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Vaporization of Simulated Nuclear Waste Glass

J. W. Hastie, E. R. Plante, and D. W. Bonnell
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Washington, DC 20234

ABSTRACT

Industrial development of nuclear waste glass processing requires basic data on glass vaporization thermodynamics. Detailed mass spectrometric experiments and thermodynamic estimates have been made for vaporization of a nonradioactive borosilicate glass containing representative nuclear waste isotopes. Alkali metaborates were observed to be the dominant vapor species and their partial pressures indicate significant vapor transport under likely process conditions. The results indicate the following order of significance for vapor transport of radionuclide species, Cs ~ Re (~ Tc) > Ru >> Sr.
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1. Introduction

Industrial plans for nuclear waste storage require the waste to be incorporated into a borosilicate glass. Vapor pressure data are needed for these borate-waste forms in order to optimize the process conditions (e.g., temperature, composition) and minimize losses of radionuclides.
by vapor transport during the high temperature mixing treatment. The primary objective of the present study was to define the vaporization thermodynamics of a simulated nuclear waste (SNW) borosilicate glass, containing non-radioactive isotopes, as prepared by DuPont-Savannah River. Also, measurements of partial pressure for each vapor species allow thermodynamic activities and related partial molar functions to be derived. Such basic data are pertinent to the development of thermodynamic models of other nuclear waste properties such as leaching and storage durability. Special emphasis was given to vapor species containing the following elements which in actual nuclear waste form are highly radioactive: Cs, Sr, Ru, and Re—present as a technetium simulant.

Table 1 gives the analytical and nominal compositions of the glass sample used. The analytical composition was determined by inductively coupled plasma spectroscopic analysis [Soper, 1982] for all elements except Cs, Ru, and Re which were determined by neutron activation analysis. For the thermodynamic estimation of species pressures the nominal glass composition data were used.

No literature data exist for a glass of this type. However, there are indications from vapor transport measurements on metaborate glasses, both in inert and H₂O atmospheres, that alkali metaborate and metaboric acid are the likely vapor species [Cable, 1978; Wenzel and Sanders, 1982]. The thermogravimetric data of Gray [1980] for radioactive waste glasses, with higher concentrations of radionuclides than for the present system, is not molecular-specific and hence cannot be used for extrapolation to our conditions.

High temperature vaporization data were required for vacuum, inert N₂, and reactive H₂O, CO₂, CO, H₂, O₂ atmospheres, the latter mixture
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Nominal Composition</th>
<th>Analytical Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight %</td>
<td>Mole %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>57.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₂O₃</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>10.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs₂O</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuO₂</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re₂O₇</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \) [Soper, 1982]

\( ^{b} \) See text for selection
representing the off-gas condition in the industrial glass processor. For the temperature range of interest, 850 to 1150 °C, this glass is in a molten state. Under the conditions of interest we can expect a priori an exceedingly complex vapor phase composition—containing many types of polyatomic species—and measurements over a wide dynamic range of pressure and temperature are required for an unambiguous thermodynamic analysis. Specialized state-of-the-art mass spectrometric techniques are required to characterize such complex systems. Three techniques, Knudsen effusion Mass Spectrometry (KMS), Gas Inlet KMS (GKMS), and Transpiration Mass Spectrometry (TMS) were selected for the present study. These techniques were supplemented by thermodynamic calculations which are at present approximate owing to assumptions concerning solution activities.

The experimental and theoretical results indicate that complex oxide vapor species dominate the vaporization behavior of this glass, with the following order of significance for the radionuclides

\[ \text{Cs} \sim \text{Re} (\sim \text{Tc}) > \text{Ru} >> \text{Sr}. \]

Also, significant losses of the host glass components \( \text{Li}_2\text{O}, \text{Na}_2\text{O}, \) and \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \) occur due to the high volatility of alkali metaborate species. Our experiments and thermochemical calculations suggest the reactive atmosphere to be of secondary importance, though additional experiments and detailed modeling are required for a definitive picture to emerge.
2. Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometry

2.1 Basis of Technique

Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry (KMS) combines the classical Knudsen method for vapor pressure measurement with mass spectrometric identification of individual molecular species. The rate of effusion is related to the pressure within the effusion cell by the expression:

\[ \frac{m_i}{\Delta t} = P_i \cdot C \cdot a \left( \frac{2\pi RT/M_i}{\Delta t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where, for species i, \( m_i \) is the mass loss for time \( \Delta t \), \( P_i \) the partial pressure, \( C \) the Clausing factor, \( a \) the orifice area, \( R \) the gas constant, \( T \) the temperature, and \( M_i \) the molecular weight. The partial pressure is determined from the mass spectrometric positive ion intensity \( I_i \) using the expression:

\[ P_i = kI_i T, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where \( k \) is the combined mass spectrometer-chemical species sensitivity constant.

These relationships are based on the condition of molecular effusion where the molecular mean free path within the cell is much larger than the orifice diameter. For practical orifice sizes of \(~ 0.5 \) mm and typical temperatures and molecular weights, the upper limit in cell pressure is about \( 10^{-4} \) atm for molecular effusion.
2.2 Apparatus

A schematic of the apparatus used is given in figure 1. Details of this apparatus have been given elsewhere [Plante, 1979]. To discriminate against scattered gas in the mass spectrometer ion source, beam modulation was used. In this method of operation, molecules issuing from the effusion orifice are interrupted at a selected frequency (about 200 Hz) by a slotted disc mounted on a motor. A light beam passing through the disc, and detected by an optical switch, serves as the reference signal for a lock-in amplifier. The mass-analyzed positive ions, formed by electron impact in the source of the mass spectrometer, are amplified by the lock-in detector only if they bear a specific and definite fixed phase relationship to the reference signal. This signal, which is called an ac spectrum, is relatively free from interference at the same m/e ratio from other ions which are formed in the source of the mass spectrometer but have not passed through the chopping wheel.

The quadrupole mass filter (QMF) shown in figure 1 is mounted about 1-1/2 inches off center on a 6-inch flange in a horizontal position. The water-cooled motor (M) which drives the modulation disk (MD) and the optical switch (OS) are located below the mass filter. The location of ion gauges (I.G.), and a shutter (S) for protecting the window (W) are also indicated. A prism (P) on the top flange deflects the radiant energy emitted from the Knudsen cell into an optical pyrometer for temperature measurement. However, for the relatively low temperatures of the present study a thermocouple (T.C.) was used for temperature measurement.

Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram of a typical Knudsen cell and furnace. The Knudsen cell was centrally located, both axially and
vertically, in the furnace. This arrangement was contained within a water-cooled copper chamber to reduce outgassing effects at elevated temperatures. The Knudsen cell was made of 0.025 cm Pt sheet and was of welded construction. It was 0.80 cm o.d. by 1.90 cm high and was welded to a 0.32 cm o.d. platinum mounting tube about 2.5 cm long. The top of the cell was made from 0.015 cm Pt sheet, the outer edge of which was bent in a mold to form a lip so that the lid fits snugly inside the effusion cell body (note detail in fig. 2). An effusion orifice diameter of 0.5 cm was used. For the present study, the cell was modified to include a reentrant thermocouple support tube located in the sample region (not shown in fig. 2).

For measurements involving injection of water vapor through the cell bottom, the Knudsen cell was modified by insertion of a Pt leak tube connected to a gas inlet system. A 0.025 cm (0.010 in.) orifice at the top of the leak tube allowed for water vapor injection into the cell. The leak rate into the cell was controlled by a valve external to the vacuum system. With this cell arrangement, temperatures were measured using a thermocouple spot welded to the side of the effusion cell.

