VJJJ05 P3J0P4

NAT'L INST OF STANDARDS & TECH R.I.C.

NBSIR 86-349

NBS

PUBLICATIONS

REFERENCE

Safety Color Appearance Under Selected Light Sources

Belinda L. Collins Belinda Y. Kuo Suzin E. Mayerson James A. Worthey Gerald L. Howett

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Center for Building Technology Building Physics Division Gaithersburg, MD 20899

December 1986

Sponsored by: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration OC S. Department of Labor /ashington, DC .U56 86-3493 1986

SAFETY COLOR APPEARANCE UNDER SELECTED LIGHT SOURCES

NBS RESEARCH INFORMATION CENTER NBSR QC 100 . USG WU,86-3493 1986

Belinda L. Collins Belinda Y. Kuo Suzin E. Mayerson James A. Worthey Geraid L. Howett

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Center for Building Technology Building Physics Division Gaithersburg, MD 20899

December 1986

Sponsored by: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration U.S. Department of Labor Washington, DC



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, Director







ABSTRACT

The present report provides data on the color appearance and physical measurements of 58 safety color samples viewed under each of seven light sources. Ten observers participated in an experiment which determined the accuracy with which different color samples could be identified under sources which varied in spectral composition. The seven light sources included incandescent, cool white fluorescent, clear mercury, metal halide, metal halide-high pressure sodium mix, high pressure sodium, and low pressure sodium. Color samples included ones for safety red, orange, yellow, green, blue, purple (magenta), brown, white, gray, and black of several different types including fluorescent, retroreflective, and retroreflective ordinary, fluorescent. Analysis of the data indicated that the standard ANSI (American National Standards Institute) samples were often not identified accurately under many of the sources studied, with particularly poor performance for the two sodium sources and clear mercury. Specifications are given for a new set of samples that were identified more accurately under all seven sources and which showed a greater gamut of coloration in a uniform color space for all sources. Chromaticity and luminance coordinates for all 58 color samples are presented for both CIE x, y, Y and CIE L*a*b* values. In addition, the psychophysical data are compared with the CIELAB data.

Keywords:

chromaticity, color, color appearance, energy-efficient lights, high-intensity discharge lights, illumination, light source, safety colors, vision.

FOREWORD

This report is one of a series documenting the results of NBS research in support of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in fulfillment of an Interagency Agreement between NBS and OSHA.

The report summarizes research conducted in the period July 1983 - July 1986.

We wish to acknowledge with special thanks the interest, cooperation, and encouragement of the sponsor's Technical Project officers, Mr. Tom Seymour, Ms. Audrey Best, and Ms. JoAnne Slattery of the OSHA Office of Standards Development. We also wish to acknowledge with deep appreciation the efforts of Mr. Marvel Freund who was instrumental in designing, constructing, and building the illumination color lab, and those of Mr. Peter Spellerberg who wrote the computer programs for analyzing the psychophysical data.

DISCLAIMER

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this report in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Bureau of Standards, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Lage

ABSTRACT							
1.	INTRO 1.1 1.2	DUCTION1 Overview1 Previous Research2					
2.	APPR(2.1 2.2 2.3	ACH					
3.	PSYCH 3.1 3.2 3.3	DPHYSICAL RESULTS.17General Findings.173.1.1 Tabulation Procedure.173.1.2 Overview of the Results.19Statistical Comparison of Samples.22Selection of Improved Safety Colors.24					
4.	SPEC 4.1 4.2 4.3	RORADIOMETRIC RESULTS					
5.	DISCU 5.1 5.2 5.3	SSION					
6.	REFE	ENCES					
APP:	ENDIX A.1	A - DETAILED PSYCHOPHYSICAL RESULTS					
APP	ENDIX	B - ADDITIONAL TABLES OF RESULTS115					

LIST OF TABLES

Page

...

1.	Vertical Luminance Data Reflected from a PTFE Standard Measured at the Sample Position During the Experiment6
2.	Identification of Samples Used in the Experiment for Each Nominal Color Name10
3.	Instructions to Observers for Experiment on Safety Color Appearance
4.	Percentage of Times Sample Given Dominant Color Name Under Each Source
5.	Statistical Comparisons Between Sample Types Studied23
б.	Frequency of Color Name Errors for Each Sample, Source and Observer25
A1-7	A58. Results for Each Individual Sample as Viewed Under the Seven Sources
B1.	Rank Ordering of Samples for Each Light Source115
B2.	CIE Specifications for ANSI and Best Colors in x,y,Y and L*a*b* Coordinates117
ВЗ.	CIELAB Values and Psychophysical Responses for Each Sample

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Spectral Power Distribution Data for Each Source Used in the Experiment
2.	Comparison of spectral reflectance factors of ANSI standard green, blue, and yellow samples with "best" samples under tungsten illumination
3.	Comparison of spectral reflectance factors of ANSI standard red and orange samples with "best" samples under tungsten illumination and under mercury illumination30
4.	ANSI Red, Orange, and Yellow samples under different illuminants including D ₆₅ in CIE a*b* space
5.	ANSI Green, Blue, and Purple samples under different illuminants including D ₆₅ in CIE a*b* space
6.	ANSI Brown, White, Gray and Black samples under different illuminants including D ₆₅ in CIE a*b* space
7.	"Best" Red, Orange, and Yellow samples under different illuminants including D ₆₅ in CIE a*b* space
8.	"Best" Green (yellow-green), Green (blue-green), and Blue samples under different illuminants including D ₆₅ in CIE a*b* space
9.	Gamut of coloration for ANSI standard and "Best" samples on a CIELAB a*b* diagram for incandescent, mercury, and cool white fluorescent sources
10.	Gamut of coloration for ANSI standard and "Best" samples on a CIELAB a*b* diagram for metal halide, HPSMH mix, HPS, and LPS sources

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Several previous research studies (Jerome, 1977; Thornton, 1977, and Glass, Howett, Lister and Collins, 1983) have indicated that many of the standard safety colors (ANSI Z53, 1979) are not perceived accurately under some high intensity discharge (HID) sources.

The present study was an attempt to determine the extent to which the ANSI standard safety colors - red, orange, yellow, green, blue, purple, brown, white, gray, and black - are not accurately recognized under common HID sources, and to explore the effectiveness of a set of potentially better colors. A two-part experimental approach was used in the study.

First, psychophysical color data were obtained for a set of 58 color samples under each of seven light sources with 10 observers. The seven light sources included: low pressure sodium (LPS), high pressure sodium (HPS), clear mercury, metal halide, a high pressure sodium metal halide (HPSMH) mix, cool white fluorescent, and incandescent. Each observer provided data on color name (as related to safety alerting) and then gave judgments of primary hue, secondary hue, percentage of secondary hue, lightness, and saturation. In this way the appearance of each sample was specified in three dimensions for each source.

The second set of data was comprised of physical color measurements. In this portion of the experiment, the spectral reflectance of all 58 color samples was measured under incandescent light using a spectroradiometer. At the same time, the reflectance of a nearly perfect white diffuser was measured under all seven illuminants so that the spectral radiance factor could be calculated for all non-fluorescent samples for each source. The twenty-seven fluorescent samples were measured directly under each source, and spectral radiance factors calculated. The spectral radiance factor data were then converted into a uniform color space (CIELAB) so that the effects of varying sample and source could be easily assessed.

Results from the psychophysical investigation indicated that several of the ANSI standard colors, particularly red, orange, green, blue, and purple, were not accurately recognized under sources such as LPS, HPS and clear mercury. Examination of the physical gamut of coloration in a CIELAB a*b* space revealed reduced gamut - or diminished color differences - for these same sources relative to the incandescent source. Psychophysical results for the new samples indicated that two fluorescent samples, particularly red and orange, and several ordinary samples, including yellow, green and blue were more accurately recognized. In addition, the gamuts of coloration for these samples were always greater than for the ANSI samples regardless of the source. The results suggest that effective safety alerting requires knowledge of the possible detrimental effects of the light source on the appearance of safety colors. Particularly for LPS, HPS and clear mercury, the use of supplementary good color rendering lighting or the use of the "best" colors should be considered.

Although further research is needed to evaluate the long-term stability of the fluorescent pigments, the improvement in performance relative to the current standard color samples suggests that the "best" colors deserve serious consideration as part of a more effective scheme of safety alerting.



1. Introduction

1.1 <u>Overview</u>

Previous research by Glass, Howett, Lister and Collins (1983) indicated that many of the standard safety colors (ANSI Z53, 1979) are not perceived accurately under some high intensity discharge (HID) light sources. Safety colors are used to encode different types of warning and safety messages. Current ANSI (American National Standards Institute) Z535 draft standards call for the following color use:

Red - Danger Orange - Warning Yellow - Caution Green - Safety Blue - Information Black/White - Contrast Gray, Brown, Purple - Reserved for later application.

Glass, et al, presented data indicating that selected fluorescent colors can be more effective than ordinary colors^{*} under many common light sources and suggested several candidate safety colors. Their report did not provide any physical measurements of these new colors, however, and focused primarily on red samples (used to indicate dangerous conditions).

The present research was intended to extend the study by Glass, and provide an in-depth analysis of the effects of et al, variation in light source spectral composition on four different types of safety colors - ordinary, fluorescent, retroreflective, retroreflective-fluorescent. The identifiability and and physical color characteristics for 58 different color samples were studied for seven different light sources, including five HID sources. The first goal was to determine if there were a set of color samples that could be accurately identified for each safety color name - red, orange, yellow, green, blue, purple, brown, gray, black and white - under each of the seven sources. The second goal was to provide physical measures of chromaticity and luminance for each different color sample under all sources. The present study included a number of color samples used by Glass, et al, as well as some additional samples. It extended the number of light sources to include clear mercury, cool white fluorescent, and a mixture of high pressure sodium and metal halide, as well as the incandescent, metal halide, high pressure sodium, and low pressure sodium sources used in the earlier experiment.

^{*} In this report, an "ordinary"color sample is one which is neither fluorescent nor retroreflective.

1.2 Previous Research

Two recent studies also evaluated the effectiveness of safety colors under different light sources.

Jerome (1977) asked 20 observers to identify the primary color name of the ANSI standard safety colors under each of six sources (daylight fluorescent, incandescent, metal halide, deluxe mercury, clear mercury, and high pressure sodium). Jerome did not use low pressure sodium because pilot research had indicated that any differences between colors seen under this source were due primarily to brightness differences rather than color differences. As a result, he claimed that all colors would be confused under this source. In Jerome's study, the illuminance level was only 0.5 fc - the level specified by IES for emergency lighting. Each observer was shown the safety colors presented in a random sequence which included a single duplicate of each color as well as white, gray, and black. A total of 40 observations was made for each color under each source.

A two-step data analysis procedure was followed in which Jerome first tabulated the percentage of responses for each sample under each source. Then, he set criterion levels for performance: defining a slight confusion as 5-10 percent wrong answers; some confusion as 10-20 percent wrong answers; and a definite confusion as more than 20 percent wrong answers for a given color sample.

Jerome found no real confusions for the safety colors under daylight fluorescent light. For incandescent light, he found some confusion (10-20 percent errors) of green with blue, and purple with red. For metal halide he found some confusions between red and orange, blue and green, and gray and yellow. Under deluxe mercury, he found definite confusions between purple and red, yellow and white, gray and green, and black with both blue and purple. For clear mercury, Jerome found numerous definite confusions. These occurred between red, orange, and yellow, black and blue, and red with both purple and black, and green with white. In fact, purple was termed red more often than it was termed purple while black was termed red, blue or purple with equal frequency.

Under HPS Jerome also found many definite confusions. Again red, yellow, and orange were confused with each other, as were green, blue, and black. Orange, in fact was termed yellow 69 percent of the time. Red, purple, and orange were confused as were yellow and white. Gray was confused with both green and yellow. It should be noted that some of these confusions may have been due to the low illuminance provided (0.5 fc). Such a low level reduces the ability to make accurate color discriminations since it is below the level of photopic (color) vision. This may be one reason for the observed confusions between yellow and white, and green, blue and black.

Jerome concluded (1977, p.182) that "there are some light sources being used extensively under which the safety colors cannot be identified positively with any degree of certainty. Under these circumstances, if the safety colors are to perform their assigned function, supplementary lighting must be provided for the colors under which their identification can be determined without ambiguity."

Jerome also discussed the prediction of safety color appearance using the CIE Color Rendering Index (CRI). When the special indices of the CRI were computed for each color, it was found that the indices did not correlate well with the data. Jerome suggested (1977 p. 182) that "Apparently the answer is not how faithfully the colors are rendered, the attribute indicated by the Color Rendering Index, but how well the colors can be perceived as different from the other colors. That is, if the red can be identified as red and not some other color, even though it may differ greatly from its daylight appearance, it is performing its function as a safety color satisfactorily." Thus, the important attribute for safety colors is the difference in chromaticities between colors. Jerome calculated the gamut of coloration for the safety colors for the six sources studied on the CIE Uniform Color Space diagram (U*, V*). This analysis suggested "that if the adjacent colors are separated by at least 40 U*,V* units they can be distinguished at least 90 percent of the time" (1977, p. 182). The converse is not always true, since some colors separated by a smaller distance were identified correctly. Jerome concluded that "If the chromaticities of the safety colors illuminated by a particular source, plotted on the U*, V* diagram, are separated by less than 40 units confusion may exist and further investigations should be made to determine the extent of the problem and to determine what supplementary lighting may be necessary to eliminate it" (1977, p. 183).

In another effort, Thornton (1977) conducted a theoretical analysis designed to determine the chromaticities of a set of safety colors that should be more identifiable under common HID and tri-phosphor sources. He suggested that the problem was one of selecting object colors which would be identified correctly when presented by themselves. Thornton's solution to the problem of safety color identification was to redesign the colors themselves. When he calculated gamuts of coloration for the six standard ANSI colors under different illuminants, he found that the gamut of coloration for HPS and clear mercury was severely reduced, particularly for ANSI red.

Thornton suggested that altering the spectral reflectance of color samples to suppress blue-green and yellow reflectance could improve the recognizability under a number of sources. For lamps

such as LPS and clear mercury, which have limited spectral power at wavelengths longer than about 570-590 nm and which cannot render reds properly, altering the spectral composition of the safety colors in these regions will have little effect. For these sources, the use of fluorescent materials appears to be the solution. Thornton presented suggested spectral reflectances for redesigned safety colors that would be more accurately identified under all sources.

Thornton also noted (1977, p. 95) that "auxiliary illumination on safety colors is simple in principle, and effective. For example, incandescent lamps may illuminate the safety colors, at added footcandle levels considerably below the footcandle levels of the offending main illuminant and good identifiability can be restored. However, in practice, auxiliary illumination is both expensive and unwieldy since many objects marked with safety colors are movable"...and could require complex, movable light sources.

In view of the preceding studies, the best solution to the problem of safety color identification appears to be the redesign of either the safety colors themselves or of the light sources. Although Worthey (1982, 1985) has pointed out that many conventional illuminants tend to decrease differences between red and green object colors, thus reinforcing the need to improve their color rendering properties, the present study was an attempt to determine if altering the spectral reflectance of particular object colors would improve their recognizability for sources already in common use. Several different types of safety colors and color pigments were thus studied under a variety of illuminants to provide baseline data on the effectiveness of "improved" safety colors.

2. Approach

2.1 Participants

Ten employees of the National Bureau of Standards, three females and seven males, participated in the experiment. Their age ranged from 20 to 53. All participants had normal (20/20) or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. They also had normal color vision, as verified by the A.O.H-R-R Pseudo-Isochromatic Plates.

2.2 Apparatus

All experimental sessions were conducted in the NBS Illumination Color Laboratory which contains a smaller illumination chamber, 3.9 m by 2.5 m with a 2.4 m ceiling. For the experiment, gray canvas walls were used on three sides of the chamber with a movable black wall as the fourth side. The floors were of light grey speckled tile. The ceiling consisted of two layers of translucent plastic diffusers, above which were mounted seven different types of light sources. These sources represent commonly occurring energy-efficient or high color-rendering Sources included low pressure sodium sources. (LPS), high pressure sodium (HPS), metal halide (MH), clear mercury (MER), cool white fluorescent (CW), incandescent tungsten (TUN), as well as an equal luminance mixture of high pressure sodium and metal halide (HPSMH). The overall vertical luminance level at the sample location was between 79 and 550 cd/m^2 . It was at the highest level only for LPS to maximize color recognition, if at all possible. Excluding LPS, the mean luminance was 107 cd/m^2 . The overall illuminance was varied by means of mechanical shutters, so that problems of altering spectral power distribution by electronic dimming were avoided. Table 1 provides vertical luminance data measured for each source, while figure 1 presents measured spectral power distribution data for each of the seven sources used in the experiment.

Four types of color samples were used in the experiment: ordinary surface (0) colors, fluorescent (F) colors, retroreflective (R) colors, and retroreflective fluorescent (RF) colors. (A fluorescent color is one which absorbs light at a given wavelength and reradiates it at a longer wavelength, while a retroreflective material is one which contains glass beads designed to reflect incident light back along the axis of incidence, thus increasing its night visibility.) All four types of materials are commonly used on safety and highway signs. The samples represented eleven nominal color name categories used in safety alerting. These included Red, Orange, Yellow, Green, Blue, Purple, Magenta, White, Grey, Brown, and Black. The color samples included the standard ANSI Z53 (1979) safety colors (ordinary colors), as well as several fluorescent and retroreflective colors that had been identified as effective in the previous experiment (Glass, et al, 1983).

Table 1. Vertical Luminance Data Reflected from a PTFE Standard Measured at the Sample Position During the Experiment.

Incandescent light 78.8 cd/m²

Cool White Fluorescent Light

 113.0 cd/m^2

Clear Mercury

 124.2 cd/m^2

Metal Halide

85.1 cd/m^2

High Pressure Sodium 108.0 cd/m²

Low Pressure Sodium 550.0 cd/m²

High Pressure Sodium/Metal Halide Mix 136.4 cd/m²



Figure 1. Spectral Power Distribution Data for Each Source Used in the Experiment.





Although previous research by Glass, et al, had suggested that retroreflective samples were more identifiable than other samples, this finding was confounded with colorant type. Because it was not clear whether the effect was due to the colorant or the retroreflectance, in the present study several color samples were tested in both a retroreflective and an ordinary version. In particular, samples in the series 11 through 32 were available in both a retroreflective and non-retroreflective version. Strictly retroreflective samples were made in an ordinary form (e.g. 11 and 12), while retroreflective-fluorescent colors were produced in a fluorescent version only (e.g. 13 and 14). Samples 15-18 and 19-22 also represent variations of the same nominal This approach allowed color pigment. the effects of retroreflectance and fluorescence to be assessed for the same nominal color pigment.

Table 2 identifies the colors (using the manufacturer's color name), the sample type (O, F, R, or RF), and the sample number identifier. The eleven cases in which a pair of samples involved the same basic pigment in both a retroreflective and nonretroreflective version are listed at the bottom of table 2. In six of these pairs, the common pigment was ordinary, and in five pairs it was fluorescent. Although retroreflection was the principal difference between members of each pair, other confounding factors may have been present, including the thickness of the colorant layer and the nature of the substrate or backing material.

A total of 58 color samples was used in the experiment. They included the following nominal color names: eleven red, ten orange, eight yellow, ten green, six blue, five purple/magenta, two brown, four white, one gray and one black. Each sample was mounted in a plastic frame 12.7 cm by 17.8 cm.

2.3 Experimental Procedure

2.3.1 Spectroradiometric Measurement Procedure

Measurements of chromaticity and luminance were made for each color sample using a spectroradiometer. Illumination was provided by an incandescent source consisting of a small 12-volt spotlight with diffusing plastic mounted in front. The light was powered by a voltage regulated DC-source. It had a chromaticity of about x, 0.453, y = 0.419 or CIE 1960 u, 0.254, v = 0.353. Although the light was incident along the normal to the spot measured (about 7" away), the spectroradiometer was aimed at 45° to the normal so that the spectral measurements had a $0^{\circ}-45^{\circ}$ geometry - thus excluding the specular component of reflectance for all practical purposes. For the ordinary samples, these measurements also provided spectral reflectance factor data.

Table 2. Identification of Samples Used in the Experiment for Each Nominal Color Name.

|--|

<u>ORANGE</u>

<u>Sample Number</u>	Type	Sample Number	Type
6	O (ANSI)	5	O (ANSI)
11	R	15	RF
12	0	16	F
13	RF	17	R
14	F	18	0
33	R	35	R
34	R	42	RF
45	F	46	F
57	F	48	F
58	F	56	RF
		47	F

YELLOW

<u>GREEN</u>

Sample Number	Type	Sample Number	Type
4	O (ANSI)	3	O (ANSI)
19	RF	23	RF
20	F	24	F
21	R	25	R
22	0	26	0
36	R	39	R
37	R	43	RF
49	F	51	F
50	F	55	R

BLUE

PURPLE/MAGENTA

Sample Number	Туре	Sample Number	Type
2	O (ANSI)	1	O (ANSI)
27	R	29	RF
28	0	30	F
40	R	44	F
52	F	53	F
54	R		

Table 2. Continued.

<u>BROWN</u>

<u>GRAY</u>

Sample Number	Type	Sample Number	Type
7 38	O (ANSI) R	9	O (ANSI)
WHITE		BLACK	
Sample Number	Type	Sample Number	Type
10	O (ANSI)	8	O (ANSI)

10 O 31 R 32 O 41 R Measurements were also made under each source used in the experiment. All samples were first measured under TUN. For each illuminant, several measures of spectral reflectance were also taken for a PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene or white diffusing) sample (Weidner and Hsia, 1981), yielding the data shown in figure 1. These measures provided baseline spectral power distribution data which allowed computation of the spectral radiance factor distribution for each sample.

The 27 fluorescent and retroreflective-fluorescent samples were also measured under all seven sources. These additional measurements were taken to determine the variation in spectral radiance factor as a function of light source due to fluorescing of the samples. All measurements were made with the sample mounted in the same position used for the observers. In this case, the light source was approximately 45° from the normal to the sample, while the spectroradiometer was focused along the normal. Again the intent was to exclude specular reflections. Since some of the samples had glossy plastic covers, additional care was taken to exclude specular reflections for them.

2.3.2 <u>Psychophysical Measurement Procedure</u>

The psychophysical experimental sessions began with a 15 minute adaptation period to the light source during which the observer read material consisting of black print on a white surface. This adaptation time was sufficiently long to allow full light adaptation (about 1 to 2 minutes, according to Cornsweet, 1970). The overall light level maintained in the chamber was high enough (50-100 fc or 500-1000 lux) to permit each light source to reach maximum color rendering capabilities. Colored objects were removed from the chamber so as not to influence color judgements. The observer was also draped with a black cloth to remove supplemental color information and reflections from clothes. The observer was seated 1 m from the sample exposure area at a comfortable height.

During each experimental session only one light source was used. Observers saw all 58 color samples during a session. Some observers viewed the sample set twice, if they were not tired, and if the light source allowed easy identification of the colors. Because LPS caused so many problems in accurate color identification, all observers saw the full set of samples only once under this source in a session. The entire sample set was randomized for each exposure. The samples were exposed one at a time in the center of the black vertical wall at the observer's eye level (1.2 m). Samples were exposed briefly, but long enough to allow observers time to identify them.

In all, 140 experimental sessions were conducted, for a total of 8120 sample presentations. Every sample was viewed 20 times under each of the seven sources. A set of five responses was selected for the observers to give for each sample under each light source. The first response was that of a simple, overall color name for the sample. This response was intended to determine the correspondence between the color name and the desired safety color for safety alerting purposes. To simplify the data analysis, observers were asked to restrict their choices to the following color names, or combinations thereof: Red, Yellow, Orange, Pink, Tan, Olive, Green, Blue, Purple, Gold, Magenta, Brown, White, Grey, and Black.

The other four responses, involving primary and secondary hue, lightness, and saturation, were chosen in an attempt to tie the observer's responses to existing color order systems, two in The basic approach required of the observers for particular. specifying color appearance paralleled the Munsell system, which categorizes colors in terms of hue, chroma (saturation), and value (lightness). Because hue was considered to be the most important variable in the present experiment, a fairly precise measure of hue was sought. Use of the number/letter notation for Munsell hue is abstract and difficult for inexperienced subjects As a result, the observers were trained on the hue to use. notation of the Swedish Natural Color System (NCS), which is tied The NCS characterizes colors in direct to visual perception. accordance with opponent colors theory using four fundamental hue perceptions - red, green, yellow and blue - and characterizes any hue as either being one of these, or as being some percentage of the way between two of the adjacent fundamental hues (Hard and Sivik, 1981).

Since lightness and saturation were considered to be less important than hue, and to avoid prolonged training on the numerical Munsell scales for these two dimensions, observers rated both variables on a three-point scale of High, Medium, and Low. It was expected that hue, saturation, and lightness would all vary for a given sample as a function of light source.

To summarize, the second response given by the observers was the identification of primary hue, while the third was the name and percentage of secondary hue. As an example of hue response, observers were told that one Orange sample might be a Red with 40 percent Yellow, while another might be a Yellow with 40 percent Red. The observer's fourth response was the lightness of the color in terms of High, Medium, or Low. As an example, they were told to consider brown as a dark orange. The fifth response was the saturation or vividness of a color, again in terms of High, Medium, or Low. Thus, an observer's response to a pink color might be: Pink, Red, 10% Blue, High Lightness, Low Saturation. Table 3 presents the instructions given to the observers.

Observers were first trained on the concepts used for the responses with the Munsell Book of Color - Glossy Finish (1976).

They were shown a page in the book and told that it presented a series of colors which were the same hue but which varied in lightness and saturation. They were shown how lightness decreases toward the bottom of the page, and how saturation increases toward the outer edge of the page. This procedure was repeated for each of the hue families. Once the experimenter was confident that an observer understood the concepts of hue, lightness, and saturation, he or she was given a practice session in the illumination chamber. For this session a set of colors used in the previous experiment by Glass, et al (1983) was used under incandescent (TUN) light. Observers went through the response procedure, with the experimenter providing feedback if the observer expressed difficulties or if the response seemed inappropriate. Once the experimenter believed that the observer understood the procedure, the experimental sessions were begun. A source with at least reasonably good color rendering was chosen for the first session so that the experimenter could be sure that the observer's responses were appropriate. As Hard and Sivik (1981) reported, observers were able to make consistent, reliable judgements of color appearance with only 15-30 minutes of training.

Table 3. Instructions to Observers for

Experiment on Safety Color Appearance

We are conducting an experiment on the appearance of colors under different types of light sources. The colors have been carefully chosen to be easily recognized under daylight illumination. Under different light sources, however, they may not be recognized as easily. As a result, we are conducting an experiment to determine what the colors look like under a variety of commonly used light sources.

In this experiment we will ask for five different types of information from you about the appearance of each color.

The first is your very first reaction to the color--its color name. This is your initial reaction to the color. We want you to tell us what color you see. If possible, please restrict your choices to the following color names, or combinations thereof:

Red, Yellow, Orange, Pink, Tan, Olive, Green, Blue, Purple,

Gold, Magenta, Brown, White, Gray, and Black

Secondly, we want to know what the underlying hue is. For this judgement, you may think of a color circle with RED at the top, YELLOW at the right, GREEN at the bottom, and BLUE at the left.

RED

BLUE YELLOW

GREEN

Third, we want to know what the secondary hue is, if any. Because any color may be formed by a combination of two of these hues, we want you to give us the primary hue, followed by the percentage, if any, of the secondary hue. For example, you may think of orange as a RED with 40% YELLOW; or a brown, as a YELLOW with 30% RED and also low lightness and saturation.

The percentage of secondary color may be any number up to 50%. For example, you may see a blue green that is mostly blue, but partly green. You would term that "BLUE", 20% (or some such percentage) green. A fifty percent mixture would mean that the color was equally blue and green. We will provide you with some examples under good light to demonstrate what we mean.

The fourth type of information concerns the lightness of the color. Is it light or dark? Light means that there is a great

deal of white in the color; dark means a great deal of black. Again, we will show you an example.

The fifth type of information concerns the saturation or vividness of the color. This relates to the amount of chromatic quality in the color-or the strength of the color. It is a measure of how much a color differs from a gray of the same lightness. A color can be saturated and either light or dark.

Please note that we are considering that white, gray, and black have no saturation. Also by definition white is high in lightness, and black is low.

To explain color name, lightness, and saturation further, we will show you examples from the MUNSELL BOOK OF COLORS.

Each page of this book presents a series of colors which vary in lightness and saturation for one color (or hue, in the Munsell system). As you go down the page for the color, the lightness decreases. Thus, colors higher on the page are lighter; colors lower on the page are darker.

Variations in saturation are shown in the horizontal direction. As you go out from the spine of the book towards the edge, the saturation increases. Thus, colors near the spine are dull or low in saturation; colors near the edge are vivid or high in saturation. Colors along a row all have the same lightness, while colors in a column all have the same saturation.

We would like you to retain the idea of both lightness and saturation as dimensions which additionally define the appearance of a color. To do this please indicate the amount of lightness by saying HIGH, MEDIUM, or LOW LIGHTNESS. Similarly, please indicate the amount of saturation next by saying HIGH, MEDIUM, or LOW SATURATION.

Thus for this experiment, we ask you to:

Give the color name;

Give the primary hue, in terms of RED, YELLOW, GREEN, or BLUE.

Give the secondary hue; and the percentage of secondary hue, if any, up to 50%;

Give the lightness of the hue, using High, Medium, or Low;

Give the saturation of the hue, using High, Medium, or Low;

If you get confused, the experimenter will remind you which variables you have mentioned.

3. Psychophysical Results

3.1 General Findings

3.1.1 Tabulation Procedures

Each observer's responses were recorded for each color chip as they were uttered. The color name was recorded first, followed by the primary hue name. The secondary hue name, and percentage of secondary hue, if any, was given next. The observer then gave the lightness and saturation judgments for the sample. For about half the observers, these data were recorded by hand and later transcribed into a computerized database management program. For the other observers, the data were recorded directly by a computer into a database management program.

Data recorded included sample number, observer identifier, source, date, color name, primary hue, secondary hue, percent secondary hue, lightness, and saturation. The database management program was then used to sort the data by observer and light source for each sample number. The summary tabulations enabled the data for each color sample to be examined for a given source so that anomalies such as repeated entries could be detected readily.

Because of the amount of raw data, the database was further organized. In this step, data for selected samples were compiled and combined. The first step was to convert color names to one of the categories initially given to the observer to use. The following categories were selected based on the originally suggested responses and the actual names given by the observers: Red, Red-Orange, Orange-Red, Pink, Orange, Gold, Yellow, Yellow Green, Tan, Olive, Green, Blue-Green, Blue, Purple, Magenta, Brown, Gray, Black, and White. This categorization was intended to identify those cases where a color name was used that was not a valid safety color. The following rules were used for this categorization:

a) Any modifier referring to lightness or saturation was dropped, e.g. "Light", "Dark", "Pale", "Dull", "Bright", "Fluor-escent", "Brick", etc., because lightness judgments had been obtained separately.

b) Combined colors were categorized with the non-safety color part of the pair; e.g. Olive-Green as Olive; or Yellow-Tan as Tan. Red-Brown was tabulated as Brown since the sample was obviously not seen as safety Red.

c) Red-purple and Purple-pink were categorized as Magenta.

d) Maroon (given by only 2 observers) was categorized as brown rather than purple or magenta, since it was generally reported to have a red primary hue and a yellow secondary with low lightness and saturation. While purple might appear to be an appropriate name, no nominal purple was ever termed marcon under any source. Rather, use of marcon was confined to some nominally red samples under certain sources, such as mercury.

e) Where observers combined color name categories, these were generally retained. Combined categories included red-orange, orange-red, yellow-green, and blue-green. Yellow-green and blue-green combined all responses that were either green and yellow, or blue and green, regardless of order. Red-Orange and Orange-Red were treated as separate categories because of the importance of both Red and Orange in signaling the presence of a hazard.

A series of 58 detailed tables (one for each sample) was generated based on the categorization described above. Each contains five tabulations: Color Name, Lightness, table Saturation, Primary Hue, and Secondary Hue for each individual color sample. In the first block, the 19 possible Color Names are listed along with the frequency of occurrence of that name for each source. Twenty responses for Color Name (two from each of 10 observers) were obtained for each source. The next block presents the frequency with which a sample was categorized into one of the three lightness levels. Similar tabulations were made in the third block for each of the three possible saturation levels. In the latter case, responses may not sum to 20, since some colors were seen as gray, white or black under selected sources. Gray, white, and black have no saturation, by definition. (Some grays and whites were seen as highly saturated yellows under LPS, however.)

The fourth tabulation was that of primary hue. This block of the table lists the frequency that each of the four primary hues (Red, Blue, Green, and Yellow) was given for each sample under each source. In addition, the block lists the mean percentage of hue assigned to the primary hue component. Two steps were taken to obtain the percentage of primary hue. First, the individual percentage of primary hue was obtained by subtracting the percentage of secondary hue associated with that primary hue from 1.0 (meaning 100%) for each hue given by a observer. Thus, if a observer termed a particular sample as Red only, its primary hue would be recorded as red and the percentage as 100. If a secondary hue were given, such as blue-10%, the percent of red would be calculated to be 90%. All calculated percentages for each hue name were then summed and divided by the number of responses for that hue name to obtain a mean percent of primary Note that the total frequency for the primary hue was hue. sometimes less than 20 in cases where a sample was seen as neutral (white, gray, or black).

The fifth tabulation provides similar information for secondary hue. The same procedure for calculating mean percentage was followed, except that the initial percentages did not have to be calculated. Because there were numerous cases in which secondary hue names were not given, fewer than 20 responses occurred for many sample/source combinations. This means that in a number of cases the mean percentage of primary hue and of secondary hue do not sum to 100.

Because of the sheer volume of data, the 58 detailed tables are presented and discussed in Appendix A.

3.1.2 Overview of the Results

Table 4 compares the data on dominant color name for the ANSI standard samples (indicated by an asterisk) with the data for several new samples. The new samples are those that emerged as "Best" in terms of percentage of accurate color recognition. The table tabulates the percentage of times the sample was given the dominant color name as appropriate for the nominal color categories shown in Table 1. In cases where no color name emerged as dominant, secondary color names are also given.

Inspection of Table 4 reveals striking effects due to the light source. While findings for each color sample are discussed in detail in the appendix, inspection of table 4 indicates that the ANSI red sample was rarely termed red by all observers under every source. Performance was particularly poor under HPS, LPS Performance was also poor for the ANSI Orange under and MER. these particular sources. For both red and orange, performance was much better for the new fluorescent samples (57 and 48), although it still remained rather poor under LPS. Light source had less apparent effect on the appearance of yellow, although both MER and MH diminished performance for the ANSI yellow sample. Light source, however, had a notable effect on the green samples, with neither the ANSI nor the "best" sample being seen as green under LPS. Both these samples tended to be called blue green or green under all sources except LPS. While almost all blue samples were named correctly under most sources, only one sample (the "best" one, sample 28) was also named correctly under Purple, white and brown also were not named accurately LPS. under LPS.

For a detailed discussion of the results for each sample, please see Appendix A.

Table 4. Percentage of Times Sample Given Dominant Color Name Under Each Source.

-

Sample	Name	CW	HPS	Mix	LPS	MER	МН	TUN
C	ت <u>ا</u>	F F	Nomin	al Red				60
6	Red Orange Olive Brown	55	7 5	45	40	100		60
57	Red-orange Red Brown	85	85	75	40 35	90	45 95	85
			Nomin	al Orar	nge			
5	Orange Yellow	65	65	50 25	100	60	55	85
48	Orange	90	100	90	100	100	100	95
			Nomin	al Yell	low			
4	Yellow	75	95	85	100	40	03	85
22	Yellow Gree Yellow	en 80	95	90	100	50 65	85	85
			Nomin	al Gree	en			
3	Blue-green Green Grev	45 55	50 40	45 50	65	85	40 60	35 65
26	Blue-green Green Gray	50 50	75 10	70 25	85	85	60 35	45 55
			Nomin	al Blue	9			
2	Blue Purple	100	100	100	60	40 60	100	100
28	Blue	100	100	100	70	95	100	100

20

Table 4 Continued.

Sample	Name	CW	HPS	Mix	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
			Nomir	nal Pur	ple			
1	Purple Giay	100	95	100	35	100	100	75
			Nomir	nal Brow	wn			
7	Brown Olive Tan	100	55 35	75	30 30	55 35	90	95
			Nomir	nal Whit	te			
10	White Yellow	100	100	100	100	95	95	100
-			Nomir	nal Gray	Y			
9	Gray Olive	95	95	95	45	100	100	95
			Nomir	nal Blad	ck			
8	Black	100	100	90	80	90	100	100

3.2 Statistical Comparison of Samples

Several color samples were available in different formulations of the same nominal pigment; such as ordinary and fluorescent, retroreflective and retroreflective-fluorescent, etc. The performance for these different sample types was compared, to determine if adding retroreflectivity to a sample altered its appearance under different sources.

Chi square comparisons of the results given in Table 5a indicated few significant differences between pairs of samples containing retroreflective materials. This analysis compared the frequency of responses for the nominally correct dominant color name for the two samples for each light source. The only significant differences emerged for samples 25 and 26 (retroreflective vs. ordinary); samples 15 and 16 (retroreflective-fluorescent vs. fluorescent); and samples 19 and 20 (retroreflective-fluorescent vs. fluorescent). In these cases, performance was superior for the non-retroreflective samples. Consequently, one cannot draw any conclusions about the benefits of retroreflectance in improving safety color appearance under LPS or any other source.

Further chi square comparisons were made between the original ANSI standard samples and new versions of the samples to determine if the new samples were identified significantly more correctly under all light sources. Table 5b presents a summary of these comparisons. Inspection of table 5b reveals that two red samples, 57 and 58; three orange samples, 16, 48, and 42; two green samples, 55 and 39; and one blue sample, 28 performed better than the appropriate ANSI standard. All yellow samples performed well under all sources so that no significant differences in performance were observed. On the other hand, no purple, white or brown sample did better than the ANSI standard with none of these samples, regardless of composition, being correctly identified under LPS.

Additional comparisons were made between several "new" samples for a given nominal color name relative to each other. These comparisons involved samples 21 vs. 22 and 20 vs. 36 for yellow; samples 57 vs. 58 for red; 55 vs. 39 for green; and 16 vs. 48 and 15 vs. 42 for orange. Of all these comparisons only that of sample 16 vs. 48 was significant (p<.025). This difference is attributable to the better performance for 48 under LPS, where it was correctly identified by 20 observers (compared with one correct response to sample 16).

The frequency of errors in the observations was examined for samples, sources and observers for the ten ANSI standard samples and the six best samples. This analysis examined the frequency of errors in color name - or those times in which a sample was given a color name that was different from either the dominant color name or the correct color name. Table 5. Statistical Comparisons Between Sample Types Studied.

