NISTIR 5732

Calorimetric and Visual Measurements of R123 Pool Boiling on Four Enhanced Surfaces

Mark A. Kedzierski

November 1995



U.S. Department of Commerce Ronald H. Brown, Secretary Mary L. Good, Under Secretary for Technology National Institute of Standards and Technology Arati Prabhakar, Director



Prepared for: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Building Technology 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585

ABSTRACT

Pool boiling of R123 on four commercial enhanced surfaces was investigated both calorimetrically and visually. The four surfaces were: (1) Turbo-BIITM-LP (2) High-FluxTM, (3) GEWA-KTM, and (4) GEWA-TTM. The surfaces were either machined or soldered onto a flat thick oxygen-free high-conductivity copper plate. This permitted 20 sheathed thermocouples to be embedded in the copper for accurate heat transfer measurements. The difference between electric resistance and fluid heating was investigated. The fluid heating condition results in heat fluxes that are as much as 32% greater than those obtained by electric resistance heating. Hysterisis effects near the onset of nucleate boiling were also investigated. The boiling was visually recorded with 16 mm high speed film. Mechanistic descriptions of the boiling activity are given for each surface.

<u>Keywords</u>: Building Technology, enhanced heat transfer, porous surface, T-fin, trapezoidal-fin, R123, pool boiling, Turbo-BIITM, High-FluxTM, GEWA-KTM, GEWA-TTM, electric resistance heating, fluid heating

TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

Abstract	i
Nomenclature	7
Introduction	l
Surface Geometries Tested	ł
Apparatus	2
Measurements	3
Calorimetric Results	5
Descending Heat Flux Data	5 7 3 3
Visual Observations9 $GEWA-T^{TM}$ 9 $GEWA-K^{TM}$ 10High Flux TM 11Turbo-BII TM -LP11))) 11
Ascending Heat Flux Data	1
Conclusions	2
Acknowledgements	2
References 14	4
Appendix	5

NOMENCLATURE

English Symbols

Α	coefficients of Laplace equation
A	total surface area: $A_r + A_f (m^2)$
A _{Tw}	amplitude of wall temperature fluctuation (K)
B	coefficients of Laplace equation
E _{a"}	percent u _c in the average heat flux
E _{Tw}	u _c in the average wall temperature (K)
e	height of fin from tip to root (m)
h	heat-transfer coefficient $(W/m^2 \cdot K)$
k	thermal conductivity of test plate $(W/m \cdot K)$
L _v	length of test surface (m)
P	pressure (Pa)
q"	average wall heat flux (W/m ²)
Ra	mean surface roughness (m)
R _p	Glättungstiefe or peak-to-mean surface roughness (m)
R _q	rms surface roughness (m)
r	radial coordinate (m)
S _c	spacing between active channels (m)
Sf	spacing or gap between fin-tips (m)
S	estimate of standard deviation
Т	local temperature of plate (K)
Ts	saturated fluid temperature (K)
Tw	average temperature of surface at root of fin (K)
u _c	combined standard uncertainty
u _i	standard uncertainty
X	test surface coordinate, Fig. 2 (m)
у	test surface coordinate, Fig. 2 (m)
Z	test surface coordinate, Fig. 2 (m)

-

Greek symbols

 ΔT_s

- \overline{T}_{w} T_{s} (K) transient penetration depth (m) surface efficiency fin efficiency angular coordinate (rad) δ
- η
- $\eta_{\rm f}$
- θ

Subscripts

- ascending heat flux a
- copper cu
- d descending heat flux
- electric heating e
- fluid heating, fin GEWA-KTM GEWA-TTM High-FluxTM counting index f
- GK
- GT
- HF
- n
- root r
- saturated state, solder S
- superheated liquid layer Turbo-BIITM-LP SH
- TB

Superscripts

average

INTRODUCTION

Within the next few years, most new centrifugal water chillers will use 1,1-Dichloro-2,2,2-Trifluoroethane (R123) as a working fluid. Many new water chiller evaporators will employ enhanced, nucleate boiling tubes to attain high-cycle efficiencies. Unfortunately, little open-literature, experimental heat transfer data on nucleate pool boiling of R123 exists for the design of new chillers.

The comprehensive survey of enhanced single-tube pool boiling literature by Pais and Webb (1991) documents the lack of R123 data. Not one of their cited references used R123 as a test fluid. Many studies have been done with R113 (Marto and Lepere, 1982, Ayub and Bergles, 1990, and Xin and Chao, 1985) and hydrocarbons (Gorenflo et al., 1990, and Yimaz et al., 1981) as test fluids. Since 1991, not much work has been done with R123 and single-tube pool boiling. In 1992, Webb and Pais (1992) presented one of the few enhanced tube studies with R123. The following year, Webb and McQuade (1993) examined R123/lubricant mixtures pool boiling on the Turbo-B, GEWA-KTM, GEWA-TTM and GEWA-SE tubes. The only other study the author found was by Singh et al. (1993). They presented electrohydrodynamic (EHD) and non/EHD R123 boiling data for a rectangular integral-fin tube.

This paper presents measured pool boiling heat transfer data of R123 at 277.6 K on four popular commercial enhanced surfaces. Visual observations were performed to aid in understanding the boiling process. The boiling surfaces were flat and integral to thick oxygen-free high-conductivity (OFHC) copper blocks. The convenience of testing round tubes was sacrificed for increased accuracy in the heat flux and wall superheat measurements. Another benefit of a thick test specimen is that the heat flux and wall superheat could be measured independent of the type of heating. This independence enabled the comparison of electric resistance and fluid heating data from the same surface to be direct and unbiased.

The effect of the heating condition on the pool boiling performance is a focal point of this study. Although industry has speculated that the heating effect exists, no previous experimental work that demonstrated a direct comparison on the same test surface was found in the literature. However, a theoretical study was conducted by Unal and Pasamehmetoglu (1994) that predicted: (1) the nucleate pool boiling curves for the constant temperature and constant heat flux heating conditions are different, (2) the position or magnitude of the nucleate pool boiling curves depends on the thickness of the heater, and (3) the difference between the constant temperature and constant heat flux boundary conditions becomes smaller as the heater thickness is increased. The present study experimentally shows that for a thick copper plate a significant difference between the fluid heating (constant temperature) and the electric resistance heating (constant heat flux) pool boiling curves can exist.

SURFACE GEOMETRIES TESTED

Figure 1 shows the geometries of the enhanced surfaces tested in this study: (1) the Turbo-BIITM-LP of Wolverine Tube Inc., (2) the High-FluxTM of UOP, (3) the GEWA-TTM of Wieland, and (4) the GEWA-KTM of Wieland. The Turbo-BIITM-LP, the GEWA-TTM and the GEWA-KTM are all extended or "structured" surface enhancements formed by a rolling process that lifts and shapes metal from the outer wall of a smooth tube. The High-FluxTM surface is a "treated" surface enhancement produced by sintering tiny copper particles onto a smooth tube. Following are descriptions of each enhancement geometry shown in Fig. 1.

The Turbo-BIITM-LP surface has approximately 1660 fins per meter (fpm) oriented along the short axis of the plate. The overall height and root-width of a fin are 0.75 mm and 0.28 mm, respectively. The surface appears to be formed by first creating hills and valleys on the fin-tips of a trapezoidal-fin tube approximately every 0.5 mm at 45° to the fins. Next, the hills of the fins are flattened into 0.83 mm x 0.37 mm oval shapes at a density of approximately 3 fins per square millimeter. The oval shapes create a 0.34 mm thick canopy over the channels with 0.2 mm x 0.13 mm openings. The surface density of the openings was approximately $3.5/mm^2$. Although the openings play a role in determining the boiling,

the cavities that primarily govern the boiling presumably reside below the fin canopy.

The High-FluxTM or "porous" surface contains many irregular cavities similar to those of coral. The thickness of the porous coating was 0.645 mm. The porosity of the matrix was 45%. The size of the particle sintered onto the surface is proprietary information held by UOP. However, the particles form randomly shaped cavity openings from approximately 0.04 to 0.13 mm in diameter.

The cross section of the GEWA-KTM or "trapezoidal fin" surface is shown in Fig. 1. The fin-tip and the fin-height are 0.24 mm and 1.53 mm, respectively. The surface has nominally 746 fpm oriented along the short axis of the plate.

Commercially, the GEWA-TTM or "T-fin" surface is formed by flattening the tips of the GEWA-KTM surface to create a specified gap of 0.35 mm between the fin-tips. The surface has approximately 667 fpm oriented along the short axis of the plate. The fin-tip width and the fin-height are 1.05 mm and 1.04 mm, respectively.

As previously mentioned, all four surfaces were adapted to a flat, OFHC copper surface similar to that shown in Fig. 2. The Turbo-BIITM-LP surface originated as an annealed 25 mm O.D. tube which was cut through axially, flattened and soldered onto the top of a smooth test plate. Appendix A discusses the procedure used to extrapolate the wall temperature of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface while accounting for the solder layer. The high flux surface was produced by sintering small diameter copper particles onto the top of a smooth plate. Both the GEWA-TTM and the GEWA-KTM surfaces were machined directly onto the top of the test plate by electric discharge machining (EDM).

Flat plates have several advantages over round tubes as heat transfer specimens. More thermocouples can be spaced parallel to the heat flow in a thick plate than in a thin-walled tube. The large number of thermocouples produces two benefits. First, uncertainties in the heat transfer measurement are reduced by increasing the number of thermocouples. Second, the test method relies on thermocouples to measure both the wall temperature and the wall heat flux. Consequently, the test method was independent of the heating method, permitting a fair comparison between electric resistance and fluid heating. Two more advantages of flat plate specimens over tubes involve improved visual observation of the boiling. First, boiling within the channel can be viewed with a plate since a cross section of the surface is visible. Second, the boiling occurs in one plane which provides a somewhat unobstructed view.

APPARATUS

Following is a description of the apparatus used to measure the pool boiling data for the four test plates of this study. The rig was used to measure the liquid saturation temperature, the average pool-boiling heat flux, and the wall temperature. All measurement uncertainties are reported for a 95% confidence interval and are evaluated by statistical methods. The standard uncertainty (u_i) is the positive square root of the estimated variance u_i^2 . The combined standard uncertainty (u_c) is commonly referred to as the law of propagation of uncertainty.

A schematic of the test rig is given in Fig. 3. Three principal components of the apparatus were: test chamber, condenser, and reservoir. The internal dimensions of the test chamber were 25.4 mm X 257 mm X 1.54 m. The test chamber was charged with approximately 7 kg of R123 from the reservoir, giving a liquid height of approximately 80 mm above the test surface. The bottom of the test section, as shown in Fig. 3, was heated with either an electric resistance heater or high velocity (2.5 m/s) water flow. The vapor produced by liquid boiling on the test surface was condensed by the brine cooled, shell-and-tube condenser and returned to the pool by gravity as liquid.

