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FOREWORD

The Office of Law Enforcement Standards (OLES) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) furnishes technical support to the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) program to strengthen law enforcement and criminal justice in the United States. OLES’s function is to conduct research that will assist law enforcement and criminal justice agencies in the selection and procurement of quality equipment.

OLES is: (1) Subjecting existing equipment to laboratory testing and evaluation, and (2) conducting research leading to the development of several series of documents, including national standards, user guides, and technical reports.

This document covers research conducted by OLES under the sponsorship of the NIJ. Additional reports as well as other documents are being issued under the OLES program in the areas of protective clothing and equipment, communications systems, emergency equipment, investigative aids, security systems, vehicles, weapons, and analytical techniques and standard reference materials used by the forensic community.

Technical comments and suggestions concerning this report are invited from all interested parties. They may be addressed to the Office of Law Enforcement Standards, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8102.

David G. Boyd, Director
Office of Science and Technology
National Institute of Justice
PREFACE

This is the second report in a two-year project on Detection of Electronic Bomb Detonators, and it covers the period from January 1998 to October 1998. The project is sponsored by the National Institute of Justice, David G. Boyd, Director, Office of Science and Technology. The research was performed by the NIST Radio Frequency Technology Division and was monitored by A. George Lieberman, Program Manager for Communications Systems, and Kathleen M. Higgins, Director of the Office of Law Enforcement Standards (OLES).

The main topics addressed in this report are (1) the development of a new method for characterizing weak low-frequency emitters from measurements in TEM-cells and (2) the measured emissions from commercial electronic timers of the type that could be used in bomb detonators. The new TEM-cell measurement method is important because it satisfies the need to provide a simple, quantitative method for characterizing low-frequency electric and magnetic field emissions from electronic timers. The method has higher sensitivity than previous methods, and we have been able to measure low-frequency, electric-dipole emissions from two commercial electronic timers. The magnetic-dipole radiation was found to be below the noise floor of the measurement system. The radiated power was found to be too low to attempt far-field detection from radiated fields.

The practical implication of these TEM-cell measurements on commercial electronic timers is that they do radiate low-frequency electric fields that can be detected in a sensitive TEM cell. Such a device could be used for inspection of luggage that would be passed through the cell, but a portable detection system that could be used to inspect a site would be more desirable. The results in this report indicate that future work should include a feasibility study of near-field, dipole-antenna arrays for detection of low-frequency, electric-field emissions from electronic timers. The main question to be answered is what is the range of a portable dipole array for detecting weak, electric-dipole fields in a real-world, noisy environment.

The first report on this project covered the theory of separating the fields of interest (from electronic timers) from undesired noise fields from external sources by using the techniques of spherical, near-field scanning as developed at NIST:
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This report presents a new method for determining the equivalent electric and magnetic dipole moments of an electrically small emitter from TEM-cell measurements. The electric dipole moments are determined from open-circuit measurements, and the magnetic dipole moments are determined from short-circuit measurements. The method has the advantages of simplicity in separating the electric and magnetic dipole emissions and increased sensitivity resulting from the in-phase reflection from the open- or short-circuited port. The method has been used on two commercial electronic timers, and in both cases the electric dipole moment was measurable, but the magnetic dipole response was below the noise floor. These results have practical application to the problem of detection of electronic bomb detonators.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electrically small emitters (with dimensions much less than a free-space wavelength) can be characterized by their electric and magnetic dipole moments. Measurement of these dipole moments could be viewed as a special case of spherical, near-field scanning [1] with only six unknowns (three orthogonal electric and three orthogonal magnetic dipole moments) to be determined [2]. Specialized measurement methods using three orthogonal loop antennas [3] or both ports of a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) cell [4] have also been implemented.

The purpose of this report is to present a new TEM-cell measurement method that uses an open-circuited cell to determine electric dipole moments or a short-circuited cell to determine magnetic dipole moments. This method has a smaller bandwidth than the earlier TEM-cell method [4], but it is more sensitive. The increased sensitivity has been found useful in measuring weak, low-frequency emissions from electronic timers of the type that could be used in a bomb detonator.
2. RECIPROcity theory for a TEM cell

TEM cells [5] have been used extensively in electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) measurements because they provide a shielded environment and have no low-frequency cutoff. They typically have a uniform section of rectangular coaxial transmission line tapered at each end to adapt to standard coaxial connectors as shown in figure 1. The uniform center section and tapered transitions usually have a characteristic impedance of 50 Ω along their entire length.

