the input of the facility location problem as defined in the pre-processor graphics package (see the IRS Post-Of-Duty Location Modeling System: User's Manual). The problem file is read and entered into the data structures and, from the existing configuration of POD's, an initial interchange is performed so as to locate the best possible solution given the original number of POD facilities. Next, the number of POD's is altered by adding or deleting POD's as required via the Greedy heuristic. Upon termination of the Greedy heuristic, a final interchange is performed which seeks the best possible solution of the given size. Finally, a graph coloring is performed so as to display the POD service areas in the final solution.

The code for the solver portion of the package is found in the files;

> SOLVER.PAS,
> INIT.PAS, DSTRUCT.PAS, GREEDY.PAS, INTCHG.PAS, FIVCLR.PAS, PODCLR.PAS,
> LGRN.PAS.

The SOLVER file contains the driver program as well as routines to compute the cost of an allocation (i.e., assign customers to their nearest facility) and to output the current solution. The routine INIT performs array, set, and pointer initializations. The sparse-matrix data structures determined from the input data are set up by DSTRUCT. The procedure GREEDY performs the Greedy heuristic calculations and contains general utility routines, denoted as InsertPOD and DeletePOD, that add and delete POD's from the data structure, re-establishing the data structure for the new set of POD's. INTCHG is the interchange heuristic algorithm. The LGRN routine determines a lower bound on the optimal integer-valued solution to the problem and can be used to verify the solution found by the Greedy and interchange heuristics. The FIVCLR and PODCLR routines are used to determine a coloring of the final solution map for displaying the POD service regions.
2. List of Functions and Procedures:

The following is a list of procedures and functions, and their purposes:

## FUNCTIONS:

SwapVal(old,new)

Exist(filename)

Returns the change in objective function value associated with an exchange of facility "new" for facility "old" in the current set of facilities.

Boolean function returning true if the string "filename" is the name of a current disk file, false otherwise.

## PROCEDURES:

Match Associates with each customer area (zip code) the nearest facility in the current set of facilities. Values are set for the arrays BestPOD[], NextBestPOD[], CurrentCost[]. NextCost[].

Adds all assignment costs to find the current objective function value.

Sends a list of current POD assignments to the default list device.

Zeroes arrays, empties sets, and NIL's pointers prior to program execution.

| CreateDataStructures | Reads zip code data from the special file TRANSFER. $x x$ then establishes the sparse array data structure which has cost and feasibility data for specific POD allocations. Rows of the array are pointed to by the vector of pointers Map[], and columns are pointed to by the pointer fields of the vector of records CanBe[]. As each record of data is read for a feasible zipcode/POD pair, an entry in the sparse array is created, specifying the zip code index and the zip code index of the POD site involved, the cost, and a pointer to the next zip code entry for that $P O D$ site and a pointer to the next $P O D$ site for that zip code. This record is inserted in the data structure ordered by increasing cost. Rows correspond to all POD sites which may feasibly serve a given zip; columns correspond to all zips which may be feasibly served by a given POD sile. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Greedy | Performs the Greedy heuristic as described in Section II.l. |
| GreedyADD | Increases the number of POD's by one, according to the Greedy heuristic. |
| GreedySUB | Decreases number of POD's by one, according to the Greedy heuristic (if feasible). |
| Interchange | Performs interchange heuristic on problem, as described above. |
| InsertPOD | Performs the insertion of a POD to the current set and updates the BestPOD[], NextBestPOD[], CurrentCost[], NextCost[] arrays. |
| RemovePOD | Performs the removal of a POD from the current set and updates the BestPOD[], NextBestPOD[], CurrentCost[], NextCost[] arrays. |
| GraphColor | Performs the sequential least-first interchange coloring algorithm on the graph of the final solution, coloring POD "spheres of influence" to avoid having identical adjacent colors. |
| Lagrangian_dual | Computes a lower bound on the best possible solution to the problem. Can be used to verify the optimality of the heuristic solutions. |
| Quick_Sort | Performs a sort of a vector of real numbers. |

3. Key Data Structures:

The key data structure in the solver program is a doubly linked-list for maintaining the zipcode/POD pair data. The basic element of this structure is a five-field record, defined as follows:
(1) node,
(2) target,
(3) cost,
(4) nextZip, and
(5) nextPOD.

The "node" field is the index of the zip code for this record. The "target" field is the index of the potential POD site to which this node refers. "Cost" is the cost of assigning zip "node" to POD "target" (if node=target, then this also includes the fixed operating cost of having a POD at target). The entry "nextZip" is a pointer to the next record which refers to POD site "target", and "nextPOD" is a pointer to the next record which refers to zip code index "node".

Map[l..MaxZips] is an array whose entries for any given zip, are pointers to the linked records by POD, and CanBe[l..MaxPossible] is an array whose entries are records, one field of which is, for any given allowable POD site, a pointer to the linked records by zip code index. Thus, starting with Map[27] and following the "nextPOD" links results in a linked list of records corresponding to all possible POD's which can serve zip code index \#27 with their associated costs. This linked list of potential POD sites is sorted in order of increasing cost.

Similarly, starting with CanBe[ll].next (the pointer field of the 27 th entry of array CanBe) and following the NextZip links produces a linked list of records corresponding to all zip code indices which can be served from the llth allowable POD site. Both of these data structures are static, in the sense that once they are created (by procedure CreateDataStructures), they will never change.
4. Definition of Key Variables:

There are certain global variables in the program that the programmer should be familiar with before attempting to modify the code. This section
will list the most important variables and their definitions and structures (if any). First the various Pascal constants and variable types are introduced.

CONSTANTS:

| MaxZips = 2000; | Maximum number of zip code areas <br> allowed. This constant may be <br> changed. |
| :--- | :--- |
| MaxPossible = 85; | Maximum number of possiole POD sites |
| MaxRead = 64;allowed. This constant may be <br> changed but can not exceed 256. |  |
| Maximum number of records read from <br> the TRANSFER.xx file during the BLOCK <br> READ. |  |

These two constants determine the size of the various storage arrays used in the SOLVER routines. Consequently, limiting the size of these constants will lower the storage requirements for the system.

## VARIABLE TYPES:



| SingleZip | zip code <br> SType |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | FixCost |
| PairOfZips | Number <br> PODnum <br> Cij |
| ColumnPointArray |  |
| RowPointArray |  |
| IndexArray |  |
| FileString |  |
| VARIABLE DEFINITIONS: |  |

CurrentPODs
PossiblePODs
BestPOD[1..MaxZips]
NextBestPOD[1..MaxZips]
CurrentCost[1..MaxZips]
NextCost[1..MaxZips]
CanBe[1..MaxPossible]
Index[l..MaxZips]
Map[l..MaxZips]

Data record type which includes: actual zip code number, site type; SType=0 $=>$ never a POD site, SType=1 $\Rightarrow$ can be a POD site, SType=2 $\Rightarrow$ must be a POD site, Opening/Closing cost for a POD site.

Data record type which includes: zip code index of zip code area, zip code index of POD site, cost of area to site assignment.

Array type of length MaxPossible of PODsite.

Array type of length MaxZips of Link.
Array type of length MaxZips of Zcode.

Array type of length MaxZips of real.
Character string of length 15.5 .

The set of POD's in the current assignment.

The set of all possible POD sites.
The POD index which is the nearest POD in the current solution.

The POD index which is the second best $P O D$ in the current solution.

Value of the cost of the BestPOD.
Value of the cost of the NextbestPOD.
This is an array of ColumnpointArray storing the index of the POD site and a pointer to the linked list of zip code areas reached from that POD site.

Pointer for all possible POD sites to records in array CanBe.

This is an array of RowPointArray pointing to the start of the linked

|  | list of feasible POD sites for a zip code area. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ZCreal [1..MaxZips | The actual zip code number. |
| CurrentNumber | The current number of POD sites. |
| EndNumber | The desired number of $P O D$ sites in the final solution. |
| Nzips | The total number of zip code areas. |
| Nposs | The total number of possible POD sites. |
| Switch | 0 if graph-coloring is used, and 1 otherwise. |
| TotalCost | The current odjective function value. |
| Error | A flag to warn that the solver has run into a situation where the user's wishes cannot be satisfied; e.g. no feasible solution exists using only EndNumber POD sites. |
| Change | Flag indicating whether any swapping was performed by the interchange heuristic. |
| Errloc | The site of ERROR if true. |
| MinCode | The smallest zip code number in the state. |
| StateNumber | The two-digit state code number. |
| StateNameFile | The name of the state. |

6. Input/Output Processing:

Input to the SOLVER routines comes from the TRANSFER. $x$ f file where $x x$ refers the index of the tax district (l to 76). The TRANSFER file is written by the driver routines using an unformatted FORTRAN write statement. This file consists of sets of records, each set preceded by, and followed by, a two byte word indicating the total number of bytes used in that set (see the IBM Professional FORTRAN Reference Guide). The following is a representation of one such set:

$$
\text { Wordl, } i, Z I P_{i}, j_{1}, C_{i j_{1}}, j_{2}, C_{i j_{2}}, \ldots, j_{k}, C_{i j_{k}} \text {, type }{ }_{i} \text {, Wordl. }
$$

The first parameter, Wordl is used by the CreateDataStructures routine to determine the number of elements in the set. The set involves index i having zip code $Z I P_{i}$ which is of type type ${ }_{i}$ and has feasible POD assignments to $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}$, at a cost of $C_{i j_{1}}, C_{i j_{2}}, \ldots, C_{i j_{k}}$, respectively. The costs are in decreasing sorted order except possibly for the last record which, if the index is also a POD site, contains the operating cost for that site.