As shown in Fig. 3, the test section was visible through two, flat 150 mm x 200 mm quartz windows. A high-speed camera was used to film the boiling at 1000, 3000, and 6000 frames per second (fps). Two

500 W forward lights illuminated the specimens during filming. Films were taken at selected heat fluxes immediately after the measurement of the heat-transfer coefficient to ensure that the heat from the lights did not influence the measurement.

Several precautions were taken to reduce the errors associated with the liquid saturation temperature measurement. The saturation temperature of the liquid was measured with a 450 mm long 1.6 mm diameter stainless steel sheathed thermocouple. The small diameter provided for a relatively fast response time. Nearly the entire length of the thermocouple was in contact with only the test refrigerant vapor and liquid to minimize conduction errors. The tip of the thermocouple was placed approximately 2 mm above and 200 mm to the left of the top of the test surface. This placement ensured that approximately 80 mm of the probe length was in a relatively well-mixed portion of the liquid pool. To provide for a saturated liquid pool state, the mass of liquid in the pool was large compared to mass of liquid condensed. At the highest heat flux, it would require nearly one hour to evaporate and condense the entire test chamber charge. Convection and radiation errors were minimal due to low, uniform temperatures attributed to well-insulated, low emissivity, 38 mm thick aluminum test chamber walls.

The thermocouples were calibrated against a standard in the NIST Temperature Group to a residual standard deviation of 0.005 K. Considering the fluctuations in the saturation temperature during the test and the standard uncertainties in the calibration, the u_c of the average saturation temperature was no greater than 0.04 K. A thermocouple drift of within 0.1 K was determined by recalibrating the thermocouples one year after the tests were completed. Consequently, the u_c of the temperature measurements was less than 0.1 K. The saturation temperature was also obtained from a pressure transducer measurement accurate to within 0.03 kPa. The accuracy of the saturation temperature from equilibrium data (Morrison and Ward, 1991) for R123 was 0.17 K. The saturation temperature obtained from the thermocouple and that from the pressure measurement always agreed within 0.17 K.

MEASUREMENTS

-

Figure 2 shows the coordinate system for the 20 thermocouple wells in the side of the test plate. The wells were 16 mm deep to reduce conduction errors. Using a method given by Eckert and Goldstein (1976), errors due to heat conduction along the thermocouple leads were estimated to be well below 0.01 mK. The origin of the coordinate system was centered on the surface at the root of the fin (or just below the porous surface). Centering the origin in the y-direction improved the accuracy of the wall heat flux and temperature calculations by reducing the number of fitted constants involved in these calculations. The y-coordinate measures the distance perpendicular to the x-coordinate. The x-coordinate measures the distance normal to the heat transfer surface. The thermocouples were arranged in four sets of five aligned in the x-direction. Following a procedure given by Kedzierski and Worthington (1993), the size and arrangement of the thermocouple wells were designed to minimize the errors in the wall temperature and temperature gradient measurement.

The heat flux and the wall temperature were obtained by regressing the measured temperature distribution of the block to the governing conduction equation. In other words, rather than using the boundary conditions to solve for the interior temperatures, the interior temperatures were used to solve for the boundary conditions. One difficulty with this method is determining the appropriate model for a particular data set. The search for the best model started with the general two-dimensional solution to Laplace's equation in cylindrical coordinates (Derrick and Grossman, 1976):

$$T(r,\theta) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n (A_n \cos(n\theta) + B_n \sin(n\theta))$$
(1)

It is impossible to fit the infinite number of parameters $(A_n \text{ and } B_n)$ in the above series to 20 measured temperatures. Fortunately, terms above a certain order are unnecessary because the conduction solution is expected to be smooth. As a rule of thumb, a regression model should contain no more than half as

many parameters as data points; otherwise, the model risks fitting the error. Using this guidance, it was felt that the 20 measured plate temperatures should be fitted to nothing greater than a nine parameter model. Transforming eqn. 1 into rectangular coordinates and completing the sum to n=4 gives the following nine parameter two-dimensional conduction model:

$$T = A_0 + A_1 x + B_1 y + A_2 (x^2 - y^2) + 2B_2 xy + A_3 x (x^2 - 3y^2) + B_3 y (3x^2 - y^2) + A_4 (x^4 - 6x^2 y^2 + y^4) + 4B_4 (x^3 y - xy^3)$$
(2)

Not all of the parameters in eqn. 2 contribute significantly to the solution. Extraneous parameters in the conduction model can increase the uncertainties in the wall temperature and heat flux calculations. A method was devised to reduce - rather than build up - the model to the essential, governing parameters. The traditional statistical method for fitting data (building a model) is to successively include higher order terms in the model until the residual standard deviation becomes sufficiently small and the residual plots are random. A built-up model provides a good fit of the core temperatures. But, it may give slightly erroneous results for extrapolations and gradients because: (1) it does not include all salient governing terms, and (2) it includes some nonessential terms.

In the present method, the contribution of each of the nine terms to the temperature solution was examined, and the term that contributed the least to the magnitude of the temperature solution was removed. The 20 temperatures were then regressed to the reduced form of Laplace's equation. Terms were removed as long as the residual standard deviation was no more than 15% greater than that of the original nine parameter model, and the wall temperature and heat fluxes remained within the uncertainty of the original nine parameter model. Finally, the residuals of the resulting model were examined for randomness to ensure a valid model. This procedure was repeated for high and low heat flux for each plate.

Two solutions were found: one for fluid heating at a low heat flux

$$T = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 y$$
 (3)

and another for electric resistance and high fluid heat flux.

$$T = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 y + A_3 (x^2 - y^2) + A_4 y (3x^2 - y^2)$$
(4)

Fourier's law and the fitted constants $(A_0, A_1, and A_3)$ were used to calculate the average wall heat flux $(q^{"})$ normal to the heat transfer surface for both the first and third order models as:

$$q'' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{L_y}{2} \\ \frac{1}{L_y} \int_{-L_y} k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} dy \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{x=0} = \overline{K} A_1$$
 (5)

where \bar{k} is the average thermal conductivity along the surface of the plate, and L_y is the length of the heat transfer surface as shown in Fig. 2. The average heat flux is based on the projected area of the enhancement.

The average wall temperature for the first order model is:

$$T_{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{L_{y}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{L_{y}} \int_{-\frac{L_{y}}{2}} T dy \\ -\frac{L_{y}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{x=0} = A_{0}$$
(6)

and that for the third order model is:

$$T_{w} = A_{0} - \frac{A_{3}L_{y}^{2}}{12}$$
(7)

Table 1a and 1b show the order of solution used for a particular plate and heating condition. In general, electric resistance heating requires a higher order solution.

A two-dimensional solution to Laplace's equation should adequately represent the conduction within the test plate. The sides and ends (see Fig. 2) of the test plate were insulated with a teflon sleeve. The sleeve was 13 mm thick along the sides of the plate. Approximately 40% and 60% of the plate ends were insulated with 13 mm and 6.4 mm teflon, respectfully. As determined from the two-dimensional solution, the heat flux leaving the end surfaces was typically 5% of that leaving the boiling surface. Because the sides were better insulated than the ends, a conservative estimate of the side heat flux would be to assumed it equal to the end heat flux. For this case, the temperature drop in the z-direction is approximately one forth that experienced in the y-direction. In addition, the ratio of the copper and teflon conduction resistances in the z-direction is approximately 9 x 10^{-4} . Consequently, the temperature in the z-direction is uniform enough to use a two-dimensional conduction solution.

Siu et al. (1976) estimated the uncertainty in the thermal conductivity of OFHC to be about 2 to 3% by comparing round-robin experiments. Because the purity of OFHC copper is high, the variability of its thermal conductivity from batch to batch should be small and closely estimated from a comparison of round-robin experiments. Accordingly, the percent u_c in the average wall heat flux was calculated assuming a 3% u_c in the thermal conductivity of the plate. Figure 4 shows the percent u_c in the average wall heat flux (E_{q^n}) as a function of q" for all of the descending heat flux data. The percent u_c in q" was greatest at the lowest heat fluxes, approaching 12% at 10,000 W/m². The E_{q^n} appears to be relatively constant between 6 and 4% for heat fluxes above 30,000 W/m². The contribution of the uncertainty in the thermal conductivity to that of the wall heat flux is insignificant for heat fluxes below 30 kW/m².

Figure 5 shows the u_c in the temperature of the surface at the root of the enhancement (E_{Tw}) as a function of heat flux for all of the data. The u_c in the T_w measurement was calculated from the regression of Laplace's equation. The u_c increases from 0.04 K at approximately 3 kW/m² to approximately 0.12 K at 180 kW/m². The two exceptions are for fluid heating GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM where the u_c is nearly constant at approximately 0.03 K and 0.05 K, respectively. The cause of this difference is unknown, but is presumably related to the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces having third order solutions while the other surfaces have first order solutions for fluid heating. The random error in the wall superheat ($\Delta T_s = \overline{T_w} - T_s$) on average was within 0.1 K.

The uncertainties shown in Figs. 4 and 5 are "within-run uncertainties" which do not include the uncertainties due to "between-run uncertainties", i.e., differences observed between tests taken on different days. The "within run uncertainties" include only the random effects and uncertainties evident from one particular test. All other uncertainties are reported here as "between-run uncertainties" which include all random effects such as surface past history or seeding. "Within-run uncertainties" are given only in Figs. 4 and 5.

CALORIMETRIC RESULTS

When possible, the heat flux was varied from 3 kW/m² to 200 kW/m² to cover the likely operating conditions of R123 chillers equipped with enhanced tubes. All tests were taken in a saturated pool of liquid at a target temperature of 277.6 \pm 0.15 K. Each test surface was alternately cleaned with acetone and Tarn-X^{*} before it was installed into the test rig. The test fluid was boiled on the test surface for approximately two hours before taking the first measurements.

Four types of tests were conducted: (1) descending electric resistance heating, (2) ascending electric resistance heating, (3) descending fluid heating, and (4) ascending fluid heating. The descending heat flux tests were initiated at the highest heat flux, and proceeding measurements were taken for consecutively lower heat fluxes. The ascending heat flux tests were started at the lowest heat flux and subsequent measurements were taken for successively higher heat fluxes. The ascending heat flux data were intended to investigate the hysteresis behavior of the surfaces. Table 7 provides the number of test days for each surface and heating condition as well as the starting heat flux for the tests.