The Ta sheet furnace, as shown in figure 2, was 7.6 cm long and 0.005 cm thick, with heavier gauge support rings connected to electrodes. High amperage low voltage power was supplied to this radiation furnace through water-cooled electrodes.
2.3 Instrument Calibration

2.3.1 Mass Discrimination

The quadrupole mass spectrometer may discriminate against higher mass ions, depending on tuning, and a mass discrimination calibration is necessary. For species \( i \) in a gas mixture, the ion current is given by the equation:

\[
I_i^+ = k_g \cdot f_i \cdot \sigma_i \cdot A_i \cdot \tau_i \quad (2.3)
\]

where \( f_i \) is the fraction of species \( i \) in a gas mixture, \( A_i \) the isotopic fraction, \( \sigma_i \) the electron impact ionization cross section, \( \tau_i \) the mass spectrometer transmission factor, and \( k_g \) the instrument geometric factor. Each term in equation (2.3) is known except the transmission and geometric factors, the latter being independent of ion type. Relative transmission factors were obtained from equation (2.3) using a gas mixture consisting of about 95% \( \text{N}_2 \) and 1% each of \( \text{He}, \text{Ar}, \text{Ne}, \text{Kr}, \) and \( \text{Xe} \).

2.3.2 Sensitivity Constant

Two approaches are used to determine the sensitivity constant \( k_i \) defined in equation (2.2). These approaches rely either on a determination of the ion current corresponding to the evaporation of a known mass of material, or of the ion current corresponding to a known pressure in the effusion cell.

To determine the calibration constant by a mass calibration method the pressures from equations (2.1) and (2.2) are equated, giving
the relationship:

\[ k_i = \frac{[m_i A_i (2\pi R)^{1/2}]}{[\text{Cat} I_i^+ M_i T^{1/2}]]}. \tag{2.4} \]

In practice, the sum of weight losses for a number of experiments at different temperatures and for different periods of time (\(\Delta t\)) are observed so that eq. (2.4) is cast in the form,

\[ k_i = \frac{[A_i (2\pi R)^{1/2} \sum m_i]}{[aC M_i^{1/2} \sum I_i^+ \Delta t \cdot T^{1/2}]]. \tag{2.5} \]

In some instances more than a single vapor species, or more than two vapor species linked by a known stoichiometric requirement, are formed. In such a case (which includes the simulated nuclear glass vaporization measurements) it is necessary to use measured or estimated ionization cross sections to relate the various sensitivity constants. In this case, the total mass loss (\(\sum m_i\)) can be used to estimate the various mass spectrometer constants for individual ions. Rearrangement of equation (2.5) for an individual species, \(i\), gives:

\[ \Delta m = k_g \cdot C \cdot a (2\pi R)^{1/2} \sum_i \frac{(M_i)^{3/2}}{A_i \tau_i \sigma_i} \left( \sum_T I_i(T) \cdot \Delta t(T) \cdot T^{1/2} \right). \tag{2.6} \]

Using this equation, it is possible to calculate the contribution of each vapor species to the total estimated or measured weight loss and, thereby, determine if the estimated or measured cross sections are consistent with the sample stoichiometry.

Calibration of the mass spectrometer by passing a known amount of calibrating gas through a leak system is in principle the same as the weight loss method. For measurements where water vapor from an external source was passed over the glass using the KMS system
this calibration was obtained by passing Ar from a calibrated leak into the gas inlet tube of the Knudsen cell. Since the Ar leak rate is known \((1.61 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1})\), the equivalent pressure in the Knudsen cell can be calculated and a value of \(k_{\text{Ar}}\) determined from the Ar ion intensity. Values of \(k_i\) can then be calculated from \(k_{\text{Ar}}\) using equation \((2.3)\).

For the various calibration and normal experimental procedures a 30 eV ionizing electron energy was used. The production of doubly ionized species for the calibration gases is minimal at 30 eV and the total ionization cross sections are a good approximation to the cross section for single ionization. Also, for more complex molecular species, the amount of fragmentation is less at 30 eV than at higher ionizing energies. In the case of electron impact fragmentation of molecular species it was necessary to either correct the estimated total cross section for this effect or to include in \(I_i\) all ions formed by fragmentation of a parent molecule. In this work, we have chosen to correct the total estimated cross section to obtain a partial ionization cross section valid for the observed species.

2.4 Detection Limits

The ability of the modulated beam mass spectrometer to detect different species depends on a number of factors. For mass positions relatively free of hydrocarbon or permanent gas background, such as at 23 amu, a signal of 1 \(\mu\text{V}\) can be detected with an uncertainty of \(\pm 20\%\). For unfavorable mass positions, such as at 18 and 44 amu, signals of up to 5 \(\mu\text{V}\) may be required for detection within \(\pm 20\%\) uncertainty. Although it is possible to obtain greater total amplification by increasing the multiplier gain, the signal-to-noise ratio is a more important factor.
Improvement in this factor is usually achieved by decreasing the residual gas pressure in the mass spectrometer section of the vacuum system. Other factors of importance include, the distribution of isotopes among the nuclides, the instrument mass discrimination, and the cross section for molecular ion formation.

Generally, for the present experimental conditions, species could be detected in unfavorable cases at partial pressures of $10^{-7}$ atm and in favorable cases at $10^{-8}$ atm. In unusual cases, the sensitivity of the instrument can be somewhat less as, for example, with NaReO$_4$ vaporization. Here, the NaReO$_4$ molecule fragments under electron impact to yield mainly Na$^+$ and only about 0.1% of the ions produced are NaReO$_4^+$. As the NaBO$_2$ glass vapor species also produces a high intensity of Na$^+$, this ion cannot conveniently be used as a measure of NaReO$_4$ and a partial pressure of NaReO$_4$ as high as $10^{-5}$ atm may be required for detection as NaReO$_4^+$. This problem could possibly be circumvented in future studies by using an electron ionizing voltage high enough to produce fragments such as ReO$_3^+$ at less obscured mass positions.

2.5 Glass Vaporization in Vacuum

2.5.1 Observations on Li, Na, and Cs-containing Species

Several series of measurements were made on the simulated nuclear glass. A series represents a sequence of experiments (runs) using the same portion of the glass sample. Results for each series were reasonably reproducible and two representative series are reported here in detail. A number of experimental runs were made for each
series, each run consisting of experimental pressure-temperature points. For each run the sample temperature was increased from room temperature, or a lower idling temperature where vaporization was negligible, and data points were then obtained with an increasing temperature chronology.

Mass spectral ions observed in the temperature range from 800 to 1150 °C, at 30 eV ionizing energy, included Na⁺ (23 amu), NaBO₂⁺ (66), Li⁺ (7), LiBO₂⁺ (50), Cs⁺ (133), O₂⁺ (32), and the associated isotopic species. A typical mass spectrum in bar-graph form is given in figure 3. Note that the intensities are plotted on a logarithmic scale. Also, isotopic peaks at m/e of 6, 48, 49, and 65 amu have been omitted for the sake of clarity. Both Li⁺ and Na⁺ ions are fragments from metaborate precursors. This assignment is supported by the invariance of the ion intensity ratio of M⁺/MBO₂⁺ with temperature and by the high appearance potentials of Li⁺ and Na⁺. As shown by the ionization efficiency curves in figure 4, the observed appearance potentials of Li⁺ and Na⁺ are 10.0 ± 0.5 and 9.0 ± 0.5 eV, respectively, as compared with the corresponding values of 5.4 and 5.1 eV for ionization from the elements. Also, the form of each curve is indicative of a single molecular precursor. By analogy with the electron impact behavior of NaBO₂ and LiBO₂, it is considered likely that Cs⁺ is also produced by electron impact fragmentation of the metaborate precursor, CsBO₂. Gorokhov et al. [1971] observed M⁺/MBO₂⁺ ratios at 70 eV for Li, Na, and Cs metaborates of 0.48, 3.30, and 32.7, respectively. These ratios are likely to be higher than those for 30 eV. However, the trend suggests that even at 30 eV the low Cs⁺ intensities observed in the present study would preclude direct observation of CsBO₂ as CsBO₂⁺.

