

Daniel W Lozier

Mathematical Analysis Division Center for Applied Mathematics National Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234

March 1980

Final Report



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

QC 100 .U56 80-1976 1980

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Daniel W. Lozier

Mathematical Analysis Division Center for Applied Mathematics National Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234

March 1980

Final Report

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Philip M. Klutznick, Secretary

Luther H. Hodges, Jr., *Deputy Secretary* Jordan J. Baruch, *Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology* NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, *Director*

ABS TRACT

Consider a given homogeneous or inhomogeneous linear difference equation $\sum_{s=0}^{l} d_{s}(r)y(r+s) = g(r)$ where $l \ge 2$ and r = 0,1,2,.... Suppose y is a solution of this equation and u,v are solutions of the homogeneous form of this equation such that $u(r)/v(r) \Rightarrow 0$, $y(r)/v(r) \Rightarrow 0$, $u(r)/y(r) \Rightarrow 0$. It is known that under these circumstances algorithms for the computation of y based on forward recurrence or backward recurrence, such as the Miller algorithm, are numerically unstable.

Stable algorithms, such as the method of Olver in the case l = 2, have been based on approximating y(r) by the solutions $y_n(r)$ of a certain sequence of boundary value problems. More specifically, $y_n(r)$ is a solution of the difference equation that coincides with y(r), over some fixed initial range of r, say $r = i, i+1, \ldots, i+j-1$, and satisfies $y_n(r) = 0$ for $r = n, n+1, \ldots, n+l-j-1$. Here j is an integer whose value depends on the asymptotic behavior of the chosen solution y(r) and n is an arbitrary large integer. Boundary value problems of this type are shown to be equivalent to two initial value problems of order j and l-j by factorization of the linear difference operator. The solution of the problem of order j is obtained by forward recurrence; the solution of the other problem is obtained by backward recurrence.

The algorithm is specified completely for a broad class of linear difference operators. This class includes, for example, every constant-coefficient operator. Convergence of $y_n(r)$ to y(r) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for

fixed r is proved and an expansion of the truncation error is derived. Numerical stability is demonstrated under appropriate conditions. The method is tested by numerical examples involving fourth-order equations with variable coefficients.

i

PREFACE

This paper was submitted as a Ph.D. thesis in the Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics Program of the University of Maryland at College Park. The Ph.D. degree was awarded on December 18, 1979.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the aid and encouragement rendered by my thesis professor, Dr. Frank W.J. Olver. His leadership was superb. I also wish to express my appreciation to Dr. Daniel Shanks for directing me to the literature on almost periodic functions, to Donald J. Orser for helping with MACSYMA, to Richard N. Freemire for producing the figures, to Katherine Mesztenyi for typing the manuscript, and to my wife Elaine for her patience.

Computer support was provided by the Center for Applied Mathematics, National Bureau of Standards, and the Mathlab Group, Laboratory for Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

11

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1. Miller's and Olver's Algorithms	1
	1.2. Factorization of Linear Difference Equations	7
	1.3. A Preliminary Development of the Algorithm	22
2.	CLASSIFICATION OF SEQUENCES	
	2.1. Constant-Coefficient Operators	36
	2.2. Separable Operators	42
	2.3. The General Classification	51
3.	THE GENERAL ALGORITHM	
	3.0. Preliminaries and Overview	55
	3.1. Existence and Uniqueness	58
	3.2. Convergence	63
	3.3. Stability	70
4.	NUMERICAL EXAMPLES	
	4.0. Introduction	74
	4.1. Examples Involving the Homogeneous JYIK Equation	85
	4.2. Examples Involving the Inhomogeneous JYIK Equation	117
	4.3. Examples Involving the Homogeneous JYPQ Equation	121
	4.4. Examples Involving the Inhomogeneous JYPQ Equation	139
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	144
	APPENDIX	154
	REFERENCES	158

TAELES

1.	Numerical coefficients, JYIK operator, $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 10 \dots 90$
2.	$(0,1)$ -factorization, JYIK operator, $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 10$
3.	$(0,2)$ -factorization, JYIK operator, $x_1=1, x_2=10$
4.	$(0,3)$ -factorization, JYIK operator, $x_1=1, x_2=10$ 99
5.	J _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =1,x ₂ =10,i=0,m=100,j=1
6.	I _r (10), JYIK eq., x ₁ =1,x ₂ =10,i=0,m=100,j=2
7.	(-) ^r K _r (10), JYIK eq., x ₁ =1, x ₂ =10, i=0, m=100, j=3
8.	Y _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =1,x ₂ =10, i=0,m=100, j=4
9.	I _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =10,x ₂ =1, i=0,m=100, j=1
10.	J _r (10), JYIK eq., x ₁ =10, x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=2
11.	Y _r (10), JYIK eq., x ₁ =10,x ₂ =1,i=0,m=100,j=3
12.	(-) ^r K _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =10, x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=4
13.	A _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=2
14.	J _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1,i=0,m=100,j=2
15.	I _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=2

iv

TABLES (Continued)

16.	Y _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=4	•	•	•	•	•	115
17.	(-) ^r K _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1,i=0,m=100,j=4	•		÷	•	•	116
18.	E _r (1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =1, i=0, m=100, j=2	•	•			•	119
19.	H _r (0.1), JYIK eq., x ₁ =x ₂ =0.1, i=0, m=50, j=2		•				120
20.	Numerical coefficients, JYPQ operator, $\mu=0, x_1=1, x_2=0$.	5			•	•	123
21.	(0.1)-factorization, JYPQ operator, $\mu=0, x_1=1, x_2=0.5$	•				•	126
22.	(0,3)-factorization, JYPQ operator, $\mu=0, x_1=1, x_2=0.5$	•	•		•	•	129
23.	$J_r(1)$, JYPQ eq., $\mu=0, x_1=1, x_2=0.5, i=0, m=100, j=1$	•	•	•		•	132
24.	$P_r(0.5)$, JYPQ eq., $\mu=0, x_1=1, x_2=0.5, i=0, m=100, j=3$.				•	•	133
25.	Q _r (0.5), JYPQ eq., µ=0,x ₁ =1,x ₂ =0.5,i=0,m=100,j=3		•			•	134
26.	Y _r (1), JYPQ eq., µ=0,x ₁ =1,x ₂ =0.5,i=0,m=100,j=4	•	•		•	•	135
27.	$P_r^1(0.5)$, JYPQ eq., $\mu=1, x_1=1, x_2=0.5, i=0, m=100, j=3$.	•			•	•	137
28.	$Q_r^1(0.5)$, JYPQ eq., $\mu=1, x_1=1, x_2=0.5, i=0, m=100, j=3$.	•	•		•	•	138
29.	E _r (1), JYPQ eq., µ=1,x ₁ =1,x ₂ =0.5,i=0,m=100,j=3	•	•	•	•	•	142
30.	H _r (0.1), JYPQ eq., µ=1,x ₁ =1,x ₂ =0.5,i=0,m=100,j=1		•	•	•	•	143

FIGURES

1.	The operator D^{i}	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
2.	The matrix D_j^i	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
3.	The matrix $D_j^{i,n}$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
4.	The factorization (1.2.5)	•		•	•	•	•	•	16
5.	The roots of (2.1.2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	39
6.	Fragment of FORTRAN subroutine, JYIK operator	•	•	•	•	•		•	76
7.	Fragment of FORTRAN subroutine, JYPQ operator	•	•	•			•		78

.

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Miller's and Olver's Algorithms

Linear recurrence relations (linear difference equations) are satisfied by many of the higher transcendental functions of mathematics, and they arise quite naturally in applications of mathematics. Discretization of ordinary differential equations in numerical analysis is one important area in which they occur. Because of their special form, linear recurrence relations are especially well suited to the construction of computing algorithms. Stability requirements in the case of second-order homogeneous relations led to the well-known Miller backward recurrence algorithm [5], which was developed for the computation of modified Bessel functions. This reference was published in 1952. The review paper of Gautschi [9] provides a survey of algorithms and applications in the case of second-order homogeneous equations. Nowadays, the name of Miller often attends when a minimal solution (defined below) of a homogeneous equation of arbitrary order is computed using backward recurrence [28]. These algorithms always involve backward recurrence from assumed starting values (since these are not known in general) followed by a normalization procedure, such as matching one value of the computed solution with the desired solution in order to arrive at the proper scale factor which relates these two solutions.

A different class of algorithms becomes necessary when "intermediate" solutions of a linear recurrence relation are to be computed. Intuitively speaking, an intermediate solution is one for which two other solutions can be found such that one dominates, and the other is dominated by, the intermediate solution. We are speaking here of dominance in the following sense: if a = (a(0), a(1), ...) and b = (b(0), b(1), ...) are two infinite sequences[†] then b dominates a whenever $a(r)/b(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Neither forward recurrence nor the Miller algorithm is numerically stable for an intermediate solution. This is because recurrence in either direction, when carried out in finite precision, will be contaminated by small components of the other solutions. An analysis of the propagation of these rounding errors appears in [18]. In the forward direction, as the recurrence proceeds through more and more steps, the dominant solutions grow and eventually overwhelm the desired intermediate solution. Since our concept of dominance is really dominance "at infinity", the situation for recurrence in the backward direction is not completely analogous. However, if we start at a sufficiently large value of r and recur backward, other solutions grow at a faster rate than the wanted intermediate solution as r decreases.

In addition to intermediate solutions, a difference equation has <u>minimal</u> (or "recessive") and <u>maximal</u> (or "dominant") solutions. Intuitively, a minimal solution does not dominate, and a maximal solution is not dominated by, any other solution of the difference equation as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Ultimately, forward recurrence is stable for maximal solutions since the error cannot grow more rapidly than the solution. Similarly, backward recurrence is stable for minimal solutions, at least in a region of sufficiently large r.

2

^TWe shall regard the solutions of a linear difference equation, as well as the coefficients of the equation, as complex-valued functions of a discrete real variable. We shall assume that this discrete real variable proceeds in unit increments; thus the solutions, as well as the coefficients, of linear difference equations are complex sequences. We shall be interested only in those linear difference equations whose coefficient sequences are of infinite length.

The lowest order of difference equation for which an intermediate solution can exist, and then only when the equation is inhomogeneous, is two. Such an equation is of the form

$$(1.1.1) \quad a(r)y(r-1)-b(r)y(r)+c(r)y(r+1) = d(r) , r = 1,2,...$$

Since the general solution is

$$y(r) = au(r) + \beta v(r) + h(r)$$
, $r = 0, 1, 2, ...$

where a and β are constants, u = (u(0), u(1), ...) and v = (v(0), v(1), ...) are linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation, and h = (h(0), h(1), ...) is a particular solution of (1.1.1), there can be at most three asymptotically distinct types of behavior of solutions as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Let us assume that v dominates u and that h is an intermediate solution. In addition, assume $u(0) \neq 0$ and $v(r) \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large r. Under these assumptions a stable algorithm for computing intermediate solutions of (1.1.1) was presented by Olver in [21]. If y = (y(0), y(1), ...) is the desired intermediate solution, Olver's algorithm approximates y by a sequence of solutions $y_n = (y_n(0), y_n(1), ...)$ such that $y_n(0) = y(0)$ and $y_n(n) = 0$ where n is an appropriate-ly chosen positive integer. Note that only one initial value of the wanted intermediate solution is required to determine the sequence of approximations. The sequence is shown to converge pointwise to y as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Olver's algorithm efficiently produces the first n-l unknown terms of y_n as the solution of a tridiagonal linear system of order n-l. The procedure used for solving these linear equations is equivalent to Gaussian elimination without pivoting. It consists, in effect, of a forward elimination stage followed by a back substitution stage. The algorithm is constructed so that the numerical stability of this procedure may be assessed. The forward elimination stage is realized by two forward recurrences. One of these uses the homogeneous form of (1.1.1) to generate a dominant solution p = (p(0), p(1), ...). The initial values used for starting this recurrence are p(0) = 0 and p(1) = 1, and the solution p is asymptotically proportional to v. Therefore, the rounding errors ultimately propagate in proportion to p, although they could propagate faster than p at first (if p is essentially proportional to u for early values of r, corresponding to an excessively small value of u(0)). A combined algorithm that employs both Miller's algorithm and Olver's algorithm in adjacent ranges of r is proposed in [22].

The second forward recurrence in the forward elimination stage of Olver's algorithm uses the inhomogeneous first-order equation

$$a(r)e(r-1) - c(r)e(r) = d(r)p(r) , r = 1, 2, ...,$$

where the solution e = (e(0), e(1), ...) satisfies e(0) = y(0). Here two distinct asymptotic forms of behavior may exist, but there is no reason to expect that the calculation of e will be asymptotically unstable in general. However, as was the case for the solution p, there may be applications where rounding errors grow more rapidly then e(r) over some finite initial range of values of r.

The back substitution consists of backward recurrence of the inhomogeneous first-order equation

$$p(r+1)y_n(r) - p(r)y_n(r+1) = e(r), r = n-1, n-2, ..., l$$

starting with $y_n(n) = 0$. The stability of this backward recurrence is guaranteed in the "asymptotic region" belonging to the chosen solution y(r), that is, the infinite range of r which is such that the asymptotic behavior of y(r) relative to u(r) and v(r) is maintained.

Olver's method of solving the tridiagonal system leads to a very convenient representation of the truncation error. For each r and for all $n \ge r$, the expansion

(1.1.2)
$$y(r) = y_n(r) + p(r) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{e(s)}{p(s)p(s+1)}$$

is valid. Consequently, for each r and $n \ge r$ and $\nu \ge 1$ we have

$$y_{n+\nu}(r) - y_n(r) = p(r) \sum_{s=n}^{n+\nu-1} \frac{e(s)}{p(s)p(s+1)}$$

This expansion is useful as a basis for deciding on an appropriate value of n, <u>i.e</u>., for deciding when to stop the forward elimination and begin the back substitution. Suppose that for particular values of $\varepsilon > 0$ and r, say r = m, it is desired that

 $|y(m) - y_n(m)| < \varepsilon$.

A value of $v(\geq 1)$ is selected and y(m) is approximated by $y_{n+v}(m)$. The problem then is to determine the smallest value of n such that

$$|y_{n+\nu}(m) - y_n(m)| < \varepsilon$$

This is done by continuing the forward elimination until r = m at which point calculation of the numbers

$$\eta(r) = |p(m)| \sum_{s=r}^{r+\nu-1} \frac{e(s)}{p(s)p(s+1)}|$$
, $r = m, m+1, ...$

is begun. Forward elimination is continued further, together with the calculation of $\eta(r)$, until a value of r is reached such that $\eta(r) < \varepsilon$. This value of r is taken for n; its adequacy may be checked by taking additional terms in the infinite expansion of $y(m) - y_n(m)$, given by (1.1.2) with r = m.

In addition to being appropriate for computing intermediate solutions of (1.1.1), Olver's algorithm is suited to computing the minimal solution of a homogeneous equation. It can therefore be compared to Miller's algorithm. The advantage of Olver's algorithm over Miller's algorithm is that the latter does not have a criterion for determining automatically an appropriate value for n . On the other hand, if an adequate value of n is known from other considerations (as, for example, from an asymptotic estimate), then Miller's algorithm may require less computational effort.

Zahar [27], Oliver [19,20], and Cash [6] have considered extensions of Olver's algorithm to linear difference equations of higher order. In the present work we shall construct and analyze an algorithm which has features in common with these extensions. We shall also illustrate the algorithm by means of numerical examples.

6

1.2 Factorization of Linear Difference Equations

Let F be the field of complex numbers and let S be the set of all complex-valued infinite sequences x = (x(0), x(1), ...). Clearly S is an infinite-dimensional linear space over F. Let \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} be the set of all infinite upper-triangular band matrices of the form



where $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_\ell \in S$ and $d_0(r) \neq 0$, $d_\ell(r) \neq 0$ for infinitely many values of r. We shall also use the concise notation $[d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_\ell]$ to represent infinite matrices in the set \mathcal{D}_ℓ . If we regard the infinite sequences in S as infinite column vectors, then an infinite sequence $Dx \in S$ is defined for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$, $x \in S$ by ordinary matrix multiplication. In fact, the terms of Dx are given by

$$Dx(r) = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(r)x(r+s) , r = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

Clearly, each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ is a linear operator on S under this definition. We shall say that \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} is the class of <u>linear difference operators of order</u> ℓ on S.

Note that \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} is defined for each integer $\ell \geq 0$. We pointed out in §1.1 that intermediate solutions of linear difference equations

can exist only if the order of the equation is at least two. But we are going to factor linear difference operators into a product of two lowerorder operators. Therefore, operators of order one will arise. Furthermore, the formalism to be introduced will allow even the degenerate case of operators of order zero.

If d_0 and d_ℓ have no terms equal to zero, we shall say the difference operator $[d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_\ell] \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$ is <u>nonsingular</u>; compare [14, §12.0]. The terms of d_0 and d_ℓ will be called the <u>leading</u> and <u>trailing coefficients</u> of $[d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_\ell]$, respectively.

We shall use the notation x^i to indicate a sequence from S whose first term is x(i). Thus

$$x^{i} = (x(i), x(i+1), ...)$$

The point i will be called the <u>initial point</u> of the sequence. When we do not indicate a superscript it is to be understood that zero is the initial point of the sequence. Similarly, we shall use the notation $x^{i,n}$ to indicate the (finite) subsequence of x^{i} whose final term is x(n). Thus

$$x^{i,n} = (x(i), x(i+1), \dots, x(n))$$

The point n will be called the terminal point of the subsequence.

Similarly, for linear difference operators from \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} , we shall use the notation D^{i} or $[d_{0}^{i}, d_{1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{\ell}^{i}]$ to indicate that i is the initial point of each of the ℓ + 1 sequences involved in the definition of the operator. See Figure 1. When the superscript is omitted, it is understood that these initial points are all zero unless it is clear from the context (as in equation (1.2.1) below) what these initial points are.







Figure 2. The matrix D_j^i .



Figure 3. The matrix $D_j^{i,n}$.

Two further notational conventions to be used in this thesis are D_j^i , the infinite matrix obtained from D^i by deleting the first j columns $(0 \le j \le l)$; and $D_j^{i,n}$, the leading principal submatrix of order n - i + 1 of D_j^i . See Figures 2 and 3. Note that each diagonal of D_j^i below the principal diagonal is a subsequence of one of the sequences $d_0^i, d_1^i, \ldots, d_{j-1}^i$, the sequence of d_j^i is on the principal diagonal, and the sequences d_{j+1}^i, \ldots, d_l^i form the diagonals above the principal diagonal. The form of the finite matrix $D_j^{i,n}$ is similar, with the finite subsequence $d_i^{i,n}$ lying on the principal diagonal.

A <u>linear difference equation</u> is an equation of the form Dx = g, where $g \in S$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ are prescribed. Either $x \in S$ (or a subsequence of x of the form $x^{i,n}$) is to be determined. This equation is equivalent to the infinite linear algebraic system

$$Dx(r) = g(r)$$
, $r = 0, 1, ...$

Analogously to linear differential equations, auxiliary conditions are required in order to specify a unique solution. In our investigations these auxiliary conditions will consist of two finite subsequences $x^{i,i+j-1}$ and $x^{n,n+k-1}$ (or possibly only one of these) which are to be specified in advance, where $j + k = \ell$ and $j \ge 0$, $k \ge 0$. These are called the <u>initial</u> and <u>terminal</u> conditions, respectively, when $n \ge i + j$.

Let us consider the following finite boundary value problem: Determine $y^{i+j,n-1}$ such that

(1.2.1)
$$Dy(r) = g(r)$$
, $r = i, i+1, ..., n-j-1$

together with initial conditions

(1.2.2)
$$y(i+r) = a_r, r = 0, 1, ..., j-1$$

and terminal conditions

(1.2.3)
$$y(n+r) = \beta_r$$
, $r = 0, 1, ..., k-1$

are satisfied, where $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$, $\ell \ge 1$, $g \in S$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$, $k = \ell - j$, $i \ge 0$, $n \ge i + j$ and $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1} \in F$. In view of (1.2.2) and (1.2.3), the finite subsequences $y^{i,i+j-1}$ and $y^{n,n+k-1}$ are known. Of course, if j = 0 then $y^{i,i+j-1}$ is null. Similarly if k = 0. These cases correspond to the absence of either (1.2.2) or (1.2.3). The unknown subsequence $y^{i+j,n-1}$ satisfies a linear system of algebraic equations which can be expressed in matrix terms using the notation we introduced above. The system is

$$\begin{array}{c} (1.2.4) \\ D_{j}^{i,n-j-1}y^{i+j,n-1} = g^{i,n-j-1} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \cdots \\ D_{\ell}^{n-\ell,n-j-1}y^{n,n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

provided that $n - i - j \ge \max(j,k)$. The first partitioned column vector on the right side of (1.2.4) has j entries in the upper part, and the second partitioned column vector has k entries in the lower part. The length of the other column vectors appearing in (1.2.4) is n - i - j. This is what gives rise to the restriction $n - i - j \ge \max(j,k)$. This restriction is irrelevant for the applications we have in mind, since we shall be considering sequences of finite boundary value problems as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the number of zeros represented by the symbol 0 in the partitioned column vectors is n - i - 2j in the first vector and $n - i - \ell$ in the second vector. Obviously, the partitioned column vectors reflect the influence of the boundary conditions (1.2.2) and (1.2.3).