A cross section of the center uniform section of the cell is shown in figure 2. The equipment under test (EUT) is placed on the centerline (x axis) to take advantage of the cell symmetry. The cell is assumed to be symmetrical about both the xz and yz planes. Only the TEM mode is considered in this analysis; so the frequency of operation must be below the cutoff frequencies of all higher-order modes [6],[7].

Excitation of a TEM cell by an electrically small EUT is best analyzed using reciprocity theory [8], and an analysis for general terminations of the two ports is presented in the Appendix. However, our measurement configuration uses either an open or short circuit at one end with a detector at the opposite end. These simpler configurations are easier to analyze and provide more physical insight; so they will be analyzed in detail in this section.

2.1 Electric-Dipole Excitation of an Open-Circuited Cell

A side view of an open-circuited TEM cell is shown in figure 3. The opposite port is terminated with an impedance Zt which is arbitrary at this point. The surface S coincides with the interior boundary of the cell and also crosses the coaxial transmission lines at both ports. Reciprocity theory requires two field states which we denote states 1 and 2. The electric and magnetic fields, \( E_1 \) and \( H_1 \), of state 1 are generated by a voltage source at the right-hand termination. The electric and magnetic fields, \( E_2 \) and \( H_2 \), are generated by electric and magnetic currents, \( J_2 \) and \( M_2 \), in the EUT. A general reciprocity relationship involving both surface (S) and volume (V) integrals can be written [8]

\[
\oint_S [E_1(r) \times H_2(r) - E_2(r) \times H_1(r)] \cdot \hat{n} \, dS = -\iiint_V [J_2(r) \times E_1(r) - M_2(r) \times H_1(r)] \, dV, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \hat{n} \) is the outward unit normal to the surface S. The time dependence of the fields and currents is \( \exp(j\omega t) \). Since the cell is open circuited and the length L is much smaller than the free-space wavelength \( \lambda \), the reflection from the open circuit doubles the electric field in the test volume due to the voltage source (state 1) and cancels the magnetic field in the test volume. So the second term on the right side of eq (1) vanishes.

For an electrically small EUT located as indicated in figure 3, the electric current \( J_2 \) can be approximated

\[
J_2(r) = \left[ \hat{x}d_{ex} + \hat{y}d_{ey} + \hat{z}d_{ez} \right] \delta(x)\delta(y - y_1)\delta(z), \tag{2}
\]

where \( d_{ex}, d_{ey}, \) and \( d_{ez} \) are x-, y-, and z-directed current moments, \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function, and \( y_1 \) is the center height of the EUT above the septum. We use the term current moment because \( d_{ex}, d_{ey}, \) and \( d_{ez} \) have dimensions of current times length (A•m). (We will later use the
term dipole moment for charge times length.) The electric field on the centerline of the test zone 
($x = z = 0$) can be approximated

$$E_1(\hat{y}y) = \hat{y}V_1 / h,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $h$ is the separation between the septum and the outer conductor and $V_1$ is the voltage 
between the two conductors. Equation (3) is only an approximation, and more accurate 
expressions and numerical results for the $y$ dependence are available [9], [10]. The actual 
electric field strength is larger near the septum and smaller near the outer conductor, but we use 
eq (3) because the center of the EUT is located somewhat above the septum where eq (3) is an 
adequate approximation. The right side of eq (1) can now be evaluated from eqs (2) and (3) and 
the sampling property of the delta function:

$$- \iint_{V} J_2(r) \cdot E_1(r) \, dV = -\frac{d_e V_1}{h}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Consider now the evaluation of the surface integral on the left side of eq (1). The 
tegrand is zero on the conducting surface (assumed to be perfectly conducting) because the 
tangential components of electric fields, $E_1$ and $E_2$, are zero. The integrand is zero on the surface 
of the open-circuit port because the tangential components of the magnetic fields, $H_1$ and $H_2$, are 
zero. This leaves only the port that is terminated in impedance $Z_t$ to contribute to the integral. 
Figure 4 shows the surface $S$ at the terminated port in detail. The port is a circularly symmetric 
coaxial transmission line with inner radius $a$ and outer radius $b$. Only the portion of the surface $S$ 
between the two conductors contributes to the integral, and we follow the evaluation method of 
Monteath [8]. The electric field $E_1$ has only a radial component $E_{1r}$ which can be written

$$E_{1r}(r) = \frac{V_1}{r \ln(b/a)}, \quad a \leq r \leq b,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $r$ is the radial coordinate. The magnetic field $H_2$ has only an azimuthal component $H_{2\phi}$ 
which can be written

$$H_{2\phi}(r) = \frac{I_2}{2\pi r}, \quad a \leq r \leq b.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

We can now evaluate the first term in eq (1) by using eqs (5) and (6):

$$\iiint_{S} E_1(r) \times H_2(r) \cdot \hat{n} \, dS = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{V_1}{r \ln(b/a)} \frac{I_2}{2\pi r} \, 2\pi r \, dr = V_1 I_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The evaluation of the second term in eq (1) is the same except that the subscripts 1 and 2 are 
interchanged.

