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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119\(^1\), Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards and in Conformity Assessment Activities, requires the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to report annually to OMB on the progress that Federal agencies have made toward using voluntary standards rather than agency-unique standards, as well as on NIST efforts in coordinating agencies’ use of voluntary standards and participation in standardization activities, and to provide summaries of the status of individual agency use of standards and participation and interaction with private sector voluntary standards bodies.

Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), and OMB Circular A-119 require Federal agencies to use voluntary standards to the extent practicable, and to report when they have developed agency-specific standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards. The present report addresses the development of agency-unique standards, the extent and nature of participation by Federal agencies in the development of voluntary standards, their use of voluntary standards, and an evaluation of the effectiveness of the policies promulgated in the Circular.


NIST relies on the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP) as the primary means of fulfilling NIST’s responsibilities for coordinating Federal standards-related activities. This report describes NIST’s coordination activities with special emphasis on implementing the NTTAA. It also includes information on the activities of the ICSP and its working groups, an overview of standards policy coordination, individual agencies’ reports on NTTAA implementation efforts, and future courses of action.

On September 28 1999, NIST, who chairs the ICSP, requested that each agency provide information on the status of its implementation of both the OMB Circular A-119 and the NTTAA. The Circular and the NTTAA require that each agency collect specific information regarding the status of its implementation activities: agency use of government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards, the use of voluntary consensus standards, the number of voluntary standards substituted for government-unique standards, and the number of individual agency participants in voluntary standards bodies. This information is summarized by agency in Table 1 on page 15 of the report. Totals for all Federal agencies are shown in the following table:

\(^1\)OMB issued a revised Circular A-119 on February 19, 1998.
### Fiscal Year (FY) 1999 Statistics on Federal Agencies Participation in Development of and Adoption of Voluntary Consensus Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Voluntary Consensus Standards Bodies in which Agencies Reported Participation</th>
<th>Number of Agencies Employees Participating in Voluntary Consensus Standards Bodies</th>
<th>Number of additional Voluntary Consensus Standards used in FY 1999</th>
<th>Number of Voluntary Consensus Standards substituted in FY 1999 for Government-Unique Standards</th>
<th>Number of Government-Unique Standards Used in Lieu of Voluntary Consensus Standards in FY 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1999 Change from FY 1998</td>
<td>FY 1999 Change from FY 1998</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>2,832</td>
<td>-378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Not reflected in the chart are the total number of voluntary consensus standards adopted by Federal agencies and those in the process of being adopted. For example, DOD reported that their total number of adopted voluntary consensus standards was 8,618 for FY 1999, DOE reported that their total was 1,306 for FY 1999.

In addition to adoption of voluntary consensus standards, Federal regulatory agencies, such as EPA, have developed procedures for alerting the public about proposed regulations. The EPA has developed wording for inclusion in its notices of proposed rulemaking to draw attention to Federal opportunities for using voluntary consensus standards. Such notices allow standards developing organizations (SDOs) the opportunity to alert Federal agencies of standards and standards activities of potential interest. Federal procurement agencies, such as DOD and NASA, have developed procedures for alerting proposers about opportunities for use of voluntary standards on procurement actions.

Agencies have also instituted procedures for identifying existing standards when proposing new regulations or in procurement activities. During FY 1999, DOD continued to move Mil-Specs into the private sector. Some agencies, notably the HHS, have convened agency-wide workshops with other agencies, and invited key stakeholders to educate their standards personnel. Finally, private sector SDOs instituted briefing sessions with Federal agencies to address the issue of references to out-of-date standards in regulations.

The decline in agency participation in standards committee activities first noted in the FYs 1997 and 1998 reports has continued. (See charts 2 and 3 on page 17 of the report). In the recommendations section of this report, a number of possible reasons for this decline are listed.
Included in Appendices A and B of this report are the reports sent to NIST from the 14 Cabinet Departments and 12 other agencies and commissions. They are provided, with minimal or no editing and reformatting. Copies of the ICSP Charter, the FY 1999 ICSP membership list, and a list of NIST publications related to P.L. 104-113 are provided in Appendices C, D, and E, respectively.
1. SCOPE

This report describes the progress that Federal agencies have made in the use of voluntary consensus standards and participation in standardization activities. It also highlights some examples of agencies' interactions with private-sector voluntary consensus standards bodies, agencies' use of government-unique standards, and the activities of the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP). It discusses National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST's) efforts in coordinating Federal agencies' standards-related activities, including interactions with key private sector organizations. This report covers fiscal year (FY) 1999 from October 1, 1998, through September 30, 1999.

Appendices A and B provide copies of the reports that NIST received from Cabinet Departments, other agencies, and commissions. Appendices C, D, and E, respectively, provide copies of the ICSP Charter, ICSP membership, and a list of NIST publications related to Public Law (P.L.) 104-113.

2. FEDERAL STATUTES

2.1 National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) - P.L. 104-113, the NTTAA of 1995, codified existing policies of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, established reporting requirements, and authorized NIST to coordinate standards conformity assessment activities of Federal agencies, and with the private sector.

2.2 OMB Circular A-119 and P.L. 104-113 - On February 19, 1998, OMB issued the newly-revised Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards and in Conformity Assessment Activities, to replace the Circular dated October 20, 1993. The purpose was to make the terminology of the Circular consistent with P.L. 104-113, to issue guidance to agencies on making their reports to OMB, to direct the Secretary of Commerce to issue policy guidance for conformity assessment, and to make changes for clarity.

2.2.1 Agencies' use of voluntary consensus standards versus government-unique standards - Consistent with section 12(d) of the NTTAA, the Circular directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in lieu of government-unique standards except where inconsistent with law or otherwise impractical. It also provides guidance for agencies participating in voluntary consensus standards bodies and describes procedures for satisfying the reporting requirements of the NTTAA. The policies in the Circular are intended to minimize reliance by agencies on government-unique standards. These policies do not create the basis for
discrimination in agency procurement or regulatory activities among standards developed in the private sector, whether or not they are developed by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

2.2.2 Guidance to agencies - Consistent with section 12(b) of the NTTAA, the Circular directs the Secretary of Commerce to issue guidance to agencies on ways to coordinate their conformity assessment activities. The goal of this guidance is to reduce unnecessary duplication and complexity in Federal conformity assessment activities. Draft guidance was prepared and circulated within the ICSP for comment, with particular attention paid to meeting regulatory agency concerns. The draft guidance will be published in 2000 for additional public comment.

2.2.3 Other issues covered by the Circular - The Circular also describes policies regarding Federal use of standards and Federal participation in voluntary consensus standards bodies. It covers the use of standards in regulations and provides procedures for managing and reporting on agency use of standards in procurement and regulations. It also covers reporting requirements including how an agency is to report on its development and use of standards. The Circular also specifies the responsibilities of the Secretary of Commerce and NIST, agency heads, and Agency Standards Executives.

2.2.4 Role of Secretary of Commerce and NIST - The NTTAA and the Circular assign several policy coordination and implementation tasks to the Secretary of Commerce and specifically to NIST. NIST is responsible for chairing the ICSP and submitting an annual report to OMB on agency implementation activities. Compiling this report requires the full cooperation and assistance of all departments and agencies.

2.2.5 Agency Standards Executives - The revised Circular clarifies the role of Agency Standards Executives, particularly regarding agency-wide compliance with the NTTAA's legal requirements. The Standards Executive is responsible for coordinating agency-wide standards-related activities, coordinating the implementation of the Circular, and serving on the ICSP.

3. INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS POLICY (ICSP)

3.1 Purpose - The ICSP was established in 1968 to encourage coordination and liaison among Federal agencies on matters related to standards. In 1992, the Secretary of Commerce reconstituted the ICSP to provide the required "interagency consultative mechanism to advise the Secretary and agency heads in implementing the policy." In October 1997, the Secretary of Commerce approved a new Charter, which included requirements of the NTTAA. A copy of the new Charter is provided as Appendix C.

3.2 ICSP chair - The Director of the NIST Office of Standards Services chairs the ICSP on behalf of the NIST Director and the Secretary of Commerce. The ICSP is the primary vehicle for
coordinating Federal activities under the NTTAA and the OMB Circular. NIST develops very few agency-unique standards for either procurement or regulation purposes, but plays a major role in standards-related technical and policy-related activities as directed by both the Circular and the NTTAA.

3.3 ICSP membership - The ICSP is currently composed of representatives of the 14 Federal Cabinet Departments, 12 other Federal agencies and commissions, and several offices in the Executive Office of the President. The composition of the ICSP is shown in figure 1 on page 6. The ICSP membership is provided as Appendix D.

4. HIGHLIGHTS OF ICSP ACTIVITIES

4.1 General - The ICSP met five times in FY 1999. The meetings focused on such topics as the NTTAA, agency implementation of the OMB Circular A-119, the proposed conformity assessment guidance, and the annual report to OMB. There were presentations and discussions on implementing the NTTAA, various agency standards-related policy issues, and the proposed guidance on Federal conformity assessment activities. ICSP members heard presentations from NIST regarding the National Cooperation for Laboratory Accreditation (NACLA) activities, the National Voluntary Conformity Assessment Systems Evaluation (NVCASE) Program, Strategic Management of Standards activities at NIST, and a new NIST Standards Policy document.

At the March 1999 meeting, the Committee invited Mr. James H. Turner, Senior Staff Member for Technology and Counsel, House Committee on Science, U.S. House of Representatives, to give his perspective on the NTTAA.

4.2 Interaction with private sector standards organizations - Representatives from several private sector standards developing organizations (SDOs) gave presentations on topics of interest. The speakers represented such organizations as Underwriters Laboratories and the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

4.3 ICSP working groups - During 1999, a variety of ICSP activities were carried out by the ICSP’s working groups shown in figure 1 on page 6.

Their activities are described as follows:

**Regulatory Agencies Working Group (RAWG)** - The ICSP’s RAWG, chaired by CPSC, helps to develop and implement standards policies of interest to regulatory entities of the Federal Government. Membership includes representatives from CPSC, DOE, EPA, FCC, FDA, FERC, HUD, NIST, NRC, OSHA, and USDA. The RAWG reports to the ICSP and serves as a forum for interagency information exchange and discussion of issues of mutual interest to regulatory agencies. During 1999, the RAWG focused on issues and activities related to: (1) implementation of OMB Circular A-119 entitled, "Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards;"
(2) conformity assessment; (3) a national standards strategy; (4) on-line standards development; (5) accreditation of standards developers using "essential requirements;" and (6) referencing voluntary standards in Federal Regulations.

**Working Group on Strategic Standards Management** - During 1999, the ICSP's Standards Management Working Group, chaired by DOE, examined options for a second Federal Technical Standards Workshop during FY 2000. The effort to coordinate the Workshop among Federal agencies will continue in FY 2000, and will focus on Federal standards management processes and coordination with SDOs.

**Working Group on Directory Databases** - The ICSP working group on Directory Databases, chaired by NIST, met once in 1999 to review and discuss the final report and guidelines for developing databases of standards committee participants, review current activities of working group members, and to assess the need for technical assistance, and future activities. Ten agencies were represented. The final report and guidelines were adopted as presented. It was decided that web-based systems are the preferred method for developing, maintaining, and disseminating information on committee participation. Four agencies--NIST, DOD, DOE, and NASA--have their information available on the Web. The NIST Webmaster provided the group with information on how agencies may be able to link individual databases without creating one main database, allowing agencies to maintain their own information. The group agreed to invite technical representatives to the next meeting to provide guidance on developing databases and on creating web links.

**Working Group on Quality Management (International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9000)** - NIST provided material on the proposed revisions to the ISO 9000 standards to the ICSP. NIST also responded to requests for assistance/information from individual agencies on this topic. However, there were no meetings of this working group in FY 1999. To determine if there is a continuing need for this working group, ICSP members were asked to nominate representatives within their agencies who might be interested in serving on the committee. No names were received. This working group will remain inactive until the ICSP determines that there is a need for its reactivation, but NIST will continue to share information related to the revisions of ISO 9000 with ICSP members.

Procurement Agencies Working Group - This working group, chaired by DOD, did not meet in FY 1999 since no issues specifically related to procurement were identified. This working group will be reactivated should issues arise in this area in the future.

Working Group on Federal Agency Participation - This working group, chaired by DOD, did not meet in FY 1999. Last year, the working group surveyed SDOs about the reported decline in government participation, but the survey did not yield significant results. The working group had hoped to determine whether participation had, in fact, declined, and that the decreasing numbers were not just a result of inaccurate reporting. The working group would have liked to compare government decline in participation with changes in other sectors. While the limited data collected confirmed substantial decline in government participation, and showed that it exceeded the decline from other sectors, the sample size was considered too small to be representative. Some SDOs, while not having exact numbers to report, did say that they had actually seen some increases in government participation.

Working group members and the ICSP remain concerned about declining participation—even fewer participants this year than last—and will request a discussion topic at a future ICSP meeting.

Laboratory Accreditation Work Group - This working group, chaired by NIST, did not meet in FY 1999. Its primary functions are now being addressed by the National Cooperation for Laboratory Accreditation (NACLA). This group will be subsumed into the Federal Coordination Group of NACLA during FY 2000.

Standards Working Group of the CIO and of ICSP - This Working Group’s goal is to develop a unified government voice on standards and technology requirements. The Standards Working Group of the Enterprise Interoperability and Emerging Information Technology Committee of the Federal CIO Council provides a forum for Federal agencies to identify and define their common requirements so that they may be transmitted to the appropriate voluntary standards development committees or consortia for action. The intent of the working group, chaired by NIST, is to improve the bargaining power of Federal agencies within voluntary standards committees through coordinated requirement statements. In FY 2000, NIST intends to create an e-mail reflector for supporting the work of the Standards Working Group, and a web-based requirements tracking database, which includes capability for web-based submission of proposed requirements. The group first met in December 1998 at NIST, with participants from the DISA Center for Standards, HHS, DOE, DOED, DOI, DTIC, VA, EPA, NARA, NIH, Treasury, NRC, USDA, HUD, FAA, DOD-ASD, and Center for Disease Control. The group met a second time to provide briefings on topics requested by working group members, including an overview of the voluntary standards community and a short introduction to software conformance testing.
(Figure 1 not available)
5. HIGHLIGHTS OF AGENCY ACTIVITIES

5.1 Department of Commerce (DOC) - Within DOC, NIST has responsibility for the primary activities for implementation of P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119. During FY 1999, NIST conducted a number of activities to strengthen coordination between agencies and the private sector. Among these were:

NIST published two editions of Special Publication (SP) 739, *Directory of Federal Government Certification and Related Programs*. The document contains information on Federal Government procurement and regulatory conformity assessment programs that might affect products and services. Entries include the purpose of each requirement, whether mandatory or voluntary, and methods used to ensure compliance. Sources of documentation, reciprocity arrangements, enforcement approaches, and other items are listed. SP 739 contains information on many new Federal programs and the document’s scope has been expanded to include other related types of Federal programs that have an impact on the marketplace. The document is available on the Internet at <http://ts.nist.gov/ts/htdocs/210/216/216.htm>.

NIST cosponsored a major national workshop on conformity assessment issues with the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the American Council of Independent Laboratories on February 9, 1999. This meeting concluded that: (1) the U.S. conformity assessment system is very complex and all parties need to work together to simplify it, and (2) both the Federal Government and the private sector need to harmonize their conformity assessment activities to reduce duplication and complexity. One outcome of the meeting was the formation of a public/private sector organization known as the Conformity Assessment Network.

In March 1999, NIST’s Office of Standards Services (OSS) and five private sector organizations cosponsored a one-week workshop on Electrical Safety Systems for the Americas. This successful partnership among NIST, the National Electrical Manufacturers Association, the National Fire Protection Association, Underwriters Laboratories, Intertek Testing Services, and the International Code Council, established an international forum for identifying and discussing issues related to building codes, product certification, installation, and enforcement practices in the Americas.

Representatives of the European Commission and Canada, in conjunction with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and NIST’s OSS, held a workshop on April 12-14, 1999, for U.S. and Canadian entities desiring to be designated as Conformity Assessment Bodies (CABs) for medical devices under the U.S.-European Union (EU) and Canada-EU Mutual Recognition Agreements (MRAs). The workshop covered the overall requirements of the European Directive for Medical Devices and the specific requirements for entities to be designated as CABs.
The European Commission, in conjunction with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and OSS, held a workshop on April 26-27, 1999, for U.S. and Canadian entities desiring to be CABs for telecommunications and electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) under the U.S.-EU and Canada-EU MRAs. The seminar, conducted by staffs of the European Commission, FCC, and NIST, covered the European Directives for Telecommunications and EMC and the specific requirements for entities to be designated as CABs.

OSS hosted a seminar in May 1999 for representatives of the International Organization for Standardization Committee on Consumer Policy (COPOLCO) from 23 countries to introduce them to consumer-related U.S. Government regulatory and standards activities. NIST welcomed the group and gave them an overview of NIST’s program activities. Speakers from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Consumer Product Safety Commission, Department of Agriculture, and FDA described their regulatory activities and their work with consumers to ensure that regulations reflect consumer needs. The seminar, coordinated with ANSI and FDA, was part of a week-long program for COPOLCO members.


OSS’s Standards Assistance and Management Information (SAMI) project published the Directory of DOC Staff Memberships on Outside Standards Committees in January 1999. This annual directory contains two indexes: (1) DOC staff committee participants and (2) committee sponsors. The directory can be viewed electronically on the SAMI Web site (<http://www.nist.gov/sami>).

NIST officials from OSS participated in the annual Standards Engineering Society Conference, Standards Strategies in a Competitive World, on August 16-17, 1999, in Toronto, Canada.

Based on a request by the Conformity Assessment Network, NIST launched a new Web site (<http://ts.nist.gov/ca>) in September 1999, featuring conformity assessment issues, programs, and procedures. This site is a one-stop shopping Web site for conformity assessment information. The site contains the 1999 edition of *NIST’s Directory of Federal Government Certification and Related Programs*.

Dr. Belinda Collins, Director of NIST OSS, took office as the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) Chair at the annual ILAC meeting in Sydney,
Australia, in October 1999. The ILAC members represent laboratory accreditation bodies in some 50 countries, plus three major regional organizations. ILAC aims to achieve globally-accepted criteria for the recognition of the competence of accreditation bodies, and the development of regional and world-wide mutual recognition arrangements based on the needs of laboratories and their clients, and the importance of comprehensive audits and periodic proficiency testing.

5.2 Department of Defense (DOD) - One major thrust of the Department’s Military Specifications (MilSpecs) Reform initiative was the emphasis on replacing military-unique documents with voluntary consensus standards wherever there was a dual-use application. As a result of this initiative, DOD carefully reviewed all of its 40,000 MilSpecs and standards and identified documents with potential for becoming voluntary standards.

Also, the Department has put into place a very stringent system to review every new requirement for a military-unique document to determine if a voluntary consensus document would be more appropriate. At a minimum, new documents and revisions to existing documents must be approved by a DOD senior executive. In addition, DOD activities are directed to review all available sources to locate an appropriate voluntary consensus standard rather than create a military-unique document. Further, its five-year review process identifies documents that did not have a voluntary consensus standard counterpart at one time, but for which an appropriate document may now have been created.

5.3 Department of Energy (DOE) - DOE continues to take a pro-active approach to standards and standards management even as its mission changes in response to the conclusion of the Cold War and shrinking Congressional appropriations. Within DOE, a number of programs and facilities have shifted their focus from production, research, and/or development to environmental remediation and restoration. DOE will literally be breaking new ground in these areas. In the face of a changing mission and a reduced work force, DOE continues to actively use and support the development of voluntary consensus standards to meet its needs. DOE is also continuing its initiative to better define candidate DOE technical standards for conversion to voluntary consensus standards.

5.4 Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Food and Drug Administration (FDA) - In November 1999, FDA conducted HHS-wide training on the requirements of OMB Circular A-119. The training was designed for regulation writers and employees involved in standards development and management activities. HHS is increasingly becoming involved in the development and use of voluntary consensus standards. Additional HHS-wide training and coordination activities are planned.
5.5 Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) - At CPSC, the Voluntary Standards Coordinator establishes agency views and decisions on standards issues using Commission responses to staff briefing packages and recommendations. These views are reflected in the Commission's Operating Plan and Budget.

5.6 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) - In FY 1999, EPA promulgated 617 final rules, of which 207 (33.5 percent) referenced the NTTAA. In addition, the Agency published a variety of proposed rules, many of which also addressed compliance with the NTTAA. The total number of final and proposed rules that contained reference to the NTTAA was 326. This number reflects an increase of more than 300 percent over the 1998 report. This increase reflects both the Agency's successful implementation of the NTTAA and the OMB Circular and the publication of the interim Rule Writer's Guide last year.

In FY 1999, 10 final rules and 6 proposed rules adopted (or proposed for adoption) more than 45 voluntary consensus standards. Within 10 final rules, EPA found 83 instances where 18 voluntary consensus standards were found to be impracticable for agency use. Not all adopted standards were new standards or newly referenced by EPA. In several cases, the references included standards that had been previously adopted by EPA in other rulemaking activities.

One of the most notable examples of Agency rulemaking is found in the regulatory activities of the Office of Air and Radiation (OAR) in the area of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. The following notation occurred in several OAR Federal Register notices this year:

> As part of a larger effort, we are undertaking a project to cross-reference existing voluntary consensus standards in testing, sampling, and analysis, with current and future EPA test methods. When completed, this project will assist us in identifying potentially applicable voluntary consensus standards that can then be evaluated for equivalency and applicability in determining compliance with future regulations.

5.7 Federal Communications Commission (FCC) - FCC uses voluntary standards in several different ways:

- to satisfy industry and user requirements where it appears that mandatory standards are unnecessary.
- to correct problems that might otherwise require regulation.
- as the basis for mandatory requirements--either incorporating voluntary standards by reference or including the normative portion of the standard in the FCC rules.
The Commission increasingly uses voluntary standards. In many instances, they have chosen not to implement regulations (or more detailed regulations) because adequate voluntary industry standards already exist or are under development.

5.8 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) - Although FEMA is a small agency, they prefer using voluntary standards because no formal review process for standards usage has been imposed on the program directors. FEMA participates in the high frequency (HF) industry association working group to resolve HF standards problems, as well as the HF e-mail working group.

FEMA continues to use Military Standard (MIL-STD) 188.141B for HF radio, although the agency is working on a voluntary standard for transmitting e-mail via HF radio if landlines are not available. FEMA also continues to use several MilSpecs in conjunction with its National Emergency Management Information System.

5.9 General Services Administration (GSA) - GSA has become more selective in its participation in standards organizations. As a result, the number of organizations GSA participates in has decreased. GSA continues to emphasize the procurement of commercial off-the-shelf products and services. In addition, as a result of GSA’s review of existing standards, 13 voluntary standards have been substituted for government-unique standards.

5.10 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) - In 1999, NASA completed its review of voluntary standards currently in use and adopted an additional 430 voluntary consensus standards, raising the total to 1,032. Citations for the adopted standards, along with internal and other standards used by NASA, are accessible in a NASA Preferred Technical Standards list publicly available on the Worldwide Web at <www.standards.nasa.gov>. This site also provides information on NASA participation in voluntary consensus standards development and gateways to other sources of standards.

An internal awareness campaign at NASA field centers, to make employees aware of the system, has resulted in continuously increasing usage of the system as a reference data base for programs. In 1999, two NASA-initiated voluntary consensus standards projects were completed with publication of standards, and two new projects were initiated. Meetings have also been held with several SDOs to establish a basis for future cooperation, including possible transition of NASA internal standards to voluntary consensus standards.

5.11 Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) - The NRC staff used information gained from its stakeholder meeting in Chicago in September 1998 to prepare a Recommendation2 to the

Commission to develop guidance for NRC staff when participating in the development and utilization of consensus standards. The Commission supported the staff Recommendation.\(^3\) Based on the success of this meeting, future meetings will be held periodically.

Also, NRC staff prepared a management directive\(^4\) to support the NRC standards strategy. The management directive defines NRC organizational responsibilities for standards activities and provides direction for staff participation in the development and use of consensus standards. Specifically, the management directive provides direction for identifying and prioritizing needed new and revised technical standards, selecting and nominating staff as authorized agency representatives on SDO committees, and coordinating standards activities with SDOs and other stakeholders. The management directive also requires periodic training of staff involved with standards activities to update them on Federal requirements for standards development and to discuss related issues.

6. AGENCY USE OF GOVERNMENT-UNIQUE STANDARDS IN LIEU OF VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS

6.1 Department of Defense (DOD) - The Department relies on MilSpecs and standards in lieu of voluntary consensus documents when the requirement can be justified as truly military-unique, when a voluntary standard does not exist and development is not imminent, or when there is no industry interest to develop an appropriate voluntary standard.

Since the Department has a massive number of procurements, we have chosen to use the categorical basis as our means of conveying information on our voluntary consensus standards use.

6.2 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) - Because NASA uses the categorical method of reporting, use of government-unique standards is reported in terms of additions to the NASA Technical Standards Management System, as opposed to tracking individual procurement transactions. During 1999, NASA developed 11 NASA-unique technical standards in three categories, namely: information technology, engineering, and safety and mission assurance. There are four engineering standards, two of which are internal procedures for the application of voluntary consensus standards and two are unique applications based on spacecraft experiences with no current external interest. There are three safety and mission assurance standards, with two being internal procedures and one based on aerospace applications. The last four standards are from the information technology area and are all procedural documents for the application of commercial software.

