NBSIR 88-3715

NEW NES PUB

JUL 1 4 1988

Measurement of Droplet Size in Sprinkler Sprays

J. R. Lawson W. D. Walton D. D. Evans

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards National Engineering Laboratory Center for Fire Research Gaithersburg, MD 20899

February 1988

Supported in part by:

The Swedish Fire Research Board Stockholm, Sweden

and

General Services Administration Washington, DC



MEASUREMENT OF DROPLET SIZE IN SPRINKLER SPRAYS

J. R. Lawson W. D. Walton D. D. Evans

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards National Engineering Laboratory Center for Fire Research Gaithersburg, MD 20899

February 1988

Supported in part by: The Swedish Fire Research Board Stockholm, Sweden

and

General Services Administration Washington, DC



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, C. William Verity, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, *Director*

1

.

.

.

-

.

Table of Contents

Page

List	of Tables	v
List	of Figures	v
Abst	ract	1
1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	TEST APPARATUS AND DROPLET MEASUREMENT	3
3.	APPARATUS CALIBRATION	4
4.	TEST PROCEDURE	5
5.	TEST RESULTS	6
6.	DROPLET SIZE COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS	7 7 8 9
7.	SUMMARY	1
8.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	3
9.	REFERENCES	4

t

Table 1: Summary of test resul		. 15 ·
--------------------------------	--	--------

Page

.

Figure	1.	Photograph of strobe/camera module and sprinkler head	16
Figure	2.	Photograph of sprinkler head under test	17
Figure	3.	Photograph of video screen showing water droplets	18
Figure	4.	Analyzer field orientation	19
Figure	5.	Test volume	20
Figure	6.	Radial test positions	20
Figure	7.	Terms used to define analyzer position relative to sprinkler .	21
Figure	8.	Vertical and horizontal test positions	22
Figure	9.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
-		diameter, Test No. 1 (1 <i>l</i> /s, 90°, 90°)	23
Figure	10.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	~ /
		diameter, Test No. 2 (1 <i>l</i> /s, 90°, 64.7°)	24
Figure	11.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	0.5
		diameter, Test No. 3 (1 l/s, 90°, 46.6°)	25
Figure	12.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 4 (1 <i>l</i> /s, 90°, 27.9°)	26
Figure	13.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 5 (1 <i>l</i> /s, 90°, 0°)	27
Figure	14.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 6 (2 l/s, 90°, 90°)	28
Figure	15.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 7 (2 l/s, 90°, 64.7°)	29
Figure	16.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 8 (2 l/s, 90°, 46.6°)	30
Figure	17.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 9 (2 l/s, 90°, 27.9°)	31
Figure	18.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 10 (2 <i>l</i> /s, 90°, 0°)	32
Figure	19.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 11 (2 l/s , 0°, 90°)	33
Figure	20.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 12 (2 <i>l</i> /s, 0°, 64.7°)	34
Figure	21.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 13 (2 <i>l</i> /s, 0°, 46.6°)	35
Figure	22.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
		diameter, Test No. 14 (2 <i>l</i> /s, 0°, 27.9°)	36
Figure	23.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
Ŭ		diameter, Test No. 15 (2 <i>l</i> /s, 0°, 0°)	37
Figure	24.	Aggregate normalized cumulative volume and cumulative	
0		number vs. diameter for Tests No. 1 - 5, (2 l/s)	38
Figure	25.	Aggregate normalized cumulative volume and cumulative	
-		number vs. diameter for Tests No. 6 - 10 (2 l/s)	39
Figure	26.	Aggregate normalized cumulative volume and cumulative	
		number vs. diameter for Tests No. 11 - 15 (2 l/s)	40
Figure	27.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
-		diameter for droplets captured in oil Test No. 1 (1 ℓ/s)	41
Figure	28.	Normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs.	
-		diameter for droplets captured in oil Test No. 2 (2 ℓ/s)	42

.