This type of data transfer is very efficient. Alternative methods of transfering large amounts of data from a FORTRAN to a Pascal program consumed nearly twice as much time. Further, all of the problem information for the SOLVER routines is contained in a single file. This includes travel costs, floor space rental costs, operating costs and fixed opening and closing costs. The latter two costs are included into the $C_{i j}$ factors above before the data transfer is performed.

The Pascal input is performed in a pairwise form. Each pair consists of a two byte integer followed by an eight byte real number. The CreateDataStructures procedure reads MaxRead pairs at a time and processes the vector of information sequentially. The length of the vector is arbitrary. To ensure proper sequencing of the Pascal read statements with the TRANSFER file, additional zero entries are inserted during the FORTRAN write statement.

Output from the SOLVER routines is stored in the SOLUTION. $x$ f file. Included in this output is the index of the zip code, its assigned POD, and a number indicating the color determined by the graph coloring algorithm for this zip code area. The SOLUTION. $x$ x file is used by the driver package to display the final solution.
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## APPENDIX: Progran Listing

```
{$D-} {Debugging on)
($R+) {Range checking on)
($A+) (byte alignment)
($B+) {Boolean complete evaluation on}
($S+) {Stack checking on}
{$I+} {I/O checking on}
($N+) (Numeric coprocessor present)
{$E-) (8087 emulation off)
($M 3500,0,655360) (Modified stack and heap sizes)
```

Program Solver;
( Version TURBO Pascal 5.0, stack and heap sizes for General Problem.)
$($ This is the main driver program for the package that finds good heuristic solutions to IRS Post-of-Duty (POD) location problem. The program takes data from specially formatted data files, which have been created by a separate pre-processing package. The final solution is colored, and the result may be saved for graphic display on a map of the region in question.\}

```
Uses
    Crt;
Const
MaxZips = 2000; ( maximum number of zip-code areas allowed
                        maximum = 1550 if valuearray of type real
                                maximum = 2050 if valuearray of type single }
            MaxPossible = 85; ( maximum number of possible POD sites allowed
                        These numbers are somewhat flexible, although
                        1000 may not be large enough for some districts
                        and }75\mathrm{ is probably more than we need for any
                                district
            MaxRead=64; { BlockRead Parameter for CreateDataStructures }
```

Type
Zcode $=0$. MaxZips;
ZipSet - set of l..Maxpossible; ( !!NOTE!! : The "set" data type is an
implementation-dependent type. TURBO
Pascal allows set types up to 255
distinct possible elements. This
means that in no case can MaxPossible
be set to a value of more than 255.$\}$
Link - $N$ Neighbor; $\quad$ ( a pointer to a record of type
Neighbor $\}$
Neighbor = record ( Each "Neighbor" record is one
1
Site, Target : Zcode; ( entry in the sparse matrix of
\}
Cost : single; $\{$ information relating zip
codes $\}$
code
there
to
1
to TARGET (after SITE), if
is one. NEXTPOD is a pointer
the record which holds the $P O D$
possible location which is the
next-nearest (after TARGET) to
SITE, if one exists.
( indicates which zip-code area the information in the record applies to. The field TARGET tells with reference to which POD site. COST gives the cost of travel between SITE and TARGET (which will always be less than the user-supplied upper limit on travel distance for any customer. NEXTZIP is a pointer to the record which holds the next-nearest zip
to TARGET (after SITE), if
is one. NEXTPOD is a pointer
the record which holds the POD next-nearest (after TARGET) to SITE, if one exists.

1
PODsite $=$ record
Where : Zcode; ( Which site is this? )
Must : boolean; ( Is it a required site? )
Next : Link; $\{$ a pointer to the first of its neighbors
)
end;
ColumnPointArray = array[1..MaxPossible] of PODsite;
RowPointArray = array[1..MaxZips] of Link;
IndexArray $=$ array[1..MaxZips] of Zcode;
IntegerArray $=$ array[l..MaxZips] of shortint;
ValueArray $=$ array[1..MaxZips] of single;
ZipCodeArray $=$ array[1..MaxZips] of integer;
FileString $=$ string[14];
var
CurrentPODs, PossiblePODs : Zipset; (CurrentPODs is the set of all POD's assigned in the current solution. PossiblePODs is
the

```
sites.)
```

BestPOD, NextBestPOD :IndexArray; ( BestPOD holds, for each zip, the zip which is the nearest POD in the current solution. NextBestPOD holds the second. best current POD for each
zip.
mono_Xij
routine

CurrentCost, NextCost cost
the
from
)
Dual_var, Sum_Xij
)
CanBe

```
site:
```

it,
)

Index
entry
)

Map
lets
area,
costs.

1
ZCreal
number
format). $\}$
CurrentNumber,zip,
: RowPointArray; (Map is an array which
us find, for any zip
which POD sites can serve it and how much that