\(^3\)Staff Requirements, SECY-99-029, February 17, 1999.

6.3 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) - In FY 1999, EPA found impracticable the use of 18 existing voluntary consensus standards in 10 final rules, citing issues such as lack of validation data, or in the case of one ISO standard (8178), on the standard’s inability to accommodate broad application because the standard relies too heavily on reference test conditions. This resulted in 83 instances where EPA used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards. Because the regulatory test procedures need to be used not only for certification, but also for production line testing, selective enforcement audits, and in-use testing, they must be broadly based. The Agency determined that the ISO procedures are not sufficiently broad in their current form and thus could not be adopted by reference.

In one National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) rule, more than 12 standards were rejected in lieu of government-unique standards. EPA’s reasoning and justification are consistent with the NTTAA. The Federal Register notice states:

Candidate voluntary consensus standards for materials analysis were identified for free-formaldehyde content. Consensus comments provided by industry experts were that the candidate standards did not meet industry materials analysis requirements. Therefore, EPA has determined these voluntary consensus standards are impractical for the mineral wool production NESHAP. The EPA, in consultation with the North American Insulation Manufacturers Association (NAIMA), has formulated an industry-specific materials analysis consensus standard for free-formaldehyde content, which is promulgated in this rule.

There has been an increase in EPA’s instances reported of government-unique standards used in lieu of voluntary consensus standards from the previous report. This is due, in part, to two different phenomena. First, from 1997 to 1999, EPA put a series of tools in place that have improved its rule writers’ ability to find and evaluate standards. Second, EPA’s use of voluntary consensus standards cannot be analyzed by comparing absolute numbers from year-to-year, since the Agency’s regulatory agenda varies annually in the number and kinds of regulations promulgated. Because of this fluctuation each year, the Agency’s opportunity to use voluntary consensus standards in regulation will vary. The EPA has included additional information at the back of their portion of the report.

6.4 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) - As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

FEMA continues to use Military Standard (MIL-STD) 188.141B for HF radio, although the agency is working on a voluntary standard for transmitting e-mail via HF radio if landlines are not available.

Equipment and Computer Programs; and MIL-STD 2168, Defense System Software Quality Program, et al. The Agency used these standards as part of a large project started in 1995. At that time, the Agency wanted to use standards with which contractors were familiar. A solid, proven standard that worked and would keep costs in line.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In FY 1999, Federal agencies have continued to implement P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119.

Agencies continue to improve their procedures to track their uses of standards in both regulation and procurement activities. The decline in agency participation in standards committees, as first noted in the FY 1997 and 1998 reports, continues. This is most likely due to staff attrition, budget cuts, and streamlining of agency standards programs. NIST is working with all agencies on the timeliness of their annual reports to NIST and its report to OMB. NIST is also publishing the annual report both in hard copy and on the Web at <http://ts.nist.gov/icsp>, so that agencies and the public have ready access.

Agencies conduct standards related activities for a variety of reasons, such as to improve procurement efforts, facilitate adoption of a standard in regulatory activities, better manage agency programs through internal adoption of appropriate voluntary consensus standards, represent the United States in standards activities with important potential trade implications, advance U.S. technology both domestically and internationally, improve the U.S. standards and conformity assessment systems, comply with treaty obligations, and share with industry information and data from ongoing research efforts. These different reasons may create difficulty in reporting due to confusion in determining which activities to report and how to report them. NIST is working with individual agencies on specific problems, and provides general information to the ICSP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>NO. OF VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS BODIES IN WHICH AGENCY PARTICIPATES</th>
<th>CHANGE FROM FY 98</th>
<th>NO. OF AGENCY EMPLOYEES PARTICIPATING</th>
<th>CHANGE FROM FY 98</th>
<th>NO. OF VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS USED SINCE 10/98</th>
<th>NO. OF VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS SUBSTITUTED FOR GOVT-UNIQUE STANDARDS</th>
<th>NO. OF GOVT-UNIQUE STANDARDS USED IN LIEU OF VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-263</td>
<td>627 ($^1$)</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS (DOD)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOED</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>668 ($^1$)</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>43 ($^1$)</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOJ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREASURY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>+23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSC</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83 ($^{44}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>24 ($^{44}$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>430 ($^{43}$)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NARA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>18 ($^{43}$)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>2,832</td>
<td>-378</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1) Total number of DOD-adopted voluntary consensus standards is 8,618.

(2) DOE reports 1,306 total agency participations in standards development groups.

(3) HHS staff participates on a total of 544 voluntary consensus standards development committees among these 43 bodies.

(4) EPA distinguishes between the total number of standards substituted and the number of rulemaking decisions in which the standards were used. For more information with regard to this, refer to the EPA’s submission, which begins on page B-5. NOTE: EPA’s submission includes supplemental information that can be found in the table at the back of their portion of the report. The 83 instances to use government unique standards refer, however, to only 18 individual voluntary consensus standards.

(5) FCC participations cover approximately 100 sub-groups with the 24 standards bodies.

(6) Total number of NASA-adopted voluntary consensus standards is 1,032.

(7) NRC staff participates on a total of 267 standards writing, consensus, and board-level committees among the 18 standards developing organizations indicated.
8. RECOMMENDATIONS

Most Federal agencies have continued to progress in their use of voluntary consensus standards for agency programs and missions for both procurement and regulatory activities. Where appropriate, strategic alliances and teaming arrangements between Federal agencies and SDOs may be beneficial in ensuring that this trend continues.

All Federal agencies should continue to strengthen their efforts to plan and allocate resources and staff for participation in appropriate voluntary consensus standard activities.

Federal agencies should continue to develop policies and systems needed to implement the NTTAA and OMB Circular A-119. Actions that should be considered include: (1) the establishment of an agency standards policy, (2) monitoring standards activity participation and resource allocation, and (3) taking steps to improve reporting and program effectiveness.

Decreasing Federal resources and staff can lower the priorities for standards and conformity assessment activities within a department or agency. Some agencies are finding it difficult to actively participate in the ICSP or its working groups. Resources for participation in standards activities also appear to be diminishing making it difficult for agencies to ensure adequate representation on appropriate committees. The ICSP Participation Work Group, led by DOD, will strengthen its activities in FY 2000 to better understand the causes of these problems and to assist the ICSP in taking appropriate action to improve the situation.

Federal agencies should make more effective use of today’s technology in coordinating standards participation across government, in positions on standards committee ballots, and in preparing reports on status of standards activities including the annual report for OMB.

Since the 1996 revisions of the Circular, a number of reporting issues have arisen. In particular, agencies are having some difficulties knowing when to report instances of government standards being used in lieu of voluntary consensus standards. NIST plans to hold a workshop in FY 2000 to address this and other reporting issues to harmonize reporting from the agencies.

Some agencies report difficulty in updating out-of-date standards in their regulations. In a 1997 survey of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, ASTM found that of the 1,000 references to ASTM standards, more than 90 percent were at least 10 years out of date, some were more than 30 years. Current standards may reflect new technology; new products; more precise methods of testing; and faster, cheaper, and better ways of doing things. It is vital that those who are regulated have the ability to use current technology embedded in the standards and not be weighed down or even endangered by out-of-date standards. It is also imperative that agencies review newly revised voluntary standards to ensure that they adequately address all regulatory concerns. The time and effort required to conduct such reviews, combined with the resources
needed to go through rulemaking, can require the commitment of substantial agency resources--
resources which may not be available given other agency priorities. The ICSP RAWG is
focusing on procedures for improving the timeliness of updates of standards used in regulations.

These are just a few of the many problems that agencies have reported in their implementation
efforts. Yet most agencies are diligently trying to comply with both the Law and Circular. There
is increased use of voluntary standards by Federal agencies in both regulation and procurement,
and conformity assessment activities are now receiving greater attention than before passage of
the Law.
APPENDIX A:

Cabinet Department Reports
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)

The following information was prepared for the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) by USDA as required annually under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards.

BACKGROUND

In the Department of Agriculture, the Chief Information Officer (CIO) also serves as the Standards Executive. This has made the Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) the coordinating organization within USDA for reporting on OMB Circular A-119 activities.

METHOD

To prepare this report, OCIO sought information from USDA Agency Heads. Based on the requirements sent out by NIST, the CIO requested the agencies to:

- identify the number of voluntary consensus standards used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular. For each instance, include agency rationale for such use, as well as specific government-unique standard used.

- identify voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of any agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular.

- identify the number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participation.

- evaluate the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes.

USDA PARTICIPATION IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF VOLUNTARY STANDARDS IN FISCAL YEAR (FY) 1999

Two USDA agencies, the Rural Utilities Service and the Agricultural Marketing Service reported participation in voluntary standards development and use during FY 1999.

Rural Utilities Service (RUS)

The RUS has engineering missions to provide quality engineering for RUS customers in the RUS Electric, Telecommunications, and Water and Waste Programs. Many RUS employees participated in organizations that are developing voluntary standards.
RUS policy is to use, to the fullest extent possible, standards, rules, and regulations of recognized standards-writing organizations in lieu of producing separate RUS specifications. This policy, which is included in §1728.20 of 7 CFR 1728, was in effect many years before the OMB Circular A-119 was published.

RUS maintains membership on a number of committees. RUS's interest is to oversee all aspects of the various committees' standards-writing efforts to ensure that rural electric, telecommunications, and water and waste concerns are included. The ultimate goal is to either:

adopt the various standards, as appropriate, rather than generate individual RUS standards.

or

eNSURE THAT THOSE STANDARDS THAT ARE REGULATORY IN NATURE AND GENERALLY MUST BE OBSERVED BY BORROWERS CONTAIN COST-EFFECTIVE, REASONABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR RURAL AREAS AND THUS, ENSURE OR PROTECT THE SECURITY OF GOVERNMENT LOANS.

Electric Program

Over the years, the Electric Program of RUS has adopted or referenced the following standard specifications, etc., in its specifications and regulations which RUS electrification borrowers must comply with when using loan funds:


Twenty-one (21) procurement-type standards of the American Wood Preservers Association (AWPA).

Four (4) national design standards of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), the American Concrete Institute (ACI), the Prestressed Concrete Institute (PCI), and the American Welding Society (AWS).


Two (2) national lumber grading rules of the Southern Pine Inspection Bureau (SPIB) Grading Rules and the West Coast Lumber Inspection Bureau (WCLB) Grading Rules.

Fourteen (14) procurement-type standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

One (1) national safety code of the ANSI.

One (1) procurement-type standard of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE).

Two (2) procurement-type standards of the Insulated Cable Engineers Association.
Telecommunications Program

Over the years, the Telecommunications Program of RUS has adopted or referenced the following standard specifications, etc., in its specifications and regulations which RUS telecommunications borrowers must comply with when using loan funds:

- Four (4) procurement-type standards of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM).
- Two (2) Underwriters Laboratories procurement-type standards.
- Two (2) regulation and 1 procurement-type standard of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).
- Three (3) procurement-type standards of the Electronic Industries Association (EIA).
- Two (2) procurement-type standards of the IEEE.
- One (1) procurement-type standard of the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) Protection Engineers Group.
- Three (3) procurement-type standards of the Aluminum Association.
- Two (2) procurement-type standards of the International Radio Consultive Committee (CCIR).
- Three (3) procurement-type Military Standards.

Water and Waste Program

Over the years, the Water and Waste Programs of RUS have adopted or referenced the following standard specifications, etc., in its specifications and regulations which RUS water and waste borrowers must comply with when using loan funds:

- All appropriate piping standards of the ASTM.
- All appropriate piping standards of the American Water Works Association (AWWA).

For water system testing, leakage must not exceed the limits set by ASTM or AWWA, whichever is more stringent.

Inasmuch as RUS has had the policy of referencing available recognized national or industry-type standards whenever possible, long before publication of OMB Circular A-119, there is almost no change in RUS reporting for all programs since the last report. All new or revised RUS standards produced during 1999 have included, whenever possible, appropriate standards of those other organizations to simplify and streamline RUS documents/requirements.

Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)

AMS reports that 21 employees participated in 8 national voluntary standards bodies, and 18 employees participated in 17 international voluntary consensus standards bodies in FY 1999.
Since October 1, 1997, the agency has used the following voluntary consensus standards: Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and Special Equipment, Certification Standards of the American Association of Seed Certifying Agencies, Codex Alimentarius International Grade Standards, Universal Cotton Standards Agreement, Analytical Standards of the ANSI, Test Standards of the ASTM, and International Meat Purchase Specifications. With the participation of the industry, AMS has developed 602 grade and classification standards that have been accepted by the industry for marketing of 230 agricultural commodities. These standards were created in response to the needs expressed by the industry for uniform standards that could be used and certified nationwide. The usage of these standards is voluntary.

In addition, AMS guided the development of 25 International Organization for Standardization standards for the accreditation of the laboratories performing microbiological and chemical testing, and the (3-A) Sanitary Standards of the International Association of Food Protection to provide specifications for the dairy processing equipment.

At the request from and with the participation of the industry, AMS has developed 602 grade and classification standards that are generally recognized by the industry for use in marketing of 230 agricultural commodities. While developed and maintained by AMS, these standards were created in response to the needs expressed by the industry for uniform standards that could be used nationwide. The usage of these standards is voluntary.

The American Dairy Products Institute has published a series of milk and dry milk standards that can be used when USDA certification is not requested by the buyer or seller. These standards are based on the USDA standards and contain the same requirements as the U.S. Grade standards. However, the majority of the industry prefers USDA certification because of its official value in certification.

AMS has developed numerous grading and classification standards in response to requests from the industry. AMS does not view these standards and classifications as government-unique since they were developed with full consultation and participation of the industry and their usage by the industry is voluntary. AMS uses government-unique specifications for the grading and certification of tobacco products. Authority for this program is contained in the Tobacco Statistics Act of 1929 and the Tobacco Inspection Act of 1935, as amended. Provisions of these acts require that all tobacco sold at auction must be inspected and graded by USDA according to the U.S. standards. AMS also uses government-unique specifications for purchases of commodities for the School Lunch Program and other domestic feeding programs when voluntary consensus standards do not meet the nutritional or program requirements of these programs.

The above statement means that USDA develops unique specifications to meet the need of the food program, which may not be consistent with specifications of commercially similar products.
These specifications are used for nutritional reasons; for example, a commercial standard might allow for peaches to be packed in heavy syrup; whereas, AMS specifications ensure that peaches are packed in light syrup. Frequently, these specifications allow for less salt, fat, and sugar than commercial specifications.
The DOC encourages its staff to participate in standards committee activities relating to the mission of the Department, subject to resource availability. Agency employees participate in the standards development activities of: (1) U.S. private sector standardization bodies; (2) local, state, and Federal governments; and (3) both private and governmental (treaty and nontreaty) international standardization organizations. Standards of interest to the Department cover a broad range of technical areas including: (1) energy conservation, (2) information and computer technology, (3) telecommunications, (4) environmental safety and health, and (5) a variety of other product sectors and fields of technology.

The Standards Assistance and Management Information (SAMI) project in the Office of Standards Services (OSS), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), collects and disseminates information on DOC staff participation in standards development activities. The SAMI project publishes an annual directory containing statistics on standards committee participation, alphabetical listings of staff participants and standards organizations and committees, and a list of acronyms and abbreviations.

The DOC standards participant information is divided into two parts: NIST and other DOC agencies. During FY 1999, 393 DOC staff participated in the standards writing activities of 152 (110 national and 42 international) standards developing organizations. NIST had 326 participants in the activities of 110 standards organizations (76 national and 34 international). NIST participated on 368 committees and held 1,175 memberships on these committees. Ten of the standards organizations in which NIST staff members participated had 15 or more NIST memberships. Sixty-eight staff members of other DOC agencies participated in 66 committees of 42 standards organizations (27 national and 15 international). They held 139 memberships on those committees. Eight of those standards organizations had five or more DOC participants.

The following organizations/agencies accounted for 66 percent (92) of the 139 other DOC committee memberships:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizations with Other DOC Members</th>
<th>No. of Committee Memberships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American National Standards Institute</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense/Federal Aviation Administration/Department of Commerce</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Committee for Metrological Service and Supporting Research</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of State</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following standards organizations accounted for 81 percent (949) of the 1,175 NIST committee memberships:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizations with NIST Members</th>
<th>No. of Committee Memberships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Society for Testing and Materials</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American National Standards Institute</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Society of Mechanical Engineers</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Organization of Legal Metrology</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Electrotechnical Commission</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Concrete Institute</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications Industry Association</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIE</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 949

OTHER DOC AGENCIES: SUMMARY OF STANDARDS-RELATED ACTIVITIES

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Standardization of data acquisition and data management practices is vital to the mission at NOAA. NOAA seeks to establish voluntary standards with selected industrial associations, academia, and national organizations of state and local governments (e.g., the American Association of State Climatologists), as well as through participation in professional societies (e.g., American Meteorological Society). All NOAA line organizations participate in standards development activities. In general, standards used in many NOAA activities are established in conjunction with other Federal agencies (e.g., Department of Defense, Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture) either through joint participation in international organizations such as the World Metrological Organization, or by means of bilateral and multilateral agreements with other nations. These standardization activities apply to all phases of environmental data acquisition, processing and distribution.
National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)

The NTIA contributes to the development and application of national and international telecommunication standards by participating and holding leadership roles in various voluntary standards committees at the national and international levels (e.g., Telecommunications Industry Association, International Telecommunication Union). These standards enhance the quality and reliability of the domestic telecommunications infrastructure, promote healthy competition in telecommunications products and services, and expand international trade opportunities for U.S. telecommunications firms.

Patent and Trademark Office (PTO)

The PTO participates and contributes to the resolution of identified requirements for international standards, primarily through the Permanent Committee on Industrial Property Information of the World Intellectual Property Organization. PTO staff also participates in standardization activities of the International Patent Classification Union and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) committee on Patent Standards.

Bureau of the Census

DOC's Bureau of the Census is active in the development of standards and specifications for: (1) the definition of metropolitan statistical areas; (2) the digitizing of geographic information; and (3) the definition of statistical, economic, and geographic terms.

NIST: Summary of Standards-Related Activities

In addition to the activities described above, NIST's OSS operates a number of standards-related programs and services to assist business, industry, and government in using and understanding standards, technical regulations, and conformity assessment procedures affecting trade in the global marketplace.

The National Center for Standards and Certification Information (NCSCI) is the U.S. focal point for standards-related information at home and abroad; it provides information on U.S., foreign, regional, and international voluntary standards bodies, mandatory government regulations, and conformity assessment procedures for nonagricultural products. NCSCI is the U.S. member of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Information Network, and serves as the U.S. inquiry point under the World Trade Organization Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade, the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), and the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Subcommittee on Standards and Conformance. NCSCI maintains an extensive collection of reference materials, including U.S. military and other Federal Government specifications, U.S. industry and national standards, international standards, and selected foreign national standards.
Staff members respond to requests for specialized standards information, arrange for translations of foreign standards and regulations, and disseminate information to U.S. industry concerning proposed foreign regulations and general standards issues.

Two telephone hotlines provide weekly updates on draft European standards and on proposed foreign technical regulations that might significantly affect trade.

**NIST Standards Advisory Committee (SAC)**

To coordinate internal standards activities, NIST established a SAC. The SAC created a NIST Voluntary Standards Policy, which was approved by NIST management in 1999 and is now being implemented. This policy directs NIST organizational units to review their standards-related activities to ensure that they are in line with the agency’s mission and goals so that resources and participation are targeted most effectively. NIST currently has about 330 employees participating in more than 1,200 standards committee activities. The policy is expected to assist in aligning this participation as closely as possible with applicable NIST and industry needs. Because NIST also coordinates standards activities within DOC, including publishing the Annual Directory and providing DOC input for the Annual Report, NIST chartered a Commerce Standards Committee in 1999 to improve communication and coordination on standards-related activities among DOC agencies.

**Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS)**

Under the Information Technology Management Reform Act (Public Law (P.L.) 104-106), the Secretary of Commerce approves standards and guidelines that are developed by NIST for Federal computer systems. Under section 513 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 and the Computer Security Act of 1987, P.L. 104-106, NIST develops standards, guidelines, and associated methods and techniques for Federal computer systems, including those needed to assure the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive information in Federal computer systems, when there are compelling Federal requirements and there are no existing voluntary industry standards. These standards and guidelines are issued by NIST as FIPS for use government-wide. FIPS address Federal requirements for the interoperability of different systems, for the portability of data and software, and for computer security. When FIPS are considered necessary, NIST announces proposed FIPS in the Federal Register for public review and comment. No new FIPS were published in FY 1999, which required justification.

---

3Directory of DOC Staff Memberships on Outside Standards Committees.
Following is a quick summary of 1999 Federal Register notices regarding FIPS:

Federal Register: January 15, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 10)
This notice announced proposed FIPS 46-3, Data Encryption Standard (DES), to provide for the use of ANSI X9.52, Triple DES.

Federal Register: November 5, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 214)
This notice announced the approval of FIPS 46-3, Data Encryption Standard, to provide for the use of ANSI X9.52, Triple DES.

Federal Register: November 17, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 221)
This notice announced proposed draft FIPS 140-2, Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, to supersede FIPS 140-1, which required review within 5 years of its issuance. The proposed FIPS concerns the security requirements for the modules that implement FIPS-approved cryptographic algorithms.

Federal Register: September 15, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 178)
This notice announced the continuation of the process to develop a FIPS for an Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) specifying an Advanced Encryption Algorithm. The notice solicited comments on algorithms that had been submitted by interested individuals and the private sector and that are being publicly reviewed as candidates for the AES.

Conformity Assessment Activities - National Cooperation for Laboratory Accreditation (NACLA)

Section 12b of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 directed NIST to coordinate conformity assessment activities of Federal, state, and local entities to eliminate any unnecessary duplication of conformity assessment activities. In response, NIST has been a driving force behind the creation of NACLA. NACLA is composed of organizations in the United States, with observers from Mexico and Canada, that actively support development of a system for recognizing the competence of testing and calibration laboratories leading to worldwide acceptance of test and calibration reports from those laboratories. Concerned with costly, multiple, duplicate assessments, and the lack of domestic or international recognition of laboratory accreditations, the group has explored solutions that could lead the United States, and perhaps eventually its NAFTA partners, toward the goal of having only one assessment of a laboratory in a given field of testing, based on internationally accepted procedures. The NACLA vision is for a U.S. and eventually a North American laboratory accreditation system that achieves the following goals:

- for the testing laboratory, a single accreditation in a given field of testing, with worldwide recognition of the laboratory's competence.

- for the manufacturer/supplier, a test performed once, with worldwide acceptance.
- for the acceptance body (that is a government agency or an industry specifier), an accreditation based on uniform criteria and intended to ensure that a laboratory is qualified to provide data of consistent quality.

**Mutual Recognition Agreements (MRAs)/Arrangements**

NIST has also worked to reduce duplication and complexity in conformity assessment activities by participating in, or providing technical support for, various MRAs and related agreements such as the Asia Pacific Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation and the U.S./Standards Council of Canada agreement on calibration laboratory accreditation. To implement the Agreement of Mutual Recognition Between the United States of America and the European Community (U.S.-EU MRA), NIST works through its National Voluntary Conformity Assessment Systems Evaluation program to provide U.S. Government assurance of the competence of U.S. conformity assessment bodies to meet European governmental requirements. The ultimate goal is to help U.S. manufacturers satisfy applicable product requirements mandated by other countries through conformity assessment procedures conducted in the United States prior to export, thereby reducing or eliminating the need for retesting by the importing country.

**National Workshop on Conformity Assessment and the Conformity Assessment Network (CAN)**

On February 9, 1999, NIST co-sponsored a major national workshop on conformity assessment issues with ANSI and ACIL, formerly the American Council of Independent Laboratories. This meeting concluded that: (1) the U.S. conformity assessment system is very complex and all parties need to work together to simplify it, and (2) both the Federal Government and the private sector need to harmonize their conformity assessment activities to reduce duplication and complexity. One outcome of the meeting was the formation of a public/private sector organization known as the CAN. This organization identified the lack of basic information on conformity assessment-related topics as a key concern for the community. To address this need, NIST created a one-stop shopping Web site for conformity assessment-related information, ranging from ISO 9000 issues to conformity assessment topics under the U.S.-EU MRA.