Page

Figure 29.	Experimental verification of $W_e^{-1/3}$ prediction	
	for water spray nozzles	43
Figure 30.	Volume median diameter vs. position angle	44

,

¢

.

•

MEASUREMENT OF DROPLET SIZE IN SPRINKLER SPRAYS

Abstract

A computer controlled video shadowgraph was used to collect data on the spray characteristics of a commercially available sprinkler head. A total of 15 tests were carried out that measured spray characteristics at different positions close to the sprinkler head. Tests were conducted using two different water flow rates, one and two liters per second. Droplet diameters were measured and analyzed to produce graphic presentations of normalized cumulative volume and cumulative number vs. droplet diameters measured using the video analyzer and droplet samples collected in a light oil and measured manually using a microscope. The data are also compared with results found in the literature. Data on more than 66,000 spray droplets were collected and used for analysis in the development of this report.

Keywords: Drop size measurements, droplets, water sprays, sprinkler heads, sprinkler systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fire growth and extinction in a structure embodies the interaction of many complex phenomena that are becoming better understood through research efforts at the National Bureau of Standards and other fire research facilities around the world. As part of this increased knowledge, methods for estimating the influence of water sprays on fires and extinguishment have evolved. Several computer models have been developed in the fire research community that address the interaction of water sprays with fire driven flows [1,2]. Each of these computer models, as well as other analysis of heat and mass transfer effects between sprays and hot gas flows, require accurate input data characterizing water droplets that are generated by a common sprinkler head. Water droplet size distribution from a sprinkler head can greatly change the characteristics of fire growth and the transport of hot gases within structures. The data gathered during this study is designed to provide some of the information necessary to exercise these computer models.

2. TEST APPARATUS AND DROPLET MEASUREMENT

The test apparatus used in this study is a commercial instrument produced by Bete Fog Nozzle Inc.¹ The apparatus used is a computer controlled video shadowgraph. This equipment is controlled by custom software used to accurately measure droplet size in a sprinkler spray. The apparatus consists of four major components: the computer, video analyzer, video camera, and high intensity strobe system [3].² The strobe and video camera module is shown in Figure 1. The photograph in Figure 2 shows an example of the analyzer with a sprinkler head being tested.

The video camera is used to capture water droplet shadow images produced by a high intensity strobe fired on the other side of the sample volume. See Figure 3. Image data from each video frame is stored in a computer file for processing after a multi-frame test is completed. Droplet shadow area is determined by multiplying the number of video pixels per drop by a calibration constant which depends on the magnification used. The reported diameter for the droplet is the diameter of a circle that has the same projected area as the measured image. Thus non-circular images resulting from the image of non-spherical drops are reported as effective spherical diameters. The

¹ Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this paper in order to adequately specify the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Bureau of Standards.

Neither the contents of this report nor the fact that the tests were made at the National Bureau of Standards shall be used for advertising or promotional purpose.

² Figures in brackets indicate literature references at the end of this report.

droplet analyzer can measure droplets over a range from 3 microns , μ m, to more than 21,000 μ m (21 mm) by installing various optical lenses [3]. The size range for droplets of interest in this study was from 100 to 2000 μ m (0.1 to 2.0 mm). Information on optics selection for the above droplet size range is contained in section 3.

3. APPARATUS CALIBRATION

Before any tests are conducted, the test apparatus must be properly configured and calibrated to insure that accurate test data are generated. It is important that proper camera lenses be selected for the droplet analyzer when a particular droplet size range is being studied. In this test program, measurement of water droplet diameters as large as 2000 μ m were required. The camera lens combination adopted for these tests provided a magnification of approximately 1.1 power which permitted resolution of drops as small as 100 μ m and as large as 2000 μ m. The sample field of view, as shown in Figure 4, for this optical arrangement was experimentally measured by positioning a target within the video field and moving it from side to side and up and down. Figure 5 shows the sampling volume used in these tests. The field of view provided by the lens combination used in this study was 21.7 mm wide and 17.3 mm high and approximately 5 mm depth. Droplets were admitted into the sampling volume through two vanes separated by 5 mm and located at a 77 mm radius from the center of the sampling volume. Thus, all drops measured may be considered as traveling perpendicular to the direction of illumination used to form the shadowgraphic images on the video display.