Solution of the boundary value problem (1.2.1) to (1.2.3) is equivalent to solution of the linear system (1.2.4). Equation (1.2.4) possesses a unique solution if, and only if, the matrix $D_j^{i,n-j-1}$ is nonsingular. If in addition we assume that the difference operator D is nonsingular, then the solution $y^{i,n+k-1}$ of (1.2.1) to (1.2.3) extends uniquely to $y \in S$ by forward and backward recurrence. However, nonsingularity of D is not necessary for this unique extension to exist; for example, some of the leading and trailing coefficients of D which appear in the finite matrix $D_i^{i,n-j-1}$ could be zero.

Let us consider the nonsingular triangular cases of (1.2.4). The first is given by $j = \ell$. Then (1.2.4) assumes the form

$$(1.2.4a) \quad D_{\ell}^{i,n-\ell-1}y^{i+\ell,n-1} = g^{i,n-\ell-1} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+\ell-1}y^{i,i+\ell-1} \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Writing out these equations in detail, we have

$$d_{\ell}(i)y(i+\ell) = g(i) - \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} d_{s}(i)y(i+s)$$
$$d_{\ell-1}(i+1)y(i+\ell) + d_{\ell}(i+1)y(i+\ell+1) = g(i+1) - \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-2} d_{s}(i+1)y(i+s+1)y(i+s+1)$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(i+\ell)y(i+\ell+s) = g(i+\ell)$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}^{(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s)} = g(n-\ell-1)$$

Since this system is lower triangular, it is solvable by determining $y(i+\ell)$ from the first equation, $y(i+\ell+1)$ from the second equation, and so on. This process is equivalent to developing $y(i+\ell), y(i+\ell+1), \dots, y(n-1)$ from (1.2.1) by forward recurrence starting with the given values (1.2.2).

The second triangular case is given by j = 0. Then (1.2.4) assumes the form

$$D_{0}^{i,n-1}y^{i,n-1} = g^{i,n-1} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ D_{\ell}^{n-\ell,n-1}y^{n,n+\ell-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Writing out these equations in detail, we have

$$\int_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(i)y(i+s) = g(i)$$

$$\int_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s) = g(n-\ell-1)$$

$$\int_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s) = g(n-\ell-1)$$

$$\int_{s=0}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s) = g(n-\ell-1)$$

$$\int_{s=1}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s) = g(n-\ell-1)$$

$$\int_{s=1}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s) = g(n-\ell-1) - \int_{s=1}^{\ell} d_{s}(n-\ell-1)y(n-\ell-1+s)$$

Since this system is upper triangular, it is solvable by determining y(n-1) from the last equation, y(n-2) from the next-to-last equation, and so on. This process is equivalent to developing $y(n-1), y(n-2), \ldots$, y(i) from (1.2.1) by backward recurrence starting with the values (1.2.3).

The nontriangular cases of (1.2.4) in which $0 < j < \ell$ will be of greater interest to us. Our primary goal is to find a way to solve these problems by performing, in effect, a forward recurrence of order j followed by a backward recurrence of order $\ell - j$. <u>Definition 1.2.1</u> A nonsingular boundary value problem (1.2.4), or equivalently (1.2.1) to (1.2.3), having initial point i, terminal point n, number of initial conditions j and difference operator $D = [d_0, d_1, \dots, d_\ell] \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$, will be called <u>factorizable</u> provided there exist difference operators $A^{i} = [a_{0}^{i}, a_{1}^{i}, \dots, a_{j}^{i}]$ of order j and $B^{i+j} = [b_{0}^{i+j}, b_{1}^{i+j}, \dots, b_{k}^{i+j}]$ of order $k = \ell - j$ such that

(1.2.5)
$$D_{j}^{i,n-j-1} = A_{j}^{i,n-j-1}B_{0}^{i+j,n-1}$$

If in addition the sequences a_j^i and b_0^{i+j} are free of zeros and the infinite matrix factorization

(1.2.6)
$$D_{j}^{i} = A_{j}^{i}B^{i+j}$$

is valid, then we shall say that the difference operator D is (i,j) -factorizable.

Several remarks may be made here. Note that $D_j^{i,n-j-1}$ and D_j^{i} are band matrices each having total bandwidth $\ell + 1$, lower bandwidth j, and upper bandwidth k. A nonsingular and factorizable boundary value problem is one for which a finite matrix factorization of $D_j^{i,n-j-1}$ exists such that the left factor is lower triangular with total bandwidth j + 1 and the right factor is upper triangular with total bandwidth k + 1. The difference operators A^i and B^{i+j} may be arbitrary as long as they satisfy the condition (1.2.5), since (1.2.5) involves only a finite submatrix of each of A^i and B^{i+j} . Equation (1.2.5) is illustrated in Figure 4. Since $D_j^{i,n-j-1}$ is nonsingular, both $A_j^{i,n-j-1}$ and $B_0^{i+j,n-1}$ are nonsingular. Thus the entries on the principal diagonals of $A_i^{i,n-j-1}$ and $B_0^{i+j,n-1}$ are all nonzero.

Now suppose the difference operator $D \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ is (i,j) - factorizable; it need not be a nonsingular operator. Because of the triangular forms of A_j^i and B^{i+j} , equation (1.2.6) implies equation (1.2.5) for every $n \ge i + j + 1$. In words, every leading principal submatrix of D_j^i is equal to the product of the corresponding leading principal submatrices of A_j^i and B^{i+j} . The assumption that the subsequences a_j^i and b_0^{i+j} are free of zeros is equivalent to assuming that the entries on the





principal diagonals of the infinite matrices A_j^i and B^{i+j} are all nonzero. It follows that every leading principal submatrix of D_j^i is nonsingular. Thus we have proved the following theorem: <u>Theorem 1.2.1</u> Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ be (i,j) - factorizable. Then, for every $n \ge i + j + \max(j, \ell - j)$, the boundary value problem (1.2.4) having difference operator D, initial point i, number of initial conditions j and terminal point n is nonsingular and factorizable.

The next theorem shows it is possible to obtain a solution to a factorizable boundary value problem, in theory at least, by performing an appropriate forward recurrence followed by an appropriate backward recurrence.

<u>Theorem 1.2.2</u> Let (1.2.4) be a nonsingular and factorizable boundary value problem with initial point i, number of initial conditions j, terminal point n and difference operator $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$. If A^{i} and B^{i+j} are difference operators of order j and $k = \ell - j$, respectively, such that (1.2.5) is valid, then the solution of (1.2.4) is identical to the solution of

(1.2.7)

$$B_{0}^{i+j,n-1}y^{i+j,n-1} = z^{i+j,n-1} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ (A_{j}^{n-\ell,n-j-1})^{-1}D_{\ell}^{n-\ell,n-j-1}y^{n,n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $z^{i+j,n-1}$ is the solution of

(1.2.8) $A_{j}^{i,n-j-1}z^{i+j,n-1} = g^{i,n-j-1} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ <u>Proof</u> The matrices $A_j^{i,n-j-1}$ and $B_0^{i+j,n-1}$ are nonsingular because $D_j^{i,n-j-1}$ is nonsingular; see (1.2.5). Therefore, the diagonal elements of $A_j^{i,n-j-1}$ and $B_0^{i+j,n-1}$ (which are triangular matrices) are nonzero and (1.2.7) and (1.2.8) are nonsingular linear systems. Furthermore, $A_j^{n-\ell,n-j-1}$ is nonsingular because it is a principal submatrix of $A_j^{i,n-j-1}$. Therefore the right side of (1.2.7) is unambiguously defined. Now, premultiplying (1.2.7) by $A_j^{i,n-j-1}$ and using (1.2.5) and

$$D_{j}^{i,n-j-l}y^{i+j,n-l} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-l}y^{i,i+j-l} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - A_{j}^{i,n-j-l} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (A_{j}^{n-\ell,n-j-l})^{-l}D_{\ell}^{n-\ell,n-j-l}y^{n,n+k-l} \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the last product on the right side of this equation. Its block structure is

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{j}^{i,n-\ell-1} & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ * & \vdots & A_{j}^{n-\ell,n-j-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & A_{j}^{n-\ell,n-j-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} D_{\ell}^{n-\ell,n-j-1} y^{n,n-k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where 0 in the matrix denotes a zero submatrix with $n - i - \ell$ rows and $k(= \ell - j)$ columns, 0 in the vector denotes a zero subvector of length $n - i - \ell$, and * in the matrix denotes a submatrix which needs no further identification here. Therefore, the product in question is equal to the vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ D_{\ell}^{n-\ell, n-j-1} y^{n, n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Substitution into the equation and comparison with (1.2.4) completes the proof.

An important remark is that if (1.2.6) is valid, i.e. if D is (i,j)-factorizable with left factor A^{i} and right factor B^{i+j} , then

$$D_{\ell}^{n-\ell, n-j-1} = A_j^{n-\ell, n-j-1} B_k^{n-k, n-1}$$

In this case $B_k^{n-k,n-1}$ may be written in place of $(A^{n-\ell,n-j-1})^{-1}$ $D^{n-\ell,n-j-1}$ in (1.2.7). The truth of this remark is apparent from Figure 4.

The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a difference operator to be (i,j) - factorizable. The proof also shows that (i,j) - factorizations may be constructed by Gaussian elimination <u>in</u> <u>natural order</u>, i.e., without any row or column interchanges.

<u>Theorem 1.2.3</u> Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$. Then

i) A nonsingular boundary value problem having initial point i, number of initial conditions j and terminal point n is factorizable if every leading principal submatrix of $D_{i}^{i,n-j-1}$ is nonsingular.

ii) D is (i,j) - factorizable if every leading principal submatrix of D_{j}^{i} is nonsingular. Furthermore, this condition is necessary if D is nonsingular.

<u>Proof</u> Our approach is to apply Gaussian elimination $[10, \S2.1]$ without any row or column interchanges. Because Gaussian elimination is so familiar, we merely sketch the proof. The proof of (i) is contained in the first n - i - j stages of the proof of (ii); therefore we supply only the proof of (ii). We begin by proving sufficiency of the condition.

The first row of D_j^i is used to annihilate every nonzero element below the first element in the first column. This is possible because the pivot element, which is the leading principal minor of order one, is nonzero. Because of the band structure, only the j rows immediately following the first row are affected. Also (again because of the band structure) the trailing coefficient in each of these rows is not changed. The multipliers used in these annihilations go into positions 2 through j + 1 of the first column of the lower triangular factor A_j^i . The first element is unity and every other element of the first column of A_j^i is zero. This completes the first stage.

Now suppose the (r-1)st stage has been completed. Let the notation \bar{D}_j^i denote the transformation of the original D_j^i resulting from the first r - 1 stages. The leading principal submatrix of \bar{D}_j^i of order r is upper triangular, having resulted in effect from the corresponding principal submatrix of the original D_j^i by Gaussian elimination in natural order. Its diagonal elements are all nonzero, by hypothesis. Therefore the pivotal element is nonzero and the r-th row of \bar{D}_j^i may be used to annihilate every nonzero element below the r-th element in the r-th column. Only the j rows immediately following the r-th row are affected, and the trailing coefficient in each of these rows is not changed. The multipliers used in this annihilation go into positions r + 1 through r + j of the r-th column of A_j^i ; unity goes into the r-th stage.

Let B^{i+j} be the infinite upper triangular matrix which results from the full sequence of transformations on D_j^i . By our construction, both A_j^i and B^{i+j} have the bandwidths required by (i,j) - factorizability. Also by our construction, formally multiplying A_j^i on the right by B^{i+j} results in D_j^i ; compare (1.2.6).

In order to prove necessity of the condition in part (ii), note that the factorization produced by the foregoing process is such that leading and trailing coefficients of both A_j^i and B^{i+j} are nonzero if D is nonsingular. Therefore, no row or column interchanges could be made without widening the bandwidth. Therefore, Gaussian elimination in natural order is the only possibility for arriving at the factorization. But Gaussian elimination in natural order is possible only if every leading principal minor is nonzero. This completes the proof.

Examination of the proof of Theorem 1.2.3 shows that a unique (i,j)factorization does not exist, since a different scaling of the rows is possible. This would result in the appearance of entries on the principal diagonal of A_j^i that are other than unity. In fact, the scaling used in the (0,1)-factorization in Olver's second-order algorithm put the sequence (p(2),p(3),...) on the principal diagonal of the right factor; compare §1.1. Thus the principal diagonal of the left factor will contain entries other than unity, in general.

21

1.3 A Preliminary Development of the Algorithm

Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$. It is clear that an extension of Olver's algorithm for computing a particular solution y of a given difference equation Dy = g should involve the posing of a boundary value problem whose solution y_n is an approximation of y over some finite subsequence $y^{i,m}$. The boundary value problem will have some number $j \ge 0$ of initial conditions (depending on the particular solution being sought). These will consist of a subsequence of j consecutive values of y. The terminal conditions of the boundary value problem will be $y_n(n) = y_n(n+1)$ $= \cdots = y_n(n+k-1) = 0$, where $k = \ell - j$.

The first task is to decide how to choose j. A necessary prerequisite is a classification of possible solution types when the operator is of order higher than two. Oliver [19] provided an early extension of Olver's algorithm. He also provided the following definition of dominance. Suppose we are given two sequences a , b \in S . Then b dominates a if there exists some $i \geq 0$ such that

(1.3.1)
$$|b(r+1)/b(r)| > |a(r+1)/a(r)|$$

for all $r \ge i$. Oliver considered only those difference operators for which a system of complementary solutions could be found such that the complementary solutions are linearly ordered under this definition of dominance. Furthermore, he considered only those particular solutions of the given linear difference equation which could be compared to each of the complementary solutions. But not all possible particular solutions can be so compared; for example, no particular solution which has an infinite subsequence of zeros can play the role of either a or b in (1.3.1). In §1.1, we adopted a different definition of dominance: b dominates a if

(1.3.2)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{a(r)}{b(r)} = 0$$

This differs from Oliver's definition (which is equivalent to requiring that ultimately |a(r)/b(r)| be monotonically decreasing). Another difference is that the limit of |a(r)/b(r)| under Oliver's definition may be greater than zero, as the example a(r) = 1 + 1/r and b(r) = 1 immediately shows.

Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$. The set $K(D) = \{x \in S \mid Dx = 0\}$ will be called the <u>kernel</u> of D. Obviously, K(D) is a linear subspace of S. Let us suppose that K(D) has dimension ℓ , so that there exist ℓ linearly independent sequences $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell} \in K(D)$ which span K(D). A sufficient condition for this to be the case is that D be nonsingular; compare [14,§12.1]. We shall say that the set $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell}$ forms a <u>basis</u> for D.

<u>Definition 1.3.1</u> We shall say that $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ is <u>totally separable</u> (as $r \rightarrow \infty$) provided there exists a basis $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{\ell}$ for D such that y_{s+1} dominates y_s in the sense of (1.3.2) for each $s, 1 \le s < \ell$.

Any basis which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.3.1 will be called <u>totally ranked</u>. Such a basis is not unique because y_1 , for example, could be added to each of $y_2, \ldots y_\ell$ and the resulting basis would also be totally ranked.

For each s, $1 \le s \le \ell$, let K_s denote the linear subspace of S which is spanned by y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s . In particular, $K_\ell = K(D)$. It seems obvious, and it will be proved in a more general setting in Chapter 2, that every totally ranked basis generates the same subspaces. The subspaces satisfy

$$K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_{\ell} = K(D)$$
.

Each set inclusion is proper, since the dimension of K_s is s. This ascending chain of subspaces induces an obvious classification of the solutions of Dy = 0 into ℓ distinct types. However, we are interested in classifying the solutions of Dy = g for nonzero, and indeed, arbitrary $g \in S$. Therefore, we frame the definition so as to cover all cases: <u>Definition 1.3.2</u> Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ be totally separable with totally ranked basis $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{\ell}$. Then, for each $y \in S$, we shall say that the <u>type</u> of y (with respect to D) is s, where $0 < s < \ell$, and we shall write type(y) = s, provided that

(1.3.3)
$$\lim_{\mathbf{r}\to\infty}\frac{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}_{s+1}(\mathbf{r})} = 0$$

and

(1.3.4)
$$\infty \ge \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{y(r)}{y_s(r)} \right| > 0$$

Furthermore, if (1.3.3) is true for s = 0, we define type(y) = 0, and if (1.3.4) is true for $s = \ell$, we define type(y) = ℓ .

The type of each $y \in S$ is the same regardless of which totally ranked basis is used. This will be proved for a more general classification of sequences in Chapter 2. Thus we are justified in defining the type with respect to D rather than with respect to a particular totally ranked basis.

The conditions for Olver's algorithm [21] suggest that Definitions 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 are appropriate for an extension of the algorithm to arbitrary order; see also Cash [6]. But it would be desirable to have a classification scheme which is applicable, for example, to every difference equation with constant coefficients. Not every constant-coefficient operator is totally separable, however. In the next chapter we shall introduce a more general class of difference operators (<u>separable</u> <u>operators</u>) which does include every constant-coefficient operator. Incidentally, the class of difference equations considered by Oliver [19] includes every constant-coefficient equation, since the standard basis (see §2.1 below) is linearly ordered under his definition of dominance.

Before stating the next theorem, we explain what we mean by matrices and determinants associated with the name Casorati. If $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s \in S$ are arbitrary sequences, then for each $r \ge 0$ the s × s matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(r) & x_2(r) & \dots & x_s(r) \\ x_1(r+1) & x_2(r+1) & \dots & x_s(r+1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1(r+s-1) & x_2(r+s-1) & \dots & x_s(r+s-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

will be called a <u>Casorati matrix</u>. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$. We shall use the concise notation [X](r) to denote the Casorati matrix above. Furthermore, |X|(r) will denote the Casoratian (of X at r), defined by

$$|X|(r) = det [X](r), r = 0, 1, ...$$

If $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ is nonsingular, Casorati's theorem [14,§12.11] states that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell}$ forms a basis for D if, and only if, $|X|(r) \neq 0$ for all r.

<u>Theorem 1.3.1</u> Let y be a solution of the difference equation Dy = g, where $D \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$ has a totally ranked basis $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{p}\}$. Let j = type(y). Then y is uniquely determined by its values y(i), y(i+1), ..., y(i+j-1) provided that $i \ge 0$ is a point at which the leading principal minor of order j of |Y|(i) is nonzero.

<u>Proof</u> Assume j = 0; then the condition on the Casoratian is vacuous and we must show y is uniquely determined without knowledge of any of its values. Suppose $z \neq y$ is another solution of type zero; then there exist unique scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{\rho}$ (not all zero) such that

$$y(r) = z(r) + \alpha_1 y_1(r) + \cdots + \alpha_p y_p(r)$$
, $r = 0, 1, ...$

Let α_t be the nonzero scalar of highest subscript. Dividing through by $y_t(r)$ and allowing $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\alpha_t = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, assume j > 0 . Suppose z \neq y is another solution of type j such that

$$z(i+r) = y(i+r)$$
, $r = 0, 1, ..., j-1$.

There exist unique scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p \in F$ (not all zero) such that

$$y(r) = z(r) + a_1 y_1(r) + \cdots + a_p y_p(r) , r = 0, 1, \cdots$$

It is proved easily that each of $\alpha_{j+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}$ is zero by a method similar to that of the previous paragraph. The remaining scalars are determined by the linear system

$$a_1 y_1^{i, i+j-1} + \cdots + a_j y_j^{i, i+j-1} = 0$$

(where 0 stands for a column vector of j zeros and we have used the notation developed in §1.2). This system is nonsingular by the assumption on the Casoratian; therefore, $\alpha_t = 0$, t = 1, 2, ..., j, which is a contradiction.
Theorem 1.3.1 confirms the adequacy of the selection of j initial conditions in the approximating boundary value problem, where j = type(y). In §3.3 we will demonstrate that stability considerations demand exactly j initial conditions, in general, for a solution of type j.

Now let us suppose that a value of j has been selected, where $j \ge type(y)$. Since $y_n^{i,i+j-1} = y^{i,i+j-1}$ and $y_n^{n,n+k-1} = 0$, the linear system to be solved is

(1.3.5)
$$D_{j}^{i,n-j-l}y_{n}^{i+j,n-l} = g^{i,n-j-l} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-l}y_{j}^{i,i+j-l} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

compare (1.2.4). The value of n is to be found in the course of the computation. In view of Theorem 1.3.1, y is the unique solution of the infinite linear system

(1.3.6)
$$D_{j}^{i}y^{i+j} = g^{i} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume that D is (i,j)-factorizable, i.e., that linear difference operators $A^i \in D_j$ and $B^{i+j} \in D_{\ell-j}$ exist such that $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 1.2.3, successive rows of this factorization, and indeed of the triangularization of the infinite system (1.3.6), may be generated indefinitely by the forward stage of Gaussian elimination without pivoting. The stability of this process, also, will be discussed in §3.3.

In consequence of Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 and the terminal conditions $y_n^{n,n+k-1} = 0$, the solution of (1.3.5) may be obtained as the solution of

(1.3.7)
$$B_0^{i+j,n-1}y_n^{i+j,n-1} = z^{i+j,n-1}$$

where $z^{i+j,n-1}$ is a finite subsequence of the solution of

(1.3.8)
$$A_{j}^{i}z^{i+j} = g^{i} - \begin{bmatrix} p_{0}^{i,i+j-l}y^{i,i+j-l} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Comparison of (1.3.8) and (1.3.6) and reference to the identity $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$ show that

$$(1.3.9) B^{i+j}y^{i+j} = z^{i+j}$$

Thus (1.3.7) represents the finite linear system that results from (1.3.9) by truncation at the (n-i-j)th row and column. Since B^{i+j} and z^{i+j} are generated by Gaussian elimination without pivoting, the solution of (1.3.5) for any n is easily programmed for an automatic digital computer. The algorithm comprises two stages: (i) <u>forward elimination</u> (solution of (1.3.8)); (ii) <u>back substitution</u> (solution of (1.3.7)). The forward elimination can be continued arbitrarily far. The back substitution is numerically equivalent to backward recurrence, and proceeds until we return to the initial point i.