DESCENDING HEAT FLUX DATA

Figures 6 through 9 and Tables 8 through 14 present the pure R123 descending heat flux boiling curves for all of the surfaces for both electric resistance and fluid heating conditions. The fluid heating data for the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces given in Fig. 6 nearly coincide; consequently, these data are also presented in Figs. 7 and 9, respectively. The electrical heating boiling curves for the High-FluxTM, the Turbo-BIITM-LP, and the GEWA-KTM surfaces are given in Figure 9.

An overview of the mean and confidence intervals given in Figs. 6 through 9 follows. Closed and opened symbols represent fluid and electric resistance heating data, respectively. Solid lines are cubic regressions or estimated means of the data. The dashed lines to either side of the mean represent the lower and upper 95% simultaneous (multiple-use) confidence intervals for the mean. The average width of the confidence interval or the u_c of the estimated mean wall superheat is given in Table 2. Overall, the u_c of the estimated mean wall superheat in the natural convection region and the boiling region is approximately 0.2 K and 0.06 K, respectively. The residual standard deviation represents the proximity of the data to the mean; it is given in Table 3. On average, the residual standard deviation of the natural convection data and the boiling data about the mean is 0.09 K, and 0.08 K, respectively.

To satisfy the assumption of least squares regression, the data must be regressed against the most accurately known quantity. Consequently, the means shown in Figs. 6 through 9 were obtained from a regression of ΔT_s onto q". The coefficients for the cubic fit of ΔT_s onto q" are given in Table 4. For convenience, the coefficients for the cubic fit of q" onto ΔT_s are given in Table 5. For most of the data, the two regressions agree within $\pm 1\%$; however, there are a few exceptions. The regressions agree within $\pm 1\%$; however, there are a few exceptions. The regressions agree within $\pm 1\%$ for the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces except for heat fluxes between 20 and 50 kW/m2 where the difference expands to a maximum of 7% at 32 kW/m². On average, the differences for the Turbo-BIITM-LP and High-flux surfaces remain within $\pm 1\%$ except at the ends of the data sets where the difference increases to 2 to 2.5%. The reader should realize the preceding cautions when using the coefficients given in Table 5.

Boiling was always observed to be present on the High-FluxTM and the Turbo-BIITM-LP surfaces for even the lowest test heat fluxes. The presence of nucleate boiling for all heat flux ranges is implied by the nearly constant slope of the boiling curve. By contrast, the GEWA-TTM AND GEWA-KTM data have two characteristic regimes: a natural convection regime and a nucleate boiling regime. The regimes are separated by the cessation of nucleate boiling (CNB) which occurs at approximately 7.6 K for the fluid heated GEWA-TTM surface. The CNB for the GEWA-TTM and the GEWA-KTM plates is given in Table 6 for electric resistance and fluid heating conditions. The nucleate boiling regime exists for superheats greater than the CNB condition. Here, the heat transfer is governed primarily by the formation of isolated bubbles within the fin cavities. For superheats below the CNB, the influence of natural convection becomes dominant. In fact, bubble generation was not visible on the heat transfer surface in the natural convection regime with the exception of the vicinity of CNB.

Figure 10 compares the present R123 electric resistance heating data to that of Webb and Pais (1992) at the same saturation temperature. The figure summarizes the geometry differences between the tubes tested in this study and the Webb and Pais (1992) study. The Webb and Pais (1992) GEWA-KTM and GEWA-TXTM data agree with the present data for heat fluxes above 50 kW/m2 and below 10 kW/m² and is greater than the present data for intermediate heat fluxes. The maximum percent difference occurs at CNB of the present data and is 75% and 100% for the GEWA-KTM and GEWA-TTM surfaces, respectively. The greater performance of the Webb and Pais (1992) GEWA-KTM and GEWA-TTM surfaces was partly due to the greater fpm and the additional notch enhancement of the GEWA-TXTM surface. The present Turbo-BIITM-LP data is approximately 14 kW/m2 greater than the Turbo-B data for heat fluxes above 20 kW/m². Credit should probably go to the designers of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface for its improvement over the original Turbo-B.

Figure 11 compares the heat flux of the High-FluxTM surface (q["]_{HF}) to that of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface (q["]_{TB}) for both fluid and electric resistance heating. The 95% simultaneous confidence intervals are shown to either side of the mean relative performance. All comparisons are made for the same wall superheat and at a given Turbo-BIITM-LP heat flux. For a Turbo-BIITM-LP heat flux change from 30 to 75 kW/m², the heat flux of the High-FluxTM surface is approximately 1 to 2.5 times that of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. For a given Turbo-BIITM-LP heat flux, a greater High-FluxTM enhancement is achieved for the electric resistance than for the fluid heating boundary condition. The Turbo-BIITM-LP performance is greater than that of the High-FluxTM surface for heat fluxes below 31 and 26 kW/m2, for the fluid and electric resistance boundary conditions, respectively.

Figure 12 shows the relative performance of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface to that of GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces. The confidence intervals are too small to be visible at the scale of the figure. Also, the Turbo-BIITM-LP/GEWA-TTM and the Turbo-BIITM-LP/GEWA-KTM performance ratios for electric resistance and fluid heating essentially coincide. The Turbo-BIITM-LP performance approaches 20 times that of the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces at low GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM heat fluxes. The large performance difference in this region is a consequence of the Turbo-BIITM-LP actively boiling while heat is transferred by natural convection from the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces. As the heat flux nears the CNB, the performance of the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces rapidly approach that of the Turbo-BIITM-LP. For instance, the Turbo-BIITM-LP performance is 1.5 times greater than that of the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces at a heat flux of approximately 100 kW/m2.

Figure 13 shows the ratio of the GEWA-TTM heat flux to the GEWA-KTM heat flux at the same wall superheat. Since both confidence intervals are above unity for heat fluxes greater than 40 kW/m², the performance of the GEWA-TTM surface is marginally greater than that of the GEWA-KTM in this region. Below 20 kW/m², i.e., in the natural convection region, a statistical analysis indicated no difference between the data for the two surfaces. The GEWA-TTM surface has approximately 6% more surface area per projected area than the GEWA-KTM surface for natural convection (2.71 versus 2.87). Possibly the T-shape obstructs the path of the convection making it less efficient than the GEWA-KTM for natural convection per unit area.

Comparison of Fluid and Electric

Figure 14 compares the fluid and electric resistance heat fluxes for the Turbo-BIITM-LP, the GEWA-KTM and the High-FluxTM surfaces at the same ΔT_s and constant pressure. The figure plots the ratio of the fluid heat flux to the electric resistance heat flux $(q_f^{'}/q_e^{'})$ against the electric resistance heat flux. For boiling, the fluid heating condition results in heat fluxes as much as 32% greater than those obtained by

electric resistance heating. In the natural convection regime, the GEWA-KTM surface exhibited lower heat fluxes for fluid heating than for electric heating. Similarly, Kays and Crawford (1980) show that the laminar Nusselt number for internal tube flow for constant heat flux is larger than that for constant wall temperature. Within 30 kW/m² < $q_e^{*} < 78 \text{ kW/m}^2$, there is an insignificant statistical difference between the q_f^{*}/q_e^{*} for the Turbo-BIITM-LP and High-FluxTM surfaces. The lack of difference suggests the possibility of a universal difference between fluid and electric resistance heating conditions for reentrant cavity surfaces. Moreover, the heating boundary condition may affect reentrant and natural cavity surfaces differently.

Figure 14 demonstrates that fluid heating produces greater heat fluxes than electric resistance heating for pool boiling on the surfaces tested. Individual confidence intervals are given in the figure at the maximum difference between the fluid and electric resistance heat fluxes. The greatest difference between fluid and electric resistance heat fluxes was observed for the GEWA-KTM surface at $q_e^{"} = 34.5 \text{ kW/m}^2$ where the fluid heat flux was $32 \pm 5\%$ greater than the electric resistance heat flux. A $q_f^{"} q_e^{"}$ maximum of 1.25 ± 0.13 was found at $q_e^{"} = 8.78 \text{ kW/m}^2$ for the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. At $q_e^{"} = 24 \text{ kW/m}^2$, the fluid heat flux was $15 \pm 7\%$ greater that the electric resistance heat flux for the High Flux surface. According to the model Unal et al. (1994), thin walled tubes should exhibit a greater difference between fluid and electric heating than that shown here for thick test plates.

Figures 15 through 17 provide a closer examination of the u_c of the heat flux ratio profiles of Fig. 14. The simultaneous confidence intervals shown in Figs. 15 through 17 ensure with 95% confidence that the actual values lie within the confidence interval. A large boiling curve slope, a small number of measurements, and a large uncertainty in the individual measurement all tend to increase the size of the confidence interval. When considering a particular range of q''_e , the confidence intervals must not contain the value one to assert that q''_f is different from q''_e .

Figure 15 shows that the q''_f/q''_e curve, for the GEWA-KTM surface, has an u_e of approximately $\pm 5\%$ for in the boiling region (for heat fluxes above 22 kW/m²). In the natural convection region, i.e., for heat fluxes below 22 kW/m², the confidence intervals bracket the value one. Consequently, no statement can be confidently made concerning the relative magnitudes of the fluid and electric resistance heat fluxes in the natural convection region.

Figure 16 shows that the fluid heat flux is greater than the electric resistance heat flux for q_e^{*} above 10 kW/m². On average, the confidence interval for this range is approximately $\pm 3\%$.

Figure 17 shows that fluid heat flux is greater that the electric resistance heat flux for all points between 20 and 50 kW/m². On average, the confidence interval in this range is approximately \pm 9%. The large slope of the High Flux boiling curve contributes to the large confidence interval.

Heat Flux Distribution

Webb and Pais (1992) measured a maximum circumferential variation in ΔT_s of slightly over 1 K from the top to the bottom of the tube (30 mm). They also note that McKee and Bell (1968) have reported similar discrepancies with tubes. Fath (1986) measures a top to bottom tube (24 mm) temperature difference of 0.3 K. He also provides an analysis to suggest that eccentric heater placement can cause a 1 K temperature difference. For the present data, the wall temperature variation from $y = -L_y/2$ to $L_y/2$ (101.6 mm) increased with heat flux and was typically within 0.3 K for all plates and for both heating conditions.

Speculation on Heat Method Difference

The author knows of no other experimental study besides the present one that directly compares fluid to electric resistance heating boiling data from the same test section. However, researchers have been aware of the heating boundary condition effect in boiling. Unal et al. (1994) have used a model to show that

the heating method can significantly modify the boiling curve, but provide no rationale for the cause. No other theoretical studies predicting the influence of heating method were found in the literature. Nevertheless, Memory (1995) points out that fluid heated smooth tube boiling data taken by McManus et al. (1986) and electric heating smooth tube boiling data taken by Memory et al. (1994) differ by as much as 50% for the same conditions. Intuitively, the magnitude of the heat flux should rely on a coupling of the heat transfer at three boundaries: boiling on the enhancement, conduction in the copper, and single phase or electric resistance heating at the heated surface.