Using eqs (4) and (7), we can now write eq (1) in the following form:
Equation (8) is essentially a circuit version of eq (1), and it can be cast in a more useful form by eliminating the currents $I_2$ and $I_1$. Since the cell is terminated in an impedance $Z$, we can replace $I_2$ by

$$I_2 = V_2 / Z_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The electrically short, open-circuit cell acts like a capacitor of capacitance $C_L$. We use the subscript $L$ because the capacitance can be written as the product of the cell length $L$ and the capacitance per unit length [5]:

$$C_L = L \frac{1}{cZ_0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $c$ is the velocity of light and $Z_0$ is the characteristic impedance of the cell (usually 50 $\Omega$). (We assume that the characteristic impedance of the center section is maintained in the tapered sections.) The current $I_1$ can be written as the product of the voltage $V_1$ and the cell admittance:

$$I_1 = -j\omega C_L V_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where we assume a time dependence $\exp(j\omega t)$. The minus sign in eq (11) arises from the convention of the current and voltage as shown in figure 4. If we substitute eqs (9) and (11) in eq (8), we obtain our desired result relating $d_{ey}$ and $V_2$:

$$- \frac{d_{ey}}{h} = V_2 \left(j\omega C_L + \frac{1}{Z_1}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Since $h$ and $C_L$ are known properties of the cell, the current moment $d_{ey}$ can be determined from a measurement of the voltage $V_2$ across the load impedance $Z_l$. Equation (12) has a simple equivalent circuit interpretation as shown in figure 5. The current source is equal to $-d_{ey}/h$, and the cell capacitance and load impedance form a parallel circuit. Measurements with three orthogonal orientations of the EUT will determine the three orthogonal current moments.

### 2.2 Magnetic-Dipole Excitation of a Short-Circuited Cell

The geometry analyzed in this section is shown in figure 6. It is the same as figure 3 except that the cell is short circuited instead of open circuited. Our starting point is again the general reciprocity relationship in eq (1). In this case the reflection from the short circuit doubles the magnetic field in the test volume due to the voltage source (state 1) and cancels the electric field in the test volume. So the first term on the right side of eq (1) vanishes.

The magnetic current $M_2$ of the EUT can be approximated as
\[
M_2(r) = \left[\hat{x}d_{mx} + \hat{y}d_{my} + \hat{z}d_{mz}\right]\delta(x)\delta(y-y_1)\delta(z),
\]  
(13)

where \(d_{mx}, d_{my}, \) and \(d_{mz}\) are \(x, y,\) and \(z\)-directed magnetic current moments. Since the dominant field in the cell is a TEM mode, the magnetic field on the centerline is related to the electric field in eq (3) by the reciprocal of the free-space impedance \(\eta:\)

\[
H_1(\hat{y}) = -\frac{\hat{x}V_i}{h\eta}.
\]  
(14)

In this case the right side of eq (1) can be evaluated from eqs (13) and (14):

\[
\int\int\int_{V} M_2(r) \bullet H_1(r) dV = -\frac{d_{mx}V_i}{\eta h}.
\]  
(15)

The evaluation of the surface integrals in eq (1) is similar to that in the previous section. This time the integrand is zero on the surface of the short-circuited port because the tangential components of the electric fields, \(E_1\) and \(E_2\), are zero. The evaluation of the surface integral at the terminated port in terms of voltages and currents follows the same procedure as in eq (7). So eq (1) reduces to

\[
V_1I_2 - I_1V_2 = -\frac{d_{mx}V_i}{\eta h}.
\]  
(16)

The electrically short, short-circuit cell acts like an inductor of inductance \(L_L\). We use the subscript \(L\) because the inductance can be written as the product of the cell length \(L\) and the inductance per unit length:

\[
L_L = L\frac{Z_0}{c}.
\]  
(17)

Equations (10) and (17) satisfy the following consistency check from transmission line theory [11]:

\[
\sqrt{\frac{L_L}{C_L}} = Z_0.
\]  
(18)