Calibration of distances and pixel area on the video image was accomplished by measuring balls of known diameter placed in the measurement volume. Software calibration constants were entered into the image analysis program based on these measurements.

4. TEST PROCEDURE

In order to characterize the spray from a selected nominal 15 mm diameter pendent sprinkler head, water droplets were measured at several locations parallel and perpendicular to the sprinkler frame arms (Figure 6). Before testing, one of the sprinkler frame arms was marked and designated 0 degrees cylindrical angle. Other terms used to identify analyzer position relative to the sprinkler head are centerline coordinate, radial coordinate, distance from nozzle and azimuthal angle. All terms are defined by the sketches in Figure 7.

The droplet analyzer was used to make measurements at five positions with a 0 degree orientation relative to the sprinkler head. The sprinkler head was then turned 90 degrees relative to the analyzer and another series of tests was conducted. During the test program, the analyzer was never placed closer than 240 mm from the sprinkler head in order to stay just beyond sheet breakup of the water spray. Figure 8 describes the test measurement locations.

A total of ten tests were conducted at the position 90 degrees to the frame arms, five tests at a flow rate of one liter per second and five tests at a

flow rate of two liters per second. All five tests at the 0 degree position were made with a water flow rate of two liters per second.

5. TEST RESULTS

The results of the fifteen tests are summarized in Table 1. Given in the table for each test is the flow rate, cylindrical angle (Figure 7) and position angle (Figure 8). Table 1 includes the number of frames per test, total number of drops analyzed, mean droplet diameter, volume mean diameter, median diameter, volume median diameter and the total droplet volume for each of the tests. The mean diameter is the sum of the diameters divided by the number of drops. The volume mean diameter is the diameter of a drop whose volume is the sum of the volumes of all of the drops divided by the number of drops. The median diameter and half a smaller diameter. The volume median diameter is the diameter of a drop for which half of the drops have a larger diameter and half a smaller diameter. The volume median diameter is the diameter bave an aggregate volume equal to half of the total volume of all of the drops.

Plots of the size distributions have been given for each of the tests in Figures 9 - 23. The plot for the positions measured shows the cumulative number and cumulative volume vs. diameter information normalized using the total number of drops measured and the total volume of the drops measured respectively. The median drop diameter and volume median diameter can be read directly at 0.5 on the ordinate. The average diameter and average volume diameter are indicated on the respective curves. Figures 24 - 26 are

aggregate information for each of the three flow rate, cylindrical angle combinations. These plots show the combined distributions over the five position angles measured in each case.

6. DROPLET SIZE COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS

Both manually measured droplets from the sprinkler spray and comparisons with available published data were made as checks on the automated analysis.

6.1 MANUAL MEASUREMENTS

A 89 mm wide by 19 mm deep glass petri dish with a cover was used to collect the water droplet samples from the water spray emitted from the test sprinkler head. The petri dish was half filled with pharmacy grade castor oil (oleum ricini). The cover was placed on the dish until it was opened at the desired location in the water spray. Droplets were allowed to collect in the oil for about one second, and then the cover was placed back on the petri dish. The dish was removed from the spray field, and the exterior was dried. The cover was removed, and the dish containing the oil and water droplets was placed under a microscope. The microscope eyepiece had a calibrated graduated scale that was used for measuring the water droplets. The scale was calibrated using a precision microscope slide with circular dots of known size. A sample of as many as 55 droplets was measured from each specimen taken. The largest and smallest drops were cataloged for each petri dish specimen. Samples were taken with water flow rates of one and two liters per second.