It is speculated that for the same time-averaged heat flux, a larger fraction of it is used to superheat liquid for electric resistance heating than for fluid heating. Figure 18 shows simplified transient plate temperatures for electric resistance and fluid heating boundary conditions. The transient behavior of the plate is confined to a thin penetration depth (δ) near the boiling surface. The surface temperature is approximated as a square wave which is high and low for boiling and liquid superheating modes, respectively. The wall temperature drops during boiling since it is a more efficient means of transferring heat than natural convection. The temperature of the plate at the transient-steady state interface (T_{wi}) is constant for the fluid heating case. The T_{wi} for the electrically heated surface varies in phase with the same amplitude as T_{wo} due to the constant heat flux constraint. For the conditions established in Fig. 19, setting the fluid and electric resistance time-averaged heat fluxes equal results in the following expression for the superheating portion of the fluid heat flux:

$$(q''_{f})_{SH} = (q''_{e})_{SH} - \frac{A_{Tw}k}{2\delta}$$
 (8)

Equation 8 illustrates that fluid heating superheats the liquid less than electric resistance heating by $\frac{1}{2}A_{Tw}k/\delta$. Surfaces with a greater degree of superheated liquid tend to have higher surface temperatures and greater wall superheats. Consequently, for the same time-averaged heat flux, the boiling curve for the electrically heated surface will be to the right of that for the fluid heated surface.

In the above heuristic argument, the transient penetration depths were assumed to be the same for electric and fluid heating. The δ depends on the properties of the copper, the magnitude of the heat flux, and the bubble frequency. The electric and fluid heated penetration depths should be nearly the same because the comparison was made for equal heat fluxes on the same surface. For this case, the properties of the copper and the bubble frequencies for the electric and fluid heated surfaces should be similar in magnitude.

VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

Visual observations of R123 pool boiling on the Turbo-BIITM-LP, High-FluxTM, GEWA-TTM, and GEWA-KTM are discussed in the following. The observations were recorded on 16 mm high-speed film at 1000, 3000, and 6000 fps. Two 500 W forward lights were focused on the boiling surface during filming.

GEWA-TTM

Figure 19 depicts the three different boiling modes for R123 at $q'' = 70 \text{ kW/m}^2$. Each mode depends on the size of the bubble as it exists when it is in the cavity. The bubble modes for small, large (mushroom), and intermediate size bubbles are illustrated from left to right in Figure 19. The small cavity bubble formation mode occurs when small diameter bubbles are individually formed and travel unobstructed through the gap between the fins.

Figure 20 depicts the sequence of events that describe the large cavity bubble mode. First, several small bubbles are almost simultaneously formed. If the bubbles are sufficiently congested within the cavity, they will coalesce into one large bubble. Due to the close proximity of the bubble to the cavity walls,

the bubble quickly picks up energy from the superheated liquid and ejects vapor through the fin gap while maintaining a vapor root within the cavity. When the buoyancy force on the portion of the bubble above the fins is large enough, it will cause the vapor root to be pulled out of the cavity.

Figure 21 illustrates the bubble formation mode for intermediate size bubbles. This mode occurs when a cavity bubble is larger than the gap between the fins, but not large enough to get close to the superheated liquid layer to grow rapidly. A bubble retained by the fin tips receives energy directly from the corners of the fin and grows until buoyancy forces pull it from the fin. The last two boiling modes are advantageous since they efficiently create additional vapor. That is, vapor is generated when the bubble is large and the surface-tension forces are more easily overcome.

Recall Figure 19 shows that all three boiling modes are present for R123 at $q'' = 70 \text{ kW/m}^2$. The small bubble formation mode dominates approximately 70% of the bubble formation occurrences. The bubbles are approximately 0.2 mm in diameter. The intermediate and large cavity bubble modes occur at about equal frequencies and together contribute 30% of the bubble activity.

Figure 22 is a schematic of the bubble activity for the GEWA- T^{TM} surface with R123 at $q^{"} = 30 \text{ kW/m}^2$. Natural convection density gradients or Schliere are visible over the entire surface. Most of the heat transfer surface is inactive with the exception of few sparse sites. Mushroom bubbles are not present.

GEWA-KTM

Figure 23 shows four different boiling modes for the GEWA-KTM surface with R123. These modes occur in two regions: (1) the fin-tip, and (2) the fin-root. Rarely was boiling observed on the flat tops of the fins or on the smooth portion of the sides of the fins as shown in Fig. 23. Either large, low-frequency bubbles or small, high-frequency bubbles were generated in the fin-tip or fin-root areas yielding the four modes of boiling for the GEWA-KTM. In the fin-tip region, small 0.1 mm to 0.5 mm diameter bubbles originated within 0.2 mm of the corners of the fin-tips. High frequency bubble formation in the fin-tip region was the most prevalent boiling mode. Infrequently, and only for 30 kW/m², single, large (approximately 1 mm diameter) bubbles were produced in the fin-tip region. In the fin-root region, discrete 0.3 mm diameter bubbles were produced. Less frequently, these would coalesce into a single large bubble which would fill the space between the fins and receive heat from the root and sides of the fin. More often, the large root bubble would originate from a single bubble. The large root bubble would then grow until it was approximately twice the fin height before it was released.

The heat flux influenced the intensity of the boiling mode. Overall, the fin-tip and fin-root regions were observed to be active for the range of heat flux investigated (30 to 100 kW/m²). In general and for all heat flux ranges, boiling from the side of the fin tip was most prevalent. At a heat flux of 30 kW/m² bubble production alternated from the fin-tip to the root of the fin. Large bubbles were seldom generated at the fin root for 30 kW/m². At a higher heat flux between 70 and 100 kW/m², the large bubbles from the fin root and small bubbles from the fin-tips are predominantly formed. But, most of the activity appeared to be on the side of the fin-tip.

At first consideration, it is surprising that the fin-tip boiling mode exists because the greatest wall superheat is expected at the root of the fin. However, Fath (1986) presents photographs of what appears to be boiling from the tips of the fins of a GEWA-KTM tube. Also, microscopic examination of the finned surface revealed a nonuniform roughness along the fin profile. Apparently, boiling in the fin-tip region is a consequence of that region having the greatest roughness of the profile. For example, the average measured R_a roughness was 1.3 μ m, and 0.4 μ m for the fin-tip, and fin-root, respectively. The roughness of the smooth fin-side areas could not be measured directly; however, it appeared to be nearly the same roughness as the fin-root. The representative cavity openings were 0.03 mm and 0.02 mm for the fin-tip and the fin-root areas, respectively. Most of the fin heat flux was directed to the fin-tip side and hence was diverted from the very top of the fin. The fin side was relatively smooth; consequently no boiling was observed there.

High_FluxTM

Figure 24 shows schematics of the boiling activity for the High-FluxTM surface at 28, 69, and 143 kW/m². In general, the High-FluxTM surface produced discrete, approximately 0.3 mm diameter, bubbles for all heat fluxes. For 28 kW/m², a few small, inactive areas were observed on the surface. No inactive areas were observed for the two higher heat fluxes. The bubble frequency increased from approximately 500 bubbles per second for heat fluxes of 28 and 69 kW/m² to approximately 1000 bubbles per second for 143 kW/m². The frequency of bubble coalescence increased as site density and bubble frequency increased with heat flux. For example, at low heat flux, bubbles formed completely, detached and then joined with other bubbles several millimeters above the surface. At 143 kW/m², the 0.3 mm diameter bubbles never completely formed before they were immediately sucked into large 1.5 to 5 mm bubbles nearly creating a sheet of vapor which momentarily thrashed fractions of millimeters above the surface.

Turbo-BIITM-LP

Figure 25 shows a sketch of R123 boiling activity on the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. Table 15 provides the departure diameter, the bubble frequency as a function of heat flux, and comments on the boiling activity. The representative bubble diameter and bubble frequency were essentially invariant with heat flux. The site density - or more appropriately the number of active channels - increased with increasing heat flux. At 1.2 kW/m2 one site per approximately 200 μ mm² actively produced discrete bubbles. For all observed heat fluxes greater than 1.2 kW/m², bubbles simultaneously popped up between the fin-tips for apparently the entire length of a channel. Possibly, the canopy of fins retains a long tubular vapor seed within the channel. Similarly, Stephan and Mitrovic (1982) speculated that a thin film exists in the channel around the root of the GEWA-TTM tube.

Figure 25 depicts the synchronous production of bubbles from adjacent channels spaced S_c apart. For low heat fluxes, the S_c spacing was large, and the bubbles were formed from particular channels at regular intervals. For greater heat fluxes, the S_c spacing diminished and an interaction between channels was evident from the irregular activity of the channels. For example, active channels were spaced approximately 3 mm and 1.4 mm apart for 9 kW/m² and 12.8 kW/m², respectively.

One mode of interaction between adjoining channels is illustrated in Fig. 25. The figure shows large bubbles from one channel drawing incipient bubbles from within the adjoining channel. The larger bubbles spread and coalesce with the smaller bubbles, enveloping them. It is not known if the coalescing mechanism enhances vapor production in the incipient channel.

ASCENDING HEAT FLUX DATA

Ascending heat flux tests were conducted to examine the hysteresis of the test surface performance near the onset of nucleate boiling (ONB) condition. The ascending heat flux tests were initiated at the lowest heat flux and proceeding measurements were taken for consecutively higher heat fluxes. No ascending heat flux data were taken for the GEWA-TTM surface. Also, no electric resistance heating ascending data were taken for the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. Table 7 provides the number of test days for each surface and heating condition with the starting heat flux for the tests.

The ascending heat flux test procedure examines the influence of prior vapor seeding on the boiling curve. As implied by Corty and Foust (1955), a greater superheat is required to grow a bubble from a flooded cavity than from a cavity containing a vapor nucleus. In an attempt to extinguish the active cavities before testing, the Turbo-BIITM-LP and GEWA-KTM surfaces were free of boiling prior to testing the lowest heat flux. Boiling was always present on the High-FluxTM surface. Consequently, Fig. 26 shows that no difference between ascending and descending heat flux data can be claimed since the 95% confidence intervals on q''_{d}/q''_{a} bound unity.

Figure 26 shows the fluid heating ascending heat flux data for the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. The solid line represents the mean for the fluid heating descending heat flux data for the Turbo-BIITM-LP. For heat fluxes below 60 kW/m2, the ascending data differ noticeably from the descending data. The maximum temperature difference between the ascending and descending data - loosely defined as the temperature overshoot - is approximately 2.4 K at 12 kW/m². By contrast, Jung and Bergles (1989) show a negligible temperature overshoot for the Turbo-B S tube in R113.

