


~~A11188 998611~~

NAT'L INST. OF STAND & TECH R.I.C.



A11105 088079

REPORT NO. DOT/MB/OMMO-80-8

NBS

PUBLICATIONS

PERFORMANCE OF PLASTIC PACKAGING FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION

PART VI: MASS LOSS AND EFFECTIVE CARBON ATOM NUMBER MEASUREMENT

J. C. PHILLIPS



APRIL 1980

FINAL REPORT

Document is available to the U.S. public through
the National Technical Information Service
Springfield, Virginia 22161

Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION BUREAU
OFFICE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

QC
100
.U56
80-2131
1980
6.2

NBSIR 80-2131
...

NATIONAL BUREAU
OF STANDARDS
LIBRARY

AUG 18 1981

NOT USED

QC100

U.S.

NBS 80-2131

1980

2

PERFORMANCE OF PLASTIC PACKAGING FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION

Part VI: Mass Loss and Effective Carbon Atom Number Measurements

by

Joseph C. Phillips
Polymer Science and Standards Division
National Measurement Laboratory
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D. C. 20234

For

U.S. Dept. of Transportation
Materials Transportation Bureau
Office of Hazardous Materials Regulation
Washington, D. C. 20590

Research Contract DOT AS-50074

April 1980

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	6
2. Materials	7
A. Ladings	7
B. Polyethylene Bottles	7
3. Experimental	7
4. Results and Discussion	8
A. Mass Loss	8
B. Effective Carbon Atom Number	9
5. Conclusion	12

Abstract

This report describes the mass loss through polyethylene bottles of two n-alkyl series (n-alcohols and n-carboxylic esters) and a group of miscellaneous compounds. The experiments were performed at 50 °C using both low density and high density polyethylene bottles. The backbone chain length, N_A , for each series of n-alkyl's was extended to 16 in order to characterize more fully the dependence of loss rate from PE on chain length of permeant.

The results suggest that the effective carbon atom number for a given permeant may be determined from a single density resin bottle using the n-alkane series as the standard loading. Once the effective carbon atom number has been determined under chosen conditions, the permeability performance of the permeant for another resin density may be predicted with reasonable accuracy. These results seem to complement the "permachor" method to minimizing the number of tests required for the determination of the performance of full-scale containers.

Preface

This report is part VI of a series prepared for the Office of Hazardous Materials Operations under Research Contract DOT AS-50074. This work deals with the establishment of criteria for predicting permeation performance of various kinds of ladings in polyethylene bottles. This study verifies, at least for the permeants and containers studied herein, the validity of the effective carbon atom number as a parameter for transferring results of permeation measurements among various shipping containers made from various PE resin. The present work extends the concepts presented in Part IV of this series.

List of Tables

1. Polyethylene (PE) Bottles Used for Mass Loss Measurements
2. Loss Rate at $t = 50$ °C for various classes of compounds using low density (CPE) and high density (LPE) bottles.
3. Average Effective Carbon Atom Number for Various Classes of Compounds (Based on Skeletal Chain Length).
4. Predicted Loss Rate at 50 °C for High Density Bottle From Loss Rate of Low Density Bottle.

List of Figures

1. Mass-Loss Data, n-Hexane
2. Mass-Loss Data, n-Alcohols ($c_1 - c_4$)
3. Mass-Loss Data, n-Alcohols (Decyl and Dodecyl)
4. Mass-Loss Data, n-Cetyl Alcohol
5. Mass-Loss Data, n-Carboxylic Esters (Ethyl, Butyl, and Amyl Acetate)
6. Mass-Loss Data, n-Carboxylic Esters (Methyl Heptanoate and Methyl Tetradecanoate)
7. Mass-Loss Data, 1,2-Dichloroethane, Acetone, Methyl Ethyl Ketone, and Acetonitrile [Low Density PE]
8. Mass-Loss Data, 1,2-Dichloroethane, Acetone, Methyl Ethyl Ketone, and Acetonitrile [High Density PE]
9. Loss-Rate Data, Low Density PE
10. Loss-Rate Data, High Density PE
11. Loss-Rate Data Versus Effective Carbon Atom Number
12. Loss-Rate Data Versus Density of PE

Introduction

The permeation rate of vapors and gases through a given barrier material depends on parameters such as thickness, density of the material, and the compatibility of the permeant and the barrier [1]. Various attempts at correlating permeability with these barrier parameters have proven successful in many instances and have also made possible the prediction of the transmission performance of a given barrier and the permeant [2,3]. In a previous report to DOT (Part IV, DOT AS-50074), an effective carbon atom number was introduced as a parameter for predicting permeation performance of n-alkanes in PE bottles [4]. This present report has attempted to establish the validity of the effective carbon atom number by: (1) studying other homologous series; and (2) looking at a wider range of densities of the PE resin.