The release of O₂ from the glass melt (see fig. 3) is attributed mainly to reduction of the Fe₂O₃-Fe₃O₄ dissolved in the melt.
A small amount of Cl (0.1 wt.%) was indicated in the nominal glass composition. Therefore a search was made, at a reduced temperature, for the relatively volatile alkali chlorides. No significant signals were noted for LiCl$^+$ (40 amu), NaCl$^+$ (58), and Cs$^+$ (from fragmentation of CsCl). It is possible that most of this Cl was lost during the glass preparation.

Conversion of ion intensity to species partial pressure data was made using the parameters defined by equation (2.3) and which are listed in Table 2. For series I, the first four runs were obtained using a single mass spectrometer sensitivity calibration and mass discrimination curve. After the fourth run, the spectrometer tuning was changed to expand the observable mass range. The fifth run of the Series I data was obtained using a second mass calibration and the new mass discrimination curve. These new calibrations were also used for the series II data. We have assumed that LiBO$_2$, NaBO$_2$, and CsBO$_2$ each have the same total ionization cross section value, estimated as 2.4 ($\pi a_0^2$ units). The partial cross sections (corrected for fragmentation) are given in Table 2, except for CsBO$_2$ where no CsBO$_2^+$ was observed. Values of $k_i$ were obtained using the mass spectrometric constants given in Table 2, together with the experimental $\Sigma I_i^+ \Delta t \cdot T^k$ values and the observed weight loss data.

A check on the internal consistency of partial pressure data can be made by comparison of calculated weight loss data with the upper limit imposed by the sample composition. Table 3 lists the calculated weight loss by vaporization (using eq. 2.6) of the major oxide components together with the initial concentration of each oxide. The calculated weight losses are reasonably consistent with the sample composition except for O$_2$. In this case more O$_2$ was lost than was initially present,
Table 2
Mass Spectrometric Constants Used for Ions
Over Simulated Nuclear Waste Glass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ion</th>
<th>m/e</th>
<th>Series I (Runs 1-4)</th>
<th>Series I (Run 5) and Series II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>τ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiBO_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaBO_2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aUnits of πa^2_o, e.g., see Bonnell and Hastie (1979).

assuming reduction of Fe_2O_3 to Fe_3O_4 as the only source of O_2. This effect was also noted in the TMS studies (section 3). It is likely that a secondary source of O_2 is present in this glass. For the series II data the calculated amount of CsBO_2 lost is slightly greater than the total amount present. Considering the uncertainties in ionization cross sections and sample composition, the agreement between observed and calculated losses is satisfactory.

The experimental partial pressure versus temperature data are plotted in figures 5 to 10. Several distinctive features are common to each of these figures. Note in figure 5, for instance, that the initial run 1 data show anomalously high pressures at low temperatures. Also, note the tendency for the observed pressures to decrease slightly with time e.g., as in the run 5 versus run 1 data. The initial high pressure effect may be due sample inhomogeneity. It is likely that small surface regions exist with higher concentrations of volatile components than for
Table 3
Comparison of Calculated Weight Loss Data with Sample Composition (in mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Series I</th>
<th>Series II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Initial sample weight 263.1 mg)</td>
<td>(Initial sample weight 418.4 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Runs 1-4</td>
<td>Run 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Borate Loss</td>
<td>Oxide Equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li(_2)O</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs(_2)O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Summation of Runs 1-5, oxide equivalent.
the bulk sample. The decrease in NaBO$_2$ pressure with time results from the significant depletion of the bulk B$_2$O$_3$ concentration due to metaborate vaporization. This observation further confirms that Na vaporization occurs as the metaborate since the B$_2$O$_3$ concentration is reduced much more than the Na$_2$O content. The partial pressure curve shown in figure 5 results from a least squares analysis of the runs one to four with the anomalous three lowest temperature data points from run 1 omitted. The pressure of NaBO$_2$(g) over pure NaBO$_2$(l) obtained from the JANAF [1971] tabulation is also given in figure 5 for comparison. From the ratio of pressures from these curves, the activity of NaBO$_2$ in the simulated nuclear glass is approximately 0.01 with a slight temperature dependence.

The vaporization curves for LiBO$_2$ and CsBO$_2$ species are given in figure 6. A least squares curve through the LiBO$_2$ data was obtained from the run 1-4 data neglecting the two lowest temperature points of run 1. Comparing this curve with that for pure LiBO$_2$(l), obtained from JANAF [1971], indicates that the activity of LiBO$_2$ in the SNW glass is about 0.1, with some temperature dependence. The CsBO$_2$ data in figure 6 were obtained in series I, runs 4 and 5. We did not observe Cs$^+$ until the fourth run in this series and it is likely that the sample was somewhat depleted in CsBO$_2$ prior to the measurements. This depletion effect is very apparent at $10^4/T = 7.50$ (see fig. 6). The least squares curve through these data is based on the four lowest temperature points obtained from run 4. At 1200 K, the activity of CsBO$_2$ in the melt is about $4 \times 10^{-6}$ based on the CsBO$_2$ pressure over pure CsBO$_2$ as given by Gurvich and Veits [1983].

Figure 7 shows the observed O$_2$ pressure versus temperature data. The least squares curve was obtained by neglecting the five lowest
temperature points. From the reasonable comparison with the literature curve [JANAF, 1971] for reduction of Fe$_2$O$_3$(c) to Fe$_3$O$_4$(c) it appears likely that reduction of Fe$_2$O$_3$(in solution) to Fe$_3$O$_4$(in solution) is a primary source of O$_2$ over the SNW glass.

Similar data were obtained for the series II experiments, as shown in figures 8 to 10. In these figures, the series II data points are compared with the least square curves from series I (except for O$_2$) to indicate the degree of experimental reproducibility for separate glass samples. While the O$_2$ data for the two series, as given in figures 7 and 10, are similar, an exact comparison is meaningless owing to the variation of Fe oxidation state with time, i.e., run chronology.

Note in figure 8 that the run 1 data show the characteristic high NaBO$_2$ pressures at low temperatures. The positive deviation (from the least squares curves) in pressures during the initial heating is characteristic of all the data. During the high temperature component of run 2 an instability was observed in the Na$^+$ signal. This effect was characterized by signal increases of a factor of two, or more, for short periods of time (tens of seconds) but would return to the original level. These instabilities could be associated with the concomitant release of a noncondensible gas from the sample as was indicated by pressure increases on the ionization gauge. Note however, that the run 3 data was well behaved and lies quite close to the least squares line from the series I data. Also, the LiBO$_2$ data shown in figure 9 agree well with the series I least squares curve.

The data comparison in figure 10 for CsBO$_2$ indicates the series II data to be about a factor of four greater than those based on the least squares line from the series I data. Since the amount of
CsB\textsubscript{0}2 calculated to have been lost from the sample is slightly greater than the amount originally present (see Table 3) we conclude that the Series II CsB\textsubscript{0}2 pressures are anomalously high. However, the series I data are probably too low because the Cs\textsuperscript{+} data was not observed until runs 4 and 5. Hence we consider an average of these two series to best represent the CsB\textsubscript{0}2 partial pressure data. This procedure increases the pressure from the series I data by a factor of two and the accepted curve is shown as the dashed line in figures 6 and 10. This curve also agrees well with that obtained from the TMS measurements (see Section 3).

2.5.2 Search for Ru, Re, and Sr-containing Species

Attempts were made during the vacuum vaporization experiments to detect the ions Ru\textsuperscript{+}, RuO\textsuperscript{+}, RuO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}, RuO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}, and Re\textsuperscript{+}, ReO\textsuperscript{+}, ReO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+}, ReO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}, and Re\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} without success, even at temperatures as high as 1500 °C. No attempt was made to observe SrO\textsuperscript{+} or Sr\textsuperscript{+}, based on thermodynamic arguments presented in Section 4.1.