The voltage \(V_1\) can be written as the current \(I_1\) times the cell impedance:

\[
V_1 = -j\omega L_L I_1,
\]  
(19)

where the minus sign is a result of the current and voltage convention in figure 4. If we make use of eqs (9) and (19) and the characteristic impedance \(Z_0\) of the cell, we can write eq (16) in the following form relating \(d_{mx}\) and \(V_2\):
Equation (20) can be used to determine the magnetic current moment $d_{mx}$ from a measurement of the voltage $V_2$ across the load impedance $Z_l$. Equation (20) also has a simple equivalent circuit interpretation as shown in figure 7. The voltage source is equal to $d_{mx}Z_0/(h\eta)$, and the cell inductance and load impedance form a series circuit. Measurements with three orthogonal orientations of the EUT will determine the three orthogonal magnetic current moments.

3. TEM-CELL MEASUREMENTS

3.1 Measurement Method

The measurement configurations with an open-circuited TEM cell shown in figure 3 and with a short-circuited TEM cell shown in figure 6 were implemented with the same TEM cell. The cell length $L$ is 73.0 cm, and the cell half height $h$ is 14.8 cm. The cell and septum widths do not appear directly in the measurement theory, but they are chosen [5] so that the characteristic impedance $Z_0$ of the cell is 50 $\Omega$. Since the cell must be electrically short ($L/\lambda < 0.1$), the upper frequency limit for this cell of 73.0 cm is approximately 40 MHz. For small test objects, the cell could be shortened to raise the upper frequency limit.

Calculated from eq (10), the open-circuit cell capacitance $C_L = 48.7$ pF. We measured a value of 53.2 pF. From eq (17), the calculated short-circuit cell inductance $L_L = 0.122$ $\mu$H. We measured a value of 0.184 $\mu$H. We used a large resistive load impedance $Z_l = 10$ M$\Omega$.

Because of the large value of $Z_l$, eq (12) simplifies to the following for frequencies of interest (> 100 kHz):

$$d_{ey} \approx -j\omega C_L hV_2.$$  

Equation 21 is a useful expression for the current moment $d_{ey}$, but for later field calculations we prefer to use the dipole moment $p_{ey}$ which is given by [12]

$$p_{ey} = \frac{d_{ey}}{j\omega} \approx -C_L hV_2.$$  

The dipole moment has dimensions of charge-length or units of C$\cdot$m.

Because of the large value of $Z_l$, eq (20) can also be simplified to

$$d_{mx} \approx \frac{h\eta V_2}{Z_0}.$$  

It is fortunate that this result does not depend on the short-circuit cell inductance $L_L$ since the calculated and measured values of $L_L$ do not agree well. All that is required for the validity of eq
(23) is that $Z_l \gg \omega L_a$. The magnetic dipole moment $p_{mx}$ can be determined from the magnetic current moment in eq (23) by [12]

$$p_{mx} = \frac{d_{mx}}{j\omega} \approx \frac{\hbar \eta V_2}{j \omega Z_0}. \quad (24)$$

The magnetic dipole moment has dimensions of magnetic charge times length or units of C•Ω•m.

Measurements were performed on two small electronic timers of the type that could be used in bomb detonators. A photograph of the smaller timer inside the TEM cell (with the access door open) is shown in figure 8. A closeup on the smaller timer on the septum is shown in figure 9. The other timer is similar, but somewhat larger. The dimensions of the smaller timer are 5.21 cm × 5.50 cm × 0.85 cm, and the dimensions of the larger timer are 6.05 cm × 6.05 cm × 0.85 cm. These timers are very weak emitters, and a 50 dB amplifier was used following the load impedance to raise the signal to levels that were measurable. The amplifier matched the high load impedance (10 MΩ) to 50 Ω. The frequency spectrum was then measured with a spectrum analyzer, and the time-domain waveform was measured with an oscilloscope.

We also attempted to use the sum-and-difference method with the two outputs of the same cell for simultaneously determining one electric dipole moment and one magnetic dipole moment [4]. However, we found that this method did not have adequate sensitivity for measuring the weak emissions from the timers.