Figure 26 also shows the fluid heating ascending heat flux data for the GEWA-KTM surface. The solid line represents the mean for the fluid heating descending heat flux data for the GEWA-KTM surface. The ascending data agree with the descending data in the natural convection region. The temperature overshoot is approximately 0.1 K. Memory and Marto (1992) report a 2.7 K temperature overshoot for their GEWA-KTM surface in R114.

Figure 27 demonstrates that a temperature overshoot of 0.6 K was measured for the electrically heated High-FluxTM surface. Three test days suggest an overshoot and one test day (shaded boxed) closely follows the descending heat flux data for the High-FluxTM surface. Although boiling was observed on the surface prior to testing on each day, apparently the ascending heat flux data is sensitive to an unknown effect.

Figure 27 also shows a negligible temperature overshoot for the electrically heated GEWA- K^{TM} surface as was the case for the fluid heated GEWA- K^{TM} data. The GEWA- K^{TM} ascending boiling data fall with in the confidence intervals of the descending boiling data below 40 kW/m². For heat fluxes above 40 kW/m², the ascending data lie to the left of the confidence interval for the descending data. Either the ascending data above 40 kW/m² is erroneous or some unknown phenomenon is the cause.

CONCLUSIONS

Pool boiling of R123 on four commercial enhanced surfaces was investigated both calorimetrically and visually. The four surfaces were: (1) Turbo-BIITM-LP, (2) High-FluxTM, (3) GEWA-KTM, and (4) GEWA-TTM. For a Turbo-BIITM-LP heat flux change from 30 to 75 kW/m2, the heat flux of the High-FluxTM surface is approximately 1 to 2.5 times that of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. The Turbo-BIITM-LP performance is 1.5 times greater than that of the GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM surfaces at a heat flux of approximately 100 kW/m2.

Fluid heating produced a greater heat flux than electric resistance heating for pool boiling on the surfaces tested. The fluid heating condition results in heat fluxes that are as much as 32% greater than those obtained by electric resistance heating. It is speculated that an interaction between the fluctuating wall temperature and the fixed electrical heat flux induced a higher degree of superheated liquid on the electrically heated surface than on the fluid heated surface. The heating boundary condition may affect reentrant and natural cavity surfaces differently.

Bubble formation varied with surface and heat flux. Bubbles were formed on the root and tips of the fins of the GEWA-KTM surface. The GEWA-TTM surface produced mushroom bubbles at high heat flux. The High-FluxTM surface produce discrete, tiny 0.3 mm diameter bubbles. Bubbles were simultaneously produced along the channels of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was jointly funded by NIST and the U.S. Department of Energy (project no. DE-01-95CE23808.000 modification #A004) under Project Managers Esher Kweller and Bill Noel. Thanks goes to the following NIST personnel for their constructive criticism of the first draft of the manuscript: Dr. P. Domanski, Mr. P. Rothfleisch, Dr. D. Ripple, and Mrs. J. Land. The author would also like to express his appreciation to E. Bellinger and A. Dashottar for their contributions towards data collection. Furthermore, the author extends his appreciation to Dr. E. Lagergren and Dr. J. Blue for their consultations on the uncertainty analysis, and conduction solution, respectively.

-

REFERENCES

Ayub, Z.H., and Bergles, A.E., 1990, "Nucleate Pool Boiling CurveHysteresis for GEWA-TTM Surfaces in Saturated R-113," <u>Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science</u>, Vol. 3, pp. 249-255. Corty, C., and Foust, A. S., 1955, "Surface Variables in Nucleate Boiling," <u>Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp.</u> <u>Ser.</u>, No. 17, Vol. 51, pp. 1-12.

Derrick, W. R., and Grossman, S. I., 1976, <u>Elementary Differential Equations with Applications</u>, 1st ed., Addison-Wesely, Reading, p. 451.

Eckert, E. R. G., and Goldstein, R. J., 1976, <u>Measurements in Heat Transfer</u>, Hemisphere, Washington, 2nd ed., pp. 9-11.

Fath, W., 1986, "Waermeuebergangsmessungen an Glatt- und Rippenrohren in Einer Standardapparatur Fuer Siedeversuche," Dissertation, Paderborn.

Gorneflo, D., Blein, P., Caplanis, S., and Sokol, P., 1990, "Pool Boiling Heat Transfer from A GEWA-TTMX Finned Tube to Low Boiling Hydrocarbons," Progress in the Science and Technology of Refrigeration in Food Engineering, pp. 249-256.

Jung, C. and Bergles, A. E., 1989, "Evaluation of Commercial Enhanced Tubes in Pool Boiling," Topical Report, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, DOE/ID/12772--1.

Kays, W. M. and Crawford, M. E., 1980, <u>Convective Heat and Mass Transfer</u>, McGraw Hill Book Co., 2nd ed., New York, pg. 109.

Kedzierski, M. A. and Worthington III, J. L., 1993, "Design and Machining of Copper Specimens with Micro Holes for Accurate Heat Transfer Measurements," <u>Experimental Heat Transfer</u>, vol. 6, pp. 329-344.

Marto, P.J., and Lepere, V.J., 1982, "Pool Boiling Heat Transfer From Enhanced Surfaces to Dielectric Fluids," Journal of Heat Transfer, Vol. 104, pp. 292-301.

McKee, H.R., and Bell, K.J., 1968, "Forced Convection Boiling from a Cylinder Normal to the Flow," <u>Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp.</u>, Vol. 65, pp. 222-230.

McManus, S.M., Marto, P.J., and Wanniarachchi, 1986, "An Evaluation f Enhanced Heat Transfer Tubing for Use in R-114 Chillers," <u>Heat Transfer in Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Equipment</u>, ASME, HTD-Vol. 65, pp. 11-19.

Memory, S. B., 1995, Private communications, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida. Memory, S.B., and Marto, P.J., 1992, "The Influence of Oil on Boiling Hysteresis of R-114 from Enhanced Surfaces," <u>Pool and External Flow Boiling, ASME</u> pp 63-71.

Memory, S.B., Sugiyama, D.C., and Marto, P.J., 1944, "Nucleate Pool Boiling of R-114 and R-114/Oil Mixtures from Smooth and Enhanced Surfaces: Part I - Single Tubes," <u>Int J. Heat Mass Trans.</u> Vol. 38 no. 8, pp. 1347-1361.

Morrison, G, and Ward, D. K., 1991, "Thermodynamic Properties of Two Alternative Refrigerants: 1,1-Dichloro-2,2,2-Trifluoroethane (R123) and 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane (R134a)," <u>Fluid Phase Equilibria</u>, Vol 62, pp. 65-86.

Pais, C., and Webb, R, L., 1991, "Literature Survey of Pool Boiling on Enhanced Surfaces," <u>ASHRAE</u> <u>Transactions</u>, Vol. 97, Pt. 1, pp. 79-89. Pasamehmetoglu, K. O., and Nelson, R. A., 1991, "Cavity-to-Cavity Interaction in Nucleate Boiling: The Effect of Heat Conduction Within the Heater," AIChE Sym. Ser, No. 283, Vol. 87, pp. 342-351.

Singh, A., Kumar, A., Dessiatoun, S., Faani, M. A., Ohadi, M. M., and Ansari, A. I., 1993, "Compound EHD-Enhanced Pool Boiling of R-123 in a Liquid-to-Refrigerant Heat Exchanger," ASME paper #93-WA-HT-40.

Siu, M. C. I., Carroll, W. L., and Watson, T. W., 1976, "Thermal Conductivity and Electrical Resistivity of Six Copper-Base Alloys," <u>NBSIR 76-1003</u>, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington.

Smith, C. S., and Palmer, E. W., 1935, "Thermal and Electric Conductivities of Copper Alloys," <u>Trans.</u> <u>Amer. Inst. Min. Metall. Engrs.</u>, Vol. 117, pp. 225-243.

Stephan, and Mitrovic, 1982, "Heat Transfer in Natural Convective Boiling of Refrigerant-oil Mixtures," Proc. 7th Int. Heat Transfer Conf. in Munchen, Vol. 4, pp. 73-87.

Unal, C., and Pasamehmetoglu, K.O., 1994, "A Numerical Investigation of the Effect of Heating Methods on Saturated Nucleate Pool Boiling," <u>International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer</u>, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 167-177.

Webb, R. L., and McQuade, W. F., 1993, "Pool Boiling of R-11 and R-123 Refrigerant-Oil Mixtures on Plain and Enhanced Tube Geometries," <u>ASHRAE Trans.</u>, Vol, 99, pp. 1225-1236.

Webb, R.L., and Pais, C., 1992, "Nucleate Pool Boiling Data for Five Refrigerants on Plain, Integral-fin and Enhanced Tube Geometries," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, Vol. 35, No. 8, pp. 1893-1904.

Yilmaz, S., Hwalek, J.J., and Westwater, J.W., 1980, "Pool Boiling Heat Transfer Performance for Commercial Enhanced Tube Surfaces," <u>ASME 19th Nat. Heat transfer Conference</u>, Paper 80-HT-41.

APPENDIX A

This appendix outlines the procedure used to extrapolate the wall temperature of the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface. The boiling surface on the Turbo-BIITM-LP plate originated as a round tube. The tube was annealed, flattened and soldered onto the top of the plate. The average temperature of the plate-solder interface (T_i) was calculated from the regression of the two-dimensional conduction equation as described in the body of this paper. Because the solder layer was thin, a one-dimensional conduction model was used to extrapolate the temperature drop across it.

The solder was 6% silver and 94% tin by mass. The thermal conductivity of the solder was fitted to a modified form of the Smith-Palmer equation (Smith and Palmer, 1935) as:

$$k_{s} = \left(\frac{57.858 \frac{W}{mK}}{T - 38.65K}\right) T + 7.5 \frac{W}{mK}$$
(9)

where T is the temperature of the solder layer in kelvins. The Smith-Palmer equation was modified by replacing the electrical conductivity with a linear relationship with temperature.

The flattened Turbo-BIITM-LP tube was phosphorus-deoxidized copper (C12200). The thermal conductivity of the copper (k_{eu}) was fitted to:

$$k_{cu} = \left(\frac{422.46\frac{W}{mK}}{T - 63.33K}\right)T + 37.79\frac{W}{mK}$$
(10)

where T is the temperature of the copper in kelvins. The average thickness of the solder layer (t_s) and the average distance between the copper-solder layer interface and the root of the fin (t_r) were measured with an optical-video coordinate-measurement instrument (OVCM). The OVCM instrument had a resolution of 0.005 mm.