The question of the stability of the back substitution is easily settled. For totally separable operators we have the following theorem: <u>Theorem 1.3.2</u> Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ have a totally ranked basis $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\ell}\}$. Suppose $i \ge 0$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ are such that D is (i, j) factorizable and the leading principal minor of order j of |Y|(i) is nonzero. If $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$ is an (i, j) - factorization of D, then B^{i+j} is totally separable. Furthermore, if $z \in S$ has type j or less with respect to D, then z^{i+j} has type zero with respect to B^{i+j} . <u>Proof</u> Suppose j = 0. Then A_j^i is a diagonal matrix with nonzero elements on the diagonal; see Definition 1.2.1. For each $y \in Y$ we have

$$D^{i}y^{i} = A^{i}B^{i}y^{i} = 0$$
, i.e.

$$\int_{s=0}^{\ell} a_{0}(r)b_{s}(r)y(r+s) = 0$$
, $r = i, i+1, ...$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{l} b_{s}(r)y(r+s) = 0, r = i, i+1, ...$$

which proves $\{y^i | y \in Y\}$ is a totally ranked basis for B^i . It follows that z^i has type zero with respect to B^i whenever z has type zero with respect to D.

Suppose $0 < j < \ell$. Let $U = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j\}$. Since $|U|(i) \neq 0$ it is possible to find a linear combination x of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j such that $x(i), x(i+1), \dots, x(i+j-1)$ take on any prescribed set of values. Therefore, appropriate linear combinations x_s , $s = j+1, j+2, \dots, \ell$, can be found such that $y_s(r) + x_s(r)$ vanish for $r = i, i+1, \dots, i+j-1$. Let $v_{s-j} = y_s + x_s$, $s = j+1, j+2, \dots, \ell$. It is easy to verify that $\{y_1, \dots, y_j, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a totally ranked basis for D. Now let $v \in \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$. In view of Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.2.2, the remark following Theorem 1.2.2, and the facts that Dv = 0 and v(i+r) = 0 for $r = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$, we conclude that $v^{i+j}, n-1$ satisfies

$$B_{0}^{i+j,n-1}v^{i+j,n-1} = -\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \dots\\ B_{k}^{n-k,n-1}v^{n,n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for every $n \ge i + j + \max(j,k)$; compare equation (1.2.7). On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ this proves $B^{i+j}v^{i+j} = 0$. Thus $\{v_1^{i+j}, v_2^{i+j}, \ldots, v_k^{i+j}\}$ is a totally ranked basis for B^{i+j} . Finally, let z be of type j or less with respect to D. Let $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Then

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{r})}{v_{s}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{z(\mathbf{r})}{y_{j+s}(\mathbf{r})\{1+o(1)\}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \mathbf{r} \to \infty$$

which shows that z^{i+j} has type zero with respect to B^{i+j} .

In consequence of Theorem 1.3.2 and our remarks in $\S1.1$, when $j \ge type(y)$ the back substitution stage of the algorithm is stable, at least for all r in a sufficiently large range.

It remains to determine the appropriate value of the truncation parameter n . It is necessary to introduce <u>Green's formula for linear</u> <u>difference operators</u>; see, e.g., Miller [13]. We apply Green's formula not to the operator D but to the operator B^{i+j} . Our development is essentially the same as that of Cash [6].

Let $B = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k] \in \mathcal{D}_k$. The infinite upper triangular matrix $\hat{B} = [\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_n] \in \mathcal{D}_k$ which is obtained from B by deleting the first k columns and transposing the result, i.e. whose entries are defined by

(1.3.10)
$$\hat{b}_{s}(r) = b_{k-s}(r+s)$$
,

is a linear difference operator of order k. We shall call \hat{B} the <u>operator which is adjoint to</u> <u>B</u>, or more briefly, the <u>adjoint operator</u> <u>of</u> <u>B</u>. An operator and its adjoint satisfy a number of elementary properties which are easily verified from the definition. Among these are

(1.3.11)
$$\hat{B}^{p} = (B_{k}^{p})^{Tr}$$

(1.3.12)
$$\hat{B}_0^{p,q} = (B_k^{p,q})^{Tr}$$

(1.3.13) $\hat{B}_{k}^{p} = (B^{p+k})^{Tr}$

30

(1.3.14)
$$\hat{B}_{k}^{p,q} = (B_{0}^{p+k,q+k})^{Tr}$$
,

valid for $q \ge p$ and $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Now take any $u,v \in S$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}_k^-$, and assume $k \geq 1$. Green's formula is the identity

(1.3.15)
$$\sum_{r=p}^{q-1} \{v(r)Bu(r) - u(r+k)\hat{B}v(r)\}$$

$$= (v^{p,p+k-1})^{Tr} B^{p,p+k-1} u^{p,p+k-1}$$

-
$$(v^{q,q+k-1})^{Tr} B^{q,q+k-1} u^{q,q+k-1}$$

valid for $q \ge p + k$, $p \ge 0$. Green's formula may be verified by expressing the left side of (1.3.15) in the form

$$(v^{p,q-1})^{Tr}(Bu)^{p,q-1} - (u^{p+k,q+k-1})^{Tr}(\hat{B}v)^{p,q-1}$$

and reducing this expression with the aid of the definition (1.3.10) and the identities (1.3.11) - (1.3.14).

<u>Theorem 1.3.3</u> Let $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$ be an (i,j)-factorization of $D \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell}$, where D is totally separable and $j < \ell$. Let y be a solution of Dy = g, where type(y) $\leq j$ and $g \in S$. Let $y_n^{i+j,n-1}$ and z^{i+j} be the corresponding solutions of (1.3.5) and (1.3.8). Also, let s be a fixed integer satisfying $i + j + k - 1 \leq s < n$ and $w_s^{s+1} = (w_s(s+1), w_s(s+2), \ldots)$ be the solution of

(1.3.16)
$$\hat{B}_{k}^{s-k+1}w_{s}^{s+1} = -\begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_{0}^{s-k+1}, s_{w}^{s-k+1}, s_{0}\\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where k = l - j and

(1.3.17)
$$w_s^{s-k+1,s} = (0,0,\ldots,0,1)$$
.

Then

(1.3.18)
$$y_n(s) = \sum_{r=s}^{n-1} w_s(r) z(r) / b_0(s)$$
,

where $b_0(s)$ is the (s-i-j+1)st leading coefficient of B^{i+j} . Remark: Equations (1.3.16), (1.3.17) are equivalent to the initial value problem

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k} \hat{b}_{t}(r) w_{s}(r+t) = 0 , r = s-k+1, s-k+2, \dots$$

with initial conditions $w_s(s-k+1) = \cdots = w_s(s-1) = 0$, $w_s(s) = 1$; compare (1.2.1)-(1.2.3) and (1.2.4a).

Proof: Let p = s - k + 1, q = n, $v = w_s$ and $u = y_n$ in (1.3.15). By virtue of (1.3.7), (1.3.16) and (1.3.17), the left side of (1.3.15) becomes

$$\sum_{r=s}^{n-1} w_s(r) z(r) .$$

Since $u^{q,q+k-1} = y_n^{n,n+k-1} = 0$, the second term on the right side of (1.3.15) is zero. Thus, expanding the right side and using (1.3.17), we derive

$$(v^{p,p+k-1})^{Tr}B^{p,p+k-1}u^{p,p+k-1}$$

Equating the left and right sides thus arrived at, we obtain (1.3.18). $\left| \frac{1}{2} \right|$ In §3.2 with appropriate conditions it will be proved that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(r) = y(r)$$

for each fixed $r \ge i + j$. Thus, allowing $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.3.18), we have

(1.3.19)
$$y(s) = \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} w_s(r) z(r) / b_0(s)$$

for each $s \ge i + j + k - 1$. Similarly, if

$$\eta_n(s) = y(s) - y_n(s)$$

is the truncation error incurred in accepting the approximation $y_n(s)$ for y(s), we have

(1.3.20)
$$\eta_{n}(s) = \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} w_{s}(r) z(r) / b_{0}(s)$$

for each $s \ge i + j + k - 1$.

Let us compare (1.3.20) with (1.1.2), the truncation error expansion for the original algorithm of Olver. Suppose $\ell = 2$ and j = k = 1. The difference operator which is implicit in (1.1.1) is $D = [d_0, d_1, d_2]$ where

$$d_0(r) = a(r+1)$$
, $d_1(r) = -b(r+1)$, $d_2(r) = c(r+1)$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... Similarly, the right side of (1.1.1) is, in our present notation,

$$g(r) = d(r+1)$$
, $r = 0, 1, 2, ...$

The rows of the (0,1)-factorization $D_1^0 = A_1^0 B^1$ are scaled so that

$$b_0(r) = p(r+1)$$
, $b_1(r) = -p(r)$

for r = 1, 2, ..., where $B^1 = [b_0^1, b_1^1]$ and p = (p(0), p(1), ...) is the sequence defined in §1.1. The solution z^1 of the forward elimination stage of the algorithm is given by

$$z(r) = e(r)$$
, $r = 1, 2, ...$;

compare (1.3.8). Finally, it may be verified readily that the solution of the adjoint equation $\hat{B}w_{s}(r) = 0$, r = s, s+1, ... such that $w_{s}(s) = 1$ is given by

$$w_{s}(r) = \frac{p(s)p(s+1)}{p(r)p(r+1)}$$
, $r = s, s+1, ...$

Making these substitutions into (1.3.20) and exchanging the roles of r and s, we obtain (1.1.2).

The simple form of the equation for $w_s(r)$ depends solely on the particular scaling of the (0,1)-factorization used by Olver and the fact that k = 1. A similar simplification can be obtained for difference equations of arbitrary order provided only that k = 1. It stems from

the fact that the order of the adjoint equation is one, hence all solutions can be expressed as multiples of a single particular solution. These conclusions were also arrived at in Cash's paper [6].

The expansions (1.3.19) and (1.3.20) may be employed in the estimation of the optimal value of n such that a given <u>termination criterion</u> is met. Typical termination criteria would be to require one or more values of $y_n(r)$ in the range $i + j \le r \le m$ to approximate y(r) to a specified absolute or relative precision. Since the back substitution stage is stable, controlling the absolute or relative error only at the point r = mis often sufficient. In practice equations (1.3.19) and (1.3.20) are employed by replacing the upper limit by $n + \nu$, where $\nu \ge 1$ is a parameter chosen to suit the problem at hand.

The solution of (1.3.16), (1.3.17) is obtained by forward recurrence. The stability of this process will depend on the behavior of $w_s(r)$ for large r relative to the other solutions of the adjoint equation. In general there is no reason to expect that $w_s(r)$ will not contain a component of a maximal solution. Thus the solution of (1.3.16) will normally be stable, although there could be an initial range where some unstable rounding error propagation takes place. 35

2.1 Constant-Coefficient Operators

Let $D = [d_0, d_1, \dots, d_\ell] \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$ be a <u>constant-coefficient operator</u>. By this we mean that there exist $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell \in F$ such that $\delta_0 \neq 0$, $\delta_\ell \neq 0$ and $d_s(r) = \delta_s$ for all r. We assume without loss of generality that $\delta_\ell = 1$ in every constant-coefficient operator. Since every constant-coefficient operator is nonsingular, by our definitions, the kernel K(D) has dimension ℓ ; see discussion preceding Definition 1.3.1.

A particular basis, which we shall call the <u>standard basis</u>, exists for each constant-coefficient operator; see $[14, \$\13.0-13.1]$. The polynomial

$$P(\xi) = \xi^{\ell} + \delta_{\ell-1} \xi^{\ell-1} + \cdots + \delta_0$$

is known as the <u>characteristic</u> <u>polynomial</u> for D . The standard basis is the set of sequences

$$\mathbb{A} = \{ (\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{m}} \lambda^{\mathbf{r}}) \in S \mid P(\lambda) = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{m} \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu(\lambda) - 1\} \}$$

where $\mu(\lambda)$ denotes the multiplicity of the root λ . We are using the notation $(r^m \lambda^r)$ here as an alternative way of denoting the sequence

$$(\rho_{\mathrm{m}},\lambda,2^{\mathrm{m}}\lambda^{2},3^{\mathrm{m}}\lambda^{3},\ldots)$$

where ρ_0 = 1 and $\rho_{\rm m}$ = 0 when m > 0 .

The standard basis of a constant-coefficient operator is not necessarily totally ranked; recall Definition 1.3.1. For example, if λ_1 and λ_2 are two distinct zeros of the characteristic polynomial such that $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|$ then $|\lambda_1^r| = |\lambda_2^r|$ for all r. Neither solution dominates the other.

Let us write a < b whenever $a, b \in S$ are such that a is dominated by b. We shall say that a and b are <u>separated</u> (at infinity) if a < b or b < a. A totally ranked basis is linearly ordered by < but in general the standard basis is not, as we have just seen. However, we can introduce a <u>linearly ordered partition of the standard basis</u>. We shall proceed to do this.

It is apparent that $(r^{m_1}\lambda_1^r) < (r^{m_2}\lambda_2^r)$ if, and only if, either (i) $|\lambda_1| < |\lambda_2|$ or (ii) $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|$ and $m_1 < m_2$. Let σ denote the number of roots of $P(\xi) = 0$ of distinct absolute value, and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma}$ be representative roots of $P(\xi) = 0$ such that $|\lambda_s| < |\lambda_{s+1}|$ for each s. Define $\mu_s = \max\{\mu(\lambda) | |\lambda| = |\lambda_s|\}$. We define the sets

$$\Lambda_{s} = \{ (\mathbf{r}^{m} \lambda^{\mathbf{r}}) \in \Lambda | |\lambda| = |\lambda_{s}| \} , s = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$$

and, for each s , the sets

$$A_{s,m} = \{ (r^m \lambda^r) \in A_s | \mu(\lambda) \ge m \} , m = 0, 1, \dots, \mu_s - 1 .$$

It may be verified readily that the sets $\Lambda_{\mbox{$\rm s,m$}}$ are disjoint and nonempty and

(2.1.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} \sigma & \mu_{s}^{-1} \\ \Lambda = \bigcup & \bigcup & \Lambda_{s=1} & m=0 \end{array}$$

The sets $\Lambda_{s,m}$ are linearly ordered according to the definition: $\Lambda_{s,m} < \Lambda_{s',m'}$ if, and only if, either (i) s < s' or (ii) s = s' and m < m'.

An illustrative example is afforded by the constant-coefficient equa-

$$y(r+8) - (4+4\sqrt{2})y(r+7) + (15+16\sqrt{2})y(r+6) - (48+12\sqrt{2})y(r+5)$$

- 12y(r+4) + (192+64\sqrt{2})y(r+3) - (208+256\sqrt{2})y(r+2) + (256+192\sqrt{2})y(r+1)
- 192y(r) = 0 .

Its characteristic polynomial, in real factored form, is

$$(2.1.2) \qquad (\xi-1)(\xi-2)(\xi+2)(\xi^2-2\sqrt{2}\xi+4)^2(\xi-3) = 0 .$$

The roots of (2.1.2) include the complex numbers $\sqrt{2}(1+i)$ and $\sqrt{2}(1-i)$, each of multiplicity two. The subsets of the linearly ordered partition in this example are

$$A_{1,0} = \{1^{r}\}$$

$$A_{2,0} = \{2^{r}, (-2)^{r}, \sqrt{2}^{r}(1-i)^{r}, \sqrt{2}^{r}(1+i)^{r}\}$$

$$A_{2,1} = \{r\sqrt{2}^{r}(1-i)^{r}, r\sqrt{2}^{r}(1+i)^{r}\}$$

$$A_{3,0} = \{3^{r}\}$$

Note that if $a \in A_{2,0}$ and $b \in A_{2,1}$ then $|a(r)/b(r)| = r^{-1}$ when $r \ge 1$. In contrast, if $a \in A_s$ and $b \in A_s$, where s < s' then |a(r)/b(r)| contains a decreasing exponential factor.

In general, if $a, b \in A$ are such that a < b, then the <u>separation</u> <u>ratios</u> |a(r)/b(r)| decrease as the product of a power of r^{-1} and an exponential function in r. If the exponential function is identically equal to one, as will be the case whenever a and b are standard basis solutions which correspond to characteristic roots of equal magnitude, then we shall say that a and b are <u>algebraically separated</u>. Otherwise, we shall say that a and b are exponentially separated.

Figure 5 is obtained by plotting the roots of (2.1.2) in the complex plane and drawing circles through them, centered at the origin. There are three circles, corresponding to the distinct absolute values of the roots. The double roots are indicated on the circle of radius two; it is helpful to imagine them duplicated on a second circle of radius two that is raised slightly out of the plane. Let us number the four circles, thus arrived at, by increasing <u>three-dimensional</u> distance from the origin. This determines geometrically the proper linear ordering of the four subsets $\Lambda_{s,m}$ of the partition. The ordering of elements within any one of the subsets is unimportant for our purposes.

Clearly, this geometric indication of the linear ordering of the subsets of the partition can be extended to any constant-coefficient operator.



Figure 5. The roots of (2.1.2). The double roots $\sqrt{2}(1\pm i)$ are denoted λ , $\overline{\lambda}$.

39

Theorem 2.1.1 Let (2.1.1) denote the linearly ordered partition of the standard basis for a given constant-coefficient operator. Then:

i) If $a \in \bigwedge_{s,m}$ and $b \in \bigwedge_{s',m'}$, then a < b if, and only if, $\bigwedge_{s,m} < \bigwedge_{s',m'}$.

ii) If $a, b \in \Lambda_{a,m}$, then |a(r)| = |b(r)| for all r.

iii) If a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q , $b \in \wedge_{s,m}$ where the a's are distinct, and $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_q \in F$ are all nonzero, then

(2.1.3)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{p=1}^{q} c_{p} a_{p}(r) / b(r) > 0.$$

Proof: Parts (i) and (ii) are trivial consequences of our definitions. Turning to part (iii), we note that the sequence $\sum_{p=1}^{q} c_{p} a_{p}(r)/b(r)$ is not identically zero (since the a's are linearly independent). Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}, \lambda$ be the characteristic roots associated with the set $\wedge_{s,m}$ such that

$$a_p(r) = r^m \lambda_p^r$$
, $p = 1, 2, \dots, q$

and $b(r) = r^m \lambda^r$. Since the λ 's lie on the same circle in the complex plane, there exist real constants $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_q, \beta$ all lying in the half-open real interval $[-\pi, \tilde{})$ such that

$$a_{p}(r) = r^{m} |\lambda|^{r} e^{ir\beta} p$$
, $p = 1, 2, ..., q$

and $b(r) = r^m |\lambda|^r \exp(ir\beta)$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Thus

$$\sum_{p=1}^{q} \alpha_{p} \alpha_{p}(r) / b(r) = \sum_{p=1}^{q} \alpha_{p} \alpha_{p}^{ir\gamma p}$$

where $\gamma = \beta_p - \beta$. The γ 's are distinct real numbers (modulo 2π)

because the sequences a₁,a₂,...,a_a are assumed distinct.

The right member of the last equation represents a so-called <u>almost</u> <u>periodic function</u> of r when r is regarded as a continuous real variable. To complete the proof we appeal to the following lemma, the proof of which is given in the Appendix.[†]

Lemma 2.1.1 Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_q$ be nonzero complex numbers and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_q$ distinct real numbers (modulo 2π). Define the infinite sequence

(2.1.4)
$$x(r) = \sum_{p=1}^{q} \alpha_{p} e^{ir\gamma_{p}}$$
, $r = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Then $\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} |x(r)| > 0$.

An equivalent statement to inequality (2.1.3) is that there exists an infinite subsequence of the sequence

$$\sum_{p=1}^{q} \alpha_{p} \alpha_{p}(r) / b(r) , r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which is bounded away from zero. Intuitively, this means that it is impossible to create a new sequence with qualitatively different asymptotic behavior merely by forming a linear combination of standard basis solutions of similar asymptotic behavior. Note, however, that unlike the original sequences infinitely many zeros may occur in the new sequence. For example, if 2^{r} and $(-2)^{r}$ are sequences in a standard basis, then every other term of the sequence $2^{r} + (-2)^{r}$ is zero.

^TWe relegate the proof of Lemma 2.1.1 to the Appendix because the theory of almost periodic functions is not germane to the rest of this thesis.

2.2 Separable Operators

In this section we introduce a subclass of the general set of infinite upper-triangular band matrices \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} introduced in §1.2, and examine in detail the general structure of the kernel of an operator in this subclass. This will prepare the way for the presentation, in the next section, of a general classification of all sequences in S with respect to a given linear difference operator. In Theorem 2.1.1 we presented three properties of the standard basis of a constant-coefficient operator. These properties suggest the following extension of Definition 1.3.1: <u>Definition 2.2.1</u> Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ be such that K(D) has dimension ℓ . Then D will be said to be <u>separable</u> if there exists a basis X for D which can be partitioned into nonempty disjoint subsets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\sigma}$ in such a way that the following three conditions are all satisfied:

i) If $x \in X_s$ and $y \in X_t$, where s < t, then $\lim_{r \to \infty} x(r)/y(r) = 0$;

ii) If $x, y \in X_s$ for some s, then $0 < \liminf_{r \to \infty} |x(r)/y(r)|$ and $\limsup_{r \to \infty} |x(r)/y(r)| < \infty$;

iii) If x is any linear combination of sequences from X_s , other than x = 0, and y $\in X_s$, then $\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} |x(r)/y(r)| > 0$.