3.2 Measurement Results for Dipole Moments

Equation (22) relates the measured load voltage $V_2$ to the electric dipole moment $p_{ey}$ when the opposite port is open circuited. We measured the magnitude of $V_2$ with a spectrum analyzer at 12 frequencies from 200 kHz to 8 MHz using a bandwidth of 100 kHz at each frequency. The three electric dipole moments of the larger timer were obtained from three orthogonal orientations of the timer. The height of the timer center was maintained at half of the timer width or height (approximately 3.03 cm) for all three orientations. The results for the magnitudes of the three dipole magnitudes in fC•m are given in table 1. These are small dipole moments since 1 femtocoulomb (fC) equals $10^{-15}$ coulomb (C). However, the quasi-static electric fields radiated by these dipole moments provide a more significant measure of the detectability of the emissions, and those results are given in the next section. A similar set of measurements was made on the smaller timer, and the resultant dipole moments had a similar frequency dependence, but were slightly smaller in magnitude.

We also used an oscilloscope to measure the corresponding time-domain waveform of $V_2$ with the opposite port open circuited. The waveform of $V_2$ was composed of a series of narrow pulses with peak amplitude of approximately 1 mV. The waveform was periodic with a period of approximately 31 ms. This means that the spectrum consists of spectral lines separated by approximately 32 Hz. This is consistent with spectrum analyzer results, but we did not attempt to resolve the individual lines. Since the coefficient of $V_2$ in eq (22) is frequency independent, the dipole moment $p_{ey}$ has the same time and frequency characteristics as $V_2$. An example of the time-domain waveform of the radiated electric field is given in the following section.
Equation (24) relates the measured load voltage $V_2$ to the magnetic dipole moment $p_{mc}$ when the opposite port is short circuited. We attempted to measure $V_2$ with a spectrum analyzer over the same frequency range of 200 kHz to 8 MHz, but were not able to detect a signal at any frequency for any timer orientation. The noise floor for $V_2$ was approximately 11.5 $\mu$V (for the same 100 kHz bandwidth). Hence the signal has to be somewhat below that. So all that we can obtain from eq (24) is an upper bound on the magnetic dipole moment:

$$|p_{mc}| < \frac{h\eta V_{2n}}{\omega Z_0},$$

(25)

where $V_{2n}$ is the noise floor voltage across the load voltage. For example, at a frequency of 1 MHz and a noise floor voltage of 11.5 $\mu$V, the upper bound of $|p_{mc}|$ is $2.04 \times 10^{-12}$ C$\cdot$V$\cdot$m. The resultant upper bound of the radiated magnetic field is discussed in the following section.

The results measured by the TEM-cell method described here are consistent with earlier NIST measurements on similar electronic timers. In both cases the near-field, electric-dipole radiation was measurable, but no near-field, magnetic-dipole radiation could be detected. The physical explanation is probably that there is sufficient charge separation to create detectable electric dipole moments in the circuitry or liquid crystal display. However, there are no current loops that are large enough to create measurable magnetic dipole moments.

4. DIPOLE RADIATION IN FREE SPACE

Once the dipole moments of an electrically small emitter are measured in a TEM cell, we can calculate the free-space radiated fields [13]. The assumption in doing the calculations is that the dipole moments remain the same in either a TEM-cell environment or a free-space environment.

4.1 Quasi-Static Fields

Because of the low frequencies (below 10 MHz) and weak radiation emitted by electronic timers, only the quasi-static near fields offer any possibility of detection with free-space field measurements. For quasi-static electric fields radiated by electric dipoles, the longitudinal field has twice the magnitude of the transverse field. For example, the radial electric field radiated off the end of a z-directed electric dipole is given by [13]

$$E_r|_{\theta=0} = \frac{P_{ex}}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r^3},$$

(26)

where $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space and $r$ and $\theta$ are standard spherical coordinates. Equation (26) is valid for $r/\lambda << 1$, and the radial electric field on the $z$ axis is seen to be independent of the $x$- and $y$-directed electric dipole moments. Since the electric field is frequency independent, eq (26) is called the quasi-static result. Similar expressions can be written for the radial electric fields on the $x$ and $y$ axes as shown in figure 10:
\[ E_r |_{\theta=\pi/2, \phi=0} = \frac{P_{ex}}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3} \quad \text{and} \quad E_r |_{\theta=\pi/2} = \frac{P_{ey}}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3}, \] (27)

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle. The results in eqs (26) and (27) are consistent with the results for determining the dipole moments from radial field measurements given in [2].

Equations (26) and (27) can be used to determine the quasi-static electric fields on the three axes from the three electric dipole moments. For example, the three electric dipole moments of the larger electronic timer were given in table 1, and we have used that measured data to calculate electric field strength at distance \( r \) of 1 m as shown in table 2. The tabulated field strengths can be extrapolated to other distances by the inverse distance-cubed relationship in eqs (26) and (27). Since the three dipole moments are of similar magnitudes, the field strengths on the three axes are also of similar magnitudes. This result means that the direction or orientation of a sensing antenna is not critical to the detection of the source. The electric field strengths in table 2 drop with frequency so that the lower frequencies offer the most promise for detection. The other timer has similar radiation characteristics, but at a somewhat lower level.