**Conformity Assessment Guidance**

OMB Circular A-119, revised February 19, 1998, recognized the conformity assessment requirements and obligations defined in the Act and the role of DOC in this area. The Circular directed the Secretary of Commerce to issue guidance to agencies to ensure effective coordination of Federal conformity assessment activities. On November 3, 1999, NIST published proposed guidance on Federal conformity assessment activities in the Federal Register. The proposed guidance focuses on ways for Federal agencies to eliminate unnecessary duplication and complexity in their conformity assessment activities. The comment period on the proposed guidance ended January 18, 2000, and comments including recommendations appearing in a recent Government Accounting Office report, are currently being reviewed. Final guidance will be published later this year.
Other Standards Management Guidance

NIST has further recognized that to address the tenets of the NTTAA, a new style of managing standards activities is required. To this end, NIST created a model plan for strategic management of standards activities. Because each agency’s standards efforts tend to be unique, the generic plan can be tailored to suit the needs of individual agencies. This guidance was published in 1999, both as a NIST publication and in ASTM Standardization News. NIST is also updating its Guidelines to Standards Participants in coordination with Environmental Protection Agency’s revision of its Rule Writer’s Guide for Standards Participants and has provided an outline of these guidelines to the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy to serve as a model for other agencies’ efforts.
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD)

The DOD implements the provisions of Public Law (P.L.) 104-113 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119 through DOD 4120.3-M, Defense Standardization Program Policies and Procedures. As set forth in our existing policies, our activities routinely consider meeting the Department's requirements with items or materials that are normally procured using voluntary consensus standards. Our guidance further tasks standards developers to actively look for opportunities to replace government-unique documents with voluntary consensus standards.

One major thrust of the Department's Military Specifications (MilSpec) Reform initiative was the emphasis on replacing military unique documents with voluntary consensus standards wherever there was a dual-use application. As a result of this initiative, DOD carefully reviewed all of its 40,000 MilSpecs and standards and identified documents with voluntary standards potential. In instances where replacement voluntary consensus standards were available, the Department acted quickly to cancel the military documents and begin using voluntary consensus standards. In cases where voluntary consensus replacements were needed but not available, the DOD began working with standards developers to create appropriate standards.

Also, the Department has put into place a very stringent system to review every new requirement for a document to determine if a voluntary consensus document would be more appropriate. At a minimum, new documents and revisions to existing documents must be approved by a DOD senior executive. In addition, DOD activities are directed to review all available sources to locate an appropriate voluntary consensus document rather than create a military-unique document. Further, our 5-year review process identifies documents that did not have a voluntary consensus counterpart at one time, but for which an appropriate document may now have been created.

The Department's initial review and correction procedures, coupled with oversight in the creation of new military documents, and periodic review of existing military documents, ensures very little proliferation of government-unique documentation.

In summary, the Department relies on MilSpecs and standards in lieu of voluntary consensus documents when the requirement can be justified as truly military-unique; when a voluntary standard does not exist and development is not imminent; when there is no industry interest to develop an appropriate voluntary standard.

Since the Department has a massive number of procurements, we have chosen to use the categorical basis as our means of conveying information on our voluntary consensus standards use.

DOD Employee Participation -- The Department has 420 employees participating in the standards development activities of 48 voluntary standards bodies.
DOD Adopted Voluntary Standards -- Since our input for the fiscal year (FY) 1998 report, we have adopted an additional 627 voluntary standards, bringing the total number of DOD-adopted voluntary standards to 8,618.

Government Standards Replaced by Voluntary Standards -- The following 491 government-unique specifications and standards were replaced by voluntary standards since our FY 1998 report:

Defense and Federal Specifications and Standards Superseded by Non-Government Standards
Between October 1, 1998 and September 30, 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NGS BODY</th>
<th>MILITARY/FED DOCUMENT</th>
<th>NGS REPLACEMENT DOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American National Standards Institute (ANSI)</td>
<td>MIL-B-55130/2 NOT 2</td>
<td>ANSI-C18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)</td>
<td>MIL-T-6945A NOT 2</td>
<td>ASTM-B135-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS14302 NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-B42-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-T-16343C(1) NOT 3</td>
<td>ASTM-A500-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-P-22296B NOT 2</td>
<td>ASTM-D1710-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-D-24194(1) NOT 3</td>
<td>ASTM-F89-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-P-4398C NOT 2</td>
<td>ASTM-D3905-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-R-46089C NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-D1056-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51561(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51562(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51563(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51564(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51565(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51566(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51567(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51568(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51569(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51570(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51571(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51572(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51573(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS51574(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>ASTM-F1145-92R97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)</td>
<td>MIL-B-18/31D NOT 1</td>
<td>IEC60086-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-B-18/33D NOT 1</td>
<td>IEC60086-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Aerospace Standards Committee (NA/NAS)</td>
<td>AN42THRUAN49 REV 6 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM42THRU49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN229 REV 5 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN256 REV 5 NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN302 NOT 3</td>
<td>NASM302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN315 REV 10 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN316 REV 11 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN320 REV 14 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN501 REV 6 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN961 REV 2 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS3212B NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM3212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS3213A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM3213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS3369D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM3369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS3673D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM3673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-B-6812E NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM6812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-B-7838C(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM7838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-DTL-7885/2D NOT 2</td>
<td>NAS9301-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-DTL-7885/6D NOT 2</td>
<td>NAS9304-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Aerospace Standards Committee (NA/NAS)</td>
<td>MIL-R-7885/7C(1) NOT 2</td>
<td>NAS9305-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-R-7885/3C(1) NOT 2</td>
<td>NAS9302-98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-B-8906C(2) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/1A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM8975/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/7 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8975/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/6 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8975/6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/3A NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8975/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/4A(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8975/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-F-8975/5 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM8975/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14144C(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM1444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14145C NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM1445</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14151(1) NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM1415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14155 NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM1415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14157B NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM1417</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS14219A NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM14219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS14289 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM14289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS14290 NOT 3</td>
<td>NASM14290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16187B NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16205A NOT 3</td>
<td>NASM16205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16206A NOT 3</td>
<td>NASM16206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16228A(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-16491/1 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16491/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-16491/2 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16491/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-16491/3 NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16491/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16536A NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM16536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16556B(1) NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM16556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS16562C NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM16562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17855A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM17855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17984F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17985G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17986G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17986</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17987G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17989E NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS17990E NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM17990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS18067A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM18067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20004THRUMS20024E NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20004THRU20024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20033THRUMS20046C NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20033THRU20046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20066A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM20066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20067A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM20067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20068A NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM20068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20392F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20427H NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20604K NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS20613G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM20613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21042M NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21044H NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21047F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21048F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21050D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21052F NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM21052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21053D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21054E NOT 2</td>
<td>NASM21054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21057G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21059J NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21061G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21069G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21072G(1) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21073G NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21075H NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21078G(2) NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21079F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21080D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21082F NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21084D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21086D NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS21087B NOT 1</td>
<td>NASM21087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MS21094(1) NOT 1  NASM21094
MS21095A NOT 2  NASM21095
MS21125F NOT 1  NASM21125
MS21130E NOT 1  NASM21130
MS21134 NOT 2  NASM21134
MS21140J NOT 1  NASM21140
MS21250L NOT 2  NASM21250
MS21258A NOT 1  NASM21258
MS21262D NOT 2  NASM21262
MS21266E(1) NOT 3  NASM21266
MS21287B NOT 2  NASM21287
MS21299A NOT 1  NASM21299
MS21314B NOT 1  NASM21314
MS21315A NOT 1  NASM21315
MS21322D NOT 1  NASM21322
MS21333F NOT 1  NASM21333
MS21334B NOT 1  NASM21334
MIL-S-21472C NOT 1  NASM21472
MIL-DTL-22529/1C NOT 1  NASM22529/1
MIL-C-24066/4D NOT 1  NASM24066/4
MS24661C NOT 1  NASM24661
MS24662B NOT 1  NASM24662
MS24665F NOT 2  NASM24665
MS24673D(1) NOT 1  NASM24673
MS24677D NOT 1  NASM24677
MS24678E NOT 1  NASM24678
MS24692D NOT 2  NASM24692
MIL-R-27384B NOT 1  NASM27384
MIL-K-27545B NOT 1  NASM27545
MS27576E NOT 1  NASM27576
MS27975D NOT 1  NASM27975
MS27984B NOT 3  NASM27984
MS33522C NOT 2  NASM33522
MS35140D NOT 1  NASM35140
MS35273C(1) NOT 2  NASM35273
MS35274C(1) NOT 1  NASM35274
MS35672B NOT 2  NASM35672
MS35674A NOT 2  NASM35674
MS35675B NOT 2  NASM35675
MS35677A NOT 2  NASM35677
MS35678B NOT 3  NASM35678
MS35751E NOT 1  NASM35751
MS35756E NOT 1  NASM35756
MS35792B NOT 1  NASM35792
MS35812E NOT 1  NASM35812
MS39086B NOT 2  NASM39086
MS39087F(1) NOT 1  NASM39087
MIL-S-43770(3) SUP 1 NOT 1  NASM43770
MIL-S-43770/1A NOT 3  NASM43770/1
MIL-S-43770/2A NOT 2  NASM43770/2
MIL-S-43770/3 NOT 3  NASM43770/3
MIL-S-43770/4 NOT 3  NASM43770/4
MIL-S-43770/5 NOT 3  NASM43770/5
MIL-S-43770/6 NOT 3  NASM43770/6
MIL-S-43770/7 NOT 3  NASM43770/7
MIL-S-43770/8 NOT 3  NASM43770/8
MIL-S-43770/9 NOT 3  NASM43770/9
MIL-S-43770/10 NOT 3  NASM43770/10
MIL-S-43770/11 NOT 3  NASM43770/11
MIL-S-43770/12A NOT 3  NASM43770/12
MIL-S-43770/13 NOT 3  NASM43770/13
MIL-S-43770/14 NOT 3  NASM43770/14
MIL-R-47195A NOT 2  NASM47195
MIL-R-47196A(1) NOT 3  NASM47196

National Aerospace Standards Committee (NA/NAS)
MS51021C NOT 1  NASM51021
MS51023C NOT 1  NASM51023
MS51029B NOT 1  NASM51029
MS51031B NOT 1  NASM51031
MS51038B NOT 1  NASM51038
MS1109B NOT 2  NASM51109
MS1110B NOT 3  NASM51110
DS51417 NOT 1  NASM51417
MS1575A NOT 1  NASM51575
MS1833E(1) NOT 1  NASM51833
MS1834E(1) NOT 1  NASM51834
MS1896A(1) NOT 1  NASM51896
MS1923C NOT 1  NASM51923
MS1956A NOT 1  NASM51956
MS1958C(1) NOT 1  NASM51958
MS1963C NOT 1  NASM51963
MS1964E NOT 2  NASM51964
MS1975E NOT 1  NASM51975
MS1976A NOT 2  NASM51976
MS1977C NOT 1  NASM51977
MS1981C NOT 1  NASM51981
MS1982A NOT 1  NASM51982
MS1987A NOT 1  NASM51987
MIL-F-81177/1 NOT 2  NASM81177/1
MIL-F-81177/2 NOT 2  NASM81177/2
MIL-F-81177/3 NOT 2  NASM81177/3
MIL-R-83459/1B NOT 1  NASM83459/1
MIL-R-83459/3B NOT 2  NASM83459/3
MIL-N-85353/1(1) NOT 2  NASM85353/1
MS122196THRUMS122235D NOT 2  NASM122196THRU122235
MS122236THRUMS122275D NOT 1  NASM122236THRU122275
MS124731THRUMS124770D NOT 3  NASM124731THRU124770

National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)
MIL-W-16878/4C(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/24A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/23A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/13B(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP4-97
MIL-W-16878/12D(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP4-97
MIL-W-16878/11B(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP4-97
MIL-W-16878/35A NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/34A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/28A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/27A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/26A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/25A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/5C(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/20A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/21A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/22A(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-16878/6C(1) NOT 1  NEMA-HP3-97
MIL-W-80043C NOT 1  NEMA-EW1-88

Parachute Industry Association
MS70085D NOT 2  PIA-PS70085
MS70092B NOT 2  PIA-PS70092
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AN490 REV 8 NOT 1  SAE-AS4901
AN775 REV 13 NOT 1  SAE-AS5161
AN774 REV 7 NOT 1  SAE-AS5160
AN783 REV J NOT 1  SAE-AS1036D
AN784 REV L NOT 1  SAE-AS1032C
AN804 REV G NOT 1 SAE-AS103C
AN806 REV 7 NOT 1 SAE-AS5168
AN807 REV 6 NOT 1 SAE-AS5190
AN814 REV 14 NOT 1 SAE-AS5169
AN815 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS5174
AN821 REV 8 NOT 1 SAE-AS1034C
AN824 REV 7 NOT 1 SAE-AS1035C
AN827 REV 7 NOT 1 SAE-AS1036D
AN833 REV 8 NOT 1 SAE-AS1038C
AN834 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS1039C
AN837 REV 8 NOT 1 SAE-AS1040C
AN841 REV 5 NOT 1 SAE-AS5184
AN849 REV 11 NOT 1 SAE-AS5189
AN910 REV J NOT 1 SAE-AS4859
AN911 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS4860
AN912 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS4861
AN914 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS4854
AN915 REV K NOT 1 SAE-AS4855
AN916 REV 10 NOT 1 SAE-AS4856
AN917 REV 8 NOT 1 SAE-AS4857
AN918 REV 8 NOT 1 SAE-AS4858
AN919 REV 9 NOT 1 SAE-AS5174
AN933 REV 6 NOT 1 SAE-AS4862
MS3320N NOT 2 SAE-AS33201
MIL-U-3963A NOT 1 SAE-AS396G1
MIL-B-3990D(3) NOT 1 SAE-39901
MIL-G-4343C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-G-4343
MIL-S-4383C NOT 2 SAE-AMS-S-4383
MIL-R-5001B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-R-5001
MIL-L-5057F(2) NOT 1 SAE-AS50571
MIL-F-5373D NOT 1 SAE-AS53731
MIL-H-5440H SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AS5440
MIL-C-5604B(3) NOT 1 SAE-AS5604
MIL-C-5809/1 NOT 2 SAE-AS5809/1
MIL-S-5944C NOT 1 SAE-AS5944
MIL-G-6032D(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-G-6032
MIL-B-6038A NOT 1 SAE-AMS-6038
MIL-R-6130C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-R-6130
MIL-C-6183B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-C-6183
AN6289 REV 7 NOT 2 SAE-AS5179
MIL-T-6302B(2) NOT 1 SAE-AS6302
MIL-H-6399B NOT 2 SAE-AS6399
MIL-S-6451E NOT 1 SAE-AS6451
MIL-S-6758B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-S-6758
MIL-T-6841D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-T-6841
MIL-R-6855E SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AMS-R-6855
MIL-W-6858D(1) NOT 2 SAE-AMS-W-6858
MIL-H-6875H(2) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-H-6875A
MIL-W-6882F(2) NOT 1 SAE-AS6882
MIL-I-7068A NOT 1 SAE-AMS-I-7068
MIL-F-7092(2) NOT 4 SAE-AS7092
MIL-T-7099E(1) SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AS70991
MIL-P-7105B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS71051
MIL-S-7124B NOT 2 SAE-AMS-S-7124
MIL-J-7171D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-J-7171
MIL-F-7190B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-F-7190
MIL-H-7199A(2) NOT 2 SAE-AMS-H-7199
MIL-L-7768B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS7768
MIL-L-7768/1 NOT 1 SAE-AS7768/1
MIL-P-7788F(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS7788
MIL-T-7928G(1) SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AS7928
MIL-T-7928/7 NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/7
MIL-T-7928/6A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/6
MIL-T-7928/2C NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/2A
MIL-T-7928/1D NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/1A
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MIL-T-7928/5B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/5
MIL-T-7928/4A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS7928/4
MIL-C-8073D(1) NOT 2 SAE-AMS-C-8073
MIL-S-8599A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-S-8559
MIL-B-8568B NOT 1 SAE-AS8568
MIL-S-8660C(2) NOT 1 SAE-AS8660
MIL-K-8662E(1) NOT 2 SAE-AS8662
MIL-I-8700A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS8700
MIL-H-8796C(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS8796
MIL-S-8802F(4) NOT 2 SAE-AMS-S-8802
MIL-C-8837B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-C-8837
MIL-S-8840B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-S-8840
MIL-F-8905B(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS8905
MIL-B-8914B(3) NOT 1 SAE-AS8914
MIL-B-8952B NOT 1 SAE-AS8952
MIL-B-8964 NOT 1 SAE-AMS-B-8964
MIL-B-8976(4) NOT 1 SAE-AS8976
MS9021D NOT 2 SAE-AS3578
MIL-T-9046J(2) NOT 2 SAE-AMS-T-9046
MS9058D NOT 1 SAE-AS9058
MIL-C-9084C(1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-C-9084
MS9112 NOT 2 SAE-AS9112
MS9142A(1) NOT 2 SAE-AS9142
MS9144A NOT 2 SAE-AS9144
MS9201B NOT 2 SAE-AS9201
MS9202A(1) NOT 3 SAE-AS9202
MS9203A(2) NOT 3 SAE-AS9203
MS9204A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9204
MS9205A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9205
MS9217 NOT 2 SAE-AS9217
MS9284 NOT 2 SAE-AS9284
MS9364A NOT 2 SAE-AS9364
MS9373A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9373
MS9451A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9451
MS9455A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9455
MS9456A(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9456
MS9549 NOT 2 SAE-AS9549
MS9582B NOT 2 SAE-AS9582
MS9588D(1) NOT 1 SAE-AS9588
MS9686 NOT 2 SAE-AS9686
MS9697A NOT 2 SAE-AS9697
MS9734 NOT 2 SAE-AS9734
MS9741 NOT 2 SAE-AS9741
MS9771 NOT 3 SAE-AS9771
MS9772 NOT 2 SAE-AS9772
MS9805 NOT 2 SAE-AS9805
MS9808 NOT 2 SAE-AS9808
MS9842 NOT 2 SAE-AS9842
MS9843 NOT 2 SAE-AS9843
MS9845 NOT 2 SAE-AS9845
MS9846 NOT 2 SAE-AS9846
MS9847 NOT 2 SAE-AS9847
MS9848 NOT 1 SAE-AS9848
MS9882 NOT 2 SAE-AS9882
MS9885 NOT 2 SAE-AS9885
MS9955 NOT 2 SAE-AS9955
MS9956 NOT 1 SAE-AS9956
MS9966 NOT 2 SAE-AS9966
MS9967 NOT 2 SAE-AS9967
AND10081 REV 4 NOT 1 SAE-AS10081
MS14101J NOT 1 SAE-AS14101
MS14114 NOT 2 SAE-AS14114
DS14243 NOT 2 SAE-AS14243
DS14244 NOT 2 SAE-AS14244
DS14488 NOT 1 SAE-AS14488
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MIL-R-17852C (1) NOT 1 SAE-AS17852
MS17983 NOT 2 SAE-AS17983
MS18013A NOT 1 SAE-AS18013
MS18121G NOT 1 SAE-AS18121
MIL-L-18276C (1) NOT 1 SAE-AS18276
MIL-F-18280F SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AS18280
MIL-L-18331B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-18331
MIL-P-19692E SUP 1 NOT 1 SAE-AS19692A
MIL-S-20708E (2) SUP IB NOT 1 SAE-AS20708
MS20814G NOT 1 SAE-AS20814
MS20913G NOT 1 SAE-AS20913
MIL-T-21014E (1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-T-21014
MS21155B NOT 2 SAE-AS21155
MIL-A-21180D (1) NOT 1 SAE-AMS-A-21180
MS21230B NOT 2 SAE-AS21230
MS21231B NOT 2 SAE-AS21231
MS21232A NOT 2 SAE-AS21232
MS21233B NOT 2 SAE-AS21233
MS21234 NOT 2 SAE-AS21234
MS21235 NOT 2 SAE-AS21235
MS21236 NOT 2 SAE-AS21236
MS21237 NOT 2 SAE-AS21237
MS21431A NOT 1 SAE-AS21431
MS21433C NOT 1 SAE-AS21433
MS21439B NOT 1 SAE-AS21439
MS21447A NOT 1 SAE-AS21447
MIL-F-21608E (1) NOT 1 SAE-AS21608
MS21935C NOT 1 SAE-AS21935
MS21938D NOT 1 SAE-AS21938
MS21945C NOT 1 SAE-AS21945
MIL-W-21985 (2) NOT 1 SAE-AS21985
MIL-T-22085E NOT 1 SAE-AMS-T-22085
MIL-A-22145C NOT 1 SAE-AS22145
MIL-DTL-22499D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-22499
MIL-DTL-22499/4A NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-22499/4
MIL-DTL-22499/1B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-22499/1
MIL-DTL-22499/2A NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-22499/2
MIL-DTL-22499/3B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-22499/3
MIL-C-22587B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-C-22587
MIL-A-22771D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-A-22771
MIL-S-22805B (1) NOT 1 SAE-AS22805
MIL-P-22968B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-P-22968
MIL-I-23011C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-I-23011
MIL-DTL-23053E SUP 1A NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053
MIL-DTL-23053/8C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/8
MIL-DTL-23053/7C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/7
MIL-DTL-23053/17B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/17
MIL-DTL-23053/16B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/16
MIL-DTL-23053/15B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/15
MIL-DTL-23053/14B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/14
MIL-DTL-23053/13B NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/13
MIL-DTL-23053/12C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/12
MIL-DTL-23053/11C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/11
MIL-DTL-23053/10D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/10
MIL-DTL-23053/9C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/9
MIL-DTL-23053/8D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/8
MIL-DTL-23053/7C NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/7
MIL-DTL-23053/6D NOT 1 SAE-AMS-DTL-23053/6
MIL-S-23190/1A NOT 1 SAE-AS23190/1
MIL-S-23190/3 NOT 1 SAE-AS23190/3
MIL-I-23479B (1) NOT 3 SAE-AS23479
MIL-A-23899B NOT 1 SAE-AS23899
MS24000F NOT 1 SAE-AS24000
MS24001F NOT 1 SAE-AS24001
MS24388H NOT 1 SAE-AS1031C
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>SAE Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS24389F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1032C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24390F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1033C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24391H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS5149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24392F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS5174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24394G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1038C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24395G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1039C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24396E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1040C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24401D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1034C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24402D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1035C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24403E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS1036D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24404E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS5168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24405D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24509K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24510K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24518B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-J1231-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24519C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-J1231-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24522B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-J1231-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24563F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD-F-24610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-F-24610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24651C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24652B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS24654C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS24654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS25019G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS25019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS25027F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS25027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-C-25050A(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS25050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-E-25109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS25109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-25667B(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-G-25667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-25871B(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-G-25871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-DTL-27536C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-MS535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS33620B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-ABR797E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS33658A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AS5132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35867C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35868B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35869C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35870C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35871C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35872C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35873C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS35926C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-C-38334A(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS1640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS39155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS16-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS49008B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-JS13-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-R-81835(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-R-81835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-T-81914/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-T-81914/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-T-81914/5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS-T-81914/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-C-81986(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMSAC-81986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-C-85061B(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAE-AMS5356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE)
Effectiveness of Circular A-119 Policy -- The current OMB Circular A-119 provides clear guidance on using voluntary consensus standards and participating in voluntary consensus standards bodies. As written, this circular reinforces DOD policies regarding use of voluntary consensus standards, reliance on performance documents, and encouragement of participation in voluntary standards bodies. DOD believes the Circular's plain language format greatly enhances its effectiveness. DOD does not believe additional changes are required at this time.
NATIONAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEM (NCS)

Dr. Peter M. Fonash, Chief, Technology and Programs Division, and Chair, Federal Telecommunications Standards Committee (FTSC), is the Office of the Manager, National Communications System (OMNCS), focal point for telecommunications and related information system standards. His telephone number is (703) 607-6200, and his email address is fonashp@ncs.gov.

The OMNCS provides the chair of the FTSC. This interagency committee prepares standards and recommendations on matters affecting national security and emergency preparedness (NS/EP) and in other areas of communications approved by the committee on the basis of requests from members. It also provides advice to members on how to best represent the Government’s NS/EP interests in work in industry and international standards committee.

The FTSC and members of the OMNCS work extensively with voluntary standards organizations to ensure that Government requirements are considered as the standards are developed. The OMNCS has 15 employees who participate in industry voluntary standards activities. The following paragraph lists the committees in which they participate.

Staff of the OMNCS participate in the following voluntary standards related committees:

Organizations Accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI):

- Committee T1, Telecommunications, and its technical subcommittees
- Telecommunications Industry Association committees TR-8, TR-45
- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Commercial and Multi-National Organizations Not Accredited by ANSI:

- ATM Forum
- Internet Engineering Task Force
- Network Interconnection Interoperability Forum (NIFF)
- Telecommunications and Internet Protocol Harmonization Over Networks (TIPHON)
- TeleManagement Forum
- Electronic Communications Implementation Committee (ECIC)

Federal, State, and Local Committees:

- Federal Telecommunication Standards Committee (OMNCS furnishes Chair and Executive Secretary)
- International Telecommunication Advisory Committee and its study groups (Department of State)
- Association of Public Safety Communications Officials (APCO)
  -- Project 25 (land mobile radio)
  -- Project 34 (wireless high-speed data)

International Organizations:

- International Telecommunications Union-Telecommunications Sector (ITU-T)
  (OMNCS heads U.S. delegations to 3 study groups)
- International Telecommunications Union-Radio Sector (ITU-R)
For the past several years, DOED has been actively working with the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) on developing EDI transaction sets through their Accredited Standards Committee (ASC) X12 committee. This committee is charged with developing uniform standards for electronic interchange of business transactions. The X12 Committee develops standards to facilitate electronic interchange relating to such business transactions as order placement and processing, shipping and receiving, invoicing, payment, and cash application data associated with the provision of products and services.