The average wall temperature at the root of the fin for the Turbo-BIITM-LP plate was calculated from:

$$T_{w} = T_{i} - q^{*} \left(\frac{t_{r}}{k_{122}} + \frac{t_{s}}{k_{s}} \right)$$
(11)

The combined standard uncertainty of T_w was calculated considering the u_c of each parameter of eqn. 11. The uncertainty of the thickness measurements were estimated to be four times the resolution of the OVCM instrument. Capillary forces during the soldering process should provide for a uniform solder thickness. The uncertainty of t_r was taken as 0.13 mm to allow for machining tolerances. The thermal conductivities of the copper and the solder were assumed to be known to within 5%. For these conditions, the temperature correction for the solder layer contributes 0.027 K, 0.01 K and 0.0002 K to the u_c of the average wall temperature for 160 kW/m², 80 kW/m², and 5 kW/m², respectively. This additional uncertainty must be added to that shown in Fig. 5 for the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface.

surface	low fluid q"	high fluid q"	low electric q"	high electric q"
GEWA-T TM	3rd order	3rd order	N/A	N/A
Turbo-BII TM -LP	1st order	1st order	1st order (<3 kW/m2)	3rd order (>3 kW/m ²)
GEWA-K TM	1st order $(< 10 \text{ kW/m}^2)$	3rd order (>10 kW/m ²)	1st order $(<5 \text{ kW/m}^2)$	3rd order (>5 kW/m ²)
High-Flux TM	1st order	1st order	3rd order	3rd order

Table 1a Conduction model choice: descending heating

_

Table 1b Conduction model choice: ascending heating

surface	low fluid q"	high fluid q"	low electric q"	high electric q"
GEWA-T TM	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Turbo-BII TM -LP	1st order	1st order	N/A	N/A
GEWA-K TM	3rd order	3rd order	3rd order	3rd order
High-Flux TM	1st order	1st order	1st order (<8 kW/m ²)	3rd order (>8 kW/m ²)

-

surface	fluid q"	electric q"
GEWA-T TM	0.10(C) 0.07(B)	n/a
Turbo-BII TM -LP	0.07	0.07
GEWA-K TM	0.37(C) 0.06(B)	0.13(C) 0.08(B)
High-Flux TM	0.04	0.06

Table 2 Average magnitude of 95% confidence interval for mean T_w - T_s (K) descending heat flux

Table 3 Residual standard deviation of descending q" data from the mean (K)

surface	descending fluid q"	descending electric q"
GEWA-T TM	0.03(C) 0.07(B)	N/A
TURBO-BII TM -LP	0.11	0.08
GEWA-K TM	0.13(C) 0.07(B)	0.11(C) 0.08(B)
High-Flux TM	0.06	0.06

Table 4 Constants for Boiling Curve Fits: ΔT_s vs. q" $\Delta T_s = A_0 + A_1 q'' + A_2 q'^{2} + A_3 q'^{3}$

surface (HEATING)	A ₀	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃
GEWA-T [™] ∆T _s ≥7.5K (FLUID) ∆T _s ≤7.5K	6.89731 -1.52146	0.530960x10 ⁻⁴ 0.920022x10 ⁻³	-0.937179x10 ⁻⁹ -0.327272x10 ⁻⁷	0.703352x10 ⁻¹⁴ 0.471743x10 ⁻¹²
Turbo-BII™-LP (FLUID)	0.733265	0.311122x10-4	0.241225x10 ⁻⁹	-0.385672x10 ⁻¹⁵
Turbo-BII™-LP (ELECTRIC)	0.709359	0.443598x10-4	0.511582x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.395632x10 ⁻¹⁵
GEWA-K TM ∆T,≥7.59K (FLUID) ∆T,≤7.59K	7.05375 1.62458	0.372209x10 ⁻⁴ 0.243625x10 ⁻³	-0.446787×10 ^{.9} 0.131528x10 ^{.7}	0.330430×10 ⁻¹⁴ -0.528259x10 ⁻¹²
GEWA-K™ ΔT,≥7.78K ELECTRIC)∆T,≤7.78K	6.30498 0.947130	0.995919x10 ⁻⁴ 0.527379x10 ⁻³	-0.182387x10 ⁻⁸ -0.156592x10 ⁻⁷	0.136843x10 ⁻¹³ 0.288654x10 ⁻¹²
High-Flux™ - (FLUID)	1.42189	0.167478x10⁴	-0.444194x10 ⁻¹¹	-0.240319x10 ⁻¹⁶
High-Flux™ (ELECTRIC)	1.33200	0.274190x10 ⁻⁴	-0.222084x10 ⁻⁹	0.129175x10 ⁻¹⁴

Table 5 Constants for Boiling Curve Fits: q" vs. ΔT_s $q'' = A_0 + A_1 \Delta T_s + A_2 \Delta T_s^2 + A_3 \Delta T_s^3$

surface (HEATING)	A ₀	A	A ₂	A ₃
GEWA-T™ ∆T,≥7.5K (FLUID) ∆T,≤7.5K	0.122228x10 ⁸ -7628.71	-0.482244x10 ⁷ 7013.96	625757. -1154.96	-26655.8 93.9596
Turbo-bll (FLUID)	-17330.1	27872.2	-1705.49	65.0673
Turbo-bII (ELECTRIC)	-16285.0	23520.8	-621.034	-23.8223
GEWA-K™ ∆T _s ≥7.59K (FLUID) ∆T _s ≤7.59K	-5904.62 -13204.4	-158327.0 9317.05	34799.2 -1445.05	-1787.72 105.047
GEWA-K™ ΔT,≥7.78K ELECTRIC)∆T,≤7.78K	0.189468x10 ⁸ 222.250	-0.687951x10 ⁷ 10.4304	828591 514.308	-33029.4 -22.4823
High-Flux™ (FLUID)	-49287.5	18015.0	14747.2	-1446.91
High-Flux™ (ELECTRIC)	188701	-308939	160825	-22950.7

Table 6 CNB for GEWA-TTM and GEWA-KTM Plates

Surface	CNB Fluid Heating (K)	CNB Electric Heating (K)
GEWA-T TM	7.5	N/A
GEWA-K TM	7.59	7.78

Table 7 Number of Test Days and Nominal initial heat flux

surface	ascending fluid q"	descending fluid q"	ascending electric q"	descending electric q"
$GEWA-T^{TM}$	n/a	six days (54 points) 80 kW/m ²	n/a	n/a
TURBO- BII [™] -LP	four days (39 points) 1.5 kW/m ²	six days (107 pts.) 160 kW/m ²	n/a	four days (59 pts.) 80 kW/m ²
GEWA-K TM	four days (14 points) 9.7 kW/m ²	six days (75 points) 110 kW/m ²	One day (26 points) 13 kW/m ²	six days (82 pts.) 80 kW/m ²
High-Flux TM	three days (30 points) 12-38 kW/m ²	five days (73 points) 180 kW/m ²	four days (29 points) 2 kW/m ²	three days (42 pts.) 90 kW/m ²

٩n

8.86484	80039.1
8 77040	90459 0
0.11909	00030.0
8.78644	79629.4
9 4//17	75378 0
0.04417	(22/0.9
8.49976	70874 8
0 (0577	1001410
8.40555	66551.0
8 22669	57422 9
0.100/7	1922.7
8.10867	49311.0
8.00348	41011 5
7.00040	410111.5
7.92111	35171.8
7 8/760	28600 5
1.04703	20007.2
7.66995	22779.6
7 17/7/	170/1 9
1.1/4/4	1/941.0
6.46906	14837.9
6 / 5202	4/000 6
0.43202	14600.5
5.40524	11240.0
5 77/05	1124010
5.33685	11245.8
5 30380	11/26 2
2.37300	11420.2
4.57019	9219.97
1 5/179	0224 45
4.94170	9220.03
4.59836	9367.33
9 75204	92009 7
0.15290	02000.5
8.75897	82040.7
9 507/7	7/0/0 7
0.39303	74940.5
8.51382	70214.5
0 51705	71577 0
0.21202	11221.0
8-48810	70629 5
0 / 5074	70/20 7
8.45071	70629.7
8 42072	6837/ 3
0.42072	00314.5
8.38223	66486.4
8 18260	55820 2
0.10240	55020.2
7.97855	38974.5
8 78480	7508/ 5
0.70000	13904.3
8.69168	76412.1
8 54171	40/27 E
0.0001	09423.3
8.14877	50283.6
9 17005	50/0/ 2
8.11905	J0494.2
7.99146	38052.2
8 01270	20131 3
0.01219	30121.2
7.87909	32388.8
7 7707/	25040 7
1.(1050	25910.7
7 74783	25563 9
0 (7/05	
8.47626	76140.5
8 /7760	76018 7
8.47700	10010.1
8.32248	67925.4
9 71711	(920/ 2
0.31311	00290.2
8.25708	64048.4
8 27755	4/107 7
0.23/33	04123.3
8.22150	62318-7
9 17000	42407 0
0.1/999	02001.9
8.09698	58639.6
8 00779	E0057 F
0.07210	20022.2
8.00772	50448 1
7 00745	3/0/0.1
1.82315	56960.0