Since the coefficients of the dipole moments in eqs (26) and (27) are frequency independent, they can also be used to obtain the time-domain fields from the time-domain waveforms of the dipole moments. Figure 11 shows a representative waveform for the radial field at a 1 m distance on the \( z \) axis. The maximum pulse height is seen to be about 1.4 mV/m, and the pulses are separated by about 4 ms. The fundamental period is approximately 31 ms. The field waveforms on the \( x \) and \( y \) axes have pulses in the same time locations, but have slightly different magnitudes. The smaller timer has similar radiated waveforms.

By duality, the radial quasi-static magnetic fields \( H_r \) on the three axes have the same form as eqs (26) and (27) except that the free-space permittivity is replaced by the free-space permeability \( \mu_0 \):

\[ H_r |_{\theta=\pi/2, \phi=0} = \frac{P_{mx}}{2\pi\mu_0 r^3}, \quad H_r |_{\theta=\pi/2} = \frac{P_{my}}{2\pi\mu_0 r^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_r |_{\theta=0} = \frac{P_{mz}}{2\pi\mu_0 r^3}. \] (28)

Since we were not able to measure any magnetic dipole moments above the noise floor, we cannot use eq (28) to calculate magnetic field strength. However, we can use eq (28) to calculate an upper bound on the magnetic field strength. Table 3 shows the upper bound on the radial magnetic field as a function of frequency. The magnitudes are less than 1 \( \mu \)A/m.

4.2 Radiated Power

The power radiated by electronic timers is extremely small, but it is still interesting to quantify it. The total radiated power \( P_t \) can be written as the sum of the powers radiated by the electric and magnetic dipole moments [1]

\[ P_t = P_e + P_m, \] (29)

where
\[ P_e = \frac{\eta \omega^4}{6\pi c^2} \left( |P_{ex}|^2 + |P_{ey}|^2 + |P_{ez}|^2 \right), \]  
\[ P_m = \frac{\omega^4}{6\pi c^2} \left( |P_{mx}|^2 + |P_{my}|^2 + |P_{mz}|^2 \right), \]

and \( c \) is the speed of light in free space. The radiated power approaches zero at low frequencies because of the \( \omega^4 \) factor.

The power radiated by the electric dipole moments can be calculated from eq (30) and the electric dipole moments in table 1. For example at 1 MHz, \( P_e = 1.69 \times 10^{-17} \) W. This is actually the value in a 100 kHz bandwidth. The frequency dependence is fairly weak because the dipole moments decrease with frequency, but eqs (30) and (31) include an \( \omega^4 \) coefficient. This extremely small radiated power offers very little hope of detecting the radiated fields in the far zone.

Since we have only an upper bound on the magnetic dipole moment, we can calculate only an upper bound on \( P_m \) from eq (31). For example at 1 MHz, \( P_m < 10^{-17} \) W, which is less than \( P_e \).

5. CONCLUSIONS

The theory and measurement technique have been developed for characterizing weak, low-frequency emitters with open-circuit and short-circuit TEM-cell measurements. The method is simpler and more direct than previous methods because the equivalent electric dipole moment is determined solely from open-circuit measurements and the equivalent magnetic dipole moment is determined solely from short-circuit measurements. The method is also more sensitive because it takes advantage of the in-phase reflection from the open or short circuit. The main disadvantage of this method is a smaller frequency range because the cell must be short compared to the wavelength. For the 0.73 m cell which was used here, the upper frequency limit is approximately 40 MHz.

The method was used on two commercial electronic timers of the type that could be used in a bomb detonator. Both timers generated three orthogonal electric dipole moments that were easily measured in both the time and frequency domains. However, magnetic dipole radiation was too weak to measure. The practical outcome of these measurements is that a detection method for electronic timers should be based on the quasi-static electric field at short ranges. This conclusion is consistent with past NIST measurements on similar timers. The expected electric field strength at distances greater than 1 m is somewhat less than 1 mV/m as shown in table 2.