DOED’s National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) has one voting member and has sponsored the work of task groups to attend ANSI ASC X12 meetings. Information about the activities that NCES has been involved in can be obtained at <http://nces.ed.gov/edi>. In addition, DOED has had another voting member that is part of the Department’s Office of Postsecondary Education. The Department has been involved in developing EDI transaction sets for Student Financial Aid. These activities fall under the umbrella of Project EASI. For more information on Project EASI, please visit <http://easi.ed.gov/inside_projecteasi/HTML/inside_main.html>. Participation in this voluntary consensus building body has allowed DOED to forge ahead in developing standards while including the necessary members of the affected communities to help transition to adoption.

Transaction sets that DOED has promulgated for information about students (both at the elementary/secondary level and at the postsecondary level) and other aspects of education are the following:

**Transaction Sets Relating to Individual Student Records**
- TS 130 - Student Educational Record (Transcript)
- TS 131 - Student Educational Record (Transcript) Acknowledgment
- TS 138 - Testing Results Request and Report
- TS 146 - Request for Student Educational Record (Transcript)
- TS 147 - Response to Request for Student Educational Record (Transcript)
- TS 189 - Application for Admission to Educational Institutions

**Implementation Guides**

**Transaction Sets Relating to Personnel Records**
- TS 132 - Personnel Information
Transaction Sets Relating to Student Financial Aid Records

- TS 135 - Student Loan Application
- TS 139 - Student Loan Guarantee Result
- TS 144 - Student Loan Transfer and Status Verification
- TS 190 - Student Enrollment Verification
- TS 191 - Student Loan Pre-Claims and Claims
- TS 810 - Invoice
- TS 820 - Payment Order/Remittance Advice

Transaction Sets Relating to Institutional Records

- TS 133 - Educational Institutional Profile
- TS 152 - Statistical Government Information used to transmit CCD, IPEDS and Library surveys to NCES
- TS 188 - Educational Course Inventory
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)

The DOE implements the Federal guidance and requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119 and the statutory requirements of Public Law (P.L.) 104-113 (15 U.S.C. 272) on the use of voluntary consensus standards through specific Departmental directives (policy, requirements, guides, and technical standards) and supporting management systems.

Foremost is DOE's Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS). ISMS incorporates principles of management that serve to integrate management of DOE's worker, public, and environmental health and safety with its business management, using standards as one of its primary tools.

DOE also has established internal policies as the top tier of DOE requirements driving the implementation of Federal Law and Policy. DOE Policy 251.1, Directives System, establishes a Directives System for managing DOE requirements and guidance documents and incorporates technical standards (i.e., those standards that are specifically addressed in P.L. 104-113) as a key element of the Department's directives system hierarchy. The focus of the Directives System is DOE's environment, safety and health requirements, and guidance. The Directives System policy clearly states DOE's preference to adopt National Consensus Standards and other commercial and industry standards in the conduct of DOE's activities. This policy also pertains to the use of mandated government-unique standards in DOE rulemaking, Orders, and procurement processes. DOE requirements specified in Orders and rules reference appropriate voluntary consensus standards when they are acceptable to meet requirements. Another policy, DOE Policy 410.1A, Promulgating Nuclear Safety Requirements, also requires notice and comment to promulgate new nuclear safety requirements. New nuclear safety requirements promulgated by the Department are performance-based rules and Orders that permit the adoption of voluntary consensus standards as acceptable methods to implement requirements. DOE also specifies responsibilities for managers and organizations in managing and implementing P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119 requirements in its DOE Manual 411.1-1A, "Safety Management Functions, Responsibilities, and Authorities Manual (FRAM)."

These Departmental policies, requirements, and responsibilities on the use of voluntary consensus standards are implemented through a DOE-wide management system and infrastructure established through DOE Order 252.1, Technical Standards Program, an accompanying program Guide, DOE Guide 252.1-1, "Technical Standards Program Guide," and Technical Standards Program Procedures (TSPPs).

DOE Order 252.1 establishes the DOE Technical Standards Program (TSP), and is designed to implement Federal and DOE technical standards requirements and manage internal standards development activities across DOE. DOE Order 252.1 updates DOE Order 1300.2A,
incorporating references to P.L. 104-113 and the February 1998 revision to OMB Circular A-119. The new Order reinforces the requirement for DOE elements to use voluntary consensus standards in preference to Federal and DOE (i.e., government unique) standards, consistent with P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119. The TSP further encourages and supports staff participation in the planning, development, and coordination activities of national and international standards developing organizations (SDOs).

The TSP Guide and TSPPs provide information on the TSP functions and management, program resources and services, and the DOE processes and procedures for selecting, developing, and maintaining DOE Technical Standards and using voluntary consensus standards. The TSP Guide also provides basic information on reporting the use of government-unique standards in both regulation (DOE is nominally self-regulating in key areas such as nuclear safety) and procurement (where most reporting is category-based). The DOE TSP plans to develop further reporting procedures to assist program officials conducting procurements. The DOE TSPPs incorporate working level implementation of the technical standards provisions of P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119, and DOE currently manages its technical standards activities in conformance with Federal policy and requirements.

Another element serving implementation of P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119 is DOE’s Department Standards Program. This Program was established to institute standards (in this application, standards include policy, laws, rules, guides, and technical standards) as the basis for work throughout the Department. A Department Standards Committee (DSC) was established in 1994 to establish DOE standards policy and help remove barriers to implementing a Department-wide standards-based culture. The DSC assists DOE line organizations in the implementation of this Department Standards Program. The Criteria for the Department’s Standards Program, DOE/EH/-0416, August 1994, describes elements of the standards-based operating culture envisioned by DOE leadership. Information on the Department Standards Program and the DSC can be accessed at the following Internet address (Uniform Resource Locator {URL}):

<http://tis.eh.doe.gov/dsc>

The DSC has sponsored development and implementation of a DOE-wide process that enables DOE contractors to select voluntary consensus standards as the basis for their work in-lieu-of DOE-developed or other government-unique standards, when such standards are appropriate for the work and work-related hazards. This Work Smart standards approach (also referred to as the necessary and sufficient process) enables DOE laboratory and management and operating or integrating contractors, with DOE approval, to identify and apply the set of standards (including technical standards) that best fits their activities and adequately addresses related hazards. This approach focuses on outcomes and performance, rather than detailing how things are to be done within DOE. The process is part of the DOE ISMS and is supported by a major contract reform effort designed to more closely link performance expectations with contractual obligations based on standards.
Key Departmental policy and requirements documents defining the "Work Smart" approach include DOE Policy 450.3, Authorizing Use of the Necessary and Sufficient Process for Standards-Based Environment, Safety and Health Management, and DOE Manual 450.3-1, The Department of Energy Closure Process for Necessary and Sufficient Sets of Standards. Field pilot projects of the approach have been completed, and significant efficiencies and cost-savings have been demonstrated. The Work Smart standards approach now covers a broad range of Department programs and facilities. During fiscal year (FY) 1999, DOE developed and updated additional guidance and standards to support the Work Smart process.

The DOE Standards Executive, Richard L. Black, Director, Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards, continues to be responsible for developing and implementing the DOE TSP through DOE's TSP Manager and the TSP. He also advises and provides staff support to the Department Standards Committee. Through Mr. Black's participation on the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP), DOE supports ICSP activities and policy implementation, and provides "lessons-learned" information to other Federal agencies on the DOE's approach to establishing a standards-based culture.

As noted above, DOE Order 252.1 emphasizes the use of voluntary consensus standards within the Department. DOE's Technical Standards Program Office (TSPO) operates under this Order to implement program policy, support the conversion of Department standards to voluntary consensus standards, identify voluntary consensus standards that can suit Department needs, develop and maintain databases to support the program and meet reporting requirements, and coordinate day-to-day Department technical standards activities. The TSPO has developed procedures, methods, and training approaches to implement the DOE TSP; communicate the policy to use voluntary consensus standards throughout DOE; and support participation in SDO activities related to DOE missions and functions. The TSPOs establish a 5-year standards review cycle to check for continued applicability. The procedures also provide guidance on the conversion of Department standards to voluntary consensus standards.

Information on the TSP and the TSPO can be accessed at the following Internet address (URL):

<http://tis.eh.doe.gov/techstds/>

To coordinate consistent implementation of Federal and DOE policy and requirements at the working level, DOE senior managers have designated Technical Standards Managers (approximately 70 individuals) representing the various Department headquarters, field, laboratory and contractor organizations. Established in 1992, the Technical Standards Managers Committee (comprised of these Technical Standards Managers) operates under the DOE TSP, supports the DOE sites in technical standards activities, facilitates communications on program implementation issues, and participates in establishing program goals and procedures. Publications documenting the voluntary consensus standards adopted by DOE and the personnel participating in the activities of SDOs are developed by the TSPO. The information in those publications is electronically posted for Internet access and provided to OMB annually.
During FY 1999, the TSP continued the recognition of topical standards committees within DOE. Topical committees provide a venue for DOE-wide coordination with national and international SDOs in such diverse areas as laboratory accreditation, metrology, fire protection, environmental management systems, chemical safety, emergency management, and nuclear safety training. These committees are composed of subject matter experts from across the DOE community, and serve as focal points for standards activities in specific technical areas. The topical committees provide a forum for all interested DOE parties to join and participate in reviewing technical standards produced by counterpart SDOs, address standards application issues within their area of technical expertise, and work to develop Department and Federal positions on standards issues. As of November 10, 1999, the TSP has recognized 23 DOE topical committees. (These committees are identified on the program Internet address listed above.)

The Department has also established a DOE Information Technology Standards Program (Internet address is: <http://www-it.hr.doe.gov/Standards/index.html>) that is conducted in accordance with the DOE TSP. The DOE Information Technology Standards Program is managed by staff from DOE's Office of the Chief Information Officer with assistance from over 70 designated DOE and contractor Information Technology Points-of-Contact representing key programs and sites. It coordinates information technology standards activities Department-wide, including the identification, adoption, implementation, and retirement of non-Government and Government information technology standards in support of the DOE Information Architecture. This program has stressed the use of international and voluntary consensus information technology standards over development of internal standards in its adoption processes.

The Department also implements a legislatively mandated, multiyear effort to improve the energy efficiency in the Nation's buildings through energy efficiency standards, codes and guidelines for buildings, building equipment, and appliances through its Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (Internet address is: <http://www.eren.doe.gov/>). The Department's codes and standards development efforts in these areas are closely coordinated with SDOs and include early involvement of industry and state stakeholders and relevant Federal agencies.

DOE continues to take a proactive approach to standards and standards management even as its mission continues to evolve in response to the conclusion of the Cold War and shrinking Congressional appropriations. Within DOE, a number of programs and facilities have shifted their focus from production, research, and/or development to environmental remediation and restoration, where DOE will literally be breaking new ground and setting standards for others to follow. In addition, Department staffing levels are declining to meet Congressional budget constraints. Still, in the face of a changing mission and a reduced workforce, DOE continues to actively use and support the development of voluntary consensus standards to meet its needs. In FY 1999, the number of voluntary consensus standards adopted for use increased to 916 (840 in 1998 and 809 in 1997). However, the number of individuals participating in voluntary consensus standards activities decreased to 668 (681 in 1998 and 871 in 1997), and the number of documented participations in standards developing groups declined to 1,306 (1,321 in 1998 and...
1,540 in 1997). The Department believes this reflects the very significant downsizing and budget cuts experienced by DOE in recent years, rather than any reduced interest on the part of DOE organizations.

Also, DOE (through the TSPO) is continuing its initiative (in response to contacts from SDOs on how the Department is meeting P.L. 104-113) to better define candidate DOE Technical Standards for conversion to voluntary consensus standards. The Department is continuing to work with representatives of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Nuclear Standards Board (NSB) on the conversion of selected DOE Technical Standards to voluntary consensus standards. (Four candidate standards are in the conversion process.)

Other highlights of DOE’s interaction with SDOs include the following:

From FY 1993 through FY 1997, DOE conducted annual national workshops promoting the TSP and the use of voluntary consensus standards within the Department. Approximately 150 standards developers and users attended each workshop.

In August 1998, DOE hosted the first-ever Federal Technical Standards Workshop with the goal of furthering the implementation of P.L. 104-113 throughout the Federal Government. The Workshop was cosponsored with the National Institute of Standards and Technology; Environmental Protection Agency; National Aeronautics and Space Administration; Food and Drug Administration; and the Partnership in Reliability, Maintainability, and Supportability Standards. More than 200 standards developers and users attended the workshop. Contingent on receipt of additional program funding as increased ICSP support, DOE would like to organize and conduct another Federal-level workshop in FY 2000.

In addition to the ANSI-NSB, DOE representatives participate on ANSI’s Board of Directors and Executive Standards Council. DOE also participates in a number of international standards groups such as: International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/Technical Committee (TC) 85, Nuclear Energy; ISO/TC 176, Quality Assurance; and ISO/TC 207, Environmental Management.

Reporting Requirements for OMB Circular A-119:

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

- Number of standards bodies: 58
- Number of agency personnel participating: 668
- Total number of agency participation: 1,306
The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

916

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

0

An evaluation of the effectiveness of this policy and recommendations for any changes:

The guidance in OMB Circular A-119 appears to be sufficient in terms of outlining the basic functions and responsibilities of Federal agency standards management and standards participation activities. It allows sufficient latitude for each Federal agency to develop its own approach tailored to specific agency needs, and places the emphasis on outcomes rather than processes.

As required by P.L. 104-113, when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

There were no recorded cases in FY 1999 where the Department selected to use an internal standard in lieu of an equivalent, existing voluntary consensus standard.
Summary of the nature and extent of FDA participation in the development and utilization of voluntary consensus standards:

The number of instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

0

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

90*

* NOTE: Includes standards recognized under the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA).

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

0

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation:

43

The number of agency employees participating:

255* (on 544 voluntary consensus standards development committees)

* NOTE: Individual employees with specific expertise often participate simultaneously on two or more standards development committees within the same or different standards bodies. Therefore, 255 agency employees participate on 544 standards development committees, within 43 voluntary consensus standards development bodies.
Evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The policy and recommendations contained in Circular A-119 are consistent with FDA’s framework for standards management as announced in the Federal Register of October 11, 1995, and enhanced by FDAMA. Resource constraints oblige the agency to focus attention on the highest priority activities and to strive to make its participation in those activities very effective.

Voluntary consensus standards are most relevant for medical devices, where they are used extensively in the agency’s regulatory work and where the majority of the agency’s standards activities are centered. Voluntary consensus standards are less relevant in the areas of human and veterinary pharmaceuticals, biologicals, and food, where such standards are generally neither available nor being developed, and where standards of other international organizations (World Health Organization (WHO), Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH)) as well as regulatory standards predominate.

Narrative Summary

The central purpose for FDA involvement in the development and use of standards is to assist the agency in fulfilling its domestic public health and regulatory missions. The Agency participates widely in the development of standards, both domestic and international, and adopts or uses standards when this action will enhance its ability to protect consumers and the effectiveness or efficiency of its regulatory efforts. Further, using standards, especially international ones, is a means to facilitate the harmonization of FDA regulatory requirements with those of foreign governments, and thus to further domestic and global public health protection. Therefore, FDA encourages participation in the development of standards as a useful adjunct to regulatory controls.

FDA has been involved in standards activities for more than 20 years. In January 1977, the Agency promulgated a final regulation now found at 21 CFR 10.95, covering participation by FDA employees in standards development activities outside the agency. This regulation encourages FDA participation in standards activities that are in the public interest, and specifies the circumstances under which FDA employees can participate in various types of standards bodies.

The Agency built upon that rule with a draft policy statement published in the Federal Register on November 28, 1994, and a subsequent final policy published on October 11, 1995. Entitled International Harmonization; Policy on Standards, it provides the Agency’s overall policy on development and use of standards for all product areas regulated by the Agency. In an initiative aimed at furthering harmonization, on January 28, 1995, FDA published in the Federal Register a
proposed rule to facilitate the sharing of draft regulations and other predecisional documents with State and foreign officials. The final rule, Public Information; Communications with State and Foreign Government Officials, was published on December 8, 1995.

FDA's development and use of voluntary consensus standards varies within each of the Agency's centers, because of differing availability and applicability of such standards in each product area. Voluntary consensus standards are most relevant to medical devices, and consequently the majority of the Agency's standards activities addressed by Circular A-119 are centered there.

Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)

On October 10, 1997, a draft guidance document was made available for public comment on the Agency's Internet home page, which provided guidance to FDA medical device reviewers on the use of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 60601 series of standards, including declaration of conformity to the standards, during the evaluation of premarket submissions for electrical medical devices. A notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance entitled Use of IEC 60601 Standards - Medical Electrical Equipment was published in the Federal Register on January 13, 1998. Written comments were due to the Agency by April 13, 1998.

Section 204 of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA) (P.L. 105-115, 111 Stat. 2296), November 21, 1997, amended section 514 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360d), allowing the Agency to recognize consensus standards established by international and national standards developing organizations that may be used to satisfy identified portions of device premarket review submissions or other requirements. In a Federal Register notice published on February 25, 1998, the Agency announced the availability of a guidance document entitled Recognition and Use of Consensus Standards describing how FDA planned to implement that part of FDAMA, and providing an initial list of recognized standards.

The Agency decided not to finalize the draft guidance document entitled Use of IEC 60601 Standards - Medical Electrical Equipment, and withdrew it in a Federal Register notice on October 16, 1998. In its place, the Agency published modifications to the initial list of standards (originally published in the February 25, 1998 notice) that will be recognized for use in the premarket review process. Recognition of the standards became effective on November 16, 1998, and assist manufacturers who elect to declare conformity with consensus standards to meet all or part of medical device review requirements. Periodic updates are announced.

Due to its extensive participation in voluntary consensus standards activities, CDRH has maintained a database to track the standards activities of its employees for many years. The Center recently invested in, and routinely uses, searchable ROM databases of voluntary consensus standards to facilitate reference to current voluntary consensus standards by agency reviewers.
In June 1999, CDRH hosted the Global Harmonization Task Force (GHTF), an intergovernmental consortium to foster medical device regulatory harmonization. During the meeting, the CDRH official serving as GHTF Chair signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Chair of the Interagency Organization for Standardization (ISO) committee responsible for many aspects of device standards (Technical Committee 210).

Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)/Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

Voluntary consensus standards are less applicable to pharmaceutical and biological products. Therefore, CDER and CBER have extremely limited involvement in such activities, but do participate on relevant committees of at least two voluntary consensus standards bodies.

While the Agency’s involvement with voluntary consensus standards development is limited in these particular areas (mostly because such standards are not available nor under development in these areas), it should be noted that the Agency does participate in many other types of standards development activities that are excluded from Circular A-119 reporting requirements.

Numerous employees in CDER and other FDA Centers are involved in the standards development activities of the United States Pharmacopeia (USP), a private, voluntary, not-for-profit national standard setting body of more than 1,500 health care professionals, recognized authorities in medicine, pharmacy, and allied sciences. USP publishes and revises the USP and the National Formulary, the legally recognized compendia of drug standards in the United States.

Both CDER and the CBER participate in the ICH. This ongoing project, started in 1989, has been undertaken by government agencies responsible for regulation of pharmaceuticals and by industry trade organizations for the European Union, Japan, and the United States. ICH brings together regulatory authorities and experts from the pharmaceutical industry in the three regions to discuss scientific and technical aspects of new product registration. The work products, created in working groups of experts from the regulatory agencies and industry, consist of a series of consensus guideline documents to harmonize pharmaceutical testing guidelines. FDA officials also participate in a consensus standards development activity sponsored by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and implemented in ICH, that is aimed at standardizing the safety-related terminology used in adverse experience reporting.

FDA actively participates with the WHO in developing international standards for ensuring the quality of pharmaceutical and biological products, and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) on good laboratory practices for animal studies. In 1997, a new hemispheric initiative was launched on pharmaceutical harmonization in the Americas, with emphasis on relevant ICH and WHO standards. The Pan American Health Organization is the convener. FDA sent participants to conferences held in January and November 1999, which helped advance this initiative.
Although FDA's work with USP is specifically excluded from reporting under Circular A-119, and ICH, OECD, and WHO do not meet the definition of voluntary consensus standard bodies under the Circular, substantial agency resources are devoted to the development of these various standards, and this work is the core part of FDA's overall standards activities for pharmaceuticals.

**Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN)/Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM)**

Voluntary consensus standards have limited relevance to food and veterinary medicine products. However, the standards activities of multilateral organizations such as the WHO, FAO, World Trade Organization (WTO), and the OECD are often important in these areas, and CFSAN and CVM are actively engaged in standards and policy development with these organizations. The principal international standards activities in the areas of food and veterinary medicine fall under the activities of the Codex Alimentarius Commission under the FAO and the WHO, and the Office of International Epizootics (for veterinary medicine). FDA experts from CFSAN, CVM, the Office of International Programs, and the Office of Regulatory Affairs are heavily involved in Codex Alimentarius activities, and also in those of methods validation organizations on which Codex Alimentarius relies such as ISO, AOAC International (formerly the Association of Official Analytical Chemists), and IDF/FIL (International Dairy Federation).

CVM is very active in a harmonization initiative similar to the ICH for human pharmaceuticals, that is developing harmonized requirements for the registration of veterinary drugs. It is known as VICH, for Veterinary ICH. Agency employees participate on numerous committees that are drafting VICH guidelines.

**International/Treaty Standards-Related Activities**

FDA takes part in a variety of international standards activities that fall under treaty organizations, and thus are not reportable under the provisions of Circular A-119. These standards activities are nonetheless important to the Agency in fulfilling its public health regulatory mission. Some of these are referred to above; i.e., WHO, FAO, and OECD.

The Agency participates in international trade discussions of the WTO, specifically committees on the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade, the Agreement on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, and the same counterpart committees of the North American Free Trade Agreement, to ensure that FDA's requirements are preserved and its regulatory practices can remain focused on fulfilling the Agency's mission to protect the public health while being supportive of emerging, broader U.S. Government obligations and policies. FDA has participated in several initiatives that are part of the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation forum. FDA topics have included food safety, food labeling, bulk drugs, standards for latex gloves and condoms, and medical device regulation.
It is the intent of FDA's standards policy to: (1) enable the agency to participate in international standards activities that will assist it in implementing statutory provisions for safeguarding the public health; (2) increase its efforts to harmonize its regulatory requirements with those of foreign governments, including setting new standards that better serve the public health; and, (3) respond to laws and policies that encourage agencies to use voluntary standards that provide the desired degree of protection.

FDA conducts a comprehensive review of its existing regulations on an ongoing basis. As part of this review, the Agency considers the appropriateness of existing regulations and policies, as specified in Circular A-119.

The FDA Standards Policy Committee (SPC) is chaired by the Agency Standards Executive, and composed of top management representatives from all centers and offices within the Agency. The SPC meets periodically to review and discuss both domestic and international standards issues, and recommend agency-wide standards policy to the Commissioner. The committee oversees the coordination of FDA standards activities and policies regarding official participation of employees in standards development endeavors both within and outside FDA; tracks, compiles, and reports required information; and ensures appropriate standards review when applicable.

The Agency experts on quality systems and environmental management serve as liaison members to the FDA SPC, to strengthen agency expertise and participation in issues and activities related to these areas. The agency also has a contact group of experts on private laboratory issues that holds meetings as needed to assure coordination of testing and conformity assessment issues.

In November 1999, FDA conducted HHS-wide training on the requirements set forth in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119. The FDA Standards Executive also serves as the Department (HHS) Standards Executive. The training was designed for regulation writers and employees involved in standards development and management activities in FDA and all other HHS agencies, which are increasingly involved in the development and use of voluntary consensus standards. Additional HHS-wide training and coordination activities are planned.

Due to reorganization of the international activities in the Office of the Commissioner, the Standards Executive responsibility was shifted along with the standards management function, on January 1, 2000. The current Standards Executive is listed below.
FDA/HHS Standards Executive:

Janet Showalter
Director, International Scientific
Activities and Standards Staff
Office of International Programs
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 15-22 (HFY-020)
Rockville, MD 20857

Phone: (301) 827-0865
Fax: (301) 443-0232
Email: jshowalt@oc.fda.gov
In response to the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, February 19, 1998, HUD reports the following information:

There is a total of six HUD employees participating in standards developing organizations (SDOs). Three employees from the Manufactured Housing & Standards Division, two employees from the Office of Lead Hazard Control, and one from the Office of Information Technology, are participating on five voluntary consensus SDOs and a National Institute of Standards and Technology standards activity. SDOs in which they participate:

- American Society of Civil Engineers
- ASTM (formerly the American Society for Testing and Materials)
- Council of American Building Officials
- National Committee for Information Technology Standards
- Underwriters Laboratories

Two new voluntary consensus standards have been adopted that resulted from agency participation in a standards development group.

No new voluntary consensus standards have been adopted during this period for the purpose of promoting environmentally sound and energy-efficient materials, products, systems, services, or practices.

HUD supports the policy of OMB Circular A-119 and references more ASTM voluntary consensus standards than any other Federal agency.
Based on a survey of offices and bureaus, the DOI's response is as follows:

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

54 consensus standards bodies, with 84 agency employees participating

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

144

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

None

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the guidelines of Circular A-119 and recommendations for any changes:

DOI has no recommendations for any changes to the Circular at this time.