Nominal Color	Comparison	Sample Type 1st 2nd	Significance
Red	11 vs. 12	R O	NS
Red-orange	13 vs. 14	RF F	NS
Orange	17 vs. 18	R O	NS
Orange	15 vs. 16	RF F	Sig
Yellow	21 vs. 22	R O	NS
Yellow	19 vs. 20	RF F	Sig
Green	25 vs. 26	R F	Sig
Green-yellow	23 vs. 24	RF F	NS
Blue	27 vs. 28	R O	NS
Magenta	29 vs. 30	R RF	NS
White	31 vs. 32	R O	NS

5a. Comparison of Nominal Pigment Types

5b. Comparison of ANSI Standard with New Samples

Nominal C	olor C	ompar	rison	New Sample Type	Significance
Red		vs.	58	F	p<.001
	6	vs.	57	F	p<.001
	6	vs.	33	R	p<.01
Oran ge		vs.	16	F	p<.05
	5	vs.	48	F	p<.001
	5	vs.	42	F	p<.001
Yellow		vs.	36	R	NS
	4	vs.	20	F	NS
	4	vs.	21	0	NS
	4	vs.	22	R	NS
Green	3	vs.	55	R	p<.05
	3	vs.	39	R	p<.01
	3	vs.	24	F	NS
	3	vs.	23	RF	NS
Blue	2	vs.	28	0	p<.05
	2	vs.	27	R	NS
	2	vs.	52	F	NS
Purple/mag	jenta 1	vs.	44	F	NS
	1	vs.	53	F	NS
White	10	vs.	32	0	NS
Brown	7	vs.	38	R	NS

Table 6 presents a tabulation of errors for each sample, source and observer. For each sample a total of 140 observations were made, as shown in Table 6a. The mean frequency of errors for all sources was low (20.9 or about 15%), with a range of 2 to 50. Of interest are the five sample types for which both an ANSI standard and a new sample exist - red, orange, yellow, green and blue. The mean number of errors for the ANSI set was 28.6 while the mean number for the new set was 14.0 - a noticeable reduction in the frequency of errors.

The error rate also varied as a function of source and observer. Table 6b tabulates observer errors for each source, along with observer and source statistics. The mean number of errors per observer out of the 224 possible observations is quite small, between 3.00 and 8.14 (about 2 to 4 percent). Two observers, 1 and 6, had slightly higher error rates, however. They were the oldest observers in the study and may have had some yellowing of the lens which affected their color judgments. Similarly, errors for sources ranged from a low of 2.3 for TUN to 9.1 for LPS (or 0.7 to 2.8 percent). Thus the fewest number of errors occurred for the source with the highest color rendering index (CRI), while the greatest number of errors occurred for the source with the lowest CRI, as might have been expected.

3.3 Selection of Improved Safety Colors

Based on the preceding comparisons of performance, several "new" color samples can be considered as candidates for standard colors which are more accurately identified under the seven sources studied.

Two approaches were followed for selecting new candidate safety The first was the statistical comparisons discussed colors. The second was a rank-ordering using the following above. 1) high frequency of desired color name; 2) high criteria: frequency and high percentage of desired primary hue; 3) low percentage of secondary hue (except for orange and purple where equal percentages of red and yellow or red and blue as primary and secondary hues were desirable); 4) appropriate lightness (high for yellow but low for blue); and 5) high to medium saturation. When several samples appeared to meet all criteria equally, they were given the same rank. This situation occurred frequently for the blue samples where there was little difference in performance among several samples. Where a sample could not be ranked, such as white or purple under LPS, it was given an X. Table 1B of Appendix B presents only the sample numbers receiving ranks 1-3 for each safety color for all sources. In this way, one can examine Table 1B and determine which samples were ranked 1, 2, or 3 most frequently for all sources.

Based on the statistical comparisons and rank ordering, the best
Table 6. Frequency of Color Name Errors for Each Sample, Source, and Observer.

	Table a	a. Erro: 140 (rs for Observa	Specifi ations	c Color	Samples	5 -	
	ANSI Purple	ANSI Blue	New Blue	ANSI Green	New Green	Yellow Green	ANSI Yellow	New Yellow
Sample	1	2	28	3	26	23	4	22
Error	13	16	7	11	9	38	27	20
	ANSI Orange	New Orange	ANSI Red	New Red	ANSI Brown	ANSI Black	ANSI Grey	ANSI White
Sample	5	48	6	57	7	8	9	10
Error	39	5	50	29	34	8	13	2
liean Brrors	20.93	2						

Table b. Frequency of Errors for Observers and Sources

								Obse	rver	Std.
Observer	CWF	HPS	LPS	MER	MH	MIX	TUN	Mean	69	Dev.
1	1	1	15	9	5	5	4	5.7	2.5	4.6
2	2	1	6	6	4	7	1	3.9	1.7	2.4
3	6	4	5	б	5	3	2	4.4	1.9	1.4
4	3	4	7	5	3	5	1	4.0	1.7	1.8
5	7	7	17	9	4	5	8	8.1	3.6	3.9
6	5	1	6	8	5	3	1	4.1	1.8	2.4
7	7	4	5	4	2	7	1	4.3	1.9	2.1
8	2	1	10	2	0	2	4	3.0	1.3	3.1
9	3	3	9	4	4	3	0	3.7	1.6	2.5
10	1	6	11	4	3	4	1	4.3	1.9	3.2
Source										
llean	3.70	3.20	9.10	5.70	3.50	4.40	2.30			
Percent	1.1	1	2.8	1.8	1.1	1.4	0.7			
St. Dev.	2.24	2.09	3.99	2.24	1.50	1.62	2.28			

choice for a safety red is sample 57 followed by 58. Both samples were significantly different from the ANSI standard (6); and were identified correctly under all light sources including LPS (this latter at a much lower rate). Although sample 33 was identified correctly under TUN, CW, HPS, HPSMH, and MH, its poor performances for both MER and LPS should eliminate it from consideration.

For Orange, performance was significantly better for 16, 48, 42, and 15 than for the ANSI standard (5), with a significant difference arising between 16 and 48. Sample 48 appears to be the best choice because of its good performance under LPS, as well as the other sources, although 15 is a close second.

For Yellow, 22 appears to be the best choice, although 4 is a close second. Sample 4, however, was not seen as yellow under MER as frequently as sample 22. No statistically significant differences in performance emerged for these two color samples, however.

For Green, the best choice for a blue green appears to be sample 26, which was consistently identified as green or blue green under all sources except LPS. Sample 23 is a good yellow green, although its performance was not as good under MER as that of sample 26.

For Blue, the best choice is sample 28 because of its good performance under all sources including LPS.

For Purple, only sample 1 was identified as purple - the others were considered to be pink. No sample, however, was identified as either purple or pink under LPS, meaning that any color coding using purple under this source would be ineffective. Sample 1 is quite effective under the other sources, however.

For Brown, there was very little difference in performance between sample 7 and sample 38, although sample 38 was identified accurately somewhat more frequently than 7. Only 2 observers identified either sample as brown under LPS. As a result, the ANSI standard appears acceptable.

For White, performance for sample 10 was as good as for 32 under all sources, except LPS where neither was identified as white. Sample 10, the ANSI standard, thus appears to be a reasonable candidate for Safety White. Similarly, sample 9, ANSI gray, had good performance under all sources except under LPS where it was generally identified as olive or yellow. Sample 8, ANSI black, was identified accurately under all sources. Thus the ANSI Gray and ANSI Black are successful safety colors (except under LPS). While this approach tended to weight all light sources equally, thus giving LPS as much importance as better color rendering sources, the sample selection would not be markedly altered if results for LPS were dropped from consideration.

Samples with the best performance for red, orange, and green tended to be the fluorescent ones. Thus the red 57 and 58, the orange 48, and the green 23, were typically ranked 1 or 2 for all sources. Yet, ordinary surface colors were effective for yellow (22), blue green (26), and blue (28). In the present study, there was no tendency for retroreflective samples to perform better than their counterpart ordinary or fluorescent samples.

Data from the present experiment are consistent with those of Glass, et al, (1983) who had also found samples 57 and 58 to be some of the best reds tested. Although they had also found samples 54, 55, and 56 to be the best blue, green, and orange samples respectively, performance, while good for these samples in the present study, was even better for several new samples. Thus, the blue sample 28, the green samples 23 and 26, and the orange sample 48 (which were not studied by Glass, et al) were the most successful examples of safety blue, green, and orange respectively.

4. SPECTRORADIOMETRIC RESULTS

4.1 Chromaticity and Luminance Data

As described in 2.3.1, spectroradiometric data were obtained for each sample. First, all 58 samples and the PTFE standard were measured under tungsten. Then the PTFE standard and the 27 fluorescent samples were measured under the remaining six sources. The resulting spectral reflectance factor distributions for each sample under each source were converted to data readable by a personal computer (PC) which converted them to chromaticity and luminance values in both the CIE x,y and CIELAB color spaces.

Figures 2 and 3 present spectral reflectance factor distributions for the ANSI standard and "best" samples under a tungsten illuminant, and then under a mercury illuminant. The mercury illuminant was chosen to demonstrate the effects of sample fluorescence. It should be noted that fluorescence will vary as a function of the illuminant's spectral power distribution, so that these graphs are not representative of all seven sources.

A series of additional calculations was performed for the ordinary samples, including the ANSI standard samples (1-10). Since these samples were actually measured only under the incandescent source, spectral reflectance factors were calculated for the six additional sources used in the experiment as well as for the standard CIE illuminants - A, B, C, and D-65. CIE Y, x, y and L*a*b* chromaticity and luminance values derived from these data are presented in table 2B of Appendix B. Table 2B also presents similar data for the "Best" samples. For fluorescent samples, chromaticity and luminance values are given only for the source under which the sample was actually measured.

4.2 Uniform Color Space

Once spectroradiometric measures of each sample were obtained, they were converted into a uniform color space for easier comparison. A uniform color space, CIELAB, was used to compare different samples under different illuminants because the spacing between samples in this space corresponds more closely to the human visual response than it does in the original CIE x, y space.

The CIE (1978) defined two uniform color spaces for use with color difference formulae. The first space defined by the CIE, CIELUV, uses a modified version of the CIE 1964 color difference formula. CIELUV is particularly useful for assessing the effects of mixing colored lights additively. The other space, CIELAB, is a cube root version of the formula developed originally by Adams and Nickerson. The CIE stated (1978, p.9) that: "The cube-root version of the Adams-Nickerson color-difference formula is based on a uniform color space, which for constant psychometric lightness (L*) incorporates an (a*b*) diagram in which straight





Blue under TUN; the dashed line refers to the "Best" blue (#28) under TUN.



Figure 2. Comparison of spectral reflectance factors of ANSI standard green, blue, and yellow samples with "best" samples under tungsten illumination.



The solid line refers to ANSI Red under TUN; the dashed line refers to the "Best" Red (#57) under TUN.







The solid line refers to ANSI Orange under TUN; the dashed line refers to the "Best" orange (#48) under TUN.



The solid line refers to ANSI Orange under MER: the dashed line refers to the "Best" orange (#48) under MER.

Figure 3. Comparison of spectral reflectance factors of ANSI standard red and orange samples with "best" samples under tungsten illumination and under mercury illumination.

lines in the CIE 1931 (x,y) chromaticity diagram become, in general, curved lines". This space is defined as follows:

L* = $116(Y/Y_n)^{1/3} - 16$

 $a* = 500[(X/X_n)^{1/3} - (Y/Y_n)^{1/3}]$

 $b^* = 200[(Y/Y_n)^{1/3} - (Z/Z_n)^{1/3}]$, where

 X/X_{n} , Y/Y_{n} , $Z/Z_{n} > 0.01$.

In the above formulae, the quantities X, Y, and Z represent the CIE 1931 tristimulus values, while X_n , Y_n , and Z_n represent tristimulus values of an ideal white sample (a perfectly reflecting diffuser represented in the presented experiment by the PTFE standard) - illuminated by the same light source in the same geometry.

Robertson (1977) compared both CIELUV and CIELAB and found that Munsell loci of constant hue and chroma were represented somewhat more accurately in CIELAB. He noted, however, that neither formula was completely accurate in representing color differences so that users should use their own best judgement in selecting a color difference space. Nevertheless, the CIELAB space has become widely used for industrial applications such as textiles and dyestuffs, and for surface colors in general. As such it appears more appropriate for presenting data on safety colors than does the CIELUV space, which is now more widely used for data on self-luminous colors. Consequently, the data discussed in this report are presented in a CIE a*b* space to demonstrate variations in color space as a function of illuminant.

Figures 4-6 present data for the 10 ANSI samples as calculated for each source, while figures 7-8 present data for the "best" samples as measured under the seven sources actually used in the experiment. These plots demonstrate shifts in chromaticity as a function of illuminant which mirror the shifts in dominant color name found in the psychophysical portion of the experiment.

The CIELAB data discussed above can be compared with the psychophysical data for color naming. For each sample and illuminant combination, Table 3B of the appendix presents the CIELAB data, the dominant color name and frequency, the primary hue and percentage, the secondary hue and percentage, and the median lightness and saturation. Table 3B, thus, can be examined for detailed information about changes in primary and secondary hue, lightness and saturation, as well as changes in CIELAB values for all samples under each source. Further comparisons can be made by examining figures 4-8 which also show the dominant color name given for each sample.



Figure 4. ANSI Red, Orange, and Yellow samples under different illuminants including D_{65} in CIE a*b* space. Color names in quotes refer to the dominant color name given by the observers for the sample under each source in the psychophysical experiment.



Figure 5. ANSI Green, Blue, and Purple samples under different illuminants including D_{65} in CIE a*b* space. Color names in quotes refer to the dominant color name given by the observers for the sample under each source in the psychophysical experiment.



Figure 6. ANSI Brown, White, Gray and Black samples under different illuminants including D_{65} in CIE a*b* space. Color names in quotes refer to the dominant color name given by the observers for the sample under each source in the psychophysical experiment.



Figure 7. "Best" Red, Orange, and Yellow samples under different illuminants including D_{65} in CIE a*b* space. Color names in quotes refer to the dominant color name given by the observers for the sample under each source in the psychophysical experiment.



Figure 8. "Best" Green (yellow-green), Green (blue-green), and Blue samples under different illuminants including D_{65} in CIE a*b* space. Color names in quotes refer to the dominant color name given by the observers for the sample under each source in the psychophysical experiment.

Placement of the dominant color name for the ANSI standard samples relative to the a*b* values for these samples measured under TUN can serve as a reference for the other six sources. Examination of figure 4 indicates that ANSI red is seen as red only under TUN, CW and D_{65} . It shifts to orange for HPS, HPSMH, and MH, to brown for MER, and to olive for LPS. Similarly ANSI orange shifts to gold for HPSMH and yellow for HPS, MER, and LPS, while ANSI yellow shifts to yellow green under MER. Figure 5 demonstrates that ANSI green, ANSI blue, and ANSI purple shifts towards black or grey under LPS, while ANSI blue also shifts in figures 7 and 8 are much less pronounced, with the only shift being that of red-57 toward red brown, and green-23 and 26 toward olive or grey under LPS.

4.3 Gamut of Coloration

One way of presenting the effects of an illuminant on a set of colors is in terms of a "gamut" of coloration. In this type of presentation, CIE a*b* values for the six meaningful safety color samples are presented in one graph for each illuminant. Thus, the location of safety red, orange, yellow, green, blue and purple (representing Danger, Warning, Caution, Safety, Information, and Radiation hazard) in a*b* can be shown for each illuminant studied, allowing easy comparison of the effects of each illuminant on the set of safety colors.

The CIELAB space can be thought of as an opponent color space in which the variables a* and b* correspond to the opponent chromatic channels of an opponent-colors model. To a first approximation, positive a* indicates the redness of a color, while negative a* indicates its greenness. Similarly, positive b* corresponds to a color's yellowness and negative b* to its blueness. The separation between two color points in the a*b* diagram indicates the degree of difference between them. A particular case is the distance from the origin (the white point 0,0) which should correlate with saturation and with the difference from neutral. Thus, the larger the difference (or "gamut") between the six plotted points representing the safety colors in CIELAB space, the more discriminable these colors should be, both from each other, and from neutral. Increasing such discriminability is a key objective of a comprehensive safety color system.

Figures 9 and 10 present gamuts of coloration showing the six ANSI safety colors - Red, Orange, Yellow, Green, Blue, and Purple - under the seven sources used in the experiment and the six "best" colors - fluorescent red, fluorescent orange, yellow, fluorescent yellow-green, blue green, and blue. Inspection of





Figure 9. Gamut of coloration for ANSI standard and "Best" samples on a CIELAB a*b* diagram for incandescent, mercury, and cool white fluorescent sources. Letters refer to nominal sample color - red (R), orange (O), yellow (Y), green (G), blue (B) and purple (P).



Figure 10. Gamut of coloration for ANSI standard and "Best" samples on a CIELAB a*b* diagram for metal halide, HPSMH mix, HPS, and LPS sources. Letters refer to nominal sample color-red (R), orange (O), yellow (Y), green (G), blue (B) and purple (P).

these figures for the safety colors - shown by the solid linesreveals that the color gamut shrinks dramatically along the a* axis as one goes from TUN or CWF to HPS and LPS. The collapse of the color gamut in the red-green direction (a* axis) is most apparent for mercury, high pressure sodium, and low pressure sodium. These plots essentially confirm the psychophysical data which had indicated that red and green samples were particularly difficult to identify accurately under these three sources. They also confirm Worthey's (1982; 1985) theory that light sources such as sodium and mercury tend to collapse red-green contrasts.

The gamuts shown in figures 9 and 10 indicate much less collapse along the a* axis for the "best" colors, shown by the dotted lines, even for mercury and high pressure sodium. In fact, figure 9 indicates that the best red and orange samples even have some significant redness under LPS - a statement reinforced by the psychophysical data showing greater accuracy in identifying these two samples under LPS. Figures 9 and 10 indicate that the use of the best samples, including fluorescent ones, is successful in expanding the gamut of coloration for the various HID sources including LPS.

The data collected in the present experiment reinforce the idea that the use of different pigments, including fluorescent ones, is successful in expanding the physical color gamut for safety colors - even for LPS, as well as increasing the accuracy of recognition for these same colors. Nevertheless, even the use of fluorescent or redesigned ordinary pigments is not particularly successful in providing a green which can be recognized as such under LPS.

5. Discussion

5.1 <u>Summary of Psychophysical Results</u>

The preceding discussion of results for each color sample indicates that for many of the safety colors, a new color was identified that was more successful than the original ANSI sample. Typically, these new colors were termed the dominant color name more frequently, had higher percentages of the desired primary color, lower percentages of a secondary color, medium lightness and medium to high saturation - for all seven light sources. The exception to this latter statement arose with LPS where samples tended to have lower lightness and saturation. Nevertheless, examples of red, orange, yellow, blue, and to a lesser extent, green were identified that were accurately recognized under all seven light sources. No totally effective examples for purple, brown, gray, and white were identified because of the poor performance of these sample groups under LPS.

It should be pointed out that LPS is not in widespread use for indoor applications in the U.S. Its use is increasing for outdoor applications such as loading docks, parking lots and highways, however, because of its extremely high efficiency and long life. This means that color coding for nighttime situations must consider whether LPS is likely to be used. Any critical safety messages using color to code information should probably have supplementary high color-rendering lighting when LPS is the primary source.

Performance in the present experiment was also poorer under HPS and MER where the appearance of reds, oranges, and greens was often distorted. There are, however, color corrected versions of both sources now on the market which have higher color rendering indices and should provide better color fidelity. Further with these needed to research sources is determine the effectiveness of individual safety colors. Interestingly, the HPSMH mix was reasonably successful, as was MH alone, with relatively few serious confusions. Nevertheless, even under CW, a widely used source, there were distortions in the standard ANSI colors relative to TUN with red appearing somewhat orange, and orange appearing somewhat yellow.

5.2 Supporting Research

Other researchers have developed and used the concept of color gamut as a way of expressing the impact of a light source on a set of colors. Boyce and Simons (1977) conducted a series of studies involving the effects of light source type and illuminance on a hue discrimination task. The effectiveness of several light sources, including clear mercury, metal halide, and tri-phosphor fluorescents was assessed in terms of both the CIE Color Rendering Index, and the Color Gamut approach. Boyce and Simons defined the color gamut as the following: "It is not a measure of accuracy of color rendering, rather it is related to the perceptual differences between colours produced by the lamp of interest. The CIE gamut area for a particular lamp, which is the measure to be considered, is defined as the area enclosed in the 1960 CIE-UCS diagram by a line joining the positions of the same 8 standard test colors as are used in the calculation of CRI."

When it is understood that light sources may differ in their ability to make object colors appear saturated, color gamut area is a fairly obvious means to quantify this through calculation. Pracejus (1967) assumed the eight reference colors of the Color Rendering Index to be lit by a variety of lamps, and computed the resulting octagon areas in the 1960 CIE-UCS diagram. To deal with the confounding effect of lamp color, he compared this area with that of a reference source. These measures showed some correlation with "acceptability" of light sources to subjects.

Thornton (1972) proposed computing essentially the same gamut area, divided by a fixed reference area and expressed as a percentage. This number, which he called "Color-Discrimination Index" was to be computed with no adjustment in the color of the reference source, hence no allowance for the color of the lamp being evaluated.

Boyce and Simons (1977) used very much the same idea of color gamut area as Thornton, but since they did an experiment using the 100-hue test, they computed gamut areas in the 1960 CIE-UCS, based on the 85 colored papers of the 100-hue test. Like Thornton, they took no step that would adjust the results according to lamp color. They did find some correlation between illuminant gamut area, and the scores that subjects made on the 100-hue test. Their data are consistent with the results of the present experiment which show changes in color gamut as a function of illuminant.

While it is fairly obvious that color gamut area should show a correlation with color discrimination under various lights, no author has directly addressed the question of the confounding effect of light source color. Without some correction, it will be found that all lights of low color temperature give small gamut areas. Since the visual system tends to correct for illuminant color (Worthey, 1985), some color constancy correction must be in order; this will tend to boost the gamut areas at low color temperature. Pracejus apparently achieved a constancy correction through use of multiple reference illuminants. In the present paper, the correction is intrinsic in the formulas of the CIELAB uniform color space, in which the gamuts are plotted. Like Boyce and Simons, we found that the colors of interest in our experiment naturally formed a polygon in chromaticity; hence, our gamuts are based on those colors, rather than some other reference set.

Data from the present experiment are in general agreement with the predictions made by Thornton (1977) and the data collected by Jerome (1977). They confirm that the ordinary ANSI colors are not accurately recognized under many commonly used sources particularly HPS and MER. In addition, the present data indicate that it is possible to alter the spectral reflectance distribution of candidate safety colors and improve their recognizability under a wider variety of illuminants.

5.3 <u>Recommendations</u>

The psychophysical and spectral reflectance factor data presented in the preceding sections allow the selection of several new safety colors which are more accurately identified under both HID and common fluorescent sources. The improved colors for red, orange, yellow, green and blue are more readily identifiable under the seven sources studied and can be recommended as serious candidates for new safety colors. In particular, it is possible to recommend a fluorescent red, (57), orange (48), and green (23) sample for use under lighting conditions where the ordinary ANSI color might not be recognized accurately. In addition, ordinary colors which are more effective than the corresponding ANSI safety colors include a yellow (22), a green (26), and a blue (28). No recommended changes can be suggested for safety purple, brown, white, gray, or black. Lighting conditions for which problems in accuracy of recognition might occur include HPS, LPS, MER, and to a lesser extent HPSMH and MH.

One problem with recommending the use of fluorescent colors is that the durability of these colors has not been tested in either outdoor situations or over time. Fluorescent colors degrade faster under some exposure conditions than do ordinary colors, so that the use of special coatings should be explored. Their greater recognizability makes exploration of the durability issue a critical one, however.

In addition to changing the color sample under light sources that distort color, in situations where accurate color recognition is critical, the use of supplementary good color-rendering lighting should be explored. The present experiment demonstrated that the mix of HPS and MH was generally effective in bringing color appearance closer to MH than to HPS. Further experimentation could explore the amount of incandescent, fluorescent, or metal halide lighting necessary to improve the accuracy of color identification under LPS.

The present study has also pointed out that the current ANSI orange and red are often not recognized accurately, and are often confused with each other and with yellow. Such confusions

between red, orange, and yellow are potentially serious because of the importance of the safety messages assigned to each color. The data presented here indicate strongly that use of a threelevel system for indicating the level of hazard can only be successful if good color rendering light is used.

In conclusion, the present study has demonstrated that the current ANSI colors are not accurately identified when illuminated by many of the most common light sources. To deal with this problem, the present paper has presented a set of color samples which are much more accurately identified under these sources, and which show smaller shift in color gamut using CIELAB values.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). <u>Safety Color</u> <u>Code for Marking Physical Hazards</u>, Z53.1, 1979.
- 2. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs, Z35.1, 1972.
- 3. Boyce, P.R. and Simons, R.H. Hue Discrimination and Light Sources, <u>Lighting Research and Technology</u>, 9, pp. 125-140, 1977.
- Boyce, P.R. Illuminance, Lamp Type and Performance on a Colour Discrimination Task. The Electricity Council Research Center, ECRC/M-943, NTIS PB-276 753, June 1976.
- 5. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29, Labor Chapter XVII, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 1981.
- Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE). Recommendations on Uniform Color Spaces, Color Difference Equations, and Psychometric Color Terms. Supplement No.2 to CIE Publication No. 15 (E-1.3.1) 1971/(TC-1.3), 1978.
- 7. Cornsweet, T.N. <u>Visual Perception</u>, New York: Academic Press, 1970.
- Glass, R.A., Howett, G.L., Lister, K., and Collins, B.L. Some Criteria for Color and Signs in Workplaces. NBSIR 83-2694, April, 1983.
- 9. Illuminating Engineering Society of North America. <u>IES</u> <u>Lighting Handbook, Reference Volume</u>. New York, New York, 1984.
- Jerome, C.W. The Rendering of ANSI Safety Colors, <u>Journal</u> of the Illuminating Engineering Society, 6, pp. 180-183, 1977.
- 11. Judd, D.B. The Color Perceptions of Deuteranopic and Protanopic Observers, <u>Journal of the Optical Society of</u> <u>America</u>, 39, pp. 252-256, 1949.
- 12. Munsell Book of Colors, Glossy Finish, Baltimore: Munsell Color Company, 1976.
- Pracejus, W.G. Preliminary Report on a New Approach to Color Acceptance Studies. <u>Illuminating Engineering</u>, <u>62</u>, pp. 663-673, 1967.
- 14. Robertson, A.R. The CIE 1976 Color-Difference Formulae. Color Research and Application, 2, pp. 7-11, 1977.

- 15. Thornton, W.A. Color Discrimination Index. <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Optical Society of America</u>, <u>62</u>, pp. 191-194, 1972.
- 16. Thornton, W.A. The Design of Safety Colors. <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Illuminating Engineering Society</u>, 6, pp. 92-99, 1977.
- 17. Weidner, V.R., and Hsia, J.J. Reflection Properties of Pressed Polytetrafluoroethylene Power. <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Optical Society of America</u>, 7, pp. 856-861, 1981.
- Worthey, J.A. Opponent-Colors Approach to Color Rendering, Journal of the Optical Society of America, <u>72</u>, pp. 74-82, 1982.
- 19. Worthey, J.A. Limitations of Color Constancy. <u>Journal of</u> <u>the Optical Society of America A, 2</u>, pp. 1014-1026, 1985.
- 20. Wyszecki, G. and Stiles, W.S. <u>Color Science: Concepts and</u> <u>Methods, Quantitative Data and Formulas,</u> New York: Wiley, 1967.

Appendix A. Detailed Psychophysical Results

A.1 Results for Each Nominal Color Name

A.1.1 Red Sample Results

Tables 1A through 11A of the appendix present results for nominally "red" samples. The tables located at the end of this appendix are arranged in numerical sequence with Number 6, the ANSI Red sample, being first. Each table presents the 19 possible color names, the frequency with which the sample number was given that name under each source (CW, HPS, HPSMH, LPS, MER, MH, and TUN, in that order), frequency counts for lightness judgements, again under the seven sources studied, frequency counts for saturation judgements, frequency counts and average percentage judgements for primary hues and for secondary hues. Each table thus allows one to compare the performance of a given sample under the various sources.

Table 1A presents data for ANSI Red sample number 6. For ease in discussing the results, the color name receiving the highest frequency of responses will be termed the "dominant" color name. Inspection of table 5 reveals that red was the dominant color name for sample 6 only under CW and TUN - and that with relatively low frequency. Under HPS and HPSMH the dominant name was orange, under LPS it was olive, under MER it was brown, and under MH it was red orange. The sample had medium lightness under all sources except MER, and medium saturation under all sources except LPS and MER. While red was the most frequently occurring primary hue under all sources except LPS where yellow occurred most frequently, the percentage of red was always below 85%. Yellow was the secondary hue under all sources except LPS (for which green is the secondary). The percentage of yellow was relatively high, ranging from 17% to 31%. Sample 6 is, thus, not a particularly effective safety red for most sources studied.

Similar trends toward orange are found in the data for sample 11 (table 2A), sample 12 (table 3A), sample 13 (table 4A), and sample 14 (table 5A). In fact, samples 13 and 14 should really have been considered as orange or red-orange, since red was never given as their dominant color name.

The data for sample 33 (table 6A) suggest that it is a more effective red than the ANSI standard. Red was the dominant color name under each sources except LPS and MER, and was the primary hue for all sources. The percentage of red primary was high (85% to 88% under LPS and MER to 93-95% for the other 5 sources). Conversely the percentage of yellow as a secondary was low around 8-17%. Lightness was either medium or low (LPS, MER and MH), while saturation was medium under HPS and MER, low under LPS, and high under all other sources. Tables 7A, 8A, and 9A present data for samples 34, 45, and 47, all less successful red samples. The pattern of performance for Sample 34 tended to be similar to that for sample 6, with sample 34 being termed red primarily under TUN and CW, and brown under MER. Sample 45, a fluorescent red, tended to be called pink -or orange, while sample 47 (also a fluorescent sample) was always termed orange. The latter sample is again a much better orange than a red, having high percentages of yellow secondary.

Tables 10A and 11A present data for some of the most successful red samples, numbers 57 and 58 (both fluorescent). Red was the dominant name for sample 57 under every source, even LPS. It had medium lightness and saturation under all sources except HPS (for which it had low lightness) and LPS (for which it had low saturation). The primary hue was always red (mean percentage 90% or greater), while the secondary hue was yellow under HPS, LPS, and TUN, and blue under the other sources. The percentage of secondary hue was relatively low, however. The pattern of results was similar for sample 58, except that the frequency of dominant color name was somewhat less across sources and the percentage of red as the primary hue was slightly lower.

The performance of the different red samples can also be compared for each light source. Under TUN and MH seven of the eleven samples were termed red, while under CW six were termed red. Only three samples were termed red under HPS, HPSMH, and MER, and only 2 under LPS. Across light sources, the overall performance was good for samples 58 and 33 but best for sample 57. Red was the dominant name and primary hue for these three fluorescent samples under most sources. All had medium saturation and lightness, and relatively small percentages of blue or yellow as the secondary hue. For sample 57, however, the percentage of red as the primary hue was always above 90%, with all participants giving red as the primary hue and many giving red as the dominant color name. As a result, it can be considered as a good candidate for safety red, replacing the ANSI standard (sample 6).

A.1.2 Orange Sample Results

Tables 13A through 21A present data for nominally orange samples. The color "Orange" can be considered as a 50-50 mixture of red and yellow. Table 13A indicates that sample 5, the ANSI standard, was not a particularly successful orange, receiving orange as the dominant color name only under CW, HPSMH, MH and TUN. Under HPS, LPS and MER, this sample was termed yellow, and even under CW it received a number of mentions of gold as the dominant color name. Yellow was also the primary hue under all sources, ranging from 72-76% under CW, MH and TUN to 96% under LPS. The percentage of red as a secondary hue varied from a low of 6% under LPS to a high of 28% under CW and MH. The sample had medium lightness and medium to high saturation for all sources.

The data for samples 15 and 16, given in tables 14A and 15A, suggest that these are more successful orange samples. In fact, sample 15 was given orange as the dominant color name under all sources with a frequency of 17 or greater, and had medium lightness and medium to high saturation for all sources. In addition, the primary and secondary hues were almost evenly divided between yellow and red. Although sample 16 is a fluorescent version of the retroreflective-fluorescent sample 15, it was a less successful orange under LPS, where it shifted toward yellow. The primary hue for this sample was always yellow, with red as a smaller secondary. Both lightness and saturation were medium to high. Samples 17 and 18, which are retroreflective and ordinary versions of the same nominal pigment, were even less successful oranges. Each had a lower frequency of orange as the dominant color name and a greater tendency toward yellow as the primary hue. Sample 17 was characterized by a shift in dominant color name to gold under LPS and brown under MER and fluctuation in primary hue between yellow and red depending on illuminant. The primary hue for sample 18 was yellow, regardless of source.

Sample 35 (table 16A) also showed a strong shift toward yellow as the dominant color name for LPS and MER with yellow as the primary hue under all sources, and relatively small percentages (7-31%) of red as the secondary hue.

Sample 42 (table 17A), however, was termed orange under all sources with a frequency of 17 or more. Its primary hue was red for all sources except LPS and HPS, while the secondary was yellow at relatively high percentages (39-45%) meaning that this sample is an orange that is neither noticeably red nor yellow. The sample had medium lightness under all sources and high saturation for all except LPS.

The next orange sample, 46 (table 18A), was clearly a red orange rather than a true orange. Only under LPS and HPS was it termed orange with a high frequency. Under the other sources it tended to be termed red, red orange, or orange red. The primary hue was red with relatively small amounts of yellow as a secondary (except under HPS and LPS). The sample had medium lightness and high saturation.

The final two samples to be considered, 48 and 56, (tables 19A and 20A) are some of the best examples of an orange that is neither red nor yellow. Sample 48, a fluorescent sample, was termed orange with a frequency of 18 or greater for all sources. Its primary hue was red (55 to 60%), while its secondary was yellow (40-42%), except for LPS where the primary hue was yellow (69%) and the secondary was red (31%). Lightness was medium, and saturation was high (except for LPS). Although sample 56 was also a good orange, its performance was poorer under LPS where the frequency of orange as the dominant color name dropped to 13 and the saturation dropped to low. The primary hue also shifted

to yellow for HPSMH, LPS, MER, and TUN at percentages 1 50 77%.

Performance for the different orange samples can also be compared for all seven light sources. All ten samples were termed orange under TUN, CW, and HPSMH, while 9 samples were termed orange under HPS and MH. Under HPS, only the ANSI standard, sample 5, Under MER and LPS, only 5 samples were was not termed orange. termed orange. When data for the seven light sources are compared, samples 15, 42, and 48 were consistently seen as orange with both red and yellow as nearly equal hue contributors. OT the nine orange samples studied, however, sample 48 is one of the best candidates for safety orange, having orange as the dominant color name and relatively even mixtures of red and yellow as the primary and secondary hue - for all sources including LPS. Its performance was even better than that for samples 42 and 15, which were also good examples of orange.

A.1.3 <u>Yellow Sample Results</u>

Eight yellow samples were studied (tables 22A-29A) in an attempt to find a yellow which is neither red nor green, but pure yellow. The ANSI sample (4) given in table 22A came close to meeting this criterion, except under MER, where its dominant color name shifted to yellow green. This shift was reflected in the primary hue data, where the percentage of yellow was above 90% for all sources except MER. Although green was the secondary hue for all sources, the percentage of green was higher (18%) under MER. Sample 4 had high lightness (except for TUN) and medium to high saturation.

The shift to yellow green was even more apparent for the next two samples, 19 and 20 (tables 23A and 24A). These are retroreflective fluorescent and fluorescent versions of the same Sample 19, the RF version, was markedly more basic pigment. yellow green, being termed yellow mainly under LPS. Although its primary hue was yellow (71-95%), this sample had high percentages Both lightness and of green as the secondary hue (14-31%). saturation were high (except under LPS and HPSMH). Sample 20 was somewhat more successful being termed yellow green only under CW and MER. Its primary hue was yellow (81-97%), with green as the secondary hue (12-19%). This sample always had high lightness and saturation.

Samples 21 and 22 (tables 25A and 26A) are retroreflective and ordinary versions of the same pigment. Sample 21 tended to be termed yellow under HPS, LPS and MER, and yellow, orange, and gold under CW, HPSMH, MH, and TUN. Its primary hue was generally a high percentage of yellow (85-97%), while the secondary hue shifted between red and green depending on the source. Both lightness and saturation were medium. Unlike the other three samples in its series, sample 22 had yellow as the dominant color name for all sources, including MER. The percentage of yellow as the primary hue was high (92-96%) for all sources. The secondary hue was green under HPS and MER (11-13%), but red under HPSMH, LPS, MH and TUN (7-13%), and either red or green for CW. Sample 22 also had high lightness and saturation, for all seven light sources, making it one of the best examples of yellow studied.

Performance was not as good for the remaining three yellow samples. While sample 36 (table 27A) had yellow as the dominant color name for all sources, the frequency was much lower than for sample 22. The percentage of yellow as the primary hue was slightly lower (85-96%, with only LPS and CW above 90%). The secondary hue was red under HPSMH and TUN and green for all other sources (9-19%). Both lightness and saturation were medium for all sources. For sample 37 (table 28A), the dominant color name shifted toward tan for CW, HPSMH, MH, and TUN, and to yellow for HPS and LPS. While yellow was the primary hue for all sources, the percentage was somewhat low (88-92%), while the percentage of green as a secondary hue was high (9-16%). Lightness was medium, but saturation was low, except under CW, HPS, and LPS. The performance was even poorer for sample 49 (table 29A), which was termed orange for all sources except LPS. The percentage of yellow as the primary hue also dropped to between 64 and 75% for all sources except LPS, while the percentage of red as the secondary hue increased to between 25 and 36%. Lightness was medium to high, while saturation was high.

Again, performance for the various samples may be compared for all seven light sources. Only under LPS were all eight yellow samples termed yellow, although under HPS seven samples were termed yellow. Under MH five samples were termed yellow, while under TUN, CW, and MER only four samples were termed yellow. Under many of these sources the yellows shifted toward green, or to a lesser extent, toward orange.

Of the yellow samples studied, the best performance for all light sources was obtained for samples 4 and 22. Performance for the latter was superior in that it was termed yellow even under mercury and had a somewhat higher percentage of yellow as the primary hue. It consistently had medium to high saturation and lightness, with relatively little green or red as a secondary hue. Sample 22 thus appears to be the best candidate for an effective safety yellow. The ANSI sample, 4, is a good candidate except for its somewhat yellow-green appearance under clear mercury.