From the thermodynamic arguments presented in Section 4.1, NaReO\textsubscript{4} is indicated as the most likely vapor form of Re. Also, this species is likely to have a sufficiently high partial pressure to completely remove Re from the glass during the vacuum vaporization measurements. However, the NaReO\textsubscript{4} species fragments extensively on electron impact to form Na\textsuperscript{+} and parent ions form only a small fraction of the total ion production. The small Na\textsuperscript{+} ion signal resulting from fragmentation of NaReO\textsubscript{4} would be completely masked by the large Na\textsuperscript{+} signal resulting from fragmentation of NaB\textsubscript{0}2. A similar problem exists for fragmentation of LiReO\textsubscript{4}.  
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The thermodynamic analysis given in Section 4.1 for Ru vaporization indicates RuO$_3$ and RuO$_4$ as the likely vapor species but their partial pressures are predicted to be below the KMS detection limit.

2.6 Glass Vaporization in H$_2$O Atmosphere

Volatile hydroxide species are known for all of the pertinent oxides in the SNW glass system, e.g., see JANAF [1971], Jackson [1971], and Hastie [1975]. However, the extent to which water vapor will react with these oxides, in the reduced activity glass form, to yield hydroxide vapor species cannot be accurately predicted from existing thermodynamic data. Also, our previous experience with reactive gas-glass systems indicates that thermodynamic equilibrium may not be attained [Hastie, et al. 1982]. Hence, gas inlet KMS (GKMS) experiments were carried out to determine if water vapor would enhance the vapor transport of the SNW glass. Water vapor pressures up to about $10^{-4}$ atm were used for these measurements.

2.6.1 H$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$ Test Case

The GKMS approach was tested using the well known H$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$ reaction system (JANAF, 1971). Prior to H$_2$O introduction into the cell, containing pure B$_2$O$_3$, the mass spectrometer sensitivity calibration was checked using the known (JANAF, 1971) vapor pressure of B$_2$O$_3$(g) over B$_2$O$_3$(l). Our results agreed to within about 20 percent of the JANAF [1971] evaluation of previous literature data.
In the presence of H$_2$O, the principal reaction is

$$\frac{3}{2}B_2O_3(g) + \frac{3}{2}H_2O(g) = HBO_2(g).$$  (2.7)

The degree of reaction was monitored mass spectrometrically using the H$_2$O$^+$ and HBO$_2$+ ions as indicators of the H$_2$O and HBO$_2$ partial pressures, respectively. Isothermal measurements of the dependence of the HBO$_2$+ ion intensity on that for H$_2$O$^+$, with variable H$_2$O pressure, were used to demonstrate attainment of a steady state, as shown in figure 11. The experimental slope of 1.1 ± 0.1 compares favorably with the theoretical slope of 1.0.

Equilibrium constant data for reaction (2.7) are shown in figure 12. The experimental data compare favorably with the JANAF [1971] curve, which is based on the mass spectrometric results of Meschi et al. [1960]. For this comparison, we used the same ionization cross section estimates as Meschi et al. [1960].

2.6.2  H$_2$O-Glass Experiments

Experiments analogous to the B$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$O test case gave negative results and the thermochemical calculations presented in Section 4 indicate that any hydroxide species formed are likely to be below the instrumental detection limit. It is also possible that the water-SNW glass system does not readily attain equilibrium, as we have found in other glass studies (Hastie et al., 1982).
3. TRANSPIRATION MASS SPECTROMETRY

3.1 Basis of Technique

The Transpiration Mass Spectrometry (TMS) technique has been described in detail elsewhere [Bonnell and Hastie, 1979]. Basically, the method combines the high pressure sampling mass spectrometry and classical transpiration techniques. High pressure sampling is accomplished by free-jet expansion from a static region into a region of high pumping speed [Kantrowitz and Grey, 1951]. This is the only technique with the potential for preserving the chemical integrity of the gas sample during the expansion and molecular beam-forming process. The free-jet expansion occurs through a small orifice (diameter range, 0.008 to 0.03 cm) of controlled geometry into a region of low pressure. During this expansion process, most of the internal thermal energy converts to translational energy, i.e., to directed motion along the jet axis. For very high expansion ratios, this process occurs on a shorter time scale than for chemical reaction rates, thus generating a beam free from intermolecular interaction. This chemically "frozen" beam can then be conveniently analyzed by mass spectrometry.

Analysis of the gas dynamic aspects of the TMS process yields a simple relationship between the mass spectrometric ion intensities and species partial pressures. This relationship has the same form as equation (2.2) used in Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry. However, with TMS the sensitivity constant k has a slight temperature dependence and an internal calibration is made at each data point using the carrier gas signal and pressure for reference. Use of the classical transpiration
The primary equation for transpiration is

\[ P_i = \left[ \frac{n_i}{(\sum n_i + \Sigma n_c)} \right] P_t \] (3.1)

where, for species \( i \) and carrier gas \( c \), \( P_i \) is the partial pressure, \( n \) the number of moles, and \( P_t \) the system total pressure (\( \sim P_c \)).

An upper pressure limit to TMS is determined mainly by experimental limitations in maintaining vacuum in the expansion chamber. We have used the technique to 10 atm but the normal optimum pressure range is in the region 0.1 to 0.5 atm. Thus TMS extends the \( 10^{-4} \) atm limit of KMS by many orders of magnitude and allows for higher temperature and reactive gas pressure studies. Typical gas residence times for TMS and KMS are 20 s and 0.04 s, respectively. This difference is particularly useful in revealing nonequilibrium phenomena by comparisons of TMS and KMS data.

3.2 Apparatus

The basic arrangement of the High Pressure Mass Spectrometer (HPMS) system with the transpiration inlet system is shown in figure 13. The vacuum system is aluminum walled and is partitioned into two differentially pumped stages. Stage I contains the transpiration reactor. This stage is pumped by a 6 inch diffusion pump (Varian/NRC VHS-6) with a Granville-Phillips model 270-6 high-throughput cold trap close-coupled to the base of the vacuum chamber for maximum conductance. The backing pump system for this stage consists of a Roots blower (Leybold-Hereaus
model WA 250) placed in series with a two stage rotary vane pump (Sargent-Welch 1375) to maintain the critical backing pressure of the diffusion pump in the presence of high gas loads. This arrangement assures that the diffusion pump stack will continue to pump at near its rated speed into the $10^{-5}$ atm range. A single-axis adjustable combination valve/shutter separates the two vacuum chambers. The differential pump aperture used is 0.05 cm diameter, and is located approximately 4 cm from the sampler orifice. This aperture is located in a shutter which also acts as a stage isolation valve.

A tantalum foil (0.005 cm) radiation furnace, driven at AC currents to 400 amperes, provides heat to the transpiration sampler. With a new element, temperatures as high as 1700 K can be achieved in the sampler. Adjustable passive tantalum radiation shields are used to maintain a near constant temperature profile in the boat to skimmer region. The furnace region is surrounded by a water-cooled copper shroud to prevent condensibles (oil, high temperature species, etc.) from entering the mass spectrometer chamber.

In the Stage II mass spectrometer section, a high vacuum is maintained by a 4-inch diffusion pump stack similar to the 6-inch stack of stage I, but without the Roots blower. Full-load vacuum in this stage is better than $5 \times 10^{-9}$ atm. The beam modulation chopping system is also located in this chamber. A 24 tooth wheel driven by a degassed ac synchronous motor is used to provide variable frequency beam modulation. Usually, chopping frequencies of about 700 hertz are chosen to minimize synchronous interference at the 60 hertz line frequency and to provide species sensitive phase information. The mass spectrometer used is an Extranuclear Laboratories Component quadrupole system, based on an EL
model 270-9 quadrupole mass filter, a crossed beam configuration EL ion source (Model 041-1)—modified to improve isolation, collimation and ionizing energy spread—and a Galileo Electro-Optics model 4801 channel-tron multiplier. The mass spectrometer assembly is mounted on a semi-kinetic 3 point mount which allows for three axis alignment of the ionizing region with the molecular beam.

Figure 14 shows the essential details of the transpiration reactor with its removable boat-carrier assembly. With the exception of the thermocouple alumina insulator, which is located upstream from the gas baffle to minimize reaction with the sample vapor, the entire assembly is constructed of platinum metal. For glass sampling, the conical orifice and skimmer assembly shown have been replaced with a more robust capillary nozzle detailed in figure 15. A typical temperature profile within the sampler is shown to scale with the sampler. The capillary sampler has proven to be as faithful a beam generation device as the more traditional conical orifice [Bonnell and Hastie, 1979].