Each entry of Map is a pointer to a row of Neighbor records, along the NEXTPOD links.
:IntegerArray; ( Used in the lagrangain to monitor the direction of change for dual variables. )
: ValueArray; ( CurrentCost[zip] holds the from zip to BestPOD[zip] in current solution. Similarly, NextCost[zip] is the cost zip to NextBestPOD[zip]
: ValueArray; ( Lagrangian Work Arrays.
: ColumnPointArray; (CanBe is an array which allows us to find all the pertinent data concerning the Jth potential POD
which site it is, which zips can be served from
and how much that would cost. Its field NEXT points to a column of Neighbor records, along the NEXTZIP links.
: Array[1..MaxZips] of Zcode;
( Index[i] tells which
in CanBe refers to POD i the NEXPOD Iinks.
: ZipCodeArray; $\mid$ actual zip code (in real

```
    Nzips, Nposs, EndNumber : integer; {CurrentNumber is the number of
    POD sites assigned in the
current
    TotalCost, Limit : real; | TotalCost is the current
        objective function value.
        Limit is the user-supplied
        upper bound on travel
distance.)
    Error, Changes, Stuck : boolean; ( ERROR is a flag to warn that
        the solver has run into a
        situation where the user's
        wishes cannot be done; e.g.
        no feasible solution exists
        using only EndNumber POD
sites.
    Changes indicates whether any
    swapping has been done in the
    interchange heuristic.
1
    ErrLoc : Zcode; { Site of ERROR if true }
    Minimum_Zipcode : longint; ( Smallest zip-code in state )
    StateNam\overline{ ( string[2]; ( Two-letter state code )}
    response : string[1];
    StateNameFile : text;
    ch : char;
    solution. Nzips is the number of
    zip code areas ( <= MaxZips ).
    NPOSS is the, number of possible
    POD sites ( <- MaxPossible ).
    EndNumber is the number of POD
    sites the user has requested be
    in the final solution.
{****************************************************************)
function exist(fn:FileString):boolean;
    ( returns true if file fn already exists )
    var fil:file;
begin
    assign(fil,fn);
    {$I-)
    reset(fil);
    {$I+};
    exist := (IOresult = 0);
end;
(......................................................................
procedure Match;
l
    Given the contents of CurrentPODs and the arrays
    of neighbor data, this procedure determines the
```

```
    nearest and next-nearest currently assigned POD
    for each individual zip-code area, and the assoc.
    iated costs.
)
    var
    base : link;
    zip,pod : zcode;
    empty, done : boolean;
    ipod,izip : integer;
begin
    TotalCost := 0.0;
    error := false;
    for zip := l to Nzips do ( find the first current POD in zip's list of
                                    possible POD's, and assign zip to it. )
        begin
                done := false;
                base := map[zip];
                if base=nil then
                    begin
                        done := true;
                end:
            while not done do
                if base=nil then ( no POD is close enough, so this is illegal: )
                    begin
                    done := true;
                    error:= true;
                    writeln('feasiblity error at ',zip:5);
                end
                    else
                begin
                            pod := base^.target;
                            ipod := Index[pod];
                    if ipod in CurrentPODs then ( pod is the best choice: )
                        begin
                            done := true;
                                BestPOD[zip] := pod;
                                CurrentCost[zip] := base^.cost;
                                NextBestPOD[zip] := 0;
                                base := base^.nextpod;
                                empty := false;
                while not empty do ( see if there's a next-best POD: )
                            if base=nil then ( there isn't a next-best: )
                                empty := true
                            else if Index[base^.target] in CurrentPODs then
                                    begin {this is next best }
                                    NextBestPOD[zip] := base^.target;
                                    NextCost[zip] := base^.cost;
                                    empty := true;
                                    end
                    else
                                base := base^.nextpod; { keep looking for a next-best }
                                    end ( if POD in CurrentPODs...)
                        else
```

```
            (writeln(' pod not in CurrentPODS ',pod:5);)
            base :- base^.nextpod; ( keep looking for a best POD )
            end; (while not done...)
(writeln('zip ',zip:5,' best ',bestpod[zip):5,' cost
',currentcost[zip]:6:2);)
    end; ( for zip :- 1 to ...)
end; ( Procedure Match )
```



```
procedure ComputeCost;
    { Just add up all CurrentCost values, since fixed costs
        are stored in CurrentCost[ current POD site ]. )
    var
            zip : Zcode;
    begin
            TotalCost := 0.0;
            for zip :- l to Nzips do
            TotalCost := TotalCost + CurrentCost[zip];
    end;
```



```
procedure ListCurrent;
( For larger problems, modify this to only print out POD sites)
    var i:Zcode;
begin
{ writeln(' Current zip-code assignments:');
    for i:- l to NZips do
        writeln(i:5,' at ',BestPOD[i],': cost - ',CurrentCost[i]:3:2);)
    ComputeCost;
    writeln((crt,)' Total cost of this allocation is $',TotalCost:12:2);
end;
```



```
procedure dumpstruct;
{ This is a diagnostic procedure which prints out the contents of
    the sparse matrix structure set up in procedure CreateDataStructures)
    var zip,i : zcode;
            ptr : link;
begin
    for zip := l to Nzips do
```

```
        begin
            ptr:= Map[zip];
            while ptronil do
                begin
                    write(ptr^.target:5);
                    ptr := ptr^.nextpod;
                    end;
            writeln;
            writeln;
        end;
    for zip:- l to Nposs do
    begin
        ptr := CanBe[zip].next;
        write(CanBe[zip].where:5);
        while ptronil do
            begin
                write(ptr^.site:5);
                ptr := ptr^.nextzip;
            end;
        writeln;
        writeln;
    end;
end;
```



```
{$I init.pas } { Include array initializations }
{$I dstruct.pas) ( Include data-structure initialization package )
{$I greedy.pas } ( Include greedy heuristic routines )
{$I intchg.pas } { Include interchange routines }
($I fivclr.pas } { Include graph-coloring algorithm )
{$I podclr.pas } { Include POD-coloring algorithm }
($I lgrn.pas } { Include Lagrangain Lower Bounds Algorithm)
begin { MAIN PROGRAM }
    ClrScr;
    Assign(StateNameFile,'DISTRICT');
    reset(StateNameFile);
    read(StateNameFile,StateName);
    close(StateNameFile);
    Initialize;
    CreateDataStructures;
    Match;
    if error then
        writeln(\lst,)'Initial allocation is not feasible--program aborted.')
    else
        begin
            writeln(' **************** INITIAL ASSIGNMENT ******************* ');
            ComputeCost;
            ListCurrent;
            writeln;
            writeln(' **************** INITIAL INTERCHANGE ******************* ');
            writeln;
```

```
        Interchange;
        if not changes then
            writeln({LST,}' No interchanges were necessary.');
        ComputeCost:
        ListCurrent;
        if EndNumber }\diamond\mathrm{ CurrentNumber then
        begin
        writeln;
        writeln(' *************** GREEDY HEURISTIC ***************** ');
        writeln;
            Greedy;
            if changes then
                begin
                    if error then
                        writeln(' Greedy heuristic solution is not feasible')
                    else
                        begin
        writeln;
        writeln(' *************** FINAL INTERCHANGE **************** ');
        writeln;
            Interchange;
            if not changes then
                                writeln((LST,)' No interchanges were necessary.');
                    end;
            end
        end;
        writeln;
        writeln (' ***************** FINAL SOLUTION ******************** ');
        ComputeCost;
        ListCurrent;
        writeln;
        write(' Do you wish to produce lower bounds to the optimal solution (Y
or N)? ');
    readln(response);
    if (response = 'y') or (response = 'Y') then
            begin
                lagrangian_dual;
                writeln;
                write(' Please press the RETURN key to continue');
                readln;
            end;
        (Next section modified by M. McClain, 1/11/88)
        if exist('ADJACENT.'+StateName) then
        begin
            writeln;
            writeln(' Calculating colors for solution map - Please wait');
            GraphColor
            end
            else
        PODColor;
        end;
    end.
procedure initialize;
```

```
l This procedure initializes various data arrays, pointers
    and sets used by the solver package.
var
    i,j : integer;
begin
        for i:=1 to MaxZips do
                begin
            BestPOD[i] := 0;
            CurrentCost[i] := 0.0;
            NextBestPOD[i] := 0;
            NextCost[i] := 1E+37;
            Index[i] := 0;
            Map[i] := nil;
                end;
            for i:= 1 to MaxPossible do
                CanBe[i].next := nil;
            PossiblePODs:= [];
            CurrentPODs := [];
end;
procedure CreateDataStructures;
[ This procedure creates the sparse matrix structure which holds the information concerning which zip code area can be served from which POD sites, and at what cost. The data structure is a crosslinked array, with row links joining all PODs which can serve a given zip, and column links joining all zips which can be served by a given POD site. The entries are ordered along both row and column lists in order of increasing cost.
type
pair = record
iteml: word;
item2: double;
end;
var
```

```
pair_vec : array[l..MaxRead] of pair;
```