A.1.4 Green Sample Results

The next series of tables, 30A-39A present data for the ten nominally green samples. Table 30A gives the data for the ANSI standard, sample 3. The dominant color name for this sample was green or blue green, except under LPS, where it was termed gray. Although the primary hue was green (84-89%), blue was a strong secondary hue (20-53%) under most sources. It should be noted that the ANSI Green was deliberately designed to be a blue-green rather than a yellow green to avoid red green confusions by color defective observers. Consequently, the strong blue secondary hue noted by the observers in the present experiment is line with this intention. Sample 3 also had medium lightness, (low under LPS and HPS), and medium saturation (low under MER.) If the green and blue-green responses are combined, sample 3 is a reasonably effective green, except under LPS.

Sample 23 (table 31A) is an another example of a good green, being termed green under all sources, except LPS, where it was termed olive. It did receive two mentions of green under LPS, however. Under all sources, its primary hue was green (78-92%), and the secondary hue was yellow (13-24%), meaning that this green was considerably yellower and less blue than the ANSI standard green. It had medium lightness and medium to high saturation (except under LPS). Sample 24 (table 32A) is an example of an even yellower green with yellow green being a strong contender for the dominant color name under most sources. Its primary hue was green (76-84%) for all sources except LPS, while the secondary hue was yellow (18 to 24%). Sample 24 had medium to high lightness and saturation for all sources except LPS.

Sample 25 (table 33A) is another sample which was given green or blue green as a dominant color name for all sources except LPS, where it was termed black. The primary hue was green (74-92%) (blue for HPS), while the secondary hue was blue (12-28%) Its lightness was medium to low and its saturation was medium for all sources. A similar pattern of responses was obtained for sample 26 (table 34A, except that this sample also received two olive responses under LPS. Its primary hue was green even under LPS, (range of 79-95%) and the secondary hue was blue (range 19-25%) for all sources except LPS where it was yellow. Its lightness and saturation were medium for all sources (low under LPS). The performance for sample 26 compares favorably with that for sample 3 having about equal frequencies of green or blue green as the dominant color name, similar percentages of green as the primary hue, but slightly lower percentages of blue as the secondary hue. Sample 26 was also more consistently seen as having medium lightness and saturation than sample 3. As a result, sample 26 appears to be a reasonable candidate to replace sample 3 if a blue green is desired.

Sample 39 (table 35A) is another example of a blue green where the dominant color name tended to be green or blue green. Under LPS, however, this sample was termed blue. The primary hue was green (range 62-93%), although it was blue or blue green under LPS and HPS. The secondary hue was blue with a range of 7 to 38%. Lightness was generally low to medium, while saturation was medium.

Sample 40 (table 36A) is a yellow green with a dominant color name of yellow or yellow green. The primary hue was yellow (range 74-97%) while the secondary hue was green (range 10 to 26%). Lightness was high (except for LPS), while saturation was medium to high. Sample 50 (table 37A) is also a yellow green with yellow being the primary hue (74-98%) and green the secondary (16-26%). This sample was completely yellow under LPS and also had high lightness and saturation. Sample 51 (table 38A) represents a return to somewhat greener samples, although it still received a number of yellow and yellow green responses for dominant color. The primary hue was green (78-86%) except for LPS where it was yellow, while the secondary hue was yellow (16-25%). Lightness was medium, while saturation was high for CW, MH, and TUN; medium for HPS, HPSMH, and MER; and low for LPS.

Sample 55 (table 39A) is the sample that was the best green in the Glass, et al, (1982) experiment. It is a good example of a blue green for all sources except LPS, where it was termed black or gray. The primary hue was green (79-89%) while the secondary hue was blue (14-22%). Unlike sample 26, however, the lightness was medium to low. Saturation was medium except under LPS.

Under all light sources, green is one of the more difficult samples to identify accurately. The ANSI Standard, 3, was never seen as the best green, and often not seen as green at all under some sources. If blue green and green responses for dominant color name are combined, then 9 of the ten green samples were termed green under HPS, 8 under TUN, MH, and MER, 7 under CW, and 6 under Mer. Under LPS 3 green samples were termed olive.

Of the green samples studied, sample 26 appears to be a good candidate for safety green, and is consistent with the philosophy of using a blue green to avoid red-green color confusions. In addition, it is not a fluorescent color so it avoids the potential durability problems that can occur with fluorescent pigments. If a yellower green is desired, then sample 23 appears to be a good candidate, particularly since it received two green responses under LPS. Nevertheless, the picture for green perception under LPS is very dismal. If the color green needs to be perceived as such under this source, supplemental lighting with a better color-rendering source must be used.

Selecting between samples 23 and 26 is difficult because the two samples are quite different. Sample 23 appears to be closer to a

green that is termed neither blue nor yellow, but sample 26 appears to be closer to the original ANSI desire to separate green and red as much as possible to avoid confusions by color defective observers.

A.1.5 Blue Sample Results

Unlike green, blue was one of the most successful colors for all light sources, having a high frequency of correct dominant color name and high percentage of blue as the primary hue. Tables 40A-45A present data for the five blue samples studied. Data for ANSI sample 2 are given in table 40A. This sample was always termed blue except under MER, and LPS (where it was never termed blue). Its primary hue was blue with percentages as high as 97-99%, while the secondary hue was generally a low percentage of red (except for TUN where it was green). Lightness was medium to low, while saturation was medium (low for LPS). This pattern of responses was similar for sample 27 (table 41A) which was termed black under LPS, while receiving extremely high percentages of blue as the primary hue and small percentages of red or green as the secondary hue.

Sample 28 (table 42A), however, was termed blue under all sources, including LPS. It was one of the only blue samples termed blue under LPS. Its primary hue was blue (95-98%) for all sources while its secondary hue was green (8-13%) except for MER where it was red (10%). Lightness was medium and saturation was high except for LPS. Thus, sample 28 is a good candidate for safety blue.

Although sample 40 (table 43A) was termed blue under most sources, it was not a very successful blue under LPS. While it received a high percentage of blue as a primary hue under the other sources (and small percentages of red as a secondary hue), its lightness was low for all sources. Its saturation was generally high except for LPS and MER. Similarly, samples 52 and 54 (tables 44A and 45A) showed excellent performance for all sources except LPS. Under that source they were rarely termed blue, had low or non-existent percentages of blue as the primary hue, and had low saturation and lightness. Under the other sources they had high (96-99%) percentages of blue as the primary hue, and low percentages of red or green as the secondary hue. Sample 52 had medium lightness and saturation, while sample 54 had low lightness and medium to high saturation.

When performance for different sources is compared, all five samples were termed blue under TUN, CW, HPS, HPSMH, and MH, with blue being given as the primary hue 97-99% of the time. Under MER, the picture for blue changes slightly with only sample 52 termed blue by all observers. Samples 28, 27, 40 and 54 were termed blue by 18 to 19 observers with percentage of blue as the primary hue between 94 and 97%. Sample 2, however, was seen as blue only 12 times with a secondary hue of red (16%). Under LPS only sample 28 was termed blue. While this sample had low saturation and lightness, its primary hue was given as blue 14 times with a percentage of 99. All other blue samples were seen as black or gray.

Thus, the most successful blue sample is 28, although this characterization is only true when all seven sources are considered. Again, the ANSI Blue (2) was less successful, having poor identification as blue under mercury and LPS. Sample 28 had medium lightness and high saturation under all sources except clear mercury when the saturation dropped to medium, and LPS where both lightness and saturation were low.

A.1.6 Purple/Magenta Sample Results

Tables 46A-50A present data for the five samples studied for safety purple. Only sample 1, the ANSI standard, emerged as a good candidate for safety purple across light sources; all other samples were termed magenta or pink but never purple.

Table 46A indicates that sample 1 was termed purple under all sources except LPS, where it was termed gray, brown, tan, or olive. Its primary hue was red under HPS and TUN (61-75%), blue under HPSMH, MER, MH (59-65%), split between red and blue under CW, and green under LPS. This sample had medium lightness and medium saturation (except for LPS). Samples 29, 30, 44, and 53 (tables 47A to 50A) were successful pinks, receiving pink as the dominant color name for most sources - and never receiving pink, purple, or magenta as the dominant name under LPS. Under LPS they tended to be termed orange, yellow, or red. Under the other six light sources, the samples varied in the proportion of red and blue mixtures, but all had red as the primary hue and blue as the secondary. Samples 29 and 30 had generally high lightness and saturation, while samples 44 and 53 tended to have medium lightness and high saturation.

Regardless of light source, none of the new samples studied is an effective replacement for the ANSI standard purple. In addition, no sample, including ANSI purple, was an effective purple or magenta under LPS. If purple is needed to transmit a message, such as radiation hazard, supplemental lighting with a better color rendering source must be used.

A.1.7 Brown, White, Gray and Black Sample Results

Results for safety brown, white, gray and black will be discussed together since only a few samples were studied for each color name.

Two samples were studied for brown, samples 7 and 38, presented in tables 51A and 52A. There was little difference in performance between the two samples, with both being termed brown under all sources except LPS, and tan or olive under LPS. Performance was somewhat poorer for HPS and MER which are frequently termed olive, even though the dominant color name was brown. Under CW, HPSMH, MH, and TUN, red was the primary hue for both samples, while under HPS, MER, and LPS it tended to be green. For all sources, the secondary hue was generally yellow. Both samples had generally low lightness and saturation. As a result, there appears to be no reason to switch from the ANSI standard to a different brown. Although neither brown was accurately recognized as such under LPS, both samples tended to be termed tan or olive which could be interpreted as brownish shades.

Four examples of white were studied - samples 10, 31, 32, and 41, presented in tables 53A-56A. Performance was very similar for samples 10 and 32 which were almost always termed white under all sources except LPS. Under LPS they were always termed yellow. These two samples had high lightness and no saturation, except under LPS where they were seen as highly saturated. Sample 31 was termed gray for all sources except under LPS where it was termed yellow. The pattern of responses was mixed for sample 41. It was termed gray under CW and MH, yellow under LPS, and white under the remaining light sources. It had high lightness except under LPS where it also had medium saturation. Only two candidates for white (samples 10 and 32) were successful with about equally good performance so that sample 10, the ANSI sample, continues to be a reasonable Safety White. It should be noted, however, that no white sample was recognized as such under LPS. Rather, each was termed yellow. As a result, it appears likely that a white sign on a black background under LPS might well be interpreted as a Caution message in yellow and black. Again, the use of supplementary, good color rendering light is essential if white is to be distinguished from yellow in critical situations.

One example each of gray (table 57A) and black (table 58A) was studied. Results for gray were similar to those for white, with confusions with yellow and olive under LPS and accurate recognition under all other sources. As might be expected, gray had medium lightness and no saturation (except under LPS where it had low saturation). Black was consistently termed black for all sources with low lightness and no saturation.

A detailed tabulation of the results for each color sample is presented in the following pages. Results for the red samples are given first, followed by those for the orange, yellow, green, blue, purple, brown, white, grey, and black samples in Tables 1A-58A. ÚSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO

6

				FREQU	ENCI		
LULUR MHRE	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	MER	Hei	TUN
RED	11	0	2	0	0	6	12
REU ORANGE	21	1	: 5	0	: 0	7	4 1
ORANGE RED		1	1	0	0	2	
PINK	0	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	0;
ORANGE	5	15	9	0	0	4	31
GOLD	0	0	0	i	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	: 0	2	: 0	0	: 0 :
TAN =	0		1 0	4	. 0	0	i 0 i
OLIVL	0	0	1 0	8	: 0	0	01
GREEN	0	0	1 0	1	: 0	0	: 0 i
BLUE GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	. 0.
BLUE	0	6 0	1 0	0	. 0	0	0:
PURPLE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	1	2	: 3	0	20	1	0
GRAY	0	Ō	1 0	1	0	Ō	0
BLACK	0	Ō	0	I Ō	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	01
		1	1	1	:		
			1		:		
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

!		1							FREQU	Æ	NCY			-	
:	LIGHINESS	1	CW	1	HP5		1P5MH	1	LP5	1	MER	1	мн	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		0 14 6		1 10 9		0 14 6	1	2 15 3		0 3 17		0 14 6		0 18 2
-	TOTALS		20	-	20		20		20		20		20		20

EATHATION				۶	REQU	ENCY		
2410441104	CH	HP5	HPSMH		LP5	HER	I MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUN LOW	5 11 4	4 13 3	5 10 5		1 6 13	0 9 11	3 14 3	11 6 3
TOTALS	20	20	20		20	20	20	20

DETMARY		CW	I HE	\$	HP9	mit	L	.F5	i He	R	; P	84	i n	N
HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	I REQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG
RED DLUE GREEN YELLOW	20 0 0	10.85 10xR 10xR 10xR 10xR 10xR	17 0 0 3	0.69 ERR ERR 0.58	19 0 0	10.74 EHR ERR 10.60	0 0 6 13	ERR ERR 0.85 0.77	18 0 0 2	10.82 ERR ERR 10.63	20 0 0	0.79 CRR ERR ERR	20 0 0	10.85 ERR ERR ERR
TOTALS	20		20		20		19		20		20		50	
	 	CN	: HIF	95	1 - 1145	HH	; 1	.PS	t ME	ER	; •	H	t TL	N
SECONDARY HUES	FREQ	CH LAVG%	I HF	iavg%	FREQ	iandar Tanga	i l I	.ps ;avg%	HE Freq	R ¦avg%	FREQ	H ¦avg%	FREQ	IN I AUGX
SECONDARY HUES RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	FREQ 0 4 0	CW AVG% ERR 0.08 ERR 0.18	FREQ 3 0 17	1 AVG% 1 0.42 1 ERR 1 CHR 1 0.31	FREQ 0 19	MH AVG% 	FREQ 4 0 8 6	-PS AVG% 10.26 LERR 10.24 10.15	не Гред 2 2 12	ER 10.38 10.38 10.08 10.35 10.20	FREQ 0 2 0 17	H IAVG% ERR 10.15 IERR 10.24	FREQ 0 2 0 17	IAVGX IERR I0.08 IERR I0.17

1 In this table AVG% indicates decimal fractions rather than actual percentages and ERR simply means no data exist for a given entry. OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 11

COLOR NAME				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	HH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE REU PINK ORANGE	14 2 2 0 2	0 3 2 0 14	4 5 1 0 8	0 0 0 0	6 0 0 0	12 2 1 0 3	13 9 2 0 2
GOLD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	2 0 6 7 2 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
BLACK WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1							FREQU	E	NCY			
¦_	LIGNINESS	CH	11	KP5	HPSH		LPS	;	MER	t MH	ł	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 17 Э		0 15 5			3 14 3	1	0 1 19	0 15 5	1	0 19 1
	TOTALS	20		20	20)	20		20	20		20

:							1	FREQU	Æ	NCY				
 _	SHIUKHIIUN	CW	1	HP5	HP	SMH		LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
:	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	10 10 0		6 12 2		6 12 2		0 5 14		0 14 6		8 9 3		13 7 0
	TOTALS	20		20		20		19		20		20		20

-	DIMADY	;		CW	1	HP	5		HPS	SMH		1	.PS	1	ME	ER		ł	H	1	TU	N	
: :_	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	l F	HEQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%		['REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG%	_
ł.	RED	i.	20	10.86	÷	18	10.64	i.	20	10.77	1	2	0.75	i	20	0.87	i	20	10.82	i	20	10.87	
1	BLUE	ł.	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	-
ţ.	GREEN	ł.	0	LERR	ł.	0	I ERR	ł.	0	ERR	1	7	10.84	÷	0	ICRR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
;	YELLOW	1	0	ERR	ł	2	10.75	ł.	0	IERR	ł	10	10.79	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			19			20			20		Read and	20		

	SECONDADA			CW		HP	5	1	HPS	57614	1	L	.PS	1	HE	R	1	H	н	1	TU	N	
i.	HUES	H	FREQ	AVG	-!	FREQ	AVGX	F	REQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREG	AVG	i	FREQ	AVGL	1
i.	RED	i	0	ERR	i	2	0.25	i	0	ERR	i	З	0.22	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1
	DLUE	1	3	10.07	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	6	10.11	÷.	2	10.15		2	10.08	1
1	GREEN		0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	7	10.22	1	1	10.30	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1
1	YELLOW	-	16	:0.17	ł	18	10.36	ł	20	10.24	ł	7	10.21	1	10	10.16	ł	18	10.18	1	16	10.16	1
	TOTAL5		19			20			20			17			17			20			18		-

	1			FREQU	ENCY			1			l			FREQU
ULUR NAME	CW	I HPS	HIPSHH	LP5	MER	t MH	TUN	•	i Lighi	112.22	CW	HPS	HPSMH	; LPS
RED RED ORANGE	6	0	0	0	10	8	4		HIGH MEDI	UH	1 16	9 15	1 19	4
I PINK I ORANGE	0	0 18	1 12	0			: 0 : 11			TOTALS	20	20	20	20
GOLD	0	0	0	2	0	0	0							
YELLOW	0	0	0	10	. 0 . 0	0	0			وفرون مرود مرود				
TAN DLIVE		0	0	: 3 : 3	0	0	0	Ì	: SATUR	ATION	: :			FREQU
GREEN BLUE GREEN	: 0 : 0	1 0 1 0	: 0 : 0	0 0	1 0 1 0	: 0 : 0	0 0	1	: 		CH	HP5	HP5MH	: LPS
I BLUE I PURPLE	: 0 : 0	0	0	0	0 4	0	: 0 : 0		HIGH	UM		8	14	1 0 1 10
, HAGENTA	0	0	0	0	2	0	0			TOTALS	20	- <u></u>	20	20
BROWN GRAY	0	0	0	0	9 0	0	0							
BLACK	0	0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	0							
	¦ ¦	 	 	1 	! !	 	 	1 1 -						
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	50	20							
	 	CW	HP	5	L HPS	iiii 1	; L	.P5	I NE	R	H	H	TI	JN .
HUES	FREG	AVG	FREQ	AVGX	HREQ	AVG	HREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG
RED BLUE	20	10.78 ERR	16	10.61 IERR	20	10.71 1ERR	0	IERR	20	10.85 ERR	20	0.81 ERR	20	10.71 IERR
YELLOW	0	IERR	4	10.59	0	IERR	19	10.80	0	IERR	0	IERR	0	IERR
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	
	1	CW	I HP	5	HPS	MH .	; L	.PS	HE	R	I P	H	TI	R
HUE5	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	TREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	IAVG%
RED BLUE	0		4	10.41 IERR	0	IERR	5	10.18 IERR	0 13	IERR 10.19	2	ERR	0	IERR
YELLOW	1 20	10.22	1 16	1ERR 10.39	20	10.29	; 11 1	10.14	i 0 1 5	10.09	16	10.23	20	1LRR 10.29
TOTALS	20		20		20		17		18		18		20	

FREQUENCY

4 | 14 | 2 |

FREQUENCY

0 | 10 |

10 1

LPS ! MER : MH ! TUN

0 | 7 |

13 :

LPS I MER I MH I TUN

20

20

0 19

1 1

20

1 1 19 |

0:

1

17 | 3 | 0 |

20

20

OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 13

				FREQUE	ENCY		1
	CH	HP5	HPSMH	LP5	HER	МН	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	1 5 1 0 13	0 7 1 0 12	3 8 0 9	2 5 1 0 12	4 8 0 0 8	4 8 0 0 8	1 5 0 14
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN GLUE PURPLE MADENTA	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
HHGENTH BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		FREQUENCY													
	0	36	1	HPS	н	PSMH		LPS		HER	1	MH-	i	TUN	
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		6 14 0		4 16 0	:	5 14 1		5 15 0		5 15 0		8 12 0		2 18 0	
TOTALS		20		20		20		20		20		20		20	
	1						1	REQU	JE	NCY					1
SATURATION	1 0	3	;	HPS	ļH	P5MH	!	LPS	1	HEH	1	HH	;	TUN	1
1	1	-			- '			_	٠٩						1
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		18 2 0		17 3 0		19 1 0		16 4 0	- - 	18 2 0		20 0 0		16 4 0	

:			L CM L		HPS			;	HPSHH			ι	.PS	ļ	HE	R	1	H	H	1	TUN		
: : :	HUES	IE	REQ	AVGL	F	REQ	AVG%	ļF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG		FRED	AVGL	1	FRER	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	
1	RED	;	20	0.68	1	17	0.65	1	19	0.67		19	10.71	-	20	10.70		20	0.69	1	19	10.63	
8	BLUE	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	I.	0	IERR	ŧ.	0	IERR	ł.	0	TERR	I.	0	IERR	-
1	GREEN	ł.	0	LERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	I ERR	ł	0	I EAR	ł.	0	I ERR	ł.	0	I ERR	ł	0	I CRR	
•	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	З	10.57	1	1	10.60	1	1	10.60	ł	0	LERR	I.	0	ERR	ł	1	10.60	
1			20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

ļ	SECONDARY	CW 1		1	HPS		HPSHH		1	LPS			HER			HH			TUN				
	HUES	IF	REQ	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVGL	ļF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		F REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	
1	RED		0	LERR		Э	10.43	1	1	10.40		1	10.40		0	IERR		0	IERR		i	10.40	1
i I	GREEN		0	ERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	1
-	YELLOW		20	10.33		17	10.35		19	10.33	-	19	10.29		20	10.30		20	10.32		19	10.37	- 1
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		
ţ		!			FREGU	ENCY			1					FREQU	ENCY								
---	---	-------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------	--------------	------							
į	CULUR NHIT	CW	HPS	HPSMI	LPS	MER	i Mel	TUN		LIGNINESS	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	I MH							
	RED RED ORANGL ORANGE RED PINK	3 6 3 0	0 3 0	3 5 0	0	5 6 0	2 8 1 0	Э 6 0		HIGH MEDIUM LOW	4 16 0	2 18 0	2 17 1	0 18 2	1 19 0								
	ORANGE	8	17	1 15	: 20 :	; 9	19 1			TOTAL	5 20	20	20	50	50	20							
	GOLD	0 ·	0	0	: 0 :	0	0	0	:														
	YELLOW GREEN	1 0 1 0	0	: 0	0	0		: 0															
	OLIVE	: 0	: 0	i 0	i 0 i 0		; U ; O	i 0 i 0		: SATUHATION				FHEUU									
	GREEN BLUE GREEN	: 0 : 0	: 0 : 0	0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	: 0 : 0	: 0 : 0		¦	-¦	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	HER	MH							
	BLUE PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		HIGH MEDIUM	18	15 5 0	13 6 1	5 12 3	9 10	17							
	MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		TOTAL	5 20	20	20	20	20								
	BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		TOTAL.		LU	LU	EU	20	20							
		<u> </u>	:	:	:	:	:	:															
	TOTALS	50	20	20	20	20	20	20															
	DOTMODY	 !	CW	HP	·S	HP5	NiH	l	.P5	MER	: M	H	tu	N	1								
	HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ LAUGE	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG									
	RED BLUE GREEN	20 0	10.73 1ERR 1ERR	16 0 0	10.61 IERR IERR	18 0 0	10.71 IERR IERR	16 0	10.62 ICRR IERR	19 10.75 0 ICRR 0 IERR	20 0	10.68 IERR IERR	18 0 0	10.70 IERR IERR									
:	YELLOW	: 0	IERR	: 4	10.58	: 2	10.60	4	10.63	1 1 10.60	: 0	IERR	; 5	10.55	1								
	TOTALS	20		20		20		50		20	20		20										
1	EECONDADY	1	CW	; +IF	S	HP5	MH	; i	PS	MER	; M	H	; TU	N	:								
	HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGL	TREQ LAVES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG									
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	0 0 0 20	IERR IERR IERR I0.27	4 0 0	10.43 LERR LERR 10.39	2 0 0 18	10.40 ERR ERR 10.29	4 0 0 16	10.38 IERR IERR 10.38	1 10.40 0 ERR 0 ERR 19 10.25	0	ERR ERR ERR 10.32	2 0 0 18	10.45 ERR ERR 10.30	:								
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20	20		20										

I MH I TUN

4 1

16 0 1

20

I TUN

15 5 0

20

17 2 1

20

6 14 0

20

COLOD NAME	1			FREQUE	INCY		
CULUK MHRC	Cw	KP5	HPSMH	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED	20	18	19	0	8	20	19
RED ORANGE	: 0	0	i 0	: 0	0	0	1 1
ORANGE RED	: 0	1	1 1	0	0	: 0	i 0 :
PINK	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	: 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	0
L TAN	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	: 0
OLIVE	: 0 :	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	0
GREEN	0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	: 0
BLUE GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	: 0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	: 0	: 0
PURPLE	0	0	0	1 1	2	0	0
HAGENTA	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	. 13	9	0	0
GRAY	0	0	0	4	Ó	0	0
BLACK	0	0	: 0	2	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	0	: 0	0	0	0
	1		1	1		1	1
4	1	:	1	1	I	1	:
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

;		1							FREQL	Æ	NCY				De sine unio acher (in a
:	FTOULUESS		CW	1	HPS	į.	ipsmh	1	LPS	1	MER	1	ĦН	:	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	:	2 11 7		0 14 6	1	0 13 7		0 5 15	1	0 0 20		0 8 12		0 19 1
	TOTAL	5	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

;					FREQU	JENCY		
	SHIGHTIM	CH	i hps	HPSHH	: LPS	HER	I MH	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	14 2 4	7 11 2	13 6 1	0 5 10	12	11 7 2	17 3 0
	TOTALS	20	20	20	15	20	20	20

;	DOTWODY	1		CW	1	HP	5	ł	HP	SHE	1	ι	.PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
 -	HUES	16	HEQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	ir	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVGN	1	FREQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVGX	
1	RED BLUE		20 0	10.95 IERR	1	20 0	0.93 ERR	1	20 0	10.94 IERR	1	11 0	0.85 ERR	1	20 0	10.88 ERR		20 0	0.95 ERR		20 0	10.96 IERR	
1	GREEN YELLOW	1	0 0	l lar I Err	:	0 0	lerr Ierr	:	0 0	I ERR I ERR	:	1 2	10.70 10.75		0	i err I err	;	0 0	I ERR I ERR	1	0 0	IERR IERR	
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			14			20			20			20		-

ŗ	E E CONDADY	1		CH	ł	HP	5	1	HP	5MH	;	L	.PS	1	HE	ER	1	•	H	1	ານ	N	1
1	HUES	if	REQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVGX	IFI	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	
	RED DLUE GREEN YELLOW		0 4 0 8	ERR 10.09 ERR 10.08		0 2 0 12	ERR 0.10 ERR 0.08		0 3 0 10	ERR 10.05 ERR 10.10		2 1 2 7	10.40 10.10 10.20 10.17		0 8 0 9	ERR 10.16 ERR 10.13		0 6 0 3	ERR 10.12 ERR 10.12		0 3 0 7	ERR 0.08 ERR 0.09	
-	TOTALS		12			14		_	13			12		-	17			9			10		•

Table 7A. Results for Sample 34, Retroreflective Red.

	; ;			FREQU	ENCY			
CULUK NARE	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MH	TUN	
RED DOANCE	9	0	2	0	0	8	12	1 1 1
DRANGE RED	3	i î	: 0	ŏ	ŏ	Ī	2	i
PINK	i 0	i õ	i Ö	0	0	0	ō	i
ORANGE	2	12	10	0	0	6	2	
GOLD	0	0	0		0	0	0	
YELLOW	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	:
YELLOW GREEN	1 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	i Ö	0	1
TAN	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 5	0	0	: 0	1
OLIVE	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 7	: 0	: 0	: 0	1
GREEN	: 0	1 0	1 0	1 1	: 0	0	: 0	1
BLUE GREEN	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0	1
BLUE	: 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	0	0	0	1
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ROOLA	1	6	2	• • •	. 20	. 2		1
GRAY	. 0	: 0	: 0	3	: <u>0</u>	: 0	. õ	1
BLACK	i õ	: 0	1 0	i õ	i õ	i õ	iõ	i
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	1
		1			-		-	1
TUTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
DELMARY	:	CH	HP	5	HPS	41	; L	.P5
HUES	FREQ	AVG%	FRE	AVGN	FREQ	AVG%	REG	AVGX
050	20	10 81	17	10 66	20	0 74	1	10 95
BILE	1 0	1FRR	: 0	10.00	: 0	IL BB	1 0	IL BB
COSEN	0	1000		1500		EDD		10 81

 	CUTNEE	1					1	FREQL	E	NCY				
) L4 	601106.33	CW	ł	HP5	11	IP5MH	1	LP5	;	MER	:	MH	;	TUN
H M	igh Eúium Du	0 12 8	1	0 11 9		0 16 4		1 14 5		18 5 0		0 12 8		0 16 4
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

EATUDATTON				FREQU	JENCY		
3HTORHIIOR	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LP5	HER	HH I	TUN
HIGH Medium Low	8 12 0	4 12 4	4 11 5	0 7 11	0 9 11	4 10 6	10 9 1
TOTALS	20	20	20	18	20	20	20

0 744 DV	ł		CW	ł	HF	5	ł	HPS	SMH	1	L	PS	ł	H	ER	1	1	94	ł	TU	N	
HUES	i- IF	REQ	AVG		FREE	AVGN	-:- 	FREG	AVGX	-:- 	REQ	IAVG	*	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	IAVG	
RED	1	20	10.81	1	17	0.66		20	0.74		1	10.9	5	18	10.83		20	10.80	i	20	10.87	
BLUE	1	0	I ERR	1	0	ICRR	1	0	ILRR	ł	0	ILRR		0	IERR		0	I CRR	ł.	0	1ERR	
GREEN	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	- 4	10.8	1 1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1
YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	3	10.63	1	0	IERR	1	12	10.8	S	2	10.75	1	0	TEAR	ł	0	IERR	
TOTALS		20			20			20			17			20			20			20		-

;	SECONDADY	!		CH		14	95	1	HPS	SMH	;	Ĺ	.ps	1	HE	R	1	1	eH	1	TU	N	-
	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGX	
i.	RED	1	0	ERR	;	3	0.37	1	0	ERR	1	2	10.20	1	2	10.25	i	0	ERR	;	0	ERR	
1	BLUE	1	Э	10.07	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ł.	3	10.12	1	1	10.05	
ł.	GREEN	1	0	ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	1	10	10.17	1	2	10.20	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
:	YELLOW	ł	17	10.21	1	17	10.34	ł	50	10.26	1	5	10.16	1	15	10.18	ł	16	10.23	1	17	10.15	
	TOTALS		20			20			20			17			19			19			18		

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSHI	LPS	MER	Mil	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	7 1 1 9 2	4 7 0 2 7	3 3 1 7 3	2 3 0 12	10 1 0 7 0	1i 0 1 7 0	9 3 0 4 3
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-						۱	FREQU	E	NCY					1
1		CW	HP:	5 11	HPSMH	1	LPS	ł	MER	ļ	MH	1	TUN	-
:	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	2 18 0			4 16 0		4 16 0		2 18 0		10 10 0		6 14 0	
	TOTALS	20	2()	20		20		20		20		20	

ļ	CATHDATTON 1					1	FREQU	E	NCY					_
	SHIURHIUR	CW	HPS	16	IP5MH	1	LPS		MER	1	161	1	TUN	1
1	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	13 7 0	13 6 1	1	10 10 0	1	12 8 0		13 7 0		16 3 1	1	14 6 0	-
-	TOTALS	20	20		20		20		20		20		20	

1	DETHADY	1		CW		Hf	95	-	HPS	5MH	1	ι	.PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	81		τυ	N	
<u>.</u>	HUES	1	FREQ	AVGS	1	FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVG	ļ	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
-	RED		19	0.88	1	18	0.77		20	0.88	1	19	0.67		20	0.92	1	20	0.88		20	0.86	
1	BLUE GREEN		1	10.70 LERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	0	IERR	i	0	IERR IERR	ł	0		ł	0	IERR	
Ì.	YELLOW	i	Ō	ERR	i	2	10.55	i	Ō	LERR	i	1	10.60	Ì	Ō	ERR	ł	ō	ERR	ł	Ō	ICRR	
			20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

-		;		CW	1	14	95	ł	HP	5MH	1	l	_PS	1	HE	ER	1	ł	H	1	TU	R
1	HUES	Ī	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	I	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		1 5 0 10	0.30 0.15 ERR 0.16	1	2 1 0 15	10.45 10.10 IERR 10.26		0 7 0 11	ERR 10.14 IERR 10.13		1 0 0 19	0.40 ERR ERR 0.33		0 13 0 2	ERR 0.10 ERR 0.13		0 9 0 8	ERR 10.16 ERR 10.13		0 2 0 17	ERR 10.10 ERR 10.15
	TOTALS	-	16			18			18			20			15			17			19	

47

				FREQU	ENCY		
CULUR MARIE	CH	i Hps	HPSMH	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED	2	1	3	0	6	3	0
RED ORANGE	7	: Э	6	: 3	6	5	5 1
ORANGE RED	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
PINK	0		0	0	. 0	0	0
UKANGL	10	16	1 11	: 1/ :	: 8	; 11 :	
GOLU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	: : 0	. 0	. 0	i 1 0	: 0	
YELLOW GREEN	Ō	Ō	0	Ō	0	0	0
TAN	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	01
OLIVE	0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0 ;
GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	: 0	1 0	01
BLUE GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	01
BLUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	: 0 !	0	0	0	1 0	
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	
GRAY	Ō	. 0	: 0	0	: 0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	01
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	: 0	01
		1		:	4 4 4	1	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	50	20
				_			

:		1						FREQU	Æ	HCY					
:-		- : -	CW	1	HPS	IPSMH		LPS	1	HER	:	MH	1	TUN	
	HICH MEDIUM LOW		5 15 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 18 0	6 14 0	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	3 17 0		4 16 0		4 16 0		6 14 0	
	TOTAL	5	20		20	20	_	20		20		20		20	

-	EATURATION							FREQU	E	NCY					
: : :-	SHIUKHIIUK	CW	1	HPS	ļŀ	ipshh	1	LPS	-	MER	1	мн	ł	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	19 1 0	1	13 7 0	1	16 4 0		5 14 1	:	17 3 0		18 2 0	1	15 5 0	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

-	DUTMADY	:		CW	1	łłP	.5		HPS	5MH	;	ι	.PS	;	ME	R		ŧ	H	1	τυ	R	
_	HUES	ir ir	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	ir	REQ	AVG%	ļ	REQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVG	
	RED	i	20	10.67	į	17	0.61	į	19	0.69	i	17	10.62	i	20	10.72	i	20	10.68	i	18	0.64	
1	GREEN	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	I CRR	1	0	IERR		0	ERR	8	ő	IERR	8	0	ERR	
-	YELLOW	!	0	IERR	1	3	10.57	1	1	10.50	1	3	10.63	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR		2	10.60	_
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

LPS CW HPS HPSMH MH TUN MER 1 1 1 1 SECONDARY IFREQ LAVG% I FREQ LAVG% IFREQ LAVG% IFREQ LAVG% I FREQ LAVG% | FREQ LAVG% FREQ LAVG% HUES ł RED 0 IERR 3 10.43 1 10.50 3 10.37 1 0 LERR 0 IERR 2 10.40 1 1 1 0 IERR 0 IERR 0 IERR 0 IERR BLUE 0 IERR 0 IERR 0 LERR 0 IERR 0 ILRR ł ł ł 1 1 GREEN 0 IERR 0 IERR 0 IERR 0 IERR ł 0 IERR ł ł YELLOW 20 10.33 1 17 10.39 1 19 10.31 1 17 10.38 20 10.28 1 20 10.32 1 18 10.36 1 1 TOTALS 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

				FREQUE	ENCY		
CULUR NHILL	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED	17	17	15	8	18	19	17
RED ORANGE	0	1	1 2	0	0	0	1 1 1
DRANGE RED	0	1	1 1	1 1	0	1	: 2 :
PINK	0	0	1 0	1 O '	0	: 0	I 0 I
ORHNGE	0	0	0	1	: 0	; 0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	i 0	0	0	: 0	: 0 :
TAN	0	i 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0 :
DLIVE	0	0	1 0	0	: 0	0	0
GREEN	I 0	0	1 0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0 :
BLUE GREEN	0	0	1 0	0	: 0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	2	0	0	2	0	0	
MAGENTA	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
BROWN	0		: 0	7		0	
GRAY	i õ	Ō	0	Ó	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	1 0	0	0	1 0	1 0 1
WHITE	: 0	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	0
				:	1	1	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

I TOUTAUEE				FREQUE	ENCY		
 	CW	I HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	HH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUH LOW	0 13 7	0 8 12	0 11 9	0 11 9	0 11 9	8 15 0	0 16 4
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

EATURATION				FREQ	JENCY		
34104041104	CN	HP5	HPSMH	I LPS	HER	HH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	4 13 3	2 14 4	4 13 3	0 9 11	3 13 4	4	12 3 5
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

ŗ	DDIMADY	!		CW	!	HP	·5	ļ	HPS	SMH	1	L	.P5	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	1
¦ 	IUES		REQ	AVGX	-	FREQ	AVG%		REQ	14VG%	11	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	
	RED	ľ	20	0.90	1	20	0.90		20	0.93	1	20	0.92		20	0.93	-	20	10.91		20	0.94	1
	BLUE	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1
Ľ	GREEN	ł.	0	LRR	Ł	0	I EHR	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	ICRR	1
۱.	YELLOW	1	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1
	TOTALS		20			20			20			50			20			20			20		-

ţ	CECONIL SOM	1		CH	-	HP	95	ł	HPS	SMI (ł	ι	.P5		HE	R	1	۲	64	ł	TU	N	1
	HUES	1	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	IAVGE	16	REQ	AVGX	= i 	FREQ	AVG	-1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVG	
1	RED	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	-	0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1
1	BLUE	Ł	10	10.12	ł	5	10.11	1	8	10.10		- 4	10.09		10	10.09	1	8	10.13	1	5	10.06	
1	GREEN	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	0	IERR	1
1	YELLOW	ł	6	10.15	1	10	10.14	ł	4	10.16	ł	13	10.10	1	6	10.11	1	6	10.13	1	7	10.13	1
	TOTALS		16			15			- 12			17			16			14			12		

	:			FREQU	ENCY		
CULUR MANTE	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED	14	14	13	7	15	13	20
RED ORANGE	0	0	I 0	0	0	: 0	: 0 I
ORANGE RED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	01
OLIVE	0	0	I 0	0	0	0	I 0 I
GREEN	0	0	I 0	0	0	0	I 0 I
BLUE GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	i 0 1	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
MAGENTA	2	2	2	0	2	3	0
BROWN	1	2	3	9	1	1	
GRAY	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0
BLACK	0	0	0	0	0	0	01
WHITE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
			1			l	1 1
			1			1	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	19	20

ţ

						FREQ	JE	NCY				
	CW		HP5	HPSMH	-	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 11 9	 	0 8 12	1 11 8		0 12 8		0 9 11		1 11 8		0 15 5
TOTALS	20		20	20		20		20		20		20
						FREQU	JEI	NCY				
	CW	-	HPS	HPSMH	1	LPS	ł	MER	1	MH	!	TUN
1				· · ·	_					the same the same time to be a same to be a sa	17	
HIGH HEDIUK	4 13 3	8 8 9 1 9 9	2 15 3	4 12 4		0 10 10	;	3 14 3		4 12 4		9 7 4

1				CW	1	HP	5	1	HPS	5MH	ł	L	.P5		HE	R	1	۲	H		τυ	N	-
1	HUES		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	1	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX	_
	RED	1	20	10.90	1	19	10.92		20	0.91		20	10.85	1	20	10.90	1	20	10.88		20	10.94	-
1	GREEN	Ì	0 0	IERR IERR	i	Ŏ	I ERR	i	0 0	I ERR		0 0	I ERR		Ŭ O	IERR IERR	1	0 0	I ERR		Ŭ 0	IERR	
-	TOTALS		20			19			20			20			20			20		-	20		-

1	SECONDARY	!	CW	HF	5	HP	5MiH	l	_P5	HE	ER		H	TU	N
i 1-	HUES	FRE	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	IAVG%	FREQ	AVG%
i.	RED	i (DERR	i 0	ERR	i 0	ERR	0	ERR	0	ERR	0	IERR	0	ERR
1	BLUE	1 1	1 10.13	1 8	10.15	1 11	10.12	1 4	10.13	11	10.16	14	10.13	6	0.09
1	GREEN	1 0	DIERR	1 1	10.30	1 0	ERR	1 0	ERR	: 0	IERR	0	IERR	0	IERR 1
!	YELLOW	1	4 10.14	1 5	10.09	1 5	10.09	15	10.17	: 3	10.07	3	10.22	6	10.12
-	TOTALS	1	5	14		16		19		14		17		12	

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSHH	LPS	MER	I MH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 13	0 0 0 5	0 0 0 10	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 11	0 0 0 0 17
GOLD	5	2	5	0	3	5	1
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN DLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY	0 2 0 0 0 0 0		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 1 1 0 0 0 0		
WHITE	0	0					
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

							FREQU	JE	NCY				
LIGHIRESS	CW	1	HP5	11	ipsmh	1	LPS	1	MER	1	HH-	1	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	2 18 0		6 13 1		1 19 0		9 11 0		1 17 2		2 17 1		3 16 1
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

	EATUDATION	}					0	FREQU	E	NCY				
	SHICKHILDR	CH	1	HPS	1	IPSMH	ł	LPS	1	HER	1	HH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	1 19 0		10 10 0		5 19 2		10 6 4		1 12 7		3 13 4		3 15 2
-	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

:	DDTHADY		CW	; H	PS	HP	SHH	1	_PS	H	ER		H	t TL	N.
	HUES	FRE	AVG	FREQ	AVGX	TREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	TREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG
	RED BLUE GREEN		3 10.57 D 1ERR 0 1ERR	1 0 0	0.50 ERR ERR	200	0.55 ERR ERR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ICRR IERR IERR	0011	ERR ERR	200	10.55 ERR ERR	6 0 0	10.58 ERR ERR
_	YELLOW TOTALS		7 10.72 	: 19 20	10.88	: 18 20	10.82	20	10.96	19 20	10.93	: 18 	10.74	: 14 	10.76

ļ	SECONDARY	-		CW	;	HP	95	1	HPS	5MH		ι	PS	;	ME	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	1
<u>.</u>	HUES	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVGI	
l	RED		17	10.28	ļ	14	0.16	1	15	10.21		9	10.06		5	10.09	1	17	10.28	ļ	14	10.24	1
i	GREEN	i	0	1ERR	i	0	IERR	1	2	10.08	i	3	10.07	1	7	10.13		Ő	IERR	1	ŏ	IERR	
-	YELLOW	1	3	10.43	1	1	10.50			10.45		0	IERR	-	1	10.20	1	2	10.45	-	6	10.43	- 1
	TOTALS		20			15			19			12			13			19			20		

Table 13A. Results for Sample 15, Retroreflective-Fluorescent Orange.

OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 15

FREQUENCY

5 | 15 | 0 |

50

FREQUENCY LPS I MER

> 31 14 | 3 |

20

AVG%

10.57 1ERR 1ERR 10.63

AVG% 10.37 I ERR IERR 10.43 1

I LPS I MER I MH I TUN

7

20

19 | 1 | 0 |

20

1 0 | 13 | 6 ;

14 |

20

MH 8

17 3 0

20

3

20

I TUN

12 8 0

1		l •			FREQU	ENCY					UFFC	!		1
1	LULUR NHMC	CW	1 895	HPSMH	LPS	MER	: MH	TUN		LIGHI	NC 33	CW	HP5	INPSMH 1
	RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED	0	0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0	0		HIGH MEDI LOW	UH	8 12 0	6 12 2	4 16 0
1	ORANGE	20	19	20	17	20	19	19	1		TOTAL 5	20	20	20
	GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	• • •					
	YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	 					
ļ	OLIVE	0	0	0		0	0	0		SATUR	ATION		! HDS	1HD5MH !
	BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	0			HIGH MEDI	UM	 18 2	8	14
1	MAGENTA	: : 0	0	0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: I :	LOW		. 0	: 0	: 0:
1111	BROWN GRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Totals	20	20	20
	WHITE		0	0		0		0	• • •					
i									1					
	TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20						
	DDTMADY		CW	i HP	s	HP5	MH	i L	P5	HE	R	i M	H	I TUN
	HUES	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ :
	RED BLUE GREEN	12 0	10.54 IERR IERR	6 0 0	10.53 ERR ERR	7 0 0	10.56 IERR IERR	4	0.53 ERR ERR	10 0 0	10.54 IERR IERR	9 0 0	10.60 ERR	7
;	YELLUN	. 8	10.70	14	10.66	: 13	10.68	: 16	10.6/ 1	10	10.62	. 11	10.62	: 13 (
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20
	SECONDARY	 	CW	HP	5	HP5	MH	L	PS 1	ME	R	і <u>н</u>	н	TUN
i	HUES	FREQ	AVGS	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGS	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ
	RED BLUE GREEN YEL OH	8 0 12	0.30 ERR ERR	14 0 0	IO.34 IERR IERR	13 0 0	10.32 ERR ERR	15 0	0.29 ERR 1.00	10 0 0	10.38 ERR ERR		10.38 ERR ERR	13 0 0
•	TOTALS	20		20		50		20	10.40	20		20		20

POLOD NAME	:			FHEQU	ENCY			:
CULUK NHINE	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	HH I	TUN	
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RED ORANGE	: 0	i 0	: 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	1
BRANGE REU	: 0	: 0	i 0	: 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	1
PINK	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	1 0	1
ORANGE	20	20	20	1	19	20	20	1
COLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
YELLOW	0	0	0	19	1	0	0	:
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	1 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	1
TAN	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	:
OLIVE	: 0	0	: 0	0	1 0	1 0	: 0	1
GREEN	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1
BLUE GREEN	1 0	: 0	0	: 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	1
BLUE	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 0	1
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
BROWN	0	0	0	; 0	i 1 0	0	0	
GRAY	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1
BLACK	: 0	: 0	1 0	0	1 0	0	0	1
WHITE	0	1 0	: 0	1 0	0	0	0	
	:	:		1	1	:	 	1
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
	1		I HP	5	t HPS	мн	; L	.95
HUES	THE	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGI
RED BLUE	7	0.60	2	0.55 ERR	5	0.57	0	ERR

				FREQ	UENCY		
	CW	; HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	i MH	TUN
HIGH NEDIUM LOW	6 14 0	9 11 0	11 9 0	9 11 0	8 12 0	11 9 0	6 14 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	:			FREQ	UENCY		

EATIONT ON				FREQU	ENCY		
	CW	HP5	HP5MH	LP5	HER	MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUN LOW	17 3 0	15 5 0	8 11 1	14 6 0	10 10 0	14 6 0	9 11 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	DETAILON	1		CH	:	Hf	·5	1	HPS	SMH	;	L	.PS	ł	HE	R	ł	1	H	ł	TU	N	
i 	HUES	і — ! Г	HEQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG%	IF.	REQ	AVG	-1	FREQ	AVGL	- i	FREQ	AVGL		TREQ	AVGX	-	FREQ	AVG	-
,	RED	; — ! !	7	10.60	1	2	10.55		5	10.57	-1	0	ERR	- i	6	10.55		9	10.52		3	10.53	-
	GREEN		0	ERR	i	0	IERR		0	ICRR		0	IERR		Ő	LERR	ļ	0	IERR	1	0	ICRR	1
-			 13	10.65	-	50	10.63	•	20		-	20	10.74	-	20	10.00	•	20	10.00	-		10.00	-

-	SECONDADY	1		CH	!	HP	5	;	HPS	SHIH	;	ι	.PS		HE	R		1	44	ļ	τυ	N	
-	HUES	i F	REQ	AVG	FRE	0	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	FF	EQ	IAVG		⊦REQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE		13 0	0.37 ERR		8	0.37 ERR		15 0	0.35 ERR		0 15	0.09 ERR		14 0	0.34 ERR		11	10.32 ICRR	1	17 0	10.38 IERR	1
-	GREEN YELLOW		0 7	1ERR 10.40	:	5	ERR 10.45	1	0 5	1ERR 10.40		4	10.05 IERR	1	0	1ERR 10.45		0 9	1ERR 10.48		0 3	1ERR 10.47	
	TOTALS		20		2	20 ·			20			16			20			20			20		ĺ

USHH CULOR SHMPLE NU. 17

PD: Du Aust	1			r+€QU	ENLY		
DULUR NAME	CW	i HPS	незын	LP5	HER	: MH	TUN
HEU	0	6	0	0	0	; 0	0
RED ORHINGE	: 0	: 0	; 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 2
OFHINGE HED	1	1 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	1 0	: 0
FINK	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
ORANGE	: 14	13	12	0	1	13	: 16
GOLD	1	0	5	3	1 3	0	0
TELLOW	: 0	1 1	: 0	6	: 2	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 1	: 0	: 0	; 0
THN	: 0	: 2	: 2	: 4	1 3	: 0	: 0
OLIVE	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 5	: 3	: 0	: 0
GREEN	: 0	; 0	: 0	: 0	: 1	1 0	: 0
BLUE GREEN	0	; 0	1 0	; 0	: 0	: 0	1 0
ULUE	: 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
PURPLE	: 0	0	; 0	0	0	0	: 0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	4	4	1	0	1 7	7	2
GRAY	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 1	: 0	1 0	1 0
BLACK	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0
WHITE	: 0	: 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
	1		1	1	1	1	1
				. 20	20	20	20
	20		20		£V		20
		CW	1 11	25	1 1119	5MH	ļ L
HUES	FRED	AUGI	I'RER	AVGX	FRED	AVGT	TRED

1	I CHITALEEL	;						1	FHEQU	Æ	NCY				
	C10010633	1	C₩	1	HPS		IPSMH	1	LPS	;	MER	;	Min		TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	:	1 11 8	;	3 14 3	1	1 15 4	1	2 17 1		1 10 9		0 14 6	1	0 19 1
	TOTAL	i	20		20	-	20		20		20		20	-	20

-						I	REQL	E	NCY				
• • •	SHIOKHIION	C₩	HF	5	HPSMH	-	LPS	;	MER		MH	ł	TUN
-	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	5 16 5	1	1 6 3	2 13 5	:	2 7 11		0 11 9		2 13 5		5 11 4
-	TOTALS	20	â	0	20		20		20		20		20

	אמצאוזה	1		CW	:	HP	25	1	11115	5MH	1	L	.95	;	ME	R	!	MH	;	TU	N	
	HUES	F	KEQ	AVG	;	FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVG		REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	,
-	RED BLUE		10 0	0.76		7	10.63 IERR	1	10 0	0.63 ERR	;	0	ILRR IERR		5	10.88 ERR	10	0.68		17 0	0.64 ERR	
	YELLOW	; ;	10	10.67	1	13	1LRR 10.67	; 	10	16.70	1	18	10.70	;	э 15	10.83	: 0 : 10	10.64	1	3	11.RR 10.58	
			20			20			20			10			20		20			20		

-	SECONDADY	!		CW	1	н	5	1	HPS	5MiH	ļ	L	.P5	 .!.	MER	R		Н	н	1	τυ	И	1
į	HUES	FR	20	AVGL		FRCQ	AVGX	F	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG		FREG	AVGN	 !	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGN	
į	RED		10	0.33	i	13	0.33	i	10	10.31	į	2	0.05	i	7	0.18		10	0.36	į	З	0.42	i
1	GREEN		0	TERR		0	IERR	1	0	IERR IERR	i	0 14	10.12	i i	0 7	10.21		0 1	16.40	i	0	IERR IERR	1
;	YELLOW	1	10	10.24	1	7	10.37	1	10	10.37	!	5	10.20	1	5	0.15	1	9	:0.31	1	17	10.36	1
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			18			19			20			20		

COLOD NAME				FREQU	ENCY		
CULUK MARE	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	HSI I	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	0	0	1 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0
URANGE RED	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	i Ó
ORANGE	13	17	1 13	0	3	18	19
GOLD	2	0	3	0	5	0	1
YELLOW	. Э	3	: Э	20	15		0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0	: 0
TAN	2	: 0	1 1	: 0	: 0	0	: 0
OLIVE	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
GREEN	0	: 0	1 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0
BLUE GREEN	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
BLUE	0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
PURPLE	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
GRAY	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
BLACK	0	0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	: 0
	6 6 7	1 1 5	1	1 1	1	1	:
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

ł							1	FREQU	E	NCY				
		CW	i H	IPS	HP	SMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	4 16 0	 	8 12 0		3 17 0		15 5 0		1 18 1		3 17 0	1	4 15 1
	TOTALS	20		50		20		20		20		20		20

				FREQU	JENCY		
1 24104041104 1 1 1	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LP5	HER	I MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	7 13 0	10 10 0	6 14 0	15 5 0	3 15 2	10 10 0	8 11 1
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

ļ	DOTHODY	1		CW	1	HP	S	1	HPS	1994 - C		L	.PS	1	HE	R	1	1	414	ļ	TU	IN
:	HUES	F	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	F	REQ	AVGL	 - ! !	FREQ	AVG	 	FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG
1-	RED	-1-	1	10.50	1	3	0.62		5	10.65	-1.	0	ERR	1	0			1	10.60		3	10.57
ŀ	GREEN	ļ	0	IERR	i	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	i	Ő	IERR		0	IERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	IERR
-		i	20	10.76	i 		10.75	i 	20	10.79	i 	20	10.97		20	10.91	•	20	10.70			10.67

;	EECONDADY	1		CW		HF	5	ł	KP	5MH	1	ι	.ps	ł	HE	EK	1	1	9 H	!	π	N	
 -	HUES	יי 	FHEQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	11	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	_
i.	RED	ł	17	0.25	1	15	10.28	ł	17	10.22	i	7	10.07	ł	9	10.13	i	19	10.30	1	17	10.31	
1	BLUE	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	I ERR	ł	0	ERR		0	IERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł.	0	IERR	1
1	GREEN	ł	1	10.20	ł	0	LERR	÷.	0	LERR		2	10.05	-	5	10.11	1	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	
ł.	YELLOW	ł	1	10.50	ł	3	10.38	ł	2	10.35	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	1	10.40	ł	3	10.43	1
	TOTALS		19			18			19			9			14			20			20		

Table 17A. Results for Sample 35, Retroreflective-Fluorescent Orange.

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	HH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE RED	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	13	16	12	0	1	16	19
GOLD	1	1	5	0	Э	0	0
YELLOW	1	0	1	19	6	1	
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
TAN	2	3	: 2	: 0	: 2	: 0	0
OLIVE	0	0	: 0	1 1	7	0	01
GREEN	0	0	: 0	: 0	1	0	0
BLUE GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	01
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	01
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	э	0	0	0	0	3	
GRAY	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	i 0	: 0	0	0	01
WHITE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
			:	:			
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	50	20	20

	TAKES					1	FREQL	JE	NCY				
	1176235	CH	HPS	ļ.	IPSHH	l	LPS	1	MER	!	MH	1	TUN
HI MEI LOI	SH Dium M	5 16 5	9 17 0		1 16 Э		7 12 1	1	0 15 5		1 16 3		1 17 2
	TOTALS	50	50		20		20		20		50		20

-	CATUDATION						I	FREQU	E	NCY					1
!	SHICKHITCH	CW	1	HP5	Į.	ipsmh	1	LPS	1	MER	:	MH	;	TUN	-
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 16 4		4 15 1		3 13 4		7 7 6	1	0 7 13		1 14 5		4 12 4	
•	TOTALS	20		50		20		20		20	-	20		20	

ł	DDTMADV	;		CH	1	R	5		HPS	5MH	1	l	_PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	1
	HUES	11	REQ	AVG	- + _!	FREQ	AVG%	 	FREQ	AVG		REQ	AVGX	-1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	
l.	RED	i	6	0.67	1	5	0.54	1	6	0.57	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	i	8	0.61	1	13	10.61	1
1	BLUE	1	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	TERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	8	0	ERR	1
I.	GREEN	1	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	:	0	I CRR	1	0	I CRR	1	4	10.85	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	ERR	ł
1	YELLOW	ł	14	10.70	ł	15	10.69	1	14	10.78	ł	20	10.96	ł	16	10.84	ł	12	10.78	ł	7	10.64	ł
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		•

ŗ	SECONDADY			CH	1	HF	25	1	HPS	5484		L	.P5	1	ME	R		1	H	1	τι	N	
	HUES	F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	F	REQ	AVG	F	ÆQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	FR	EQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
	RED		13	10.31		15	10.31		14	10.22		Э	10.07	:	5	10.13		11	10.24	1	7	10.36	
ļ	GREEN	1	I I	10.20	i	0	ERR	i	Ő	IERR	i	5	10.11	ļ	10	10.18		Ĩ	10.10		0	IERR	ļ
-			20	10.33			10.40		20	10.45	•	о Я				.0.13	•	20	10.37	•	20	10.37	-'

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	Mit	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 1 0 19	0 0 0 18	0 2 0 0 18	0 0 0 18	0 2 1 0 17	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 20
GOLD	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN DLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	TOUTMEEC							FREQL	E	NCY		-			-
	LIGNINESS	CH		HPS	1	HPSMH	1	LPS		MER	-	MH	1	TUN	1
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	3 17 0	1	2 18 0		1 19 0		6 12 2		1 19 0		4 16 0	1	0 20 0	
-	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	•

ł	CATHDATTON				FREQ	JENCY		1
1	SHIUMHIIUN	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	1 MH	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	17 Э 0	10 9 1	12 8 0	3 9 8	11 9 0	15 5 0	11 9 0
	TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	DOTMODY	ł		CH	1	HF	> 5	ł	HPS	5494	-	L	.PS	1	HE	.R	1	1	H	 π	N	_
 	HUES	1	FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AUGL		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGY		FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE GREEN YCLLOW		14 0 0 6	0.61 EAR EAR 16RR 10.63		9 0 0 11	10.58 IERR IERR 10.62		13 0 0 7	0.57 ERR ERR 0.65		4 0 0 16	10.55 ERR ERR 10.69		15 0 0 5	10.59 ERR ERR 16.63		17 0 0 9	10.55 IERR IERR 10.60	13 0 0 7	10.57 ERR ERR 10.60	,)
-	TOTALS	-	20		-	20			20			20			20		-	20		 20		-

-		ł		CW	1	HP	95	ł	HP	5MH		ι	PS		HE	R		ł	H		TU	N	
	HUES		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	11	REQ	AVG	- 1 -	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	
	RED	1	6	10.38	1	11	0.38	:	7	0.35	1	15	10.32	i	5	0.37	i	Э	0.40	i	7	0.40	J
1	BLUE	÷.	0	ERR	÷.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	÷.	0	I ERR	ł	0	IERR	1
1	GREEN	÷	0	LERR	ł.	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	1	1	10.10	1	0	I ERR	÷	0	IERR	÷.	0	IERR	
ł	YELLOW	;	14	10.39	1	9	10.42	ł	13	10.43	1	4	10.45	ł	15	10.41	ł	17	10.45	;	13	10.43	
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		-

COLOD NAME	l			FREQUE	ENCY		
LOLUK MHINE	CW	HPS	HPSNH	LPS	MER	MBA	TUN
RED	5	i	5	1	9	7	5
RED DRANGE	1 5	: Э	4	31	4	6	61
ORANGE RED	2	1	1	0	0	Э	11
PINK	2	0	1	0	3	0	0
ORANGE	6	15	9	16	4	4	8
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW		0		0	0	0	
YELLOW GREEN	i õ	ŏ		Ö	Ő	Ő	
TAN	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	Ō	Ō	0	Ŏ
OLIVE	Ō	Ō	0	0	0	0	0
GREEN	0	0	1 0	0	0	Ō	0 1
BLUE GREEN	i 0 -	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	: 0	0	I 0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-							
BRUMN			1 0		0		
DLACK					0		i Ui I AI
LHATTE					0		0

			1				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

osha color	SAMPLE	NO.	46
------------	--------	-----	----

ŀ					FRE	QUE	INCY			:
	LIGHTRE33 1	СЫ	HPS	HPSMH	I LP	5	MER	1 Mil	TUN	1
	HIGH MEDIUM LOH	2 18 0	Э 17 0	3 17 0	1	9 7 0	1 19 0	Э 17 0	5 15 0	
	TOTALS	20	20	20	2	0	20	20	20	

CATURATION .				FREQU	JENCY		
SHIUKHIIUK	CH	HP5	HPSHH	LP5	I HER	1914	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	15 5 0	15 5 0	14 6 0	8 12 0	17 3 0	19 1 0	17 3 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	DOTMODY	!		CW	ļ	HF	P5		HPS	5141		L	.P5	1	HE	R	!	M	H	-	TU	N	
	HUES	IP	REQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGL	15	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	IAVG%	
-	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		19 0 0 1	0.79 ERR ERR 0.60		19 0 0 1	10.66 ERR ERR 0.50		20 0 0 0	10.77 CRR ERR ERR ERR		18 0 0 2	0.64 ERR ERR 0.60		20 0 0 0	10.84 IERR IERR IERR		20 0 0	LO.77 LCRR LERR LERR		19 0 0 1	10.75 EHR ERR 0.60	
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		-

-	SECONDADY	1		CW	1	HF	95	1	HP	SMH		L	.PS	1	HE	R	1	M	6H	1	TU	N	1
	HUES	i	REQ	AVCL	1	FREQ	AVG	1	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVGX	ļ
	RED	1	1	0.40		1	10.50	1	0	ERR		2	0.40	ł	0	ERR	i	0	CRR	i	1	10.40	i
1	BLUE	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	ERR	÷.	0	IERR	1	0	1ERR	1	4	10.09	ł	1	10.20	ł	0	IERR	1
;	GREEN	1	0	ICRR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	I ERR	ł	0	I CRR	1	0	IEAR	1	0	LEKK	ł	0	ILRR	1
1	YELLOW	ł	18	10.23	ł	19	10.34	1	19	10.24	ł	18	10.36	ł	16	10.18	1	19	10.23	1	18	10.27	1
	TOTALS		19			20			19			20			20			20			19		•

				FREGU	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	HER	MH	TUN
RED REU ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	1 0 0 18	0 0 0 20	18 0 5 0	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 20	0 1 0 0 19
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 0						
HAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0						
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	1			FREQU	ENCY		
EIGHINESS	CW	I HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	MH	TUN
HIGH Medium Low	9 11 0	8 12 0	5 15 0	12 8 0	5 15 0	7 13 0	4 16 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-	EATUDATION !					1	REQL	E	NCY					
	SHIDHHIDH	CW		HP5	HPSMH		LP5	1	HER	1	HH	1	TUN	1
	HIGH MEDIUH LON	16 4 0		16 4 0	13 7 0		8 12 0		18 2 0		15 5 0		16 4 0	
-	TOTALS	20		20	20		20		20		20		20	•

-	DOTMODY	1		CW		HF	> 5	ł	HPS	5461	;	L	.PS	1	ME	R	1	1	H		าป	N	
!	HUES		REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
1-	RED GLUE	- i - 	15 0	10.60 ERK	- 	11 0	0.60		13 0	0.60		2	10.55 IERR	1	17 0	0.58 ERR	1	15 0	10.55 ERR	1	12	0.58	
1	GREEN YELLOW	1	0 5	1ERR 10.57	1	0 9	1 ERR 10.61	;	0 7	1ERR 10.65	1	0 18	1 ERR 10.69	1	0 3	1ERR 10.57	1	0 5	1ERR 10.62	:	0 8	ERR	
-			20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

-	FECONDADY	1		CW	1	HP	5	;	HP	5MH	1	l	.P5	1	HE	R		ł	iH	:	TU	N	1
	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG	- ·	FREQ	AVGX	١٢	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	-!	FREQ	AVGL	-1	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	
i	RED	i.	5	0.43	1	9	0.39	i	7	0.35	i	18	0.31	1	Э	10.43	i	5	10.38	i	8	0.36	i
1	BLUE	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	IERR	÷	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	1
1	GREEN	1	0	LEAR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	LRR	-	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	÷	0	ERR	1
ł.	YELLOW	ł	15	10.40	ł	11	10.40	ł	13	10.40	ł	2	10.45	ł	17	10.42	ł	15	10.45	1	12	10.42	1
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
ORANGE RED	6 G	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	: 0
PINK	0	0	i 0	0	: O :	0	: 0
ORANCE	19	18	20	13	20	19	20
GOLD	1	1	0	5	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	2	0	. 0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	0
TAN	0	0	1 0	: 2	0	0	: 0
OLIVE	0	0	: 0	: 0	I 0 1	0	I 0 :
GREEN	. 0 :	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	0
BLUE GREEN	01	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	1 0	i 0 .	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RDOLIN	0	0	1 0		0	6	i 1
CDAY	Ö	i õ	: 0	: 0	Ő	n n	
B ACK	ő	ő			i õ	Ő	
HHITE	Ő	Ö	: 0	: 0	Ő	0	: 0
			1				
			i	1			1
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-		-					i	FREQU	Æ	NCY				
	HICH		CW	HP5	H	IP5MH	;	LP5	1	MER	;	MH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		3 17 0	4 15 1	1	1 19 0		5 13 2		1 19 0		3 17 0		1 19 0
-	TOTAL	5	20	20		20		20		20		20		20

;								FREQU	E	NCY					;
•	SHIORHIION	CW	;	HP5	11	HPSMH	-	LP5	ļ	MER	1	HH	1	TUN	-
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	13 7 0		3 17 0		12 8 0		2 7 11		15 5 0		18 2 0		6 14 0	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

DDTHADY	¦	CW	i HP	5	HP:	SHH	; L	.P5	i Me	R	H N	iH	l TU	IN I
HUE5	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG	TREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG%
RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	10 0 0	10.57 1ERR 1ERR 10.68	12 0 0 8	0.54 ERR ERR 0.73	9 0 0 11	0.55 ERR ERR 0.69	4 0 0 16	0.60 ERR ERR 0.77	7 0 0 13	10.59 ERR ERR 0.60	12 0 0 8	10.55 IERR IERR I0.63	8 0 0 12	10.55 ERR ERR ERR 0.65
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	
	;	CW	i HF	'S	HP:	5MH	L	.PS	i He	R	H	н	. τι	IN I
SECONDARY HUES	FREG	CW LAVE%	HF FREQ	S	HP9	iavgy	FREQ	.PS TAVG%	ne Freg	R	H Freq	H	TU FREG	IN I
SECONDARY HUES RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	FREG 10 0 10	CW IAVE% I0.32 IERR IERR I0.44	FREQ 6 0 12	15 10.28 16RR 16RR 10.46	HP9 FREQ 11 0 0	AVGX 10.31 10.31 12RR 12RR 10.45	FRE9 15 0 1 4	P5 AVG% 	ME FREQ 13 0 0 7	R AVG% 0.40 CRR ERR 0.41	FREQ 8 0 0 12	H AVG% 0.38 ERR ERR 0.45	TU FREQ 12 0 0 8	AVG% AVG% 0.35 ERR ERR 0.45

COLOD NAME				FREGUE	ENCY		
COLUK RHINE	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	Hill 1	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1
GOLD	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	15 4 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		17 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 10 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	1						1	FREQU	E	NCY			Part	
CIGNINESS		CW	ļ	HPS	H	HPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER		MH	1	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		0 15 15		14 6 0		12 8 0		14 6 0		11 9 0		11 9 0		9 11 0
TOTAL	5	20		50		20		20		20		20		20

				FREQ	JENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	I LPS	MER	HH I	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	6 14 0	11 7 2	6 14 0	18 2 0	10 9 1	12 8 0	5 14 1
TOTALS	20	20	50	20	20	20	20

	DDTMADY	1		CW	1	HP	95	1	HPS	imit.	1	L	.PS	ł	HE	R	1	H	iH		TU	N	ļ
	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	İF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	
	RED	1	0	ERR	-	0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
1	BLUE	ŧ	0	ERR	ł	0	LERR	ł	0	I EAR	ł.	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	ŧ	0	ERR	1
Ì	GREEN	Ł	0	LERR	1	0	1 ERR	ł	1	10.60	Ł	0	IERR	ł	Э	10.80	1	2	10.75	ł.	0	IERR	ł
	YELLOW	ł	20	10.90	1	20	10.93	1	19	10.94	ŧ	20	10.99	1	17	10.85	1	18	10.95	ł	20	10.94	1
-	TOTAL S		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

ļ	EECONDADY	;		CW	;	HF	2<	ł	HP	SMH	ł	L	.PS			HE	R	1	ł	H	1	π	N	1
1	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	LAVG%	ļſ	REQ	AVGL	1	REQ	IAVG	x	1	FREQ	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVGI		FREQ	AVGL	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		1 0 15 0	10.10 ERR 10.13 ERR		2 0 10 0	10.10 ERR 10.12 ERR	- ; - ; ; ;	0 0 10 1	ERR ERR 10.12 10.40		1 0 3 0	10.1 ERR 0.0 ERR	0 7	; - 	0 0 14 3	ERR ERR 10.18		0 8 2	ERR ERR 0.12		1 0 11 0	10.30 ERR 10.08 ERR	
-	TOTALS		16			12			11			4				17			10			12		-

05niA	COLOR	SAMPLE	NŪ.	15
-------	-------	--------	-----	----

	L TOUT SEE						I	REQL	E	NCY					ł
i 	LIGHINESS	CW	1	HPS	H	PSMH	i	LPS	;	MER	1	МН	:	TUN	1
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	14 6 0	8	12 8 0		14 6 0		7 13 0		11 9 0		14 6 0		14 6 0	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		50	•

	CATHOATTON !							FREGL	E	NCY				
	SHICKHITCH	CW	1	HPS	ļF	IPSH-1	1	LPS	ļ	MER	-	MH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	14 6 0		11 8 1		6 14 0		7 12 1		11 9 0		13 7 0		7 11 2
•	TOTALS	20		50		50		20		20		50		50

1	DETMALY	!		CW		Hi	5	!	HPS	5MiH	1	L	.65		ME	R	1	1	81	1	TU	N	1
	HUES	١F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	iF	REQ	AVG	 	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	1	F REQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVG%	1
	RED	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i.	0	ERA	i	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	ł
1	BLUE	1	0	ILRR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	I EKR	1	0	ERR	-	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	-	0	IERR	
1	GREEN	1	- 4	10.61	1	5	10.68	1	5	10.64	ł	0	LERR	1	6	10.70	÷	6	10.67	1	8	10.71	1
1_	YELLOW	ł	16	10.81	1	18	10.86	1	15	10.78	1	50	10.95	1	14	10.81	1	14	10.71	1	12	10.79	
-	TOTALS		50			20			20			20			20			20			20		5

: CH HPS HPSHH LPS MER MH TUN ł . SECONDARY IFREQ LAVOX : FREQ LAVOX HUE5 0 IERR RED 0 LEHR 0 IERR 2 10.08 1 0 IERR 0 LERR 0 IERR BLUE **IERR** 0 LERR TERR IERR **IERR** ERR 0 IERR GREEN 16 10.19 16 :0.16 15 10.22 10.14 14 10.19 13 10.31 11 :0.23 -ł 6 :0.30 : 0 LERR 6 10.33 8 10.29 1 YELLOW 4 10.39 2 10.33 1 5 10.36 TOTALS

Table 24A. Results for Sample 20, Fluorescent Yellow.

USIN COLOR SAMPLE NO. 20

				FREQU	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	нирамн	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED RED DRANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN GLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			1	1		1	

I FOUTATER I						١	REQU	E	NCY				
	C₩		HPS	11	IPSMH	1	LP5	1	HER	1	MH		TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	18 2 0		15 5 0		18 2 0		15 5 0		15 5 0		13 7 0	5 C U 4 8	15 5 0
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

				FREQL	IENCY		
	CW	I HPS	HP5MH	LPS	MER	I MH	TUN
HICH Medium Low	15 5 0	13 6 1	14 6 0	18 2 0	14 5 1	15 5 0	11 8 1
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

;	DDIMADY	ł		CW		Hé	25	1	HPS	5 11H	1	l	.P5	1	ME	R	;	ł	91	ļ	TU	N	
1	HUES		REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVG		I'REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGS	
1	RED	1	0	ERR	" 	0	ERR	• i •	1	10.50	1	0	ERR	1	0	EAR	- 1 -	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
ł	BLUE	ł	0	ERR	1	0	1ERR	1	0	TERR	1	0	1ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	
ł.	GREEN	÷	1	10.60	4	0	I ERH	ł	1	10.80	;	0	LERR	1	2	10.65	1	0	ERR	1	2	10.60	
ł.	YELLOW	ł	19	10.83	1	20	10.93	ł	18	10.84	ł	20	10.97	ł	18	10.8i	ł	20	10.85	ł	18	10.90	
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

	CCON JALY			CW	1	HF	5	-	HPS	SMiH	1	L	.PS		HE	R	:	۲	64	1	ານ	N	
	HUES		FREQ	HAVGY	1	FRED	AVGL	İF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGS	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE	- 4 ·	0	ERR ERR		0	IERR IERR		2	10.10 EAR		2	10.08 ERR	;	0	IERR ICRR		0	ERR	1	0	IERR IERR	1
:	GREEN YELLOW	1	18 1	10.18 10.40		15 15	10.12 IERR	:	15 2	10.18 10.35		Э 0	10.15 1ERR	1	5 18	10.19 10.35	1	16 0	10.19 IERR	1	5 13	10.14	1
_	TOTALS		19			12			19			5			20			16			15		

OSHA COLUR SAMPLE NU 21

				FREQU	ENCY		
CULUK NHRE	CW	HPS	HPSMI	LPS	Mick	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED GHANGE	0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	0	0
ORANGE RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE.	3		1 5	0	0	7	6
GOLD	Э	0	2	i	Э	6	6
YELLON	11	18	10	19	13	5	6
YELLOW GREEN	1	1	: 0	0	3	1	1
TAN	1	0	1 0	0	0	1 1	1
OLIVE	1	0	: 3	0	1	0	0
GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLUE GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BLUE I	0		: 0	0		0	0
PURPLE	U		. 0	U	U		U
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	0	0	: 0 :	0	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			1				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
DDTMADY	(Chi	HP9		HPSr	411	L

	ł						1	FREQU	E	NCY				
C100178233		CW	1	HPS	1	HPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	;	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		4 16 0		7 13 0		5 15 0	;	8 12 0		19 0	*	4 14 2		5 14 1
TOTAL	ς	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

ļ	CATINATION							FREQL	JE	NCY				
:	SHIUKHIIUN	CW	11	HPS	11-	IPSMH	1	LPS	ļ	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	3 14 3		8 10 2	 	6 13 1		9 9 2		2 16 2		2 14 4		3 13 4
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

ļ	DDTMADY	:		Clii	ł	HP	5	ł	HPS	rfit	ł	Ĺ	.P5	HE	R	ł	۲	H	ł	TU	N
¦	HUES	F	939	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%	İF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	FREQ	IAVG%	1	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	IAVG%
1	RED BLUE GREEN	1	1 0 0	10.50 ERR ERR	:	0 0 0	ERR ERR ERR		0 0 1	ERR ERR 10.70		0 0 0	IERR IERR IERR	0 0 1	ERR ERR 10.80		3 0 0	10.62 ERR ERR	1	0 0 0	IERR IERR IERR
¦			19	10.94	!	20	10.95		19	10.88		20	10.97	 19 20	10.96		17 	10.85		20	10.85

-	SECONDADY	!		CW	ļ	HP	5		HPS	5MH		Ĺ	.PS	;	ME	R		MH	!	τu	N	_ '
-	HUES	ILB	EQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	ןן וו	REQ	AVG	F	REQ	AVGN		FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FR	EQ	AVG	
	red Blue Green Yellow		8 0 6 1	0.08 ERR 0.09		3 0 5 0	10.12 ERR 10.12 ERR		12 0 3 1	10.17 ERR 10.08 10.30		3 0 5 0	10.05 ERR 10.09 ERR		2 0 10 1	10.05 ERR 10.08 10.20	9 0 6	0.22 ERR 0.10 0.38		13 0 2 0	10.20 ERR 10.15 ERR	
-	TOTALS		15			8			16			8			13		18			15		-

Table 26A. Results for Sample 22, Ordinary Yellow.

OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 22

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	: 0;
ORANGE RED	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	I 0	0	i 0 i
PINK	1 O	: 0	i 0	: 0	: 0	I 0	i 0 i
ORANGE	3	1	2	0	0	: 2	31
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
YELLOW	16	19	18	20	13	. 17	17
YELLOW GREEN	0	1 0	: 0	0	; 7	: 0	: 0 :
TAN	: 0	: 0	0	i 0	: 0	: 0	: 0 :
OLIVE	1 1	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	I 0 '	1 O I
GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	0	i 0	: 0	: 0 :
BLUE GREEN	0	: 0	1 0	0	: 0	1 0	0
DLUE	: 0	: 0	1 0	0	0	0	: 0 :
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	
GRAY	Ō	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	0
BLACK	0	0	0	0	: 0	0	0
WHITE	: 0	0	0	0	0	: 0	: 0 :
	1	•	1		1	1	
			1				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

;							1	FREQU	Æ	NCY				
; ;	10/11/200 1	CW	1	HP5	łH	ipshh	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
	HIGH HEDIUM H	15 5 0		13 7 0		10 10 0		13 7 0		10 10 0		11 9 0	8 6 6 8 8 8 8 8	13 7 0
	TOTAL5	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

1							1	FREQU	Æ	NCY					;
: -	SHIUKHIIUN	CW	1	HP5	-	HP5MH	1	LPS		MER	1	HH		TUN	1
-	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 7 1		12 7 1		9 10 1		18 2 0		10 9 1		11 9 0	4 9 9 4 8	10 9 1	
	TOTAL 5	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

-	DDTHADY	-		CW	1	HF	95	ļ	HP	SMH	1	I	_P5		HE	ER	1	1	9H	1	TL	N
: _	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGS	١٢	REQ	AVG		[REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%
-	RED	-	0	IERR	1	0	IERR		0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR
1	BLUE	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	4	0	IERR		0	IERR	1	0	IERR	-1	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR
1	GREEN	1	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	-1	1	10.70	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR
1	YELLOW	1	20	10.94	ł	19	10.94	1	20	10.94	ł	20	10.98	ł	19	10.92	1	20	10.96	1	20	10.69
	TOTALS		50			19			20			20			20			20			20	

CW. HP5 HP5HH LP5 MER МΗ TUN **SECONDARY** IFREQ LAVEX I FREQ LAVEX IFREQ LAVEX IFREQ LAVEX I FREQ LAVEX I FREQ LAVEX HUES. 3 10.07 0 1ERR 2 10.13 0 1EAR red Blue 4 10.20 0 IERR 4 10.10 1 0 IERR 1 9 10.09 0 IERR 0 IERR 7 10.09 1 9 10.68 1 -1 1 0 :ERR : 12 :0.13 : 1 :0.30 : 0 IERR 2 10.15 0 IERR 0 IERR 1 1 1 GREEN 4 10.09 7 10.06 1 1 10.05 1 7 10.11 1 ł ł 1 0 IEAR 1 0 IERR 0 IERR I YELLOW 0 IERR ł 1 1 1 9 TOTALS 8 16 5 13 10 11

Table 27A. Results for Sample 36, Retroreflective Yellow.