For preparation and control of gas mixtures, we use a group of Tylan Corp. mass flow meters and controllers (FM360 and FC260, respectively) attached to an NBS-designed backflow protected mixing manifold. Individual range selection allows gas mixtures to be prepared at room temperature with the reactive gas mole fractions varying from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-1}$. For water vapor additions the dry mixed gas is fed to commercial water calibrators (either Ondyne models 10 or 14). The water content of the prepared mixture is monitored by two high sensitivity aluminum oxide/gold hygrometers (Ondyne model DY143T/model 1400) with a calibrated accuracy of better than ± 2 °C (about ± 20% in vapor pressure). Total system pressure is monitored at the gas inlet to the sampler mount.
using a Rosemount model 1332A4 capacitance manometer transducer excited and read by an NBS built readout system.

3.3 Instrument Calibration

Calibration of the TMS system is, for the most part, an analogous process to that used for the KMS system as described in Section 2.3. For calibration of mass discrimination effects an identical procedure to that for KMS was used. To convert ion intensity and mass-loss data to partial pressures using the transpiration equation (3.1), the following calibration procedures are necessary.

Calibration of the system pressure gauge \([P_t \text{ in eq. (3.1)}]\) is accomplished by comparison with a known standard gauge, and \(P_t\) is reproducible to better than 0.003 atm. The term in moles of carrier gas \([n_c \text{ in eq. (3.1)}]\) is determined by direct measurement of the mass flow of each gaseous component, and a separate measurement of the total mass flow into the system. Several independent calibration methods are used for the flow meters and controllers: (1) comparison with previously calibrated mass flow meters; (2) direct volumetric calibration against a well characterized "wet-test" meter; (3) direct volumetric calibration of flow into a mercury-sealed piston gas burette; and (4) direct volumetric collection over saturated fluid. These various techniques suffice to assure reproducible flow measurements to within the accuracy specifications of the Tylan meters used, i.e., well within 1% of full scale. Most mixtures are made using at least 10% of full scale, hence component composition and \(n_c\) are known to within a few percent.
For vapors containing more than a single type of molecular species, such as those over the present glass system, a partitioning of the total mass transport is needed. This partitioning is achieved by integration of the observed ion intensities with the constraint that the sum of individual species mass transport equals the gravimetric total mass loss. This procedure leads to \( n_i \) values for use in eq. (3.1). The individual species molar transport partitions \( q_i \) are given by

\[
q_i = \sum \Delta q_i(T) = \frac{C_i}{R} \sum \left( \frac{f_c}{I_C} \cdot I_i \cdot \frac{V}{273} \Delta t(T) \right)
\]  

(3.2)

where, for species \( i \),

- \( q_i \) is proportional to the number of moles of species \( i \) transported during an entire series of experiments;
- \( \Delta q_i(T) \) is an individual species molar partition at temperature \( T \);
- \( C_i \) is the factor, \( \frac{\sigma_C \tau_c}{\sigma_i \tau_i} \), which accounts for relative transmission and ion cross sections effects, where \( \sigma \) is the total ionization cross section (where fragment ions are involved, direct summation or average ion ratios are used to allow use of total ionization cross sections) and \( \tau \) is the mass dependent relative transmission factor for the quadrupole mass spectrometer and gas expansion system;
- \( R \) is the universal gas constant 82.057 (cm\(^3\) atm/mol K);
- \( f_c \) is the product of isotopic fraction and gas fraction of component \( c \) in the gas mixture, i.e. the actual fraction of gas observed at mass position \( c \);
- \( I_C \) is the ion current of carrier gas component \( c \)--this creates approximate correspondence between \( q \) and \( n \), and normalizes beam characteristics which change with temperature and pressure;
\( I_i \) is the species ion current, appropriately converted (via fragment ion summation, or scaling by ion ratios) to a total ion current for species \( i \);

\( V_s \) is the flow rate in standard (\( P=1 \) atm, \( T = 273.15 \) K) cubic centimeters of total carrier gas per minute (sccm);

273 is 1 atm/273.15 K, the reference condition for flow calibration;

\( \Delta t(T) \) is the elapsed time in minutes for a run segment at constant temperature, \( T \).

In eq. (3.2), each variable is considered a function of the system temperature, \( T \), and the summation is carried out over every observed temperature point. When the total mass loss of the system is measured at the conclusion of a series, the above partitions can be converted to pressure by computing a proportionality factor, \( k \), from the equation:

\[
\text{weight loss (gms)} = k \sum_i q_i \cdot M_i
\]  

(3.3)

where \( M_i \) is the species molecular weight and \( k \) the factor which yields actual moles from the \( q_i \) obtained from ion current measurements.

Individual values of \( \Delta n_i(T) \) are obtained by multiplying the calculated \( \Delta q_i(T) \) of eq. (3.2) by \( k \). These values are then used in eq. (3.1) to compute \( P_i(T) \) from the ion intensity observations.

A second approach to converting ion intensity to partial pressure data is used when relative ionization cross sections are considered more reliable than total mass loss data. Here, eq. (2.2) is used but with \( k_i \) measured at every data point using the relationship

\[
k_i = \left( \frac{P_c}{I_c \cdot T} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_i} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_c}{\tau_i} \right) \left( \frac{1}{S} \right),
\]  

(3.4)
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where $S$ is an apparatus dependent small correction factor ($= 0.6$) which results from scattered carrier gas reentering the molecular beam [Bonnell and Hastie, 1979]. For the present studies, where the glass vapor mass spectra were relatively complex, preference was given to the calibration approach based on equations (3.1) to (3.3).

3.4 Detection limits

TMS detection limits are strongly controlled by beam formation characteristics and the amount of background gas present in the beam-forming and mass spectrometer chambers. The beam, as formed, is narrowly collimated by Mach focussing and by restrictive orifices. However, the beam formation process leads to a large increase in background pressure in the mass spectrometer chamber, generally in the $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-8}$ atm range. Beam spread during transit to the mass spectrometer reduces the total beam number density at the ion source to the order of $10^{12}$ particles cm$^3$, about four orders of magnitude above the background number density. Modulation of the beam allows reliable detection of signals two to three orders of magnitude below prevailing background DC signals. In favorable cases, this leads to detection limits of approximately 0.3 to 1 ppm (relative to 1 atm). In unfavorable cases, e.g., at mass positions corresponding to scattered carrier gas components or strong hydrocarbon background levels, detection limits lie in the 10 to 100 ppm range.

Mass positions coincident with major carrier gas isotopes are totally masked. However, carrier gas selection is made to avoid mass coincidence with minor species of interest. Mass positions adjacent to the major carrier gas isotopes usually evidence some residual modulation due to
incomplete resolution. For instance, ppm detection at 27 amu requires a resolution with better than 0.001% cross talk in the presence of 28 amu \( N_2 \) carrier gas. In the present study, unit resolution conditions were used over the whole mass range, with adjacent peak cross talk of less than 0.1 percent.

In practice, sensitivity limits can be reliably estimated from the magnitudes of DC ion intensity and non-phase-locked AC signals. For the results presented here (Section 3.5), the lowest partial pressure values represent signal-to-noise (or background) levels in the range 2 to 5. At the higher partial pressures given these noise levels are an insignificant source of error.