A useful extension of this work would be to measure the weak electric fields of electronic timers with a dipole array. A method for canceling the competing fields from noise sources has been analyzed in [2]. The measurement uncertainty of this TEM-cell method needs to be determined. Well established TEM cell measurements [5] have been shown to have measurement uncertainties of approximately 0.8 dB; so this newly developed method probably has an expanded uncertainty (with a coverage factor of 2) of approximately 1.5 dB. It would also be useful to perform measurements with a known calibrated source [14] in the TEM cell.
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APPENDIX: TEM-CELL THEORY FOR ARBITRARY TERMINATIONS

In this appendix, we generalize the theory in Section 2 to allow arbitrary terminations at both ports of the TEM cell and to account for arbitrary electrical length of the cell. The geometry shown in figure 12 is the same as in figures 3 and 6 except that the termination at $z = -L/2$ is an arbitrary impedance $Z_t$.

We divide the cell into region 1 (for negative $z$) and region 2 (for positive $z$). The fields in each region consist of TEM modes traveling in the positive and negative $z$ directions. In region 1, we write the electric $E_1$ and magnetic $H_1$ fields as

$$E_1 = a_1 E_0^+ + b_1 E_0^- \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 = a_1 H_0^+ + b_1 H_0^-.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

Similarly, in region 2 we write the electric $E_2$ and magnetic $H_2$ fields as

$$E_2 = a_2 E_0^+ + b_2 E_0^- \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = a_2 H_0^+ + b_2 H_0^-.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

The TEM-cell modes traveling in the positive and negative $z$ directions can be written

$$E_0^\pm = (\hat{x}e_{ox} + \hat{y}e_{oy}) \exp(\mp jkz) \quad \text{and} \quad H_0^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{\eta} \hat{z} \times E_0^\pm,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where the modes are normalized to carry a power of 1 W.

The four unknown coefficients, $a_1$, $b_1$, $a_2$, and $b_2$, are determined from the source conditions of the EUT and the termination conditions. The EUT source conditions at $z = 0$ are determined from reciprocity, and they can be written [4]

$$a_2 - a_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -d_{xy} e_{oy} + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

and

$$b_1 - b_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( d_{xy} e_{oy} + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

The termination condition at $z = -L/2$ can be written

$$a_1 \exp\left( jk \frac{L}{2} \right) = R_t b_1 \exp\left(- jk \frac{L}{2} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad R_t = \frac{Z_t - Z_0}{Z_t + Z_0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

The termination condition at $z = L/2$ can be written

$$b_2 \exp\left( jk \frac{L}{2} \right) = R_t a_2 \exp\left(- jk \frac{L}{2} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad R_t = \frac{Z_t - Z_0}{Z_t + Z_0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)
Simultaneous solution of eqs (A4) through (A7) yields

\[
d_\sigma e_{oy} [1 + R_i \exp(-jkL)] + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)]
\]
\[
a_1 = -R_i \exp(-jkL) \frac{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]},
\]
\quad \text{(A8)}

\[
d_\sigma e_{oy} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)] + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)]
\]
\[
a_2 = \frac{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]},
\]
\quad \text{(A9)}

\[
d_\sigma e_{oy} [1 + R_i \exp(-jkL)] + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)]
\]
\[
b_1 = -\frac{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]},
\]
\quad \text{(A10)}

\[
d_\sigma e_{oy} [1 + R_i \exp(-jkL)] + d_{mx} \frac{e_{oy}}{\eta} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)]
\]
\[
b_2 = R_i \exp(-jkL) \frac{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}{2[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}.
\]
\quad \text{(A11)}

Now that the mode coefficients are known, we can determine the fields in the cell or the termination voltages or currents. The quantity of most practical interest is the voltage \( V_2 \) across the load impedance \( Z_l \). We assume that the taper of the cell does not affect this result because the characteristic impedance \( Z_0 \) is maintained throughout the entire length \( L \). We also make the approximation that the electric field \( e_{oy} \) of the TEM mode is approximately uniform in the \( y \) direction on the \( y \) axis. With the power normalization given earlier, \( e_{oy} \) can be approximated

\[
e_{oy} \approx \sqrt{Z_0 / h}.
\]
\quad \text{(A12)}

Now \( V_2 \) can be written

\[
V_2 \approx \hat{h} \hat{y} \cdot E_2 \bigg|_{z=L/2, x=0}
\]
\quad \text{(A13)}

\[
\approx Z_0 (1 + R_i) \exp \left( -jk \frac{L}{2} \right) \frac{-d_\sigma [1 + R_i \exp(-jkL)] + d_{mx} [1 - R_i \exp(-jkL)]}{2h[1 - R_i R_i \exp(-j2kL)]}.
\]
\quad \text{(A14)}

To this point, we have imposed no restriction on \( L \). If we wish to study the low-frequency behavior of \( V_2 \), we can replace the exponentials in the field or voltage equation by the small argument approximation:

\[
\exp(-jkL) \approx 1 - jkL.
\]
Consider now the open-circuit case, $R_t = 1$. If we substitute 1 for $R_t$ and use the small-argument approximations for the exponentials, eq (A13) reduces to

$$V_2 \approx \frac{-d_{\Delta} / h}{j\omega C_L + \frac{1}{Z_1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A15)

This result is equivalent to eq (12) which was derived by starting with low-frequency approximations.