Let D be a separable operator. A basis X for D which is such that

$$(2.2.1) \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \sigma \\ X = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\sigma} X_{s}, \\ \end{array}$$

where the X satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.2.1 will be said to be optimally ranked. Let

(2.2.2)
$$X_s = \{x_{s,1}, x_{s,2}, \dots, x_{s,n_s}\}$$

where the subscript n is positive (because X is nonempty).

-2

Obviously, we have

$$\ell = \sum_{s=1}^{\sigma} n_s$$

In Lemma 2.2.1 below we will show that two distinct optimally ranked bases for a given separable operator have the same number (σ) of disjoint subsets and the same number (n_{σ}) of sequences in corresponding subsets.

An optimally ranked basis exhibits the full range of possible asymptotic behavior of solutions to the corresponding homogeneous difference equation. Conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2.1 establish the ranking of sequences within the basis. If (2.2.1) is a particular optimally ranked basis, we shall say that $x \in X$ is a sequence of type s provided that $x \in X_s$. More generally, we shall say that $x \in K(D)$ is a <u>sequence</u> of type s provided that $x \neq 0$ and there exist scalars $a_s \in F$ such that

(2.2.4)
$$x = \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{q=1}^{n_{p}} \alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q},$$

where $a_{s,q} \neq 0$ for some q, $1 \leq q \leq n_s$. Such a representation of x exists and is unique for every nonzero $x \in K(D)$. Again, in consequence of Lemma 2.2.1 below, if we change to a different optimally ranked basis, the type of every sequence in K(D) remains invariant. Condition (iii) of Definition 2.2.1 presages this; it requires that no nontrivial linear combination of basis sequences of the same type may be dominated by a basis sequence of that type.

Let L(Y) denote the linear subspace of S which is spanned by Ywhere $Y \in S$ may be any finite subset of sequences. If (2.2.1) is an optimally ranked basis for a separable operator D, then we shall say that the subspace $L(\bigcup_{p=1}^{S} p)$ is the <u>subdominant subspace of type s for D</u>. These subspaces are independent of the basis; again, see Lemma 2.2.1 s below. If j denotes the dimension of $L(\bigcup X)$, then p=1 p

(2.2.5)
$$j_s = \sum_{p=1}^{s} n_p;$$

compare (2.2.2) and (2.2.3). In addition, we shall say that {0}, the linear subspace of dimension zero (whose only sequence is the zero sequence) is the subdominant subspace of type 0.

Let U be one of the subdominant subspaces for D. The complementary subspace of U in K(D), i.e., the subspace V of K(D) such that

(2.2.6)
$$K(D) = U \oplus V$$
,

will be called the <u>corresponding dominant subspace</u>. The symbol \oplus on the right side of (2.2.6) means that K(D) is the direct sum of the subspaces U and V; that is, every nonzero sequence $x \in K(D)$ is uniquely expressible in one of the forms x = u, or x = v, or x = u+v, where $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Clearly, if U is the subdominant subspace of type s, $0 \le s < \sigma$, then $V = L(\bigcup_{i=1}^{T} X_{i})$; and if U is the subp=s+1 p dominant subspace of type σ , then $V = \{0\}$.

Before stating and proving Lemma 2.2.1, we note that

$$L(\bigcup_{p=1}^{S} X_{p}) = L(X_{1}) \oplus L(X_{2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(X_{s})$$

Thus, an alternative characterization of a sequence of type s in K(D) is the following: $x \in K(D)$ is of type s, $1 \le s \le \sigma$, provided that

$$x = \sum_{p=1}^{s} x_p$$
, $x_p \in L(X_p)$, $x_s \neq 0$;

compare (2.2.4).

Lemma 2.2.1 Let

$$X = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\sigma} X_{s} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\sigma} \{x_{s,1}, x_{s,2}, \dots, x_{s,m_s}\}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \tau & \tau \\ Y &= & \bigcup & Y \\ s=1 & s \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tau \\ s=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} y \\ s=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c}$$

be distinct optimally ranked bases for a separable operator $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ and $m_s = n_s$ for all s. Furthermore,

$$s s s \\ L(\bigcup X) = L(\bigcup Y) , s = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma , \\ p=1 s p=1 s$$

and the type of every nonzero sequence $z \in K(D)$ is the same with respect to X as it is with respect to Y .

<u>Proof</u> Let $u \in X_s$ and $v \in X_t$, so that relative to X the types of u and v are s and t. Let the types of u and v relative to Y be s' and t'. Then there exist unique representations

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{s'} u_j, \quad u_j \in L(Y_j), \quad u_s, \neq 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^{t'} \mathbf{v}_j, \quad \mathbf{v}_j \in L(\mathbf{Y}_j), \quad \mathbf{v}_t, \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, by condition (i) of Definition 2.2.1, we have, as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

(2.2.7)
$$u(r) = u_{s}(r) + o\{y(r)\}, y \in Y_{s}$$

and

(2.2.8)
$$v(r) = v_{+}(r) + o\{y(r)\}, y \in Y_{+}$$

i) First we prove that s' = t' if s = t, i.e., if u and v are of the same type with respect to X, then they are also of the same type with respect to Y.

Since s = t, the sequence u(r)/v(r) is asymptotically bounded away from zero; compare part (ii) of Definition 2.2.1. Suppose that s' < t'. We shall obtain a contradiction by showing that, under this assumption, u(r)/v(r) has an infinite subsequence which converges to zero. Choose any $y \in Y_r$, . Then we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{u(r)}{y(r)}=0$$

From (2.2.8), we have

$$\frac{v(r)}{v(r)} = \frac{v_{t'}(r)}{v(r)} + o(1)$$

and, using the triangle inequality, an elementary property of the limit superior, and condition (iii) of Definition 2.2.1, we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{v(r)}{y(r)} \right| = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{v_{t'}(r)}{y(r)} \right| > 0 .$$

Thus there exists an infinite subsequence of v(r)/y(r) that is bounded away from zero, and therefore also a subsequence of

$$\frac{u(r)}{v(r)} = \frac{u(r)/y(r)}{v(r)/y(r)}$$

that converges to zero. This supplies the needed contradiction.

-6

A similar contradiction is obtained if we suppose that s' > t'. Hence s' = t'.

ii) Next we prove that s' < t' if s < t.

Since s < t, the sequence u(r)/v(r) converges to zero. Suppose that $s' \ge t'$. We shall derive a contradiction by showing that, under this assumption, u(r)/v(r) has a subsequence which does not converge to zero. Choose any $y \in Y_{s'}$. First, by using (2.2.7) and an argument similar to that used in part (i) of this proof, we have

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{u(r)}{y(r)} \right| > 0 .$$

Thus there exists an infinite subsequence of u(r)/y(r) that is bounded away from zero.

Assume now that s' > t'. Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{v(r)}{y(r)} = 0$$

In this case it follows that there exists a subsequence of

$$\frac{u(r)}{v(r)} = \frac{u(r)/y(r)}{v(r)/y(r)}$$

that diverges to ∞ , which supplies the needed contradiction.

Alternatively, assume that s' = t'. Using (2.2.8), we have for sufficiently large r

$$\left|\frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{r})}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}}'(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{r})}\right| + 1 \ .$$

Furthermore, using condition (ii) of Definition 2.2.1, we see that there exist $r_{0} \ge 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\left|\frac{v_{t}(r)}{y(r)}\right| \leq \beta , \quad r \geq r_{0} .$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large r , we have

$$\left|\frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{r})}\right| \leq \beta + 1$$

and

$$\frac{u(\mathbf{r})}{v(\mathbf{r})} | \geq (1+\beta)^{-1} \left| \frac{u(\mathbf{r})}{y(\mathbf{r})} \right|$$

It follows that u(r)/v(r) has a subsequence that is bounded away from zero, which again supplies the needed contradiction.

iii) Next, we prove that $\sigma = \tau$ and m = n for all s, and also s s s that $L(\bigcup X) = L(\bigcup Y)$ for all s. p=1 s

Part (i) of this proof implies that for each s , $1 \le s \le \sigma$, the type relative to Y of every sequence in X is the same, say t . Using part (ii), we have

$$(2.2.9) 1 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{\sigma} \le \tau .$$

Furthermore, that $s \leq t_s$ for all s, $1 \leq s \leq \sigma$, is easily proved by induction. In particular, $\sigma \leq t_{\sigma}$ and, using (2.2.9), we see that $\sigma \leq \tau$. Inverting the roles of X and Y and repeating the argument, we see that $\tau \leq \sigma$. Thus $\sigma = \tau$, and (2.2.9) implies $t_s = s$ for all s. For each s, it follows that

 $L(X_1) \cdots \cup X_s) \subset L(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_s)$,

and by symmetry,

$$L(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_s) \subset L(X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_s)$$
.

Thus

$$L(X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_s) = L(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_s)$$

for each s. In particular, $L(X_1) = L(Y_1)$. Since X_1 and Y_1 are bases of the same linear space, we have $m_1 = n_1$. An easy induction completes the proof of this part.

iv) To complete the proof, let $z \in K(D)$ be a nonzero sequence such that

$$z = \sum_{p=1}^{s} u_{p}, \quad u_{s} \neq 0, \quad u_{p} \in L(X_{p}),$$

and

$$z = \sum_{p=1}^{L} v_p, \quad v_t \neq 0, \quad v_p \in L(Y_p)$$

Assume s < t, and let $y \in Y_t$. Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{v_t(r)}{y(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p=1}}^{s} \frac{u_p(r) - v_p(r)}{y(r)} - \sum_{\substack{p=s+1 \\ p=s+1}}^{t-1} \frac{v_p(r)}{y(r)} \right] = 0 .$$

But this result contradicts condition (iii) of Definition 2.2.1. Hence we must have $s \ge t$. A similar contradiction is obtained if we assume s > t. Therefore s = t and the proof of Lemma 2.2.1 is complete.

In general, the actual determination of optimally ranked bases for variable coefficient difference operators is a difficult problem. Some results have been given by Wimp [26]. This reference extends earlier work by Birkhoff and Trjitzinsky [2,3] on the analytic theory of singular difference equations whose coefficients possess asymptotic expansions of a prescribed form. All equations with coefficients rational in r are included, for example. Wimp's analysis provides a means of constructing a basis, which he calls a <u>canonical set</u>; see [26, Theorem 3.3 and Definition 3.6]. The canonical set is analogous to our optimally ranked basis. More specifically, there exists a basis $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_\ell\}$ such that, for each $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$,

$$y_{s}(r) = c_{s}M_{s}(r)\{1+o(1)\}$$
 as $r \to \infty$

where $c \neq 0$ and

$$M_{s}(r) = e^{\substack{Q_{s}(r) \ \theta}} r^{s} (\ln r)^{s}$$

Here θ_{s} is a complex number and p_{s} is a positive integer. Q_{s} is of the form

$$Q_{s}(r) = \mu_{0}r \ln r + \mu_{1}r + \mu_{2}r^{1-1/\rho} + \cdots + \mu_{p}r^{1/\rho}$$

where ρ is an integer, $\rho \ge 1$, and $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\rho}$ are complex numbers. The rich variety of possible separation ratios of solutions as $r \to \infty$, compared with the algebraic or exponential separation of solutions in the constant-coefficient case, described in §2.1 above, is evident.

2.3 The General Classification

In §2.2 we showed that every nonzero sequence in the kernel of a separable operator has a unique type with respect to that particular operator. In this section we extend this classification to every nonzero sequence in S. The following definition should be compared with Definition 1.3.2.

Definition 2.3.1 Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ be separable with optimally ranked basis σ $X = \bigcup X_s$. Then, for each $y \in S$, we say that type (y) = s, or more s=1fully, the type of y with respect to D is s, provided that $0 < s < \sigma$ and the following two conditions are satisfied:

i) If
$$x \in X_{s+1}$$
 then $\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{y(r)}{x(r)} = 0$;

ii) If
$$x \in X_s$$
 then $\infty \ge \frac{\lim \sup |y(r)|}{r \to \infty} > 0$.

If (i) is satisfied for s = 0, then (ii) does not apply, and we define type(y) = 0. Similarly, if (ii) is satisfied for $s = \sigma$, (i) does not apply, and we define type(y) = σ .

The classification of sequences introduced in Definition 2.3.1 allows us to treat both homogeneous and inhomogeneous difference equations in a uniform manner when the difference operator is separable. The kernel of the operator contains every solution of the homogeneous equation, by definition. But solutions of the inhomogeneous equation with arbitary right-hand side may lie anywhere in S. This is why a classification of all sequences in S is desirable.

<u>Theorem 2.3.1</u> Every sequence in *S* possesses an unambiguous type with respect to each separable operator in \mathcal{D}_{g} .

Proof (i) First we show that the type with respect to a fixed optimally

<u>ranked basis</u> is determined uniquely by Definition 2.3.1 for every nonzero sequence in S.

Let $z \in S$. Assuming that

(2.3.1)
$$\frac{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{z(r)}{x(r)} \right| > 0$$

for at least one sequence $x \in X$, let $\hat{x} \in X$ be a sequence of largest type such that (2.3.1) is satisfied. Let $s = type(\hat{x})$. Then (2.3.1) is satisfied for every $x \in X_s$, since

$$\left|\frac{z(r)}{x(r)}\right| = \left|\frac{z(r)}{\hat{x}(r)}\right| \left|\frac{\hat{x}(r)}{x(r)}\right|$$

and $\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} |\hat{x}(r)/x(r)| > 0$ as a consequence of condition (ii) of Definition 2.2.1.

If $s = \sigma$, then type(z) = σ according to Definition 2.3.1. Therefore suppose that $s < \sigma$. By the maximality of \hat{x} we see that lim $\sup_{r \to \infty} |z(r)/x(r)| = 0$ whenever type (x) > s or, equivalently,

(2.3.2)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{z(r)}{x(r)} = 0 \quad \text{whenever type } (x) > s \; .$$

Accordingly, type (z) = s.

To conclude the proof of part (i), let us suppose that (2.3.1) is not satisfied for any $x \in X$. Then (2.3.2) is satisfied for every $x \in X$, and in particular for every $x \in X_1$. Then type (z) = 0.

(ii) In this part we prove that if $X = \bigcup_{s=1}^{n} X$ and $X' = \bigcup_{s=1}^{n} X'$ are two distinct optimally ranked bases for D then the type of every sequence in S is the same with respect to X and X'.

Choose any s , 1 \leq s \leq σ , and choose x \in X $_{\rm S}$, x' \in X' . There

exist $x \in X_p$, $1 \le p \le s$, such that $x' = x_1 + \cdots + x_s$; see Lemma 2.2.1. Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{x'(r)}{x(r)} = o(1) + \frac{x_s(r)}{x(r)} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty \; .$$

Since x is a linear combination of sequences of type s, a brief computas tion and application of condition (ii) of Definition 2.2.1 yields

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \left| \frac{x_s(r)}{x(r)} \right| < \infty .$$

It follows that

(2.3.3)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{|x'(r)|}{x(r)} < \infty$$

and
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf \left| \frac{x(r)}{x'(r)} \right| > 0$$
 whenever type (x') = type (x) .

Since the roles of x and x' are interchangeable, we also have

(2.3.4)
$$\begin{array}{c|c} \lim \sup_{r \to \infty} & \frac{x(r)}{x'(r)} < \infty \end{array}$$

and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \inf \left| \frac{x'(r)}{x(r)} \right| > 0$ whenever type (x') = type (x).

Let $z \in S$ and suppose type (z) = 0 with respect to X. Thus $z(r)/x(r) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in X$. Choose $x' \in X'$. Let s = type (x')and choose $x \in X_s$. Then

$$\left|\frac{z(r)}{x'(r)}\right| = \left|\frac{z(r)}{x(r)}\right| \left|\frac{x(r)}{x'(r)}\right| \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

by virtue of (2.3.4). This proves type (z) = 0 with respect to X'.

Let $z \in S$ and suppose type $(z) = \sigma$ with respect to X. Thus $\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} |z(r)/x(r)| > 0$ for every $x \in X$. Choose $x' \in X'$. Let s = type (x') and choose $x \in X_s$. Then the sequence

$$\left|\frac{z(r)}{x'(r)}\right| = \left|\frac{z(r)}{x(r)}\right| \left|\frac{x(r)}{x'(r)}\right|$$

satisfies $\lim \sup_{r\to\infty} |z(r)/x'(r)| > 0$ by virtue of (2.3.3). This proves type (z) = σ with respect to X'.

Finally, let $z \in S$ and suppose type (z) = s, $0 < s < \sigma$. Thus $z(r)/x(r) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in X_{s+1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{\sigma}$ and $\lim \sup_{r \rightarrow \infty} |z(r)/x(r)| > 0$ for every $x \in X_s$. It can be verified that $z(r)/x'(r) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x' \in X'_{s+1} \cup \cdots \cup X'_{\sigma}$ and that $\lim \sup_{r \rightarrow \infty} |z(r)/x'(r)| > 0$ for every $x' \in X'_s$ using arguments similar to the ones used in the preceding two paragraphs.

CHAPTER 3. THE GENERAL ALGORITHM

3.0 Preliminaries and Overview

In this chapter we fix our attention on a particular linear difference equation

$$(3.0.1) Dy(r) = g(r) , r = 0,1,2...$$

assuming that $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}$ is separable and $g \in S$. More specifically, we are interested in computing an approximation y_n of a particular solution y of (3.0.1) which is valid over some finite subsequence $y^{i,m}$. i and m given.

Let σ be the number of distinct types in any optimally ranked basis for D. We assume that y is known to be a sequence of type t with respect to D, where $0 \le t \le \sigma$. We also assume that initial values $y(i), y(i+1), \dots, y(i+j-1)$ are known, where j is the dimension of the subdominant subspace of type t for D. The extension of Theorem 1.3.1, from totally separable to separable operators, is given in §3.1. Accordingly, these initial values (in the presence of one additional condition) suffice to determine the solution uniquely.

Let k = l - j. The approximating sequence y_n is defined as the solution of (3.0.1) which satisfies the conditions

$$(3.0.2) y_{n}(i+r) = y(i+r) , r = 0,1,...,j-1$$

and

(3.0.3)
$$y_n(n+r) = 0$$
, $r = 0, 1, ..., k-1$.

Since $y^{i,m}$ is to be approximated by $y_n^{i,m}$, it is clear that the value

of n must exceed m. The existence and uniqueness of the approximating sequences y_n , for all sufficiently large n, and the convergence of the sequence of approximate values $y_n(r)$ to y(r) for each value of r in the range $i + j \le r < \infty$, are proved under appropriate conditions in §3.2.

The general algorithm, which is valid for any separable operator, reduces to the algorithm presented in \$1.3 for totally separable operators. We again assume that the separable operator is (i,j) - factorizable, just as we did for totally separable operators. The linear system (1.3.5) is solved by the factorization method by means of a forward elimination stage followed by a back substitution stage; see \$1.3. The expansions (1.3.19) and (1.3.20), which are used to estimate the optimal value of n, are unchanged for separable operators. In \$3.3 we discuss the stability of the forward elimination stage, which was omitted in \$1.3. We also extend Theorem 1.3.2 to separable operators, showing that the back substitution is stable. Finally, we note that our remarks on the stability of the forward recurrence solution of the adjoint equation, whose solution enters in the truncation error expansion of \$1.3, apply to separable operators as well as totally separable operators.

We conclude this introductory section by fixing some notation for later use in this chapter. Let

$$(3.0.4) X = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\sigma} X_s$$

be an optimally ranked basis for D , where

(3.0.5)
$$X_s = \{x_{s,1}, x_{s,2}, \dots, x_{s,n_s}\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$$

Then the dimension of the subdominant subspace of type t for D is

56

(3.0.6)
$$j = \sum_{s=1}^{c} n_{s}$$
.

Define the set

(3.0.7)
$$U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j\} = \bigcup_{s=1}^t X_s$$

by means of the correspondence

$$k_{p,q} = u_{n_1+n_2} + \dots + n_{p-1} + q$$

When t = 0 it is understood that j = 0 and U is empty. Similarly, define the set

(3.0.8)
$$V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} = \bigcup_{s=t+1}^{\sigma} X_s$$

when $t = \sigma$ it is understood that V is empty.