### 3.5 Glass Vaporization in \( N_2 \) Atmosphere

TMS measurements of SNW glass vaporization were made using ultra high purity \( N_2 \) carrier gas (at 0.3 atm) and higher temperatures than those for the KMS vacuum vaporization experiments. The mass spectral features were generally similar to those found by KMS, as outlined in Section 2.5. Several distinctly new features were also noted and these can be attributed to the beam isentropic cooling and reduced mass transport effect characteristic of the TMS method. As we have noted in earlier studies [Bonnell and Hastie, 1979], the distribution of parent to fragment ion intensities can differ between KMS and TMS studies due to beam cooling with the latter technique. Thus in the present study we observe, for instance, \( Li^+ \) (7 amu)/\( LiB_0_2^+ \) (50 amu) ratios of 30 for TMS as compared with 9 for KMS. However, the molecular precursor assignment, as \( LiB_0_2 \), and the value of the total ionization cross section are not influenced by this effect.
The mass transport rates characteristic of TMS are much lower than for KMS and this leads to differences in the early vaporization stage of a new glass sample, as noted elsewhere [Hastie et al., 1982]. Examples of such effects, which are not characteristic of the bulk glass properties but rather of impurities, are revealed in figures 16 through 18. Note in figure 16 that the Na⁺/NaBO₂⁺ ratio varies significantly with temperature and time. If NaBO₂ was the sole precursor of Na⁺, this ratio would be invariant with temperature and time. The results can be analyzed in terms of two additional sources of Na as manifested in the Na⁺ signal. During the early stages of vaporization, as represented by the Na⁺/NaBO₂⁺ data points at 1400 to 1500 K in figure 16 and the Na atom data points at 1300 to 1500 K in figure 17, most of the observed Na⁺ results from Na. The most likely source of this Na is from decomposition of residual Na₂CO₃, i.e.,

\[ \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 (l) = 2\text{Na} + \text{CO}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2. \]

Observation of CO₂ and O₂ during this period supports this argument as shown in figure 18. We have shown in earlier silicate glass vaporization studies that this effect results from a finite Na₂CO₃ solubility in glass which results during glass preparation with alkali carbonate reactants [Hastie et al., 1982]. At the higher temperatures and later vaporization times, when the carbonate impurity is essentially depleted, a second Na-producing reaction occurs,

\[ \text{Na}_2\text{O} \text{ (in solution)} = 2\text{Na} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2. \]

The curve labeled Na₂O in figure 17 shows the Na pressure determined for this process. No analogous processes were noted with the Li data, i.e., both Li⁺ and LiBO₂⁺ are attributed to a single precursor, LiBO₂.
The observed borate ion signals were corrected for fragmentation to alkali ions by assuming that the minimum fragmentation ratio (see fig. 16) corresponded to the borate fragmentation process alone. These ratios were corrected for isotopic abundance and the borate ion intensities multiplied by the corrected ratio to obtain a total borate ion signal. As was the case with the KMS results, the Cs$^+$ precursor is assigned as CsBO$_2$. In order to convert ion current to partial pressure data, ionization cross section corrections for the relative efficiency of ionizing various species must be made. For the alkali metabolates, which are the major vapor transport species, an estimated $\sigma_i$ value of 2.4 was used [units $\pi a_0^2$, see Bonnell and Hastie, 1979]. As noted in the KMS studies, considerable fragmentation occurred even at 30 eV ionizing energy.

The alkali metabolate partial pressures obtained by this analysis are summarized in figures 17 and 19. Note in figure 19, the excellent agreement between the TMS and extrapolated KMS data for each metabolate species. Such agreement supports the TMS analysis of the Na$^+$ signal in terms of the three competing processes. Also, the linear fit to both the TMS and KMS data sets is consistent with the thermodynamic basis of these Clausius-Clapeyron type plots and allows for accurate extrapolation to temperatures beyond the experimental results. The agreement between the TMS and KMS data is also good evidence of thermodynamic equilibrium and of the absence of surface segregation effects. These arguments are based on the different gas residence times and material transport rates characteristic of the two techniques, as discussed in Section 3.1. Surface segregation of volatile species have been reported in a sodium borosilicate melt [Wenzel and Sanders, 1982].
It is conceivable that the Cs$^+$ signal may also result from several processes analogous to those for Na$^+$. However, at the relatively low temperatures of the KMS experiments no evidence for additional sources of Cs$^+$ was found. Also, the good agreement between the KMS extrapolated CsBO$_2$ curve and the higher temperature TMS data is reasonable evidence of the absence of competing reactions leading to additional Cs$^+$ signals.

It is interesting to note, though thermodynamically fortuitous, that the CsBO$_2$ partial pressures of SNW glass are similar to those for Cs over SYNROC (Ba$_{0.9}$ Cs$_{0.1}$ Al$_2$ Ti$_6$ O$_{16}$ • TiO$_2$) as reported very recently by Carpenter, et al. [1983]. Also, the value of P(CsBO$_2$) at 1075 °C lies between that of P(Cs) over the minerals CsAlSiO$_4$ and CsAlSi$_2$O$_6$ [Odoj et al. 1979].

3.6 Glass Vaporization in H$_2$O-O$_2$-CO$_2$-N$_2$ Atmosphere

In the industrial glass process the off-gas contains components which are potentially reactive with the glass constituents. These include, with mole fractions in parentheses, H$_2$O (0.85), O$_2$ (0.02), CO (0.008), H$_2$ (0.008), CO$_2$ (0.01), and minor amounts of N- and S-containing species. This room temperature composition is clearly nonequilibrated. At high temperatures we need only specify the H$_2$O, O$_2$, and CO$_2$ concentration at thermodynamic equilibrium. This result can be seen in table 4 where the calculated composition of an equilibrated off-gas mixture is given as a function of temperature. Note that at a representative temperature of 1250 K, CO$_2$, O$_2$, H$_2$O, and N$_2$ are the only thermodynamically significant components.
Table 4

Calculated Equilibrium Composition (mole fraction)
of Off-gas Mixture at 1 atm\(^a\)

**Input Composition (moles)\(^b\):**

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2O &= 0.8555, N_2 = 0.09023, CO_2 = 0.01244, \\
O_2 &= 0.02378, CO = 0.008359, H_2 = 0.007588.
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature K</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1250</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>333</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3.77-3(^c)</td>
<td>2.09-4</td>
<td>7.80-7</td>
<td>8.59-9</td>
<td>9.69-12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>1.66-2</td>
<td>2.07-2</td>
<td>2.09-2</td>
<td>2.09-2</td>
<td>2.09-2</td>
<td>2.09-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)</td>
<td>2.99-2</td>
<td>1.92-3</td>
<td>1.26-5</td>
<td>2.29-7</td>
<td>5.81-10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O(L)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.39-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>8.01-1</td>
<td>8.64-1</td>
<td>8.69-1</td>
<td>8.69-1</td>
<td>8.70-1</td>
<td>3.09-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>2.56-2</td>
<td>1.67-2</td>
<td>1.68-2</td>
<td>1.69-2</td>
<td>1.69-2</td>
<td>1.68-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>2.81-3</td>
<td>7.81-4</td>
<td>1.28-4</td>
<td>3.00-5</td>
<td>3.41-6</td>
<td>1.19-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>7.97-7</td>
<td>3.55-7</td>
<td>1.85-7</td>
<td>1.10-7</td>
<td>5.12-8</td>
<td>5.39-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Calculated using the NASA CEC 76 computer program of Gordon and McBride [1971].

\(^b\)Based on Dupont analysis of off-gas composition at room temperature [Soper, 1982].

\(^c\)Computer notation, 3.77-3 = 3.77 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mole fraction.}
The following mole-fraction mixture was used in the TMS experiments to approximate the off-gas reactivity: \( \text{N}_2 \) (0.67), \( \text{O}_2 \) (0.18), \( \text{CO}_2 \) (0.15), and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (0.1). The TMS results with this gas mixture indicated no significant enhancement of alkali vapor transport, due to hydroxide formation, with detection limits of 5 ppm for LiOH and 20 ppm for NaOH. The higher NaOH detection limit, resulted from the unavoidable presence of Ar impurity (also 40 amu) in the gas mixture. Vaporization of the lithium and sodium metaborates was essentially the same as for the pure \( \text{N}_2 \) runs, to within the mass spectrometric reproducibility of ca. 20%. No increase in either sodium or lithium ion currents attributable to fragmentation from a hydroxide was found. From our previous experience with TMS studies of alkali hydroxides [Hastie, et al., 1982, 1983], only moderate fragmentation occurs, and the detection limits at the parent ion can be considered reliable.