pair_vec : array[l..MaxRead] of pair;
i,j,k,pntr : word;
i,j,k,pntr : word;
pair_file : file;
pair_file : file;
hold : Array [1..Maxpossible] of word;
hold : Array [1..Maxpossible] of word;
C_ij : Array [1..Maxpossible] of single;
C_ij : Array [1..Maxpossible] of single;
f\overline{ilename : FileString;}
f\overline{ilename : FileString;}
Starc_Memory,
Starc_Memory,
End_Memory : longint;
End_Memory : longint;
Number_Closed,
Number_Closed,
count,\overline{POD,t,}
count,\overline{POD,t,}
ipod,izip,start : word;
ipod,izip,start : word;
PODnum,Number : word;
PODnum,Number : word;
numread : word;
numread : word;
pt,pl,p2 : link;
pt,pl,p2 : link;
scanning : boolean;

```
scanning : boolean;
```

```
begin (MAIN PROCEDURE)
```

```
    count := 0;
    Nzips :- 0;
    Minimum_Zipcode := 99999;
    Assign(\overline{Pair_file,'TRANSFER.'+StateName);}
    reset(pair_\overline{file,10);}
{ Determine the number of closed POD sites for this run }
    blockread (pair_file,pair_vec,3,numread);
    CurrentNumber:=-\overline{pair_vec[3].iteml; ( Number of existing POD's)}
    Number_Closed:=pair_vec[2].iteml; { Number of new POD's currently
unopened)
    Nposs:=pair_vec[2].iteml + CurrentNumber; {Total number of POD's}
    writeln;
    writeln(' Total number of possible POD''s is ',Nposs:3,'.');
    writeln(' Number of current and fixed POD''s is ',CurrentNumber:3,'.');
    repeat
        writeln;
        write(' Enter the desired number of POD''s in the final solution: ');
        error:=false;
        EndNumber:=0;
        read(ch);
        if ch\diamond#13 then
            repeat
                if ch in ['0'..'9'] then
                    EndNumber:-EndNumber*10+ord(ch)-48
            else
                    error:=true;
            read(ch)
        until ch-#13
    else
        error:=true;
    read(ch);
    if error then
            writeln(' Error in input .- please try again.')
    else if (EndNumber<l) or (EndNumber>Nposs) then
        begin
            writeln(' Error in input .- please enter a number between ',
                    'l and ',Nposs,'.');
            error:-true
        end
    until not error;
    (End of input buffering section)
    if Endnumber < CurrentNumber then (Number of Sites Closed at termination)
        Number_Closed := Nposs - Endnumber;
    ClrScr;
    Writeln;
    Writeln(' Working ... ');
```

```
    Nposs := 0;
    CurrentNumber := 0;
    Start_Memory := memavail;
    blockread (pair_file,pair_vec,MaxRead,numread);
    pntr := 1;
( while .... modified by PDD Nov. 17, 1988)
    while ( (numread-MaxRead) or (pntr < numread) ) do
        begin
        k := pair vec[pntr].iteml;
        k :=k div lO - 2;
        for j:= l to k do
            begin
                pntr := pntr+l;
                if pntr < numread+1 then
                    begin
                        hold[j] := pair_vec[pntr].iteml;
                        C_ij[j] := pair_vec[pntr].item2;
                        end
            else
                begin
                        pntr := l;
                        blockread( pair_file, pair_vec,MaxRead,numread);
                        hold[j] := pair_vec[pntr].iteml;
                        C_ij[j] := pair_vec[pntr].item2;
                end;
            end;
                for j := l to k do writeln(hold[l]:3,' ',hold[j]:3,C_ij[j]); )
            if pntr = numread-1 then
                begin
                    pntr := 1;
                        T := pair vec[numread].iteml;
                        blockread( pair_file, pair_vec, MaxRead, numread);
                end
        else if pntr = numread then
            begin
                    pntr := 2;
                    blockread( pair_file, pair_vec, MaxRead, numread);
                    T := pair_vec[l].iteml;
                end
        else
            begin
                    T := pair_vec[pntr+l].iteml;
                    pntr := pntr+2;
                end;
( Start entering the i,j data. Entries i,j are assumed to be in
    sorted order, except possibly last entry )
        number:= hold[l];
```

```
count := succ(count);
if Minimum_Zipcode=99999 then Minimum_Zipcode := trunc(C_if[1]);
ZCreal[number] :- trunc(C_if[l]-Minimü_Zipcode);
if Nzips < number then Nzips := number;
if T > l then { this is a possible POD site }
begin
    if Index[number] = 0 then
        begin
                Nposs :- succ(Nposs);
                    Index[number] := Nposs;
                    POD := Nposs
        end
    else
        POD := Index[number];
    CanBe[POD].where := number;
    if T=4 then
        CanBe[POD].must := true
    else
        CanBe[POD].must :- false;
    PossiblePODs := PossiblePODs + [POD];
    case T of
        3,4 : begin
                CurrentPODs := CurrentPODs + [POD];
                CurrentNumber := succ(CurrentNumber);
            end;
        end;
end;
( next 5 lines modified by PDD November 17, 1988 }
if k > Number_Closed+l then
    start := k-Number_Closed
else
    start := 2;
for j:=start to k do
    begin
        new(pt);
        with pt^ do
            begin
                    site := number;
                    if hold[j]>maxzips then writeln(hold[j]);
                    target := hold[j];
                    cost :- C_ij[j];
                    nextzip:= nil;
                    nextpod:= nil;
                end;
            pl := Map[number];
            if pl = nil then
                    Map[number] := pt
            else
                begin
                    Map[number] := pt;
                        pt^.nextpod := pl;
```

```
            end; ( if pl=nil...else...)
            if Index[hold[j]] = 0 then
                    begin
                    Nposs := succ(Nposs);
                    Index[hold[j]] := Nposs;
                    POD := Nposs
            end
        else
            POD := Index[hold[j]];
        pl := CanBe[POD].next;
        if pl = nil then
            CanBe[POD].next:=pt
        else
            begin
                p2:-pl^.nextzip;
                if pl^.site 囚 pl^.target then
                    begin
                        CanBe[POD].next:-pt;
                    pt^.nextzip:-pl;
                end
            else
                begin
                    pt^.nextzip:=p2;
                    pl^.nextzip:-pt;
                end;
            end;
        end;
        end;
    close(pair_file);
            ( LST sends output to default list device (printer) }
            ( Remove braces to change from console to printer }
        ClrScr;
        End_Memory:-memavail;
        writeln(' ');
        writeln('Starting Memory: ',Start_Memory:6,' bytes',
            ' Ending Memory: ',End_Memory:6,' bytes');
        writeln;
        writeln(' Total number of zipcodes is ',count:4,'.');
        writeln(' Total number of possible POD''s is ',Nposs:3,'.');
        writeln(' Number of current and fixed POD''s is
    ,CurrentNumber:3,'.');
        writeln(' Desired number of POD''s is ',EndNumber:3,'.');
        writeln;
( dumpstruct;) ( diagnostic only--prints out entire data structure )
end;
procedure interchange;
\((\) This procedure performs the Teitz/Bart interchange heuristic for the Simple Plant Location Problem. Given an initial allocation of customers to service sites, the heuristic checks
```

```
    to see if it would be advantageous to exchange one currently
    assigned service site for one potential service site not cur.
    rently assigned. The best such exchange is performed, and
    the heuristic repeats until no advantageous exchanges exist. )
var
    done :boolean;
    POD,TestOut,SwapIn,SwapOut,i,ii :integer;
    mincost,val :real;
    Zip_Code_out,2ip_Code_in :string[5];
(******************************************************************)
function SwapVal(old_POD,new_POD:integer):real;
    (this function computes the value of a potential
        site-exchange of site 'new' for site 'old'. (
const
    failure-100.0;
var
    contr,ww : real;
    illegal,looking : boolean;
    idold, idnew : Zcode;
    base, base2 : link;
begin
    contr := 0.0;
    illegal :- false;
    idold :- Index[old_POD];
    idnew := Index[new_POD];
    if CanBe[idold].must then ( permanent POD Site )
        illegal :- true
    else
        begin
            base :-canbe[idnew].next;
            contr:-base^.cost-CurrentCost[new_POD]; ( Operating Cost for new_POD )
            base :=base^.nextzip;
            while baseOnil do
                begin
                with base^ do
                    begin
                    ww:-cost-currentcost[site];
                        if (ww<0) then ( make new assignment )
                        if (site○BestPOD[site]) and (old_PODOBestPOD[site]) then
                        contr := contr + ww;
                    end;
                base :- base^.nextzip;
                end;
            base :- CanBe[idold].next;
            while base @ nil do
                begin
                    with base^ do
```

```
                    if BestPOD[site] - old_POD then
    begin
            base2 :- Map[site];
            if site - new_pod then
                looking := \overline{false}
            else
                looking :- true;
            while looking do
                begin
                    looking :- false;
                    if base2-nil then
                            illegal :- true
                            else if (Index[base2^.target] in CurrentPODs ) and
                                    (base2^.target }O\mathrm{ old_POD) then
                                    contr := contr + base2^.cost - Curren=Cost[site]
                    else if (base2^.target - new_POD) then
                                contr :- contr + base2^.cost - CurrentCost[site]
                    else
                    begin
                                    looking := true;
                                    base2 := base2^.nextpod;
                                    end;
                end;
            end;
            base := base^.nextzip;
            end;
        end; (else clause from top)
        if illegal then
            Swapval`:= failure
        else
            Swapval := contr;
end; ( function swapval() )
{***************************************************************)
( MAIN PROCEDURE }
begin
{ writeln(' Entering interchange heuristic...');}
    changes := false;
    repeat
        done :- true;
        for POD := 1 to nposs do
            begin
                if not (POD in CurrentPODs) then ( POD is a candidate: )
                begin
                SwapIn :- CanBe[POD].where;
                SwapOut := 0;
                mincost := 0.0;
                for i:- l to Nposs do
                begin
                    if i in CurrentPODs then
                        begin
```

```
                        TestOut :- canbe[1].where;
                        val := swapval(TestOut,SwapIn);
                            if val<mincost then ( this is the best swap so far )
                        begin
                                mincost := val;
                                SwapOut := TestOut;
                            end;
                end;
            end;
            If mincost<0.0 then ( go ahead and make the best swap: )
            begin
                    writeln(' Swapping ',Minimum_ZipCode+ZCreal[SwapOut]:5,
                                    out, ',Minimum_ZipCode+ZCreal[SwapIn]:5,
                                    ' in at a cost of $',mincost:12:2);
            InsertPOD(SwapIn);
            RemovePOD(SwapOut);
                changes :- true;
                    done :-false;
                    end;
            end;
        end;
    until done;
{ writeln(' Leaving interchange heuristic:'); )
end; ( interchange heuristic procedure )
procedure InsertPOD ( new_POD: Zcode );
{ this procedure performs the actual addition of pod site 'new_POD'
    and updates all pertinent data in arrays such as CurrentCost\overline{]},
    BestPOD[], NextBestPOD[], NextCost[], and the set CurrentPODs.)
var
    base : link;
    opt, old_pod, zip : Zcode;
    new_cost,ww,wwl : real;
begin
    opt := Index[new_POD];
    base :- CanBe[opt].next;
    new_cost := base^.cost; { include operating cost )
    CurrentPODs := CurrentPODs + [opt];
    base := base^.nextzip;
    while base Q nil do
        begin
            with base^ do
                begin
                    zip := site;
                    old_POD := BestPOD[zip];
                    ww:=cost-currentcost[zip];
                    wwl:-cost-nextcost[zip];
                    if ( ww < 0 ) and ( old_POD ○ zip ) then
```

```
            begin
                        NextCost[zip] := CurrentCost[zip];
                        CurrentCost[zip] :\infty cost;
                        NextBestPOD[zip] := old_POD;
                        BestPOD[zip] :\infty new_POD;
            end
        else if (wwl < 0 ) then
            begin
                NextCost[zip] :m cost;
                NextBestPOD[zip] :* new_POD;
            end;
        end; ('with base^ do...)
        base:=base^.nextzip;
    end;
NextBestPOD[new_POD] :- BestPOD[new_POD];
NextCost[new_POD] :\infty CurrentCost[new_POD];
CurrentCost[new_POD] :\infty new_cost;
BestPOD[new_POD] := new_POD;
end; { procedure InsertPOD )
{**************************************************************** }
procedure RemovePOD( old_POD: integer);
{ this procedure performs the actual removal of pod site 'old'
    and updates all pertinent data in arrays such as CurrentCost[],
    BestPOD[], NextBestPOD[], NextCost[], and the set CurrentPODs.)
var
    base, base2 : link;
    id, idold : Zcode;
    looking : boolean;
Begin
    idold := Index{old_POD];
    CurrentPODs := CurrentPODs - [idold];
    base := CanBe[idold].next;
    while base\diamondnil do
        begin
            with base^ do
                begin
                if NextBestPOD[site] = old_POD then { NextBestPOD corrected here }
                begin
                        base2 :- maplsite];
                looking :- true;
                while looking do
                        begin
                                if base2-nil then
                        begin
                        looking :- false;
                        NextBestPOD[site] := 0;
                        NextCost[site] :- lE+37;
                        end
```

```
        else
    begin
                id := base2^.target;
                if (Index[id] in CurrentPODs) and (id }
BestPOD[site])then
                        begin
                        looking := false;
                        NextBestPOD[site] :- base2^.target;
                        NextCost [site] := base2^.cost;
                        end
                                else
                        base2 :- base2^.nextpod;
                    end;
            end;
        end;
        if BestPOD[site] = old_POD then
        begin
            BestPOD[site] :- NextBestPOD[site];
            CurrentCost[site] :- NextCost[site];
            base2 := map[site];
            looking:= true;
            while looking do
                begin
                    if base2-nil then
                        begin
                        looking := false;
                        NextBestPOD[site] := 0;
                    NextCost[site] := lE+37;
                        end
                    else
                        begin
                            id := base2^.target;
                    if(Index[id] in CurrentPODs) and (id 
NextBestPOD[site])then
                                    begin
                                    looking := false;
                                    NextBestPOD[site] := base2^.target;
                                    NextCost[site] := base2^.cost;
                                    end
                            else
                            base2 := base2^.nextpod;
                    end;
            end;
            end;
            end;
        base := base^.nextzip;
    end;
end; ( procedure RemovePOD() )
```



```
procedure GreedyADD; ( Locates one new POD by greedy heuristic )
    var
            Zip_Code : string[5];
```

```
            opt, zip, ind,
            new_POD, i, old_POD, z : Zcode;
            impr, addval,ww : single;
            base : link;
            done : boolean;
begin { Procedure GreedyADD }
    opt := l;
    impr := 1E+37;
    for ind :- opt to Nposs do { find the index of the best choice }
        begin
                zip := CanBe[ind].where;
                if BestPOD[zip] \diamond zip then { zip isn't a POD site at the moment )
                    begin
                base :- CanBe[ind].next;
                addval := base^.cost - CurrentCost[zip}; { Operating Cost )
                base :- base^.nextzip;
                while base }0\mathrm{ nil do
                    with base^ do
                        begin
                                    z := site;
                                    ww:=cost-currentcost[z];
                                    if (ww<0) and (z < BestPOD[z]) then
                                    addval := addval - currentcost[z] + cost;
                                base := nextzip;
                    end;
                        if addval < impr then ( this is the best choice so far )
                    begin
                        impr := addval;
                            opt := zip;
                        end;
            end; { if BestPOD... )
        end; ( for ind ... )
        if impr < 1E+37 then { add the new POD to the current set }
            begin
                    InsertPOD(opt);
                    writeln({LST,}' Adding POD ',Minimum_Zipcode+ZCreal[opt]:5,
                                    at a cost of $',impr:12:2);
            end
    else
        begin
            stuck := true;
            writeln(' No POD can be added to the current allocation.' );
        end;
end; ( procedure GreedyADD )
```



```
procedure GreedyDEL;
l
    This procedure subtracts a POD from the currently assigned set
    according to the greedy heuristic.
l
```

```
var
    Zip_Code : String[5];
    base : Link;
    tset : ZipSet;
    minval,change : Real;
    i, opt, ipod : Zcode;
begin
    opt := 0;
    minval:= 1E+32;
    for i := l to Nposs do
        begin
            if (i in CurrentPODs ) and not (Canbe[i].must) then
                    begin {ith site is a candidate for deletion )
                base := Canbe[i].next;
                ipod :- base^.site;
                change := 0;
                while base }O\mathrm{ nil do
                            with base^ do
                                if BestPOD[site] - ipod then
                                if NextBestPOD[site] \diamond O then
                        begin
                        change := change + NextCost[site] - CurrentCost[site];
                                base := base^.nextzip;
                            end
                                else
                            begin
                                    base := nil;
                                    change := lE+32;
                            end
                        else
                                base := base^.nextzip;
                                if ( change < minval ) then
                        begin
                                    opt := ipod;
                                    minval := change;
                                    end;
                    end; ( if i in CurrentPODs ... )
            end; (for .... )
    if opt \diamond 0 then { we're not stuck: delete opt from CurrentPODs }
            begin
            writeln(' Deleting POD ',Minimum_Zipcode+Zcreal[opt]:5,
                                    at a cost of $',minval:12:2);
            RemovePOD(opt);
        end
    else
        begin
            stuck := true;
            writeln(' No POD can legally be deleted from current allocation.' );
        end;
end; {procedure GreedyDEL}
```