This work investigated the loss rate for an n-alcohol series ($N_A = 1$ to 16) and an n-carboxylic ester series ($N_A = 5$ to 16) in PE bottles of two different densities ($\rho \sim .92$ g/cm and $\rho \sim .94$ cm) at 50 °C. The effective carbon atom number was determined for each permeant and found to be relatively insensitive to the density of both PE resin bottles [4]. By using the average effective carbon atom number, the loss rate in the high density PE bottle was predicted for a group of miscellaneous compounds (1,2-dichloroethane; acetone; methyl ethyl ketone; acetonitrile) from the loss rate measurements in the low density PE bottle with rather good agreement to the experimental data. These results tend to support the statistical linear model for transmission rate as outlined in part IV of the permeation report DOT AS-50074.

Materials

A. Ladings

The n-alcohols ($N_A = 1$ to 16), n-carboxylic esters ($N_A = 5$ to 16), and a group of miscellaneous compounds used in this study are listed in Table II. All samples were either A.C.S. certified, reagent or technical grade and were used without any further purification.

B. Polyethylene Bottles

Table I lists some information on the PE bottles used in this study. The low density (CPE, $\rho \sim .92$ g/cm³) and high density (LPE, $\rho \sim .94$ g/cm³) bottles were manufactured by the Nalge Company. The actual densities, as determined by a flotation method using ethanol and distilled water at 25 °C, were found to be $\rho = .9235$ g/cm³ and $\rho = .9453$ g/cm³. Some high density PE bottles made by Phillips Petroleum Company were found to have a density of $\rho = .9430$. The mean wall thickness of the CPE and LPE bottles were, respectively, .1062 cm and .0950 cm and the "Phillips" bottles had a mean thickness of .0671 cm. The CPE bottles as shown in Table I showed the greatest variation in thickness over its wall surfaces while the "Phillips" bottle had the least variation. Such density and thickness fluctuations for a given bottle contributes to the uncertainty in the mass loss measurement.

Experimental

Mass loss measurements were performed in a safety-designed air circulating oven maintained at $50.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Marked positions within the oven were calibrated for temperature variations and during the experiment, the oven temperature was monitored by a thermistor probe. The zero time of the experiment was taken as the initial placement of the bottles

(filled with ~100 ml of sample) into the oven [4]. At subsequent times, the bottles were removed and weighed on a top-loading balance sensitive to about .01 g. From the change in mass versus time, the loss rate for each sample was determined.

Results and Discussion

A. Mass Loss

Typical curves for mass loss measurements are shown in Figs. 1-8. All the curves seem to possess the same general mass-time behavior, i.e., as the time increases beyond the induction period, the curves tend to asymptotically approach linear behavior. From permeation theory [5] such behavior may be represented as

$$\Delta m = \left[\frac{\partial \Delta m}{\partial t} \right] (t - \underline{q} (t/\tau)\tau) \quad (1)$$

where Δm is the amount of permeant lost after time t , $[\partial \Delta m / \partial t] = \dot{Q}$ is the steady-state rate of loss, \underline{q} is a parameter which depends on the molecular transport process and the initial experimental conditions and τ is the time-lag which is proportional to the thickness of the container wall, ℓ , and inversely proportional to the diffusivity of the permeant, D , i.e., $\tau = \ell^2 / 6D$.

The mass-time data for this study have been analyzed only in terms of the steady-state rate, \dot{Q} , and is summarized in Table II and Figs. 9 and 10. As can be seen in Fig. 1, Figs. 3-6, and in Table II, an increase in PE density tends to diminish the amount and rate of permeant passing through the container walls. If the diffusion process is truly Fickian, then the steady-state rate should be inversely proportional to the effective thickness for a constant area [4]. The results in Fig. 1 and

Table I and II for the "Phillips" bottle do indeed suggest an inverse dependence of \dot{Q} on l , but a small difference in density (as will be shown later) could also appreciably increase the loss rate.

The results in Table II and Figs. 9 and 10 further suggests a dependence of loss rate on chain length as expected. Here the chain length is defined as the backbone or skeletal chain length, N_A , which includes all atoms in the primary chain [6] exclusive of atoms at the chain end that constitute a chemical group (e.g., CN, NH₂, OH, etc.). The loss rate, \dot{Q} , may be empirically defined [3] as:

$$\dot{Q} = \dot{Q}_0 e^{-E_p/RT} \quad (2)$$

where \dot{Q}_0 is a constant, E_p is the apparent activation energy, R is the gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature. Since the apparent activation energy generally increases as the chain length increases, the loss rate according to Eq.(2) should decrease. For chain lengths below some critical value, ($N_A \sim 5$) the loss rate may not be a monotonic function due to polarity effects [3] as shown in Figs. 9 and 10 for the n-alcohol and n-carboxylic ester series. Two members of the ketone series (acetone and MEK) also seem to show polarity effects for the early members of the series. The results in Figs. 9 and 10 also suggest that as the chain length increases, the loss rate for each series tend to approach that of the n-alkanes.