COLOG NAME	i i			FREQU	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSHU	LPS	MER	MEL	TUN
R≃D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	1 O	0	1 0	: 0	; 0	: 0	0
URANGE RED	0	0	0	0	: 0	0	0
PINK	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
ORHNGE	0	2	4	0	0	3	2
GOLD	4	2	3	0	I	2	6
YELLOW	12	15	10	17	9		10
YELLOW CREEN	2	0	1 i	1	1 7	1	1
TAN	1 1	0	1 1	1	0	i	11
DLIVE	1 1	0	1 1	1	: 0	2	0
GREEN	0	1	: 0	: 0	. Э.	0	0
BLUE GREEN	: 0	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	:	}	1	•			
RHOWK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
DLACK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	01
			:				
TOTALS	50	20	20	20	20	20	20

	1							FREQL	E	NCY					1
1 L10/11/12/22		CH	1	HP5	11	1P51%1	1	LPS	1	MER	ļ	MH	1	TUN	1
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		5 14 1	1	5 15 0		4 15 1		8 12 0		6 14 0		3 14 3		4 14 2	
TOTAL	5	20		50		20		20		20		20		20	

				I REQU	JENCY		1
I SHIUKRIIUK I	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	I MER	1 164	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	3 15 2	7 11 2	4 15 1	6 12 2	4 13 3	2 11 7	1 15 4
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1	DIMOUY	1		CW	1	HP	² 5		HPS	5Mill		L	.65	1	ME	R		۲	64	1	าบ	N	
: :_	HUES	F	REQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVG		REQ	AVG	-!	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVG	
÷	RED	i	0	ERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	LERR	i	0	FERR	i	1	10.50	i	0	ERR	i
1	CLUE	1	0	CRR	÷	0	ERR	1	0	ICRR	ł	0	LRR	1	0	I CRR	1	0	TERR	÷	0	CRR .	1
1	GREEN	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ŧ.	0	ERR	ł	0	1ERR	1	4	10.75	÷	0	ERR	÷	0	ERR	ł
I.	YELLOW	ł.	50	10.91	ł	20	10.89	ł.	50	10.65	:	20	10.96	1	16	10.85	ł	19	10.88	1	20	10.88	1
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		•

-	SECONDADY.	:		CH	;	HP	5		HP	5MH	!	L	.PS	;	ME	R	M	н	1	π	N	
1	HUES	1	REQ	: 4VG%		FREQ	AVG%	11	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	
	RED BLUE GREEN		4 0 11	0.18 ERR 10.10	1	4 0 8	0.16 EAR 0.19	1	10 0 7	0.63 ERR 0.11		3 0 6	0.07 ERŘ 10.09	:	0 0 14	ERR ERR 0.18	7 0 8	0.18 ERR 0.13		11 0 6	10.12 ERR 10.17	
-	YELLOW	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	12RR	1	4	10.25	 1	10.50	1	0	IERR	-1
	TOTALS		15			15			17			9			18		16			17		

Table 28A. Results for Sample 37, Retroreflective Yellow.

COLOS NAME				FREGU	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSHI	LP5	MER	MH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
GULD	6	Э	4	2	2	6	Э
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN GLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	4 0 7 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 0 6 2 0 0 0 0	15 1 0 2 0 0 0 0	8 4 1 4 0 0 0	7 0 7 0 0 0 0	6 0 9 1 1 0 0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

ŗ							FREQU	E	NCY			-	
		CW	HPS		IPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	HH	8	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	6 12 2	6 14 0	;	6 12 2		5 15 0		6 12 2	1	6 9 5	8 0 8 0 8 0 8 0 8 0 8	5 12 3
	TOTALS	20	20		20		20		20		20	-	20

CATUDATTON				FREQU	JENCY		
	CW	HPS	HP5MH	LPS	I MER	I MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 10 10	1 11 8	0 7 13	6 10 4	0 7 13	0 7 13	0 4 16
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1	DDTMADY	1		CH	1	HP	'S	1	HPS	5464	1	L	.PS	-	Me	R		1	H	1	τι	N	
¦ !	HUES	F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	IAVG%	18	REQ	IAVGX		FREQ	AVGX	-:	FREQ	AVGX	- ·	FREA	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVGL	_
i.	RED	i.	2	0.70	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	IERR	
ł.	BLUE	1	0	IERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	I ERR	
ţ.	GREEN	1	0	LERR	1	2	10.65	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	6	10.82	1	0	ERR	1	2	10.70	
ł	YELLOW	t	18	10.88	ł	18	10.90	ł	20	10.88	1	20	10.92	1	14	10.88	ł	20	10.86	1	18	10.88	
-	TOTAL 5		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		Ī

;	SECONDADY	1		CH	1	HP	5	1	HP	5894	;	L	.PS	1	HE	R		ł	H	1	TU	N	-
	HUES	;	FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVCL	IF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG		REQ	AVGL	-1-	TREQ	AVG	-
1	RED BLUE		Э 0	10.12 ERR		6	0.14 ERR		6	0.18 ERR		4	0.11 ERR		0	EHR		6	0.18 ERR		6	10.14 IERR	
	GREEN YELLOW	1	12 2	10.15 10.30	;	10 2	10.09 10.35	:	9 0	10.14 IERR		10 0	10.13 ERR		13 5	10.13	1	13 0	:0.13 :ERR	1	8	10.16	1
	TOTALS		17			18			15			14			18			19			16		

	1			FREQUE	ENCY		
CULUR MHIL	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED DRANGE	0	. 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE RED	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	: 0 :
PINK	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0
ORANGE	19	13	17	0	19	17	20
GOLD		1	0	1	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	6	: 3	19		3	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0
TAN	0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	0	: 0 :
OLIVE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
GREEN	: 0	0	: 0	0	0	0	i 0 i
BLUE GREEN	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
BLACK	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
		:	1	:			
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-		ļ					1	FREQU	JE	NCY	-			
	CIGNINESS		CW	1	HPS	HPSMII		LPS	;	MER	1	нн	1	TUN
:	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	1	9 11 0		9 11 0	11 9 0		9 11 0		11 9 0		11 9 0		12 8 0
	TOTALS	;	20		20	20		20		20		20		20

1								FREQU	Æ	NCY					1
i 	SATURATION	CW	-	HPS	11	HPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	;	MH	1	TUN	1
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	13 7 0	1	12 8 0	1	10 10 0		16 3 1		11 9 0		17 Э 0		11 9 0	
~	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	•

		!		CH	-	HP	>S	-	HPS	i MH	1	L	.P5		HE	R	1	1	(H	1	TU	N	
• •	HUES	F	REQ	AVG%	1	F REQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGN		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	
	RED DLUE GREEN		5 0 0	10.60 CRR ERR	;- ; ;	2 0 0	10.60 IERR IERR		4 0 0	10.50 IERR IERR		0 0 0			2 0 0	10.53 IERR IERR		5 0 0	10.54 IERR IERR		3 0 0	10.53 ERR ERR	
	YELLOW	!	15	10.66	1	18	10.75	1	16	10.71	1	20	10.95	1	18	36.01	1	15	10.64	1	17	10.70	
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		

1	EECONDADY	!		CW		HP	5	;	HPS	imh	1	ι	.PS	1	ME	ER		ł	H		TU	N	
1	HUES	iF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX	ir	REQ	AVG%	11	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
	RED	1	15	10.34 1FRP		18	10.25 FPR		16	10.29	1	10	10.09		18	10.32	1	15 0	10.36	1	16 0	10.30 ERR	
Ì	GREEN		0 5	IERR 10.40		0	IERR 10.40		0	IERR 10.50		Э 0	10.05 IERR		0	IERR 10.48	1	0 5	IERR 10.46		1	10.30	
-	TOTALS		20			50			20			13			20		-	20			20		-

Table 30A. Results for Sample 3, ANSI Green.

	1			FREQU	ENCY		
CULUR RHINC	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	нн	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	: 0	0	1 0	0	1 O '	1 0 1	0
ORANGE RED	: 0 :	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0
PINK	: 0 :	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	0	: 0	1 O I	0
TAN	: 0	0	0	: 0	0	. 0	0
OLIVE	0	0	: 0	1		0	0
GREEN	11	8	10	1	17	12	13
BLUE GREEN	. 9	10	Y	: 0	2	. 81	1
BLUE	. 0	2		0	0	. 0	0
PURPLE		0	. 0	i U !			U
NAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
GRAY	. 0 :	0	1 0	13	0	: 0 :	0
BLACK	: 0 :	0	: 0	3	0	: 0 1	0
WHITE	: 0	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	0
			1	1	1		
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

				1	FREQU	E	NCY			a for the second data
LIGHINESS	CW	HP5	HPSHH	1	LPS	1	HER	1 MH	8	TICH
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 12 8	0 7 13	0 13 7	1	0 2 18		0 10 10	0 16 4		0 17 3
TOTALS	20	20	20		20		20	20		20

	1					FREQU	E	NCY			
SHIURAIIUN	CW	i H	PS	HPSMH	1	LP5	1	HER	1	MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	1 16 3		1 13 6	0 17 3		0 3 1		0 7 13		1 15 4	4 14 2
TOTALS	20		20	20		4		20		20	20

;		1		CW	ł	HP	5	ł	HPS	5MH	;	L	.PS	;	HE	R	;	1	Ħ	1	TU	N	
	HUES	IF	KCQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX	ĮF	HEQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
-	RED		0	EKR	1	0	CRR	1	0	CRR		2	0.78	ļ	0	CRR		0	ERR		0	ERR	
1	BLUE GREEN	i.	1	10.75	ł	13	10.61	i	4	10.55		2	16.95	ł	1	10.50	i	1	10.50		18	10.55	
!	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR		0	IERR	;	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
	TOTALS		20			20			20			4			20			20			20		

	SECONDADY			CW		HP	5	-	HPS	5464	1	ι	.PS	1	HE	R	1	H	iH	1	TU	N	
	HUES		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	
	RED CLUE GREEN		0 14	ERR		0 8 7	ERR 10.26	1	0 11	IERR 10.22		0 0	IERR IERR		061	ERR 10.19		0 13 2	ERR 10.17	111	0 14 2	ERR 10.53	
1	YELLOW		ź	10.10	i	э	10.13	ł	ī	0.10	1	э	10.18	i	6	10.16	i	2	10.10	1	2	10.10	i
	TOTALS		17			18			16			3			13			17			18		

Table 31A. Results for Sample 23, Retroreflective-Fluorescent Green Yellow.

OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 23

.

00.05.0005				FREQU	ENCY			!		ļ	
CULUR MAME	CW	1 1125	111PSMH	: LPS	HER	: HH	TUN		i LIGHIN255	CW	i KPS
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE HED DINK	0 0 0	0 Ú 0	0	0	0	0	0		High Medijk Low	5 15 0	2 15 3
ORANGE	Ő	0	Ő	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ö		TOTALS	5 20	50
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
YELLOW CREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0		0	1 1	0	0	0		SATUDATTON		
GREEN	14	17	16	2	13	19	20			CW	HP5
DLUE PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		HIGH MEDIUM	13 7 0	9 10
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	TOTAL	i 20	20
Brown Gray Biack	0	0	0	5	0		0				
WHITE	Ŏ	Ő	0	0	Ő	0	Ŏ				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	50	20				
		CH	 HH [5	HP5	MH	! L	.P5	MER	1	€H
HUES	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG	TREQ LAVER	FREQ	AVG%
REU BLUE CREEN YELLON	0 0 20 0	ERR ERR 10.82 ERR	0 0 20 0	ERR ERR 10.91 ERR	0 0 20	IERR IERR I 0.84 IERR	6 0 6	10.80 ERR 10.86 10.60	0 ERR 0 ERR 19 0.85 1 0.60	0 0 0	IERR IERR I0.78 IERR
TOTALS	20		20		50		13		20	20	
CEPONDARY		CH	: HP	 S	HPS	ni: 1	; L	.P5	I MER	1	1 1
HUES	FREQ	I AVG%	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ AVG	FREQ	AVGX
RED BLUE GREEN YELL OH	0 0 0	ERR ERR ERR	0	ERR 10.10 ERR	0	ERR ERR ERR	0	ERR ERR 10.19	0 IERR 0 IERR 1 0.40	0	

TOTALS

18

11

19

				FREQU	ENCY		
LIGHTREDS 4	CW	i KPS	HIPSNH	I LPS	MER	Mh	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	5 15 0	2 15 3	Э 17 0	0 11 9	3 15 2	4 16 0	2 17 1
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-		;							FREQU	Æ	NCY				
	SHIUMHIIUM		CW	;	HPS	11	irshih	;	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	ł	TUN
:	KIGH Nedium Low	:	13 7 0		9 10 1		12 8 0		0 2 14		7 13 0		14 6 0	1	14 6 0
	TOTALS		20		20		20		16		20	_	20		20

TUN

FREQ LAVG%

20

13

TUN FREQ AVGX 0 ERR 0 ERR 0 ERR 0 ERR 13 0.13

0 |ERR | 0 |ERR | 20 |0.92 | 0 |ERR |

1

.

87	
----	--

14

18

	1			FREQUE	INCY		
CULUR MHIL	CH	HP5	INPSMH	LP5	HER	HH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANCE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
GOLU	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN DLUE PURPLE	0 12 0 8 0 0	0 7 0 13 0 0 0	0 9 0 0 11 0 0 0	1 5 7 3 0 0	0 10 0 10 0 0	0 8 0 12 0 0 0	0 7 0 13 0 0
MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITL	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

				FREQ	JENCY		
	CW	HP5	HP5MH	LP5	MER	MH	TLN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	11 9 0	7 12 1	7 13 0	6 11 3	7 13 0	13 7 0	7 13 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

EATUDATIO								FREQU	E	NCY			
- SHIURHIIO		CW	1	HP5	11	1P5MH	ļ	LP5	;	HER	 HH	0 9 8	TUN
HIGH Medium Low		16 4 0		11 9 0		14 6 0		0 4 16	1	8 12 0	15 4 1	0 0 0 0 0	10 10 0
TOTA	L5	20		20		20		20		20	20	e	20

1	DDTWADY	;		CW	ļ	HF	95	-	1429	5MH	ł	L	.PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	-	TU	N	1
i 	HUES	1	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL	11	HEQ	AVG	-;- 	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	-:	FREQ	AVG%		TREQ	AVG	
1	RED	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	CRR		0	ERR		0	ERR	- 1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
ł.	BLUE	ł	0	LERR	ł.	0	IERR	ł.	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	IERR	1
ł.	GREEN	1	18	10.77	÷	19	10.79	ł.	20	10.78	1	7	10.78	1	14	10.77	1	20	10.76	1	20	10.84	1
ŧ.	YELLOW	ł	2	10.53	ł	1	10.50	ł	0	IERR	ł	13	10.80	ł	6	10.66	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	ł
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		•

ŗ	SECONIMON	1		CW	1	HF	5	1	HPS	5MH	1	ι	.P5	ļ	HE	:R		ì	iH	1	TU	N	1
	HUES	F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	١F	REQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGX	FI	REQ.	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL	
1	RED		0	IERR	1	0	ERR	-	0	ERR		4	10.23	1	0	IERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1
ł	DLUE	1	0	LERR	ł	0	I EHR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	I ERR	ł.	0	ERR	1	0	1 EKR	ł	0	I ERR	1
i.	GREEN	1	2	10.48	ł	1	10.50	1	0	IERR	1	9	10.18	ŧ.	6	10.34	1	0	LERR	ł	0	IERR	1
1	YELLOW	ŧ	17	10.24	ł	16	10.24	ł	20	10.22	ł	7	10.22	ł	14	10.23	1	50	10.24	1	18	10.18	1
			19			17			20			20			20			20			18		-

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HP5	HPSHI	LPS	MER	Mili	TUN
RED RED ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
DRANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
green Islue green Blue Purple	11 8 1 0	1 17 2 0	4 15 1 0	0 0 0 0	17 1 0 0	8 12 0 0	7 13 0 0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 6 14 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	LICHTMEEC						۱	FREQU	ε	NCY					-
	LTG://WCDD	CW	1	HPS	ļ	IPSMH	ļ	LPS	ļ	MER	1	МН	ļ	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	i 13 6	1	0 5 15		0 13 7		0 0 20		0 9 11		1 15 4		0 16 4	
1	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20	-	20		20	'

;	SATUHATION							FREQU	Æ	NCY				
1	SHIORHITOR	CW	1	HPS	łŀ	IPSMit	ł	LPS	ļ	MER	1	MH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	1 17 2		4 12 4	- i - 	5 14 1		0 0 1		i 13 6		3 16 1		8 12 0
1	TOTALS	20		20		20		1		20		20		20

ţ	DOTMODY	ļ		CW	1	HF	5	1	HPS	i Mili		1	.P5		M	ER	+	ř	9H	1	τυ	N	1
	HUES	1	FREQ	AVG%		FREE	AVGS	lŕ	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	5	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGI	-
i	RED	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	-	0	ERR		0	IERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	1
ł.	DLUE	ł	- 4	10.58	1	12	10.67	1	5	10.60	-	0	ICRR	1	0	ERR	ł	Э	10.53	1	4	10.60	
ł.	GREEN	1	16	10.85	ł	8	10.77	÷.	15	10.77	-	0	IERR	- 1	19	10.92	1	17	10.79	ł	16	10.74	1
ł	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	:	0	LRR	1	0	IERR	1	0	: CRR		1	10.65	;	0	IERR	1	0	ICRR	;
	TOTAL 5		20			20			20	999-999 (m. 49-49-4		0			20			20			20		•

CECCMIDADY			CW	;	H	95	1	HPS	inh	1	ι	.PS	1	ME	IR		H	TI	JN .	-
HUES	IFR	Q	HAVG		FREQ	AVG	11	'HEQ	AVGX	ļ	THEQ	AVG		FREG	AVG	FREQ	AVG%	FREQ	AVG	
RED BLUE GRELN YELLOW		0 12 4 1	ERR 10.20 10.43 10.10		1 8 11 0	10.10 10.23 10.33 1ERR		0 13 5 0	ICRR 10.27 10.40 IERR		0 1 0 0	ERR 10.05 ERR ERR		0 6 1 5	ERR 0.12 0.35 0.16	0 12 13	CRR 0.28 0.47 0.10	0 15 4 0	ERR 10.28 10.40 ERR	
TOTALS		17			20			18			1			15		18		19		-

i	COLOD NAME				FREGU	ENCY				
	LULUR NHPE	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	MER	HH I	TUN		i L
	RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		
ì	GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN GLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA BROWN GRAY GLACK WHITE	0 0 10 10 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 15 3 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 14 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 17 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 7 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 9 0 0 0 0 0 0		
	TOTALS	20	20	50	20	20	20	20		
1	DDTMADY		CW	HP	5	HPS	HIL	L	P5	1
	HUES	FREG	AVGX	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX	F
	RED DLUE	0	ERR 0.50	0 7	ERR 0.71	0 2	ERR 0.70	0	ERR	

-							1	FREQU	E	NCY				
		CW	1	HP5	H	PSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	0	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	8 12 0		4 16 0	 	8 12 0		1 7 12		7 13 0		9 11 0	0.0	8 12 0
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

ł							1	FREQU	JE,	NCY					1
i -	SHIUKHIIUN	CW	1	HPS	11	1PSMH	-	LPS	1	MER	:	MH	;	TUN	1
1	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	6 13 1	1	4 16 0		8 12 0		0 1 5		2 12 6	8 8 8	6 14 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 12 1	
	TOTAL 5	20		20		20		6		20		20		20	ľ

ļ	DDTMADY	1		CW		HF	>5	1	HPS	irli (L	.P5	1	HE	R	1	ł	84	1	TU	IN	
1	HUES	IF	REG	AVGL	l	FREQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVGL	- 1	FREQ	AVGX	- 1	FREQ	AVG	
ľ	RED		0	ERR	ļ	0	ERR		0	ERR	ļ	0	ERR	ļ	0	ERR	ļ	0	ERR		0	ERR	
1	GREEN	i.	19	10.50		13	10.71 10.79	ł	18 18	10.70 10.78		0 4	1ERR 10.95		20	1ERR 10.89		Э 17	10.58		18	10.55	
!	YELLOW	1	0	1ERR		0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	-	0	IERR	1	0	IERR		0	IERR	_
	TOTAL S		20			20			20			4			20			20			20		

	CECONDADY	ļ		CW	1	HF	5	1	HPS	SHH	1	I	_PS	1	ME	R	1	ł	H		:	TƯ	N	
	HUES		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	ļF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AV	5%	F	REQ	AVGX	
	RED	1	0	ERR	-	0	ERR	1	0	ERR		0	LRR		0	ERR		0	IER	R	1	0	ERR	- 1
1	BLUE	ł	15	10.19	÷.	13	15.01	1	15	10.24		0	IERR	1	6	10.12	1	12	10.3	25	1	14	10.21	-
1	GREEN	ł	1	10.50	1	7	10.29	÷.	2	10.30		1	10.10	1	0	ERR	1	Э	10.	42	1	2	10.45	1
ł.	YELLOW	1	Э	10.10	1	0	LERR	1	2	10.20	ł	Э	10.20	ł	13	10.11	1	4	10.	19	1	1	10.30	ł
-	TOTALS		19			20			19			4			19			19				17		-

COLOD NAME	 			FREQU	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSNA	LPS	MER	PIFE	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED DRANGE	: 0 :	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE RED	: 0 :	: 0	1 0	0	: 0	0	0
PINK	0	0	: 0 :	0	: 0	: 0	: 0
ORANGE	0	0	i 0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0
TAN	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0
OLIVE	0	0	1 0	0	1	0	0
GREEN	13 1		1 6 1	0	18 1	8	8
DLUE GREEN	7	15	1 14	0	1	12	12
BLUE	0	4	1 0 1	8	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0
CLACK	0	0	1 0	12	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
:			1				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1								FREQL	E	NCY	_			
1	LIGHINESS	CW	; 1	HPS	IH	PSMII	1	LPS	1	MER	ł	Мін	ļ	TUN
:	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 15 5	1	0 4 16		0 9 11		0 0 20		0 8 12		0 14 6		0 15 5
-	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

	CATHLIATTON						1	FREQU	Æ	NCY				
1	SHIORHIDR	CH		HP5	11	ipsnii	;	LPS	1	MER	;	Mi 1	;	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	6 14 0	- i ·	7 10 3		7 12 1		0 6 11		1 17 2		9 11 0		12 8 0
	TOTALS	20		20		20		17		20		20		20

	DDTMADY	1		CW	1	H	2 5	1	HPS	SMEE	1	L	.PS		ME	R	1	۲	84	1	τι	N	
4 	HUES	15	REQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	
1	RED	1	0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR		0	ERR	-1	0	LRR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
Ľ	BLUE	ł.	2	10.70	÷	10	10.76	1	- 4	10.63	1	8	11.00	1	0	ERR	÷	0	I C.RR	1	2	10.55	
1	GREEN	1	18	10.85	ł	10	10.62	1	16	10.79	1	0	ERR	1	20	10.93	ł	50	10.77	1	18	10.75	
1	YELLOH	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	
	TOTALS		20		-	20			20			8			20			20			20		-

-	SECONDADY	1		CW	1	HF	95	1	HPS	imh	1	ł	.PS	1	ME	:R	1	ŀ	Ħ	1	TU	N	
<u> </u> _	HUES	10	REQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	ĮF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLON		0 14 2 1	ERR 10.19 10.30 10.05		1 10 8 0	10.10 10.38 10.29 1ERR		0 15 4 0	1CRR 10.22 10.38 1ERR		0 9 0 0	ERR 10.07 ERR ERR		0 6 0 4	ERR 10.13 1ERR 10.16		0 17 0 1	ERR 10.27 ERR 10.10	5 0 5 5 6 6 6 7	0 17 2 0	ERR 10.26 10.45 ERR	-
-	TOTALS		17			19			19			9			10			18			19		•

Table 36A. Results for Sample 43, Retroreflective-Fluorescent Yellow Green.

OSHA COLOR SAMPLE NO. 43

	i			FREQU	ENCY									FREQU	ENCY		
	CH	I HPS	HPSHH	LP5	HER	HH	TUN			33	CW	I HPS	HPSMH	1 LPS	HER	1	HH
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0		HIGH NEDIUM LOW		14 6 0	11 9 0	13 7 0	7 12 1		2 1	12
ORANGE	0	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	0	0	1	TO	TALS	20	20	20	20	2()	5
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1									
YELLOW GREEN	15		15			13	9	i 						FRED	ENCY		
OLIVE	Ō	Ö	Ö	Ī	Ō	Ö	Ö	i	SATURAT	IDN İ							
I GREEN	1	2		0	1 4	1	1 2		!			HP5	HIPSMH	LPS	HER	-!-	MH
BLUE PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		HIGH HEDIUM LOW		12 8 0	15	7 13 0	6 11 3		L 7 7	1
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	; 0	0	0	1									
BROWN GRAY BLACK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	INLO	20	20	20	20	21	J	20
								i.									
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20										
	;	CW	HP	5	HP5	MH	L	.P5	HER		H	н	i Π	JN .	1		
HUES	FREQ	AVGN	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ IA	VGX	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX	1 		
REÚ BLUE GREEN YELLOW	0 0 2 18	ERR 10.65 10.80	0 0 4 16	ERR ERR 0.73	0 0 3 17	ERR ERR 10.63	0 0 20	ERR ERR ERR 10.97	0 EI 0 EI 6 10 14 10	RR RR .67 .74	0 0 3 17	ERR ERR 10.70	0 0 4 16	ERR ERR 10.69 10.87			
TOTALS	20		20		20		50		20		20		50				
		CW	i hp	5	I HPS	мн		.P5	MER		н	н	1 TI	JN	•		
i SECUNDARY HUES	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FRED IA	VGX	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX			
RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	5 18 0 0	ERR ERR 10.20 10.35	0 0 13 4	ERR ERR 10.10 10.28	0 0 16 3	ERR ERR 0.16 0.37	3 0 3 0	0.07 ERR 0.12 ERR	0 EI 0 EI 14 0 6 0	RR RR .26 .33	0 0 16 3	ERR ERR 0.22 0.30	0 0 13 4	IERR IERR 10.16 10.31			

20

17

19

TOTALS

HIGH		14	11	13	7	12	12	12
NEDIUM		6	9	7	12	8	8	8
LOW		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
TOTA	L5	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-

I TUN

CATURATION	l 						FREQU	E	NCY					1
3410641100	CH	ł	HP5	1	HP5MH	-	LPS	1	HER	l	MH	1	TUN	1
HIGH Medium Low	12 8 0		5 15 9	1	7 13 0		6 11 3		11 9 0		11 8 1	1	4 13 3	
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

17

19

9	2

6

				FREQUE	ENCY		
CULUR MARIE	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	MER	NH I	TUN
REÜ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED DRANGE	0	: 0	0	0	0	0	01
ORANGE REU	0	0	0	0	0	0	01
PINK	0	: 0	I 0	0	0	0	: 0 :
OHANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	6	13	6	20	5	5	10
YELLOW GREEN	14	7	13	0	14	14	91
TAN	0	0	i 0	0	0	0	01
OLIVE	0	0	: 0	0	I 0 1	0	01
GREEN	0	0	1 1	0	1	1	11
BLUE GREEN	0	0	I 0	0	0	0	01
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0:
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BHOWA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1
GRAY	0	0	i 0	0	0	0	01
BLACK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	01
WHITE	0	0	i 0	0	0	0	01
ì'OTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

								FREQU	E	NCY				
		CH	ļ	K₽5	1	IPSMH	1	LPS	ļ	HER		MH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	18 2 0		15 5 0		19 1 0	1	14 6 0		15 5 0		16 4 0	1	16 4 0
-	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

1	SATUDATION						1	FREQU	Æ	NCY					
		CW	1	HPS	18	IPSNH	1	LPS	;	MER	1	HH	ł	TUN	-
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	13 6 1	:	11 8 1	1	10 9 1		18 2 0		12 8 0		14 4 2		9 10 1	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

- !	DOTMODY	1		CW	-	Hi	'S		HP	SMH	!	ι	.P5	1	HE	EH .		1	H	1	TU	N	1
 !-	INES	İF	REQ	14VG%		FREQ	HVGN	; 	÷i£€ij	AVGN	i F	HEQ	14VG%	1	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	
1	RED		0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	÷	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1	0	IL'RR	ł	0	ERR	ì
ł.	BLUE	1	0	LERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	ł.	0	1ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	ł	0	IERR	1
ł.	GREEN	1	2	10.65	ł	1	10.70	1	7	10.62	1	0	ERR	1	3	10.69		2	10.88	1	3	10.60	1
1	YELLOW	ł.	18	10.78	ł	19	10.90	ł	13	10.82	ł	50	10.98	ł	15	10.74	ł	18	10.79	ł	17	10.86	ł
-	TOTALS		50			20			20			20	di can cor nos nor filos		20			20			20		-

-		;		CH	1	HF	95	-	HPS	imi i	1	ι	.PS		H	R		М	H1		TU	N	
	HUES		REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL	F	PEQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREG	AVGL	٢	220	AVGX	
	RED BLUE GREEN		0 0 18	ERR		0 0 51	IERR IERR		0 12	ERR		3 0 2	10.08 ERR 10.05		15 0 0	ERR ERR		0 0 16	IERR IERR 10.24	:	0 0 14	ERR ERR	
1	YELLOW	Ì	2	10.35	i	ĩ	10.30	i	7	10.38	i	Ō	ICRR	İ	8	10.31	i	2	10.13	Í.	3	10.40	
-	TOTALS		20			13			19			5			20			18			17		

				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REU ORANCE	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE RED	0	0	: 0	Ō	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
GOLD	0	0	0		0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	6	4	1 5	1	10	8	: 5
TAN	0	0	; 0	3	0	0	0
OLIVE	0	0	1 0	1 7	0	: 0	I 0
GREEN	13	16	1 15	: 3	10	15	15
BLUE GREEN	1	0	1 0	0	0	: 0	: 0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
GRAY	0	Ō	1 0	2	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	1 0	1 0	0	: 0	1 0
WHITE	: 0	0	1 0	: 0	0	0	1 0
	:		1	1		1	•
		1	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL S	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-								FREQL	E	NCY		•			
1		a	ł	HPS	5	HPSMil	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		8	12		6 14 0		2 10 8		3 17 0		8 12 0		5 15 0	
	TOTALS	1	20	2()	20		20		20		20		20	

1	EATUDATION						1	FREQU	E	NCY					1
1	2HIUMHIIUM	CW	1	HPS	ļ	IPSMH		LPS		HER	1	MH	1	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 8 0		6 11 3	1	8 10 2		0 4 14		7 11 2		12 7 1		12 7 1	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		18		20		20		20	•

	DOTMANY	1		CW	ļ	HP	2	1	HPS	5M64	1	L	PS	1	HE	ER	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
• • •	HUES	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	18	REG	AVGX	-1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		0 0 20 0	ERR ERR 0.82 ERR		0 0 20 0	ERR ERR 10.82 EKR		0 0 20 0	IERR IERR I 0.86 IERR		0 0 7 11	ERR ICRR 10.82 10.86		0 0 18 2	ERR ERR 10.78 10.58		0 0 18 2	ERR ERR 0.79		0 0 20 0	ERR CRR 0.84 ERR	
	TOTALS		20			20			50			18			20			20			20		-

!	SECONDARY	;		CH	;	HP	95	1	HP	SMH	1	l	PS	1	HE	R	1	H	H		τυ	N	
1	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	١٢	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
	RED		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	-	0	IERR		4	10.10		0	I ERR	-	0	ERR		0	EHR	
1	BLUE	1	1	10.10	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1
1	GREEN	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	LEAR	1	6	10.19	ł.	5	10.43	ł	2	10.50	1	0	I CRR	1
1	YELLOW	1	15	10.23	ł	15	10.25	ł	17	10.16	1	6	10.21	1	17	10.24	1	16	10.24	1	16	10.20	1
	TOTALS		16			15			17			16			19			18			16		

COLOD NAME	!			FREQU	ENCY		1
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANGE	: 0	0	: 0 :	0	0	0	0
DRANGE RED	0	0	0	0	0	I 0 1	01
PINK	: 0	0	0	0	: 0	0	01
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0		0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	0	Ō	1	Ō	0
TAN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0:
DLIVE	0	0	: 0 :	0	0	0	01
GREEN	14	4	: 7 :	0	18	10	81
BLUE GREEN	: 5	12	: 13	0	1	10	11
BLUE	: 1	4	1 0	1 1	0	0	11
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GHAY	Ō	0	0	3	0	0	0 1
CLACK	0	0	0	16	0	0	0 1
WITE	0	0	1 0 1	0	0	0	01
	l		1			1 1	1
1			:				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

-									FREGU	JE	NCY				
1	LIGUINESS	-!-	CW	1	HPS	;}-	IPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	ЯΗ	1	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		1 11 8		0 2 18		0 11 9		0 20		0 10 10	0 15 5		1 11 8	
	TOTAL	5	20		20		20		20		20	20		20	•

CATUDATTON	FREQUENCY														
34104041104	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LP5	MER	t MH	TUN								
HIGH MEDIUN LOW	1 17 2	3 14 3	4 15 1	0 0 4	1 14 5	3 14 3	7 11 2								
TOTALS	20	20	20	4	20	20	20								

DDTMADY			CW	-!	HF	5		HPS	imit		L	PS	-!	ME	R		٢	64		TU	N	
HUES	İF	REQ	AVG	ļ	FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGX	j.	REQ	AVG%	i	FREQ	AVG%	ļ	FREQ	AVG%	i	FREQ	AVG%	1
RED		0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR	:	0	ERR	- 1	0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR	
GREEN	1	18	10.55		11	10.73	1	18	10.55	1	0	IERR	1	20	10.89	1	20	10.84	1	15	10.82	1
YELLOW	i	0	ICRR		0			0	IERR		0	IERR		0	IERR		0	IERR			IERR	- 1
TOTALS		50			20			20			1			20			20			20		

-	SECONDADY		CH :			HPS [HPSMH			ι	.PS		ME	R	1	HH			TUN		
	HUES	F	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL	1	REQ	AVGS	ie Ie	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		5 5 0 0	ERR 10.18 10.45 10.08		0 11 8 0	ERR 10.22 10.30 ERR		0 17 2 0	LRR 10.22 10.45 16RR		0 3 0 0	ERR 10.04 ERR ERR		0 8 0 7	EKR 10.14 ERR 10.17		0 16 0 1	CRR 0.20 CRR 0.05		0 13 5 1	ERR 10.20 10.40 10.10	
-	TOTALS		16			19			19			3			15			17			19		•

2

HH

0 17

20

t

0 1

10 1

÷ MH

9 | 12 | 5 |

20

20

TUN

0

20

I TUN

5 | 13 | 2 |

20

4 12 4

20

-1 15 | 5 |

ł

1

ł

00.0				FREQU	ENCY			1			FREQUE									
LULUR NAME	CW	I KP5	HPSNH	LP5	HER	I NH	TUN			י ככבא ו	CW	I HPS	HIPSMH	LPS	I MER					
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		HIGH MEDI LOW	UK	0 14 6	0 7 13	0 13 7	0	0 10 10					
BRANGE	0	0	0	ŏ	0	0	0			TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20					
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN !	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	• • •												
TAN	Ŏ	0	0	0	Ö	0	Ŏ		CATOR					FREQU	JENCY					
GREEN	0		0	0	0	0	0		SRIUR	ALLON I	CW	HP5	HIPSHH	I LPS	HER					
BLUE PURPLE	20 0	20	20	0	12	20	20		HIGH	UH	6 12	4	5 13	0	9 12					
MAGENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i i				i 4	i 2	; 5	i 3					
BROWN	0 0	0	0	3	: 0 1 0	0	0			TOTALS	20	20	20	5	20					
BLACK WHITE	0	0	0	5	0	0	0													
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	•												
	1	CW	144	5	I HPS	ime	; L	.P5	HE	R	ł	H	! TI	JN	-					
HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	IAVG%	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGN	FREQ	IAVG%	FREQ	IAVG%	FREQ	AVG%	-1					
RED BLUE GREEN	0 20 0	ERR 10.99	0 20	IERR 10.98 IERR	0 20	IERR 10.99 IERR	Э 0	10.80 IERR IERR	0 20 0	IERR 10.83 IERR	0 20 0	ERR 10.98 IERR	0 20 0	IERR 10.97 IERR	-; ; ;					
YELLOW	: 0	ERR	: 0	IERR	1 0	TERR	1 0	IERR	0	ERR	0	IERR	: 0	IERR						
TOTALS	20		20		20		Э		20		20		20							
	1	CH	i HF	5	HP:	i ni 1	; L	.PS	HE	R	ľ	н	; T	JN	-					
HUES	FREG	AVGL	FREG	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX	FREG	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG						
RED DLUE GREEN YELLOW	3 0 0	10.07 1EAR 1ERR 1ERR	2020	0.10 LRR 0.10 ERR	2 0 0	10.08 IERR IERR IERR	0 0 0 4	IERR IERR IERR I0.16	16 0 0	0.19 ERR ERR ERR	4 0 1 1	10.08 IERR 10.05 10.05	2 0 4 0	10.05 ERR 10.13 ERR						
TOTAL 5	Э		4		2		4		16		6		6		-					
Table 41A. Results for Sample 27, Retroreflective Blue.