As required by P.L. 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

None
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ)

As required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119 and Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, DOJ’s response follows:

The Department uses all standards involved in complying with P.L. 102.486, Executive Orders 12759 and 12845, and Federal Regulation Interim Rule 1 and Bulletin C-35 concerning Energy-Star qualifying computer equipment. The Government also is required to use the security standards for protection of information unless a waiver is granted. For example, the following security standards are mandatory:

- FIPS 46-2, 46-3 Data Encryption Standard
- FIPS 102 Certification and Accreditation
- FIPS 112 Password Usage
- FIPS 140-1 Cryptographic Modules
- FIPS 171 Key Management using ANSI X9.17
- FIPS 180-1 Secure Hash Standard
- FIPS 185 Escrowed Encryption Standard
- FIPS 186-1 Digital Signature Standard
- FIPS 196 Entity Authentication Using Public Key Cryptography Secure Socket Layer (SSL)
- ISAKMP X.509 Certificates
- NIST PUB 800-18 System Security Plans

The Department has issued 59 consensus standards since October 1, 1998.

The Department completed the development of technical reference model, December 1998, which was distributed in 1999. The following standards have been substituted for government standards:

- IETF DCHP Dynamic Configuration Host Protocol
- DNS IETF 13/RFC 1034 Domain Name Service
- TCP/IP Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol
- XML-971208 Extensible Markup Language (XML)
- SMTP Simple Mail Transfer Protocol

The Department has eight individuals that participate in three consensus standards bodies.

Circular A-119 policy is appropriate and has increased the awareness, value, and usage of consensus standards.
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)

Below are the responses from the only two agencies within DOL that have activities to report:

**Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)**

As required by P.L. 104-113, identification of all instances where the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

Zero. For the most part, MSHA has already used the voluntary consensus standards that are applicable to mining. Any further action would be to update the revision referenced.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

None

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

None

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

MSHA has approximately 35 individuals on 50 separate committees or subcommittees of various organizations, such as American National Standards Institute (ANSI); ASTM (formerly the American Society for Testing and Materials); American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers/Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration; and Society of Automotive Engineers.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

None
Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

As required by P.L. 104-113, identification of all instances where the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

OSHA issued a standard addressing training for operators of Powered Industrial Trucks. OSHA drew from the ANSI standard, but did not adopt it because it went well beyond the scope of OSHA's rulemaking needs.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

OSHA has used 15 voluntary consensus standards since the last report.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

OSHA did not substitute any voluntary consensus standards for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of this circular.

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

OSHA participates in 4 voluntary consensus standards bodies with 34 agency employees participating.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

OSHA has no comment.
The Department of State has a major policy role in telecommunications standards as obligated by international treaty, and coordination roles in other areas. The Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs (EB) represents the Department of State on the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP), the Government Member Council, and the Information Infrastructure Standardization Panel and its steering committee at the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The Department is not involved in the actual development of technical standards.

The Department represents the United States of America administration under the treaty obligations found in the Convention of the International Telecommunications Union (ITU), Minneapolis, 1998, and the Inter-American Telecommunications Conference (CITEL). The Department of State, through the EB Communications and Information Policy Deputate, provides the forum for development of positions and contributions for presentation at ITU and CITEL meetings where international telecommunication recommendations (voluntary standards) and telecommunication standardization policies are written. The Department authorizes and/or hosts public meetings under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, where advice on telecommunication standardization and policy issues is offered by the private sector telecommunications industry. The Department also bases its decisions on advice from other public sector Agencies (Department of Defense, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), National Telecommunications and Information Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the Federal Communications Commission). The Department coordinates, leads, and/or accredits U.S. delegations to ITU and CITEL technical and policy meetings.

More than 100 U.S. corporations are participating members of the ITU; more than 30 are associate members of CITEL’s permanent Consultative Committee, under the sponsorship of the State Department. Those companies and interested Government agencies participate and play major roles in the ITU and CITEL Study Groups and Working Parties that actually write the standards. Within that process, a great deal of interaction takes place with other standards-setting organizations, such as the International Organization for Standardization, ANSI, ANSI-accredited Committee T1, and the Telecommunications Industry Association.

In addition to accrediting and supporting delegations to the ITU and CITEL, the Department’s International Organization Affairs Bureau accredits and funds participation by relevant specialized agencies (Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, and Transportation, and NIST) and private sector groups in the deliberations of the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) Working Party on Standardization, especially where they have a direct bearing on U.S. commercial interests. While the standards developed in the ECE are not officially adopted for use in the United States, they serve as guides for adjusting product design and are widely taken into account in manufacturing plans.
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)

In response to the request by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for information regarding DOT’s implementation of OMB Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards, we respectfully submit this report. The included data represent the fiscal year (FY) 1999 activities for the Department and its agencies.

As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

No instances were reported where the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

The total reported number of voluntary consensus standards used by DOT since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular is 203.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) - Since October 1, 1998, NHTSA has proposed to adopt 23 voluntary consensus standards based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular.

Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) - Since October 1, 1998, RSPA has used approximately 180 voluntary standards based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular.

The identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

RSPA - During FY 1999, RSPA adopted 19 new and 9 updated voluntary standards as a result of its participation in standards-developing groups. In addition, RSPA is actively considering adoption of numerous new, and updated versions of other, voluntary consensus standards.
The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

- The total reported number of DOT employees participating in at least one standards-developing group is approximately 88 although there are 4 operating administrations not included in this number. With the exception of the FAA and the FRA, the other Administrations have reported separately. Their reports follow this one.

- The total number of voluntary standards groups in which DOT employees participate is 67.

**Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)** - Ten employees of FHWA participate in at least one standards-developing group. These employees participate in 12 standards-developing groups.

**Maritime Administration (MARAD)** - Seven employees of MARAD participate in at least one standards-developing group. These employees participate in five standards-developing groups.

**NHTSA** - Thirty-one employees of NHTSA participate in at least one standards-developing group. These employees participate in four standards-developing groups.

**RSPA** - Forty employees of RSPA participate in at least one standards-developing group. These employees participate in 46 standards-developing groups.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The general consensus is that Circular A-119 is working effectively and that the use of voluntary standards can save both time and money for regulatory agencies. The only recommended change that was submitted came from the U.S. Coast Guard, which suggested that the circular be clarified, particularly with respect to section 12, to better explain whether reporting should be on a categorical or transactional basis.

**Coast Guard FY 1999 Report on Standard Policy Activities (OMB Circular A-119)**

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

There has been no change in Coast Guard participation from its FY 1998 report.
The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

In FY 1999, the Coast Guard has used 35 voluntary consensus standards based on the procedures set forth in section 11 (use of standards in regulations) of the Circular.

Regarding section 12 of the Circular, the Coast Guard’s Office of Procurement Management (G-CPM) reports that there is no database that can provide information on how Coast Guard procurement offices identify, manage, review, and use voluntary consensus standards in procurements. Plans are being developed to gather this information for future FYs.

The identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

No voluntary consensus standards have been substituted as a result of agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The Circular should be rewritten to make it easier to read and understand. More examples of voluntary consensus standards and voluntary consensus standards bodies should be given. The Circular should be clarified, with respect to section 12, to explain when reporting should be on a categorical or transactional basis.

As required by P.L. 104-113, identification of all instances when an agency used government-unique standards in lieu of a voluntary consensus standard (for each instance, include the agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

No government-unique standards were used in lieu of voluntary consensus standards during this reporting period.

Federal Transit Administration

Three employees of the Agency participate in the voluntary consensus standards development activities of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) of America, and the American Public Transit Association (APTA). Some of the current activities are: (1) development of Rail Transit Vehicle Interface Standards in association
with IEEE (five standards have been adopted so far), (2) development of technical specifications and procurement guidelines for transit buses in association with APTA, and (3) development of standards for the transit component of ITS. A modified version of J-1708, a standard of the Society of Automotive Engineers for information sharing in heavy trucks has been adopted as a standard by the transit industry for transit bus ITS application, and has also been submitted to the International Organization for Standardization for acceptance as an international standard.

The agency acts in the best interests of public transit agencies who use applicable standards in their procurements. The Agency itself does not build or operate transit systems.

Transit standards evolved over the course of time and the Agency has not promoted any government-unique standards. The five standards adopted by IEEE are expected to be used by the transit industry voluntarily.


No government-unique standards are promoted in lieu of voluntary consensus standards.
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (TREASURY)

The Department of the Treasury reports the following:

As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standards used):

Customs continues to support two government-unique standards, which are Customs and Trade Automated Interface Requirements (CATAIR) (Amendment 1, April 1996; Amendment 2, December 1996; Amendment 3, September 1997; and Amendment 4, 1998) and Customs Automated Manifest Interface Requirements (CAMIR) format (Amendment 1, April 1997; and Amendment 2, July 1998). CATAIR is used by the Customs brokerage industry and CAMIR is used by some parties in the transportation sector. The maintenance of the government-unique standards within Customs applications (the CATAIR and CAMIR formats), are at the request of the participating industry groups that use those standards.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

The Department used six voluntary consensus standards. In addition, an unknown number of voluntary consensus standards are used as a result of implementing commercial off-the-shelf hardware and software.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

No voluntary consensus standards have been substituted for government-unique standard as a result of our Department-wide review of existing standards.

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

The Department participated in 9 voluntary consensus standards bodies that accounted for approximately 19 employees participating.
An evaluation of the effectiveness of A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The Department believes that the guidelines prescribed in Circular A-119 are effective. Circular A-119 has placed the focus on using voluntary consensus standards as opposed to the development of government-unique standards. Wide use of voluntary consensus standards promotes the development of an increased number of standards compliant products facilitating the use of new technology and increasing flexibility to meet new requirements.
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (VA)

As required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, VA reports the following:

As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

None

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

36

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

None

The number of voluntary consensus standard bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

VA has 12 employees participating in 26 voluntary consensus standard bodies.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The Circular has no side effects to our present Department goal. VA accepts and conforms to the standards developed by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals Organization (JCAHO) for the VA health care system in order to obtain JCAHO certification of VA health care facilities. Standards as outlined in the accreditation program for hospitals, psychiatric facilities mental health centers, long-term and hospice programs, ambulatory health care facilities, community and nursing homes under VA contract, State home facilities, hospital-based care programs, and various JCAHO accreditation standards (National Fire Protection Association, Life Safety Code requirements, and other building, plumbing, electrical, mechanical, and
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equipment standards/requirements) continue to be utilized in the regulatory, contractual, and grant determinations executed by the Veterans Health Administration.
Appendix B:

Other Agency and Commission Reports
The nature of the activities funded by USAID greatly limits the Agency’s use of standards in its procurement. USAID procures mostly professional and technical services. To the extent that USAID uses standards for its procurement or financing of commodities, the standards are industry standards.

The Agency has not used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards.

The Agency has not used any voluntary consensus standards based on the procedures in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular.

N/A.

None.

No recommendations for changes to A-119.
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION (CPSC)

The Consumer Product Safety Act, as amended, requires the Commission to defer to issued voluntary standards, rather than promulgate mandatory standards, when the voluntary standards would eliminate or adequately reduce the risk of injury addressed and it is likely that there will be substantial compliance with the voluntary standards. In addition, the Commission is required, after any notice or advance notice of proposed rulemaking, to provide technical and administrative assistance to persons or groups who propose to develop or modify an appropriate voluntary standard. Additionally, the Commission is encouraged to provide technical and administrative assistance to groups developing product safety standards and test methods, taking into account Commission resources and priorities.

Since its inception in 1973, the Commission has promoted the development of voluntary product safety standards. Policy statements in support of voluntary standards were published by the CPSC in 1975 and 1978. These policy statements were updated in 1988 (16 U.S.C. 1031), and a staff directive on implementation of portions of these policy statements was promulgated in October 1989.

Since the principles set forth in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119 were published, they have been consistently supported by the Commission. The CPSC Voluntary Standards Coordinator also serves as CPSC's Standards Executive for the purpose of implementing OMB Circular A-119 and provides general oversight for staff involvement in existing standards projects. The Voluntary Standards Coordinator establishes agency views on standards issues and decisions through Commission response to staff briefing packages and recommendations. These views are reflected in the Commission's Operating Plan and Budget. Coordinating participation within the Commission and with others in voluntary standards activities is a responsibility of the Voluntary Standards Coordinator. Likewise, the Voluntary Standards Coordinator is responsible for meeting reporting requirements applicable to voluntary standards involvement of Commission staff.

The Commission had 25 employees directly participating in 65 voluntary standards development projects during fiscal year (FY) 1999. Since October 1, 1998, the Commission incorporated, by reference, portions of four voluntary standards in CPSC regulations. During FY 1999, there were no voluntary consensus standards that were substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review of existing standards.

During FY 1999, the Commission's efforts to enhance voluntary standards development was complemented by the overall Federal policy set forth in the Circular. CPSC involvement in voluntary standards activities was consistent with Circular A-119. There are no recommendations for changes in the Circular at this time.
For further information, please contact the CPSC's Standards Executive:

Mr. Colin B. Church  
Voluntary Standards and International Activities Coordinator  
U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission  
Room 600C  
Washington, D.C. 20207  
Telephone: 301-504-0554, ext. 2229  
Fax: 301-504-0407  
E-mail: cchurch@cpsc.gov
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)

EPA is pleased to forward the following report to the National Institute of Standards and Technology for submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and Congress. The report details EPA's fiscal year (FY) 1999 implementation of Public Law (P.L.) 103-114, section 12(d), The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), and the corresponding OMB Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards and Conformity Assessment Activities.

Highlights

In the FY 1999 reporting period, EPA promulgated 617 final rules, of which 207 (33.5 percent) referenced the NTTAA. In addition to these, the Agency published a variety of proposed rules, many of which also addressed compliance with the NTTAA. The total number of final and proposed rules that contained reference to the NTTAA was 326. This number reflects an increase of more than 300 percent over the 1998 report on the number of final and proposed rules referencing the NTTAA. This increase reflects both the increasingly successful implementation of the NTTAA and OMB Circular within the Agency and the use of the interim Rule Writer's Guide made available last year.

In FY 1999, 10 final rules and 6 proposed rules adopted (or proposed for adoption) more than 45 voluntary consensus standards. Within 10 final rules, EPA found 83 instances where 18 voluntary consensus standards were found to be impracticable for agency use. Not all adopted standards were new standards or newly referenced by EPA. In several cases, the references included standards that had been previously adopted by EPA in other rulemaking activities.

One of the most notable examples of Agency rulemaking integrating the spirit as well as the letter of the OMB Circular is found in the regulatory activities of the Office of Air and Radiation (OAR) in the area of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). The following notation occurs in several OAR Federal Register notices this year:

As part of a larger effort, the Agency is undertaking a project to cross-reference existing voluntary consensus standards in testing, sampling, and analysis, with current and future EPA test methods. When completed, this project will assist us in identifying potentially applicable voluntary consensus standards that can then be evaluated for equivalency and applicability in determining compliance with future regulations.
Required Reporting Elements under OMB Circular A-119

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is Agency participation, and the number of employees participating:

Currently, 197 EPA employees participate in 15 standards organizations, including:

- The American National Standards Institute (ANSI)
- The American Gas Association
- The American Petroleum Institute (API)
- The American Society of Quality Control (ASQ)
- The American Society for Mechanical Engineers (ASME)
- ASTM (formerly known as the American Society of Testing and Materials)
- The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)
- NSF International
- The Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE)
- The Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI)
- Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. (UL)
- The International Organization for Standardization (ISO)
- The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)
- NACE International (formerly National Association of Corrosion Engineers)
- Standard Methods (the American Water Works Association, the Water Environment Federation, and the American Public Health Association jointly publish Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater)

In addition to participation, EPA employees serve as officers on Boards and Committees. These include but are not limited to:

- Board of Directors, American National Standards Institute
- Technical Advisory Board, Society of Automotive Engineers
- Chairman’s Advisory Council, Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.
- ANSI Accredited Standards Committee Z-1 on Quality and Environmental Management
- American Society for Quality Standards Committee, Convener for revision of ANSI/ASQC E4-1994
- U.S. Technical Advisory Group to ISO Technical Committee 176 on Quality Management
- U.S. Technical Advisory Group to ISO Technical Committee 207 on Environmental Management
The number of voluntary consensus standards the Agency has used since October 1, 1998:

In FY 1999, EPA adopted or incorporated by reference greater* than 34 voluntary consensus standards in final rulemaking actions. In 1998, EPA proposed the adoption of more* than eight specific voluntary consensus standards in proposed rulemaking activities.

In 22 proposed and final rules in 1998, EPA requested comment on, or assistance in identifying, voluntary consensus standards.

* In several rules, EPA noted that the Agency was using, or continuing its use of, voluntary consensus standards included in cited tables of test methods and other standards in the Federal Register. These rules did not specify the exact number of newly added or proposed voluntary consensus standards. For this reason, it is difficult to provide a precise answer to this requirement in A-119 to identify the number of voluntary consensus standards the Agency uses each year.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an Agency review of existing standards:

In 1999, EPA did not specifically identify in its Federal Register publications situations where it substituted voluntary consensus standards for government-unique standards, but in seven proposed and final rules requested assistance in identification of any standards that would allow such substitution that the Agency could use in future rulemakings.

Agency use of government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

Within 10 final rules in FY 1999, excerpts of which follow starting on page B-8, in 83 instances, EPA rejected 18 existing voluntary consensus standards based on impracticability, citing issues such as lack of validation data or, in the case of one International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard (8178), based on the inability of the standard to accommodate broad application because the standard relies too heavily on reference test conditions. Because the test procedures in the regulations need to be used not only for certification, but also for production line testing, selective enforcement audits and in-use testing, they must be broadly based. The Agency determined that the ISO procedures are not sufficiently broadly usable in their current form and thus could not be adopted by reference.

There has been a dramatic increase in EPA's instances reported of government unique standards used in lieu of voluntary consensus standards from the previous report. This is due, in part, to two different phenomena. First, from 1997 to 1999, EPA put a series of tools in place that have improved its rule writers' ability to find and evaluate standards. Second, EPA's use of voluntary consensus standards cannot be analyzed by comparing
absolute numbers from year to year. Since the Agency's regulatory agenda varies annually in the number and kinds of regulations promulgated. Because of this fluctuation each year, the Agency's opportunity to use voluntary consensus standards in regulation will vary.

In one NESHAP rule, more than 12 of these standards were rejected in lieu of government-unique standards. EPA's reasoning and justification are consistent with the NTTAA. The Federal Register notice states:

Candidate voluntary consensus standards for materials analysis were identified for free-formaldehyde content. Consensus comments provided by industry experts were that the candidate standards did not meet industry materials analysis requirements. Therefore, EPA has determined these voluntary consensus standards are impractical for the mineral wool production NESHAP. The EPA, in consultation with the North American Insulation Manufacturers Association (NAIMA) has formulated an industry-specific materials analysis consensus standard for free-formaldehyde content, which is promulgated in this rule.

Excerpts from Preambles to Final Rules Published by EPA During FY 1999 Pertaining to Compliance with the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995
(Prepared as a Supplement to EPA's 1999 Report on The Implementation of The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act, Sec. 12(d), and OMB Circular A-119)


I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), P.L. 104-113 (March 7, 1996), directs all Federal agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus bodies. The NTTAA requires Federal agencies to provide Congress, through annual reports to OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This section summarizes the EPA's response to the requirements of the NTTAA for the analytical and test methods to be required by today's final rule.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted a search to identify voluntary consensus standards. The search identified 22 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods in this rule. However, after reviewing available standards, EPA determined that 14 of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring
emissions of the HAP or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data or other important technical and policy considerations. Eight of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that EPA plans to follow, review, and consider adopting at a later date.

One consensus standard, ASTM Z7420Z, is potentially practical for EPA use in lieu of EPA Method 18 (See 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A). At the time of EPA's search, the ASTM standard was still under development and EPA had provided comments on the method. The EPA also compared a draft of this ASTM standard to methods previously approved as alternatives to EPA Method 18 with specific applicability limitations. These methods, designated as ALT-017 and CTM-028, are available through EPA's Emission Measurement Center Internet site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/tmethods.html. The proposed ASTM Z7420Z standard is very similar to these approved alternative methods. When finalized and adopted by ASTM, the standard may be equally suitable for specific applications. However, this rule does not adopt the ASTM standard as it is not practical to do so until the potential candidate is final and EPA has reviewed the final standard. The EPA plans to continue to follow the progress of the standard and will consider adopting the ASTM standard at a later date.

This final rule requires standard EPA methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), P.L. 104-113 (March 7, 1996), directs all Federal agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus bodies. The NTTAA requires Federal agencies to provide Congress, through annual reports to OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This section summarizes the EPA's response to the requirements of the NTTAA for the analytical and test methods required by this final rule.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted a search to identify voluntary consensus standards. The search identified 16 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods. However, after reviewing available standards, the EPA determined that eight of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring
HAP or surrogate pollutant emissions subject to the emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data and other important technical and policy considerations. Seven of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that the EPA plans to follow, review, and consider adopting at a later date.

One consensus standard, ASTM Z7420Z, is potentially practical for EPA use in lieu of EPA Method 18 (See 40 CFR part 60, appendix A). At the time of the EPA's search, the ASTM standard was still under development and the EPA had provided comments on the method. The EPA also compared a draft of this ASTM standard to methods previously reviewed as alternatives to EPA Method 18 that were approved with specific applicability limitations. These methods are designated as ALT-017 and CTM-028 and available through EPA's Emission Measurement Center Internet site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/tmethods.html. The proposed ASTM Z7420Z standard is very similar to these approved alternative methods. When finalized and adopted by ASTM, the standard may be equally suitable for the same applications as the approved alternatives. However, this rule does not adopt the ASTM standard since it is not practical to do so until the potential candidate is final and the EPA has review the final standard. The EPA plans to continue to follow the progress of the standard and will consider adopting the ASTM standard at a later date.

Similarly, the Gas Research Institute has developed a sampling method for glycol dehydration units, the "Atmospheric Rich/Lean Method for Determining Glycol Dehydrator Emissions" (GRI-95/0368.1). The development of this procedure included a field evaluation program and technical review by the EPA. A report documenting this procedure has been available to the public from the GRI since 1996. This procedure provides a simpler, cheaper, and technically appropriate means of determining HAP emissions from glycol dehydration unit process vents when direct measurement is necessary. Consistent with the Agency's commitment to reduce costs to the private sector where technically feasible and in accordance with Clean Air Act requirements, the EPA has included the "Atmospheric Rich/Lean Method for Determining Glycol Dehydrator Emissions" as an alternative control device performance test procedure. This rule requires standard EPA methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), P.L. 104-113 (March 7, 1996), directs the EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (such as materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) which are
developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standard bodies. Where available and potentially applicable voluntary consensus standards are not used by EPA, the Act requires the Agency to provide Congress, through the OMB, an explanation for not using such standards. This section summarizes the EPA's response to the requirements of the NTTAA for the analytical test methods promulgated as part of this final rule.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted searches to identify voluntary consensus standards for the EPA's emissions sampling and analysis reference methods and industry recommended materials analysis procedures cited in this rule. Candidate voluntary consensus standards for materials analysis were identified for product loss on ignition (LOI), product density, and free formaldehyde content. Consensus comments provided by industry experts were that the candidate standards did not meet industry materials analysis requirements. Therefore, EPA has determined these voluntary consensus standards were impractical for the wool fiberglass manufacturing NESHAP. The EPA, in consultation with the North American Insulation Manufacturers Association (NAIMA), has formulated industry-specific materials analysis, consensus standards which are promulgated in this rule. *31708

The EPA search to identify voluntary consensus standards for the EPA's emissions sampling and analysis reference methods cited in this rule identified 17 candidate standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods. However, after reviewing available standards, EPA determined that 12 of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring emissions of the HAPs or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would be not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data and other important technical and policy considerations. Five of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that EPA plans to follow, review and consider adopting at a later date. This rule requires standard EPA emission test methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


Voluntary Consensus Standards

Comment: One commenter (IV-D-17) stated that EPA's actions (in developing and proposing the precursor to EPA Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy [FTIR] test method 320) directly conflict with the guidance of and directives of the 1995 National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119 because: (1) the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) FTIR consensus based test method is available, and (2) the EPA Emission Measurement Center (EMC) representatives were made aware of the development of the ASTM method and chose duplicative measures in developing and proposing the precursor to EPA FTIR test method 320. (The OMB Circular states specifically that "If a voluntary consensus standards body is in the process of developing or adopting a voluntary consensus standard that would likely be lawful and practical for an agency
to use, and would be developed on a timely basis, an agency should not be developing its own
government unique standard and instead should be participating in the activities of the voluntary
consensus standards body.
"

Response: The Agency has been actively developing extractive FTIR-based methods for HAPs
since 1992. Methods 320 and 321 are direct products of this long-term effort to apply an
innovative approach to emissions measurement in the form of extractive FTIR. The Agency has
tested these methods in the laboratory and in the field extensively (conducting testing at two
portland cement facilities), and has conducted multiple validation tests of these methods. The
Portland Cement Association (PCA), in representing various members of the regulated industry,
has conducted its own series of validation tests of these methods. Actually, Method 321 was
developed and validated by PCA, and has been adopted by the Agency as Method 321. Agency
personnel informed ASTM in 1996 that the Agency methods were in active development, and an
ASTM standard seemed redundant. Additionally, the ASTM standard has not undergone field
validation, which is essential in establishing the precision and accuracy of any test method. The
Agency has conducted a review of the ASTM method. While the ASTM method is in some ways
similar to Method 320, the ASTM method is not sufficiently detailed to document proper
application, and does not contain the quality assurance procedures the Agency requires in
compliance methods. Specifically, the ASTM method does not address specific calibration
transfer standards, nor does it address the preparation of reference spectra. Therefore, EPA has
determined that it is impractical to adopt the ASTM method at this time and is promulgating
Method 320.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) directs all
Federal agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities
unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary
consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling
procedures, and business practices) developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus
bodies. The NTTAA requires Federal agencies to provide Congress, through annual reports to
OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary
consensus standards.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted a search to identify voluntary consensus
standards. The search identified 21 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible
use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods. However, after reviewing available standards,
EPA determined that 14 of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring emissions
of the HAPs or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to
lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data and other important technical and policy
considerations. Six of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under
development that EPA plans to follow, review and consider adopting at a later date.
One consensus standard, ASTM D6216-98, appears to be practical for EPA use in lieu of EPA Performance Specification 1 (See 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B). On September 23, 1998, EPA proposed incorporating by reference ASTM D6216-98 under a separate rulemaking (63 FR 50824) that would allow broader use and application of this consensus standard. EPA plans to complete this action in the near future. For these reasons, EPA defers taking action in this rulemaking that would adopt D6216-98 in lieu of PS-1 requirements as it would be impractical for EPA to act independently from other rulemaking activity already undergoing notice and comment.