3.1 Existence and Uniqueness

Let y be a solution of (3.0.1) of type t such that

$$(3.1.1) y(i+r) = \gamma_r , r = 0.1, \dots, j-1$$

for some $i \ge 0$, where j is given by (3.0.6). Using the notation |U|(i) for the Casoratian of U at i, we also suppose that

$$(3.1.2)$$
 $|U|(i) \neq 0;$

see (3.0.7). Note that for every i (3.1.2) is satisfied vacuously if t = 0, and also (by virtue of Casorati's theorem [14,§12.11]) if $t = \sigma$ and D is nonsingular. The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1.3.1. <u>Theorem 3.1.1</u> If (3.1.2) is valid then there exists a unique solution of (3.0.1) of type t such that (3.1.1) is satisfied. Proof: Suppose t = 0. Then j = 0 and we must prove that without any specified initial values y is uniquely determined. Let $v \in S$ be a solution of (3.0.1), other than y, such that type (v) = 0. Since

 $y - y \in K(D)$, there exist scalars $\alpha_{p,q} \in F$ such that

$$y - v = \sum_{p=1}^{\sigma} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q};$$

see (3.0.5). At least one of the $\alpha_{p,q}$ is nonzero; let the largest value of p such that $\alpha_{p,q} \neq 0$ for some q , $1 \leq q \leq n_p$, be p = s . Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{y(r) - v(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0$$

because type (y) = type (v) = 0. By part (i) of Definition 2.2.1, we

have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \frac{\alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q}(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0 .$$

It follows from the above three equations that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{s,q} x_{s,q}(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0$$

But this result contradicts part (iii) of Definition 2.2.1; therefore we conclude that y = v.

Alternatively suppose t > 0. Let $v \in S$ be a sequence of type t which satisfies (3.0.1) and is coincident with y(i+r) for r = 0,1,...,j-1. Then there exist scalars $a_{p,q} \in F$ such that

$$v = y + \sum_{p=1}^{\sigma} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q}$$

Let the largest value of p in this sum such that at least one of the scalars $\alpha_{p,q}$ is nonzero be p = s. Then $s \leq t$, because s > t would imply

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{v(r) - y(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0$$

(since type (v) = type (y) = t) and

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{s-1}{p} \frac{p}{q-1} \frac{\alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q}(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0$$

(by part (i) of Definition 2.2.1). This gives

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{s,q} x_{s,q}(r)}{x_{s,1}(r)} = 0 ,$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, $\alpha = 0$ whenever p > s. The α having $p \le s$ satisfy p,q the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \alpha_{p,q} x_{p,q} (i+r) = 0 , r = 0, 1, \dots, j-1 .$$

This system is nonsingular by the condition $|U|(i) \neq 0$, so we have $c_{p,q} = 0$ for all p and q. Thus v(r) = y(r) for all r and the theorem is proved. <u>Theorem 3.1.2</u> If D is nonsingular then, for each $i \ge 0$, $|U|(i+r) \neq 0$ for at least one value of r in the range $0 \le r \le l - j$. Proof: Since D is nonsingular, Casorati's theorem is valid, i.e., $|X|(i) \ne 0$ for all i. Theorem 3.1.2 is then an immediate consequence of Laplace's general theorem on the expansion of determinants [15,§93].

Theorem 3.1.2 implies there is no shortage of points i which satisfy condition (3.1.2) when the difference operator is nonsingular. Our next goal is to show that any optimally ranked basis may be used to locate an admissible value of i.

<u>Theorem 3.1.3</u> If $|U|(i) \neq 0$ for some fixed $i \geq 0$, then the leading principal minor of order j of the Casoratian evaluated at i of every optimally ranked basis for D is nonzero.

Proof: If j = 0 then there is nothing to prove, so let us assume j > 0. Also, let us assume temporarily that $j < \ell$. Let X' be an optimally ranked basis distinct from X, where

$$\begin{array}{c} \sigma \\ X' = \bigcup X' \\ s=1 \end{array}$$

and

$$X'_{s} = \{x'_{s,1}, x'_{s,2}, \dots, x'_{s,n}\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma;$$

compare (3.0.4), (3.0.5). Define the sets

$$J' = \{u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_j\} = \bigcup_{\substack{i \\ s=1}}^{c} X'_s$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}' = \{\mathbb{v}'_1, \mathbb{v}'_2, \dots, \mathbb{v}'_k\} = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathsf{v} \\ \mathsf{s}=\mathsf{t}+1}}^{\mathsf{o}} \mathbb{X}'_{\mathsf{s}} \ .$$

There exist scalars $a_{p,q} \in F$ such that

$$u'_{p} = \sum_{q=1}^{j} \alpha_{p,q} u_{q} + \sum_{q=j+1}^{\ell} \alpha_{p,q} v_{q-j}, \quad p = 1, 2, ..., j,$$

and

$$v'_{p-j} = \sum_{q=1}^{j} a_{p,q}u_{q} + \sum_{q=j+1}^{\ell} a_{p,q}v_{q-j}, \quad p = j+1, j+2, \dots, \ell$$

The matrix of coefficients $(a_{p,q})$ is nonsingular because both X and X' are bases of the finite-dimensional linear space K(D). Furthermore, from the definition of an optimally ranked basis it is easy to show that $(a_{p,q})$ is block lower triangular with the s-th diagonal block having order n_s . Because of the block triangular structure, the determinant of $(a_{p,q})$ is equal to the product of the determinants of the diagonal blocks. And because the determinant of $(a_{p,q})$ is nonzero, so is the determinant of every diagonal block. Therefore, the leading principal minor of order j of the determinant of $(a_{p,q})$ is nonzero. Let Δ_j denote this minor. Next, consider the Casorati matrix [X](i). It can be written in block form as

$$[X](i) = \begin{bmatrix} [U](i) : * \\ ... : ... \\ * : [V](i+j) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the actual form of the blocks indicated by asterisks is unimportant. Similarly, we write

$$[X'](i) = \begin{bmatrix} [U'](i) : * \\ ... : ... \\ * : [V'](i+j) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If

$$(\alpha_{p,q}) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & A_{21} \vdots & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the corresponding block form of $(\alpha_{p,q})$, we verify readily that

$$[X'](i) = \begin{bmatrix} [U](i) & * \\ & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \\ & * & [V](i+j) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & Tr & A & Tr \\ 11 & & 21 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A & 22 \end{bmatrix}$$

The leading principal minor of order j of |X'|(i) is evidently given by

$$|U'|(i) = |U|(i) \cdot \det(A_{11})$$

Since $|U|(i) \neq 0$, by hypothesis, and $\det(A_{11}) = A_j \neq 0$ we have $|U'|(i) \neq 0$. This completes the proof for $j < \ell$.

Finally, we note that the proof in the case $j = \ell$ follows by an argument analogous to that just used for $j < \ell$, except that there is no partitioning of matrices since V and V' are empty.
3.2 Convergence

Assume

$$(3.2.1)$$
 $|U|(i) \neq 0$

and let y be a solution of type t of (3.0.1), where $0 \le t \le \sigma$. For each $n \ge m + 1$ such that a solution y_n of (3.0.1) exists satisfying both (3.0.2) and (3.0.3), there exist scalars $\alpha_1(n), \alpha_2(n), \dots, \alpha_j(n)$ and $\beta_1(n), \beta_2(n), \dots, \beta_k(n)$ such that

(3.2.2)
$$y_n = y + \sum_{s=1}^{j} \alpha_s(n)u_s + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \beta_s(n)v_s$$

In the case $t = \sigma$, we have

$$y_n = y + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \alpha_s(n)u_s$$
.

Then we prove readily, using (3.0.2) and (3.2.1), that $\alpha_s(n) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Thus for each n, $y_n(r) = y(r)$ for $r = i, i+1, \ldots$. Therefore we restrict the ensuing discussion to the cases in which $0 \le t < \sigma$.

Consider the set C of all subsets of k distinct sequences from X. We have k > 0 by our assumption that $t < \sigma$. Obviously, $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \in C$. We shall say that the optimally ranked basis X is <u>j-normal</u> provided that $|V|(r) \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large r, and

$$(3.2.3) \qquad |V|(r) = o\{|V|(r)\} \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

for every $\widetilde{V} \in \mathcal{C} - \{V\}$. When t = 0 , \mathcal{C} = $\{V\}$ and X is automatically

[†]The reader is referred to \$3.0 for notation and underlying assumptions.

j-normal (0-normal). When t > 0 the sets \tilde{V} contain at least one sequence from $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j\}$. In this case (3.2.3) expresses the quite reasonable condition that whenever one or more of the "dominant" solutions v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k is replaced in the Casoratian |V| by a "subdominant" solution, then the resulting Casoratian is dominated by |V|(r)as $r \to \infty$.

We now state and prove the convergence theorem. A similar result, but only for homogeneous linear difference equations, is given by Zahar [27, Th. 5.1].

<u>Theorem 3.2.1</u> Assume X is a j-normal optimally ranked basis such that (3.2.1) is satisfied and y(r) is a solution of (3.0.1) of type t. Also assume

(3.2.4)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{|V_{s}(y)|(r)}{|V|(r)} = 0 , \quad s = 1, 2, ..., k$$

where $V_{s}(y) = \{v_{1}, \dots, v_{s-1}, y, v_{s+1}, \dots, v_{k}\}$. Then for sufficiently large n there exists a unique solution y_{n} of (3.0.1) which satisfies (3.0.2) and (3.0.3). Furthermore,

(3.2.5)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(r) = y(r)$$

for each fixed value of $r \ge i + j$. Proof: From (3.2.2), (3.0.2) and (3.0.3) we derive the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{1}(i) & \dots & u_{j}(i) & v_{1}(i) & \dots & v_{k}(i) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(i+j-1) \dots & u_{j}(i+j-1) & v_{1}(i+j-1) \dots & v_{k}(i+j-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(n) & \dots & u_{j}(n) & v_{1}(n) & \dots & v_{k}(n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(n+k-1) \dots & u_{j}(n+k-1) & v_{1}(n+k-1) \dots & v_{k}(n+k-1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}(n) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{j}(n) \\ \beta_{1}(n) \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k}(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ -y(n) \\ \vdots \\ -y(n+k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Although the block structure indicated in this system disappears when t = 0, this modification causes no difficulty in the following proof.

Suppose V were such that the upper right block of the matrix is zero (again, this is satisfied vacuously if t = 0). Then the linear system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{1}(i) & \dots & u_{j}(i) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(i+j-1) \dots & u_{j}(i+j-1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(n) & \dots & u_{j}(n) & v_{1}(n) & \dots & v_{k}(n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{1}(n+k-1) \dots & u_{j}(n+k-1) & v_{1}(n+k-1) \dots & v_{k}(n+k-1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}(n) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{j}(n) \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{1}(n) \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k}(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ -y(n) \\ \vdots \\ -y(n+k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinant of this system is $|U|(i) \cdot |V|(n)$, and it is nonzero for all sufficiently large n, in view of our assumptions. Using Cramer's rule and Laplace's general theorem on the expansion of determinants [15,§93], we then find that

$$\alpha_1(n) = \alpha_2(n) = \cdots = \alpha_i(n) = 0$$

and

$$\beta_{s}(n) = -\frac{|\nabla_{s}(y)|(n)}{|\nabla|(n)}, \quad s = 1, 2, ..., k$$

Therefore, for all r and for all sufficiently large n , we have

(3.2.6)
$$y_n(r) = y(r) - \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{|V_s(y)|(n)|}{|V|(n)|} v_s(r)$$

The other case in which the block structure disappears, which is t = c, was disposed of earlier.

Then (3.2.5) follows from (3.2.4).

In order to complete the proof, let us introduce the sequences (3.2.7) $v_p' = v_p + \gamma_{p,1}u_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{p,j}u_j$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

where the $\gamma_{p,q}$ are to be chosen in such a way that

$$v'_{p}(i+r) = 0$$
, $r = 0, 1, ..., j-1$

Thus the $\gamma_{p,q}$ have to satisfy the linear systems

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1(i) & \dots & u_j(i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_1(i+j-1) \dots & u_j(i+j-1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{p,1} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{p,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_p(i) \\ \vdots \\ -v_p(i+j-1) \end{bmatrix} , \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, k ,$$

each of which is nonsingular because $|U|(i) \neq 0$, by hypothesis. Let $V' = \{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_k\}$. Clearly it suffices to show that $U \cup V'$ is a j-normal optimally ranked basis for D such that

(3.2.8)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{|V'(y)|(r)|}{|V'|(r)|} = 0 , \quad s = 1, 2, ..., k .$$

Since v_{p} dominates every sequence in U , we have from (3.2.7)

$$\frac{v'(r)}{v_{p}(r)} \to 1 \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

for each p , $1 \le p \le k$. Therefore v'_p has the same type as v_p . Furthermore, we may verify the three conditions of Definition 2.2.1, as follows:

)
$$\frac{u(r)}{v'_{p}(r)} = \frac{u(r)}{v_{p}(r)} \cdot \frac{v_{p}(r)}{v'_{p}(r)} \neq 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \neq \infty$$

for every $u \in U$, and

$$\frac{v'(r)}{v'_{q}(r)} = \frac{v'(r)}{v_{p}(r)} \cdot \frac{v(r)}{v_{q}(r)} \cdot \frac{v(r)}{v'_{q}(r)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$

whenever type $(v'_p) < type (v'_q);$

ii)
$$\frac{v'(r)}{v'_q(r)} = \frac{v'(r)}{v_p(r)} \cdot \frac{v_p(r)}{v_q(r)} \cdot \frac{v_q(r)}{v'_q(r)} \sim \frac{v_p(r)}{v'_q(r)} \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

whenever type $(v'_p) = type (v'_q);$

(iii) Let $v'_p, v'_{p+1}, \ldots, v'_q \in V'$ be all the sequences of one type, and let v' be any fixed one of these. Let the corresponding unprimed sequences be $v, v_p, v_{p+1}, \ldots, v_q \in V$. Take $\delta_p, \delta_{p+1}, \ldots, \delta_q \in F$, not all zero. For each $s = p, p+1, \ldots, q$ we have

$$\frac{\delta_{s} v'}{v'} = \frac{\delta_{s} (v + \gamma_{s,1} u_1 + \dots + \gamma_{s,j} u_j)}{v'} = \frac{\delta_{s} v}{v'} + o(1)$$

(since u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j are of lower type than $v' = v\{1+o(1)\}$). Hence

$$\frac{\delta v' + \dots + \delta v'}{p p q q} = \frac{\delta v + \dots + \delta v}{v'} + o(1) .$$

Furthermore

$$\frac{\delta v' + \dots + \delta v'}{p p q q} = \frac{\delta v + \dots + \delta v}{p p q q} \{1 + o(1)\} + o(1)$$

from which it is clear that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{s=p} \left| \begin{array}{c} q \\ \sum \\ s = y \end{array} \delta_{s} v'(r) / v'(r) \right| > 0 .$$

Thus, U U V' is an optimally ranked basis.

Next we prove that $|V'|(r) \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large r . We recall that a determinant is a multilinear function of its columns. If we express

$$V'(r) = |v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_k|(r)$$

where v_1',v_2',\ldots,v_k' temporarily denote the successive columns of |V'|(r) , then we derive

$$|\nabla'|(\mathbf{r}) = |\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}', \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k}'|(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{1,q} |\mathbf{u}_{q}, \mathbf{v}_{2}', \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k}'|(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= |\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}', \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k}'|(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{1,q} |\mathbf{u}_{q}, \mathbf{v}_{2}', \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k}'|(\mathbf{r})$$

$$+ \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{2,q} |\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{q}, \mathbf{v}_{3}', \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k}'|(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= \cdots,$$

and hence

$$|\nabla'|(\mathbf{r}) = |\nabla|(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{1,q} |u_{q}, v'_{2}, \dots, v'_{k}|(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{2,q} |v_{1}, u_{q}, v'_{3}, \dots, v'_{k}|(\mathbf{r}) + \cdots + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \gamma_{j,q} |v_{1}, v_{2}, \dots, v_{k-1}, u_{q}|(\mathbf{r})$$

If we continue this construction until every reference to a primed v is removed, then it is clear that |V'|(r) is equal to the sum of |V|(r) plus a linear combination of determinants formed from |V|(r) by replace-

ment of at least one v by a u . By our assumption that X is j-normal, we conclude

(3.2.9) $|V'|(r) = |V|(r)\{1+o(1)\}$ as $r \to \infty$.

Since $|V|(r) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large r, we also have $|V'|(r) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large r.

If one or more of the solutions v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_k are replaced in |V'|(r) by subdominant solutions from U, then a construction like the one preceding shows that the modified Casoratian $|\tilde{V}'|(r)$ is dominated by |V|(r) as $r \to \infty$, since the original optimally ranked basis is j-normal. Consequently, using (3.2.9), we conclude $U \in V'$ is j-normal. A similar argument shows that (3.2.8) is satisfied, and the theorem is proved.

3.3 Stability

Let us consider solutions of (3.0.1) of type t, where $0 \le t < \sigma$.⁺ Let j be the dimension of the subdominant subspace of type t for D. Let i be a point at which $|U|(i) \ne 0$; see (3.0.7). Suppose D is (i,j)-factorizable; see Definition 1.2.1. Let $A^{i} = [a_{0}^{i}, a_{1}^{i}, \dots, a_{j}^{i}]$ be a left factor and $B^{i+j} = [b_{0}^{i+j}, b_{1}^{i+j}, \dots, b_{k}^{i+j}]$ the corresponding right factor of D_{j}^{i} , so that $D_{j}^{i} = A_{j}^{i}B^{i+j}$ is an (i,j)-factorization of D.

The next theorem provides a generalization of Theorem 1.3.2, from totally separable linear difference equations to equations that are merely separable. The remark following Theorem 1.3.2 applies here as well. That is, the back substitution stage of the algorithm is stable, at least for sufficiently large r.

<u>Theorem 3.3.1</u> If $|U|(i) \neq 0$ and $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$, then the difference operator B^{i+j} is separable and any solution y of (3.0.1) of type t or less is a sequence of type zero with respect to B^{i+j} .

Proof: Suppose j = 0, i.e., type (y) = 0 with respect to D. Then $A^{i} = [a_{0}^{i}]$ and $B^{i} = [b_{0}^{i}, b_{1}^{i}, \dots, b_{\ell}^{i}]$ and we have $D^{i} = A^{i}B^{i}$. Now let $x \in X$, where X is the optimally ranked basis (3.0.4). Then Dx = 0; hence $D^{i}x^{i} = A^{i}B^{i}x^{i} = 0$. This last equation is equivalent to

$$a_0(r) \sum_{s=0}^{6} b_s(r)x(r+s) = 0$$
, $r = i, i+1, ...$

Since a_0^i is free of zeros by the definition of (i,j) - factorizability, we have $B^i x^i = 0$. Thus $\{x^i | x \in X\}$ is an optimally ranked basis for B^i and it follows immediately that type (y) = 0 with respect to B^i .

The case $t = \sigma$ corresponds to a maximal solution of (3.0.1). Since the general algorithm reduces to pure forward recurrence in this case, it is stable; see $\frac{2}{3}$ 1.1.

Next suppose j satisfies $0 < j < \ell$. Let $v \in V$, where V is given by (3.0.8). In view of our assumption that $|U|(i) \neq 0$, we may assume that

$$v(i+r) = 0$$
, $r = 0, 1, ..., j-1$;

compare the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. Since $v \in K(D)$ we have Dv = 0. It follows that for each $n \ge i + j + max(j,k)$ the subsequence $v^{i,n+k-1}$ satisfies the finite boundary value problem

$$D_{j}^{i,n-j-l}v^{i+j,n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ -D^{n-\ell,n-j-l}v^{n,n+k-1} \end{bmatrix};$$

compare (1.2.4). For each n, these boundary value problems are nonsingular and factorizable as shown by Theorem 1.2.1.

Therefore, Theorem 1.2.2 is applicable and we see that $v^{i+j,n-1}$ satisfies

$$B_{0}^{i+j,n-l}v^{i+j,n-l} = -\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \dots\\ B_{k}^{n-k,n-l}v^{n,n+k-l} \end{bmatrix}$$

for each $n \ge i + j + max(j,k)$; compare (1.2.7) and the remark following the proof of Theorem 1.2.2. The last equation is equivalent to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k} b_{s}(r)v(r+s) = 0 , r = i+j.i+j+1,...n-1$$

Since n may be arbitarily large, we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k} b_{s}(r)v(r+s) = 0 , r = i+j, i+j+1, \dots$$

or, equivalently, $B^{i+j}v^{i+j} = 0$. Therefore, $\{v^{i+j} | v \in V\}$ is an optimally ranked basis for B^{i+j} . Since y is dominated by every sequence in V,

it follows that type (y) = 0 with respect to B^{i+j} .

Now let us turn to the forward elimination stage of the algorithm. This consists of applying a finite number of steps of Gaussian elimination, without pivoting, to the infinite linear system

(3.3.1)
$$D_{j}^{i}y^{i+j} = g^{i} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

compare (1.3.6). Rounding errors introduced in this process are equivalent to perturbations in the original problem. Thus, instead of satisfying (3.3.1) the computed solution \tilde{y}^{i+j} , say, is an exact solution of a system of the form

(3.3.2)
$$(D_{j}^{i} + E_{j}^{i})\tilde{y}^{i+j} = g^{i} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + e^{i}$$

where the terms E_j^i and e^i represent the perturbation.

The effect of introducing a single element of e(r), say at r = s, is to perturb the true solution y^{i+j} by a linear combination of the solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation. Because of the boundary conditions we have imposed "at infinity", only the solutions $u_1, u_2, \ldots u_j$ enter from the optimally ranked basis $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$; compare equation (3.0.3) and Theorem 3.2.1. Subsequently, we have only to consider what happens when r > s, and here it will be the multiple of u_i that will be the fastest growing.

The effect of E_j^i , also, can be allowed for by making a perturbation of the right side. To terms of the first order, we have

$$D_{j}^{i \sim i+j} = g^{i} - \begin{bmatrix} D_{0}^{i,i+j-1}y^{i,i+j-1} \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - E_{j}^{i}y^{i+j}$$

Then the arguments of the preceding paragraph again apply.