procedure greedy;
1
This procedure, given an initial and a final number of POD sites, adds or subtracts sites using the greedy heuristic until the desired number remain. Procedures GreedyADD and GreedyDEL are called.)
begin ( MAIN PROCEDURE)
( writeln(' Entering Greedy heuristic...');)
stuck :- false;
changes := false;
while (CurrentNumber © EndNumber) and not stuck do if (CurrentNumber < EndNumber) then begin

GreedyADD;
ComputeCost; ListCurrent; changes :- true; if not stuck then
CurrentNumber :- succ( CurrentNumber );
end
else
begin
GreedyDEL;
ComputeCost;
ListCurrent;
changes :- true;
if not stuck then
CurrentNumber :- pred( Currentnumber );
end;
if not changes then
writeln(' No changes in greedy heuristic.');
end; ( Procedure Greedy )
Procedure Lagrangian_dual;
\{(var Dual_var:ValueĀrray;mono_Xij:Indexarray);\}
1 This procedure attempts to locate a lower bound on the optimal
allocation. \}
Type
RealArray = array[1.. Maxpossible] of real;
IntegerArray - array[1..Maxpossible] of integer;
CharacterArray = array[1..Maxpossible] of char;
Const
w_eps $=0.001$;
max_iter - 150;
var
Hold_POD_set : zipset;
Running_Āverage : Array[1..5] of real;
POD_Indicator : CharacterArray;

```
    red_cost,work : RealArray;
    pod_id : IntegerArray;
I Dual_var : ValueArray;)
{ Sum_\overline{X}ij : ValueArray;) (Indexarray;)
( mono_Xij : Indexarray;)
    error,,Existing,Fixed: boolean;
    base : link;
    dual_file : file of real;
    filename : FileString;
    Out_file : Text;
    Number Fixed : integer;
    factor, save, delta_s, scale_factor, norm_factor,
    min_dif, w_target, w_previous, w_new, s, delta, Minus_infinity:
double;
    Sum_Xjj, monotone, nits, iter, pod, ipod, zip, 1, j, tick : integer;
procedure Assigrment;
    IGiven the contents of CurrentPODs and the arrays of neighbor data,
        this procedure determines the nearest currently assigned POD for
        each individual zip-code area, and the associated costs. }
    var
        base : link;
        zip,pod : zcode;
        empty, done : boolean;
        ipod,izip : integer;
    begin
    error := false;
    TotalCost := 0.0;
    for zip :m l to Nzips do { find the first current POD in zip's list of
                                possible POD's, and assign zip to it.
        begin
            done := false;
            base := map[zip];
            if base-nil then
                done:=true;
            while not done do
                if base=nil then ( this zipcode will be skipped )
                begin
                    done := true;
                    error := true;
                    writeln(' Feasibility error at ',zip:5);)
                end
                else
                        begin
                        pod := base^.target;
                            ipod := Index[pod];
                    if ipod in CurrentPODs then ( pod is the best choice: )
                        begin
                            done :- true;
                            BestPOD[zip] := pod;
                            CurrentCost[zip] := base^.cost;
```

```
                    end ( if POD in CurrentPODs...)
                    else
                    base :- base^.nextpod; ( keep looking for a best POD )
                end; (while not done...)
    end; { for zip :- l to ...}
end; ( Procedure Assignment )
Procedure QuickSort(Var value:RealArray;Var index:IntegerArray;N:Integer);
    Procedure Exchange(I,J: Integer);
    { Change records I and J }
var
    temp: reai;
    indx: integer;
Begin
    temp:-value[i];
    indx:-index[i];
    value[i]:-value[j];
    index[i]:-index[j];
    value[j]:-temp;
    index[j]:-indx;
End;
Const
        MaxStack - 20; { Log2(N) = MaxStack, i. e. for MaxStack = 20
                            it is possible to sort l million records }
Var
        ( The stacks )
        LStack : Array[1..MaxStack] Of Integer; ( Stack of left index }
        RStack : Array[l..MaxStack] Of Integer; ( Stack of right index }
        Sp : Integer; { Stack SortPointer }
        M,L,R,I,J : Integer;
        X : Real;
Begin
    ( The quicksort algorithm )
    If N>0 Then
        Begin
            LStack[1]:=1;
            RStack[l]:=N;
            Sp:-1
        End
    Else
        Sp:-0;
    While Sp>0 do
        Begin
            { Pop(L,R) }
            L :-LStack[Sp];
            R :-RStack[Sp];
            Sp:-Sp-1;
            Repeat
                I:-L; J:-R;
```

```
    M:-(I+J) shr l;
    X:-Value[M];
    (writeln('l r m x ',l:5,r:5,m:5,x);)
    Repeat
        while (I<-J) and (Value[I] < x) do
                I: -I+1;
            while (I<-J) and (Value[J] > x) do
                J:-J-1;
            If I<-J Then
                begin
                    (writeln('i j v[i] v[j] ',i:5,j:5,value[i],value[j]);)
                    If i\diamondj then Exchange(I,J);
                    i:=i+1;
                    j:-j-1;
                end
            Until I>J;
            ( Push longest interval on stack )
                If J-L < R-I Then
            Begin
                If I<R Then
                    Begin
                                    ( Push(I,R) )
                                    Sp:=Sp+1;
                                    LStack[Sp]:-I;
                                    RStack[Sp]:=R;
                                    (writeln('sp i r ',sp:5,i:5,r:5);}
                    End;
                R:=I-1
            End
            Else
            Begin
                If L<J Then
                    Begin
                        { Push(L,J) }
                                Sp:-Sp+l;
                    LStack[Sp]:-L;
                    RStack[Sp]:-J;
                    {writeln('sp 1 j ',sp:5,1:5,j:5);}
                    End;
                L:-J+1
            End;
        Until L>=R
            End;
End ( QuickSort );
(***********************************************************************)
begin
{ Initialize Lagrangian Solution }
    tick := 0;
    nits := 60;
    Fixed:= false;
    Minus_infinity:=-le37;
    Error:= false;
```

```
    scale factor:= 1;
    norm_\overline{mactor := 1;}
    w_previous := 0;
    w_new := 2*w_eps;
    w_target := totalCost+10;
    hold_POD_set:= CurrentPODs;
    Numbèr fixed:= 0;
    for i:=l to Maxpossible do POD_indicator[i] := ' ';
    for i:-1 to 5 do Running_average[i] := 0;
l
            Compute a dual value for the number of open POD sites
    delta_s:-totalcost;
    GreedyADD;
    ComputeCost;
    s:-totalcost-delta_s;
    CurrentPODs:=hold_POD_set;
    match;
|
                                Perform File Initialization
| assign(dual_file,'dual.var');
    rewrite(dual_file); )
1 Initialize dual variables using best and nextbest costs
    for i:-l to nzips do
        begin
        if map[i]Onil then
            begin { determine an interval for the dual variable |
                if nextbestPOD[i] = 0 then
                nextcost[i] := l.5*currentcost[i]
                else if currentcost[i] > nextcost[i] then
                        currentcost[i]:- nextcost[i]/2;
                factor :- CurrentCost[i]/nextcost[i];
                nextcost[i] := nextcost[i]-currentcost[i];
                if nextcost[i] > abs(s) then nextcost[i]:= abs(s);
                dual_var[i] :- CurrentCost[i] + factor*NextCost[i];
                mono_Xij[i] := 0;
            end
        else
            dual_var[i]:-0.0;
        end;
l Check for Fixed Sites
    for i:-1 to nposs do
        if CanBe[i].must then
            begin
                Fixed:-true;
                Number_Fixed:-Number_Fixed+1;
            end;
        if Number_Fixed >- Endnumber then
            writeln('ERROR: Illegal Number of Fixed Variables');
                    Begin the main loop
```

```
    clrscr;
    writeln(' The Lagrangian Lower Bound ');
    writeln;
    writeln(' The best Greedy-Interchange solution value is
,delta_s:8:2);
while ( (abs(w_previous-w_new) > w_eps) and (tick < max_iter) ) do
    begin
        monotone:-0;
        for iter:=1 to nits do
            if norm_factor > 0.9 then
                begin
                                    Compute Reduced Costs
                                    )
                tick := tick + l;
                if (iter - l) or Fixed then
                begin
                    if iter > l then for i:=1 to nzips do
                    dual_var[i]:-dual_var[i]+Sum_Xij[i];
                    for i:-l to Nposs do
                    begin ( Compute the reduced costs from scratch )
                base := CanBe[i].next;
                ipod := base^.site;
                work[i] := base^.cost-dual_var[ipod]-s;
                base :- base^.nextzip;
                while base < nil do
                    with base^ do
                        begin
                        zip:-site;
                        if cost-dual_var[zip] < 0 then
                            work[i]:-work[i]+cost-dual_var[zip];
                            base:-base^.nextzip;
                        end;
                end;
                end
                else ( Compute the same thing only faster )
                begin
                        for i:= 1 to nposs do
                                work[i]:=work[i]+delta_s*(Sum_Xjj-Endnumber);
                        for i:= l to nzips do
                                if Sum_Xij[i] \diamond 0 then { Examine only those which changed }
                        begin
                        dual_var[i] := dual_var[i]+Sum_Xij[i];
                        base:= map[i];
                        while base 囚 nil do
                                with base^ do
                                    begin
                                    ipod:= Index[target];
                                    save:= cost-dual_var[i];
                                    if site=target then
                                    work[ipod] := work[ipod]-Sum_Xij[i]
                                    else if save < O then
                                    begin
                                    if save+Sum_Xij[i]<0 then
```

```
                    work[ipod] := work[ipod] - Sum_Xij[i]
                    else
                        work[ipod] := work[ipod] + save;
                        end
                                else if save+Sum_Xij[i]<0 then
                        work[ipod] := work[ipod] - save - Sum_Xij[i];
        base := nextpod;
        end;
        end;
    end;
```