Additionally, EPA received comments that ASTM FTIR Standard D6348 should be used in lieu of EPA's proposed Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) emission test methods. EPA has determined for a number of reasons that the ASTM Standard D6348 is one of the 14 standards determined to be impractical to adopt for the purposes of this rulemaking. EPA review comments on ASTM Standard D6348 are included in the docket for this rulemaking and summarized in the response to comments section of this preamble. ASTM has also been advised of the reasons for impracticality and ASTM Subcommittee D22-03 is now undertaking a revision of the ASTM standard. Upon demonstration of technical equivalency with the EPA FTIR methods, the revised ASTM standard could be incorporated by reference for EPA regulatory applicability at a later date.

This rule requires standard EPA methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), directs all Federal agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) developed or adapted by one or more voluntary consensus bodies. The NTTAA requires Federal agencies to provide Congress, through annual reports to OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

Consistent with the requirements of the NTTAA, today's rulemaking incorporates the analytical methods of two consensus standard bodies. Instead of developing its own methods for determining the phosphate content of feedstocks to the processes covered by the standards, the Agency is incorporating by reference into today's rules certain analytical protocols of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists and of The Association of Florida Phosphate Chemists.
Also, consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted a search to identify voluntary consensus standards for emissions test methods. The search identified 17 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods. However, after reviewing available standards, EPA determined that 12 of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring emissions of the HAPs or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data and other important technical and policy considerations. Five of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that EPA plans to follow, review and consider adopting at a later date. This rule requires standard EPA methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), P.L. 104-113 (March 7, 1996), directs the EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (such as materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) which are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standard bodies. Where available and potentially applicable voluntary consensus standards are not used by EPA, the Act requires the Agency to provide Congress, through the OMB, an explanation for not using such standards. This section summarizes the EPA’s response to the requirements of the NTTAA for the analytical test methods promulgated as part of this final rule.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted searches to identify voluntary consensus standards for the EPA’s emissions sampling and analysis reference methods and industry recommended materials analysis procedures cited in this rule. Candidate voluntary consensus standards for materials analysis were identified for free-formaldehyde content. Consensus comments provided by industry experts were that the candidate standards did not meet industry materials analysis requirements. Therefore, EPA has determined these voluntary consensus standard are impractical for the mineral wool production NESHAP. The EPA, in consultation with the North American Insulation Manufacturers Association (NAIMA), has formulated an industry-specific materials analysis, consensus standard for free-formaldehyde content which is promulgated in this rule.

The EPA search to identify voluntary consensus standards for the EPA’s emissions sampling and analysis reference methods cited in this rule identified 17 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods. However, after reviewing available standards, EPA determined that 12 of the candidate consensus standards
identified for measuring emissions of the HAPs or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data and other important technical and policy considerations. Five of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that EPA plans to follow, review and consider adopting at a later date. This rule requires standard EPA emission test methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.


J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), P.L. 104-113 (March 7, 1996), directs all Federal agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus bodies. The NTTAA requires Federal agencies to provide Congress, through annual reports to OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This section summarizes the EPA's response to the requirements of the NTTAA for the analytical and test methods to be required by this final rule.

Consistent with the NTTAA, the EPA conducted a search to identify voluntary consensus standards. The search identified 15 voluntary consensus standards that appeared to have possible use in lieu of EPA standard reference methods in this rule. However, after reviewing available standards, EPA determined that eight of the candidate consensus standards identified for measuring emissions of the HAP or surrogates subject to emission standards in the rule would not be practical due to lack of equivalency, documentation, validation data or other important technical and policy considerations. Seven of the remaining candidate consensus standards are new standards under development that EPA plans to follow, review and consider adopting at a later date. *29439

One consensus standard, ASTM Z7420Z, is potentially practical for EPA use in lieu of EPA Method 18 (See 40 CFR part 60, appendix A). At the time of EPA's search, the ASTM standard was still under development and EPA had provided comments on the method. The EPA also compared a draft of this ASTM standard to methods previously approved as alternatives to EPA Method 18 with specific applicability limitations. These methods, designated as ALT-017 and CTM-028, are available through EPA's Emission Measurement Center Internet site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/tmethods.html. The proposed ASTM Z7420Z standard is very similar to these approved alternative methods. When finalized and adopted by ASTM, the standard may be equally suitable for specific applications. However, today's rule does not adopt the ASTM standard at this time as it is not practical to do so until the potential candidate is final and EPA
has reviewed the final standard. The EPA plans to continue to follow the progress of the standard and will consider adopting the ASTM standard at a later date.

This rule requires standard EPA methods known to the industry and States. Approved alternative methods also may be used with prior EPA approval.

8. *Phase 2 Emission Standards for New Nonroad spark-Ignition Nonhandheld Engines At or Below 19Kilowatts, 64 FR 15208, March 30, 1999.*

F. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law No. 104-113, Section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This final rule involves technical standards. While commenters suggested the use of ISO 8178 test procedures for measuring emissions, the Agency has decided not to rely on the ISO procedures in this rulemaking. The Agency has determined that these procedures would be impractical because they rely too heavily on reference testing conditions. Since the test procedures in these regulations need to be used not only for certification, but also for production line testing, selective enforcement audits, and in-use testing, they must be broadly based. In-use testing is best done outside tightly controlled laboratory conditions so as to be representative of in-use conditions. EPA has determined that the ISO procedures are not sufficiently broadly usable in their current form for this program, and therefore cannot be adopted by reference. EPA has instead chosen to continue to rely on the procedures outlined in 40 CFR Part 90. EPA is hopeful that future ISO test procedures will be developed that are usable for the broad range of testing needed, and that such procedures could then be adopted by reference.


D. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Under section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act ("NTTAA"), the Agency is required to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, business practices, etc.) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus
standards bodies. Where available and potentially applicable voluntary consensus standards are not used by EPA, the Act requires the Agency to provide Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, an explanation of the reasons for not using such standards.

Today's rule requires the use of previously approved technical standards for the measurement of turbidity. In previous rulemakings, EPA approved three methods for measuring turbidity in drinking water. Turbidity is a method-defined parameter and therefore modifications to any of the three approved methods requires prior EPA approval. One of the approved methods was published by the Standard Methods Committee of American Public Health Association, the American Water Works Association, and the Water Environment Federation, a voluntary consensus standard body. That method, Method 2130B is published in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (19th ed.). Standard Methods is a widely used reference which has been peer-reviewed throughout the scientific community. In addition to this voluntary consensus standard, EPA approved Great Lakes Instrument Method 2 as an alternate test procedure for the measurement of turbidity. Finally, the Agency approved a revised EPA Method 180.1 for turbidity measurement in August 1993 in Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples (EPA- 600/R-93-100).

In 1994, EPA reviewed and rejected an additional technical standard for the measurement of turbidity, the ISO 7027 standard, which measures turbidity at a higher wavelength than the approved test measurement standards. The ISO 7027 is an analytical method for the measurement of turbidity. ISO 7027 measures turbidity using either 90degrees scattered or transmitted light depending on the turbidity concentration evaluated. Although instruments conforming to ISO 7027 specifications are similar to the GLI instrument, only the GLI instrument uses pulsed, multiple detectors to simultaneously read both 90degrees scattered and transmitted light. EPA has no data upon which to evaluate whether the separate 90degrees scattered or transmitted light measurement evaluations according to the ISO 7027 method would produce results that are equivalent to results produced using GLI Method 2, Standard Method 2130B, or EPA Method 180.1.

Today's final rule also requires continuous individual filter monitoring for turbidity and requires PWSs to calibrate the individual turbidimeter according to the turbidimeter manufacturer's instructions. These calibration instructions may constitute technical standards as that term is defined in the NTTAA. EPA has looked for voluntary consensus standards with regard to calibration of turbidimeter. The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) is developing such voluntary consensus standards; however, there do not appear to be any voluntary consensus standards available at this time.


F. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 *56994 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This final rule involves technical standards. While commenters suggested the use of ISO 8178 test procedures for measuring emissions, the Agency has decided not to rely on these ISO procedures in this rulemaking. The Agency has determined that these procedures would be impracticable because they rely too heavily on reference testing conditions. Because the test procedures in these regulations need to represent in-use operation typical of operation in the field, they must be based on a range of ambient conditions. EPA has determined that the ISO procedures are not broadly usable in their current form, and therefore cannot be adopted by reference. EPA has instead chosen to continue to rely on the procedures outlined in 40 CFR Part 89. EPA is hopeful that future ISO test procedures will be developed that are usable for the broad range of testing needed, and that such procedures could then be adopted by reference. EPA also expects that any development of revised test procedures will be done in accordance with ISO procedures and in a balanced manner and thus include the opportunity for involvement of a range of interested parties (potentially including parties such as industry, EPA, state governments, and environmental groups) so that the resulting procedures can represent these different interests.

Evaluation of the effectiveness of OMB Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

EPA finds that the policies of the Circular work well, and does not recommend any changes at this time. However, EPA would welcome clarification on the issue of whether or not Departments and Agencies may use appropriated funds for membership in voluntary standards bodies. While it is implied, particularly in section 7, it may be helpful to provide specific language in future revisions.
EPA Standards-related Activities

EPA is integrating voluntary consensus standards into an increasingly broad area of activities. In some instances, this integration is possibly as important to the intent of the NTTAA and OMB Circular as EPA’s actual incorporation of standards into regulations. That is: EPA’s influence goes beyond the borders of regulation. By using existing voluntary standards in setting up excellence programs or engaging standards organizations to identify pollution prevention opportunities, EPA not only avoids re-creating the wheel, but leverages the interests, economics, and expertise of the entire community to reach environmental goals of the Administration.

The Office of Prevention Pesticides and Toxic Substances demonstrates this through the collaborative efforts between its Pollution Prevention Division and one U.S. standards developing organization (SDO), Underwriters Laboratories (UL). EPA and UL are working to develop standards that incorporate the best environmental information into product standards available for the general consumer and also government procurement. Another good example is found in the Office of Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) within the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. While the UST program used no voluntary standards in rulemaking, several ASTM standards were distributed to States and EPA Regional Offices with a memo noting the extent to which the standards were consistent with EPA’s goals and guidance.

In addition, EPA serves an important role in encouraging the use of international voluntary consensus standards in key organizations with which it works, including the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, the United Nations Environmental Program, and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. This encouragement is not only important to achieving environmental goals but means that U.S. business and industry stakeholders have a vested interest in, and opportunity to participate in, the international standards that reflect harmonization among governments as well as industry users.

Inside the Agency, EPA’s Voluntary Standards Network, which internally coordinates standards activities, is serving an expanded role of making standards activities in the Agency more visible to senior management. This is largely due to three factors: officially designated EPA Standards Coordinators from each Office and Region; the Administrator’s emphasis on seeking performance-based measures as a basis for regulatory compliance, and the visibility of standards issues in context of the trade agreements.

Additional specifics on some of these areas are provided below:

**Performance-Based Measurement System Initiative**

EPA’s Administrator Browner announced 2 years ago that EPA would, where possible, move from prescribed measurement methods to a flexible selection process whereby the regulated community might adopt alternative methods as long as they could be
demonstrated to meet agreed upon performance objectives. EPA is working with ASTM Committees through a facilitated, accelerated process to develop voluntary consensus standards that will meet the needs of the Administration and public confidence in this important area.

Representatives from EPA’s Offices of: Research and Development, Air and Radiation, Solid Waste, and Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, are working through ASTM to develop a standard concerning the demonstration of validity of analytical data. The purpose of this standard is to ensure the scientific and legal defensibility of the compliance monitoring data gathered by the regulated community. EPA hopes that once the standard is completed, the Agency will be able to cite it in the implementation of the Performance-Based Measurement System Initiative.

**Child Resistant Packaging (CRP) and Pesticides Registrants**

EPA uses ASTM D3475 Standard Classification of Child-Resistant Packages as part of the Agency’s identification of CRP. Additionally, EPA is constantly referring pesticide registrants to ASTM for standards related to their packaging (e.g., weathering of packages) as concerns CRP testing.

One area where EPA does not use a possible ISO standard is in the area of child-resistant packaging. EPA and the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) both have regulations internally consistent in the United States. There is no U.S. voluntary standard that might be applicable as a testing procedure, but there is an ISO standard. EPA, CPSC, and other U.S. representatives (manufacturers, testing organizations, etc.) to the ISO technical committee on this matter all agree that the ISO standard does not meet the stringency required and desired for United States purposes.

**Voluntary Standards, Sustainability, Products and Government Procurement**

An EPA employee chairs the task group at ASTM, a major SDO that is developing data collection standards to assess sustainability of building products.

EPA is charged, under Executive Order 13101 (1998 & 1993) with providing guidance to Federal agencies on Environmentally Preferable Purchasing. By working with the diverse sectors of the building industry that comprise ASTM’s Buildings Performance Committee to develop voluntary consensus standards on sustainability, EPA hopes to not only contribute to promoting environmentally preferable purchasing in construction, but also

---

6 The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is a standards developing body composed of national standards bodies representatives from around the world, as well as liaison and nonvoting members from a wide variety of international organizations. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) is the official U.S. member.
encourage improved environmental performance on the part of suppliers of building
products.

One of the tools EPA has developed to assist purchasers in implementing
environmentally preferable purchasing is a database of environmental standards and
environmental attributes. The database, which will be expanded in the next year, includes
product-specific information developed by government programs, both U.S. and
international as well as nongovernmental organizations. The standards included in the
database have been developed through a wide variety of processes, not limited to
voluntary consensus standards.

Office of Air: Voluntary Consensus Standards Save Cost to EPA

EPA’s Emission Measurement Center (EMC) within the Office of Air Quality Planning
Standards has interacted closely with ASTM subcommittee D22-03, which is responsible
for developing and approving new emission measurement techniques. An EMC
representative has joined D22-03 and worked closely with its task groups in developing
and modifying ASTM standards such that they can be incorporated by reference in
applicable Federal regulations. This cooperative effort between EPA and ASTM has had
immediate beneficial results in the recognition of many new emission test methods at
essentially zero cost to EPA.

EMC has several actions ongoing with ASTM. Two that were completed in 1999 are:
(1) EPA’s Performance Standard No.1 (PS-1) was formally revised to include ASTM
D6216-98, which greatly increases the stringency of quality assurance by manufacturers
of Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems; and (2) ASTM method measure total and
speciated mercury emissions at coal-fired utilities was adopted by EMC as the official
method under a special EPA-industry project involving 84 coal-fired utilities throughout
the nation.

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)

Although the Organization for Cooperation and Development is not, strictly speaking, a
standards developing body under the definition of the OMB Circular A-119, it has a Test
Guidelines Program (TGP) that develops consensus documents to which the United
States as a member of OECD subscribes. The Agency believes participation in the
development of the Test Guidelines (TGs) to be consistent with the intent of the Circular.

The OECD is a formal intergovernmental organization that currently consists of
29 member countries with advanced market economies producing and using over
75 percent of the world’s chemicals. The OECD’s TGP was established in 1981 with
their publication of 51 consensus TGs for the conduct of a variety of test methods to
assess the characteristics of chemicals (e.g., solubility in water, toxicity to rodents, to fish,
etc.). The purpose of the OECD's TGs is to help minimize nontariff trade barriers between member countries, avoid the duplication of testing, and to minimize the use of animals in testing. There are currently 95 OECD TGs.

The U.S. National Coordinator has a contact list of about 60 groups, organizations, and/or individuals with expertise who have indicated an interest in providing expert comments on some or all of the OECD TGs distributed to the United States. This list includes distributions to expert contacts at several Federal and State agencies, industry associations, chemical industry and testing laboratories and a variety of expert contacts at nongovernmental organizations such as academic institutions.

The U.S. comments received on any OECD TG are included in the U.S. responses to the OECD. The OECD's TGs are consensus documents and the U.S. comments, and those of all member countries, are considered in developing that consensus. The OECD's TGs are likely to be among the most widely used to test chemicals in the industrialized world.

**Participation in International Standards Development**

The Agency actively participates in a number of standards development and revision committees within ISO. Examples include ISO committees on environmental management systems, quality systems, and those dealing with air and water quality testing. In addition, personnel from the Office of Mobile Sources participate in two ISO technical committees relevant to the Office of Air. In the case of environmental management systems, the Agency has recently established a policy group to test-out and coordinate programs and pilot projects that research and evaluate the viability of ISO 14001 and other standards as the basis for effective environmental management, including compliance management.

What follows is a table which further explicates the voluntary consensus standards found impractical in EPA FY 1999 regulations.
### Table 1

**Identification of Specific Voluntary Consensus Standards (VCSs) & Determinations of Impracticability for Each VCS in EPA FY 1999 Rulemakings (by Rule)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
<th>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</th>
<th>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) The Pesticide Active Ingredient NESHAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications but without supporting data. Some of the variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA's Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4, but lacks quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Methods 1 and 2. However, this method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels). There is no EPA method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT 004), Conditional Test Method (CTM) 002, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E337-84 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)</td>
<td>EPA Method 4</td>
<td>This will only cover a small portion of what is acceptable for EPA Method 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10155:1995 - Stationary source emissions Automated monitoring of mass concentration of particles Performance characteristics, test methods and specifications.</td>
<td>EPA Performance Specification 11 - Particulate Matter Continuous Monitoring System</td>
<td>This international standard is only applicable on a site-specific basis by direct correlation with the manual method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a PM CEMS performance specification similar to EPA Performance Specification 11, but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA doesn’t have a final performance specification to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME PTC-38-80 R85 or C00049 - Determination of the concentration of particulate matter in gas streams</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Essentially duplicates EPA Methods 5 and 17, but too flexible. Allows more train configurations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3685/D3685M-98 - Standard Test method for sampling and determination of particulate matter in stack gases</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 5 and 17, but contains sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 5 (example: past experience indicates Alundum thimble probes leak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D4536-96 - Particulate (Particulate Matter) Modified High Volume</td>
<td>EPA Method 5</td>
<td>Basically, duplicate of EPA’s Conditional Test Method (CTM) 003 and has been validated for testing positive pressure fabric filters only. This is not a Method 5 alternative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D6060-96 - Practice for Sampling of Process Vents with a Portable Gas Chromatograph</td>
<td>EPA Method 18</td>
<td>This method lacks key quality control and assurance that is required for Method 18 - Example: lacks acceptance criteria for calibration, details on using other collection media (e.g., solid sorbents), and reporting/documentation requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREN 1911-1,2,3 - Stationary Source Emissions- Manual Method of Determination of HCl - Part 1: Sampling of Gases Ratified European Text - Part 2: Gaseous Compounds Absorption Ratified European Text - Part 3: Absorption Solutions Analysis and Calculation Ratified European Text</td>
<td>EPA Method 26, 26a</td>
<td>Part 3 of this method cannot be considered equivalent to EPA Method 26 or 26a. The sample absorbing solution (water) would be expected to capture both HCl and chlorine gas, if present, without the ability to distinguish between the two. Methods 26 and 26a use an acidified absorbing solution to first separate HCl and chlorine gas so that they can be selectively absorbed, analyzed and reported separately. In addition, the efficiency of absorbing the chlorine gas fraction would be expected to vary as the pH of the absorbing solution changed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Oil and Natural Gas Production and Natural Gas Transmission and Storage MACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Method</th>
<th>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</th>
<th>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications, but without supporting data. Some of the variability issues were not adequately addressed. This cannot be determined equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA s Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4, but lacks quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas-carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Methods 1 and 2. However, this method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels). There is no EPA method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10. Alternative Method (ALT) 004 and Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D6060-96 - Practice for sampling of Process Vents with a Portable Gas Chromatograph</td>
<td>EPA Method 18</td>
<td>This method lacks key quality control and assurance that is required for Method 18 - Example: lacks acceptance criteria for calibration, details on using other collection media (e.g., solid sorbents), and reporting/documentation requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) The Wool Fiberglass Production NESHAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
<th>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</th>
<th>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition limits, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications but without supporting data. Some variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA's Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4, but lacks in quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Methods 1 and 2. However, this method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels). Also, EPA does not have a method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D4536-96.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10, Alternative Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E337-84 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)</td>
<td>EPA Method 4</td>
<td>This will only cover a small portion of what is acceptable for EPA Method 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10155:1995 - Stationary source emissions. Automated monitoring of mass concentration of particles. Performance characteristics, test methods and specifications.</td>
<td>EPA Performance Specification 11 - Continuous Monitoring System for Particulate Matter</td>
<td>This international standard is only applicable on a site-specific basis by direct correlation with the manual method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a particulate matter (PM) CEMS performance specification similar to EPA Performance Specification (PS), but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA doesn’t have a final performance specification to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME PTC-38-80 R85 or C00049 - Determination of the concentration of particulate matter in gas streams</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Essentially duplicates Methods 5 and 17, but too flexible. Allows more train configurations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3685/D3685M-98 - Standard Test method for sampling and determination of particulate matter in stack gases</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 5 and 17, but contains sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 5 (example: past experience indicates Alundum thimble probes leak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D4536-96 - Particulate (Particulate Matter) Modified High Volume</td>
<td>EPA Method 5</td>
<td>Basically, duplicate of Conditional Test Method (CTM) 003 and has been validated for testing positive pressure fabric filters only. This is not an EPA Method 5 Alternative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(4) The Portland Cement MACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
<th>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</th>
<th>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications but without supporting data. Some of the variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA’s Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4, but lacks quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S Pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E337-84 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)</td>
<td>EPA Method 4</td>
<td>This will only cover a small portion of what is acceptable for EPA Method 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Performance Specification 11 - Particulate Matter Continuous Monitoring System</td>
<td>This international standard is only applicable on a site-specific basis by direct correlation with the method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a Particulate Matter (PM) CEMS performance specification similar to EPA Performance Specification (PS) 11, but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA does not have a final performance specification to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3685/D3685M-98 - Standard Test Method for sampling and determination of particulate matter in stack gases</td>
<td>EPA Method 5.17</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 5 and 17, but contains sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 5 (example: past experience shows Alundum thimble probes leak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D4536-96 - Particulate (Particulate Matter) Modified High Volume</td>
<td>EPA Method 5</td>
<td>Basically, duplicate of Conditional Test Method (CTM) 003 and has been validated for testing positive pressure fabric filters <em>only</em>. This is not a Method 5 alternative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEN EN 1948-1,2,3 (European Committee for Standardization) - Determination of the mass concentration of PCDD S/PCDF S - Part 1,2,3</td>
<td>EPA Method 23</td>
<td>Provides sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 23.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM(Z6263Z) - Draft Method 320</td>
<td>EPA Method 320 (FTIR)</td>
<td>See attached comments provided to ASTM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(5) The Phosphoric Acid Phosphate Fertilizer MACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
<th>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</th>
<th>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications, but without supporting data. Some of the variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA s Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4, but lacks detailed quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Method 1 and 2. This method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels). Also, there is no EPA method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method s 3a, 6c, 7c, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, and Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E337-84 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)</td>
<td>EPA Method 4</td>
<td>This will only cover a small portion of what is acceptable for EPA Method 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10155:1995 - Stationary source emissions Automated monitoring of mass concentration of particles Performance characteristics, test methods and specifications.</td>
<td>EPA Performance Specification 11 - Particulate Matter Continuous Monitoring System</td>
<td>This international standard is only applicable on a site-specific basis by direct correlation with the manual method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a PM CEMS performance specification similar to EPA’s Performance Specification (PS) 11, but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA doesn’t have a final performance specification to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME PTC-38-80 R85 or C00049 - Determination of the concentration of particulate matter in gas streams</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Essentially duplicates Methods 5 and 17, but too flexible. Allows more train configurations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3685/D3685M-98 - Standard Test method for sampling and determination of particulate matter in stack gases</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 5 and 17, but contains sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 5 (example: past experience indicates Alundum thimble probes leak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D4536-96 - Particulate (Particulate Matter) Modified High Volume</td>
<td>EPA Method 5</td>
<td>Basically, duplicate of Conditional Test Method (CTM) 003 and has been validated for testing positive pressure fabric filters only. This is not an EPA Method 5 alternative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) The Mineral Wool Production NESHAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition limits, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications but without supporting data. Some variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91 - Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Covers EPA’s Part 60 Methods (1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4), but lacks in quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Methods 1 and 2. However, this method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels). EPA does not have a method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D4536-96.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, Alternative Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E337-84 (Reapproved 1996) - Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)</td>
<td>EPA Method 4</td>
<td>This will only cover a small portion of what is acceptable for EPA Method 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10155:1995 - Stationary source emissions. Automated monitoring of mass concentration of particles - Performance characteristics, test methods and specifications.</td>
<td>EPA Performance Specification 11 - Continuous Monitoring System for Particulate Matter</td>
<td>This international standard is only applicable on a site-specific basis by direct correlation with the manual method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a particulate matter (PM) CEMS performance specification similar to EPA Performance Specification (PS) 11, but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA does not have a final performance specification to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME PTC-38-80 R85 or C00049 - Determination of the concentration of particulate matter in gas streams</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Essentially duplicates Methods 5 and 17, but too flexible. Allows more train configurations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3685/D3685M-98 - Standard Test method for sampling and determination of particulate matter in stack gases</td>
<td>EPA Method 5, 17</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Methods 5 and 17, but contains sampling options beyond that which would be considered acceptable for EPA Method 5 (example: past experience indicates Alundum thimble probes leak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D4536-96 - Particulate (Particulate Matter) Modified High Volume</td>
<td>EPA Method 5</td>
<td>Basically, duplicate of Conditional Test Method (CTM) 003 and has been validated for testing positive pressure fabric filters only. This is not an EPA Method 5 Alternative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Polyether Polyols Production NESHAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3464-96 - Standard Test Method Average Velocity in a Duct Using a Thermal Anemometer</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>There is no EPA Method to compare this to. Applicability specifications are not clearly defined (example: range of gas composition, T limits). It appears to have the correct calibration procedures and specifications but without supporting data. Some variability issues were not adequately addressed. EPA cannot call this equivalent to EPA Method 2 without supporting data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3154-91- Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, 4</td>
<td>Appears to cover EPA’s Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 2c, 3, 3b, and 4, but lacks quality assurance and quality control requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM 3796-90 (Reapproved 1994) - Standard Practice for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes</td>
<td>EPA Method 2</td>
<td>This is a very good detailed procedure for calibrating Type S pitot tubes, but it is not a complete method alternative to EPA Method 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9096:1992 - Determination of concentration and mass flow rate of particulate matter in gas carrying ducts - Manual gravimetric method.</td>
<td>EPA Method 1, 2, 5</td>
<td>Some portions of this method relate to EPA Methods 1 and 2. This method will not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5 (example: there is no temperature control on the filter which is exposed to ambient levels.) There is no EPA method to compare this to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC 19-10-1981 or ASME C00031 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses</td>
<td>EPA Method 3, 6, 7, 15</td>
<td>Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers parts of EPA Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source Emissions: Sampling for the automated determination of gas concentrations</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D5835-95 - Standard practice for sampling stationary source emissions for automated determination of gas concentration.</td>
<td>EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10</td>
<td>Similar to EPA Method 3a, 6c, 7c, 10, Alternative Test Method (ALT) 004, Conditional Test Method (CTM) 022, but lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D6060-96 Practice for Sampling of Process Vents with a Portable Gas Chromatography</td>
<td>EPA Method 18</td>
<td>This method lacks key quality control and assurance that is required for Method 18 - Example: lacks acceptance criteria for calibration, details on using other collection media (e.g., Solid sorbents), and reporting/documentation requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
<td>Similar EPA Standard Reference Method</td>
<td>EPA Comments on Voluntary Consensus Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(8) New Nonroad Spark-Ignition Nonhandheld Engines At or Below 19 Kilowatts</strong></td>
<td>ISO 8178</td>
<td>EPA Procedures Outlined in 40 CFR Part 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(9) Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment</strong></td>
<td>ISO 7027</td>
<td>GLI Method 2, Standard Method 2130B, EPA Method 180.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(10) Nonroad Diesel Engines</strong></td>
<td>ISO 8178</td>
<td>EPA Procedures Outlined in 40CFR Part 89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Most voluntary consensus standard searches were conducted through the Enhanced National Standards Systems Network (NSSN) Database which is maintained by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The voluntary consensus standards identified through these searches and via other means were reviewed to determine if they could be used in lieu of EPA's standard reference methods or government-unique standards.
The Commission has not used or adopted any government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards since October 1, 1998.