With these reactive atmosphere conditions, a higher than normal hydrocarbon background was present, particularly at high temperature. This background raised detection limits by as much as an order of magnitude at the \( \text{Cs}^+ \) ion mass position. Some suggestion of an atmosphere induced increase in \( \text{Cs}^+ \) intensity was noted, but the results are considered inconclusive due to the increased background level. This hydrocarbon background could have originated as a low level relatively tightly bound constituent of the glass, or, as an abnormal apparatus background.

This TMS observation of alkali vapor transport insensitivity to the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) vapor is consistent with a similar observation from the KMS experiments (Section 2.6). Previous experiments on a sodium borosilicate glass [Wenzel and Sanders, 1982] and various sodium, potassium borosilicate glasses [Gray, 1980], also showed an unexpected non-effect of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) on alkali vapor transport.
4. Thermodynamic Estimates

4.1 Glass Vaporization in Inert Atmospheres

The only radionuclide element observed by the present mass spectrometric studies is Cs, present in the vapor as CsBO₂. From the mass spectrometric detection limits, partial pressure limits for the other radionuclides at temperatures as high as 1500 °C are in the region of $10^{-7}$ atm with an inert atmosphere and $10^{-6}$ atm with H₂O or the reactive gas mixture present. Using the experimental activity results for the various glass components, together with auxiliary thermodynamic data from the literature, it is possible to calculate approximate radionuclide concentrations in the vapor phase, as follows.

4.1.1 Cs

As this radionuclide was observed experimentally, the data can be used to indicate the quality of the thermodynamic estimates. The KMS results indicate the activity of CsBO₂ as

$$a(\text{CsBO}_2)_{\text{obs}} = 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 1200 \text{ K.}$$

If we assume that Cs is present primarily as CsBO₂ (l) in the glass, and that this component mixes ideally, then from the glass composition (see Table 1) the activity would be

$$a(\text{CsBO}_2)_{\text{calc}} \approx 4 \times 10^{-4}.$$ 

On this basis, the vapor phase concentration of Cs (as CsBO₂) would be two orders of magnitude higher than observed, i.e.

$$P(\text{CsBO}_2)_{\text{calc}} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ atm at } 1200 \text{ K}$$
whereas

\[ P(\text{CsBO}_2)_{\text{obs}} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ atm at 1200 K}. \]

4.1.2 Sr

An upper limit vapor concentration can be calculated assuming ideal mixing of SrO (\( \ell \)) in the glass. Thus the activity of SrO (\( \ell \)) is 0.0006 and, from JANAF [1971],

\[ P(\text{SrO}) < 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ atm at 1800 K}. \]

Also, in the presence of \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) atm \( O_2 \), as produced by \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) decomposition (see Section 2.5), we calculate

\[ P(\text{Sr}) < 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ atm at 1800 K}. \]

4.1.3 Re

The compound \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \) has a boiling point of 635.5 K [Wicks et al. 1963]. If we assume that \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \) is dissolved in the glass (with a mole fraction of 0.0001) then the vapor pressure at this temperature would be \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) atm. Hence, \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \) would be lost from the glass during the initial stage of an experiment. It seems more reasonable that Re would be incorporated in the glass as \( \text{ReO}_2 \), \( \text{ReO}_3 \) or, more likely, as \( \text{LiReO}_4 \) or \( \text{NaReO}_4 \). In the case of \( \text{LiReO}_4 \) and \( \text{NaReO}_4 \), the \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \) activity would be reduced far below the value given by the formal mole fraction.

Battles et al. [1968] have published definitive studies on \( \text{ReO}_2 \) and \( \text{ReO}_3 \) vaporization processes. Both of these phases vaporize to
produce a gas containing predominantly \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \). From their vapor pressure curve for the reaction

\[
\frac{7}{2} \text{ReO}_2(s) = \frac{3}{2} \text{Re}(s) + \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7(g)
\]  

(4.1)
an extrapolated vapor pressure at 1500 K is 7.46 atm. As an approximation, we can neglect the thermodynamic difference between \( \text{ReO}_2(s) \) and \( \text{ReO}_2(\ell) \). Taking the mole fraction of \( \text{ReO}_2 \) in the solution to be 0.0002 and the activity of \( \text{Re}(s) \) equal to unity, the calculated partial pressure of \( \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \) at 1200 K is \( 7.31 \times 10^{-13} \) atm. This pressure could be increased significantly if \( \text{Re} \) dissolves in the glass melt thereby reducing the activity significantly below unity.

The vaporization behavior of \( \text{NaReO}_4 \) has been established by mass spectrometry (Skudlarski et al., 1967). This salt evaporates to produce \( \text{NaReO}_4 \) monomers as well as dimers in the temperature range 700 to 800 K. Extrapolation of the monomer data to 1200 K and using 0.0002 as the mole fraction of \( \text{NaReO}_4 \), the calculated pressure is

\[
P(\text{NaReO}_4) \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ atm at 1200 K.}
\]

4.1.4 Ru

For condensed \( \text{RuO}_2 \) in the presence of \( \text{O}_2 \) the important vapor species are \( \text{RuO}_3 \) and \( \text{RuO}_4 \), as shown by Schäfer et al. (1963). From their vapor pressure equations, with an \( \text{RuO}_2 \) mole fraction of 0.0005, an oxygen pressure of \( 10^{-5} \) atm and a temperature of 1500 K, the predicted partial pressure of \( \text{RuO}_3 \) is \( 5.3 \times 10^{-9} \) atm. Similarly, the calculated partial pressure of \( \text{RuO}_4 \) is \( 3.1 \times 10^{-12} \) atm. Thus vapor transport of \( \text{Ru} \) under the KMS experimental conditions is predicted to be undetectable.
However, for higher $O_2$ pressures, such as the likely off-gas value of 0.02 atm, the partial pressures of RuO$_3$ and RuO$_4$ are calculated to be $2.35 \times 10^{-7}$ and $6.13 \times 10^{-9}$ atm, respectively. Thus under melt conditions most of the Ru vapor transport would be due to loss via RuO$_3$.

Results of these thermodynamic estimates are summarized in figure 20.

4.2 Glass Vaporization in H$_2$O-$O_2$ Atmospheres

Within the experimental detection limits of KMS and TMS, the presence of H$_2$O or $O_2$ had no effect on the SNW glass vaporization process. Thermodynamic estimates of the likely influence of these reactive gases on the glass vaporization are as follows. Unless indicated otherwise, the thermodynamic tables for hydroxide vapor species given by Jackson [1971] and the JANAF [1971] tables have been used in the following calculations. Also, to simulate the likely off-gas composition we set $P(H_2O) = 0.1$ atm and $P(O_2) = 0.02$ atm.

4.2.1. Cs

The major influence of $H_2O$ on Cs vapor transport can be expected to result from the reactions

$$MBO_2(\ell) + H_2O(g) = MOH(g) + HBO_2(g),$$

where M is Li, Na, or Cs. We can show thermodynamically that the Li case greatly outweighs the Na and Cs cases. Hence the Li reaction can be used to fix the system HBO$_2$ pressure. Using this pressure, the
experimental activity of CsBO$_2$(\(\ell\)), the literature thermodynamic functions for CsBO$_2$(\(\ell\)) [Gurvich and Veits, 1983], and the reactant thermodynamic functions, we calculate P(CsOH) data as given in figure 21.

4.2.2 Sr

The most volatile strontium hydroxide is Sr(OH)$_2$. For the assigned water vapor pressure of 0.1 atm, and an SrO(\(\ell\)) mole fraction of 0.0006, the calculated Sr(OH)$_2$ partial pressure at 1500 °C is $3.5 \times 10^{-9}$ atm. In this calculation it was assumed that a negligible difference would result from assuming that the properties of SrO(\(\ell\)) are the same as SrO(s) at the reduced activity. Partial pressures of Sr(OH)$_2$ as a function of temperature are summarized in figure 21.