for i:=1 to Nposs do
begin
pod id[i]:=i;
if $\overline{F i x e d}$ and canbe[i].must then
red_cost[i]:=Minus_Infinity
else
red_cost[i]:=work[i];
end;
Quicksort(red_cost,pod_id,nposs);
if Fixed then for $i:=1$ to nposs do
if canbe[pod_id[i]].must then red_cost[i]:=work[pod_id[i]];
find an approximate feasible solution with $k-1$ or $k+1$ PODs

```
Sum_Xjj:=0;
for i:= l to nposs do
    if (Red_cost[i]< 0) or CanBe[pod_id[i]].must then
        Sum_Xjj:=Sum_Xjj+1;
if ( abs(Sum_Xjj - EndNumber) > 0 ) then
    delta_s :=- red_cost[EndNumber+1]/2 + red_cost[Endnumber]/2
else
    delta_s:-0;
s:= s+delta_s;
w_previous := w_new;
w_new := s`EndNumber;
CürrentPODs:= [];
Sum_Xjj := 0;
for i:= l to nposs do
    begin
        if ( delta_s \diamond 0 )then
                begin
                        red_cost[i]:= red_cost[i] - delta_s;
                work
                end;
                if (red_cost[i] < 0) or canbe[pod_id[i]].must then
                begin
                        w_new:-w_new+red_cost[i];
                        Sum_Xjj:=Sum_Xjj+1;
                        CurrentPODs:=CurrentPODs+[pod_id[i]];
```
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        end;
    end;
l
                                    Compute the Xij's and Objective Value
    for 1:-1 to Nzips do
    if map[i]-nil then
        Sum_Xij[i] := 0
    else
        Sum_Xij[i] :=-1;
    for f:=l to Nposs do
    begin
        base := CanBe[j].next;
        pod := base^.site;
        ipod := index[pod];
        if ipod in currentPODs then
                while base }Q\mathrm{ nil do
                with base^ do
                    begin
                    save:-cost-dual_var[site];
                            if (site-pod) or (save < -w_eps) then
                                Sum_Xij[site] := Sum_Xij[site]+1
                        else
                        if save < w_eps then
                                    if Sum_Xij[site] < 0 then
                                    Sum_Xij[site] := 0;
                            base:-nextzip;
                    end;
        end;
norm_factor:=0.0;
for i:=1 to nzips do
        begin
            w_new:=w_new+dual_var[i];
            norm_factor:=norm_factor+abs(Sum_Xij[i]);
    end;
    if norm_factor = 0 then
        begin
            norm_factor:=0.9;
            w_previous:=w_new;
        end;
    Running_average[iter mod 3 + 1] := norm_factor;
    save :=
(Running_average[1]+Running_average[2]+Running_average[3])/3;
    ( compute a new scale factor and compute new dual variables )
if w_new-w_previous < -w_eps then
        monotone:=0
else
        monotone:-monotone+1;
```