DD: DT: NGME				FREQUE	ENCY		
CULUN INTEL	C₩	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DRANGE RED PINK DRANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GRELN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 19 1	0 0 0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0 20 0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 15 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

I TOUTHEEL					I	REQL	Æ	NCY			
LIGHINC22	CH	i HP9	i	IPSMH	1	LPS		MER	1	MH	TUN
KIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 11 9	17) } 7	0 13 7		0 0 20		0 1 19		0 15 5	1 13 6
TOTALS	20	20)	20		20		20		20	20

EATIONTION .				FREQU	JENCY		
SHIGHITON	CW	1 KPS	HIPSMH	I LPS	HER	MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 8 0	12 8 0	12 7 1	1 2 2 5	8 12 0	11 9 0	12 : 8 : 0 :
TOTALS	20	20	20	11	20	20	20

1	DDTMADY			CW	!	Hé	'S	1	HPS	5MH	1	L	.PS	1	ME	IR .	1	٢	iH	1	TU	N	_
 	HUES		FREQ	AVG%	1-	I'REQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVG%	١٢	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGN	1	FREQ	AVG%	
	RED	1	0	LRR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LRR		0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	LRR	1	0	EKR	
	BLUE	ł.	20	10.99	ł.	20	10.98	1	20	10.99	1	5	11.00	ŧ	20	10.96	i.	20	10.98	ł.	20	10.98	1
1	GREEN	ł.	0	IERR	ł.	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	÷	0	IERR	i.	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	
1	YELLOW	ł	0	IERR	ł.	0	TERR	ł	0	ERR	t	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			5			20			20			20		-

1	SECONDARY	1		CH	1	HP	Ś		HPS	5MiH	1	Ĺ	.P5	1	ME	R	 !	۲	84	!	TU	N	
	HUES	 	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVG	İF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	FRE	q	AVG		FREQ	AVGI	
1	RED	i	3	10.05	1	2	10.10	i.	2	10.08	i	0	IERR	i	6	61.0	i i	2	0.05	i	2	0.05	i
1	BLUE	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	6	10.05	-	0	LERR	1	0	ICRR	ł.	0	I EKR	1
1	GREEN	1	0	I ERR	ł	2	10.08	1	5	10.08	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	2	10.10	ŧ.	Э	10.12	1
ł	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ŝ	0	IERR	:	1	10.10	1	0	IERR	1
	TOTA: S		З			4			4			6		_	6			5			5		

	; ;			FREQU	ENCY		
	CW	I HPS	HIPSMH	LP5	HER	1 MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED DRANGE	: 0	1 0	; 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	0
OHANGE RED	0	0	0	: 0	0	0	1 0
PINK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
URANGL	; 0 :	1 0	0	1 0 1	0	1 O	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0
TAN	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	1 0
OLIVE	I 0	; 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0
GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	20	20	20	14	19	20	: 20
PUKPLE	: 0 :	: 0	0	0	i 1 !	: 0 !	: 0 !
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	. 0	0	0	: 0	0	0	: 0
GRAY	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	1 0
BLACK	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 6	: 0	: 0	: 0
WHITE	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0
	6				ł	1	1
	:	1		1	: 	¦	:
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	1	CW	i Hê	95	HPS	MH	L
PRIMART	EDEO	LAUCE	FDED	LAUCY	FOFO	LAUCH	TOFO

!	LICUTARCE	1							FREQU	E	NCY					
	LIGHTREDD	- ' -	CW	ł	HPS	11	IPSHH	1	LP5	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		7 13 0		0 17 3	:	6 13 1		0 0 20		3 16 1	1	7 13 0	1	8 12 0	
	TOTAL	5	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

;	EATHOATTON !				_		rrequ	E	NCY					1
		CW	ļ	HPS	11	IPSHH	LPS	1	MER	1	HH	1	TUN	
	HICH NEDIUM LOW	12 7 1		13 7 0		11 9 0	0 5 14		8 12 0		15 5 0		12 8 0	
	TOTALS	20		20		20	19		20		20		20	

ł	NO INFO	ł		CW	ł	КP	S	1	HPS	MH	ł	L	.P5	1	ME	R	ł	•	H	ł	TU	N
i -	HUES	;; 	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVGN		FREQ	AVGL	- i · 	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG
	RED	- 1 '	0	CRR		0	ERR		0	ERR		0	IERR	1	0	ERR		0	IERR	-	0	IERR
1	BLUE	1	20	10.98	Ŧ	20	10.98	ł	20	10.97	ł	14	10.99	÷	20	10.97	1	20	10.96	1	20	10.95
1	GREEN	÷	0	I CRR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IEKR	1	0	ILRR	1	0	1ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	I ERR
ł	YELLOW	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	;	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR
	TOTALS		20			20			20			14			20			20			20	

-	SECONDARY			CW		HP	S	;	HPS	SMIH	1	ι	.PS	1	HE	R	ł	1	н	1	π	N	
: : !-	HUES	FI	RE Q	AVG		FREG	AVG	i Fi	REQ	AVG	FRE	9	AVG		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	
1	RED	1	1	0.05 וראט		1	10.10		5	10.05	1	15	10.10	1	7	10.10	1	1	10.05	1	1	10.05	
1	GREEN	i i	4	10.09 IERR		3 0	10.08 IERR		5	10.09 1EAR		0	IERR IERR		0	IERR IERR	i	5 1	10.13	i	7 0	10.13	
-	TOTALS		5			4			7			6			7			7			8		-

	· •			FREEDE	LNLY		
LULUR NHAL	CW	HPS	IIP5MH	LPS	HER	MH	TUN
REU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red orange	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
ORANGE REU	1 0	: 0	1 0	0	0	: 0	0
PINK	: 0	: 0	1 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	0	0	: 0	0
TAN	: 0	i 0	1 0	1 0	0	I 0 1	0
OLIVE	: 0	0	1 0	0	: 0	: 0 :	0
GREEN	1 0	: 0	1 0	0	: 0	: 0	0
BLUE GREEN	1 0	0	1 0	0	: 0	I 0 1	6 0
BLUE	: 20	: 20	: 20	: 5	: 18	20	20
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	: 0	1 0	1 0	0	0	: 0	0
BLACK	1 0	: 0	1 0	13	0	: 0 :	0
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	•	•			•		
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	INTRAC	¦					Ì	F REQL	JE	NCY				
:	C10010622	CH	1	HPS	H	IPSMH	-	LP5	-	MER	1	MH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 2 18		0 1 19		0 2 18		0 0 20		0 0 20		0 2 18		0 3 17
-	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

				FREQU	JENCY			
	CH	HPS	HPSHH	I LPS	HER	I MH	;	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 7 1	10 7 3	12 6 2	0 4 8	10)	12 6 2
TOTALS	20	20	20	12	20) 20)	20

1	DATHADY			CW	;	Hi	25	1	HPS	HH	1	i	.95	1	ME	ER	1	ł	H	1	τυ	N	
; ; ;	HUES	11	REQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVG%	I	HEQ	AVGN	i F	REQ	AVG	1	I'REQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVC%		FREQ	AVG	1
ļ	RE0	i	0	IERR	ì	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	÷	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR		0	ERR	
:	BLUE	ł.	20	10.99	ł.	20	10.99	ł.	20	10.99	1	5	11.00	ł	20	10.96	1	20	10.98	1	20	11.00	ł
L	GREEN	ł.	0	ILRR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	TERR	1	0	LERR	ł	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	1
:	YELLOW	ł	0	HERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	1ERR	ł	0	LERR	ł.	0	ERR	ł	0	I ERR	ł	0	IEAR	ł
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			5			20			20			20		•

:	ECCOND. DV	1		CW	1	HP	5	1	HPS	ixhi	1	L	.95		HE	R		ł	iH	ļ	TU	N	
!_	HUES		req	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVGL	F	a:0	AVGL	F	REQ	AVGN		FREQ	AVG%	FF	20	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	 	4 0 0	10.06 IERK IERR IERR		2 0 1 0	10.08 ERR 10.05 ERR		2020	10.08 10.08 10.08 10.08		0 7 0 0	ERR 10.05 ERR ERR		6 0 0	IO.13 IERR IERR IERR		4 0 1 0	10.09 1ERR 10.10	;	1 0 0	IO.10 ERR ERR	
-					_									-	 6			5			1		-

COLOD NAME	1			FREQU	ENCY			!		
CULUK NHINE	CW	I HPS	HIPSHH	LPS	MER	I HH	I TUN		i Liulii ¦	14233
RED RED ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		HIGH MEDI	UH
DRANGE REU			: 0	0			1 0	-	I LOW	
ORANGE		0	0	Ŏ	0	0	ŏ			TOTALS
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
YELLOW CREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•		
TAN		Ö	0	0	0		1 0	1	:	
OLIVE	1 0	1 0	1 0	0	0	0	1 0	İ.	SATUR	ATION
GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
BLUE GREEN	1 20	0	1 20	1 0	0	1 0	1 0	1		
	1 20	1 20	1 20	1 0	1 20	1 20	1 20	1 1	i rilgh ! HEnt	i ESML i
			i				i	1	LOW	
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		TOTALS
BROWN	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1		TUTHES
GRAY	0	0	1 0	: 13	0	1 0	1 0	1		
BLACK	0	: 0	1 0	1 2	0	0	0	l.		
WHITE	i 0	1 0	1 0	: 0	0	0	: 0			
	i	:	-	1	:	1	1			
TOTAL 5	20	20	20	20	20	20	20			
DDTHADY	:	CW	t tip	S	HPS	HH	¦ L	PS	i ME	ir.
HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVGX
RED	0	LERR	0	IERR	0	ERR	4	10.66	0	ERR
BLUE	20	10.99	20	10.99	: 20	10.99	1 0	IERR	20	10.99
GREEN	0	ERR	1 0	ERR	0	IERR	0	IERR	0	ERR
TELLOW	: 0	TERR	: 0	IERR	: 0	ERR	1 1	10.50	1 0	TERR
TOTALS	20		20		20		5		20	
	1	CW	I HP	5	: HPS	181	: L	PS	I HE	R
SECONDARY									!	

-		1						1	FREQU	E	NCY			Ť		
1	LIGHNESS		34	1	HPS	11	IPSHH	1	LPS		MER	!	MH	1	TUN	
1	HIGH Medium Low	:	4 15 1		2 14 4		3 14 3		0 4 16		2 17 1		6 14 0		6 13 1	
	TOTALS		20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

						I	FREQU	E	NCY				
2410641106	CW	1	HPS	1)	#PSMH	ł	LPS	1	MER	1	HH	1	TUN
HIGH MEDIUK LOW	7 12 1		5 13 2	1	8 12 0	1	0 2 5		3 15 2		7 13 0	1	7 12 1
TOTALS	20		20		20		7		20		20		20

	DDTMADY	1		CW	!	HP	S	1	HPS	HH		L	.PS	1	ME	R	1	•	H		TU	Ň
	HUES	11	FREQ	AVGL	F	REQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVGL	IF	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL
	RED BLUE	1	0 20	ERR 10.99		0 20	ERR 10.99	1	20 0	ERR 10.99	1	4	10.66 IERR	1	0 20	ERR 10.99	1	0 20	ERR 10.99	1	0 20	ERR 10.96
	GREEN YELLOW	-	0 0	i Err I Err	1	0 0	IERR IERR	:	0 0	IERR IERR	;	0 1	1ERR 10.50	1	0 0	I ERR	;	0 0	IERR IERR	1	0 0	IERR IERR
	TOTALS		20			20			20			5			20			20			20	

-	FECONDAUX	1		CW	1	HP	5	-	HP	5141	1	L	.PS		1	HE	R		ł	H	1	TU	N	- 1
	HUES		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGL	F	REQ	AVGL		FREQ	IA	VGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGI		FREQ	AVG%	
1	RED		0	ERR	-	1	0.10		2	10.08	-	1	10	.50		2	10.08	1	0	ERR		1	0.05	
1	DLUE	÷	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	1	:0	.50	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	-	0	IERR	1
1	GREEN	ł.	2	10.10	÷.	1	10.05	1	0	IERR	Ŧ	0	IE	RR	1	0	ERR	1	4	10.05	1	7	10.11	1
1	YELLOW	1	Ō	ERR	ł	Ō	IERR	ł	Ō	I EAR	1	Э	10	. 28	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	Ó	IERR	ł
-	TOTALS		2			2			2			5				2			4			8		

	1			LUTU	ENCY			•
	CW	HP5	HIPSMH	LPS	HER	I MH	TUN	
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I RED URANGE	i 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	1 0	: 0	1 0	
DINK	: 0	: 0	: 0	. 0		. 0	1 0	1
ORANGE	0	0	0	Ö	0	1	: 0	1
GOLD	0	0	0	 0	0	0	0	1
	. 0	1 0		1 0	1	1	1 0	1
YELLOW GREEN	i õ	i õ	iõ	: 0	iŏ	. 0	: 0	1
TAN	0	iõ	0	iõ	iõ	: 0	: 0	1
OLIVE	0	1 0	1 0	0	0	0	1 0	i -
GREEN	: 0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	; 0	1
BLUE GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	: 0	1 2	1
	20	20	1 20	: 4	18	19	18	1
PURPLE	i U 1		1 0	i 0	; c	i 0 !	i 0 1	
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
BROWN	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GRAY	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	1
BLACK	1 0	1 0	: 0	1 16	: 0	: 0	1 0	1
WHITE	0	0	0	: 0	1 0	0	: 0	1
	i ;	1	i !	i 1	i I	i l	i 	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
		CW	I HP	 S	I HPS	 MH	: L	.PS
PRIMARY HUES	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	IAVG%	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVGX
RED.		: rpp	0	1	0		0	
BLUE	20	10.99	1 19	10.99	20	10.97	4	1.00
GREEN	0	ERR	1 0	ERR	: 0	ERR	: 0	LERR
YELLOW	0	ERR	: 0	IERR	1 0	ERR	0	ERR
TOTALS	20		19		20		4	
CC0040404	:	CH	HP	S	I HPS	н	ι L	ρs
HIES	15050	AHCY	1 5050	IAHCH	10000	LAUCY	10000	10001

I TOUTWEED	ł							FREQU	E	NCY			
LIGHIRC33		C₩	ł	HPS	11	HP SMH	1	LPS	1	MER	 HH	1	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		2 8 10		0 4 16		1 5 14		0 0 20		0 0 20	1 7 12		0 8 12
TOTA	LS	20		20		20		20		20	20		20

:						1	FREQU	JE	NCY					
1	SHICKHILOR	CW	HP5	11	IPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	ł	TUN	-
	LOM WEDINW HICH	9 9 2	13 5 2		11 9 0		0 1 7		6 11 3		11 9 0	:	10 9 1	
•	TOTALS	20	20		20		8		20		20		20	

DO THA DV	ŀ		CW	1	HP	5	ł	HPS	SMH	ł	L	.P5	1	MER		1	뛹	:	TU	N	1
HUES	:- :r	REQ	AVGX	- ;	FREQ	IAVG%	11	REQ	AVGX		REQ	AVGX		FREQ IA	VGX	FREQ	AVG	;- -	FREQ	AVGS	
RED BLUE GREEN	1	0 20 0	ERR 10.99		0 19 0	EKR 10.99	1	0 20 0	ERR	:	0 4 0	ERR		0 L 20 10	.RR .94	1 19 0	10.50 10.98	«	0 20	ERR 10.96	
YELLOW	i	ŏ	ERR	i	ŏ	ERR	i	ŏ	ERR	i	Ő	ERR	i	0 IE	RR	Ő	ERR	1	ŏ	ERR	i
TOTALS		20			19			20			4			20		20			20		

:	SECONDADY	1		CW	!	HP	os		HPS	571H	1	ι	.ρ5	1	NE	R	:	1	H		ťU	N	
:	HUES	١F	REQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVGN	i R	29	AVG%	IFI	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	FR	9	AVG	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	FREQ	AVG	,
	RED DLUE GREEN		200	10.08 1 CRR 1 ERR		5 1 1	10.10 ICKR 10.05		205	10.10 ERR 10.08	-, 	0 5 0	IERR 10.05 IERR		9 0 0	10.13 ICRR IERR		302	0.07 ERR 0.05		2 0 3	10.10 ERR 10.18)
-		; 	0 2			3	TERR	;	0	TERR	i 	0 	ILRR		0 	ILRR	; 	1	10.50		5	IERR	-

1

1 MH

7 12 1

20

1 MH

2 | 15 | 3 |

20

0 15 5

20

4 13 3

20

T TUN

20

: TUN

20

ļ	COLOD N. 45				FREQUE	ENCY			1						FREQU	ENCT
	CULUR RHITC	CH	HPS	HPSHH	LPS	HER	I NH	TUN			112.33	Chi	I HPS	HPSHH	: LPS	I MER
	RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED DINK	0 0 0	0	0	0	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		HIGH MEDI LOW	UH	8 11 1	9 11 0	5 14 1	2 13 5	1
	ORANGE	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ō			TOTALS	20	20	20	50	50
	GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ļ							
	YELLOW Yellow Green Tan	0 0 0	0	0	: 2 : 0 : 0	0	0	0							FREQU	ENCY
	OLIVE GREEN	0	: 0 : 0	: 0 : 0	: 5 : 1	0	: 0 : 0	1 0 1 0	1	i satuf	ATION :	CH	I HPS	IHPSMH	I LPS	1 HER
	BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 20	0 0 19	0 0	0 . 0 . 0	0 0 20	0 0 20	0 0 15		HIGH MEDI	i WH	2 15	2	1 15 4	0	1
	MAGENTA	0	1	0	0	0	0	4				20	20		1.4	
	BROWN GRAY DLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	5 7 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0			IUINES	20	20	20	•7	20
Ì		1	İ	1	i		İ	i 	Î.							
	TOTALS	50	50	20	20	20	20	20								
1			CH	I HP	5	HPS	141	. L	PS	i ME	R	H	H	i TU	N	•
	HUES	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGX	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	
	RED DLUE GREEN YELLOW	10 10 0	10.55 10.66 1ERR 1EKR	11 9 0	0.61 0.59 ERR ERR	7 13 0	0.67 0.59 ERR ERR	4 0 6 3	0.76 ERR 0.85 0.67	4 16 0	0.50 0.65 ERR ERR	8 12 0 0	0.54 0.60 ERR ERR	16 4 0	0.75 0.60 ERR ERR	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		13		20		20		20		•
1	SECONDARY	¦	CH	t HP	5	HP5	1 11	: L	.PS	i He	R	M	H	; TL	N	
	HUES	FREQ	AVCL	FREG	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGL	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVGS	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW	10 10 0	10.34 10.45 1ERR 1ERR	9 11 0	10.41 10.39 1ERR 1ERR	13 7 0	10.41 10.33 1ERR 1ERR	1 0 3 10	0.40 ERR 0.22	16 4 0	10.35 10.50 ERR 1ERR	12 8 0 0	10.40 10.46 1CRR 1ERR	4 16 0	10.40 10.25 1ERR 1ERR	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		14		20		20		20		

29

				FREGUE	ENCY		
CULUR MAINE	CW	HPS	HPSMI	LPS	MER	1911	TUN
RED	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
RED ORANGE	0	2	4	0	0	2	4
ORANGE RED	0	4	3	0	0	4	0
PINK	15	9	13	0	17	13	12
ORANGE	0	5	. 0	20	0	0	Э
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DLIVE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE GREEN	: 0 :	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	. 0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	4	0	0	0	1	1	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1								1	FREQU	E	NCY					
	L10/11/42.33	-!-	CW	;	HPS	:H	IPSMH	1	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN	1
1 1 1	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		11 9 0		11 8 1		12 7 1		9 11 0		7 13 0		10 10 0		9 11 0	
	TOTAL	ς	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

1	ATHOATTON						۱	FREQU	E	NCY				
1 24		CW	8	HPS	11	IPSHH		LPS	1	HER	1	MH	ł	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 8 0		9 7 4	:	7 11 2	1	5 14 1		13 7 0	1 1	i4 6 0		6 12 2
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

	DUTHADY	1		CW			IPS	1	HPS	SHEH	1	L	PS	1	ME	R		ł	9H	1	TU	N	
	HUES	IF	REQ	AVG	1	FRE	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGS	1	FREQ	IAVG%		FREQ	AVGS	1	FRED	AVG%		FREQ	AVGI	
	RED	1	19	10.9	1	19	0.79	- 1 -	20	0.89	1	6	10.58	1	20	10.91	1	20	10.86	1	20	10.83	-
	BLUE	ł.	1	10.7	0	: (ICRR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	-1	0	1ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	I ERR	
	GREEN	ł.	0	IERR		: (IERR	8	0	IERR	ł	0	LERR	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	
1	YELLOW	ł	0	IERR	2	1 1	10.70	ł	0	ICRR	ł	14	10.67	1	0	ICRR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ICRR	
	TOTALS		20			2()		20			20			20			20			20		

:	SCONDADY	1		CW	:	HP	95	1	HPS	imh	1	L	.PS	1	ME	R	1	H	H	1	TU	N	
-	HUES	FR	EQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVC	11	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGN		FREQ	AVG%	
1	REU	1	1	0.30	-	1	0.30	1	0	ERR	1	14	10.33	i	0	CRR	i	0	ERR	į	0	ERR	
:	GREEN	i . ;	0	ICRR	i 	0	IERR	i ¦	0	ICRR	Ì	0	LERR	i	12	IERR	i	ó	IERR	i	4	IERR	
۱	YELLOW	1	5	10.18		15	10.24	1	11	10.15	1	6	10.42	1	4	10.10	1	12	10.18	1	15	10.19	-
	TOTALS		14			17			17			20			16			19			19		

				FREGUE	ENCY		
	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MiH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 13 0	0 0 0 19 0	0 0 17 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 12 0	0 0 0 16 0	0 0 1 18 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
MAGENTA	4	0	2	0	4	4	1
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1		1						FREQU	E	NCY	-		
:	LIGHTRESS	- -	CW	1	HPS	1H	PSHit	 LPS	1	HER	MH	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	1	13 7 0		18 2 0		18 2 0	11 9 0		11 9 0	 16 4 0		14 6 0
	TOTA	5	20		20		20	20		20	20		20

!							1	FREQL	E	NCY					
: : :_	SHIUKHIIUR	CW	ł	HPS	1	HPSNIH	ļ	LPS	1	MER	ł	MH	1	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	12 8 0		7 10 3		9 10 1		11 8 1		12 8 0		15 2 3		9 9 2	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20	-	20		20		20	'

1	VONTEO	1		CW	ł	H	5	HP	SMR		L	.PS	ł	HE	R	1	1	911	ł	τι	N	_
1 1 1	HUES	FI	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVGL	
!` !	RED BLUE		19	0.86	1	19	10.94	20	10.92		0	IERR		20	10.87	1	20	10.88	1	20	10.92	
	GREEN YELLOW		0 0	IERR IERR	1	Ō	IERR IERR	0	I ERR	i	0 20	IERR 10.91		0	I ERR	1	0 0	IERR	İ	0	I ERR	
			20			20		 20			20		-	20		-	20			20		•

1	CECONDADY	1		CW	ł	HP	5	ţ	HPS	5MH	1	L	.PS	-	HE	R	;	ŀ	H	1	TU	N	
	HUES		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVCL	
1	RED BLUE		1	0.20		1	0.10		0 13	ERR		12	10.13 ERR		0	IERR		0 13	ERR		0	ICRR	
1	GREEN YELLOW	1	0	I ERR	1	0 5	IERR 10.12		0	IERR 10.10		3	10.08 ERR		0	I ERR	1	0	IERR 10.10	1	0 3	ERR 0.15	
-	TOTALS		15			12			14			15			18			15			12		•

	:			FREQU	ENCY		
	CH	HP5	HP5 MH	LPS	MER	i MH	TUN
RED	1	1	1	1	0	Э	3
RED UHANDE	: 0		1 0	: 2	0	0	3
I DRANGE KEU	i U	1 3	i U		i U	. 0	i U i
	1 10	14	1 13	i U		i 9	10
URHINGE	: •	: 0		1 12			i 1 i
COLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0
TAN	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0
OLIVE	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
GREEN	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	1	0
BLUE GREEN	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0	: 0 :
BLUE	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	: 0 :
PURPLE	: 0	0	0	0	2	1	0
MAGENTA	4	1	6	0	7	6	3
RDCILIM					0	i • •	
CUAY				Ň	Ň	. 0	
DI ACK	i õ	0	. 0	. 0	ő	. 0	. 0 .
HITTE	i õ	i õ	. 0	. 0	Ő		
					Ŭ		
			1	1		1	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

ļ								FREQU	E	NCY				
	LIGHINCOD	CM	-	HPS	¦Η	P5MH	ł	LPS	1	M⊾R	!	МΗ	1	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	10 10 0		5 15 0		8 12 0		Э 17 0		6 14 0		8 12 0		7 13 0
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20

1	EATHOATTON				F	REQU	ENCY				
	SHIDBHITON	CW	HP5	HPSMI	ļ	LP5	MER	11	MH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH NEDIUM LOW	16 3 1	9	14 6 0		4 16 0	16 4 0		17 3 0		15 5 0
•	TOTALS	20	20	20		20	20		20		20

Į.	DOTHALY			CH	!	HP	5	1	HPS	imi i	;	L	.P5	1	MER	1	!	M	H	:	TU	N	ł
: :-	HUES	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	15	REQ	AVGX	18	REQ	AVGX	-+ 	FREQ	AVG%	- !-	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	-
i.	RED	i.	19		i.	20	10.87	i.	20	10.89	i.	17	10.69	i	20 1	0.89	i.	19	0.87	i.	20	10.85	÷
ł.	BLUE	ł.	1	10.90	ł.	0	ERR	Ł	0	I ERR	ł.	0	ERR	ł	0 :	ERR	ł.	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	ł
1	GREEN	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0 :	ERR	1	1	10.70	1	0	IERR	1
ł	YELLOW	ł	0	IERR	:	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	ł	Э	10.60	ł	0:	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	ICRR	ł
-	TOTAL 5		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		•
-		;		CH	1	HP	5	1	HPS	MH	;	L	.PS	;	MER	2	1	Н	H		TU	N	

i .	C C C C NIDA DV	i.					-	i.			i.	_		i.			i			1			1
i 	HUE5	i - i F	REQ	AVGL	F	REQ	AVG%	E	HEQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVC%	-1-	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG%	
i -		1			· i		;	1-						- i :			- 1		.!	· i ·		1	ſŧ,
1	REO	1	1	10.10	1	0	IERR .	1	0	IERR	1	3	10.40		0	I CRR		0	ICAR	1	0	IERR	1
ł.	BLUE	t.	- 14	10.15	1	7	10.10	1	16	10.14	ł	0	ERR	ł	16	10.14	ł	14	10.17	ł	9	10.18	ł
ł.	GREEN	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	I E KR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	LEKR	1	0	LERR	1
;	YELLOW	ł	0	ERR	ŧ.	8	10.24	1	0	IERR	ł	17	10.31	1	0	ERR	1	5	10.08	1	9	10.16	ł
	TOTALS		15			15			16			20			16			19			18		

COLOD NAME				FREQUE	ENCY		
	CN	HP5	HPSMH	LP5	MER	MH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 8 0	1 1 0 12 0	0 0 9 0	2 2 1 0 8	0 0 7 0	0 0 11 0	3 1 0 8 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 3	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 2
MAGENTA	9	4	7		8	6	6
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

							FREQU	E	NCY					-
LIGHNESS	CW	1	HPS	1	IP5MH	l	LPS		MER	1	ИН	1	TUN	
HICH MEDIUM LOW	9 11 0		5 15 0		7 13 0		0 15 5		Э 17 0		4 16 0		5 15 0	
TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20		20		20	

1	CATUDATION							FREQU	E	NCY					
1	SHICKHITCH	CW	!	HP5	į,	IPSMH	1	LP5	1	HER	ł	MH	1	TUN	
1	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	15 5 0	 	10 7 Э	:	13 7 0	1	4 10 6		16 4 0		15 5 0		12 8 0	
	TOTALS	20		20		20		20		20	-	20		20	

;	DDIHADY	1		CW	;	HF	NS .	1	HPS	SMH	-	L	.P5	:	HE	ER .	ł)	n	1	TL	N	
 	HUES	11	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	ÌF	HEQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	
-	RED		20	0.83	1	20	10.85	1	20	10.81		20	10.79	1	18	10.79	1	20	10.81	1	20	10.88	
ł.	BLUE	ł.	0	IERR	ł.	0	IERR	ł.	0	1ERR	-	0	I ERR	1	2	10.75	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	
I.	GREEN	÷.	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	I ERR	
1	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
	TOTALS		20			20			20			20			20			20			20		-

	SECONIDADY	1		CH	1	HP	5	1	HPS	ini i	1	L	.P5	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	τυ	N	1
	HUES		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG	F	REQ	AVGL	- 1 - 1 - 1	FREQ	AVG		FREG	IAVG%	- 1-	FREQ	AVGX	-	FREQ	AVGL	i
i	RED	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	2	10.25	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1
1	BLUE	ł	19	10.18	ł.	15	10.20	1	17	10.23	1	1	10.10	-1	18	10.21	1	19	10.20	1	16	10.15	1
1	GREEN	ł	0	ERR	Ł	0	ERR	1	0	1 ERR	1	0	LERR	-	0	:ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1
ł	YELLOW	-	0	IERR	ł	1	10.05	ł	0	ERR	1	18	10.23	-	0	IERR	\$	0	IERR	1	1	10.05	1
	TOTALS		19			16			17			19			20			19			17		

CO. OD :10-				I REQUE	ENCY		
LULUR MARIC	CW	HPS	нряжн	LPS	MER	MH	TUN
RED	C	0	0	0	0	0	1
RED ORANGE	0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
ORANGE RED	0	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
PINK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	0	1 0	: 0 :	0	0	0
TAK	0	1	: Э	1 6	1 1	: 2	0
OLIVE	0	7	: 2	6	17	0	0
GREEN	0	0	1 0	1 2	1	: 0	1 0
BLUE GREEN	0	0	: 0	1 0	0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	1 0	0	: 0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0		0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	20	11	15	2	11	18	19
GRAY	0	0	1 0	: 2	0	: 0	0
BLACK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	1 0	: 0 :	0	0	0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	50	20

				FREQU	JENCY				
	CW	i HPS	HPSHK	LPS	HER		НΗ	;	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 Э 17	0 7 13	0 5 15	Э 11 6	0 7 13	;	0 4 16		0 Э 17
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20		20		20
EATUDATION				FREQ	JENCY				
SATURATION	CW	I HPS	1KPSHH	FREQ	JENCY	1	МН		TUN
SATURATION HIGH MEDIUM LOW	CW 0 13 7	HP5 	HPSMH 1 10 9	FREQ LP5 0 5	JENCY MER 0 6		HH 1 9 10		TUN 0 9 11

		1		CW	;	HF	9 5	1	HPS	SMH	1	L	.PS	;	ER	1	P	H	1	TU	N	
 	HUES	F	REQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVG	Г	REQ	AVG%	IF	REQ	AVG%	FREG	AVG		TREQ	AVG		FREQ	IAVG%	_
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		12 0 2 6	0.75 ERR 0.65 0.71		8 0 7 5	10.79 ERR 10.70 10.74		11 0 3 6	10.79 ERR 10.73 10.73		4 0 7 7	0.84 ERR 0.87 0.82	6 0 1 8 6	0.93 ERR 0.86		14 0 2 4	0.76 ERR 0.60		15 0 0 5	0.79 ERR ERR 0.68	
-	TOTALS		20			20			20			18		20			20			20		-

ŗ	SECONDADY	-		CW	1	HF	5		HPS	imit .	1	ί	.P5	1	HE	R	1	4	81	1	าบ	N	
	HUES	15	REQ	AVG%	i.	FREQ	AVG	İF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	ļ	FREQ	IAVG%	
i	RED	i	4	0.24	ł	Э	10.33	Ì	6	0.27	i	2	0.30	i	4	0.20	i	4	0.31	i	5	0.32	
Į.	BLUE	÷.	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	÷	0	IERR	÷	0	IERR	÷	0	IERR	÷	0	TERR	÷	0	ILRR	į
i		i	4	10.45	ł	14	10.20	į	4	10.33	i	11	10.12	i	10	10.25	1	12	10.26		13	10.50	
'-	I CLEON			10.24	_		10.23						10.14	_		10.10	_			_			- '
	TOTALS		19			20			19			19			18			20			19		

	 			FREQUE	ENCY		
COLOR MAKE	CH	HP5	HPSHH	LPS	HER	MH	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
RED DRANGE	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0	0
DRANGE RED	0	: 0	; 0	: 0	0	0	0
PINK	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0 :	0	0
DRANGE	0	: 0	: 0	0	0	1	0
		1	1	1		: :	
GOLD	0	: 0	1 0	: 0	0	0	0
		1	1		l :	1	
YELLOW	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0	0
TAN	: 0	1	: 0	: 4	0	1	0
OLIVE	0	6	: 2	8	5	1 1	0
GRELN	i 0 .	: 0	: 0	: 2	1 1	0	0
BLUE GREEN	0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	0
DLUE	0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0 :	: 0	0
PURPLE	0	: 0	1 0	0	. 0 :	: 0 1	0
		•	1	•			
MAGENTA	0	: 0	: 0	I 0	0	0	0
		1					
BROWN	20	13	18	2	14	16	20
GRAY	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
BLACK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		i	i	i		i	
TOTALS	20	20	50	20	20	20	50

		1						1	FREQL	Æ	NCY	_				
1	CIGNINESS	1	CW	ļ	HPS	11	-IPSHH	1	LPS	;	MER	;	MH	;	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW		0 4 16		1 7 12		1 5 14		1 12 7	1	0 3 17		0 4 16		0 6 14	
	TOTALS	;	50		20		20		20		20		20		20	•

						1	FREQL	E	NCY				
2410641106	CW	1	HPS	H	ipshh		LPS	1	MER	1	MH	1	TUN
HIGK MEDIUM LOW	1 11 8	1	0 10 10	1	0 10 10	1	0 3 14		1 8 11		1 12 7		1 9 10
TOTALS	20		20		20		17		20		20		20

	DDTMADY	1		CW		HF	5	1	HPS	SHH	<u> </u>	Ł	.PS	;	HE	R	1	ł	H	τι	N	
	HUES	IF	REQ	HAVG		FHEQ	AVG	IFF	EQ	AVG	FRE	Q	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	 FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE		10 0	0.79 ERR		10 0	0.80 ERR		9	0.79 ERR		3 0	0.77 ERR	1	5 0	0.95 ERR		12 0	10.78 ERR	16 0	10.78 IERR	
 _	GREEN YELLOW	1	8	10.75 10.60	1	6	10.71 10.78	:	2 9	10.80 10.68	 	7 6	10.88 10.79	1	8 7	10.84 10.74	;	2 6	10.73	0 4	ERR	

TOTALS

-	E CONDADY	1		CW	1	HF	×5	-	HP:	5mH	1	l	.PS		HE	R	1	ł	81	1	าบ	N	
	HUES	i F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	16	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	-	FREG	AVGN		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	
	RED BLUE GREEN YELLOW		8 0 3 8	0.36 ERR 0.50		2 0 2 14	0.30 ERR 0.45		9 0 2 7	0.34 LRR 10.35 10.12		2 0 4 9	0.35 ERR 0.16		7 0 3 9	0.21 ERR 0.37		5 0 3 12	10.34 1CKR 10.43 10.20		3 0 2 14	0.27 ERR 0.25	
-	TOTALS		19			18			, 18			15			19			50			19		-

COLOU NOME	1			FREQUE	ENCY		
	Сы	HPS	HPSHH	LPS	MER	削	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN DLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN GRAY DLACK WHITE	0 0 20	0 0 20	0 0 20	0 0 0	0 1 0 19	0 1 0 19	0 0 20
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

;						1	FREQU	Æ	NCY				
: : :_	CTONINE22	CH	 HPS	-	IP5Kii	1	LPS	1	MER	!	НΗ	 TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	20 0 0	20 0 0	:	20 0 0		15 5 0		20 0 0		20 0 0	20 0 0	
	TOTALS	20	20		20		20		20		20	20	

-							۱	FREQU	JE	NCY					-
1	3410641106	C₩	1	HPS	11	ipsmh		LPS	1	MER	!	HH	ł	TUN	
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 0 0		0 0 0	;	0 0 0		20 0 0		0 0 0		0 0 1		0 0 0	
	TOTALS	0		0		0		20		0		1		0	

-	DDIMADY	1		CH		HF	95	1	HPS	ink l		L	.P5	1	HE	R	1	ł	H		TU	N	
:	HUES	1	FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	IF	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGX	-1-	FREQ	AVG	
i.	RED	ł	0	ERR	i	0	ERR		0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1
ł.	BLUE	÷	0	LERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	1ERR	1	0	1EAR	\$	0	I ERR	1	0	ERR	
ł.	GREEN	ł.	0	1FBB	ł	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	1ERR	ł	0	ERR	1
ł	YELLOW	ł	0	I EKR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	20	10.97	1	0	IERR	ł	0	ICRR	1	0	ERR	
-	TOTALS		0			0			0			20			0			0			0		-

	SECONDADY	1		СЫ	ł	HP	5	1	HPS	SMH	1	ι	.PS		ME	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
-	IUES	יי 	REQ	AVC%	1	FREQ	AVG%	IFI	ÆQ	AVG%	-!	FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVGX	1	FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	AVG%	-
i.	RED	÷	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	ł.	0	ERR	ł	4	10.08	i	0	ERR	i	1	10.05	i	0	ERR	
1	BLUE	ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR		0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1
1	GREEN	ł.	0	I ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	0	ERR	-1	2	10.18	÷	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	ERR	1
ł	YELLOW	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	;	0	TERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	TERR	1	0	IERR	ł
-	TOTALS		0			0			0			6			0			1			0		-

COLOS NAME				FREQUE	ENCY		
CUCUR NAME	CW	HPS	HPSHE	LP5	MER	HN	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED ORANCE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
DRANGE RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PINK	: 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	0	13	0	0	0
YELLOW GREEN	0	Ō	0	2	0	0	Ō
TAN	0	Ī	0	Ō	0	Ō	0
OLIVE	0	0	1 0	: 3	0	0	0
GREEN	0	0	1 0	I I	0	0	0
BLUE GREEN	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
BLUE	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
PURPLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN		0	0	0	0	0	0
GRAY	12	13	15	Ō	15	17	16
BLACK	0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
WHITE	8	5	1 4	0	5	3	4
			8 9 9				
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1	LICUTNEES				F	REQL	E	NCY		
1	LIGHTRESS	CH	HPS	HPSMH	ł	LPS	1	MER	Mit	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 18 18	15 5 0	16 4 0		5 15 0		15 5 0	15 5 0	15 5 0
	TOTALS	20	20	20		20		20	20	20

EATUDATTON	I			FREG	UE	NCY		
SHICKHITOK	CW	HP5	HPSMH	LPS	1	MER	MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUH LON	1 0 2	0	0 0 1	6		0 0 1	001	0 0 1
TOTALS	З	Э	1	50		1	1	1

1		1		CW	1	HP	5	-	HP	5MH	1	L	.P5		HE	IR	1	ł	H	1	τυ	N	
	HUES		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGX	F	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGI		FREQ	AVG	
1	RED	ł	0	ERR	ľ	1	10.60		0	ERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
1	CLUE	ł	0	IEAR	Ł	0	IERR	1	1	10.60		0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	
ţ.	GREEN	Ł	0	ERR	Ł	0	ERR	1	0	ERR		2	10.75		0	ERR	÷.	0	ERR	-	0	IERR	
1	YELLOW	ł	0	TERR	1	1	10.80	ł	0	IERR	1	18	10.94	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
	TOTALS		0			2			1			20			0			0			0		