-

4.10724174903.3.93167168494.3.73483155409.3.37521128187.3.11890112015.2.9033893762.12.582071490.32.3498258232.62.1516143259.21.9061929563.41.8394222519.51.7869617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8113718840.11.775513704.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.205438511.41.6629815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.620841450.2 </th <th>ΔT_s</th> <th>d,,</th>	ΔT_s	d,,
3.93167168494.3.75483155409.3.37521128187.3.11890112015.2.9033893762.12.5582071490.32.3498258232.62.1516143259.21.9061929563.41.8394222519.51.7669617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.205438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.813718840.11.7575417313.11.6629815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.6774513470.83.74194143865.3.65414138675.	4.10724	174903.
3.73483 155409. 3.37521 128187. 3.11890 112015. 2.90338 93762.1 2.55820 71490.3 2.34982 58232.6 2.15161 43259.2 1.90619 29563.4 1.85942 22519.5 1.78696 17939.7 3.45743 130594. 3.43271 125173. 3.17212 112326. 2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48383 69082.1 2.26699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.6046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2	3.93167	168494.
3.1321 12015. 3.11890 112015. 2.90338 93762.1 2.55820 71490.3 2.34982 58232.6 2.15161 43259.2 1.90619 2963.4 1.83942 22519.5 1.78696 17939.7 3.45743 130594. 3.43271 125173. 3.17212 112326. 2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48833 69082.1 2.78699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923	3.73483	155409.
2.90338 93762.1 2.55820 71490.3 2.34982 58232.6 2.15161 43259.2 1.90619 29563.4 1.83942 22519.5 1.78696 17939.7 3.45743 130594. 3.43271 125173. 3.17212 112326. 2.92005 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48383 69082.1 2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 <	3.11890	112015.
2.5582071490.32.3498258232.62.1516143259.21.9061929563.41.8394222519.51.7869617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.631877354.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.813718840.11.7575417313.11.6669815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.33.74194143865.3.65414138675.3.65414138675.3.6374109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.0<	2.90338	93762.1
2.3498258232.62.3498258232.62.19061929563.41.8394222519.51.7869617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8113718840.11.7755417313.11.6662814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.6170012045.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.642513603.91.625813556.41.620871296.91.625813642.9<	2.55820	71490.3
21.90619 29563.4 1.90619 29563.4 1.83942 22519.5 1.78696 17939.7 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 3.45743 130594. 2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48833 69082.1 2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553	2.34982	58252.6 43259 2
1.8394222519.51.7869617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8113718840.11.7575417313.11.6669815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.6170012045.91.6482513670.83.74194143865.3.65414138675.3.43414126724.3.20734109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.02.4988764082.92.2818353564.02.0565535435.01.8143028402.11.8087821968.41.622881445	1.90619	29563.4
1.7869617939.73.45743130594.3.43271125173.3.17212112326.2.9290595877.72.6355980081.82.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.455360172.02.2683150326.42.205438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.813718800.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.670012045.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.6062912215.91.6482513670.83.74194143865.3.65414138675.3.43414126724.3.20734109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.02.4988764082.92.2818353564.02.055535435.0<	1.83942	22519.5
3.43271 125173. 3.43271 125173. 3.17212 112326. 2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48383 69082.1 2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.64046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.0597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.66317 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.2054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.8137 1840.1 1.75754 1	1.78696	17939.7
3.17212 $112326.$ 2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48383 69082.1 2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 $140951.$ 3.58872 $131010.$ 3.30597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.66187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 $1438675.$ 3.65414 $138675.$ 2.70239 79672.0 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 $1.$	3.43271	125173.
2.92905 95877.7 2.63559 80081.8 2.48383 69082.1 2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85922 22761.4 1.85925 14960.4 1.62082 <	3.17212	112326.
2.6333760081.62.4838369082.12.2869955058.52.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8755417313.11.6869815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.33.6170012045.91.6062912215.91.5478513470.83.74194143865.3.65414138675.3.63414126724.2.0734109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.02.4988764082.92.2818353564.02.0565535435.01.8143028402.11.8087821968.41.625813575.81.626281456.31.625813595.81.625813595.81.625813574.51.6265511574.51.6267511445.6 <td>2.92905</td> <td>95877.7</td>	2.92905	95877.7
2.28699 55058.5 2.06992 44343.6 1.95483 30935.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.0597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 8697.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.9754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.60282 12376.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629	2.48383	69082.1
2.0699244343.61.9548330935.11.7712422330.51.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.8872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8113718840.11.7755417313.11.6669815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.6170012045.91.6662912215.91.6662912215.91.6662912215.91.6662912215.91.6662912215.91.6662912215.91.6062912215.91.6062912215.91.64825136675.3.43414126724.3.20734109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.02.4988764082.92.2818353564.02.0565535435.01.8143028402.11.8087821968.41.625813603.91.625813595.8	2.28699	55058.5
1.92463 3095.1 1.77124 22330.5 1.64829 16100.9 1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 $140951.$ 3.8872 $131010.$ 3.30597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.8137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.66698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.67455 13470.8 3.74194 $1438655.$ 3.43414 $126724.$ 3.20734 $109271.$ 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.62588 13595.8 1.6258 13603.9 1.62588	2.06992	44343.6
1.6482916100.91.6482916100.91.5871912863.31.5363811239.51.600468115.091.456307655.671.480165279.213.71759140951.3.58872131010.3.30597117797.3.1019999332.92.8392386937.52.6318773504.72.4455360172.02.2683150326.42.2683150326.42.2683150326.42.2683150326.42.2683150326.42.405438511.41.8534532697.51.9754326028.41.8292222761.41.8113718840.11.7575417313.11.6669815429.61.6624814890.31.7042514960.41.6208212376.51.6543011871.51.5511510784.31.6170012045.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.662912215.91.6062912215.91.6482513470.83.74194143865.3.65414138675.3.43414126724.3.20734109271.2.9028993546.52.7023979672.02.4988764082.92.2818353564.02.0565535435.01.8143028402.11.8087821968.41.625813603.9 <td>1.95485</td> <td>22330 5</td>	1.95485	22330 5
1.58719 12863.3 1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 $140951.$ 3.58872 $131010.$ 3.30597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 2.26831 50326.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.66698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 2.70239 79672.0 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.90287 64082.9 2.2183 53564.0 2.056	1.64829	16100.9
1.53638 11239.5 1.60046 8115.09 1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 $140951.$ 3.58872 $131010.$ 3.30597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.2454 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.8137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.66698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.62588 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.625875 11445.6 1.625875 11445.6 1.625875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.58719	12863.3
1.45630 7655.67 1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 140951. 3.58872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.8137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6470.8 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 <t< td=""><td>1.53638</td><td>11239.5</td></t<>	1.53638	11239.5
1.48016 5279.21 3.71759 $140951.$ 3.58872 $131010.$ 3.30597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85245 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85245 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85245 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85245 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.60282 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.67700 12045.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.67841 $138675.$ 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.65414 $138675.$ 3.65414 $138675.$ 3.632414 $126724.$ 2.0734 $109271.$ 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.6226	1.45630	7655.67
3.71759 $140951.$ 3.58872 $131010.$ 3.0597 $117797.$ 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85922 22761.4 $1.8840.1$ 1.75754 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.60282 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.648414 $126724.$ 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.65414 $138675.$ 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.65414 12872.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.62967 12996.9 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.625875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.48016	5279.21
3.38872 131010. 3.30597 117797. 3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.8137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.55115 10784.3 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 2.0734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.	3.71759	140951.
3.10199 99332.9 2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32607.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32607.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.66629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 92215.9 1.64825 13470.8 3.74194 138675. 3.4414 <t< td=""><td>3.58872</td><td>117797.</td></t<>	3.58872	117797.
2.83923 86937.5 2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.66698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.66299 12215.9 1.64785 13470.8 3.74194 143865.5 3.43414 126724.5 3.20734 109271.5 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.62288 14456.3 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64263 11445.6 1.62575 11445.6 1.62675 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	3.10199	99332.9
2.63187 73504.7 2.44553 60172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66282 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.6629 12215.9 1.64825 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28	2.83923	86937.5
2.44355 50172.0 2.26831 50326.4 2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.66698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.62876 <	2.63187	73504.7
2.24054 38511.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 <	2.44555	50326.4
1.85345 32697.5 1.97543 26028.4 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.65414 $138675.$ 3.43414 $126724.$ 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.62267 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.6258 13595.8 1.6274.5 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	2.24054	38511.4
1.77343 2020-3 1.82922 22761.4 1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 2.0734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13	1.85345	32697.5
1.81137 18840.1 1.75754 17313.1 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.60282 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.64144 $138675.$ 3.43414 $126724.$ 2.0734 $109271.$ 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.82922	22761.4
1.75754 $1/315.1$ 1.68698 15429.6 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 $143865.$ 3.43414 $126724.$ 3.20734 $109271.$ 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.66238 14456.3 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 11574.5 1.62267 11574.5 1.622675 11574.5 1.62275 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.81137	18840.1
1.66248 14890.3 1.66248 14890.3 1.70425 14960.4 1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.66429 12215.9 1.66629 12215.9 1.64704 143865. 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.62267 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62565 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.75754	17313.1
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1.66248	14890.3
1.62082 12376.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 1574.5 1.62625 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.70425	14960.4
1.65430 11871.5 1.55115 10784.3 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.00289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.6258 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.62082	12376.5
1.61700 12045.9 1.61700 12045.9 1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.6258 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62625 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.65430	110/1.0
1.60629 12215.9 1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 11574.5 1.62625 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.61700	12045.9
1.54785 13470.8 3.74194 143865. 3.65414 138675. 3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62558 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64263 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6	1.60629	12215.9
3.65414 138675. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.6258 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64265 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.54785	13470.8
3.43414 126724. 3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.6238 14456.3 1.6258 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64265 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.66255 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	3.65414	138675.
3.20734 109271. 2.90289 93546.5 2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.62558 13595.8 1.66025 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62575 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	3.43414	126724.
2.70239 79672.0 2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.75842 16524.8 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 122441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62575 11445.6	3.20734	109271.
2.49887 64082.9 2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12241.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	2.70239	79672.0
2.28183 53564.0 2.05655 35435.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	2.49887	64082.9
2.03633 33431.0 1.81430 28402.1 1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.662875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	2.28183	53564.0
1.80878 21968.4 1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64865 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.81430	28402.1
1.78073 18755.6 1.75842 16524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.64425 13603.9 1.64425 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.66025 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.80878	21968.4
1.6242 10524.8 1.66238 14456.3 1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.66025 11574.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.78073	18755.6
1.62967 12996.9 1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.66238	14456.3
1.64825 13603.9 1.62558 13595.8 1.63174 12441.4 1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.62967	12996.9
1.63174 12441.4 1.6468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.64825	13603.9
1.64468 12256.5 1.66025 11574.5 1.62875 11445.6 1.59976 12434.7	1.63174	12441.4
1.6602511574.51.6287511445.61.5997612434.7	1.64468	12256.5
1.59976 12434.7	1.66025	11574.5
	1.59976	12434.7

Table 10 GEWA-KTM fluid descending heating

٩u

-

63701.4 49899.0

	Table TO	GEWA-K- TU
q"		ΔT _s
107272. 103124.		8.44568 8.25146
88867.7		
84683.7 85637 0		
84026.2		
72253.0		
58887.1		
33381.3		
22276.3		
98533.9		
99006.6		
89201.0		
86110.6		
86281.0		
84796.5		
83134.5		
83728.2		
79560.4		
70699.2		
70185.8		
42396.2		
33099.1		
102106.		
95382.1		
88409.0 82926.6		
80966.0		
76443.8		
65888.5		
52775.1		
41721.2		
28569.2		
19405.6		
9763.38		
7792.51		
5448.35		
104624.		
104433.		
92499.8		
87649.1		
86663.7 82413 3		
71762.5		
56523.6		
33411.1		
22291.2		
10014.4		
8924.48		
6439.85 5429 35		
95133.6		
94701.5		
81052.2		
77146.6		
74846.4		
71780.8		
	q" 107272. 103124. 88683.7 84683.7 85637.0 84026.2 72253.0 58887.1 43067.4 33381.3 22276.3 102497. 98533.9 99006.6 89201.0 90686.2 86110.6 842497. 98533.9 99006.6 89201.0 90686.2 86110.6 842497. 98533.9 99006.6 85281.0 84461.5 84796.5 83728.2 78826.8 79560.4 70699.2 70185.8 56841.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 856841.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 856841.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 856841.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 85684.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 85684.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 85684.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 8066.0 76443.8 65803.4 65888.5 52775.1 41721.2 28551.6 28569.2 19405.6 13876.4 9763.38 7792.51 5448.35 56523.6 43775.2 33411.1 22291.2 14855.1 10014.4 8924.88 5429.35 95133.6 94701.5 88856.5 81052.2 7746.6 74846.4 74957.0 71780.8	q" 107272. 103124. 8867.7 84683.7 85637.0 84026.2 72253.0 58887.1 43067.4 33381.3 22276.3 102497. 98533.9 99006.6 89201.0 90686.2 86110.6 86281.0 84461.5 84796.5 83134.5 83728.2 78826.8 79560.4 7069.2 70185.8 56841.9 42396.2 33099.1 23481.8 102106. 95382.1 88409.0 82926.6 80966.0 76443.8 65803.4 65803.4 65803.4 65864.9 9763.38 7792.51 5448.35 64087.7 104624. 100208. 92499.8 87649.