For the short-circuit case, we have $R_t = -1$. Using this result plus the small-argument approximations for the exponentials in eq (A13), we can obtain

$$V_2 \approx \frac{d_{\Delta} Z_0 Z_1}{h\eta Z_1 + j\omega L_L}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A16)

This result is identical to eq (20) which was derived by starting with low-frequency approximations.
Table 1. Measured electric dipole moments versus frequency.

| Frequency (MHz) | $|p_{ex}|$ (fC·m) | $|p_{ey}|$ (fC·m) | $|p_{ez}|$ (fC·m) |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0.2            | 23.1             | 20.5             | 25.2             |
| 0.3            | 15.8             | 11.4             | 16.3             |
| 0.5            | 9.50             | 7.21             | 8.18             |
| 0.7            | 6.96             | 5.28             | 6.28             |
| 1.0            | 4.40             | 3.78             | 3.92             |
| 2.0            | 2.11             | 1.92             | 1.96             |
| 3.0            | 1.24             | 1.16             | 1.30             |
| 4.0            | 0.928            | 0.876            | 0.828            |
| 5.0            | 0.635            | 0.642            | 0.628            |
| 6.0            | 0.572            | 0.440            | 0.440            |
| 7.0            | 0.370            | 0.329            | 0.301            |
| 8.0            | 0.280            | 0.252            | 0.231            |

Table 2. Radial electric field strength at 1 m from electronic timer.

| Frequency | $|E_x|$ (on x axis) | $|E_y|$ (on y axis) | $|E_z|$ (on z axis) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0.2 MHz   | 415.0 µV/m        | 369.0 µV/m        | 454.0 µV/m        |
| 0.3       | 284.0             | 205.0             | 293.0             |
| 0.5       | 170.8             | 129.6             | 147.1             |
| 0.7       | 125.2             | 95.0              | 112.9             |
| 1.0       | 79.1              | 67.9              | 70.4              |
| 2.0       | 37.8              | 34.5              | 35.3              |
| 3.0       | 22.3              | 20.8              | 23.3              |
| 4.0       | 16.7              | 15.8              | 14.9              |
| 5.0       | 11.4              | 11.6              | 11.3              |
| 6.0       | 10.3              | 7.91              | 7.91              |
| 7.0       | 6.65              | 5.92              | 5.40              |
| 8.0       | 5.04              | 4.54              | 4.15              |
Table 3. Upper bound of radial magnetic field at 1 m from electronic timer.

| Frequency (MHz) | $|H_r|$ (μA/m) |
|----------------|-------------|
| 0.2            | 1.29        |
| 0.3            | 0.860       |
| 0.5            | 0.516       |
| 0.7            | 0.369       |
| 1.0            | 0.258       |
| 2.0            | 0.129       |
| 3.0            | 0.0860      |
| 4.0            | 0.0645      |
| 5.0            | 0.0516      |
| 6.0            | 0.0430      |
| 7.0            | 0.0368      |
| 8.0            | 0.0323      |

Figure 1. Geometry for a TEM cell.
Figure 2. Cross-sectional view of an EUT with electric and magnetic dipole moments located above the septum in a TEM cell.
Figure 3. Side view of an EUT located above the septum in an open-circuited TEM cell.
Figure 4. Impedance termination of the coaxial section of a TEM cell.

Figure 5. Equivalent circuit for an electric dipole source in an open-circuited TEM cell.
Figure 6. Side view of an EUT located above the septum in a short-circuited TEM cell.

Figure 7. Equivalent circuit for a magnetic dipole source in a short-circuited TEM cell.
Figure 8. Photograph of an electronic timer in a TEM cell.
Figure 9. Closeup photograph of an electronic timer in a TEM cell.
Figure 10. Geometry for electric dipoles at the origin and radial electric fields on the axes.
Figure 11. Time-domain waveform for the radial electric field at 1 m from an electronic timer.
Figure 12. Side view of an EUT located in a TEM cell with arbitrary terminations.