One sample was taken on a horizontal plane at a location with a cylindrical angle of 90° to the sprinkler frame arms and position angle of 90° from the sprinkler head. This corresponds to the position measured in test 1, Figure 9. Water flow rate for this sample was one liter per second. From this sample, a total of 53 droplets were measured. The diameter of the largest droplet measured was 1261 μ m and the smallest was 90 μ m. The 90 μ m value represents the limit of resolution for the microscopic measurement. The mean volume diameter was 465 μ m. This sample compares well with the mean volume diameter obtained from the same sprinkler spray location in test 1. The mean volume diameter measured by the droplet analyzer for that test was 451 μm. This is a difference of less than 4 percent. Figure 27 provides plots of normalized cumulative number and normalized cumulative volume vs. diameter for the 53 droplet sample taken in oil. These distributions show good agreement with those of test 1.

The water flow rate was changed to two liters per second, and another spray sample was taken at a position located approximately 240 mm below and 254 mm away from the sprinkler head centerline. This corresponds to the position examined in test 8, shown in Figure 16. The sample was again taken perpendicular to the sprinkler frame. In this sample 55 droplets were measured. The droplet diameters ranged from 90 to 720 μ m with a mean volume diameter of 308 μ m. The mean volume diameter determined by the analyzed in test 8 at the same location was 385 μ m. These results represent a difference of only 25 percent between manual and automated measurements. Figure 28

provides plots of normalized cumulative number and normalized cumulative volume vs. diameter for the 55 droplet sample in oil. These plots when compared to those of test 8 (Figure 16) do not agree as well as those the previous set. This is primarily due to the relative lack of large droplets in this samplecollected manually.

6.3 COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH OTHER DATA

Correlations of spray droplet diameters for several commercial sprinklers have been reported by Dundas [4].

The correlation of data from the Dundas' study is shown in Figure 29. The data from this study are presented along with data from Dundas [4], Braidech and Neale [5], and Kroesser [6] as the dimensionless droplet diameter (median volume diameter divided by sprinkler orifice diameter) plotted as a function of Weber Number (W_e) .

$$d_m/d \propto W_e = (\rho \ u^2 \ d \ / \ \sigma)$$
(1)

where:

$$d_m$$
 = volume median diameter of water droplets
 u = velocity of water flow at the sprinkler orifice
 ρ = water density
 σ = surface tension of water-air interface

d = sprinkler orifice diameter

The data from this study has been reduced to three points representing the aggregate analysis which combines all the data from the different position angles measured at the separate flow rates and cylindrical angles. Notice <u>each</u> of these three points is the result of analysis performed on 20,000 - 25,000 droplet diameter measurements!

Figure 30 shows all 15 measured volume median droplet diameters vs. position angle points. Directly under the sprinkler is referred to as the 0° position angle, while the 90° position angle is in the plane of the sprinkler deflector plate. For the two sets of data at 90° cylindrical angle, which is perpendicular to the plane of the frame arms, the lower flow rate produces larger volume median droplet diameters as would be expected. However, what appears to be an outlier data point at 46.6° position angle and 1232 μ m diameter is actually quite close to the expected variation between the 1 ℓ /s and 2 ℓ /s flow rates based on W_e^{-1/3} variations. The expected ratio of volume median diameter for the low flow rate to high flow rate is:

$$\frac{D_{m} (1 l/s)}{D_{m} (2 l/s)} = \left(\frac{W_{e} (1 l/s)}{W_{e} (2 l/s)}\right)^{-1/3} = \left(\frac{16200}{64800}\right)^{-1/3}$$
$$\frac{D_{m} (1 l/s)}{D_{m} (2 l/s)} = 1.59$$

The measurement values at 46.6° position angle are 1232 μ m for the 1 l/s flow rate and 736 μ m for the 2 l/s flow rate. The ratio is 1.67, which is close to the expected result. It follows, the "agreement" in four other position

angles measured between the two flow rates at the 90° cylindrical angle are actually unexpected results.