Since the wanted solution y is of type t, we conclude that the process of forward elimination is stable in the sense that each perturbation subsequently grows at a rate that does not exceed that of the wanted solution. Of course, there will be a loss of accuracy when an element of E_j^i (or e^i) is large compared with the rounding error in the stored value of the corresponding element of D_j^i (or of the right side of (3.3.1)). This may occur, for example, when there is heavy cancellation in the formation of an element that is subsequently used as a pivot. However, the important point is that the effect of each such loss is not magnified in subsequent steps of the algorithm.

An extension of the foregoing discussion shows that if we select a value of j (that is, the number of prescribed initial conditions) <u>that is</u> <u>less than</u> the dimension of the subdominant subspace of the wanted solution, then the forward elimination remains stable. However, the back-substitution is now unstable; compare the proof of Theorem 3.3.1. Similarly if j is too large then the forward elimination is unstable, and the back-substitution is stable. Nevertheless if, in practice, the actual instabilities are weak owing to weak separation of solutions of consecutive types as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then it may be advantageous to select a value of j that differs from the dimension of the subdominant subspace of the wanted solution. This is because the loss of accuracy caused by the instability is offset by a substantial reduction in the value of the terminal point n owing to increased convergence. This modification is illustrated by numerical examples in Chapter 4.

4.0 Introduction

Several numerical examples will be given to illustrate the general algorithm. The examples all involve fourth-order linear difference operators with nonconstant coefficients. Both homogeneous and inhomogeneous difference equations are treated.

The fourth-order operators were produced by using the method described in [14, \S 12.22], starting with two second-order recurrence relations which have as solutions Bessel functions, modified Bessel functions or associated Legendre functions. The inhomogeneous equations have as particular solutions Anger-Weber functions or Struve functions. The right sides of these equations were produced as a by-product of the method used to produce the fourth-order operators.

The production of the fourth-order difference operators from the corresponding pairs of second-order operators requires only elementary algebraic manipulations. These calculations are rather lengthy, however, and would be extremely difficult (as well as tedious) to complete accurately by hand. Instead, the MACSYMA symbol manipulation system, described in [12], was employed⁺. This procedure had the added advantage of storing the required formulas for the coefficients directly in the computer, ready for numerical evaluation, thereby further reducing the possibility of human error. Similarly, MACSYMA was used to advantage in the production of the right sides for the inhomogeneous examples.

Development of which is currently supported, in part. by the United States Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC02-79ER10357 and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract Number NSG1321.

In the case of the Bessel functions $J_r(x)$, $Y_r(x)$ and modified Bessel functions $I_r(x)$, $K_r(x)$ we restrict ourselves to integer order $r \ge 0$ and real argument x > 0. Relevant properties of these functions are given in [16, Chapter 9]. For example, for fixed x the functions $J_r(x)$ and $Y_r(x)$ satisfy the linear recurrence relation

(4.0.1)
$$y(r-1) - \frac{2r}{x}y(r) + y(r+1) = 0$$
.

Similarly, $I_r(x)$ and $(-)^r K_r(x)$ satisfy

(4.0.2)
$$y(r-1) - \frac{2r}{x}y(r) - y(r+1) = 0$$
.

The Anger-Weber functions $E_r(x)$ satisfy

(4.0.3)
$$y(r-1) - \frac{2r}{x}y(r) + y(r+1) = -\frac{2\{1-(-1)^r\}}{\pi_X}$$

and the Struve functions $H_r(x)$ satisfy

(4.0.4)
$$y(r-1) - \frac{2r}{x}y(r) + y(r+1) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}x)^r}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(r+\frac{3}{2})}$$

compare (4.0.1) in both cases. Relevant properties of the $E_r(x)$ and $H_r(x)$ appear in Olver's paper [21] where they were used as examples for second-order inhomogeneous equations.

The coefficients of the fourth-order homogeneous recurrence relation obtained from (4.0.1) with $x = x_1$ and (4.0.2) with $x = x_2$ are shown in Figure 6 in the form of FORTRAN statements produced by MACSYMA. In addition, Figure 6 shows the right-hand sides corresponding to $E_r(x_1)$ and $H_r(x_1)$. We designate the difference operator D defined by these \$\$\$1X\$\$2\$\$\$1+\$\$\$\$5745\$\$\$2\$\$2\$\$2\$\$2\$\$2\$\$2\$\$2\$\$1X)\$(1X+CX)\$\$1\$\$0V\$S DECEPT(2) = (Chan I Mar

(S*X1×2)

(F##IX#N#F-5##IX#6##N#6#5M#6##IX#6#

(1) (1) (4) = −2本 AO #R*(Y2+K1) *(X1本2本) (2本2本) (2本2本2年2本22年2年2本2年2本2本24) * (044) 2~08

211-53521X275571+755573X31+755553(375553)+555552(355541X)357541X30V = (\$)A4232 (800)

CODEFW=0.0D0

IF (ISVR. EQ. O) RETURN

U+G##UX#GabN+G##G##G##SX#G##U+G##G##C##G##UX#G##IX+eV+ = (I)J4J9OPV (の法法)以会

and+5xx5Xn1+5xaAx0can1+5can5x5na1Xn1+6can5Xn1X)x1XnoVc5 = (5)AD00V (日本本日本法国一日本本日末寺の

#U-G##1X#C##U+G##GG#U+GZ#C#Z#C##U-G##GZ#C##TX)#GZ#TX%OV = (C)/JU00V (Cond IX

101

C9 'C (101, 102), IDUR CSGEFF=((1+(-1)**R)*(ACOEFF(1)+LCOEFF(3))+ (1-(-1)**R)*ACOEFF(2))X(1.5YCY96C2679409662R0*K1)

CCCLARE 1. OBOX1.57079633679485663B0 DEFENSIO 102

DO /OC I=1,R COCEPE=X1::COOLPF/(2::1+1) CONTINUE 103

CCOLF - ((2#2+1) #ACOBFF(1) / 24+ACOEFF(2) + 74+ACOEFF(3) / (2#7+3)) *CCJEFF DEUISEI

factor. X1, X2 and R are input parameters. ISWR (=0,1 or 2) selects the homogeneous equation, the inhomogeneous equation having as a particular solution the Anger-Weber function $E_r(x_1)$, or the inhomogeneous equation having as a particular solution the Fragment of FORTRAN subroutine for computing coefficients of recurrence relations v^2 $\sum_{s=-2}^{-} d_s(r)y(r+s) = g(r)$ involving the JYIK operator. A0 is an arbitrary scale Figure 6.

Struve function $H_{r}(x_{1})$.

coefficients the <u>JYIK operator</u>. Since the functions $J_r(x_1)$, $Y_r(x_1)$, $I_r(x_2)$, $(-)^r K_r(x_2)$ are linearly independent, they form a fundamental set of solutions of Dy = 0.

Similarly in the case of the associated Legendre functions $P_r^{\mu}(x)$, $Q_r^{\mu}(x)$ we restrict consideration to integer degree $r \ge 0$, integer order $\mu \ge 0$, and real argument x > 0. Relevant properties of these functions may be found in [16, Chapter 8]. We use the r-wise linear recurrence relation

$$(4.0.5) (r+\mu)y(r-1) - (2r+1)xy(r) + (r-\mu+1)y(r+1) = 0 ,$$

valid for fixed x and μ . The coefficients of the fourth-order difference operator D, shown in Figure 7, were produced (using MACSYMA) from (4.0.1) with $x = x_1$ and (4.0.5) with $x = x_2$. Figure 7 also shows the right sides corresponding to $E_r(x_1)$ and $H_r(x_1)$. In this case the functions $J_r(x_1)$, $Y_r(x_1)$, $P_r^{\mu}(x_2)$, $Q_r^{\mu}(x_2)$ form a fundamental set of solutions of Dy = 0. Accordingly, we designate D the <u>JYPQ operator</u>.

A FORTRAN program has been written to implement the general algorithm. The user may select either the JYIK operator or the JYPQ operator. He may also elect to solve the homogeneous equation, the inhomogeneous equation having the Anger-Weber function as a solution, or the inhomogeneous equation having the Struve function as a solution. Alternatively, he may provide a subroutine which generates the coefficients of an arbitrary linear difference equation of any order.

The user supplies the program with the starting point i and the **ter**minal point m of the desired subsequence of the solution to be computed, the number j of initial values, the subsequence $y^{i,i+j-1}$ of initial values, and the parameters v and ε for use in the convergence test (to be described DCOEFF(1) = (-4%R*\$3+(-4%N-4)%R*\$2+(5-5%H)%R-3%H+3)%XJ*\$2%Y2*\$2+(8

- $2-4\pi P_{1} = -4\pi P_{2} = -2\pi P_{2} = -2\pi$ - 01 00
 -) *11:*** (**11:**2-70*14-4) *12**0+ (**14:*2-0*14:**3-0*14-0) *17:**2+(**12:**2-
 - DE0NFF(2) = ((3*R**3+12*R**2-2*H-3)*X1***2*D5*A+(-5*R**4+(3*N-24)* Dout0+(0wH-14) #Brx2+(0-2*H) #H-2#F+4) #X1#x2#X2#x2+((0#Dax2-3) #B+ どま(日本の下の本意日本で)
 - 4\$4E3\$6 − 1) \$X1\$\$3+(−8\$46\$4(4−10\$H) \$E\$\$45 + (4−10\$H) \$E\$\$47 + 10 + 0\$H4\$\$1) \$E\$\$47 + 4\$\$H4\$\$
 - 5***1*(01+15**0-0**1*0))+625*(1/**(11*51−5**0+50・0+5**(3+(0+−1*25+5
- 9+0303#(\$+4473)+9*#3#\$48*37#(3##3+3##45+3##5+0#(3+44#9+3##44+3-8##3+3)+
 - $(1-2\pi)$ 00400
 - BC0EFF(3) = -((16#R#s&+24*R##3-4#R##2-6#R)#X1##2#X2*#3+((8#R##3+12 x[]xx25+(2xH-0) xR+4xH-2) xX1 xx2+(-23xHxx5-42xHxx4+2xHxx3+(16xH+4) x
- 0100
 - V-U3#0V-S**3U*91--)+2**2V*S*0**0+0+10*U3*1V**(U*(0)+17*54-54*34*0)+5**3U
- □-2**植本(!)+2**】*(▷2·杜尔(?)~2**】*(!)*(!)*(2***】*(0^{*}-1**)-2*2**】*(!)*(!)*(!)*(!)
- 401-101 x10 x10+(0x40x5-0x41+3) x15-4410x5-444(0x40x5+(0x40x4+(0x40x4) V 10 0 N
 - X* (V* (V*10+0=3454K3+0+1+0*2)+5*244 (M40-5+244A)+(++0+24845485489+0+0+244)
 - DV-M
- ☆4☆タイH*(2-2☆が4☆2)))+2☆米記(#2☆21X☆(9-1J*9+1)*(ターH*01)+2☆が1☆(1☆0-01) 「151」() = ((2はDSは0+ 13なUはは3-3はUには3) ×31 はた3はN3はA3+(-0はDSはな4-2はUKはなけた。 DJU
- 5日本(1)本目本(1)本目本2+(4本目本2-12本目本3)本目)本1(1)本20+((8本目本2-24年目4)本1)本目本 01 00 54
 - %E) *D**2+(-8*]E*S+10*E-10)*E**4+(8#E**2+85*E**2**0#E**2**0#E*2)*E**3+(10
- D:00EFF(5) = (-4&C:x:0+(4#H-G):x:X:x:EFFE(2):x:X:x:2:x:2+(5:2:x:0+(5:2:2:x:0+(5:2:x:0+(5:2:0))))))))))))))))))))))) [X/(U*(91-40%)を示意を(-0%]##3+(-0%]##3+(-0%]##3+(-1%) 0
- 4F) #ff#9+(4#ff+10) #ff#8-3#ff#8-3#ff#8-4#f#8-4#f#9) #K1#X3+((-4#f#8-3+4#f#4) #ff#8-4 #ff#4#ff#8-+f3#ff#8-6#ff-3) #K1##8-4#ff#82+(4#ff-4) #ff#84+(4#ff#82+13#ff# - C1 C5
- CONTRA-O
- HF (ICVR.EQ.O) RETURN
- $M(=\mu), X1, X2$ and R are input Fragment of FORTRAN subroutine for computing coefficents of recurrence relations $^{7}2$ $\sum_{g=-2}^{2} d_{g}(r)y(r+s) = g(r)$ involving the JYPQ operator. Figure 7:

parameters. ISWR (=0,1 or 2) selects the homogeneous equation, the inhomogeneous equation having as a particular solution the Anger-Weber function $\mathrm{E}_{r}(\mathrm{x}_{l})$, or the inhomogeneous equation having as a particular solution the Struve function ${}^{
m H}_{
m r}({
m x}_{
m l})$

ACORFF(1) =

H#0+5##1#5##1#5+6##1#5++0##1#5+5###4#5+5##1X+5##1X#0###8+6+7## H#0+5##1#5##1#5+6##1#5++0##1#5+5####2#5#1#5+6##1X#5####5+7## (日本日本の本日本の本日本や一家本計本の本日本の本日本 - 63 65

1X*U*C-OU*S**1X*S**1F*G++S*#G**S**1X*S**U*C) ACOMPT(2) =

\$9+5*#577#177#57#577#177#1#5#+5#5757#177#17#545#577#17#51#19#0+5##674#17

53(3)% %148(457%53%1X+C7(457%21X)%545)% %45(%1X%1%5+5X%25%1X%1%5+6X%2)%

-0046

C#11440+1X#149+1X#149#71-1X#5##148+1X#5##1491-57#89+6749451-57## (1X米石-1X米田米G1+1X米石水料出本の石-1X米 50

ACOMPY (C) =

63 03

(USP NISISO-

101

(1-(-1) **R) #A00EFFY(2)) /(1,57079652679469652B0#XI) CO TO (101,102), ISVR CCOMFF=-((1+(-D ##R)#(ACORFF(1)+ACOFFF(3))+

RETURN 102

CC04EFF=1.0B0×1.5%079632579409662B0 no 103 I=1,R

0.50 3FP=X1x0000FPZ(2#1+1)

CONT'S HUE 103

0000EFF=((2#R+1)#ARMEFF())ZE1+A00EFF(2)+EE=200EFF(3)Z(2#E+3)) AUTE DOS nerven

Figure 7 (Concluded).

below). The program solves the proposed problem by executing three phases. In the <u>initial phase</u> the first m + 1 + v - i - j rows of the infinite linear system (1.3.6) are stored. Forward elimination without pivoting is performed on these rows. This results in the storing of the first m + 1 + v - i - j rows of the left and right factors of the (i,j)factorization $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$, and also the subsequence $z^{i+j,m+v}$ of the solution of (1.3.8). The elimination procedure is carried out by modifications, described below, of the subroutines DGBFA and DGBSL which are available in the mathematical software package known as LINPACK; see [8].

The unmodified DGBFA performs forward elimination with partial pivoting on a finite band matrix, ignoring the right side. It produces an upper triangular matrix, a lower triangular matrix and a vector of pivoting information. These results may then be used by the unmodified DGBSL to solve the corresponding linear system with specified right side. Alternatively, the user can direct that DGBSL solve a linear system having as its matrix of coefficients the transpose of the matrix input to DGBFA. Provision has been made in DGBFA to suppress the partial pivoting. When this is done the vector of pivoting information is superfluous and (except for rounding errors) the matrix obtained by premultiplying the upper triangular matrix by the lower triangular matrix is the original band matrix. This factorization is therefore of exactly the kind required by Definition 1.2.1; see equation (1.2.5).

When partial pivoting has been suppressed in DGBFA, DGBSL proceeds, in effect, by forward elimination without pivoting followed by back substitution. Provision has been made in DGBSL to exit after the forward elimination stage and to re-enter at the start of the back substitution stage. Thus the user has the option of examining the result of the

forward elimination stage and perhaps electing to continue it without having to do any back substitution. All of the above modifications of DGBFA and DGBSL, to provide just the tools that are needed in our algorithm, were easily achieved because of the clarity and flexibility of the coding and documentation of LINPACK.

We return to the description of the initial phase. The next computation is the subsequence $w_m^{m+1,m+\nu}$; compare equations (1.3.16) and (1.3.17) with s = m. Since w_m^{m-k+1} satisfies the adjoint equation, it is produced using the forward elimination stage of the (modified) subroutine DGBSL in which the input matrix to DGBFA is automatically transposed. Finally, the initial phase computes

(4.0.6)
$$y_{r}(m) = \sum_{s=m}^{r-1} w_{m}(s) z(s) / b_{o}(m)$$

for r = m+1, m+2, ..., m+v, m+v+1 and

(4.0.7)
$$\eta_{r,v}(m) = \sum_{s=r}^{r+v-1} w_{m}(s) z(s) / b_{o}(m)$$

for r = m+1. Equation (4.0.6) is the same as (1.3.18) apart from a change of symbols. Equation (4.0.7) is the approximation to the truncation error

$$\eta_r(m) = y(m) - y_r(m)$$

which is obtained when y(m) is replaced by $y_{r+y}(m)$: compare (1.3.20).

After completion of the initial phase, the program proceeds to the <u>iteration phase</u>. One additional row of the infinite linear system (1.3.6) is stored. Since the previously determined part of the (i,j)-factorization has replaced the corresponding rows of the original matrix in the computer

storage, these rows are no longer available to extend the forward elimination stage. Therefore, extension of the forward elimination is accomplished by an alternative procedure that requires the solutions of two triangular linear systems of orders j and k. This is achieved using DTRSL, a LINPACK subroutine that solves triangular systems. Next, the formerly obtained subsequences of the solutions z^{i+j} and w_m^{m+1} are extended to include the next higher term. Then the series (4.0.6) and (4.0.7) are summed with the new value of r , and the following <u>convergence test</u> is applied: If

$$(4.0.8) \qquad |\eta_{r,y}(\mathbf{m})| \leq \varepsilon |y_{r+y}(\mathbf{m})|$$

then the program proceeds to the third and final phase; otherwise, the iteration phase is repeated and the convergence test re-applied.

The <u>final phase</u> of the program is the back substitution stage of the algorithm. Let n denote the value of r which satisfies (4.0.8). The back substitution is begun at this point, using the (modified) subroutine DGBSL. This yields the computed solution $y_n^{i+j,n-1}$ of (1.3.7) and also the desired approximation of y(r) over the range $r = i+j, i+j+1, \ldots, m$.

In all of the examples presented in this thesis the chosen termination oriterion corresponds to an estimated relative error of 10^{-10} in the final term $y_n(m)$ of the requested sequence of values of the function. Thus $z = 10^{-10}$ in equation (4.0.8). For the values of x_1 and x_2 selected in the examples the solutions are reasonably well-separated as $r \rightarrow \infty$, hence we take the "testing parameter" v in equation (4.0.7) to be 1 throughout. Initial values were entered to 10 significant figures in every case. All computations were performed internally to 18 figures

and the output printed to 10 figures. For each example we present (i) the solution $z(i+j), z(i+j+1), \ldots, z(n)$ of equation (1.3.8) (the forward elimination stage); (ii) the solution $w_m(m+1), w_m(m+2), \ldots, w_m(n)$ of (1.3.16), (1.3.17) (the forward recurrence of the adjoint equation for use in the termination criterion); (iii) the sequence $y_{m+1}(m), y_{m+2}(m), \ldots, y_{n+\nu}(m)$ of approximants to the value y(m) (see equation (4.0.6)); (iv) the sequence $\eta_{m+1,1}(m), \eta_{m+2,1}(m), \ldots, \eta_{n,1}(m)$ of estimates of the truncation error incurred in accepting the terminal points of $m+1, m+2, \ldots, n$ respectively (see equation (4.0.7)); (v) the sequence $y_n(n-1), y_n(n-2), \ldots, y_n(i+j)$ derived from equation (1.3.7) (the back substitution stage).

The initial values that were used in the boundary value problem actually solved in each example are shown in parentheses in the tables; see, for example, Table 5. The terminal values (which are all zero) are also shown. Forward recurrence of the j-th order difference equation $A^{i}z^{i} = g^{i}$ starting from the given initial values produces a solution of (1.3.8) (the algorithm produces this solution by forward elimination). Similarly, backward recurrence of the k-th order difference equation $B^{i+j}y_{n}^{i+j} = z^{i+j}$ starting from the terminal values (which are all zero) produces the corresponding solution of the boundary value problem; this is the back substitution stage. Data is given in the tables only for selected values of r . All of the values of r that were used beyond r = m are included, however. These values illustrate the termination procedure.

It should be noted that when j = 4 the method as implemented by the FORTRAN program is equivalent to, but not identical with, forward recurrence of the given difference equation. See, for example, Table 8. This is because DGBFA, the LINPACK matrix factorization subroutine, scales the linear system in such a way that the principal diagonal of the lower triangular factor is a sequence of ones. Therefore, the result of the forward elimination stage differs from the result of the back substitution stage (unless the trailing coefficients of the original linear difference operator are all equal to one). Since there is no question of truncation error when j = 4, the sequences $w_m(r)$, $y_r(m)$ and $\eta_{r,1}(m)$, $r = m+1, m+2, \ldots$, are not defined.