```
    if (monotone >- 5) and (scale_factor < 0.5) then
        begin
            scale_factor :- 2.0*scale_factor;
                writēln(lst,'2*scale_fac\overline{tor',scale_factor:7:6);)}
            monotone:-0;
    end;
factor:= scale_factor*(w_target-w_new)/save + w_eps;
compute the min_dif needed to change Sum_Xij by 1
GotoXY(1,5);
min_dif:-1E+37;
for-1:-1 to Nzips do
    begin
        if (Sum_Xij[i] < 0) then
            begin
                if mono_Xij[i] > 0 then
                    mono_\overline{xij[i]:-0}
            else
                mono_Xij[i]:-mono_Xij[i]-1;
            base := map[i];
                if min_dif > factor then
                while base \diamond nil do
                    with base^ do
                        begin
                                delta:-1E+37;
                                pod :- target;
                                ipod:- index[pod];
                                save:- cost - dual_var[i];
                                if (ipod in currentPODs) and (save > 0) then
                                delta:-save (;}
                                else
                                if not (ipod in currentPODs) then
                            begin
                            if site - target then
                                    delta:-work[ipod]
                                    else
                                    if save > 0 then
                                    delta:-save+work[ipod]
                                    else
                                    if save < O then
                                    delta:-work[ipod];
                            end;
                                if delta < min_dif then
                                min_dif:-del\overline{Ta;}
                                base:-nextpod;
                            end;
            end
    else if (Sum_Xij[i] > 0) then
            begin
            if mono_Xij[i] < 0 then
                mono_\overline{x}ij[i]:-0
            else
```
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                    mono_Xij[i]:=mono_Xij[i]+1;
                    base := map[i];
                    if min_dif > factor then
                while base < nil do
                    with base^ do
                        begin
                    pod :- target;
                            ipod:= index[pod];
                            save:- cost-dual_var[i];
                            if (ipod in currentPODs) and (save < 0) then
                                    begin
                                    if (-save/Sum_Xij[i] < min_dif) then
                                    min_dif :- -save/Sum_Xij[i];
                                    if (-work[ipod]/Sum_Xij[i] < min_dif) then
                                    min_dif := -work[ipod]/Sum_Xij[i];
                                    end;
                                    base:-nextpod;
                        end;
            end
        else
            mono_Xij[i]:=0;
        end;
    for j:=1 to Nposs do
        begin
            base := CanBe[j].next;
            zip := base^.site;
            pod := index[zip];
            if pod in currentPODs then
                    POD_indicator[pod]:='1'
            else
                POD_indicator[pod]:='0';
    end;
    if(min_dif<lE+37) and (min_dif>factor) then
        begin
            writeln(lst,' min_dif applied ',min_dif:10:5,'
(factor', factor:10:5);)
            factor := min_dif;
            end;
    save:-100.0*w_new/w_target;
        writeln(POD_indica\overline{tor);}
    writeln(' itēr w_target w_new norm_fact factor',
                min_\overline{dif s TSum_Xjj ');}
    writeln(tick:3,' ',w_target:12,' ',w_new:12,' ',norm_factor:9,
                ' ',factor:1\overline{2},' ',min_dif:9,', ',s:6:3,' ',Sum_Xjj:3);}
    writeln(' Upgraded Lower Bound for the Optimal Solution is
,w_new:8:2);
    writeln;
    writeln(' Percentage of the Greedy-Interchange Solution is
, save:4:2,'q');
    writeln;
    writeln(' Iteration ',tick:4);
```

```
            for i:=1 to nzips do
            begin
            if abs(mono_Xij[i!) > }5\mathrm{ then
                begin
                    Sum_Xij[i]:=Sum_Xij[i]*2;
                    writeln(' 2*Sum_Xij on ',i:4);)
                    end;
    if min dif = factor then
                    Sum_\overline{Xij[i]:=- factor*Sum_Xij[i]}
            else if factor < nextcost[i] then
                    Sum_Xij[i]:=-factor*Sum_Xij[i]
            else
                    Sum_Xij[i]:=-Sum_Xij[i]*scale_factor*Nextcost[i];
            end;
            if factor > }10\mathrm{ then
            delta_s:=scale_factor
            else if('(Sum_Xjj-EndNumber>0) and (factor<-red_cost[Sum_Xjj]) then
                    delta_s:-abs(red_cost[Sum_Xjj])
                            else if (Sum_Xjj-EndNumber<0) and (factor<red_cost[Sum_Xjj+1])
then
                    delta_s:=abs(red_cost[Sum_Xjj+1])
        else
            delta_s:=factor;
            s:=s-delta_s*(Sum_Xjj-EndNumber);
        end;
        Assignment;
        if error then
            begin
            writeln(' current lagrangian solution not feasible ');)
            end
    else
        begin
            ComputeCost;
                listcurrent;)
            if (totalCost < w_target) and (Sum_Xjj = EndNumber) then
                begin
                    if abs(totalCost-w_new) > w_eps then
                        save:= (w_targe\overline{t}-\mp@subsup{w}{_}{\prime}new)/(
                    else
                        save:-1;
                    if save > 2 then
                    begin
                        writeln(lst,' scale_factor adjusted
',scale_factor:5:4);)
                    scale_factor:-scale_factor*save;
                        if scāle_factor > 1- then scale_factor:-1;
                            end;
                                    w_target:=totalCost;
                                    hold_POD_set:-currentPODs;
                                    save:-100.0*w_new/w_target;
                    writeln('upgräded w_target',w_target);)
    clrscr;
    writeln('
                                    The Lagrangian Lower Bound ');
```

```
    writeln;
    writeln(' An Improved Greedy-Interchange solution value is
,totalcost:8:2);
    writeln;
    writeln(' Upgraded Lower Bound for the Optimal Solution is
    ,w_new:8:2);
    writeln;
    writeln(' Percentage of the Greedy-Interchange Solution is
',save:4:2,'%');
    writeln;
    writeln(' Iteration ',tick:4);
                end;
            end;
        iter:-nits shr l;
        nits:-iter+l0;
        scale_factor:=scale_factor/2.0+w_eps;
1 reset(dual file);
    write(dual_file,scale_factor,s);
    for i:=l to nzips do
        write(dual_file,dual_var[i]);)
    end;
( close(dual_file);)
end;
procedure GraphColor;
{ This procedure computes the adjacency of POD service-regions in
    the current solution to the POD location problem, and colors the
    zips in these regions such that no two adjacent regions use the
    same color. At most six (five?) colors will be used. For a good
    description of the coloring algorithm, see David W. Matula et al,
    "Graph Coloring Algorithms", in Ronald C. Read, "Graph Theory and
    Computing", 1972 Academic Press, N.Y. The idea for the algorithm
    is based on the 'two-color chain' proof of the five-color theorem.
    The solution may be saved to a file, if desired. ,
type
    ptr_type = ^adj_list_el;
    adj_list_el = record
        v : integer;
                            next : ptr_type;
                            end;
    graph_type = array [l..MaxPossible] of adj_list_el;
    Node_array = array [l..MaxPossible] of integer;
    set_type = set of l..Maxpossible;
var
    graph : graph_type;
                { contains adjacency list representation of the graph )
    Node_num, color, ordering : Node_array;
        ( color[vi] is the color assigned to vertex vi,
        ordering[] stores the order in which vertices should be
```

```
    colored. Node_num[i] tells which vertex in Graph corresponds to
    zip site number i }
    last_color, num_nodes, count, pod, spot : integer;
        ( total # of colors used, number of nodes in graph
        count,pod,spot are temporary variables }
    answer : string[3];
    filename : FileString;
    WriteFile : text;
    GettingName : boolean;
    base : link;
```



```
procedure init_graph;
var
    nodes, count, w : integer;
begin
    for count :- 1 to CurrentNumber do
        graph[count].next := NIL;
    nodes := 0;
    for count := 1 to Nposs do
        if count in CurrentPODs then
            begin
                nodes := succ(nodes);
                w := CanBe[count].where;
                graph[nodes].v := w;
                Node_num[count] := nodes;
            end;
end; ( init_graph )
```



```
procedure write_out_graph (var graph : graph_type; num_nodes : integer);
var
    count : integer;
    temp : ptr_type;
begin ( write_out_graph }
            writeln; writeln;
    for count :- l to num_nodes do begin
            write('adjacency \overline{l}}\mathrm{ ist for node ',count,' is : ');
            temp :- graph[count].next;
            while(temp }\bigcirc\mathrm{ nil) do begin
                if temp \diamond nil then write(temp^.v);
            temp :- temp^.next;
            if temp \diamond nil then write(',');
            end; { while }
            writeln;
    end; ( for )
end; ( write_out_graph )
```



```
procedure read_in_graph;
{This procedure modified by M. McClain, 1/12/88}
const maxadj = 25; (Warning - This constant must match the value
                                    of MAXADJ used in the installation program
                                    subroutine ADJ. Changes in this value require
                                    changes in the buffer record structure below.)
var Zipl,Zip2 : string[5];
        ind,count,blocksize : integer;
        adj_file : file;
        buffer : record
                                zipindex : word;
                                adjnum : word;
                                zipcode : double;
                                blankreall,blankreal2,blankreal3,blankreal4 : double;
                                blankintl,blankint2,blankint3 : word;
                                neighbor : array[l..maxadj] of word
                            end;
        procedure Add_to_list(z,n:integer);
            var podl, pod2 : integer;
            ptr, p : ptr_type;
        begin
            podl := Node_num[Index[BestPOD[z]]];
            pod2 := Node_num[Index[BestPOD[n]]];
            ptr := nil;
            new(ptr);
            ptr^.v := podl;
            ptr^.next := graph[pod2].next;
            graph[pod2].next := ptr;
            per := nil;
            new(ptr);
            ptr`.v := pod2;
            ptr^.next := graph[podl].next;
            graph[podl].next := ptr;
        end; ( Add_to_list )
```



```
begin
    assign(adj_file,'ADJACENT.'+StateName);
    blocksize := 4*maxadj;
    reset(adj_file,blocksize);
    while not EOF(adj_file) do
        begin
            blockread(adj_file,buffer,l);
            ind := buffer.zipindex;
```

```
        if buffer.zipcode ○ ZCreal[ind]+Minimum_Zipcode then
        begin
            str(buffer.zipcode:5:0,Zipl);
            writeln('ERROR: Zip code mismatch for index ',ind:5,' ..');
            writeln(' ',Zipl,' in adjacency file,');
            writeln(' ',ZCreal[ind],' in solver.');
        end;
        if buffer.adjnum > 0 then
    for count :- l to buffer.adjnum do
                if BestPOD[ind]OBestPOD[buffer.neighbor[count]] then
                    Add_to_list(ind,buffer.neighbor[count])
    end; ( while )
end; ( read_in_graph )
```



```
procedure delete_node ( node : ptr_type; var list_ptr : ptr_type);
var