;	SECOND YOY	ł		CW	1	łiP	5	1	HPS	5MH	;	ι	.PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
:-	HUES		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL		EQ	AVGX	ITR	EQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL	:	FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVG%	
ł.	RED	i	0	ERR	i	1	10.05	i.	1	10.40	i.	З	80.01	i	0	IERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	
8	BLUE	1	2	10.03	÷	1	10.40	1	0	1ERR	1	0	IERR	ł	1	10.10	1	1	10.10	ł.	0	IERR	-
1	GREEN	÷	0	I EKR	÷	1	10.20	1	0	I ERR	1	8	10.09	1	0	ERR	ł	0	ERR	ł	0	I EKR	
1	YELLOW	-	0	IERR	ţ	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	5	10.25	ł	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	-	1	10.05	1
-	TOTALS		5			Э			1			13			1			1			1		

	1			FREQUE	ENCY		
ULLUK NAME	CW	HPS	HPSMH	LPS	MER	MI	TUN
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED DRANGE	0	0	1 0	0	0	: 0	I 0 1
ORANGE RED	1 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	: 0 :
PINK	I 0 :	0	1 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0 :
ORANGE	1 0	0	0	0	0	6 0	0
COLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW	0	0	: 0	20	0	0	
YELLOW GREEN	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0
TAN	: 0	0	: 0	0	0	0	0
DLIVE	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0
GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	: 0	0	: 0	1 0 1
BLUE GREEN	: 0	0	1 0	0	: 0	1 0	0
BLUE	1 0	0	: 0	0	0	1 0	0
PURPLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GRAY	1 1	1	: 0	: 0	1	0	0
DLACK	: 0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0	: 0 :
UHITE	1 19	19	: 20	0	19	20	20 1
			1			t 6 4	
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1	LICHTNEES				F	REQU	ENCY				
1	LIGHTALSS	CW	HP5	HPSHH	ļ	LPS	MER	I MH	ļ	TUN	-
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	20 0 0	20 0	20 0		19 7 0	19 1 0	20 0 0	1	20 0 0	
	TOTALS	20	20	20		20	20	20		20	

1	CATHOATTON						1	REQL	E	NCY				1
	SHIOKHIIDR	CW	1	HPS	ļŀ	IPSMH	ļ	LPS	1	MER	1	MH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0		1 0 2	- ; ~	0 0 0		14 6 0		0 0 0		0 0 0		0
	TOTALS	0	-	Э		0		20		0	-	0		1

1	DDTMADY	1		CH		HF	s		HPS	5MH	1	Ĺ	.PS	1	ME	R	1	M	Η	1	TU	н	1
 -	HUES	FI	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	F	EQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVGL	1
i	RED	i.	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i.	0	ERR		0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i
4	BLUE	1	0	I ERR	!	0	ERR	1	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IEAR	1	0	ICRR	1	0	ERR	1
1	GREEN	1	0	IERR	5	0	1 ERR	1	0	IERR	ŧ	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ŧ.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1
1	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	;	0	IERR	t	0	IERR	1	20	:0.98	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	ERR	1	0	CRR	ł
-	TOTAL S		0			0			0			20			0			0			0		

	SECONDADY	1		CH	!	ł¥	95	!	HP	SMH	1	(_PS	1	ME	R	!	ł	KH (1	TU	N	-
	HES	iFi	REQ	AVG	-	FREQ	IAUG%	F	REQ	AVG%	16	REQ	AVG%	-1-	FREQ	AVG%	FRE	Q	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG%	-!
÷	RED	i	0	ERR	÷	0	ERR	i.	0		÷	4	10.06	-	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	i	0		i
	BLUE	1	0	IERR	÷	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	1ERR	:	0	ERR	ł.	0	ERR	1
	GREEN		0	ERR	-	0	ERR	1	0	I ERR	1	2	10.05	1	0	ERR	:	0	ERR	÷	0	LERR	1
ł	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	ł	Э	10.05	1	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	1	10. 05	8
	TOTALS		0	an dige (The gradients of		Э			0			6			0			0			1		-

,

	!			FREQUE	ENCY		
GOLUK NHIL	CW	HPS	THPSMH	LPS	HER	HH	TUN
RED R&D ORANGE ORANCE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
GOLD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN DLUE PURPLE	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	18 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
MAGENTA BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 11 0 9	0 6 0 14	0 0 4 0 15	0 0 0 0	0 6 0 14	0 10 0 10	0 9 0 11
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1							1	FREQU	E	NCY				
1	FTOUL MEDD	CW	ļ	HP5	H	P5HH	1	LPS	ł	MER	ļ	HH	ļ	TUN
	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	19 2 0	- 1	18 2 0		19 1 0	:- : : :	7 13 0		19 1 0	:-	18 2 0		18 2 0
1	TOTALS	20		20	-	20		20		20		20	-	20

				FREQ	JENCY		
SHICKHILDR	CH	HP5	1HPSHH	I LPS	HER	I MH	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW	0 2	0 0 1	1 0 0	893	0	0	0 0 1
TOTALS	5	1	1	20	2	5	1

:	DDTHADY	!		CW	1	HP	5		HPS	ihh	1	L	.PS	1	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
	HUES	Г	REQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVG%	IFR	EQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	AVGL		FREQ	AVGX		FREQ	IAVG%	_
-	RED	!	0	IERR	1	0	ERR		0	ERR		0	IERR	1	0	IERR		0	ERR	1	0	ERR	
ł	BLUE	1	0	IERR	ł.	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	
ł.	GREEN	ł.	0	ERR	÷.	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	LERR	ł.	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
1	YELLOW	1	0	IERR	1	Ő	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	50	10.95	ł	0	IERR	ŧ.	0	IERR	1	Ó	ERR	
	TOTAL 5		0			0			0			20			0			0			0		-

ŗ	ECONIMARY	1		CW		Н	> 5		HP	SHEH	1	ι	.P5	1	HE	R		ł	iH	1	TU	N	1
	HUES	FF	E9	AVGL	1	FREQ	AVG	F	REQ	AVGX		REQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGX	-1
i	RED		0	ERR	i	0	ERR	İ.	1	0.10		4	0.06	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i
Į.	BLUE	1	5	10.05	ł	0	ICRR	Ł	0	ERR		0	IERR	÷	2	10.02	÷	5	10.05	ł	0	IERR	1
÷.	GREEN	4	0	IERR	i	0	1 ERR	÷.,	0	IERR	i.	- 9	10.08	ł	0	TERR	i.	0	LERR	i.	0	ERR	1
1	YELLOW	1	0	IERR		1	10.10	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	-	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	-	1	10.05	;
	TOTALS		2			1			1			13			2			2			1		

	FREQUENCY													
LULUK NHINC	CH	HP5	I KP5MH	LP5	MER	MH	TUN							
RED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
RED ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1							
ORANGE RED	: 0 :	0	: 0	0	: 0	0	: 0;							
PINK	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	01							
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
GOLD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0							
YELLOW	0	0	0	: 3	0	0	0							
YELLOW GREEN	0	Ō	1 0	1	Ō	0	0							
TAN	0	1	1 0	3	0	0	0							
OLIVE	0	Ō	1 0	9	0	Ō	0							
GREEN	0	0	: 0	: 1	0	0								
BLUE GREEN	0	0	; 0	0	0	0	0							
DLUE	0	0	: 0	: 0	: 0	0								
PURPLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	1							
MAGENTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
BROWN	0	0	: 0	0	0									
GRAY	19	19	19	Ž	20	20	19							
BLACK	0	0	0	0	0	0	01							
WHITE	Ō	ō	1 0	0	0	0	0							
	_		1	_										
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20							

		-						FREQU	Æ	NCY				
:	LIGHINGSS	1	C⊌	 HP5	1	HP5MH	1	LPS	ł	MER	1	MiH		TUN
:	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	:	5 13 2	8 12 0		7 13 0		3 14 3		9 16 1	:	5 15 0	1	2 18 0
	TOTALS		20	20		20		20		20		20		20

-	EATUDATION	1						FREQL	JĘ	NCY				
 -	201000011000	CW	;	HPS		ipshh	1	LPS	ļ	MER	1	ĦН	1	TUN
i –	KIGH MEDIUM LOW		0 1 0 1	0 0 2		0 0 1		0 5 14		0 0 3		0 0 1		0 0 1
-	TOTALS		5	5		1		19		з		1		1

DDTHADY	1		CH	;	H	5	1	HPS	SHH	ł	L	.PS		ł	HE	R	1	ł	H	1	TU	N	
HUES	ļF	REQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%	1	FREQ	IAV	7%	1	FREQ	AVC%	1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVG%	1
RED	1	1	10.50		0	ERR	1	1	0.60	1	1	10.0	50	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR		1	10.50	1
BLUE	1	0	ERR	4	0	ERR	÷	0	IERR	÷	0	I ERI	2	ţ.	0	ERR	1	C	I ERR	ł	0	IERR	1
GREEN	1	0	I ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	6	10.1	33	ł.	0	IERR		0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1
YELLOW	ł	0	ERR	;	1	10.70	ł	0	ERR	ł	11	10.1	5	;	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	:	0	IERR	ł
 TOTALS		1			1			1			18				0			0			1		-

ŗ	SECONDADY	!		CW		HF	×5	1	HPS	5141	1	L	PS	-	HE	R		ł	H	1	TU	N	
 -	HUES	ir.	REQ	AVGS		FREQ	AVGS	İF	REQ	AVG	1	REQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGI	-!	FREQ	AVG	; -!	FREG	AVGL	-!
i.	RED	i	0	ERR	i	i	0.30	i	0	IERR	i	3	10.28		0	LERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i
1	BLUE	1	- 2	10.28	1	0	ICRR	1	1	10.40	:	0	: ERR	- 1	2	10.08	1	1	10.05		1	10.50	1
1	GREEN	1	0	ERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1	9	10.22	2 1	1	10.02	1	0	ERR	1	0	ERR	1
t	YELLOW	ł	0	ERR	1	1	10.05	ł	0	IERR	ł	6	10.23	3 1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	1
-	TOTALS	-	2			5			1			18			3			1			1		

				FREQUE	ENCY		
COLOR, MHRS	C₩	HP5	THPSMH	LPS	MER	HH	TUN
RED RED ORANGE ORANGE RED PINK ORANGE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
GOLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YELLOW YELLOW GREEN TAN OLIVE GREEN BLUE GREEN BLUE PURPLE MAGENTA	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
BROWN GRAY BLACK WHITE	0 0 20 0	0 0 20 0	0 18 0	16 16 0	0 2 18 0	0 20 0	0 20 0
TOTALS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

1 (OUTATEE	ł						REQL	E	NCY				
LIGHNESS		CW	1	RPS	1H	IPSMH	LP5	1	MER	1	MH	;	TUN
HIGH MEDIUM LOW		0 0 20	1	0 0 20		0 0 20	0 0 20	1	0 0 20		0 0 20	5 6 8 8	0 0 20
TOTA	LS	20		20		20	20		20		20		20

	SATUDATION						1	FREQL	E	NCY				
: : :_	SHIURHIION	CW	1	HP5	11	1PSMH		LP5	1	MER	1	HH	ł	TUN
-	HIGH MEDIUK LOW	0 0 0		0 1 0		0 0 0		0 0 3		0 1 0		0 0 1	1	0 0 0
	TOTALS	0		1		0		Э		1		1		0

ł	DDTMADY	;		CW	:	HH	5	1	HPS	SMH		ι	.P5		HE	R		I	H	-	TÜ	N	
1	HUES	IFF	EQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	FR	EQ	AVGX		L HE Ø	AVGL		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG%		FREQ	AVG	
	REU		0	ERR	1	0	ERR		0	ERR	1	1	10.95		0	ERR		0	ERR		0	ERR	
1	BLUE	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	÷	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	
1	GREEN	1	0	IERR	ł	0	I ERR	1	0	I EAR		0	LERR	1	0	I ERR	ł	0	LERR	1	0	ERR	
۱	YELLOW	1	0	TERR	1	0	TERR	1	0	IERR	1	1	10.85	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	0	ERR	
			0			0			0			2			0			0			0		

-	SECONDADY	1		CW	1	HP	5	1	HPS	5MH		L	.P5		Hie	R.	1	H	iH	1	ານ	м	
1	HUES	-!	FREQ	AVG	1	FREQ	AUGL	F	REQ	AVG	-!	FREQ	AVG	-1	FREQ	AVG		FREQ	AVGL	i 	FREQ	AVGX	-
i	RED	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i.	0	ERR	÷	1	10.15	Ì	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	i	0	ERR	1
1	BLUE	1	0	I EAR	ł	1	:0.10	1	0	I ERR	1	1	10.10	;	1	10.10	÷	1	10.10	1	0	I ERR	1
1	GREEN	1	0	IERR	÷	0	IERR	1	0	ERR		0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	-
ł	YELLOW	ł	0	I ERR	ł	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	1	1	10.05	1	0	IERR	1	0	IERR	ł	0	IERR	1
-	TOTALS		0			1			0			Э			1			1			0		•

Appendix B. Additional Tables of Results

Table 1B. Rank Ordering of Samples for Each Light Source.

				<u>RANKS</u>			
				RED			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	HPS MH	<u>MH</u>	MER	LPS
1 2 3	58 33 57	33 57 58	33 57 58	33 57 58	33 57 58	57 45 12	57 58 45,47
				ORANGE			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	<u>HPS MH</u>	<u>MH</u>	MER	LPS
1 2	42,16,56 48,35,15, 18	15 16	56,16 -	15, 1 6,56 -	42,48 16	48,56,15 -	48 42
3	10	42,56 48	15 42,48	42,48	- 56,15	- 16	15 46
				YELLOW			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	<u>HPS MH</u>	<u>MH</u>	<u>MER</u>	LPS
1 2 3 4	4,22 20 -	22 4 36 -	22,4 - 21,20 -	22 4 21,36	22 4 36,20 -	21,22 	4,22,20 - 21,49
				GREEN			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	<u>HPS MH</u>	<u>MH</u>	MER	LPS
1 2 3	23 51 24	55 23 39,51	23 51 24	23 51 24	23 3 24	39,55 - 26,25,3	23 X X

39,51

				BLUE			
	TUN	CW	HPS	<u>HPS MH</u>	MH	MER	LPS
1 2 3	2,27 28,52 - -	2,27 28,52 - -	28,52 - 2,27,40,	2,27 28,52 - 54 -	2,27 28,52 - -	52 28 27	28 (27) (40)
				PURPLE/MAGE	NTA		
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	HPS	<u>HPS MH</u>	MH	MER	LPS
P-1 M-2 3	1 53 -	1 53 -	1 53 -	1 53 -	1 53 -	1 53 -	x x -
				BROWN			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	HPS MH	MH	MER	LPS
1 2	38 7	7 38	38 7	38 7	7 38	38 7	7 38
				WHITE			
	TUN	CW	HPS	<u>HPS MH</u>	MH	MER	LPS
1 2 3	10 32 41	10 32 -	10 32 41	10 32 41	32 10 41	10 32 41	X X X
				GRAY			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	<u>HPS</u>	<u>HPS MH</u>	MH	MER	LPS
1	9	9	9	9	9	9	Х
				BLACK			
	TUN	<u>CW</u>	HPS	<u>HPS_MH</u>	MH	MER	LPS
1	8	8	8	8	8	8	8y

116

Table 2B. CIE Specifications for ANSI and Best Colors in Y,x,y, and L*a*b* Coordinates

SAMPLE	LIGHT	M/C	Y	x	У	Γ×	a*	b*
ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED ANSI RED	TUN CWF MER MI HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCCC	19.4 12.7 5.3 12.3 14.9 18.6 17.2 12.5 18.1 14.0 12.6	0.654 0.590 0.459 0.580 0.602 0.622 0.574 0.585 0.646 0.608 0.584	0.333 0.360 0.423 0.374 0.372 0.371 0.425 0.331 0.334 0.333 0.327	51.2 42.3 30.1 41.6 45.5 50.2 48.5 41.9 49.6 44.2 42.2	56.96 45.57 17.58 41.63 37.17 28.82 1.94 57.44 58.58 58.54 55.32	54.31 39.04 17.84 37.74 44.28 51.87 38.10 38.42 51.60 42.37 38.87
ANCI ORANGE AMSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE ANSI ORANGE	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCC	41.0 36.5 33.3 37.2 42.2 49.3 56.7 31.1 39.2 33.6 31.5	0.602 0.545 0.543 0.563 0.582 0.571 0.547 0.594 0.563 0.548	0.391 0.441 0.520 0.444 0.429 0.415 0.428 0.423 0.397 0.415 0.420	70.2 66.9 64.4 67.5 71.0 75.6 80.0 62.6 68.9 64.6 62.9	38.47 27.11 7.10 28.58 23.65 14.69 1.04 36.65 39.84 38.27 33.85	88.84 88.76 87.95 88.52 94.02 97.88 72.98 80.65 88.10 83.65 81.53
AUSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW ANSI YELLOW	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCC	69.2 67.9 69.3 67.8 70.5 74.3 77.4 62.5 68.1 64.3 62.6	0.541 0.483 0.427 0.484 0.519 0.558 0.558 0.569 0.455 0.527 0.479 0.458	0.442 0.489 0.554 0.488 0.464 0.435 0.430 0.486 0.450 0.475 0.481	86.6 86.0 85.9 87.2 89.0 90.5 83.2 86.1 84.1 83.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.07 \\ -0.80 \\ -12.91 \\ 2.81 \\ 3.69 \\ 3.07 \\ 0.24 \\ -2.14 \\ 9.59 \\ 2.51 \\ -4.05 \\ \end{array} $	82.56 95.66 108.43 91.53 93.00 89.78 70.00 88.46 84.78 85.62 89.53
ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN ANSI GREEN	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	М С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	8.9 9.4 9.2 9.5 7.8 5.4 3.8 12.0 9.4 11.1 11.7	0.302 0.284 0.332 0.276 0.327 0.437 0.557 0.204 0.281 0.224 0.206	0.549 0.524 0.576 0.537 0.517 0.472 0.434 0.491 0.543 0.501 0.476	35.8 36.7 36.5 36.9 33.5 27.7 23.0 41.2 36.8 39.8 40.8	-48.24 -41.57 -26.92 -43.65 -35.55 -18.24 -1.74 -59.33 -50.57 -56.11 -58.39	-1.47 13.87 32.14 10.99 4.30 -12.93 -16.64 16.86 2.63 13.18 16.89

ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE ANSI BLUE	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCCCC	6.2 6.4 5.4 6.6 5.5 4.0 3.2 8.9 6.6 8.2 8.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.274 \\ 0.214 \\ 0.229 \\ 0.217 \\ 0.258 \\ 0.380 \\ 0.380 \\ 0.549 \\ 0.171 \\ 0.244 \\ 0.183 \\ 0.170 \\ 0.170 \\ 0.\end{array}$	343 228 213 265 284 344 421 194 310 215 182	29.9 30.3 27.8 30.8 28.1 23.7 20.7 35.8 31.0 34.3 35.7	-22.28 -3.69 15.85 -11.31 -11.31 -7.23 -0.77 -5.82 -21.28 -10.58 -3.32	-49.1 -47.2 -47.81 -45.53 -50.45 -60.69 -56.97 -39.56 -48.00 -42.21 -39.72
ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE ANSI PURPLE	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	17.3 13.3 11.0 12.8 13.2 13.8 11.0 14.6 16.7 15.1 14.7	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.554 & 0.\\ 0.385 & 0.\\ 0.305 & 0.\\ 0.391 & 0.\\ 0.452 & 0.\\ 0.550 & 0.\\ 0.550 & 0.\\ 0.340 & 0.\\ 0.340 & 0.\\ 0.389 & 0.\\ 0.336 & 0. \end{array}$	340 297 288 318 341 380 425 246 330 271 236	48.6 43.2 39.6 42.5 43.1 44.0 39.6 45.0 45.0 45.8 45.8	34.79 23.56 18.96 20.33 17.12 12.42 0.52 34.92 35.06 35.02 35.12	-7.30 -21.38 -31.63 -21.65 -20.26 -16.18 -21.34 -16.35 -9.39 -14.70 -16.31
ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN ANSI BROWN	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMII HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCCC	7.1 6.7 6.4 6.8 7.6 8.8 10.2 5.7 6.8 6.1 5.8	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.574 & 0.\\ 0.507 & 0.\\ 0.444 & 0.\\ 0.511 & 0.\\ 0.539 & 0.\\ 0.568 & 0.\\ 0.568 & 0.\\ 0.570 & 0.\\ 0.479 & 0.\\ 0.506 & 0.\\ 0.477 & 0. \end{array}$	400 429 486 436 429 421 429 398 403 401 392	32.0 31.0 30.3 31.4 33.2 35.6 38.1 28.7 31.4 29.6 28.8	$16.10 \\ 12.08 \\ 5.42 \\ 13.12 \\ 10.25 \\ 5.28 \\ 0.41 \\ 15.85 \\ 16.83 \\ 16.37 \\ 14.43 $	30.75 30.44 30.15 31.20 34.08 36.68 32.39 26.27 30.16 27.69 26.66
ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE ANSI WHITE	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	81.5 81.3 81.3 81.3 81.5 81.6 82.1 81.1 81.1 81.2 81.1	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.479 & 0.\\ 0.387 & 0.\\ 0.349 & 0.\\ 0.396 & 0.\\ 0.450 & 0.\\ 0.530 & 0.\\ 0.567 & 0.\\ 0.317 & 0.\\ 0.451 & 0.\\ 0.353 & 0.\\ 0.314 & 0. \end{array}$	417 389 416 407 412 421 429 334 409 356 321	92.3 92.2 92.3 92.4 92.5 92.6 92.6 92.2 92.3 92.3 92.2 92.2	0.82 0.08 -0.72 0.08 0.22 0.29 0.02 -0.15 0.75 0.21 -0.22	2.26 2.74 3.66 3.17 3.18 2.53 3.79 2.47 2.35 2.41 2.44

ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY ANSI GRAY	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	19.2 19.2 19.3 19.2 19.3 19.3 19.3 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.476 & 0.418 \\ 0.384 & 0.387 \\ 0.347 & 0.412 \\ 0.393 & 0.405 \\ 0.448 & 0.412 \\ 0.529 & 0.422 \\ 0.567 & 0.429 \\ 0.314 & 0.332 \\ 0.447 & 0.410 \\ 0.349 & 0.355 \\ 0.311 & 0.320 \end{array}$	50.9 51.0 51.1 51.0 51.0 51.0 51.1 50.9 50.9 50.9 50.9	$\begin{array}{r} -0.48 \\ -0.32 \\ -0.17 \\ -0.30 \\ -0.27 \\ -0.24 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.59 \\ -0.48 \\ -0.54 \\ -0.62 \end{array}$	0.66 0.78 0.92 1.05 1.03 0.85 1.36 0.84 0.72 0.81 0.84
ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK ANSI BLACK	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCC	1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13.1 13.0 13.0 13.1 13.2 13.4 12.8 13.0 12.9 12.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.82 \\ -0.37 \\ -1.30 \\ -0.32 \\ -0.03 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.02 \\ -0.95 \\ 0.68 \\ -0.34 \\ -1.13 \end{array}$	4.52 5.30 6.16 5.53 5.53 4.79 5.11 5.07 4.71 5.03 5.14
BEST RED - F BEST RED - F BEST RED - F BEST RED - F BEST RED - F BEST RED - F BEST RED - F	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS	M M M M M M	16.7 10.9 10.5 12.8 12.1 12.1 8.8	0.647 0.325 0.572 0.341 0.537 0.359 0.579 0.348 0.596 0.351 0.629 0.354 0.616 0.381	47.8 39.4 38.8 42.5 41.4 41.4 35.7	55.66 45.58 49.61 49.09 39.17 30.32 15.44	34.21 23.63 20.32 25.92 24.34 25.93 12.21
BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F BEST ORANGE – F	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS	M M M M M M	57.9 50.6 51.9 66.6 58.5 67.7 62.8	0.637 0.354 0.609 0.372 0.587 0.387 0.604 0.379 0.611 0.377 0.622 0.374 0.596 0.401	80.7 76.4 77.2 85.3 81 85.9 83.3	67.61 72.12 86.99 78.02 59.22 42.82 16.97	90.95 89.65 83.89 96.04 92.48 97.03 12.4

BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST	YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O YELLOW - O	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	MCCCCCCCCCC	70.0 68.6 68.1 72.7 79.2 82.7 61.0 68.5 63.4 61.3	0.552 0.495 0.439 0.497 0.527 0.560 0.570 0.473 0.540 0.495 0.476	0.433 0.480 0.544 0.478 0.457 0.435 0.429 0.471 0.440 0.462 0.467	87.0 86.3 86.3 86.1 88.3 91.3 92.9 82.4 86.3 83.7 82.5	16.41 5.43 -6.40 9.91 8.19 3.71 0.32 7.97 16.62 11.47 5.52	87.0-1 98.76 110.48 93.40 96.76 97.82 73.45 88.62 88.35 89.82 89.83
BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST	GREEN - F GREEN - F GREEN - F GREEN - F GREEN - F GREEN - F GREEN - F	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS	M M M M M M	16.1 21.9 21.1 23.4 16.6 9.7 4	0.316 0.300 0.309 0.300 0.324 0.413 0.561	0.647 0.653 0.648 0.658 0.634 0.565 0.433	47.1 53.9 55.5 47.8 37.3 23.6	-67.35 -68.32 -51.59 -68.8 -61.4 -38.05 -1.14	42.87 61.31 61.91 61.5 46.72 28.35 -5.1
BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST BEST	GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O GREEN - O	TUN CWF MER MH MIX HPS LPS D65 A B C	MOCCCCCCCCC	21.6 24.3 26.5 23.7 19 12.2 5.7 29.8 23.1 27.6 29.2	0.258 0.258 0.315 0.252 0.289 0.385 0.544 0.189 0.243 0.203 0.190	0.560 0.507 0.557 0.521 0.514 0.493 0.439 0.452 0.543 0.471 0.436	53.6 56.3 58.5 55.8 50.6 41.5 28.7 61.4 55.2 59.6 61	-78.5 -62.19 -40.12 -64.46 -57.04 -36.45 -4.28 -79.75 -79.49 -78.93 -78.38	-9.47 10.64 35.2 6.35 -3.43 -27.23 -41.68 13.35 -4.32 8.78 13.36
BES BES BES BES BES BES BES BES BES	F BLUE - O $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$ $F BLUE - O$	TUN CWF MER MH HPSMH HPS LPS D-65 A B C	МССССССССС	11.4 11.4 8.0 12.1 8.7 3.9 1.4 19.6 12.8 17.3 19.2	0.172 0.159 0.189 0.153 0.164 0.214 0.466 0.140 0.161 0.143 0.141	0.345 0.205 0.164 0.248 0.251 0.270 0.393 0.197 0.309 0.214 0.182	40.3 40.2 34.0 41.4 35.4 23.3 12.2 51.4 42.4 48.6 50.9	-58.57 -18.97 23.68 -34.95 -34.77 -24.10 -4.32 -26.78 -55.56 -34.20 -21.79	-73.30 -70.33 -75.34 -67.06 -77.87 -102.52 -109.04 -53.11 -69.39 -58.16 -53.79

S	ample	L×	a*	b*	Source	Name	N	LT	SAT	HUE1	%	HUE2	%
0	PURPLE 1	43.2	23.56	-21.38	CWF	Р	20	М	М	R/B	.55/.66	R/B	. 34/. 45
0	PURPLE 1	44.0	12.42	-16.18	HPS	Р	19	М	М	R	0.61	B	0, 39
0	PURPLE 1	43.1	17.12	-20.26	HPSMH	P	20	м	М	В	0.59	R	О 41
0		20 6	0.52	-21 21	IDS	c Y		м	T.	c	0.85	v	0 10
~	DUDDLE 1	20.6	19 06	-21.57	MED	D.	20	м	ы м	Ð	0.05	r D	0.15
0		37.0	10.90	-01 65	HEA MT	r D	20	- 11 - M	M	D	0.05	n	0.35
0	PURPLE I	42.5	20.33	-21.05		P	20	n	F1	2	0.60	R	0.40
0	PURPLE 1	48.6	34.79	-7.30	IUN	Р	15	М	М	К	0.75	В	0.25
0	BLUE 2	30. 3	-3,69	-47.48	CHF	B	20	м	м	B	0.99	R	0.07
0	ELUE 2	23.7	-7.23	-60.69	HPS	R	20	L	м	B	0.98	-	_
ñ	BLUE 2	28 1	-11 21	-50 46	нреми	P	20	м	м	R	0.90	P	0 08
0	FINE 2	20.7	-0.77	-56 07	IDS	C Y	12	T	ī	D	0.80	v	0.00
0		20.1	15 95	-117 01	LLD LLD	51	12	M / 1	- Li - M	n D	0.00	I I	0.10
0	BLUE 2	27.0	15.05	-47.01	ne k	B	12	11/1		B	0.83	R	0.19
0	BLUE 2	30.8	-11.31	-45.53	MH	B	20	m	n	R	0.98	ĸ	0.08
0	BLUE 2	29.9	-22.28	-49.81	TUN	В	20	М	M	В	0.97	G	0.13
0	GREEN 3	36.7	-41.57	13.87	CHF	G	11	м	М	G	0.84	B	0. 20
0	GREEN 3	27.7	-18,24	-12, 93	HPS	BG	10	L	м	G	0,81	B	0, 26
0	GREEN 3	33 5	-35 55	1 30	HPSMH	G	10	M	M	G	0 84	R	0.22
0	CREEN 2	22.0	-1 71	-16 60	TDS	C Y	10	1	-	_	-	-	-
0	GREEN 3	23.0	-1.74	-10.04	LFS MPD	GI	13			0	0 00	D/V	10/ 16
U	GREEN 3	30.5	-20.92	32.14	nek Me	G	17	m/1	ы Ц. 	G	0.89	D/1	. 197. 10
0	GREEN 3	36.9	-43.65	10.99	МН	G	12	M	n	G	0.87	В	0.17
0	GREEN 3	35.8	-48.24	-1.47	TUN	G	13	М	м	G	1.00	В	0. 08
0	YELLOW 4	86.0	-0.80	95.66	CHF	Y	15	H	м	Y	0. 90	G	0.13
0	YELLOW 4	89.0	3.07	89.78	HPS	Y	19	H	H	Y	0.93	G	0.12
0	YELLON 4	87.2	3.69	93.00	HPSMH	Y	17	H	М	Y	0.94	G	0.12
0	YELLON 4	90.5	0.24	70.00	LPS	Ŷ	20	Н	Н	Y	0.99	G	0.07
ñ	YELLOW 4	86 6	-12 91	108 43	MFR	YC	10		R	Ŷ	0.85	G	0 18
ñ	VELLON 1	85 0	2 81	01 52	MU	v	19		17	Ŷ	0.05	C	0.12
0	VELLON 4	06.6	10.07	00 54	771M	v	17	- H M	M	v	0.95	c	0.08
U	ILLUN 4	00.0	10.07	02.30	IUM	I	17	п	E.	ł	0.94	G	0.00
0	ORANGE 5	66.9	27, 11	88.76	CHF	0	13	м	м	Y	0.72	R	0. 28
0	ORANGE 5	75.6	14,69	97.88	HPS	Y	13	м	H/M	Y	0.88	R	0, 16
n	ORANGE 5	71 0	23 65	QU 02	HPSMH	0	10	м	м	Ŷ	0.82	R	0, 21
ñ	ORANGE 5	80.0	1 0/	72 08	IPS	Ŷ	20	м	 н	Y Y	0.96	R	0.06
0	ORANCE E	61 1	7 10	07 05	MED	v	10	M	M	v	0.02	с.	0.13
0	ORANGE 5	67 5	7.70	07.90	псл мп		14	- п м	51 54	i V	0. 73	D D	0.75
0	ORANGE 5	07.5	20.50	00.52	ពាព	0	11	п	n M	i	0.74	5	0.20
0	URANGE 5	70. 2	38.47	88.84	TUN	U	17	n	n	I	0.70	к	0.24
0	RED 6	42.3	45.57	39.04	CHF	R	11	м	м	R	0.85	Y	0.18
0	RED 6	50.2	28.82	51.87	HPS	0	13	M	M	R	0.69	Y	0. 31
0	RED 6	45.5	37.17	44.28	HPSMH	0	9	М	М	R	0.74	Y	0.26
0	RED 6	48.5	1.94	38.10	LPS	٥V	8	м	L	Y	0.77	G	0.24
0	RED 6	30 1	17 58	17 84	MER	BHN	20	L	L	R	0.82	Y	0.20
ñ	RED 6	JU. 1 6	41 63	37 74	мн	RO	7	м	M	R	0.79	Y	0,24
٥ ٥	RED 6	51 2	56 06	51.14	TIN	R	12	м	н	R	0.85	Ŷ	0.17
Ű	NED 0	J1. 2	JU. 70	J4. J1	100					••	0.00	•	
0	BROWN 7	31.0	12.08	30.44	CWF	BWN	20	L	M	R	0.75	Y	0.24
0	BROWN 7	35.6	5.28	36.68	HPS	BWN	11	L	M/L	R	0. 79	Y	0. 25
0	BROWN 7	33. 2	10.25	34.08	HPSMH	BHN	15	L	М	R	0.79	Y	0.21
0	BROWN 7	38.1	0.41	32.39	LPS	BHN	-	М	L	G/Y	. 87/. 82	Y	0.14
0	BROWN 7	30.3	5.42	30.15	MER	BWN	11	L	L	G	0.86	Y	0.10
0	BROWN 7	31.4	13.12	31.20	MH	BWN	18	L	L	R	0.76	Y	0.26
0	BROWN 7	32.0	16.10	30.75	TUN	BWN	19	L	L	R	0.79	Y	0.20

0	BLACK 8	13.0	-0.37	5.30	CWF	BK	20	L	-	-	-	-	-
0	BLACK 8	13.2	0.22	4.79	HPS	BK	20	ĩ.	-		-	-	-
0	BLACK 8	13.1	-0.03	5.53	HPSMH	BK	18	ī.	-	_	-	-	-
ñ	BLACK 8	13 /	0.00	5 11	100	DF	16	1	_	-	_	_	-
0	DIACK 9	12 0	-1 20	6 16	MED	DE	10	1	-	-	_	_	
0	DLACK Q	12.0	-1.30	0.10	пск м#	DK	10	1	_		_	_	_
0	BLACK 0	13.0	-0.32	5.53		BK	20	ь •	-	-	-	-	-
0	BLACK 8	13.1	0.82	4, 52	TUN	BK	20	L	-	-	-	-	-
0	GRAY 9	51.0	-0.32	0.78	CWF	GY	19	М	-	-	-	-	-
0	GRAY 9	51.0	-0.24	0.85	HPS	GY	19	М	-	-	-	-	-
0	GRAY 9	51.0	-0.27	1.03	HPSMH	GY	19	М	-	-	-	-	-
0	GRAY 9	51.1	-0.01	1.36	LPS	0 V	٥V	M	t.	Y	0.75	G	0.22
0	GRAY 9	51.1	-0.17	0 92	MER	GY	20	M	_	_	-	_	_
0	GRAY 9	51 0	-0.30	1 05	MI	CY.	20	м	-	_	-	-	-
0	GRAY 9	50 0	-0.08	0.66	TIN	CY CY	10	м	-	_	-	-	_
Ű	GNAI 7	30. 7	0.40	0.00	101	GI	17	п					
0	WHITE 10	92.3	0.08	2.74	CHF	Ħ	20	H	-	~	-	-	-
0	WHITE 10	92.5	0.29	2.53	HPS	н	20	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 10	92.4	0.22	3.18	HPSMH	H	20	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 10	92.6	0. 02	3.79	LPS	Y	20	Н	H	Y	0.97	R	0.08
0	WHITE 10	92.2	-0.72	3.66	MERCURY	(H)	19	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 10	92.3	0.08	3.17	MH	H	19	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 10	92.3	0, 82	2, 26	TUN	я	20	H	-	_	-	-	-
								-					
R	RED 11	38.1	47.71	36.28	CHF	R	14	М	H/H	R	0.86	Y	0.17
R	RED 11	46.6	29.84	52.89	HPS	0	14	М	М	R	0.64	Y	0.36
R	RED 11	41.5	38.55	41.06	HPSMH	0	8	М	М	R	0.77	Y	0.24
R	RED 11	44.8	2.10	34.67	LPS	٥V	7	М	L	Y	0.79	Y/G	. 21/. 22
R	RED 11	23.6	22,10	7.24	MER	BWN	13	L	М	R	0.87	Y	0.16
R	RED 11	37.3	43, 36	33, 45	НH	R	12	М	м	R	0, 82	Y	0, 18
R	RED 11	46.7	55.97	54, 11	TUN	R	13	M	H	R	0.87	Y	0, 16
		10.1	55. 71	54.11		••	. 5		-			-	
0	RED 12	50.2	58.58	51.26	CHF	R/RO	6/6	М	H	R	0. 78	Y	0.22
0	RED 12	61.9	35.50	75.49	HPS	0	18	М	М	R	0.61	Y	0.39
0	RED 12	55.6	46.94	58.22	HPSMH	0	12	М	М	R	0.71	Y	0.29
0	RED 12	64.2	2.50	52.83	LPS	Y	10	М	H/L	Y	0.87	G	0.14
0	RED 12	31.7	29.00	11.96	MER	R	10	L	М	R	0.85	В	0.19
0	RED 12	50 4	53 65	48 61	мя	R	8	м	м	R	0.81	Ŷ	0.23
ñ	RFD 12	60 1	67 26	70.01	TIN	0	11	м	ц	R	0.71	Ŷ	0.29
Ŭ		00. 1	01.20	14.02	101	Ŭ				n	0.11	•	0.27
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	66.1	83.40	99.66	CHF	0	13	M	H	R	0.68	Y	0.33
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	64.3	50.50	92.09	HPS	0	12	M	H	R	0.65	Y	0.35
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	66.7	71.15	97.58	HPSMH	0	9	М	H	R	0.67	Y	0.33
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	63.9	44.09	49.91	LPS	0	12	M	H	R	0. 71	Y	0.29
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	66.2	106.08	99.89	MER	0	8	М	H	R	0.70	Y	0.30
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	69.0	89.01	99.55	HH	0	8	М	H	R	0.69	Y	0.32
RI	F R-ORANGE 13	63.7	67.25	101.06	TUN	0	14	M	H	R	0.63	Y	0.37
F	R-ORANGE 14	65 /	78 36	71 1 1	CHF	0	8	м	н	R	0 73	Y	0.27
F	R-ORANGE 11	71 0	18 35	86 52	NDS	0	17	м	н	P	0.15	Ŷ	0 30
F	R-ORANGE 10	65 7	62 11	72 70	премп	0	12	- M	U U	P	0.71	v	0.20
F	D-ODANCE 14	60 2	05.11 3E 47	10 61	100	0	20	м	ы	D	(0.63	v	0.29
E.	D-ODANGE 14	EO 4	23.17	10.04	MED	0	20	П	n M	n	0.02	v	0.30
r P	D-ODANGE 14	57.0	00.50	57.92	n B K	0	9	П	л т	R	0.75	v	0.25
r	D-ODANGE 14	07.3	70.43	12.11		0	4	. 11	11	R	0.00	I	0.32
Ŀ,	R-ORANGE 14	69.0	73.13	85.85	TUN	0	11	М	Н	R	0.70	Y	0.30