It has been observed that Olver's original second-order method is likely to cause overflow in most computers. This same likelihood of overflow exists for the extended algorithm. The examples to be presented in this chapter were computed using double precision on a Univac 1108. Double precision was used not for higher precision but for wider exponent range. The double precision range extends to 10^{308} whereas the largest number generated in the examples was somewhat greater than 10²⁰⁰. Van der Cruyssen [25] gives a rescaled version of the second-order algorithm, based on LU decomposition, that greatly reduces the incidence of overflow or underflow. An equivalent procedure for overcoming this difficulty, based directly on the use of ratios of consecutive terms in each solution, is described by Aggarwal and Burgmeier [1]. In the present writer's view, however, it is preferable (if possible) to avoid mathematical modifications of algorithms simply to suit arbitrary limitations of existing computers. A software alternative to rescaling is proposed in [24], in which a full integer storage location is allocated to the exponent of each floating-point number. A FORTRAN implementation of this proposal is available; see [11].

4.1 Examples Involving the Homogeneous JYIK Equation

From the asymptotic forms

(4.1.1)
$$J_{r}(x) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{ex}{2r}\right)^{r}$$
, $Y_{r}(x) \sim -\left(\frac{2}{\pi r}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{ex}{2r}\right)^{-r}$

and

(4.1.2)
$$I_r(x) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{ex}{2r}\right)^r$$
, $(-)^r K_r(x) \sim (-)^r \left(\frac{\pi}{2r}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{ex}{2r}\right)^{-r}$

which are valid for fixed x and large r, we obtain

(4.1.3)
$$J_r(x_1) < I_r(x_2) < (-)^r K_r(x_2) < Y_r(x_1)$$

when $x_1 < x_2$ and

(4.1.4)
$$I_r(x_2) < J_r(x_1) < Y_r(x_1) < (-)^r K_r(x_2)$$

when $x_2 < x_1$, where in (4.1.3) and (4.1.4) the symbol < is used to indicate the order of dominance of the functions as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Thus when $x_1 \neq x_2$ the JYIK operator is totally separable. As with any totally separable operator of order 4, there are four distinct types of solution and the dimension of the subdominant subspace of type s is s. This means that j = sis the proper number of initial values to specify for a solution of type s.

When all four Bessel functions have the same argument, from (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) we have

(4.1.5)
$$J_r(x) \sim I_r(x)$$
, $(-)^r K_r(x) \sim (-)^{r+1} \frac{1}{2} \pi Y_r(x)$, $r \to \infty$.

The first of these relations suggests that we define the further solution

(4.1.6)
$$A_r(x) = J_r(x) - I_r(x)$$
.

Then it may be verified that

(4.1.7)
$$A_{r}(x) \sim -\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{r+1} \begin{cases} I_{r}(x) \\ J_{r}(x) \end{cases}, \quad r \to \infty$$

where either function in the braces on the right may be chosen. Furthermore, since there is no nonzero linear combination of $(-)^{r}K_{r}(x)$ and $Y_{r}(x)$ that reduces to a solution of lower type, we conclude that there are exactly three distinct types of solution. Symbolically, we have

(4.1.8)
$$A_{r}(x) < \begin{cases} I_{r}(x) \\ J_{r}(x) \end{cases} < \begin{cases} (-)^{r} K_{r}(x) \\ H_{r}(x) \end{cases}$$

where in each pair of braces either function may be chosen. Thus when $x_1 = x_2$ the JYIK operator is separable but not totally separable. The dimensions of the subdominant subspaces of types 1,2 and 3 are 1,2 and 4 respectively.

Although $A_r(x)$ has type 1, it is separated only by a relative factor of 1/r from solutions of type 2. Let $y_n(r)$ denote the approximation to $A_r(x)$ that is obtained from the algorithm with terminal point n. Then, suppressing the arguments of $A_r(x)$ and all the Bessel functions and using equation (3.2.6), we have[†]

$$y_{n}(r) \sim A_{r} - \beta_{1}(n)I_{r} - \beta_{2}(n)Y_{r} - \beta_{3}(n)(-)^{r}K_{r}, n \to \infty$$

where

Since $I_r(x)$, $Y_r(x)$ and $K_r(x)$ are not normalized as required for the validity of (3.2.6), we have only the weaker statement given here.

$$\beta_{1}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} A_{n} & Y_{n} & (-)^{n} K_{n} \\ A_{n+1} & Y_{n+1} & (-)^{n+1} K_{n+1} \\ A_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} \middle/ \begin{vmatrix} I_{n} & Y_{n} & (-)^{n} K_{n} \\ I_{n+1} & Y_{n+1} & (-)^{n+1} K_{n+1} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} \right|$$

$$\beta_{2}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} I_{n} & A_{n} & (-)^{n} K_{n} \\ I_{n+1} & A_{n+1} & (-)^{n+1} K_{n+1} \\ I_{n+2} & A_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} \middle/ \begin{vmatrix} I_{n} & Y_{n} & (-)^{n} K_{n} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+1} & (-)^{n+1} K_{n+1} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} \Big/ \begin{vmatrix} I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2} K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\beta_{3}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} I_{n} & Y_{n} & A_{n} \\ I_{n+1} & Y_{n+1} & A_{n+1} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & A_{n+2} \end{vmatrix} / \begin{vmatrix} I_{n} & Y_{n} & (-)^{n}K_{n} \\ I_{n+1} & Y_{n+1} & (-)^{n+1}K_{n+1} \\ I_{n+2} & Y_{n+2} & (-)^{n+2}K_{n+2} \end{vmatrix}$$

In consequence of (4.1.1), (4.1.2), and (4.1.7) we see that $\beta_1(n) \sim -x^2(2n)^{-1}$ for large n, whereas $\beta_2(n)$ and $\beta_3(n)$ approach zero much more rapidly. Thus we expect that the rate of convergence of the algorithm will be approximately as 1/n. This has been confirmed in numerical tests. Since the separation of $A_r(x)$ from solutions of type two is so weak, it is usually advantageous to regard $A_r(x)$ as belonging to the same type as $J_r(x)$ and $I_r(x)$; this means that we specify two initial conditions rather than one. This modification improves convergence; in consequence the value of the terminal point n is reduced very considerably. This gain is offset to a minor extent by some loss in accuracy in the values of $A_r(x)$ for higher values of r; the extent of this loss is no more than the cancellation that takes place between the corresponding values of $I_r(x)$ and $J_r(x)$ in Eq. (4.1.6). Example 4.1.1 In this example we compute the solutions $J_r(1)$, $I_r(10)$, $(-)^r K_r(10)$ and $Y_r(1)$ of the homogeneous equation defined in Figure 6, with $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 10$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 100. Since each of these solutions is monotonic (in magnitude), the algorithm will be numerically stable provided that the proper number j of initial values is used in each case. This number is j = 1 for $J_r(1)$, j = 2 for $I_r(10)$, j = 3 for $(-)^r K_r(10)$ and j = 4 for $Y_r(1)$; compare (4.1.3).

Table 1 gives the numerical coefficients of the JYIK operator with $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 10$ for $r = 0,1,2,\ldots,109$. Tables 2, 3 and 4 give the numerical coefficients of the (0,j)-factorizations of this JYIK operator for j = 1,2, and 3. (We do not present the (0,4)-factorization.) Since the (i,j)-factorization $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$ produced by the test program has the principal diagonal of A_j^i equal to $(1,1,1,\ldots)$, we do not include values of $a_j(r)$.

Tables 5 through 8 give the results of the computation of the four desired solutions $J_r(1)$, $I_r(10)$, $(-)^r K_r(10)$ and $Y_r(1)$ for selected values of r; see $\frac{2}{3}4.0$ for a full description of the tables. Initial values were taken from Tables 9.4 and 9.11 in [16]; they are given in parentheses. These tables also supply values of the four Bessel functions for certain other values of r in the range $0 \le r \le 100$. These entries all agree with our computed results, except for the tenth significant figure in some instances. This slight discrepancy is explained by the fact that the starting values that were used inevitably have an error of several units in the eleventh significant figure. Incidentally, because in this (and other examples) we have not attempted rigorous control of individual rounding errors, a guaranteed error bound cannot be given. Nevertheless, the stability of the algorithm for all solutions in this example is firmly demonstrated.

90

10
11
x.2
l and
×
wi th
operator
JYIK
the
οĒ
coefficients
Numerical
able

d ₄ (r)	 95000000000000000000000000000000000000	
d ₃ (r)	709200000000000000000000000000000000000	. V 6 0 24 6 4136 V 4 V 40 24
d ₂ (r)	 - BBBGOGGOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	• 6255-56960 2 C COA OGA
d ₁ (r)	 S568000000000000000000000000000000000000	
d ₀ (r)		· 1 ** 0.0 1 0.000 ** 0.0 0
-		0.0

-1

 $d_{\Omega}(r)$

(Continued) Table 1

-.1948360900+607 -.29393900000000000 - 53469500001007 - 549366660001607 -.19592000004002 -.134245000000000007 -.26244000000+007 - . 283234000000+002 -. 31590400001007 -.32719400001525-. 3503600600+007 200100000104201-- . 49%06600%00%00% -.4644040600-007 799-00000010-05-1466 130000 + 002 1543408000 - 007- . I6226000000+007 200+00008.22021 1872000000+002 -.32222440060464607 -.2727440550-607 --- 4246100000+007 100-0000002020-- - 本内のの本本のののものない --.1622235500+009 .1732879800+069 . 18484423900+000 2094756000+000 600+0006029255 2403771920+009 . 265 1002600+000 28040742004009 000+0072002907 3474618250+009 600+0000022.595 4144784120+009 50007424240000 5451558300+000 6375430200+000 2615126000+000 1055667600+009 .1139047200+009 .11126703600+000 . 13 18743720+009 .1415274400+009 . 1516402800+009 000+080000000001. . 2362014080+009 .3127-471206+009 . 3194097520+009 . 3446970890+000 4043616400+000 4-4535530869+000 4669113600+009 000+0020221024 . 6120814600+009 2347196730+000 6109752600+009 000+0200220+000 00296580804009 72184410001001001 $d_3(r)$ 28901425124010 010+2505252211 4478637512+010 5129172004010 54792675004010 0104265222509 10522865324010 010+220000000001 010+2621020012. 2230361 020+010 2472909000+010 .31222874524010 . 3354779960+010 3621123500+010 010100651199625 620463%9724010 66-40387840+010 01040018883002 1018408000+010 1 1 010+090092111. 010+2002420010 . 1452616030+010 . 16221447004010 .1771295520+010 2676346180+010 . 3891851040+010 26100047604010 010+200881 0262 54002000332+010 010+01209222.08 950:3918260+010 9145331400+0091 1000000000000000000 110+2012220901 110+6001810211 11048292102811 12425055550+011 $d_2(r)$ 000+00001 000+0000 000+0200 1200+009 2400+0012 4200+009 000+0822 2320+009 000+0000 000+000 000+0000 5680+009 000+0220 000+000 1200+0001 3080+009 0120+009 1600+009 600+0070 3600+009 000+0320 000+0231 4400+000 000+0039 1400+005 1010+000 000+076 (100+001) 000+00021 000+0071 000-0000 000 000+0000 3480+009 4400+000 2880+000 2020+000 000+000 $d_{1}(r)$

d₄(r)

92

-. 264355559904097 -. 88397055004097 - 10600.000000-000 - 1060-0000-000 - 1060-0000-000-000 - 11227(#000004 000) -.5642000000+007 - 5793603000 007 -.609993000000+000 --626482090004004 -.e414808000+002 -.6574682900+057 -.59013300000001062 -.70676909904095 -- 723559-90060-092 -.740618004097 -.75784460004097 -.775556400000+0007 -.8167109900+067 - . 8287280000 + 607 - . 84694-10800+085 -.98128800804687 700+0000400050---.10197530003.060 ---3409440404008 یدمر •] .1298755520+010 . 1342537560+010 . 1337292472+010 .14797639304010 .1527501960+010 . 1626035332+010 . 1676853162+010 . 1726713200+010 . 1946870468-010 . 2004135126+010 . 2063511640+010 9120974400+609 . 1018627123+010 .1055597640+010 010+036330+010 .1103140740+010 010+2120018211. . 12140678334010 . 12559359604010 01042201002021. .16762556669+910 . 1781641200+010 . 1835633600+010 .1299707292+010 2122010020+010 010403884010 2244419923+010 2371445000+010 010+05072/0858 600+000086182 . 8182659920+009 . 8453723689+009 . 8743125200+009 000+002228200+000 08224465504600 2307351240+010 .13915729214011 10404020900001 .14653961754011 . 154/9/04664011 110+05905G9624 10+0908084011 22140908064011 10+81,28291967 . 3091043548+011 10+2020202401 10+22606650988 3203160042+01 44601496054011 - 4612505550+011 60105356504011 . 2262054469404 23362233803+01 10+0717072255 . 3651793639401 4119959475+01 4225552591+01 10+9202921895 33336556011401 10+035365369401 .2165546220+01 101025944254101 3959183200+01 .4998292964401 5189819245401 . 1621490400+01 .1204111534401 1289888885875+01 . 1070784881+01 10-0901060261. .20665522270+01 -.2240563400+010 -.23105544684010 -.23705544684010 -.211237200+010-.2593568720+010 -.900+000029292999---.9939917680+009 $-244949332094010\\-2520247560+010$ -.2667675202+010 -.27481799124010 -.2620093966+010 -.2000436420+010 -. 2972214360+010 200+0000068666 200+000000000005 10197552000+000 .1540.4400.000 . 6736 140000+007 20040000321069 720550000004007 200+0000000023232. 200400000002922 20040000012018. 200400000710003 . 5459440000049323. . 54550500040097 . 43337100000+007 200+00000022206 .93178890004-005 200+6000360006 . 110 1770000000000 -11274752300+008 · 11012/2000-008 .1105910000+000 800+000ects 200+00000V0015 200+000000555569 200+00000006600 200100000000000 6414200000+002 200+000000112239 200400000001902 12004000004000

d₄(r)

 $d_3(r)$

d₂(r)

d₁ (r)

d₀(1⁻)

Table 1 (Concluded)

5		
-		

1
•
'
·
'
;
:
'
1
1
'
'
1
. :
1
1
ş
1
3
'
1
ſ
;
'
1
1

b₂(r+1)

 $b_{3}(r+1)$

-. +0380000000+.005 -. 18800000000000000 -.405500000001005 -.405500000001005 -. 544400000+005 100-10000001688 ---. 10790000004006

73498762+005

325 10274+006

i

700+00000000 10791262+005 201996815+006 00401044+0000 33217654+006 200+935525619 200+69002201 26534456+007

-.70280000001005

-.1296890090+005 -.15324000000005025 -.20590000000600+606

200040100002 200+62023919 300410019008 52025 I I I + © 0 2 5 333668525+007 36124129+007 31876309+008 009-02662200 000+62036821

-. 3375559%0%0%01.0%5 -.4146000001000 -.4463890600:000 -. 5454506090+006

-.4999940000010000 -.64250020204006–.6939500500+006 -. 747440000010001006

800 + 800 - 90 B

33604065+003 2410440024000 318949444008 06454591+003 00363641+008 000+0020+000

800+08700714 000+005-00202

-.1136690000001 006 -.30194909094606 -. 37520000004 006 93

= 10.

= 1 and x_2

Numerical coefficients of the (0,1)-factorization of the JYIK operator with x_1

2.

Table

- 13176800000-0007 - 13176800000-0007 - 13000000-0007

000+02002060 52649202+502

1231215+003

000+000002616---.9810000001006

060692114008

004000002000 36697073+008

00120762+008 36162873+003 のののなどうのようののの

 -. 10444400001001001 -. 112710000015211

9.-

-- 17869-0009-007 -- 1872980000-907 -- 19672980000-907 709+00001233850.--20040000002115--. 154340@0000~C07 - 233324200001005 -. 242450.0500+007 -. 27.274-00000-007 - , ∴882⊒30000000+0.17 - . 2137 19-26-060-007 700+0000564200;--20010000229285--- 46440 10200+00V -.4730700000000 -.49198900004001 -.80690355660.007 -. 52924408034034097 -.14661300000+007 $b_{3}(r+1)$.4463264140+009 000+22022011. .1226495792+009 . 1318530969+009 000+292999101 . 1516130135+009 .1622007983+009 .17326472333+000 .18482994000+000 .1968787603+509 . 2004402577+000 . 1255545454645555+000 . 236 1756674+609 .2503509642+009 . 2650821451451+000 .28003002020+009 . 2962555524009 . 31271891174009 . 5297810486+009 . 3474525295+009 · 000+70707721000 . 38466663913+009 .4042309562+609 000+10052やややいや. .4668791909+909 . 43911625534009 .51204830074000 . 5356250126+009 . 50004000555+000 5331212354+009 .6109401204+909 0375074453+009 · 66488000884800 .692930+331+009 721806989187 .105546969646009 2514740850+009 $b_2^{(r+1)}$ 0104221021024010 010402021024010 01040202021024010 01040202021024010 . 12223502334019 .1623938145+010 010+2220095261. .2102296499+010 . 2283030045+010 . 2476 149 125+010 010+93012305-010 010+2110220620. .5132333354010 . 54632367274010 .5651723313+010 010+20303610062. . 39765535454610 . 95033455454610 .1010265915+010 01017000110111. 010+6201268981. 010+38364384010 010+6252022058. .10000000000001. 600+1221222916 . 10042457344011 11046895109711. 11042501152511. . 12830 130234011 b₁ (r+1) -. 1684067335+009 -. 1882554333+009 $-\,.\,1925\,137\,432\pm009\\-\,.\,20536382230\pm009\\-\,.\,21675667235\pm009$ --- 4716113011+009 -.1465056056069+009 -. 247275505774009 -. 24727556254009 -. 2945335971+009 -.8115563820+009 -. 2664263766+000 -. 3260615215+009 -.4063257064+009 -. 4274106113+069 -- 5484659359309-009 -.5942102256+009 -. 62:12705705+009 -.6501023554+009 - 70034836554009 -. 2520116291+609 -. 2624200074+009 -. 32917562534009 -.84746339174009 -.4491487162+009 -.67437360\$04+009 -.7337001502+009 - · 1265403144+009 -. 13627944924009 -. 27817045224 609 -.8049761860+009 000+9002928211 $b_0^{(r+1)}$ - 102-612221-102-6206020-001-100-6206020-001-100-6206020-001-100-6206020-100-6206020-100-6206020-100-6206020-100-6206020-100-610000---90755237400-002 200-2026690100-000-26209022020 ----71521 ACOSS ---. 7%666543270-002 200-22222005266 ----.9764416995-002 -. 9496942077-002 000-26111291201-000-00000000000000----.74400662233-002 - . 7344400429 - 002 000-000000-0000 1004010505-090 -. 108/150050-001 -. 144452564-001 -. 1611202474-001 100-002000001011 - 11272621-225-001 101000000000-001 「00-00J00000711 a₀(r) ...

5.....