4.2.3 Re

Calculated partial pressures for the HReO$_4$ vapor species, from NaReO$_4$(\(\ell\)) with an activity of 0.0002, are given in figure 21. The partial pressure of product NaOH was fixed by the reaction

$$\text{NaBO}_2(\ell) + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{NaOH} + \text{HBO}_2,$$

shown in figure 21.

4.2.4 Ru

The Ru(OH)$_2$ species is predicted to be the main hydroxide of Ru under the assigned conditions. However, as indicated in figure 21, the calculated partial pressures are extremely small. The possible
existence of higher oxidation state, more volatile, hydroxide species should not be discounted as the thermodynamic literature is sparse in this area.

5. Conclusions

The KMS and TMS experiments provide complementary, self consistent data for the major volatile species, NaBO$_2$, LiBO$_2$, and CsBO$_2$ as summarized in figure 19. Estimates based on thermodynamic arguments for the most likely oxide forms for the other radionuclides of interest are given in figure 20. Note that the NaReO$_4$ species may be even more important than CsBO$_2$. Both Ru and certainly Sr are indicated to have very low oxide volatility. In the presence of H$_2$O and O$_2$ a number of additional hydroxide species are predicted to be significant as shown in figure 21. However, the partial pressures of these species are still less than those of the oxide forms. As an interim conclusion from these observations, it appears likely that the glass off-gas atmosphere is of secondary importance to the condensed phase composition in controlling vapor transport. In view of the importance of metaborate vapor species, the concentration or, more particularly, the thermodynamic activity of boron oxide in the glass is of key significance.

The thermodynamic predictions of figures 20 and 21 should be refined in future studies to include

- competition between competing reactions
- effects of departure from ideal mixing

This refinement will need to incorporate direct experimental observations of radionuclide species. Figures 20 and 21 provide a useful guide to
the conditions needed in future mass spectrometric studies. Increased glass doping concentration levels of the radionuclide isotopes would increase the oxide and hydroxide partial pressures to well above the mass spectrometric detection limits. From the radionuclide partial pressure variation with glass concentration extrapolation to the composition of the present glass sample would be possible. Application of the ideal mixing of complex phases solution model [Hastie et al., 1981, 1983] to generalized predictions of SNW glass volatility and phase behavior should also be investigated.
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8. Figure Captions

1. Schematic of vacuum enclosure and KMS mass spectrometric system.
2. Schematic of furnace and Knudsen cell.
3. Typical mass spectrum for SNW glass vapor at 1000 °C.
4. Ionization efficiency curves for Li⁺, Na⁺, and Ar⁺ reference.
5. P(NaBO₂) vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor, series I data, compared with P°(NaBO₂) from JANAF [1971].
6. P(LiBO₂) and P(CsBO₂) vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor, series I data. Least squares line for LiBO₂ is based on runs 1 through 4 omitting two lowest temperature points from run 1. Curve through CsBO₂ data is based on four low temperature points from run 4. The P°(LiBO₂) reference pressure curve is from JANAF [1971]; P°(CsBO₂) is from Gurvich and Veits (1983).
7. Oxygen pressure vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor, series I, run 1-5 data; the five lowest temperature points were rejected in the least squares analysis. Comparison curve is pressure for Fe₂O₃(c) → Fe₃O₄(c) from JANAF [1971].
8. Pressure of NaBO₂ vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor, series II data. Line is least squares line from series I data (see fig. 5).
9. Pressure of LiBO₂ vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor, series II compared with least squares line from series I data (see fig. 6).
10. Pressure of CsBO₂ and O₂ vs 10⁴/T(K) for SNW glass vapor from series II data compared with least squares curve from series I data (for CsBO₂). Dashed curve is considered the best compromise between series I and II data for pressure of CsBO₂.
11. Isothermal (1265 K) dependence of log I⁺₁₄₃(H₁⁰BO₂⁺) vs 1/2 log I⁺₁₈(H₂O⁺) showing expected stoichiometric behavior for the equilibrated reaction

\[ \frac{1}{2} B_2O_3(e) + \frac{1}{2} H_2O(g) = HBO_2(g). \]
12. Equilibrium constant vs 10⁴/T(K) for B₂O₃-H₂O reaction shown as compared with JANAF [1971] curve.
13. Detailed schematic of assembled transpiration mass spectrometer, drawn approximately to scale.
14. Transpiration mass spectrometer inlet system showing internal details and relative location of components—not to scale.
15. Detailed schematic of integral capillary nozzle section of transpiration inlet system. Relative locations are given approximately to scale, except the nozzle channel, which has been enlarged for clarity. A typical experimental temperature profile along the axis of the system, obtained without the boat present, is also shown.

16. Variation of ion current ratios with temperature and time (increasing temperature run chronology). For sodium borate, \( I_{23}^+/I_{66}^+ \) and for lithium borate, \( I_7^+/I_{50}^+ \) are indicated, respectively.

17. TMS partial pressure data for metaborate and alkali species over SNW glass, with pure \( \text{N}_2 \) carrier gas at \( \sim 0.3 \) atm total pressure and a flow rate of 6 sccm. \( \text{LiBO}_2 \) data have been multiplied by a factor of 10 for clarity. The lines labeled \( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and \( \text{Na}_2\text{O} \) are for the Na decomposition pressures of these components in the SNW glass (see text).

18. Noncondensible gases observed in pure \( \text{N}_2 \) SNW glass experiments. The solid curves indicate the time as well as temperature history. Note that the curves marked \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \) follow the suggested Na from \( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \) curve from figure 17, whereas \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) appears to result from a process more representative of the bulk glass. At the higher temperatures, possible formation of 44 amu \( \text{HBO}_2 \) may interfere with the \( \text{CO}_2 \) signal.

19. Comparison of metaborate partial pressure data selected from KMS and TMS experiments. The solid curves shown are least squares fits to the entire ensemble of data with equal weighting, except for the \( \text{CsBO}_2 \) data where the first five KMS and four TMS points were selected as most representative of this species. KMS \( \text{CsBO}_2 \) data is from Series I multiplied by factor of two for an average of series I and II. Least squares analysis of the TMS + KMS metaborate data yields:

\[
\log P(\text{atm}) \{\text{LiBO}_2\} = (4.73 \pm 0.2) - (1.292 \pm 0.02) \times 10^4/T \\
\log P(\text{atm}) \{\text{NaBO}_2\} = (4.09 \pm 0.2) - (1.190 \pm 0.02) \times 10^4/T \\
\log P(\text{atm}) \{\text{CsBO}_2\} = (3.45 \pm 0.3) - (1.288 \pm 0.04) \times 10^4/T .
\]

20. Comparison of experimental metaborate pressures with thermodynamic estimates for the major volatile \( \text{Re, Ru, and Sr} \) species. Calculations assume an oxygen partial pressure of 0.02 atm. The nominal glass composition was used with activities set equal to oxide component mole fractions.
21. Predicted metal hydroxide partial pressures, calculated for the reactions shown. Selected best thermodynamic functions have been used, with the assumption that activity equals mole fraction (or actual activity from experiment in borate cases). The interdependency between species has been approximated by assuming $P(\text{HBO}_2) = P(\text{LiBO}_2)$ for all reactions involving $\text{HBO}_2$, with that value set from the LiOH pressure line. Similarly, $P(\text{NaOH})$ was set by the reaction

$$\text{NaBO}_2 \text{ (solution)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{NaOH} + \text{HBO}_2.$$
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Industrial development of nuclear waste glass processing requires basic data on glass vaporization thermodynamics. Detailed mass spectrometric experiments and thermodynamic estimates have been made for vaporization of a nonradioactive borosilicate glass containing representative nuclear waste isotopes. Alkali metaborates were observed to be the dominant vapor species and their partial pressures indicate significant vapor transport under likely process conditions. The results indicate the following order of significance for vapor transport of radionuclide species, 

Cs > Re (≈ Tc) > Ru >> Sr.