B. Effective Carbon Atom Number

The effective carbon atom number, n_e , is just a shift parameter that characterizes the loss rate of a given permeant to that of some standard or reference permeant [4]. This concept is analogous to that

of the "permachor" approach [3]. In order to account for all atoms in the primary chain, the backbone or skeletal chain length, N_A , is used in this study rather than only the number of carbon atoms in the chain. The choice for the former appear to be small and roughly constant.

Figure 9 for low density PE may be used to illustrate the determination of n_e for a given permeant. For example, the effective carbon atom number for n-amyl acetate is found by shifting at constant loss rate to the n-alkane curve (note dotted line in Fig.9). The abscissa at the intersection of the two curves is defined as n_e . Hence, the determination of n_e may be given mathematically as:

$$n_e = \log (\dot{Q}_A / \dot{Q}_0) / k \quad (3)$$

where \dot{Q}_A is the loss rate for a given permeant, \dot{Q}_0 and k are parameters that may be determined from the loss rate equation for the n-alkanes, i.e.,

$$\log \dot{Q} = \log \dot{Q}_0 + kN_A \quad (4)$$

By using Eqs.(3) and (4), the effective carbon atom number was calculated from the results in Figs. 9 and 10. In Fig.11 a semi-log plot of loss rate and n_e for both PE density bottles does indeed yield parallel lines within experimental error. The average n_e values for both PE density bottles are assembled in Table III with a maximum deviation of about 10% which occurred in the alcohol series. The lines in Fig.11 may be represented as:

$$\log \dot{Q}_A = F(\rho_p, T) + k_0 n_e \quad (5)$$

where F is a function of PE density and temperature and k_0 is apparently independent of PE polymer density. By using Eq.(5) and loss rate results

for the miscellaneous compounds in Table II for the low density PE bottles, the loss rate for the high density PE bottle was predicted as shown in Table IV. The results are in rather good agreement with the experimental values and tend to reaffirm the validity of the effective carbon atom number [4]. Similar calculations may also be done for the other compounds with comparable agreement. Figure 12 further illustrates the fact that for $N_A > 5$ the nature of the steady-state diffusion process in the PE polymer matrix is somewhat similar for each series of permeants. If Eq.(5) is now combined with the results of Fig.11, the loss rate of the permeant at constant temperature becomes:

$$\log \dot{Q}_A = F(\rho_p, T) + k_o n_e = \log \dot{Q}_{A0} + C(\rho_p - \rho_{p0}) + k_o n_e \quad (6)$$

where C is a constant, ρ_{p0} and ρ_p are, respectively, the density of PE for the reference or standard state and ρ_p is some other PE density of interest. Thus at constant temperature, the loss rate for a given permeant may be estimated by Eq.(6) from a knowledge of only n_e and \dot{Q}_{A0} . The latter quantity is determined from the n-alkanes in the standard state. As discussed above, the effective carbon atom number of a given permeant may be experimentally determined from some standard permeant in a given polymer resin.

Conclusion

This work has presented data at constant temperature on mass loss and loss rate for n-alcohols and n-carboxylic esters and a group of miscellaneous compounds of two different densities in PE resin bottles. From the data an effective carbon atom number, n_e , for each permeant was determined and found to be roughly independent of the density of the PE polymer matrix. By measuring loss rate of the n-alkanes as standard permeants in PE bottles of a given density, the loss rate of other permeants may be predicted at some other PE density with reasonable accuracy by using n_e values.

This work further suggests that a standard measurement system of bottles (constant wall thickness, density, type, etc.) and permeants (e.g., n-alkanes) could be chosen for obtaining quantitative mass loss information. Effective carbon atom numbers derived from such measurements could be compiled into a common data pool [4] and made accessible to the concerned community (manufacturers, shippers, users, etc.) for the purpose of ascertaining permeation performance. An assemblage of information of this kind could also aid rulemaking efforts in attempting to normalize such diversified community interests [4].

This investigation has not addressed the question of the dependence of loss rate on temperature. Such studies are highly desirable for ascertaining the activation energies as a function of chain length which enters directly into Eq.(2). Another question of equal importance is the dependence of loss rate on container wall thickness, ℓ . Limited results reported here do indicate an inverse dependence on ℓ , but more extensive and well-defined experiments need to be done in order to predict the permeation performance of full-scale containers with some degree of confidence.