Measurements in the plane of the frame arms (0° cylindrical angle) show a deficit of large drops over the mid-range of position angles from 40° to 70°. This is the result of the frame arms blocking the flow.

For a spray as complex and non-uniform as that from a common fire protection sprinkler, there are considerable changes in spray pattern when the flow rate is doubled. This diminishes the values of detailed spatial comparisons as presented in Figure 30 and supports use of aggregate quantities such as those found in Figure 29 which average over the spray pattern from the sprinklers.

7. SUMMARY

A series of experiments was carried out to measure and collect information on sprinkler head spray characteristics. A computer driven video shadowgraph technique to collect water droplet data on the sprinkler head sprays was used. The data were reduced, analyzed and compared with other existing data. This data comparison showed good agreement. Water droplets were also collected using castor oil and then measured using a microscope. The comparison of droplet analyzer distributions obtained from the analyzer with those calculated from manual sizing of a small number of drops collected in caster oil showed very good agreement in one of two cases. The data generated during this study was collected and presented in a manner that would allow it to be used in the evaluation of sprinkler heads or to be use in development of fire

growth and extinguishment computer models. Values of median volume diameter averaged over portions of the spray agreed well with previously measured values for commercial sprinklers when correlated by the Weber number. For the 15 mm pendent sprinkler with an 11 mm orifice diameter, median volume diameters of 943 μ m and 703 μ m were found for 1 ℓ /s and 2 ℓ /s flow rates respectively at positions in the spray perpendicular to the plane of the frame arms. The median volume diameter decreased to 575 μ m in the plane of the frame arms at a flow rate of 2 ℓ /s.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is extended to Mr. Emil Braun of the Center for Fire Research for his assistance in developing the data transfer routines used in this project and helping with other computer related efforts. Mr. Paul Bennett of Autodata Engineering Software is recognized for his assistance in creating a unique computer program for collecting and displaying test data from the droplet analyzer. The management and technical staff of Bete Fog Nozzle Inc. provided advice and assistance on experimental technique and equipment throughout the project.

9. REFERENCES

[1] Alpert, R. L., Delichatsios, M. M., Calculated Interaction of Water Droplet Sprays With Plumes in Compartments, NBS-GCR-86-520, National Bureau of Standards, U.S.A., 1986.

[2] Ball, J. A., Pietrzak, L. M., Investigation to Improve the Effectiveness of Water in the Suppression of Compartment Fires, Fire Research, 1, No. 4/5, Netherlands, 1977-78.

[3] Droplet Analyzer System Operators Manual, Bete Fog Nozzle Inc.,Greenfield, MA (1985).

[4] Dundas P.H., THe Scaling of Sprinkler Discharge: Prediction of Drop Size, Progress Report No. 10, FMRC Serial No. 18792, RC73-T-40, Factory Mutual Research Corporation, Norwood, Massachusetts, 1974.

[5] Braidech, M.M. and Neale, J.A., "The Mechanism of Extinguishment of Fire by Finely Divided Water", NBFU Research Report No. 10, Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc., 1955.

[6] Kroesser, F.W., "The Measurement of Drop Sizes Produced by the Discharge of Water From a Sprinkler", FMRC Serial 18792 Technical Report, August 1969.

results
test
of
Summary
1:
Table

Diameters (microns)