-

RF ORANGE 15	74.7 59.50	105.51 CWF	0 20	М	H R	0.54	Y	0.46
RF ORANGE 15	73.6 33.20	97.93 HPS	0 19	М	м ү	0.66	R	0.34
RF ORANGE 15	74.9 47.78	102.19 HPSMH	0 20	М	Н Ү	0.68	R	0, 32
RF ORANGE 15	70.1 15.75	27.95 LPS	0 17	м	м ү	0.67	R	0. 29
RF ORANGE 15	77.4 82.54	108.75 MER	0 20	М	H R/Y	. 54/. 62	R/Y	. 38/. 46
RF ORANGE 15	81.0 64.88	112.47 MH	0 19	м	Н Ү	0,62	R	0, 38
RF ORANGE 15	71.5 49.21	104.79 TUN	0 19	М	H Y	0, 63	R	0.37
•								
F ORANGE 16	79.2 57.10	103.21 CHF	0 20	М	Н Ү	0.63	R	0.37
F ORANGE 16	87.0 32.10	107.97 HPS	0 20	М	H Y	0.63	R	0.37
F ORANGE 16	81.5 43.79	102.61 HPSMH	0 20	H	н ү	0.65	R	0.35
F ORANGE 16	90.9 4.70	25.09 LPS	Y 19	М	Н Ү	0.94	R	0.09
F ORANGE 16	78.3 63.07	98.35 MER	0 19	М	E/M Y	0.66	R	0.34
F ORANGE 16	84.8 57.81	107.51 MH	0 20	H	Н Ү	0,68	R	0, 32
F ORANGE 16	82.3 57.28	102.01 TUN	0 20	М	M Y	0.62	R	0.38
								-
R ORANGE 17	41.5 34.97	64.95 CHF	0 14	М	M R/Y	.76/.67	R/Y	.33/.24
R ORANGE 17	49.7 21.49	74.64 HPS	0 13	М	M Y	0.67	R	0.33
R ORANGE 17	45.3 28.99	70.33 HPSMH	0 12	М	M R/Y	.63/.70	R/Y	. 31/. 37
R ORANGE 17	51.9 1.45	53.06 LPS	GO 8	М	LΥ	0.90	G	0.12
R ORANGE 17	33.9 11.47	53.92 MER	BHN 7	М	н ү	0.82	R/G	. 18/. 21
R ORANGE 17	41.7 32.89	64.74 MH	0 13	м	M R/Y	. 687. 64	R	0, 36
R ORANGE 17	47.1 43.97	71.09 TUN	0 16	м	MR	0.64	Ŷ	0.36
	1.1.1	1.1.07	• • •				•	0.00
O ORANGE 18	71.2 31.17	92.54 CRF	0 13	М	M Y	0.76	R	0.25
O ORANGE 18	80.8 17.72	106.28 HPS	0 17	М	H/M Y	0.75	R	0.28
O ORANGE 18	75.8 27.16	98.92 HPSMH	0 13	М	м ү	0.79	R	0.22
O ORANGE 18	86.2 1.21	77.56 LPS	Y 20	H	Н Ү	0.97	R	0.07
O ORANGE 18	67.8 9.52	84.81 MER	Y 12	м	м ү	0, 91	R	0, 13
O ORANGE 18	72.0 32.28	92.52 MH	0 18	м	H/M Y	0.70	R	0.30
O ORANGE 18	75.1 42.49	96.65 TUN	0 19	м	M Y	0,69	R	0.31
RF YELLOW 19	80.8 -32.04	102.39 CWF	YG 14	H	Я Y	0.81	G	0.19
RF YELLOW 19	73.3 -10.17	79.18 HPS	Y 10	H	Н Ү	0.86	G	0.16
RF YELLOW 19	79.3 -26.01	92.11 HPSMH	YG 14	H	м ү	0.78	G	0.22
RF YELLOW 19	70.0 0.27	6.81 LPS	Y 14	М	м ү	0.95	G	0.14
RF YELLOW 19	81.5 -32.03	103.82 MER	YG 12	H	н ү	0.81	G	0.19
RF YELLON 19	85.8 -32.49	103.84 MH	YG 14	H	н ү	0, 71	G	0.31
RF YELLOW 19	75.3 -17.76	77.21 TUN	YG 12	E	H Y	0.79	G	0.23
F YELLOW 20	93.6 -16.77	111.86 CHF	YG 12	H	Н Ү	0.83	G	0.18
F YELLOW 20	98.1 -2.16	105.22 HPS	Y 18	H	H Y	0. 93	G	0.12
F YELLOW 20	98.0 -10.87	109.52 HPSMH	Y 11	H	Н Ү	0.84	G	0.18
F YELLOW 20	99.9 0.19	-8.46 LPS	Y 20	H	Н Ү	0.97	G	0.15
F YELLOW 20	98.8 -25.66	124.35 MER	YG 12	Ħ	Н Ү	0.81	G	0.19
F YELLOW 20	101.8 -15.17	115.15 MH	Y 11	H	H Y	0.85	G	0.19
F YELLOW 20	92.9 -4.01	96.78 TUN	Y 14	Ħ	H Y	0.90	G	0.14
R YELLOW 21	65.0 10.90	88.66 CWF	Y 11	М	М Ү	0.94	R	0.08
R YELLOW 21	71.1 3.50	91.38 HPS	Y 18	М	M Y	0.95	G	0.12
R YELLOW 21	67.5 10.84	89.80 HPSMH	Y 10	М	МY	0.88	R	0.17
R YELLOW 21	72.8 0.33	66.43 LPS	Y 19	М	H/M Y	0.97	G	0.09
R YELLOW 21	64.7 1.47	95.39 MER	Y 13	М	M Y	- 0.96	G	0.08
R YELLON 21	64.8 14.95	85.83 MH	0 7	М	M Y	0.85	R	0.22
R YELLON 21	65.4 19.67	81.16 TUN	0/G0/Y 6	М	М Ү	0.85	R	0.20

O YELLON 22	85.7	5.55	98.39	CWF	Y	16	H	Ħ	Y	0.94	R/G	. 20/. 09
O YELLOW 22	90.7	3.77	97.49	HPS	Y	19	Ħ	H	Y	0.94	G	0.11
O YELLON 22	87.7	8.26	96.43	HPSMH	Y	18	H/M	М	Y	0.94	R	0.09
O YELLOW 22	92 3	0 32	73 18	LPS	Ŷ	20	H	Н	Y	0.98	R	0.07
O VELLON 22	85 7	-6 21	110 02	MER	Ŷ	13	н/н	я	Ŷ	0.92	G	0.13
O VELLON 22	95 J	10 00	02 07	MI	Ŷ	17	ਸ ਸ	я	Y	0 96	R	0.09
O TELLON 22	05.4	16 50	93.07	1111 11111	v	17	1	и П	Ŷ	0.90	P	0.12
O YELLOW 22	80.4	10.54	80.79	IUN	I	17	п	д	1	0. 74	n	0.12
RF G-YELLOW 23	53.9	-68.32	61.31	CWF	G	14	М	H	G	0.82	Y	0. 20
RF G-YELLOW 23	37.3	-38.05	28.35	HPS	G	17	М	М	G	0. 91	Y	0.17
RF G-YELLOW 23	47.8	-61.40	46.72	HPSMH	G	16	М	H	G	0.84	Y	0.17
RF G-YELLOW 23	23.6	-1.14	-5.10	LPS	٥V	7	м	L	R/G	. 80/. 86	Y	0.20
RF G-YELLOW 23	53.0	-51.59	61.91	MER	G	13	м	М	G	0.85	Y	0.17
RF G-YELLON 23	55.5	-68 80	61.50	мн	G	13	м	Ħ	G	0 78	Ŷ	0.24
RE G-YELLON 23	リフ・フ リフ・1	-67 35	12 87	TIN	G	20	м	н	G	0.02	Ŷ	0.13
NI G IEEEON ES	47.1	01.35	42.01	ION	9	20			G	0. 72	1	0.15
F G-YELLOW 24	74.9	-61.69	88.94	CWF	ΥG	12	H	H	G	0.77	Y	0.24
F G-YELLOW 24	60.4	-31.73	64.18	HPS	G	13	М	H	G	0.79	Y	0.24
F G-YELLOW 24	68.3	-49.36	73.24	HPSMH	G	11	М	H	G	0.78	Y	0. 22
F G-YELLOW 24	57.6	-0,80	-8.45	LPS	٥V	7	М	L	Y	0.80	G	0.18
F G-YELLON 24	80.3	-44, 28	100.15	MER	G	10	м	м	G	0, 77	Y	0.23
F G-YELLON 24	77 2	-58 98	81 77	мп	G	12	н Н	н н	G	0.76	Y	0.24
F G-YELLOW 20	68 1	-68 85	67.80	TIN	G	12	м	н н/м	c c	0.90	Ŷ	0.18
I G IBBBON 24	00.1	00.05	07.00	100	G	15		ц/ II	ŭ	0.04	•	0.10
R GREEN 25	26.0	-35. 30	-2.17	CWF	G	11	M	н	G	0.85	В	0. 20
R GREEN 25	17.3	-18.86	-25.26	HPS	BG	17	L	M	В	0.67	G	0.33
R GREEN 25	22.9	-32.88	-10.01	HPSMH	BG	15	М	М	G	0.77	В	0.27
R GREEN 25	11.0	-2.15	-30, 53	LPS	BK	14	L	-	-	-	-	-
R GREEN 25	25.6	-21.02	10, 92	MER	G	17	L	м	G	0, 92	G	0, 12
R GREEN 25	26.1	-38 34	-3 92	мн	BG	12	м	M	G	0.79	G	0, 28
R GREEN 25	25 1	-43 83	-13 53	אוד	BG	13	м	M	Ğ	0.74	G	0, 28
	-91 1	131 03			-	. 5			-		-	
O GREEN 26	55.8	-61.75	10.86	CWF	G	10	М	М	G	0.83	В	0.19
O GREEN 26	41.1	-36.16	-26.71	HPS	BG	15	М	М	G	0.79	В	0. 21
O GREEN 26	50.2	-56, 58	-3, 12	HPSMH	BG	14	М	м	G	0.78	В	0.24
O GREEN 26	28.5	-4, 23	-41.05	LPS	GY	17	L	_	G	0, 95	Y	0, 20
O GREEN 26	58 0	-39 88	35 32	MER	G	17	M	м	G	0.89	Y	0.11
O GREEN 26	55 2	-63 05	6 58	мп	BG	12	м	м	G	0.78	B	0.25
O GREEN 26	53 2	-77 90	-8 95	тпи	G	11		м.	G	0.82	B	0, 21
O GREEN ED	JJ. 2	11. 90	0.75	100	J	•••				0.02	2	
R BLUE 27	17.3	6.76	-57. 21	CWF	В	20	H	H	В	0.99	R	0. 05
R BLUE 27	9.8	-6.34	-72.41	HPS	В	20	L	H	В	0.98	R/G	. 10/. 08
R BLUE 27	15.1	-6.81	-59.72	HPSMH	В	20	М	H	В	0.99	-	-
R BLUE 27	5.7	-0.80	-69.44	LPS	BK	15	L	L	в	1.00	В	0. 05
R BLUE 27	12.3	33, 68	-60.39	MER	В	19	L	м	В	0.96	R	0.13
R BLUE 27	18.0	-4, 46	-54, 23	MH	В	20	м	H	в	0.98	-	-
R BLUE 27	17.2	-19.05	-59.46	TUN	B	20	M	H	B	0. 98	G	0.12
									_			
O BLUE 28	39.8	-19.18	-69.36	CWF	В	20	М	H	В	0.98	G	0.09
O BLUE 28	23.0	-23.94	-101.34	HPS	В	20	М	H	В	0.98	G	0.08
O BLUE 28	35.0	-34.71	-76.86	HPSMH	В	20	М	H	В	0.97	G	0.09
O BLUE 28	12.0	-4.28	-107.77	LPS	В	14	L	L	В	0.99	В	0.05
O BLUE 28	33.6	22.94	-74.20	MER	В	19	М	М	В	´ 0.97	R	0. 1
O BLUE 28	40.9	-34.96	-66.15	MH	В	20	М	H	В	0.96	G	0.13
O BLUE 28	39.9	-58.12	-72.25	TUN	В	20	М	H	В	0.95	G	0.13

RF RF RF RF RF	MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29 MAGENTA 29	67.6 70.5 69.1 70.6 67.4 73.9 68.3	72.73 41.01 58.30 24.46 94.57 77.90 59.22	34.08 40.43 40.38 22.25 29.26 44.26 42.83	CWF EPS HPSMH LPS MER MH TUN	РК РК РК РК РК РК	15 9 13 20 17 13 12	H H M M H/M M	H H M H H H	R R Y R R R	0.91 0.79 0.89 0.67 0.91 0.86 0.83	B Y R B Y Y	0.13 0.24 0.15 0.33 0.11 0.18 0.19
F	MAGENTA 30	69.5	72.31	-13.24	CWF	PK	13	H	H	R	0.86	B	0.19
r F	MAGENTA 30	70.1	50.31	-2.51	премп	PK	17	11 17	n M	к р	0.94	B	0.09
F	MAGENTA 30	83 1	6 21	20 6/	IPS	r K Y	17	л Ц	n n	n Y	0.92	р 10	0.12
F	MAGENTA 30	64.0	86.01	-33.23	MER	PK	12	H	H	R	0.87	B	0.15
F	MAGENTA 30	75.2	71.70	-6.06	MH	ΡK	16	H	H	R	0.88	B	0.17
F	MAGENTA 30	75.4	65.03	1.47	TUN	PK	18	H	H/M	R	0. 92	B	0.14
R	WHITE 31	62.3	-0.67	5.26	CHF	GY	12	H	L	-	-	-	-
R	WHITE 31	62.4	0.45	3.87	HPS	GY	13	H	L	-	-	-	-
R	WHITE 31	62.3	-0.17	5.41	HPSMH	GY	15	H	_	-	-	-	-
R	WHITE 31	62.3	0.01	4.89	LPS	Y	13	M	M	Ŷ	0.94	G	0.09
R	NHILE 31	62.2	-2.18	7.11	MER	GI	15	11	-	_	-	-	_
R D		62.3	-0.75	5.59	71 11 11	GI CV	16	10 17	_		-	-	_
л	KHILE 31	02.0	1.40	4.10	IUN	GI	10	n	_		_	_	
0	WHITE 32	93.8	-0.63	2.69	CHF	H	19	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 32	93.8	0.03	1.88	HPS	Ħ	19	H	-	-	-		-
0	WHITE 32	93.8	-0.35	3.02	HPSMH	Ħ	20	H	-	-	-	-	-
0	WHITE 32	93.8	-0.01	3.37	LPS	Y	20	H	H	Ŷ	0.98	-	-
0	WHITE 32	93.8	-1.36	4.02	MER	H	19	H		-	-	-	-
0	NHITE 32	93.8	-0.68	3.17	MH	N	20	H	-	-	-	-	-
U	WHILE 35	93.8	0, 17	1.89	IUN	м	20	н	-	-	-	-	-
R	DK RED 33	32.1	52.03	51.96	CHF	R	20	М	H	R	0.95	Y	0.08
R	DK RED 33	38.1	35.06	60.2	HPS	R	18	М	М	R	0. 93	Y	0. 08
R	DK RED 33	33.3	43.44	53.37	HPSMH	R	29	M	H	R	0.94	Y	0.10
R	DK RED 33	24.6	3.07	29.31	LPS	BWN	13	L	L	R	0.85	Y	0.17
R	DK RED 33	14.4	23.65	23.14	MER	BHN	9	L	M	R	0.88	Y	0.13
R	DK RED 33	29.1	48.23	46.4	MH	R	20	L	H	R	0.95	B	0, 12
R	DK RED 33	44.1	63.02	70.61	10N	R	19	м	H	R	0.96	I	0.09
R	RED 34	39.3	43.25	41.29	CHF	R	9	М	М	R	0.81	Y	0. 21
R	RED 34	47.7	26.3	56.16	HPS	0	12	М	М	R	0.66	Y	0.34
R	RED 34	43.1	34.96	45.65	HPSMH	0	10	М	М	R	0.74	Y	0.26
R	RED 34	48.8	1.74	40.44	LPS	0V	7	М	L	Y	0.82	G	0.17
R	RED 34	28.6	18.33	20.12	MER	BWN	20	L	L	R	0.83	Y	0.18
R	RED 34	39.3	39.92	38.87	MH	R	8	М	М	R	0.80	Y	0. 23
R	RED 34	47.1	52.61	56.12	TUN	R	12	М	H	R	0.87	Y	0.15
R	ORANGE 35	57.7	34.75	72.75	CHF	0	13	М	М	Y	0.70	R	0.31
E	ORANGE 35	66.9	21.17	84.04	HPS	0	16	M	M	Y	0.69	R	0, 31
R	OFENGE 35	62.4	29.35	78.79	HERNH	U	12	M	л ц / м	I	0.78	R C	0.22
E D	ORANGE 35	(3.2	1.42	00.U0	LPS	1	19	n V	л/п т	v	0,90	c	0.11
n D	ORANGE 35	53.I	22 60	72 00	M BI	0,	16	м	M	Y	0.04	R	0.10 0.20
R	ORANGE 35	62 5	LL 71	76 86	TIN	0	19	M	м	R	0.61	Y	0.39
		v 2. J	11.11	10.00	1 0 11	0	. /		••	- •		-	5.57

R R R R R R R	YELLOW 36 YELLOW 36 YELLOW 36 YELLOW 36 YELLOW 36 YELLOW 36	71.4 76.4 73.5 78.5 72.6 71.4 72	8.62 5.3 10.09 0.44 -7.24 12.31 19.37	103.15 103.04 103.61 72.84 111.25 100.76 94.16	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MH TUN	Y Y Y Y Y Y	12 13 10 17 9 11	H H H H H H	M M M M M	Y Y Y Y Y Y	0.91 0.89 0.90 0.96 0.85 0.88 0.88	G G R G G R	0.10 0.19 0.14 0.09 0.18 0.13 0.12
R R R R R R R R	GOLD 37 GOLD 37 GOLD 37 GOLD 37 GOLD 37 GOLD 37 GOLD 37	64.8 68.4 66.5 71.2 65.9 65.1 65.2	4.70 3.32 6.57 0.30 -3.86 8.07 11.35	51.00 54.97 50.43 42.19 53.80 47.77 48.27	CNF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MH TUN	T Y Y Y Y/T T	7 12 7 15 8 7 9	M	H/L M L L L L	Y Y Y Y Y Y	0.88 0.90 0.88 0.92 0.88 0.86 0.88	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.15 0.09 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13
R F R R R R R	BROWN 38 BROWN 38 BROWN 38 BROWN 38 BROWN 38 BROWN 38 BROWN 38	28 33.5 31 38.9 27.7 29.1 29.2	13.99 6.62 12.18 0.53 6.08 15.62 18.37	45.77 52.52 50.25 43.1 46.83 47.27 45.48	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MR TUN	8 M N 8 M N 0 V 8 M N 8 M N 8 M N 8 M N	20 19 18 8 14 16 20	L L M L L	H H/L H/L L L H L	R R R/Y G G R R R	0.7 <u>9</u> 0.80 .79/.68 0.88 0.84 0.78 0.78	R/Y Y R Y Y Y Y	. 36/. 16 0. 23 0. 34 0. 17 0. 09 0. 20 0. 21
R R R R R R R R	GREEN 39 GREEN 39 GREEN 39 GREEN 39 GREEN 39 GREEN 39 GREEN 39	27.3 13.9 23.3 4.2 25.1 27.9 27.1	-56.52 -31.77 -54.17 -3.44 -36.88 -61.23 -65.76	2.28 -34.41 -10.81 -46.42 28.81 -2.04 -14.74	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER ME TUN	G BG BK G BG BG	13 15 14 12 18 12 12	M L L H H	M M L M H B	G B/G G B G G G	0.85 .76/.62 0.79 0.10 0.93 0.77 0.75	B B B B B B	0.19 0.38 0.22 0.07 0.13 0.27 0.26
R R R R R R R	BLUE 40 BLUE 40 BLUE 40 BLUE 40 BLUE 40 BLUE 40 BLUE 40	12.8 7.2 11.3 4.2 7.6 13.6 12.7	9.88 -4.41 -3.98 -0.53 35.72 -1.42 -13.32	-53.66 -66.27 -54.89 -61.25 -56.65 -50.29 -56.38	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MB TUN	B B B B B B B B B	20 20 15 18 20 20	L L L L L	B B L M B/M B	B B B B B B	0.99 0.99 0.99 1.00 0.96 0.98 1.00	R - B R R	0.06 - 0.05 0.15 0.09 -
R R R R R R R	WHITE 41 WHITE 41 WHITE 41 WHITE 41 WHITE 41 WHITE 41 WHITE 41	78.5 78.4 78.5 78.4 78.6 78.5 78.4	-1.09 -0.42 -0.85 -0.03 -1.06 -1.15 -1.18	1.16 0.32 1.41 1.88 2.27 1.64 0.36	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MH TUN	GY ਸ ਸ Y GY/ਸ ਸ	11 14 15 18 14 10 11	H H H H H H H	- - H -	- - Y - -	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - -	- - - 0. 08 - - - -
R R R R R R	F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42 F ORANGE 42	67.5 69.5 68.3 68.3 63.3 69.9 68.2	62.33 36.75 50.04 14.51 74.34 63.54 56.63	99.80 95.65 96.96 5.34 94.92 101.90 107.42	CHF HPS HPSMH LPS MER MH TUN	0 0 0 0 0	19 18 18 18 17 20 20	H H H H	H H M H H H	R Y R Y R R R	0.61 0.62 0.57 0.69 0.59 0.55 0.55	Y R Y R Y Y	0.39 0.38 0.43 0.32 0.41 0.45 0.43

RF RF	Y-GREEN 43 Y-GREEN 43	85.1 - 85.5	-21.15	107.99 97.66	CHF HPS	YG Y	15 11 12	H H H M	Y Y Y	0.80 0.92	G G	0.20 0.10
RF	Y-GREEN 43	88.0 -	0. 29	4, 55	LPS	r G Y	12	MM	Y	0.05	-	-
RF	Y-GREEN 43	90.0	-26.60	116.96	MER	ΥG	13	НН	Y	0.74	G	0.26
RF	Y-GREEN 43	90.4	-19.59	113.01	MH	YG	13	н н	Y	0.79	G	0.22
P.F	Y-GREEN 43	84.0	-9.12	84. 53	TUN	Y/YG	9	HM	Y	0.87	G	0 . 1 6
F M	AGENTA 44	66.1	85.54	12.96	CWF	PK	15	H/M H	R	0.89	B	0.15
F M	IAGENIA 44	72.8	53.94	20.90	HPS HPS	PK	14	<u>п</u> д/п м п	л р	0.07	I D	0.24
F M	AGENIA 44	69.7	72.52	19.10	HPSMH	PK	13	пп	л р	0.69	v	0.14
FM	IAGENIA 44	05.U	34.17	34.55	LLD	0	10	n n w 17	л р	0.09	I D	
FM	IAGENIA 44	02.1	00 56	-3.70	<u>пък</u> мп	PK DF		n n M T	n D	0.07	P	0.17
F M	IAGENIA 44 IAGENTA 44	58.8 71.4	88.50 77.97	31.03	TUN	PK	9 10	MH	R	0.85	B/Y	. 18/. 16
FF	ED 45	63.1	80, 72	40. 51	CHF	PK	9	ME	R	0.88	Y	0.16
FF	RED 45	71.5	54.53	50.53	HPS	R0/0	7	н н	R	0.77	Y	0.26
FF	RED 45	67.8	70.63	45.38	HPSMH	PK	7	M H/M	R	0.88	Y	0.13
FF	RED 45	63.8	36.24	31.36	LPS	0	12	М Н	R	0.67	Y	0.33
FF	RED 45	60.8	96.32	29.59	MER	R	10	MH	R	0.92	В	0.1
FF	RED 45	67.1	85.34	43.67	MH	R	11	H/M H	R	0.88	В	0.16
FF	RED 45	71.1	78.24	55.40	TUN	R	9	MH	R	0.86	Y	0.15
RC	D-RED 46	6 5. 6	81.73	62.27	CHF	0	6	ME	R	0.79	Y	0.23
RC)-RED 46	73.4	54.20	6 9. 99	HPS	0	15	MH	R	0.66	Y	0.34
RC	D-RED 46	69.9	71.18	65.88	HPSMH	0	9	MH	R	0.77	Y	0.24
RC	D-RED 46	65.5	35.16	42.85	LPS	0	16	м м	R	0.64	Y	0.36
RC	D-RED 46	62.8	96.26	50.55	MER	R	9	MH	R	0.84	Y	0.18
RC	D-RED 46	69.9	87.67	65.21	MH	R	7	MH	R	0.77	Y	0.23
RC	D-RED 46	73.4	79.22	74.12	TUN	0	8	МН	R	0. 75	Ŷ	0. 27
FF	R-ORANGE 47	68.3	81.61	78.75	CWF	0	10	МЕ	R	0.67	Ŷ	0.33
F 1	R-ORANGE 47	73.5	51.68	80.64	HPS	0	16	мн	R	0.61	Ŷ	0.39
FF	R-ORANGE 47	70.5	68.26	77.72	HPSMH	0	11	МН	R	0.69	Ŷ	0.31
FF	R-ORANGE 47	68.0	30.55	41.71	LPS	0	17	M M	R	0.62	Ŷ	0.38
Fł	R-ORANGE 47	65.1	94.73	68.84	MER	0	8	МН	R	0.72	Ŷ	0.28
FF	R-ORANGE 47 R-ORANGE 47	70.9 74.9	84.66 77.76	78.81 85.13	MH TUN	0 0	11 15	M H M H	R R	0.68 0.64	Y Y	0.32 0.36
F (DANCE HO	76 11	70 10	90 65	CHE	0	10	ы п	P	0.60	v	0 10
r (DANCE 40	70.4 05.0	12.12	09.05	UNE	0	10	п <u>п</u> м т	к р	0.60	I V	0.40
ru	DANGE 48	85.9	42.82	97.03	HPS HPS	0	20	n 1 w 7	к р	0.60	I V	0.40
	DRANGE 48	81.0	59.22	92.48	HPSMH	0	18	<u>п</u> н	ĸ	0.60	I	0.40
F (JRANGE 48	83.3	16.97	12.40	LPS	U	20	H M	I	0.69	ĸ	0.45
FO	DRANGE 48	77.2	86.99	83.89	MER	0	20		R	0.58	I	0.42
F (JRANGE 48	85.3	78.02	96.04	MH	0	20	ME	R	0.55	I	0.45
F (DRANGE 48	80.7	67.61	90.95	TUN	0	19	мн	R	0.58	Ŷ	0.42
F)	CORANGE 49	89.0	52.27	107.62	CWF	0	19	M H	Y	0.66	R	0.34
r)	I-UKANGE 49	93.3	24.09	105.10	HPSHT	0	13		I V	0.75	R	0.25
r)	I-ORANGE 49	92.2	40.18	110.46	нганн	U	17	<u>H</u> H/M	I	0.71	К	0.29
r)	I-UKANGE 49	94.4	4.18	1.05	LPS	1	19	л H п п	I	0.95	К	0.09
r)	-ORANGE 49	94.7	55.05	105.00	nek MT	0	19		v	0.00	R	0.32
r) 	-ORANGE 49	00.7	60.19 E1 0H	105.03	711 711	0	17	n H	I V	0.04	R D	0.30
r)	I-URANGE 49	89.3	51.34	109.45	ION	U	20	н н	I	0, 70	К	0.30

F	G-YELLON 50	0 100.0	-26.76	101.80	CHF	YG	14	н	ł	Y 0.78	G	0.22
F	G-YELLON 50	0 101.6	-4.48	87.18	APS	Y	13	H	I	Y 0.90	G	0.16
F	G-YELLON 50	0 101.3	-16,95	94.03	HPSMH	YG	13	H J	1	Y 0.82	G	0.20
F	G-YELLOW 50	0 98.4	0.44	-15, 95	LPS	Ŷ	20	RI	1	Y 0.98	R	0 08
F	G-YELLOW 50	0 105.9	-34, 35	109.44	MER	YG	14	н	1 -	Y 0.74	G	0.26
F	G-YELLOW 50	0 106.0	-25, 18	100.12	MH	YG	14	н	-	Y 0.79	G	0.24
F	G-YELLON 50	0 98.3	-9.09	86 48	TIN	Ŷ	10	н . н)	4	Y 0.86	c	0.17
-			,,	001 40	101	•		64 F	•		u	0. 17
F	GREEN 51	69.4	-59.10	60, 80	CHF	G	13	н 1	ł	G 0.82	Y	0, 23
F	GREEN 51	61.8	-27.27	34.77	HPS	G	16	н	1	G 0.82	Y	0.25
F	GREEN 51	67.4	-48.34	48,94	HPSMH	G	15	н	1	G 0.86	Y	0, 16
F	GREEN 51	53.3	-0.53	-0, 51	LPS	07	7	MI	_	Y 0.86	G/Y	. 19/. 21
F	GREEN 51	76.1	-45.95	73, 52	MER	G	10	м	- 1	G 0.78	Y	0.24
F	GREEN 51	74, 9	-60.34	58.82	MH	G	12	м	- 7	G 0.79	Ŷ	0.24
F	GREEN 51	66.6	-60.07	42.38	TUN	G	15	н т	- 1	G 0.84	Ŷ	0.20
	•			101.00		-			•	u 0.04	•	0. 20
F	BLUE 52	40.3	-14.06	-55. 3 1	CWF	В	20	КЭ	ſ	B 0.99	G	0, 10
F	BLUE 52	31.9	-13, 40	-79.60	HPS	В	20	н	1	B 0.99	-	_
F	BLUE 52	38.6	-19.28	-69.55	HPSMH	В	20	н н	1	B 0.99	R	0, 08
F	BLUE 52	25.9	-0.52	-38,50	LPS	GΥ	13	LI		R 0.66	Ŷ	0, 28
F	BLUE 52	39.5	13.86	-62,80	MER	B	20	M N	1	B 0.99	R	0.08
F	BLUE 52	44.5	-20, 21	-65.69	MH	B	20	К	- ·	B 0.99	G	0.05
F	BLUE 52	40.6	-37.29	-61.68	TUN	B	20	м	•	B 10,96	G	0.11
			5=/			2			•			0
F	MAGENTA 53	54.6	75.80	-17.62	CWF	НG	9	м в	I	R 0.83	В	0.18
F	MAGENTA 53	60.3	48.07	-10.78	HPS	PK	12	Н 1	E	R 0.85	В	0.20
F	MAGENTA 53	57.8	63.38	-15.12	HPSMH	PK	9	M 1	E	R 0.81	В	0.23
F	MAGENTA 53	51.9	27.63	18.42	LPS	R/O	8	H 1	1	R 0.79	Y	0. 23
F	MAGENTA 53	52.4	92.96	-32.70	MER	MG	8	M 1	Ŧ	R 0.79	B	0. 21
F	MAGENTA 53	59.2	79.98	-18.12	MH	PK	11	н	I	R 0.81	В	0.20
F	MAGENTA 53	63.3	72.08	-0.62	TUN	РK	8	M I	I	R 0.88	В	0.15
R	BLUE 54	18.1	0.69	-49.45	CWF	В	20	L H,	/ M	B 0.99	-	-
R	BLUE 54	9.8	-8.77	-70.65	HPS	B	20	LI	I	B 0.99	-	-
R	BLUE 54	15.8	-11.13	-50.07	HPSMH	В	20	LI	H	B 0.97	G	0.08
R	BLUE 54	3.8	-0.36	-20.79	LPS	BK	16	LI	_	B 1	В	0. 05
R	BLUE 54	10.6	27.97	-53.41	MER	В	18	LI	1	B 0.94	R	0.13
R	BLUE 54	18.2	-8,88	-47.67	MH	В	20	LI	E	B 0.98	R	0.07
R	BLUE 54	15.0	-20.05	-48.37	TUN	В	18	LI	H	B 0:96	G	0.18
	D 00000 55		o/ / -			~		м.		a 0.07	D	0.10
R	B-GREEN 55	25.7	-36.67	1.79	CWF	G	14	n l	ר ע	G 0.87	B	0.18
R	B-GREEN 55	16.3	-23.06	-22.60	HPS	BG	12		1	G U. 78	В	0.22
R	B-GREEN 55	21.7	-33.11	-6.33	HPSMH	BG	13	M 1	1	G 0.79	В	0, 22
R	B-GREEN 55	11.8	-0.82	-0.56	LPS	BK	16	ل ال	-		В	0.04
R	B-GREEN 55	27.5	-22.31	13.89	MER	G	18	M/L I	1	G 0.89	В	0.14
R	B-GREEN 55	25.8	-39.60	-0.96	MH	G/BG	10	MI	1	G 0.84	B	0.20
R	B-GREEN 55	29.2	-44.98	-8.55	TUN	BG	11	M 1	M	G 0,82	В	0.20

RF	ORANO	E 5	6	66.0	50.7	8 90	. 12	CRF	0	19	М	Н	R/Y	.57/.68	R/Y	.32/.44
RF	ORANO	E 5	6	61.4	27.1	7 80	. 29	HPS	0	18	М	М	R	0.54	Y	0.4 6
RF	ORANO	E 5	6	67.5	41.0	5 90	. 56	HPSMH	0	20	М	H	Y	0.69	R	0.31
RF	ORANC	E 5	6	56.8	12.2	6 12	. 21	LPS	0	13	М	L	Y	0.77	R	0.24
RF	ORANG	E 5	6	66.6	70.6	4 95	. 49	MER	0	20	М	Ħ	Y	0.60	R	0.40
RF	ORANG	E 5	6	71.8	55.5	2 99	. 39	MH	0	19	М	H	R	0.55	Y	0.45
RF	ORANG	E 5	6	62.6	40.1	0 84	. 90	TUN	0	20	М	М	Y	0.65	R	0.35
F	RED 51	,		39.4	45.5	8 23	. 63	CWF	R	17	М	М	R	0.90	В	0.12
F	RED 57	,		41.4	30.3	2 25	. 93	HPS	R	17	L	М	R	0.90	Y	0.14
F	RED 5'	,		41.4	39.1	7 24	. 34	HPSMH	R	15	М	М	R	0.93	В	0.10
F	RED 5	,		35.7	15.4	4 12	. 21	LPS	R	8	M	L	R	0. 92	Y	0.10
F	RED 51	,		38.8	49.6	1 20	. 32	MER	R	18	М	М	R	0.93	В	0.09
F	RED 57	,		42.5	49. C	9 25	. 92	MH	R	19	M	М	R	0.91	В	0.13
F	RED 5'	,		47.8	55.6	6 34	. 21	TUN	R	17	М	H	R	0.94	Y	0.13
F	RED 58			37.8	43.1	3 17	. 47	CHF	R	14	Н	М	R	0.90	B	0.13
F	RED 58			41.4	28.2	6 18	. 89	HPS	R	14	L	М	R	0. 92	В	0.15
F	RED 58			40.0	36.8	6 19	. 10	HPSMH	R	13	М	М	R	0.91	E	0.12
F	RED 5			35.1	12.4	9 10	1. 56	LPS	BWN	9	M	M/L	R	0.85	Y	0.17
F	RED 58			37.0	45.9	9 12	. 27	MER	R	16	L	М	R	0.90	В	0.16
F	RED 5	:		40.9	44.8	3 18	. 67	MH	R	13	М	М	R	0.88	В	0.13
F	RED 5	1		46.6	53.9	1 32	. 18	TUN	R	20	М	H	R	0.94	B/Y	. 09/. 12

NBS-114A (REV. 2-80)		•						
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Performing Organ. Report No.	3. Publication Date					
SHEET (See instructions)	NBSIR 86-3493		JANUARY 1987					
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		•						
Safety Color Appeara	nce Under Selected Li	pht Sources						
		5						
5. AUTHOR(S)								
B.L. Collins, B.Y. K	uo, S.E. Mayerson, J.,	A. Worthey, G.L. Howett						
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION (If joint or other than NBS	, see instructions) 7	. Contract/Grant No.					
NATIONAL BUREAU OF Department of comm Washington, d.c. 2023	STANDARDS ERCE 4	8.	. Type of Report & Period Covered					
	TION NAME AND COMPLETE A	DDDDESS (Second City, Second ZiD)						
Occupational Safety	and Health Administra	bureas (street, City, State, ZIP)						
200 Constitution Ave	nue	e 10fi						
Washington, D.C. 20	210							
IU. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE	:5							
	a computer program; SF-185, FIP	S Software Summary, is attached.						
bibliography or literature	or less factual summary of most s survey, mention it here)	significant information. If documer	t includes a significant					
measurements of	58 safety color sa	amples viewed under	each of seven light					
sources. Ten o	bservers particip	pated in an experim	ent which determined					
the accuracy wit	th which different	color samples could	be identified under					
sources which w	varied in spectral	l composition. The	seven light sources					
halide, metal h	alide-high pressu	re sodium mix, high	pressure sodium, and					
low pressure sod	lium. Color sample	es included ones for	safety red, orange,					
yellow, green,	blue, purple (mag	genta), brown, white	, gray, and black of					
several differen	nt types including	ordinary, fluoresce	nt, retroreflective,					
and retroreflect	IVE ILUOTESCENT.	Analysis of the dat Stenderde Institute)	a indicated that the					
not identified	accurately under	r many of the so	urces studied, with					
particularly poo	or performance fo	or the two sodium	sources and clear					
mercury. Speci	ifications are giv	ven for a new set	of samples that were					
identified more	accurately under	all seven sources	and which showed a					
Chromaticity and	i luminance coordi	inates for all 58	color samples are					
presented for h	ooth CIE x,y,Y and	l CIE L*a*b* value	s. In addition, the					
psychophysical d	lata are compared v	vith the CIELAB data						
12. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons) chromaticity, color, color appearance, energy-efficient lights.								
high-intensity	discharge lights,	illumination, li	ght source, safety					
colors, vision.								
13. AVAILABILITY			14. NO. OF					
X Unlimited			FRINTED PAGES					
For Official Distribut	ion. Do Not Release to NTIS		139					
Urder From Superinter 20402.	ident of Documents, U.S. Govern	ment Printing Office, Washington,	15. Price					
X Order From National	Technical Information Service (N	TIS), Springfield, VA. 22161	\$18.95					

USC

. .

ډ