The Commission has not used or adopted any voluntary consensus standards since October 1, 1998.

No voluntary consensus standards have replaced government-unique standards as a result of Agency review of existing standards since October 1, 1998.

FCC participates in a variety of organizations that develop telecommunications standards. The actual level of participation with each organization varies depending on the need for Commission involvement and importance of the work relative to our objectives. The Commission presently has approximately 50 employees involved in more than 24 standards bodies and approximately 100 subgroups within these bodies. The Commission uses voluntary standards in several different ways:

- to satisfy industry and user requirements where it appears that mandatory standards are unnecessary. Examples include telephone industry standards for network protocols and interfaces, International Special Committee on Radio Interference standards to control radio emission from automobiles, Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA) standards for digital cellular radio protocols, and TIA/T1 standards for protocols in the personal communications service.

- to correct problems that might otherwise require regulation. For example, the Commission has strongly encouraged compliance with Electronics Industry Association (EIA) standards on television susceptibility to interference rather than moving swiftly to mandatory regulations. It has worked with the industry and local governments to develop measurement standards for testing the signal quality of cable systems, and is working with TIA to encourage development and voluntary implementation of a standard for telephone immunity to interference.

- as the basis for mandatory requirements, either by incorporating voluntary standards by reference, or including the normative portion of the standard in the FCC rules. Examples include American National Standards Institute (ANSI) measurement procedures for radio noise emitted by digital devices; ANSI/EIA standards on AM broadcast transmission specifications; EIA standards for telephone compatibility with hearing aids; International Telecommunications Union Radiocommunications Standardization Sector recommendations on digital selective equipment for use in ship and cost stations; EIA
task force recommendations on standards for displaying closed-captioning information on television receivers, and an EIA/TIA standard for protection of microwave systems from interference from personal communications systems.

The Commission continues to increase its use of voluntary standards. In many instances, the Commission has chosen not to implement regulations (or more detailed regulations) because adequate voluntary industry standards already exist or are under development. For example, the regulations for Personal Communications Service do not include transmission protocol standards because industry has voluntarily developed these standards. In other instances where the adoption of a standard is, or may be, in the public interest, the Commission has attempted to use voluntary standards whenever possible. For example, the Commission is allowing the industry to establish a spectrum etiquette policy for devices operating at millimeter wavelengths and are working with and looking to industry to develop standards for the transmission of digital radio. In addition, the Commission regularly works close with industry through the negotiated rulemaking process to resolve technical compatibility issues.

The Commission recognizes the benefits of using voluntary consensus standards when applicable and endeavors to comply with the guidance provided in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119 and the mandates of Public Law 104-113. The Commission’s current standards Executive is:

Dale N. Hatfield  
Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
Telephone (202) 418-2470
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION (FEMA)

As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

FEMA continues to use Military Standard (MIL-STD) 188.141B for HF radio, although the agency is working on a voluntary standard for transmitting e-mail via HF radio if landlines are not available.

Developers of the National Emergency Management Information System used and continue to use MIL-STD 498, Software Development and Documentation; MIL-STD 490A, Specification Practices; MIL-STD 1521B, Technical Reviews and Audits for Systems, Equipment and Computer Programs; and MIL-STD 2168, Defense System Software Quality Program, et al. The Agency used these standards as part of a large project started in 1995. At that time, the Agency wanted to use standards with which contractors were familiar. A solid, proven standard that worked and would keep costs in line.

Number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

- All desktop computers are configured with the TCP/IP and the IPX and SPX protocols.
- FEMA makes extensive use of Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standards.
- Real audio player is used for voice messages.
- Norton anti-virus and other generally available standards are used extensively.
- Asynchronous transfer mode is being implemented as part of the upgrade for the FEMA Switched Network.
- Digital Line Graph Level 3 as the standard data model for the distribution and storage of digital flood insurance rate map products.
- Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) as the standard for FEMA’s Web site; discussion is underway to replace HTML with Extensible Markup Language.
- Multi-purpose Internet Mail Extension is used to encode e-mail attachments. FEMA uses standard protocols to run the e-mail operations.
- Portable Document Format is used to retrieve and post formatted documents between differing computing environments.
Visio is the Agency’s standard for automated visual graphics.

Identification of voluntary standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

Voluntary standards are preferred, but because FEMA is a small agency, no formal review has been imposed on the program directors.

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

FEMA participates in the HF industry association working group to resolve HF standards problems, as well as the HF e-mail working group.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The use of consensus standards is official FEMA policy and the mainstay of the information technology architecture.
GSA has 49 employees participating in 79 voluntary consensus standards bodies. The number of employees increased slightly over the previous year, while the number of standards bodies decreased as the Agency became more selective in its participation. GSA continues to expand its emphasis on the procurement of commercial off-the-shelf products and services.

As a result of the review of existing standards, the following voluntary standards have been substituted for government-unique standards. Acronyms are spelled out following this list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Standard</th>
<th>Government Standard *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANSI B212.15</td>
<td>GGG-D-650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI Z89.1</td>
<td>A-A-2269 and A-A-2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI Z89.2</td>
<td>A-A-2271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME A112.18.1M</td>
<td>A-A-240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D98</td>
<td>A-A-169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D458</td>
<td>A-A-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D632</td>
<td>A-A-1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGA Std. G-6.2</td>
<td>A-A-2869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR 6031</td>
<td>A-A-2079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMVSS No. 125</td>
<td>A-A-2128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA Std. 2140</td>
<td>A-A-2897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFPA Std.</td>
<td>A-A-2156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes Federal specifications, standards, and commercial item descriptions.

Acronyms

ANSI  American National Standards Institute
ASME  American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM  American Society for Testing and Materials
CGS   Compressed Gas Association
FMR   Factory Mutual Research
GPA   Gas Processors Association
NFPA  National Fire Protection Association
The Agency has no comments or recommendations for changes concerning Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119.

No government-unique standards are being used in lieu of existing voluntary standards.
The GPO utilizes the following internally-developed standards on a regular basis for the preparation and development of printing and microform contracts. The information contained in these standards is unique to GPO and cannot be found in any other voluntary consensus standards.

Quality Assurance Through Attributes Program for Printing and Binding, GPO Publication 310.1, Effective May 1979 (Revised April 1996)

Quality Assurance Through Attributes Program for Microforms, GPO Publication 310.3, Effective August 31, 1988

Quality Assurance Through Attributes Program for Composition, GPO Publication 310.4, December 1985

GPO Guidelines for Specifying Quality and Determining Compliance of MICR, OCR, and OMR, Quality Assurance Through Attributes Program, GPO Publication 310.5, January 1993

GPO has used 469 voluntary consensus standards since October 1, 1998.

GPO has not substituted any voluntary consensus standards for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review.

The number of standards bodies in which GPO participates:

American National Standards Institute - one employee

Association for Information and Image Management - two employees

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119 is effective in that it advocates use of existing resources rather than inefficiently re-inventing the wheel. No recommendations for changes.
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA)


The NASA Chief Engineer is the NASA Standards Executive. Policy level issues are coordinated through NASA’s Engineering Management Council (EMC), an Agency-wide group of senior management officials from NASA’s Engineering and Safety and Mission Assurance (S&MA) functions. The Chief Engineer has overall responsibility for administration of the NASA Technical Standards Program. Implementation and management responsibility for the NASA Technical Standards Program has been assigned to the Marshall Space Flight Center, which chairs an Agency-wide Engineering Standards Steering Council responsible for procedures, coordination of internal document development, and adoption of voluntary consensus standards.

NASA’s use of technical standards is for internal engineering and procurement purposes. The Agency has no regulatory responsibilities and therefore has chosen a categorical or standards management system approach for reporting, which is an option provided in OMB Circular A-119. The NASA Technical Standards Management System currently contains listings of NASA Preferred Technical Standards, lists of NASA participants in voluntary consensus standards activities, and extensive links to other sources of technical standards. The home page for the NASA Technical Standards Management System can be found on the Internet at <http://standards.msfc.nasa.gov>.

As required by P.L.104-113, identification of all instances when the Agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include Agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

Because NASA uses the categorical method of reporting, use of government-unique standards is reported in terms of additions to the NASA Technical Management System, as opposed to tracking use in individual procurement transactions. During 1999, NASA has developed 11 internal standards, all of which were either unique to NASA needs or were internal procedures for program management or for the implementation of standards.
The number of voluntary consensus standards the Agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

In 1998, NASA began an intensive effort to identify voluntary consensus standards currently being used on NASA programs and to review them as candidates for formal adoption. Since October 1, 1998, NASA has identified an additional 430 voluntary consensus standards products based on current use by one or more NASA installations. These are now in the final stages of the review process for formal adoption by NASA. This will bring the total number of voluntary consensus standards the Agency has adopted to 1,032. Approximately 74 percent of the voluntary consensus standards adopted are in the area of materials and processes specifications. The adoptions were based on staff recommendations, concurrence of the NASA Engineering Standards Steering Council, and formal approval by the NASA EMC and the NASA Standards Executive. There are 201 voluntary consensus standards identified by the Office of Safety and Mission Assurance called out in existing S&MA documents previously approved through the S&MA process. This list of standards products is being reviewed for inclusion as NASA Preferred Technical Standards.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an Agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

There is a two-phase effort underway for identification and substitution of government-unique standards. The first phase involved an extensive review of Government standards currently in use, including both NASA internal technical standards and standards of other Government agencies, such as the Department of Defense. The objective of this review is to identify all Government standards used across the Agency for inclusion in the NASA Technical Standards Management System on an interim basis. So far, 190 military specifications and standards have been identified and are now in the final stages of the review process for NASA adoption. The second phase is to identify which government-unique technical standards in the system can be eliminated or replaced with voluntary consensus standards. There were eight data communications standards that were converted in 1999 from international intergovernmental standards to International Organization for Standardization standards. The Agency will continue to identify and screen its internal standards. Completion of this review is a major objective for the Technical NASA Standards Program during fiscal year (FY) 2000.
The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is Agency participation, as well as the number of Agency employees participating:

In FY 1999, NASA had 145 employees participating in 45 voluntary consensus standards developing bodies, both domestic and international. This is approximately 2 percent less than reported in FY 1998, largely due to corrections that deleted the names of employees who were members of professional societies but not directly involved in the development of standards. The relative constancy of the number of participants was remarkable given that the total turnover of participants in the past year was approximately 43 percent.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

OMB Circular A-119 continues to provide stimulus for NASA's effort to improve its technical standards management system, enhance the use of voluntary consensus standards products, and challenge the need for NASA-unique technical standards requirements. It is an integral part of the NASA Technical Standards Program. It is an effective guideline to implement the law and NASA has no recommendations for any changes.
The NARA continues to be active in the area of voluntary standards. Based on the reporting requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, NARA submits the following report:

As required by Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards:

While NARA has adopted many voluntary standards, we are using a government-unique standard from the U.S. Marshal Service because there is no suitable voluntary consensus standard to classify and secure government facilities. The U.S. Marshal Service security guidelines are from the 1995 Vulnerability Assessment of Federal Facilities and related Interagency Security Committee implementation guidance.

During the reporting period, NARA endorsed the Department of Defense Standard 5015.2, Design Criteria for Electronic Records Management Software Applications, for use across the Federal Government as one possible approach to managing electronic records. There are currently no voluntary consensus standards in place that address this issue.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

During fiscal year 1999, the agency published a proposed rule relating to the operation of records centers. In this regulation, NARA incorporates, by reference, 22 voluntary consensus standards. This regulation was published as a final rule on December 2, 1999.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular:

No new voluntary consensus standards were adopted for use during the reporting period.
The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

There are a total of eight NARA employees actively involved in a variety of voluntary consensus standards organizations, committees, and subcommittees, either as official NARA representatives or alternates. They serve on the following entities:

- American Society of Testing and Materials
- American National Standards Institute
- Association for Information and Image Management
- National Fire Protection Association
- National Information Standards Organization
- Photographic and Imaging Manufacturers Association
- Audio Engineering Society
- Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

NARA supports the policy of OMB Circular A-119 and will continue to adopt voluntary consensus standards in our policies and regulations.
The required information as outlined in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119 follows:

NSF has not used any government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards during this reporting period.

The number of voluntary consensus standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998 is zero.

No voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of agency review under section 15b(7) of the Circular.

There are three voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, with three employees participating.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the guidelines in section 7 of the proposed revision of the Circular and recommendations for any changes.

The proposed guidelines allow appropriate agency participation in standards activities. No changes are recommended.
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC)

The NRC has been an active participant in the development and use of consensus standards since its establishment in 1975. The Commission’s Strategic Assessment and Rebaselining Initiative in 1996 further increased NRC’s focus on the use of standards. For nuclear reactor and nuclear materials safety, the strategy is to increase the involvement of licensees and others in the NRC regulatory process consistent with Public Law (P.L.) 104-113, National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995. To do this, NRC will continue to encourage industry to develop codes, standards, and guides that NRC can endorse and the industry can carry out.

In fiscal year (FY) 1999, NRC took several actions to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of our process for implementing P.L. 104-113 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards and in Conformity Assessment Activities. The NRC staff used information gained from the stakeholder meeting it held in Chicago in September 1998, to prepare a Recommendation to the Commission to develop guidance for staff use when participating in the development and utilization of consensus standards. The Commission supported the staff Recommendation. In May 1999, the NRC held a follow-up to the Chicago meeting to focus on communications with standards developing organizations (SDOs). This meeting demonstrated the value of bringing representatives from different SDOs and the NRC staff together to discuss the current needs, priorities, and constraints of each organization. Based on the success of this meeting, future meetings will be held periodically. The next meeting is scheduled for January 2000.

During the period for this annual report, the NRC staff prepared a management directive to provide direction for staff to support the NRC standards strategy. The management directive defines NRC organizational responsibilities for standards activities and provides direction for staff participation in the development and use of consensus standards. Specifically, the management directive provides direction for identifying and prioritizing needed new and revised technical standards, selecting and nominating staff as authorized agency representatives on SDO committees, and coordinating standards activities with SDOs and other stakeholders. It provides direction for identifying and prioritizing standards for timely endorsement, annual reporting,


\(^8\)Staff Requirements, SECY-99-029, February 17, 1999.

taking exception to using a consensus standard, and monitoring and assessing the NRC standards program. The management directive requires periodic training of staff involved with standards activities to provide updates on Federal requirements for standards development and to discuss lessons learned.

The NRC response to the reporting provisions of OMB Circular A-119 is as follows:

Identification of all instances when the agency used government-unique standards in lieu of voluntary consensus standards (for each instance include agency rationale for such use, as well as the specific government-unique standard used):

No government-unique standards were used by NRC in lieu of existing voluntary consensus standards.

The number of voluntary standards the agency has used since October 1, 1998, based on the procedures set forth in sections 11 and 12 of the Circular:

During this reporting period, seven consensus standards were incorporated by reference into NRC regulations. Six American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) standards were incorporated by reference into 10 CFR 50.55a, Codes and Standards (see table below for a list of the standards). During proposed rulemaking, the proposed uses of the ASME standards were identified and, in some instances, NRC defined exceptions or supplemental requirements. The public comment period provided the opportunity for stakeholders to comment, which resulted in a revision to some of the NRC defined exceptions and supplemental requirements. In all instances, the six consensus standards provide the basis for the NRC regulation. In addition, one Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers standard was incorporated by reference into 10 CFR 50.55a(h), Protection Systems, to supplement the existing reference (see table).

The NRC also endorsed 30 standards in three final regulatory guides and eight standards in one final NUREG (see table for identification of these standards with the specific method of endorsement).

Final direction to address rulemaking (section 11 of the Circular) and procurement (section 12) activities was developed during this reporting period. Proposed

---

10 NRC regulatory guides provide methods acceptable to the NRC staff for meeting NRC regulations.

11 NUREG-1556, which provides consolidated guidance for material licensees.

12 See Footnote 10.
rulemakings that have incorporated previous interim guidance to implement section 11 procedures have not completed final rulemaking.

Identification of voluntary consensus standards that have been substituted for government-unique standards as a result of an agency review under section 15(b)(7) of the Circular:

Generic Letter 90-05, Guidance for Performing Temporary Non-Code Repair of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 Piping, December 1994, provides guidance that NRC staff consider in evaluating relief requests submitted by licensees for temporary non-Code repairs of Code Class 1, 2, and 3 piping. ASME Section XI Code Cases N-513, Evaluation Criteria for Temporary Acceptance of Flaws in Class 3 Piping, and N-523-1, Mechanical Clamping Devices for Class 2 and 3 Piping, have been incorporated by reference into 10 CFR 50.55a to allow licensees to substitute applicable related provisions for temporary non-Code repairs in these Code cases for the guidance in Generic Letter 90-05.

The number of voluntary consensus standards bodies in which there is agency participation, as well as the number of agency employees participating:

During FY 1999, 141 NRC staff participated on 18 SDOs on a total of 267 standards writing, consensus, and board-level committees.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of Circular A-119 policy and recommendations for any changes:

The policy guidelines provided in OMB Circular A-119 for participating in voluntary consensus standards bodies and using voluntary consensus standards are generally consistent with longstanding NRC practices. The staff believes that these guidelines provide appropriate direction and encouragement for Federal agencies to develop internal agency-wide guidelines to implement P.L. 104-113 and OMB Circular A-119. These guidelines also provide sufficient and reasonable flexibility for each agency to make an independent case-by-case determination as to the usability of a particular standard within that agency's scope and responsibility.
# Standards Endorsed by NRC

**October 1, 1998 - September 30, 1999**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDO¹</th>
<th>Standard Identifier</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Method of Endorsement²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-523-1</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Mechanical Clamping Devices for Class 2 and 3 Piping</td>
<td>10 CFR 50.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case OMN-1</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for Preservice and Inservice Testing of Certain Electric Motor-Operated Valve Assemblies in Light-Water-Reactor Power Plants</td>
<td>10 CFR 50.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Criteria for Safety Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations</td>
<td>10 CFR 50.55a(h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-60-5</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Material for Core Support Structures (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-62-7</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Internal and External Valve Items, Class 1, 2, and 3 (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-71-16</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Additional Materials for Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports Fabricated by Welding (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-122-2</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Procedure for Evaluation of the Design of Rectangular Cross Section Attachments on Class 1 Piping (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-249-13</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Additional Material for Subsection NF, Classes 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-318-5</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Procedure for Evaluation of the Design of Rectangular Cross-Section Attachments on Class 2 or 3 Piping (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-389-1</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for Repairs, Replacements, or Modifications (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDO¹</td>
<td>Standard Identifier</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Method of Endorsement²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-392-2</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Procedure for Evaluation of the Design of Hollow Circular Cross-Section Welded Attachments on Classes 2 and 3 Piping (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-408-3</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for Examination of Class 2 Piping (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-409-3</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Procedure and Personnel Qualification Requirements for Ultrasonic Detection and Sizing of Flaws in Piping Welds (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-416-1</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Alternative Pressure Test Requirements for Welded Repairs or Installation of Replacement Items by Welding, Class 1, 2, and 3 (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-441-1</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Use of 20Cr-18Ni-6Mo (Alloy UNS S31254) Fittings, Class 2 and 3 Construction (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-474-2</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Design Stress Intensities and Yield Strength Values for UNS N06690 With a Minimum Specified Yield Strength of 35 ksi, Class 1 Components (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-492-1</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Grade 9 Titanium Alloy, Class 1, 2, and 3 (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>Code Case N-497-1</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Use of Fe-Ni-Cr-Mo-N (CN-3MN) Cast Materials, Class 2 and 3 Construction (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-505</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for the Examination of Butt Welds Used as Closure Welds for Electrical Penetration Assemblies in Containment Structures (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-509</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for the Selection and Examination of Class 1, 2, and 3 Integrally Welded Attachments (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-510</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Borated Stainless Steel for Class CS Core Support Structures and Class 1 Components (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-511</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Design Temperature for Atmospheric and 0 - 15 PSI Storage Tanks (Section II, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-512</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Assessment of Reactor Vessels With Low UpperShelf Charpy Impact Energy Levels</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-514</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-515</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Class 1 Mechanical Joint Pressure Test (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-516</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Underwater Welding (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-520</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for Renewal of N-Type Certificates for Plants Not in Active Construction (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-521</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Alternative Rules for Deferral of Inspections of Nozzle-to-Vessel Welds, Inside Radius Sections, and Nozzle-to-Safe End Welds of a Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) Vessel (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-522</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Pressure Testing of Containment Penetration Piping (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDO¹</td>
<td>Standard Identifier</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Method of Endorsement²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-524</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Alternative Examination Requirements for Longitudinal Welds in Class 1 and 2 Piping (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-525</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Design Stress Intensities and Yield Strength Values for UNS N06690 with a Minimum Specified Yield Strength of 30 ksi, Class 1 Components (Section III, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-537</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Location of Ultrasonic Depth-Sizing Flaws (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME</td>
<td>N-541</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Alternative Requirements for Performance Demonstration in Accordance with Appendix VIII, Supplements 4 and 6 (Section XI, Division 1)</td>
<td>R.G. 1.147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ SDO: Standards Developing Organization  
ASME: American Society of Mechanical Engineers  
HPS: Health Physics Society  
IEEE: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers  
IAEA: International Atomic Energy Agency

²  
10 CFR 50.55a, Codes and Standards, September 1999  
10 CFR 50.55a(h), Protection Systems, January 1999  
R.G. 1.84: Regulatory Guide 1.84, Design and Fabrication Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section III, Division 1, Revision 31, May 1999  
R.G. 1.147: Regulatory Guide 1.147, Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section XI, Division 1, Revision 12, May 1999  
NUREG-1556: Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licensees (Program-specific guidance in 18 volumes), Volume II, April 1999  

The table above lists various standards and their corresponding methods of endorsement. Each entry includes the Standards Developing Organization (SDO), the standard identifier, the year of publication, the title of the standard, and the method of endorsement. The notes provide additional context and references for the standards listed.
Appendix C:

Charter of the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CHARTER
OF THE
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS POLICY

Establishment

1. The Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (herein after referred to as the Committee) is established to advise the Secretary of Commerce and the heads of other Federal agencies in matters relating to standards policy.