    temp : ptr_type;
begin
    temp := list_ptr;
    if (node = list_ptr) then begin
        writeln(' error');
            list_ptr := node^.next;
            temp := node;
            dispose(temp);
        end (if)
        else begin
            while (temp^.next }>\mathrm{ node) do
                            temp := temp^.next;
            temp^.next := node^.next;
            temp := node;
            dispose(temp);
        end; (else)
end; ( delete node )
```



```
procedure clean_up (var graph : graph_type ; num_nodes : integer);
    { eliminates duplications from the adjacency list of each vertex )
var
        node,temp_node : ptr_type;
        index,current : integer;
        adjacent : set_type;
begin
    for index :- 1 to num_nodes do begin
        adjacent :- [];
        node :- graph[index].next;
        while (node O nil) do begin
            current :- node^.v;
            if (current IN adjacent ) then begin
                temp_node :- node;
                node :- node^.next;
                delete_node(temP_node,graph[index].next)
```

```
            end (if )
            else begin
                        adjacent := adjacent + [current];
            node := node^.next;
                end; ( else )
            end; ( while )
    end; ( for )
end; ( clean_up }
```



```
procedure find_min_degree(var vertex : integer; var graph : graph_rype;
            num_\overline{nodes : integer; var deleted : set_type);}
var
    v_count,degree,min_degree : integer;
    temp : ptr_type;
begin
        min_degree := MaxPossible;
        for v count := 1 to num_nodes do
        if}\mathrm{ not (v_count IN deleted) then begin
            temp := graph[v_count].next;
            degree := 0;
            while (temp }<\mathrm{ NIL) do begin
                if not (temp^.v IN deleted) then
                degree := degree + 1;
                temp := temp^.next;
            end; ( while )
            if (degree < min_degree) then begin
                vertex := v_count;
                min_degree := degree;
                end; (if )
            end; { if }
end; ( find min degree )
```



```
procedure order_graph (var graph : graph_type; num_nodes : integer;
            var ordering : Node_array);
var
    deleted : set_type;
    count,vertex : integer;
begin
            deleted := [];
            for count := num_nodes downto l do begin
                        find_min_degree(vertex,graph,num_nodes,deleted);
                        ordering[count] := vertex;
            deleted := deleted + [vertex];
            end; ( for }
end; ( order_graph )
procedure find_available( var color : Node_array; v_point : ptr_type;
            vertèx : integer; var first_not_used : integer);
var
    temp : ptr_type;
```

```
begin
    temp := v_point;
    first_not_used := l;
    while (temp 囚 NIL) do
        if (color[temp^.v] = first_not_used)and(temp^.v O vertex) then
        begin
                first_not_used :- first_not_used + l;
                temp := v_point;
            end (if...)
            else temp :- temp^.next;
end; ( find_available )
```



```
procedure determine_colors_used(point : ptr_type;
                last_\overline{color : integer; var color,used : Node_array);}
var
    temp : ptr_type;
    current : integer;
begin
    for current := l to last_color do
            used[current] := 0;
        temp := point;
        while (temp \diamond NIL) do begin
                current := color[temp^.v];
                if current > 0 then
                if (used[current] = 0) then
                    used[current] := temp^.v
                else if (used[current] > 0) then
                        used[current] := - l;
                temp := temp^.next;
    end; { while }
( writeln( 'determining the colors used out of ',last_color);
        for current := l to last_color do
            writeln(current,' : ',used[current]); }
end; { determine_colors_used )
```



```
procedure write_colors (var color : Node_array; last_color,num_nodes
var count : integer;
begin
    writeln; writeln;
    for count :- l to num_nodes do
        writeln(' POD ',graph[count].v,' is colored in color
',color[count]);
    writeln(' This coloring used ',last_color,' colors.');
end; { write_out_graph }
```



```
procedure change_colors( var mark,color : Node_array;
    colorl,color2,num_marked : integer);
var
```

```
    index,countl : integer;
begin
    for countl := l to num_marked-1 do begin
        index := mark[countl];
        if (color[index] - colorl) then
            color[index] := color2
        else if (color[index] = color2) then
                color[index] := colorl
        else writeln(' ERROR in change_colors, ignore the results');
    end; { for }
end; ( change_colors )
```



```
procedure try_swap (a,b : integer; var graph : graph_type; var color :
                                    Node_array; var success : boolean);
var
    visited,sub_colors : set_type;
    mark : Node_array;
    num_marked,current,colorl,color2 : integer;
procedure find_component(var current : integer);
var
    temp : ptr_type;
begin
    if (current = b) then success := false
    else begin
                visited := visited + [current];
                mark[num_marked] := current;
                num_marked := num_marked + 1;
                temp := graph[current].next;
                while (temp & NIL) and (success) do begin
                    current := temp^.v;
                    if(not (current IN visited))and
                    (color[current] IN sub_colors) then begin
                                    find_component(current);
                    end;
                    temp := temp^.next;
                end; ( while }
    end; { else }
end; ( find_component)
begin ( try_swap )
    success := true;
    num_marked := 1;
    current := a;
    visited := [];
    colorl := color[a];
    color2 := color[b];
    sub_colors := [colorl]+[color2];
    fin\overline{d}component(current);
    if (\overline{success) then change_colors(mark,color,colorl,color2,num_marked);}
end; ( try_swap )
```



```
procedure try_interchange (var graph : graph_type; vertex : integer;
            var color : Node_array; var first,\overline{last_color : integer);}
var
        used : Node_array;
        countl,count2,trial_color : integer;
        change_successful : boolean;
begin
    writeln ('trying interchange for #',vertex,' ..');)
        determine_colors_used(graph[vertex].next,last_color,color,used);
        change successful :- false;
        countl := 1;
        while (countl <- last_color)and(not change_successful) do begin
        if (used[countl] > 0) then begin
        count2 :- countl + 1;
        while (count2 <= last_color) and (not change_successful) do
begin
            if (used[count2] > 0) then begin
                        { writeln('considering ',countl,', ',count2); )
                    ( trial_color := color[countl]; )
                trial_color := countl;
                try_swap(used[countl],used[count2],graph,color,
                                    change_successful);
                                    if (change_successful) then first := trial_color;
                    end; ( if .. )
                    count2 := count2 + 1;
            end; ( while count2 ... )
        end; ( if .. countl )
        countl :- countl + 1;
    end; ( while )
end; ( try_interchange )
```



```
procedure color_graph (var graph : graph_type; num_nodes : integer;
        var color : Node_array; var last_color : integer);
var
    vertex,first_not_used,counter : integer;
begin
    for counter := l to num nodes do
        color[counter] := 0;
    last_color := 1;
    for counter := l to num_nodes do begin
        vertex := ordering[counter];
    | writeln(' now coloring vertex number ',vertex); )
        find_available(color,graph[vertex].next,vertex,first_not_used);
        if (\overline{first_not_used > last_color) then}
            try_interchange(graph,vertex,color,first_not_used,last_color);
        color[vertex] := first_not_used;
        if (first_not_used > last_color) then
            last_color-:= last_colōr + 1;
    end; ( for )
end; ( color_graph )
```



```
begin ( main )
    Init_graph;
    num_nodes := CurrentNumber; ( CurrentNumber is a global variable
                                    telling how many PODs are assigned )
    read_in_graph;
    clean__up(graph,num_nodes);
( write_out_graph(graph,num_nodes);) (diagnostic only)
    order_graph(graph, num_nodes,ordering);
    color_graph(graph,num_nodes,color,last_color);
( write_colors(color,last_color,num_nodes);)
( writeln(' Do you wish to save this solution and coloring on the disk');
    writeln(' for later graphic output ? (Yes or No)');
    readln(answer); }
    answer[l]:-'y';
    if (answer[l]='y') or (answer[l]-'Y') then
        begin
            writeln(' Enter the filename under which you wish to save the data:');
            readln(filename); )
            filename :- 'SOLUTION.'+StateName;
            GettingName := exist(filename);
            while GettingName do
                        begin
                    writeln(' NOTE: file ',filename,' already exists:');
                    writeln(' Write over this file ? ');
                    readln(answer);
                    if (answer[l] ('y') and (answer[l]}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{Y}{}{\prime})\mathrm{ then
                    begin
                                    writeln(' Enter new filename:');
                                    readln(filename);
                                    GettingName :- exist(filename);
                    end
                    else
                        GettingName := false;
            end;} ( while }
        Assign (WriteFile,filename);
        Rewrite(WriteFile);
        (Next section modified by M. McClain, 1/22/88)
        write(Writefile,totalcost:12:2,' ',CurrentNumber:3);
        writeln(Writefile);
        for pod:=l to Nzips do
            if Index[pod] }<0\mathrm{ then
                    if (Index[pod] in CurrentPODs) then
                    write(WriteFile,Canbe[Index[pod]].where:5);
        writeln(WriteFile);
        for pod:=1 to Nzips do
            if Index[pod] \diamond 0 then
                    begin
                    base:-CanBe[Index[pod]].next;
                    while baseOnil do
                begin
                    count:- base^.site;
                    if BestPOD[count]=pod then
                                    begin
                        spot := Node_num[Index[pod]];
```

```
                        write(Writefile,count:5,ZCreal[count]+Minimum_Zipcode:6,
                    Color[spot]:3,pod:5);
                    writeln(WriteFile);
                    end;
                base:-base^.nextzip;
                    end;
                end;
        Close(Writefile);
            writeln(' Data have been saved in file ',filename);}
        end;
end; ( GraphColor )
procedure PODColor;
( This procedure creates a solution file which does not use the
    graph-coloring algorithm. )
var
        zip, clr, pod : integer;
        WriteFile : text;
        base : link;
begin
    Assign (WriteFile,'SOLUTION.'+StateName);
    Rewrite(WriteFile);
    write (Writefile,totalcost:12:2,' ',CurrentNumber:3);
    writeln(Writefile);
    for pod:=l to Nzips do
        if(Index[pod]>0) then
            if(Index[pod] in CurrentPODs)then
                write(writefile,Canbe[Index[pod]].where:5);
    writeln(Writefile);
    clr:=0;
    for zip:=l to Nzips do
        if(map[zip]\diamondnil)then
        begin
            write (WriteFile,zip:5,ZcReal[zip]+Minimum Zipcode:6,
                        clr:3,BestPOD[zip]:5);
                writeln(WriteFile);
        end;
    Close(Writefile);
end; ( PODColor )
```
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