Table 11 Turbo-BIITM-LP fluid descending heating

-

ΔT _s	du	ΔT _s	q"
10.2746	158366.	8.91528	143738.
10.0995	157814.	8.96359	141354.
9.26923	146795.	7.93472	128049.
8.00162	138406.	6 67773	11/55/.
7.89710	126918.	6,06094	101191
6.24231	103866.	5.52020	94139_6
4.55344	79384.0	4.87750	83610.7
4.59604	79677.8	4-41898	76356.7
3.49493	62471.9	3.86267	68181.3
2 75299	49427.7	2 46002	41636 R
9.92673	158684.	3.85587	67871.5
9.68677	156063.	3.90930	69398.4
9.36884	149725.	3.98682	71266.6
8.90378	143614.	3.90997	71243.7
8.69861	140015	2.78107	49387 3
7.72803	128213.	2.44275	42441.9
7.91574	129840.	2.15344	36741.3
6.29614	109087.	1.90283	31256.0
4.96/16	89264.1	1.47934	21508.8
4.93390	74038 5	1 19986	16773 4
4.00046	73738.0	1.05542	11263.7
2.99017	53642.4	0.974884	9522.98
2.87561	52144.0	0.962799	8622.63
2.80658	50411.7	0.906830	7760.74
2.20740	36776 5	0.900189	7485.84
1.63086	22048.6	0.879639	6856.52
1.61780	22273.9	0.825012	6568.47
1.26050	13195.7	0.837677	6472.51
1.21344	12731.1		
3 38504	60260 4		
3.57449	63568.8		
3.04593	53774.3		
3.05743	54050.1		
2.73630	47885.6		
2.00031	4/120.2		
2.41556	41233.8		
2.09537	35353.2		
2.12790	35286.7		
1.78796	27415.9		
1.55563	21977.4		
1.59759	22720.3		
1.41489	17595.4		
1.45975	17778.1		
1.201//	14373.1		
1.12863	11292.6		
1.12863	11292.6		
1.11816	9227.81		
10.5053	161308.		
10.4612	161665		
9.98398	150440.		
9.48340	147211.		
9.30984	145355.		
9.12103 8 11252	142826.		
6.58481	108310.		
5.55810	- 94998.8		
4.40448	77430.6		
3.25366	55433.8		
2.07563	45895.2		
1.65863	20089.9		
8.95450	144208.		
8.75412	142107.		
9.01407	144686.		

ΔT_s	q"
2.83548	90764.7
2.73718	80612.7
2.61566	74199.0
2.46991	66543.5
2.38260	59612.2
2.28809	53975.9
2.23810	482/6.6
2.10300	41332.0
1 94104	32368 0
1.94476	28509.2
1.83984	24587.0
1.78839	21354.7
1.71948	18265.2
1.68366	15670.6
1.62787	13446.0
1.56479	11198.0
3.01175	90842.7
2.85446	81068.8
2.74246	74904.5
2.59365	66730.4
2.21019	60219.1 5/109.7
2.41022	24120.3 /8342 0
2.25455	43011 3
2,18839	37509.7
2.05661	31939.6
2.02295	28221.8
1.94339	24908.7
1.89011	21241.2
1.84259	18562.6
1.76251	15920.4
1.70267	13468.8
2.94098	88426.3
2.81595	80893.6
2.66659	13272.5
2.01200	66741.7
2.36612	53884 4
2.27811	49285 0
2.18552	42181_1
2.14407	37774.1

ΔT,	q"	ΔT _s	d "		
8 00222	6887/ 5	8 11768	36752 7		
8.70941	62685-3	8.01715	30254.2		
8.55338	56355.3	7.84534	25432.3		
8.51205	47831.6	7.67612	21051.2		
8.33438	41101.4	7.02972	17198.3	-	
8.23682	34978.4	7.71448	20304.9		
8.08530	30286.4	7.81366	25652.7		
7.95740	22262.9	8.02298	51149.1		
6 83713	17472 2				
6.12411	14656.6				
5.54211	12271.9				
4.99805	10033.6				
4.53427	8448.09				
4.03299	(145.85 5084 02				
3.22726	4608-04				
3.09222	4550.50				
2.61899	3741.17				
2.41214	3133.03				
2.11456	2402.32				
1.88455	1858.41				
1.65650	1449.75				
8.88931	69056.5				
8.69327	62365.2				
8.54248	57222.9				
8.38168	49637.0				
8.31024	42/01.9				
7.95795	31401.1				
7.81281	24456.6				
7.58850	20886.0				
7.09338	18687.8				
6.19232	14983.4				
5.47000	12282.8				
4 44030	8437 88				
5.31455	11650.6				
6.67029	16655.9				
7.81677	21937.5				
7.81677	21937.5				
9.13443	68617.5				
9.04355	68644.2				
8.82764	61146.7				
8.63184	55769.1				
8.54166	49505.6				
8.30023	42200.1				
8.07361	29993.5				
7.90533	24808.5				
7.65375	19951.2				
7.00140	16936.9				
7.70000 9.9/379	20280.1				
8.66272	60816.2				
8.54706	54670.4				
8.35342	47786.3				
8.29065	42153.8				
8.18356	35//0.2				
7 70358	27856 0				
7.60644	19568.6				
6.85281	16723.4				
7.55630	- 19818.8				
7.82480	24887.7				
7.92157 7 04505	29781.7 31086 2				
8,91290	69670.3				
8.53931	61529.0				
8.50412	55123.8				
8.23734	48520.1				
8.31348	42090.2				

Table 13 GEWA-KTM electric resistance descending heating

ΔT_s	q"
4.05191	63468.2
3.70630	58285.0
3.35028	53145.1
2.99493	47096.5
2.55304	39593.6
2.39191	34524.8
2.11792	30946.8
2.10281	26674.3
2.10201	20074.2
4-68283	78248.2
4.66156	78295.6
3.93820	64680.3
3.56946	58648.7
3.28189	53218.4
3.25742	52775.1
2.89398	47244.3
2.73404	424/6.8
2.209/1	51032 0
4.08414	64280.8
4.61054	76968.6
4.28821	71554.3
3.85052	65038.6
3.46100	58691.0
3.15418	53055.9
2.84921	47706.4
2.69104	42804.8
2.30930	226240.4
2 02921	29481 2
1.88586	25579.9
1.65897	22122.5
1.48532	16260.4
1.28030	12138.8
1.16336	8553.83
0.980102	5840.80
4 19971	2404.99 70619 0
4.47162	76466.2
3.80524	64835.3
3.48303	58600.0
3.23553	54031.3
3.02222	47965.3
2.69968	43146.0
2.42639	37386.9
2.10040	22082.4 20752 7
1.80576	25057 7
1.66211	22093.6
1.57297	19572.9
1.49616	15653.0
1.35019	14034.8
1.25153	11827.6
1.1/554	10180.1
1.09554	8737.31
0 922363	0712.07 5611 50
0.869110	4317.13

q" (kW/m2)	D _b (mm)	f _b (bub/s)	comments
1.2	2	50	single, active site
9	0.6 - 0.8	30 - 60	entire channel simultaneously active, channels spaced 3mm apart (no interaction)
12.8	0.9	30 - 135	entire channel simultaneously active, channels spaced 1.4 mm apart and interacting
27	0.8 - 1.4	15 - 200	ten adjoining active channels then 2-4 inactive channels
36.5	0.8 - 1.6	70 - 200	eight out of twelve channels active
77	0.6	nearly continuously active	many adjoining channels simultaneously active
160	0.7 - 2.3	nearly continuously active	nearly all channels producing bubbles simultaneously and continuously

Table 15 Turbo-bII LP bubble activity summary



Fig. 1 Enhanced surfaces of study (all dimensions in millimeters) GEWA-K



Fig. 2 GEWA-TTM test plate and thermocouple coordinate system



Fig. 3 Schematic of test rig



Fig. 4 Within-run heat flux measurement u_c



Fig. 5 Within-run wall temperature measurement \boldsymbol{u}_{e}



Fig. 6 Fluid heating descending q" pool boiling curves



Fig. 7 GEWA-T[™] pool boiling curve



Fig. 8 GEWA-K[™] pool boiling curve



Fig. 9 Electric resistance heating descending q" pool boiling curves



Fig. 10 Comparison of NIST data to Webb and Pais (1992) electric q" data fits







Fig. 12 Ratio of Turbo-BIITM-LP to GEWA-KTM and GEWA-TTM heat fluxes for electric and fluid heating



Fig. 13 Ratio of GEWA-TTM to GEWA-KTM heat flux for fluid heating



Fig. 14 Comparison of fluid to electric heating for the Turbo-BII™-LP, High-Flux™, and GEWA-K™ surfaces







Fig. 16 Statistical verification of Turbo-BIITM-LP fluid and electric heating relationship







Fig. 18 Speculation on reason for difference between fluid and electric heating pool boiling curves

_



Three different modes of bubble evolution

Fig. 19 GEWA-TTM boiling modes for R123 at $q^{\mu} = 70 \text{ kW/m2}$



Sequence of events for large cavity bubble

Fig. 20 Mushroom bubble evolution in GEWA-TTM cavity for R123 $\,$



Sequence of events for intermediate size cavity bubble





Fig. 22 Bubble activity for the GEWA-TTM surface with R123 at q" = 30 kW/m²

_



Four different modes of bubble evolution





Fig. 24 Boiling activity for the High-FluxTM surface at 28, 69, and 143 kW/m²



Fig. 25 R123 boiling activity on the Turbo-BIITM-LP surface

.....



Fig. 26 Fluid heating ascending heat flux data for the Turbo-BII™-LP, and GEWA-K™ surfaces