3. The Committee reports to the Secretary of Commerce through the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Purpose

The purpose of the Committee is to ensure effective participation by the Federal Government in domestic and international standards activities and to promote the adherence to uniform policies by Federal agencies in the development and use of standards. Well-considered Federal policies reflecting the public interest can expedite the development and adoption of standards that stimulate competition, promote innovation, and protect the public safety and welfare. The establishment and application of appropriate standards for the characteristics or performance of goods, processes, and services can contribute significantly to national and international prosperity, economic growth, and public health and safety. The establishment of such standards can also further national goals for environmentally sound and energy efficient materials, products, systems, services, or practices. Heightened national and international awareness of the importance of standards activities, as reflected by enactment of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-113, signed into law March 7, 1996), and recommendations presented in the National Research Council's report "Standards, Conformity Assessment, and Trade into the 21st Century" (National Academy Press, 1995) call for the Committee to intensify its efforts to identify the broad roles and appropriate interactions of agencies in exercising the Government's authority.
Objective

The objective of the Committee shall be to promote effective and consistent standards policies in furtherance of U.S. domestic and foreign goals and, to this end, to foster cooperative participation by the Federal Government and U.S. industry and other private organizations in standards activities, including the related activities of product testing, quality system registration, certification, and accreditation programs.

Functions

1. As appropriate, the Committee shall gather, analyze, and maintain current information about standards, product testing, quality system registration, accreditation and certification, and related regulations, rules, policies, and activities:
   a. conducted within or established by Federal agencies;
   b. conducted by private domestic and foreign national standards bodies and by regional and international private and intergovernmental organizations engaged in such programs; and
   c. pertaining to the relationships among agencies of the Federal Government with industry and the various national, regional, and international organizations engaged in such programs.

2. On the basis of such information and when appropriate with respect to the activities named in paragraph 1 above, the Committee shall make recommendations to the Secretary of Commerce to:
   a. strengthen coordination of the standards-related policies and activities among the Federal agencies;
   b. improve the efficiency within the Federal Government of standardization efforts with the U.S. private sector, as well as with regional and international organizations, both private and governmental;
   c. promote standards-related policies, including directory of personnel participating in standards activities, within the Federal Government consistent with statutory obligations in regard to interactions with non-Federal Government organizations;
   d. ensure effective representation of the Federal Government at significant regional and international standards-related meetings and conferences;
   e. promote the use of internationally acceptable standards and related activities with a view to increasing trade and economic integration and development;
   f. monitor U.S. technical obligations as a signatory to the World Trade Organization, the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement, the North American Free Trade Agreement, and other treaties encompassing standards-related trade issues;
   g. encourage the development of agency strategic plans for managing and monitoring use of voluntary standards and participation in standards-related activities;
h. promote the use of standards that serve national goals related to increased use of the metric system of measurement and environmentally sound and energy efficient materials, products, systems, services, and practices; and

i. assess and improve the adequacy of such agency plans and activities.

Membership

1. Together with the Department of Commerce, the following agencies constitute the membership of the Committee:

   Department of Agriculture
   Department of Defense
   Department of Education
   Department of Energy
   Department of Health and Human Services
   Department of Housing and Urban Development
   Department of the Interior
   Department of Justice
   Department of Labor
   Department of State
   Department of Transportation
   Department of the Treasury
   Department of Veterans Affairs
   Office of Consumer Affairs
   Consumer Product Safety Commission
   Environmental Protection Agency
   Federal Communications Commission
   Federal Emergency Management Agency
   Federal Trade Commission
   General Services Administration
   International Trade Commission
   Office of Management and Budget
   National Aeronautics and Space Administration
   National Archives and Records Administration
   National Communications Systems (Dept. of Defense) - (nonvoting member)
   National Science Foundation
   Nuclear Regulatory Commission
   U.S. Agency for International Development
   U.S. Government Printing Office - (legislative liaison - nonvoting member)
   U.S. Postal Service
   Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
The head of each member agency shall ensure representation by a responsible high level policy official (Senior Executive Service or higher) who serves as the agency representative on the Committee. Such agency representative shall also serve as the "Standards Executive" as defined in section 8.b.2 of OMB Circular No. A-119. Appointments to the Committee shall be for an indefinite term.

2. Agency representatives may designate alternates of equivalent senior status to serve in their absence.

3. Experts from organizations within the member agency may be designated by agency representatives to serve on task groups established by the Committee.

4. Other Federal agencies may become members of the Committee upon application to or invitation by the Secretary of Commerce.

Administrative Provisions

1. The Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) or the Director's designee shall chair the Committee.

2. NIST shall provide administrative arrangements for the Committee including secretarial services, calling of meetings, arranging for a meeting place, and preparation of an agenda, discussion material, and reports.

3. The Committee shall meet at least three times each year. Other meetings may be called at the discretion of the Chair or at the written request of five (5) members of the Committee.

4. The Committee may establish task groups as appropriate.

5. Attendance at Committee meetings by at least one-half of the designated members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum. Decisions internal to the Committee's operations, such as formation of a task group, shall be made by a majority of those present and voting. Voting on Committee business and proposals shall be limited to designated agency members. Decisions concerning Committee recommendations to the Secretary of Commerce on governmental policy or other matters set out in paragraph two of the section entitled "Functions" shall require ratification by two-thirds of the members present and voting. Dissenting positions of the decision may be made a matter of record. The Chair shall not vote except in the case of a tie vote.

6. The annual cost of operating the Committee is estimated at $31,000 (with overhead) which includes 0.20 staff year for staff support.
7. The Committee shall submit an annual report to the Secretary of Commerce so that the Secretary may satisfy the reporting requirements set forth in OMB Circular No. A-119, as applicable to the Secretary, and in P.L. 104-113, as applicable to the head of each agency. Each such report shall also summarize the Committee's activity during the period covered and shall include a listing of all recommendations formulated by the Committee during that period.

Duration

The need and mission of the Committee shall be reexamined three years after the date of this Charter to determine the need for the Committee's continuation.

/signed/
Secretary of Commerce

Dated: October 29, 1997
Appendix D:

Membership of the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy (ICSP)

Fiscal Year 1999
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY MEMBER</th>
<th>REPRESENTATIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Agency for International Development, U.S. (USAID)** | Ms. Kathleen O'Hara  
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Procurement  
U. S. Agency for International Development  
Ronald Reagan Building  
Washington, DC  20523-7804  
Phone: 202-712-5040  
Fax: 202-216-3136  
Email: kohara@usaid.gov |
| **Agriculture, Department of (USDA)** | Mr. Joseph Leo*  
Chief Information Officer  
Department of Agriculture  
Room 416-W  
Jamie L. Whitten Federal Building  
1400 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC  20250-7603  
Phone: 202-720-8833  
Fax: 202-720-1031  
Email: joe.leo@usda.gov  
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Anne F. Thomson Reed |
| **Commerce, Department of (DOC)** | Dr. Belinda L. Collins  
Director, Office of Standards Services  
Department of Commerce  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2100  
Gaithersburg, MD  20899-2100  
Phone: 301-975-4000  
Fax: 301-963-2871  
Email: belinda.collins@nist.gov |
| **Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC)** | Mr. Colin B. Church  
Voluntary Stnds & Int 1 Activities Coordinator  
Consumer Product Safety Commission  
4340 East-West Highway  
Room 604-C  
Bethesda, MD  20207  
Phone: 301-504-0554, x-2229  
Fax: 301-504-0407  
Email: cchurch@cpsc.gov  
Alternate: Ms. Jacquie Elder  
Deputy Assistant Executive Director  
Consumer Product Safety Commission  
4340 East-West Highway  
Room 702  
Bethesda, MD  20207  
Phone: 301-504-0554, x-2254  
Fax: 301-504-0407  
Email: jelder@cpsc.gov |
| **Defense, Department of (DOD)** | Mr. Gregory E. Saunders  
Director, Defense Standardization Program Office  
Department of Defense  
ATTN: DLSC-LM  
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 4235  
Fort Belvoir, VA  22060-6221  
Phone: 703-767-6880  
Fax: 703-767-6876  
Email: gregory_saunders@hq.dla.mil  
Alternate: Ms. Trudie Williams  
Defense Standardization Program Office |
Education, Department of (DOEd)

Mr. Gerald Malitz
National Center for Education Statistics
Department of Education
555 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20208
Phone: 202-219-1364
Fax: 202-219-1728
Email: gerald_malitz@Ed.gov

Energy, Department of (DOE)

Mr. Richard L. Black
Director, Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards (EH-31)
Department of Energy
Room A-430, GTN
Washington, DC 20854
Phone: 301-903-3465
Fax: 301-903-6172
Email: richard.black@eh.doe.gov

Alternate:
Mr. Richard Serbu
Manager, DOE Technical Standards Program (EH-31)
Department of Energy
19901 Germantown Road
Germantown, MD 20874-1290
Phone: 301-903-2856
Fax: 301-903-6172
Email: richard.serbu@eh.doe.gov

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Ms. Mary McKiel
Director, EPA Standards Program
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Prevention, Pesticides & Toxic Substances
401 M Street, SW, MC 7101
Washington, DC 20460
Phone: 202-260-3584
Fax: 202-260-6906
Email: mckiel.mary@epa.gov

Alternate:
Mr. Craig Annear
Office of General Counsel (232A)
Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460
Phone: 202-564-5538
Fax: 202-564-5541
Email: annear.craig@epa.gov
Federal Communications Commission (FCC)  
Mr. Julius P. Knapp  
Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Mail Stop Code 1300  
Washington, DC 20554  
Phone: 202-418-2468  
Fax: 202-418-1944  
Email: jknapp@fcc.gov

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)  
Dr. Edward Kernan*  
Director, Management Division  
Information Technology Services Directorate  
Federal Emergency Management Agency  
500 C Street SW, Room 251 FCP  
Washington, DC 20472  
Phone: 202-646-2986  
Fax: 202-646-3074  
Email: edward.kernan@fema.gov  
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Rosetta Bowsky

Federal Trade Commission (FTC)  
Mr. Alain Sheer  
Director of Marketing Practices  
Federal Trade Commission  
Bureau of Consumer Protection  
6th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Room 4200  
Washington, DC 20580  
Phone: 202-326-3321  
Fax: 202-326-3392  
Email: asheer@ftc.gov

General Services Administration (GSA)  
Mr. William N. Gormley  
Assistant Commissioner  
Office of Acquisition, Federal Supply Service (FC)  
General Services Administration  
1941 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Room 710  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Phone: 703-305-7901  
Fax: 703-305-6851  
Email: william.gormley@gsa.gov

Alternate:  
Mr. Charles P. Gallagher  
Director, Environmental & Engineering Policy (FCOE)  
General Services Administration  
1941 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Room 703  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Phone: 703-305-6930  
Fax: 703-305-6731  
Email: charles.gallagher@gsa.gov

Mr. Robert H. Thomas  
Manager, Quality Control and Technical Department  
U.S. Government Printing Office  
North Capital & H Street  
Washington, DC 20401  
Phone: 202-512-0766  
Fax: 202-512-0015  
Email: rthomas@gpo.gov
Health and Human Services, Department of (HHS)

Ms. Janet J. Showalter*
Director, International Scientific Activities and Standards Staff
Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration, HHS
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 15-22, HFY-20
Rockville, MD 20857
Phone: 301-827-0865
Fax: 301-443-0232
Email: jshowalt@oc.fda.gov
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Linda R. Horton

Alternate:

Dr. John P. Lucas*
Associate Director for Standards
Office of International Programs
Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration, HHS
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 15A16, HFG-1
Rockville, MD 20857
Phone: 301-827-0917
Fax: 301-443-0235
Email:jlucas@oc.fda.gov
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Kathleen Hastings

Housing and Urban Development, Department of (HUD)

Ms. Ayse Can Talen*
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Research, Evaluation, and Monitoring
Office of Policy Development & Research
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, SW, Suite 8146
Washington, DC 20410
Phone: 202-708-4230, x-3666
Fax: 202-708-3141
Email: Ayse_Can_Talen@hud.gov
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Xavier de Souza Briggs

Alternate:

Mr. Roy Mullinax*
Departmental Policy & Oversight Support
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, SW, Suite 3182
Washington, DC 20410-3600
Phone: 202-708-0614, x-6075
Fax: 202-708-1559
Email: Roy_P._Mullinax@hud.gov
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Warren Friedman

Interior, Department of the (DOI)

Mr. David Shearer
Chief, IRM Program Policy Review, and Standards Division
Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, NW, Mail Stop-5312
Washington, DC 20240
Phone: 202-208-4281
Fax: 202-501-2360
Email: david_shearer@ios.doi.gov

International Trade Commission (ITC)

Mr. Stephen A. McLaughlin
Director, Office of Administration
International Trade Commission
Room 414
500 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20436
Phone: 202-205-3131
Fax: 202-205-2034
Justice, Department of (DOJ)

Dr. M. Wayne Shiveley
Information Management and Security Staff
Department of Justice
1001 G Street, NW, Suite 850
Washington, DC 20530
Phone: 202-305-4107
Fax: 202-616-5455
Email: wayne.m.shiveley@usdoj.gov

Labor, Department of (DOL)

Mr. Roland G. Droitsch
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy
Department of Labor
Room S 2312
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210
Phone: 202-219-9058
Fax: 202-219-9216
Email: droitsch_roland@dol.gov

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)

Mr. W. Brian Keegan*
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Mail Code AE
NASA Headquarters
300 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20546-0001
Phone: 202-358-1823
Fax: 202-358-3296
Email: brian.keegan@hq.nasa.gov
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Daniel R. Mulville

Alternate:

Mr. Richard H. Weinstein
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Mail Code AE
NASA Headquarters
300 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20546-0001
Phone: 202-358-0538
Fax: 202-358-3296
Email: richard.weinstein@hq.nasa.gov

National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)

Ms. Mary Ann Hadyka
National Archives and Records Administration
Policy and Communication Staff
Suite 4100
8601 Adelphi Road
College Park, MD 20740-6001
Phone: 301-713-7360, x-222
Fax: 301-713-7270
Email: maryann.hadyka@arch2.nara.gov

National Communications System (NCS)

Mr. Dale Barr
Chief, Technology and Standards Division
National Communications System
Office of the Manager
701 South Court House Road
Arlington, VA 22204-2198
Phone: 703-607-6200
Fax: 703-607-4830
Email: barrd@ncs.gov
National Science Foundation (NSF)  
Dr. William S. Butcher  
Senior Engineering Advisor  
Engineering Education and Centers Division  
National Science Foundation  
4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 585  
Arlington, VA 22230  
Phone: 703-306-1380  
Fax: 703-306-0289  
Email: wbutcher@nsf.gov

Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)  
Mr. Michael E. Mayfield*  
Acting Director, Engineering Technology  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research  
Mail Stop T-10D20  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Phone: 301-415-5678  
Fax: 301-415-5074  
Email: mem2@nrc.gov  
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--John W. Craig & Alternate: Gilbert C. Millman

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Liaison  
Mr. Ed Springer*  
Office of Management and Budget  
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs  
NEOB, Room 10236  
Washington, DC 20503  
Phone: 202-395-3562  
Fax: 202-395-5167  
Email: edward_springer@omb.eop.gov  
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Virginia A. Huth

Postal Service, U.S. (USPS)  
Mr. Charles W. Newman*  
Manager, Configuration Management  
U.S. Postal Service  
Engineering Research and Development  
Merrifield, VA 22082-8149  
Phone: 703-280-7649  
Fax: 703-280-8414  
Email: cnewman@email.usps.gov  
*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Myles A. Jackson

Alternate:  
Mr. Bill Griggs*  
Acting Manager, Configuration Management  
U.S. Postal Service  
Engineering Research and Development  
Merrifield, VA 22082-8149  
Phone: 703-280-7276  
Fax: 703-280-8414  
Email: wgriggs@email.usps.gov  
*no Alternate for the FY 1999 reporting period

State, Department of (STATE)  
Ms. Marian Gordon  
Director for Telecommunications and Information Standards  
Department of State  
2201 C Street, NW, Room 2529  
Washington, DC 20520  
Phone: 202-647-0197  
Fax: 202-647-7407  
Email: gordonmr@state.gov
Alternate: Mr. Julian Minard*
Department of State
EB/CIP/MA
2201 C Street, NW, Room 2529
Washington, DC 20520
Phone: 202-647-0965
Fax: 202-647-7407
Email: minardje@state.gov

*no Alternate for the FY 1999 reporting period

Transportation, Department of (DOT)

Ms. Kate Hallahan*
Office of the Deputy Secretary
Department of Transportation
400 Seventh Street, SW
Suite 10200
Washington, DC 20590
Phone: 202-366-2222
Fax: 202-366-7202
Email: kate.hallahan@ost.dot.gov

*for the FY 1999 reporting period--Julie Abraham

Alternate: Ms. Julie Abraham
Director of International Harmonization
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
Department of Transportation
400 Seventh Street, SW
Suite 5208
Washington, DC 20590
Phone: 202-366-2114
Fax: 202-366-2559
Email: jabraham@nhtsa.dot.gov

Treasury, Department of (Treasury)

Mr. James J. Flyzik
Deputy Assistant Secretary (Information Systems) & Chief Information Officer
Department of Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Room 2464
Washington, DC 20220
Phone: 202-622-1200
Fax: 202-622-2224
Email: jim.flyzik@cio.treas.gov

Meeting Correspondence to:

Mrs. Helen W. Whatley
Office of Information Resources Management
Department of Treasury
1425 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20220
Phone: 202-622-1541
Fax: 202-622-1595
Email: helen.whatley@cio.treas.gov

U.S. Trade Representative (USTR)

Ms. Suzanne Troje
Director, Technical Trade Barriers
U.S. Trade Representative
600 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20508
Phone: 202-395-9444
Fax: 202-395-5674
Email: stroje@ustr.gov
Veterans Affairs, Department of (VA)

Mr. Gary J. Krump
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Acquisition & Material Management (90)
Department of Veterans Affairs
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20420
Phone: 202-273-6029
Fax: 202-273-6163
Email: krugar@mail.va.gov

Alternate:
Mr. Pierre Lundy
Department of Veterans Affairs
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20420
Phone: 202-273-6102
Fax: 202-273-6236
Email: pierre.lundy@mail.va.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Ms. Maureen Breitenberg
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2100
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2100
Phone: 301-975-4031
Fax: 301-963-2871
Email: maureen.breitenberg@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Mr. David Alderman
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2140
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2140
Phone: 301-975-4171
Fax: 301-926-2884
Email: david.alderman@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Mr. Patrick Cooke
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2150
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2150
Phone: 301-975-4033
Fax: 301-926-1559
Email: patrick.cooke@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Ms. Krista Johnsen-Leuteritz
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2100
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2100
Phone: 301-975-5104
Fax: 301-963-2871
Email: krista.leuteritz@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Ms. JoAnne Overman
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2150
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2150
Phone: 301-975-4037
Fax: 301-926-1559
Email: joanne.overman@nist.gov
APPENDIX E:

LIST OF NIST PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO P. L. 104-113
STANDARDS AND CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT RELATED PUBLICATIONS

Office of Standards Services
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-2100

NTTAA PUBLICATIONS:

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act - Plan for Implementation (NISTIR 5967)

Toward a National Standards Strategy - Conference Report (NISTIR 6290)


Towards Strategic Management of Standards Activities at NIST (NISTIR 6292)


Using Voluntary Standards in the Federal Government (NISTIR 6086)


INTRODUCTORY PUBLICATIONS ON STANDARDS AND CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES:

The ABC's of Standards-Related Activities in the United States (NBSIR 87-3576)

The ABC's of Certification Activities in the United States (NBSIR 88-3821)

The ABC's of the U.S. Conformity Assessment System (NISTIR 6014)

Laboratory Accreditation Activities in the United States (NISTIR 4576)

Questions and Answers on Quality, the ISO 9000 Standard Series, Quality System Registration, and Related Issues (NISTIR 4721)
More Questions and Answers on the ISO 9000 Standard Series and Related Issues (NISTIR 5122)

The U.S. Certification System from a Governmental Perspective (NISTIR 6077)

ISO Environmental Management Standardization Efforts (NISTIR 5638-1)

**NACLA PUBLICATIONS:**

Report on the Open Forum on Establishment of the National Council for Laboratory Accreditation (NACLA) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, January 7, 1997 (NISTIR 6008)

Examination of Laboratory Accreditation Programs in the United States and the Potential Role for a National Laboratory Accreditation System (NIST GCR 97-714)

Proceedings of the Open Forum on Laboratory Accreditation at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, October 13, 1995 (NIST SP 902)

**DIRECTORIES:**

Directory of International and Regional Organizations Conducting Standards-Related Activities (NIST SP 767)

Directory of European Regional Standards-Related Organizations (NIST SP 795)

Standards Activities of Organizations in the United States (NIST SP 806, 1996 Edition)

Directory of Federal Government Certification and Related Programs (NIST SP 739, 1999 Edition)

Directory of U.S. Private Sector Product Certification Programs (NIST SP 903)

Directory of Federal Government Laboratory Accreditation/Designation Programs (NIST SP 808)

Directory of State and Local Government Laboratory Accreditation/Designation Programs (NIST SP 815)

Directory of Professional/Trade Organization Laboratory Accreditation/Designation Programs (NIST SP 831)

Standards Setting in the European Union - Standards Organizations and Officials in EU Standards Activities (NIST SP 891, 1997 Edition)
Profiles of National Standards-Related Activities (NIST SP 912)

An Overview of the Development of Technical Infrastructure in the Asia-Pacific Region: The Work of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Sub-committee on Standards and Conformance (SCSC) and the Specialist Regional Bodies (SRBs) (NISTIR 6325)

Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA) Conformity Assessment Infrastructure (NIST SP 941)

Semi-Annual Listing of North American Quality System Registration Organizations (NAQSRO)

Annual Directory of DOC Memberships on Outside Standards Committees

**NVLAP PUBLICATIONS:**

NIST Handbook 150: NVLAP Procedures and General Requirements

Directory of Accredited Laboratories

NVLAP Program-Specific Handbooks

NVLAP Policy Guides:
- PG-2-1998: Accreditation Documents for Laboratories Whose Accreditation Has Been Suspended, Revoked, or Otherwise Terminated (May 1998)
- PG-3-1999: Delegation of Authority from the Director of NIST to the Chief of NVLAP (November 1999)

**NVLAP NEWS:**
- Summer/Fall 1999
- Winter 1999
- Summer/Fall 1998
- Winter/Spring 1998
- Summer/Fall 1997
MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS:

TBT Agreement Activities of the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Environmental Management Systems Voluntary Project Evaluation Guidance (NISTIR 6120)

A Selective Review of Testing Laboratory Accreditation Movements in the United States (NIST GCR 98-740)

Survey on the Implementation of ISO/IEC Guide 25 by National Laboratory Accreditation Programs (NISTIR 5473)

Government's Role in Standards-Related Activities: Analysis of Comments (NISTIR 4367)

MAGAZINE ARTICLES:


Collins, B.L., NIST to Lead in NTTAA Implementation. ANSI Reporter, April 1997, p. 3.

Collins, B.L., National Cooperation of Laboratory Accreditation. CIRMS Annual Meeting Abstracts, Radioactivity & Radiochemistry, 8, 1997, pp. 16-17.