List of References

1. "The Science and Technology of Polymer Films", Vol. II, edited by Orville J. Sweeting, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y. pp. 1-131 (1971).
2. Bent, H. A., and Pinsky, J., Theoretical Investigation of the Mechanism of Transfer of Materials Through Polyethylene, Wright Air Development Center Technical Report 53-133, Part 2 (1955).
3. Salame, M., An Empirical Method for the Prediction of Liquid Permeation in Polyethylene and Related Polymers, SPE Transaction, 1, No. 4, pp. 1-11 (1961).
4. Barnes, J. D. and Martin, G. M., Performance of Plastic Packaging for HM Transportation Part IV. Permeation, Report No. DOT/MTB/OHMR-8012. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22151.
5. Crank, J. and Parks, G. S., Methods of Measurement, Chapter 1 in Diffusion in Polymers, J. Crank and G. S. Park, Eds., (Academic Press, New York, 1968.)
6. Bondi, A., "Physical Properties of Molecular Crystals, Liquids and Glasses", John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY (1968).

TABLE I
Polyethylene (PE) Bottles Used For Mass Loss Measurements

TYPE	Density, g/cm ³		Average Thickness, cm	Volume Capacity, ml
	Stated	Found		
CPE (Low Density) ^a	.92	.9235 ± .0009	.1062 ± .0190	125
LPE (High Density) ^a	.94	.9453 ± .0012	.0950 ± .0068	125
"Phillips" (High Density) ^b	-	.9430 ± .0030	.0671 ± .0028	125

^aNalge Company

^bPhillips Petroleum Company

TABLE II

Loss Rate at $t = 50^\circ\text{C}$ for Various Classes of Compounds
Using Low Density (CPE) and High Density (LPE) Bottles.

N_A^a Alkane	\dot{Q}_A (g/hr)	N_A^a n-Carboxylic Esters	\dot{Q}_A (g/hr)	N_A^a n-Alcohols	\dot{Q}_A (g/hr)	N_A^a Misc. Compounds	\dot{Q}_A
	CPE		CPE	CPE	LPE	CPE	LPE
7 Heptane	.397	5 Ethyl Acetate	.0696	1 Methyl	.00390	1 Aceto - nitriole	.00750
"Phillips" bottle	.0677	7 Butyl Acetate	.0132	2 Ethyl	.00430	2 1,2 - dichloro- ethane	.00210
	.0837	8 Amyl Acetate	.0669	3 Propyl	.00290		.0470
16 Hexadecane	.0254	Methyl Heptanoate	.0540	4 Butyl	.00320		
"Phillips" bottle	.00645	9 Methyl Tetradecanoate	.0380	6 Hexyl	.00275	3 Acetone	.0240
	.00973	16 Methyl Tetradecanoate	.0135	8 Octyl	.00320	4 MEK	.0450
			.00336	10 Decyl	.00380		
				12 Dodecyl	.00360		
				16 Cetyl	.00384		
					.000890		
					.000670		
					.000550		
					.000478		
					.000395		
					.000150		

N_A^a is the backbone or skeletal chain length.

TABLE III

Average Effective Carbon Atom Number for Various Classes
of Compounds (based on skeletal chain length)

N_A	n-Alcohols	n_e	N_A	n-Carboxylic Esters	n_e	N_A	Miscellaneous Compounds	n_e
1	Methyl	21.8	5	Ethyl Acetate	12.9	1	Acetonitrile	21.1
2	Ethyl	23.0	7	Butyl Acetate	13.2	2	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.4
3	Propyl	23.3	8	Amyl Acetate	14.2	3	Acetone	19.0
4	Butyl	22.7	9	Methyl Heptanoate	14.6	4	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK)	17.0
6	Hexyl	23.4	16	Methyl Tetradecoate	15.1			
8	Octyl	24.1						
10	Decyl	26.0						
12	Dodecyl	25.1						
16	Cetyl	28.5						

TABLE IV

Predicted Loss Rate at 50 °C For
High Density Bottle From Loss Rate of
Low Density Bottle (Using Fig.11)

N_A	Miscellaneous Compounds	Loss Rate, $\overset{QA}{(g/hr)}$ [High Density]	
		Actual	Predicted
1	Acetonitrile	.00210	.0013
2	1,2-Dichloroethane	.0470	.041
3	Acetone	.00450	.0041
4	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK)	.00820	.0077




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10





Fig. 11



Main body of handwritten text, consisting of several lines of cursive script. The text is extremely faint and mostly illegible. A small yellow dot is visible in the middle of the page.

Vertical handwritten text on the right side of the page, possibly a date or a signature, which is illegible.