Test No.	<pre>Flow Rate (l/s)</pre>	Cylindrical Angle (degrees)	Position Angle (degrees)	Number of Test Frames	Droplet Count	Mean	Volume Mean	Median	Volume Median	Total Volum (mm ³)
1	1.0	6	0.08	441	4010	335	451	272	786	192.7
2	1.0	06	64.7	228	4512	332	436	290	694	195.4
n	1.0	06	46.6	231	4220	417	634	311	1232	563.5
4	1.0	06	27 .9	399	4002	433	599	333	988	450.4
5	1.0	06	0.0	697	3994	327	392	294	509	125.7
Q	2.0	06	0°06	139	3995	353	453	293	691	195.0
7	2.0	06	64.7	101	6652	289	381	245	631	192.3
8	2.0	06	46.6	101	7023	321	434	269	736	299.7
o	2.0	06	27.9	81	4015	357	501	293	899	263.8
10	2.0	06	0.0	105	4014	308	368	271	495	104.9
11	2.0	0	0.06	97	4018	314	428	271	751	165.0
12	2.0	0	64.7	148	3995	255	304	221	399	58.7
13	2.0	0	46.6	101	3998	268	316	248	399	66.0
14	2.0	0	27.9	70	4031	308	413	248	709	148.2
15	2.0	0	0.0	66	4013	259	304	221	383	58.9



Figure 1. Photograph of strobe/camera module and sprinkler head



Figure 2. Photograph of sprinkler head under test



Figure 3. Photograph of video screen showing water droplets



Figure 4. Analyzer field orientation







Figure 6: Radial test positions.



Figure 7. Terms used to define analyzer position relative to sprinkler.



Figure 8: Vertical and horizontal test positions.



























^{35 -}











number vs. diameter for Tests No. 11 -









Figure 30. Volume median diemeter vs. position angle

FORM NBS-114A (REV.11-84)								
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.	1. PUBLICATION OR	2. Performing	Organ. Report No	. 3. Publicat	tion Date			
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	REPORT NO.			Apı	ril 1988			
SHEET (See instructions)	NBSIR-88/3715			-				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- I				
Measurement of Droplet Size in Sprinkler Sprays								
5. AUTHOR(S)								
J.R. Law	son, W.D. Walton, a	and D.D. Evans	3					
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION (If joint or other than	NBS, see instructio	ns)	7. Contract/	'Grant No.			
NATIONAL BUREAU O U.S. DEPARTMENT OF GAITHERSBURG, MD 2	F STANDARDS COMMERCE 20899			8. Type of R	Leport & Period Covered			
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZA	TION NAME AND COMPLE	TE ADDRESS (Stree	t, City, State, ZIF					
Swedish Fire Stockholm, Sv	Research Board weden	and	General Se Washingtor	ervices A n, DC	dministration			
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE	ES							
Document describes a 11. ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature A computer-contr characteristics tests were carring positions close different water were measured and cumulative volum addition, a compute the video analyze manually using a in the literature used for analysis	a computer program: SF-185, or less factual summary of m survey, mention it here) olled video shadowy of a commercially a ed out that measure to the sprinkler he flow rates, one and d analyzed to produ e and cumulative nu arison was made bet er and droplet samp microscope. The o e. Data on more th s in the development	, FIPS Software Sum nost significant info graph was used available spri- ed spray chara ead. Tests we d two liters p uce graphic pr umber vs. drop tween the drop ples collected data are also han 66,000 spr nt of this rep	mary, is attached. rmation. If docum 1 to collect inkler head. Acteristics 2 re conducte 2 re second. 3 cesentations 3 olet diamete 4 in a light 3 compared wi 3 cay droplets 3 ort.	data on A total at differ d using t Droplet of norma r data. rs measur oil and th result were col	a significant the spray of 15 cent two diameters alized In red using measured ts found llected and			
12. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons)								
drop size measure systems	ements; droplets; w	vater sprays;	sprinkler h	eads; spr	inkler			
13. AVAILABILITY		<u></u>			14. NO. OF			
					PRINTED PAGES			
X Unlimited					51			
For Official Distribut	ion, Do Not Release to NT	12						
Order From Superinter 20402.	ndent of Documents, U.S. Go	overnment Printing ()ffice, Washington	n, DC	15. Price			
X Order From National	Technical Information Servi	ce (NTIS), Springfie	ld, VA 22161		\$13.95			
					USCOMM-DC 85-600(