2 Table

00-010 00-010

0000

00

(Continued)

b ₃ (r+1)	564200000000000 5792430000100000		60993800000 +002	62555900000+607	641420000000007	- 65745500000+007	673694000004007	690133000000-007	700+000000000-002	723559000001007	74061800004007	7678440000+007	775264000000007	79289-00000-007	8107109000+007	- 1126723800004-007	84694400000000	305354000004007	700+00000526688 -	9927896000+007	42178200001 007	200+0000000000000		940000000000000000-		- 101070505000+000	10399940001001	106033390010001		1 (01220000008	II2270 \$0004 005	1 1 4 3 9 4 4 0 0 0 + 0 0 8	I 16533300000	1146910000000	13004000000081.1
b ₂ (r+1)	.7319448699+009	.8453331678+009	·8782729246+009	.9120573497+009	.9466971346+009	00049126202206.	.1018585653+010	. 10554555569+010	010+01282050010	.1133100679+010	.11730971564010	.12140230374010	. 125569 14 14 44 0 10	010+0201230210	.1342492024+010	. 1:337:240441+010	.14329545254010	.1479716959+010	.16274544444010	. 1576207669+010	. 1625957326+010	. 1676804107+010	010440229922221.	010+6031651321.	. 183558414+010	. 1890666311+010	. 1946819092+010	01046418304002.	.2062459174+010	010+0382818187	010+90303301212.	010+92629255575.	.2307296793+010	.2371393036+010	. 24066654934010
$b_{1}(r+1)$	11321188369+011 1132107363+011	110+21122022001	. 1542473486+011	.16220676764011	. 1704708244+011	. 17904566974011	. 18794054944011	. 197 (628042+011	110+0623032002.	.2166312774+011	. 22687365264011	.2374357166+011	.2464657353+011	.2698222697+011	.2715636759+011	.2836986050+011	.29023675334011	110+6116031608.	. 3225519670+011	. 33634009994011	. 35058378694011	. 3652657995+011	.38040420404011	. 39600836181011	1104802780214.	. 423665155534011	. 44.67103952+011	. 4632739316+011	11041292028414011	. 40000004444011	10-2020500012.	. 5387634204101	.6539963647+011	110+60508626299	. 60116652494011
b ₀ (r+1)	8588316899+009 9038900749+009	9392632198+009	97546300441+009	1013401310+010	1051290015+010	1091341000+010	1131766145+010	1173177329+010	1215555444010	1259005339+010	1303445934+010	1348920069+010	1395439654+010	1443016559+010	1491662664+010	15413092494010	1592209994+010	1644134979+010	16971766344-010	1751346959+010	1206657774+010	1868120919+010	1920740304+010	1979651309+010	-120395433144010	2100784699+010	2163137324+010	22255764629+010		01046090223000	2425105624+010		2463663953+010		
a ₀ (r)	6937299655-002 6842452996-002	6750162678-602	6660426805-602	0572542321-002	6487607166-602	6404604955-002		6244752977-002	6167789282-002	6092680693-002	6019385704-002	5947234011-002	6877961327-002	[5399710205-002	6740024079-002	55772552107-002		5551843057-002	5490911705-002	5431302520-002	6072972951-062		626909 1096-002	6205262959-002	5151661075-092	5099451330-002	6047700696-002	4997227465-092	4947251 1 33-002	4699494948833-9995	406 1873535-005	4 6 0 6 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4260646023-003		
r	92 72	26	2.2.	28	62	013	13	22	83	17-17	1	919	223	83	63	04	91	56	63	50	98	96	26	35	රේ	00	0	03	03	\$¢	00	00 00	2.0	80	60

-

(Concluded). 2 Table

10.
H
x,
and
٦
×
with
perator
) V
JYTK
the
of
actorization
) – f
, 2
9
the
οf
cients
coeffi
cal
Numeri
_
3
lable

0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	.1	a ₀ (r)	a ₁ (r)	$b_0(r+2)$	$b_{I}(r+2)$	b ₂ (r+2)
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} \label{eq:constraint} e$	0	*0040000000000	26650000000+004	838000000000+003	-20920000000+0004 	980000000000000
1 17.1112 1.11112 1.11112 1.11112 </td <td>-</td> <td>.100200000001.</td> <td>-004020202019.</td> <td>- : :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::</td> <td>. 87775 1753378+00%</td> <td>- 1940000000000</td>	-	.100200000001.	-004020202019.	- : :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	. 87775 1753378+00%	- 1940000000000
 - (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	-	2117117112-002	8171529407-001	. 66924566921005	000+00000000000000000000000000000000000	- 108000-000-005
5 Control 1000		8297002352-001	36020209724001	. 57749506584006	- 104080001444-000	15500000000000000
CONTROL CONTROL <t< td=""><td>₩[*]4</td><td>. 6053111270+000</td><td>000468665828228 -</td><td>.62344424974006</td><td>. 3470013936+006</td><td>- 285200-0000+008</td></t<>	₩ [*] 4	. 6053111270+000	000468665828228 -	.62344424974006	. 3470013936+006	- 285200-0000+008
CONTROL 0000001 CONTROL 000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.	100-6200000000000	10041059115211	130434419534051	. 5524540550+006	
7 5.071 100190-000 0000000000 00000000000 8.071 100190-000 000100000 0001000000000 000000000000 9.071 1.00190-000 0011000000 00110000000000 0000000000000 9.071 1.00190-000 001100000000000 00110000000000000000000000000000000	Ú,	.1127235041+000	2564264241+000	700+077002+005.	. 548973330994+006	- 1111000000011110010011110011100111001
55 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	63	100-1101001429.	7.896.8944.824-000	.31899356704007	-1.32-404 57 10+007	20010000003207
0 0	8	.531(295990-001	6851131009+000	200+612161024	.100400000000001.	0310000001001
000000000000000000000000000000000000	()	. 40761.01024-001	6169136306+000	. 67297029624 607	200+60668082277	- 1029000000+000
11 330140000000000000000000000000000000000	10	. 8262352931-001	5595771105+000	. 902040129202002	200404303692(3:	1:390:5000004 000
10 000000000000000000000000000000000000	11	.2662524647-001	5121465455+000	.1267635347+008	. 3002020204064-002	180355-000.000
11 105.6617-001 -00715001600000000 2311500001000 10501110001000000000 2311500001000000000000000000000000000000	1	100-0000001001007	+ . 4718028161+000	. 1682521003+008	.476726255554007	- 17910.0000.000
1 159-51 - <td>1.3</td> <td>.1806-105361-001</td> <td></td> <td>- 2192622890+008</td> <td>. 505321515561+002</td> <td>10690000001000</td>	1.3	.1806-105361-001		- 2192622890+008	. 505321515561+002	10690000001000
70040020062061 7004020206201 7004020		100-22211225011	4071590136+000	30011200021182.	700+002818202+002	- 11000000000000
TOD 1000000001 COD + 420120211 COD + 42012020211 COD + 42012020211 COD + 4	1 (5	.13271349955-001	8808456082+000	. 3453859089+068	700+6262963968.	- #68983-0000-000
7004000001001000001044211 000442010010010014011 000442010010014011 00040010010014011 00040010014011 00040010014011 0004001011001 0004001011001 000400101011 0004001011001 0004001010101 0004000101010101 0004000101010101 00040001001010101 000400001001010101 000400001001010101 00040001001010101 000400001001010101 0004000010010100100100100100100100100100	16	.1200754055-001	3570163924+000	. 442659956634003	. 10232206544-03	- 3019400000:000
1001000000000000000000000000000000000	21	.1095750762-001	3369631175+000	.5473213974+005	. 12052905534 000	
001 000-000000000000000000000000000000000	18	.9351610520-002	0184989239+000	.6634207072+008	. 1407683113+008	
No 7479031671-002 2050717924+000 9701056018+008 .16775093755+002 45600000000 1 67750555-002 277335574000 .11660232716 4560000000 456000000000000000000000000000000000000	61	. 8008427080-002	30100743124000	. 800704466804008	. 1631461184+008	
11 . 6744056264 - 002 . 277024367797 + 000 . 1154020397 + 000 . 2147 4560210 + 005 . 4099 4005001 000 11 . 67440562564 - 002 . 27601001 005 . 2761001 005 . 2761001 005 . 4099 4005001 005 11 . 671001000 . 100450101 005 . 276101 004 . 276101 004 . 4999 4005001 005 11 . 600 40001 005 . 1004501 4000 . 276101 1004 . 276101 1004 . 4919 4005001 005 11 . 600 4001 1005 . 100550 905 4000 . 20010 1005 . 4099 4005001 005 11 . 600 4001 1005 . 2350 100550 905 4000 . 2010550 905 4000 <th. 2010550="" 4000<="" 905="" th=""> <th. 2010550="" 4000<="" 905="" th=""></th.></th.>	02	.7479001671-002	2008717924+000	.97013530184008	. 18776937554600	45043300000-000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. 6744050264-002	27023662792+000	- 11548328924 000	200402002224121	
3 .5500.07167-002		.6112224974-602	2602033470+090	. 10047974004000	10042201081442	44540900001005
5000057140-002 2009703165+000 .1609745705+000 .3103599060+003 -, 444596000000000 5 .4049715419-002 22043056977000 .3103599060+003 -, 4445960000000000 5 .4049715419-002 22043056977000 .3169476151+009 .34031042944003 -, 444590000000000 5 .4049715419-002 22043056977000 .315173056977000 .3605519212+003 -, 494940000000000 5 .4294056073-002 .31217305524000 .325604003 .325551000 .325551000 5 .42940560700 .31217305524000 .374001715164009 .33555192124003 5 .90557727-000 .374001715164009 .33555192124003	20	.55004.91160-002		. 16023327115+009	. 27615144094008	- 19444440000001000
5 .4003103294002 .3403103294003 .3403103294003 e949630301000 5 .429405923-662	-	. 500-0417304003		.1869745705+009	. 3103550060+008	- (中止年ののののののの)+ ()-
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	101	. 400-0154154002	2290370627+000	.21694768624009	. 3453104494+005	0001000000000000000
NF . 3966472663-002 2121730552+000 . 23076643051+005 3012057600000000 28 . 3872057417-002 20560000017+000 . 332532023020+009 . 431967294600 3002000000000000000000000000000000000	00	.429405905426-062	2204836897+000	.25040351144009	33355192124008	74744000000 F000
28 .3872357417-002 2045056171600 .325852024202+009 .472420.4459+008 94970600001000 29 .0411510665-002 1973614669+000 .3745317156400 .55200672994+003 94970600001000 20 .0411510665-002 1973614669+000 .3745317156400 .55200672994+003 94970600001000 20 .0411510665-002 197361509102+000 .37454517156400 .55200672994+003 94970600001000 21 .29545200-002 1950565902+000 .425452059500 .6575460-003 16791055000001000 21 .2954500 .275417000 .6575470-003 .65754700 .6575470000 117711000000000000 21 .2954500 .2760057910 .657547000 .70505700-002 .11771100000000000000000000 21 .2760007920-002 .16791076520-000 .717500029404100 .717500000000000000000000000000000000000	14	. 2965472663-002	21217833552+000	. 2376644303514009	00041062206104.	
29 .0411210669-002 1973614569+000 .87435171564009 .5500672994+003 91970600001000 10 .317625200-002 1906620105+000 .42442269500+009 .5310257629+003 91970600001000 11 .3576459570-002 1906620105+000 .42442265950+009 .5310257629+003 91970600001000 11 .3576459570-002 120065294000 .42442265950+009 .5310257629+003 9197060000+002 11 .35764505710-002 175015550902+000 .424422657576+009 .65774000 1172710509+000 12 .2576450195700 .65956514609 .6573454000 .6573474700 .65750000000000000 117210000040001 .659565014009 .6575057009 .6505730794600 .11727105700000000000000000000 1172100054050109 .050520057009 .65052050000 .83922710570950000 .1172710500000000000000000000000000000000	50	- 30772557417-002	2040000017+000	. 32882402020204009	000+007505757 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10 .317e425209-002 196623105+000 .4244206950+000 .5310257634600 98103 360301666 11 .3964095710-002 11721056234600 .4794105475764000 .479410547579 .607001606 1172105050000000000000000000000000000000	60	.0411212605-002	19736145694000	600+9812100528.	. 512006719994+000	91970000001010
11 .296406710-002 10445292024000 .479410547544009 .6374027444000 104755000000000000 12 .25740210-002 1047030559904000 .47941054000 .6374027444000 1172109000000000000000000000000000000000	00	. 3 (700252500-002	1906333135+000	.42442869504009	. 421025762640-00	98108 (00001000
2	10	12964096710-002	1044429292924000	. 47941054754009	. 63740574744600	
Name	010	. 200-0018100-002		. 50958614684009	. 6573141679+008	
N= . 2540019699-002 - 16790554604000 . 67660161294009 . 83514092504600 - 15454000084000 15 . 21900034090-002 - 160003420014000 . 784806755454009 . 83952910544000 - 13176700004000 15 . 2166400-002 - 15641076524000 . 2007061954000 . 9243704005 - 10909400006400 16 . 2166400-002 - 1564107652400 . 20070619254000 . 92437040554000 - 109094000064000	00	. 1500015000000000	1730849413+000	. 60527337364 009	.7600570520+308	- 11721000001007
35 . 2160034090-002 - 1600242001+000 . 78460675451009 . 63992791054+000 - 1317670000+000 36 . 2166405-002 - 1504107652+000 . 2007061925+000 . 924670495+000 - 109094000054602	2-1	- 124-2019699-00B	1675055450+000	.67680161294009	20040826071858 ·	- 100000000000
26 2166-20445-002 - 1509127652+000 23052061928+009 - 924370-2035+002 - 10909360937+002	35	500-0007000510 ·	- 1640646001+000	.78460675451009	12392291254003	TCO-0C0075121 -
	00	·2166-3645-092	- 1530137652+000	0001000100000	97437049384-008	- 1390940000040000

b ₂ (r+2)	1466180000+007	1543409999+907	16220000000000	17007730000007007	178694000004007	18720330000000	1959200000+007	130483900004007	2139389000+007	2232440000+007	2327480000+002		2523600.00 +007	2624486000 +007	200+00000022227	283233800000+007	293930300000+007	3043200000+0002		3271940000+007			3622400000+007			39996659090+ c07	41174000001007	42461000001007	437670000004007	4509440000+002	464403000000007007	478970000004007	4919300000000007			63469320990+007	- 1540808080800000-082
b ₁ (r+2)	. 1053524812+009	.11368499059+009	.1224450148+609	. 1316434999+609	. 1412910528+009	. 1513983652+609	.1619761290+009	.1730350359+009	.1245857777+009	. 1966390462+009	.20920553333+009	. 222229593944 009	. 2359209306+009	. 2500912246+009	.2643175045+009	.2301104624+309	.2959807901+609	.8124391796+009	.3294963226+009	.3471629112+009	.3654496373+999	. 3343671927+009	.4039262695+009	.4241375595+609	.4450117547+009	.4665595470+009	. 4837916266+009	.5117185910+009	. 64536 14264+039	. 5597005266+009	. 5247765549+009	.6105905903+009	.6371529374+609	.6644744172+609	. 6925657217+009	. 7214375430+609	.741100573044009
b ₀ (r+2)	.9298463247+009	010-1202029201	010-202181281-010	010+2832918231010	. 1370554416+010	. 1501746644+010	. 1642157546+010	010+02001226210	. 1962336580+010	.2122979086+010	.2304588996+010	.24976272584010	.2702564320+010	.2919880132+010	.3150064152+010	. 2393615339+010	.3651042157+010	. 3922862574+010	.42096040624010	. 45 11803592+010	.4830007660+010	.5164772233+010	.55166625556+010	. 5226254369+010	. 6274131420+010	. 6630237953+010	010+2552212012.	. 755554630164010	. 2020517056+010	. 3503921623+010	.90193182324010	.9562257924+010	10108701214011	1043131063901.	11041233656211.	110140000011.	. 12446064464461
a ₁ (r)	1540531999+000		1460056740+000	14228522717+000	- 1387474906+000	1353793425+000	1321690319+000	12910583229+000	1261799238+000	1233523563 + 000	1207050172+000	1181403007+000	1156213306+000	1133317499+000	1110556977+000	1022777618+000	1067329373 + 000	10476658336+000	1028244167+000	1009524288+030	9914691230-001	9740441019-001	9572169989-001	9409577369-001	9252382121-001	9100321359-001	2953146911-001	8510634020-001	86725560155-001	2532723953-001	8404934206-001		8160784894-001	8042096143-001	7926794060-001	78 47 36 323 -001	100-0653330022.
a ₀ (r)	.2045574795-002	1954577302-002	. 185252525242002	200-1022262821.	. 1650599595-002	. 1569661203-002	. 1494504689-002	.1424594494-002	. 1059455434-002	.1298664742-002	. 1241845295-062	. 1103659049-002	. 1133306097-002	. 1092012425-002	. 1040034261-002	. 1006656913-002	.9676628325-003	.930224242003	. 8961660422-003	.8633440341-003	. 8022273005-003	. 8923718275-093	.7749.842782-003	.74852099077-008	.7233366090-003	.6994940656-003	.67676299996-003	. 6551195032-003	. 6244954425-603	.6142279360-003	. 5960522255-003	.5761343651-003	.5410047025-003	.5446206459-003	. 5129452625-005	.6139385924-003	. 2000-00027.B06665.
ч	28	228	ଟ୍ଟ ପ	00		2:2:	43	221 6 A	12	46	2.12	4.8	40	20	19	52	53	53	99	26	29	23	59	60	61	62	63	64	99	66	29	65	69	02	12	61 (2 2 3	22

(r+2)

Table 3 (Continued)

b ₂ (r-t-2)	- 364800000000000	67924:300001-002				- 041400000 -	65745.00+005		69013000001000	-200-0000092902-	200+00000068882			72526800000:007	200+0060060862	20040000012018 -	812873528000 007	046944400000-
b ₁ (r+2)	.7315655037+002	.8125436270+009	.84494033360+609	. 877.87.35 1954-009	.9116550726+000	.94629200664+009	. 9817937534+009	01042321210101	010401002020010	01049339933094010	01042091202211	.1172663091+010	.1213564304+010	010401224424134010	0104110102020.12	. 13420280394010	.1346737340+010	0104999059557010
b ₀ (r+2)	1326375539+011	130740332557011	. 14713065204011	110+2202208591.	1104235444324011	1710594355540171	17954939454011	. 182555906554011	110400052062261.	110+000000202.	110+9202082212.	1104271633222.	.23318086364011	110+00020216401	.2605493163+011	.272306959544011	「このようなななななななない」	11049306110260.
a ₁ (r)	7699323409-001	7496730711-001	7096066419-001	7292653260-001	100-16426022	-,7110741142-001	7020354096-001	6932222601-001	6846278180-001	6762426324-001	6680596548-001	6600716758-001	6522718320-001	6446535713-001	6372106471-001	6399370915-001	622222056-001	6158755422-001
a ₀ (r)	200-61901223	2554619777-003	000-2521 0x365	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	000-002007000	140-331597-003	22041264-003	004-00052-000	000-10020100	000-001282-000	00-72725075	200-262202020	000-0000202020	000-000216-000	000137916-003	0024024000	00-00100000	000-25122022

-. 558997000010001 -.92178399001007 760+6600566052---.48000000000040-007 700400000000666--.103959400040004000

-.9027893900+00057800-

. 1479248136+010 . 1575725906+010 .16255005590+010 010425092188211. 01048810601821.

.80007689558+0(1 .3283610237+011 . 3371759216+011 36142200540101

-.6090768924-001

01040400000059191.

.15763154144010

.33120361374011 3969055973401 4295565526994011

-. 5634262720-001 -. 5595502453-001 -. 57231159006-001 -. 5772116756-001 -. 5772116756-001 -. 555576719-001 -. 555576719-001

.3061275717401

· 1835959565010 .10901477644010 19468055524010

20035646767010

4466621620+@11

-.5405071960-00

2567306959-003 2516080592-003

10-51000000000

413002968334011

.2700742171-009 .2674644149-008 .2620266013-008 000-2512202210 .3107656053-003 .0009540637-063 000-6001820267 2040256290-000 2040256290-000 2302521660-000 ***********

(Concluded) c Table

- 1000333000 - 000
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
|---|--|
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 1+001616400726
5+001408166776
5+000317715547 |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 3+000 263966018
3+000 263966018 |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 7+000 - 2172639386
- 0008 - 000284500 |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 9-001 - 1826137504- |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5-001 1646760796 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 1-001 1605 102302- |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 1-001 - 15693335724
4-601 - 15693335724 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 4-00 (15 (1069853- |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 2+001 - 1465643577+
0-021 - 1445409270+ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 3-0011413636181+ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | -001 - 13994333354 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | -001 - 13535004704 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 3-001134413552+ |
| 1 .7221180205+001 .7100609140+003 1054456030+007 .722056110+001 .7766827607+003 11097130000+007 .72519575412+001 .77668276075+003 11097130000+007 .72519525252501 .0521625053550560 11771660000+007 .7251952525252501 .05216250535455060 11376620000+007 .101 .725195252501 .05216250535455060 113176600000+607 .101 .25112900071+001 .10012557025+009 113176600000+607 .101 .25112900071+001 .10047560149+009 113176600000+607 | 7-001 - 1034407171+ |
| 1 .7420566110+001 .77664327607+003 11097.30000+607 1 .761942412+601 .8470433075+003 1177166000+007 1 .7619426242401 .9221623545+003 11771660000+007 1 .7019426242401 .9221623545+003 11376630000+007 1 .7011255702+009 13176500000+607 1 .82162021+001 .1001255702+009 1 .82162043+001 .1004750149+609 | -0011327336739+0 |
| 1 .76195794124001 .84704330754003 11771660004007 1 .70194252424001 .92216235454063 12464000004007 1 .7019426242401 .9221623024009 131765000004007 1 .8110400714001 .10012557024009 131765000004007 1 .821104012434001 .10042434001 .100475501494009 | -001 - 1319774676+ |
| 1 . 7019425242+001 . 9221623545+063 1246400000+002 1 . 801590071+001 . 1001255702+009 13176200000+007 1 . 8015243+001 . 1004750149+009 1390940000+007 1 . 8215404243+001 . 1004750149+009 1390940000+007 | 3-001 13126990774 |
| 1 . 891890071+001 . 1001258702+009 1317620000+607 1 . 8218204243+001 . 1084750149+009 1396940900+607 | 3-00110060644994 |
| 01 . 8218204243+001 . 1084750149+009 13969400004607 | -1200200001- |
| | i-00112939632494 |

Numerical coefficients of the (0,3)-factorization of the JYIK operator with $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 10$. Table 4.

100

<u>-</u>	a ₀ (r)	a ₁ (r)	a2(r)	$0^{0}(r+3)$	b ₁ (r+3)
37	.1673674007-001	1262460044+601	.8417932944+001	.1173755996+009	1466180000
33	. 1620560195-601	1202203563+001	.8617485170+091	.1265395044+009	- 15434-0000
39	. 1520665826-001	1278259019+001	. 2317059205+001	. 1362755092+009	- 1623669000
63	. 1522709966-001	1273574197+001	.9016653494+601	.1465047940+009	- 170:57:55006
1.5	.1029443126-001	1269129171+001	.92 36266625+001	. 15722993884009	1745040000
	1437542084-001	12649059994001	.94155973174001	.1684659236+009	187200000000
43	100-04011246-001	12602334254-001	.9615544401+001	.1202246284+009	- 1959200000
Ca1-21	.1360669614-001	1257061969+001	.98152060394001	.1925179332+009	20443300000
10	. 1325152200001	1253413155+001	.1001403356+002	.2053577123+009	2139380000
4.6	.1291432320-001	1240929948+601	. 10214573774062	.2187553623+609	- 19232440000
14 LB	.1259563193-001	1246601330+001	. 1041427661+002	.232724242477+009	
· · · · ·	.1222231341-001	1243417237+001	. 1061399132+002	.2472747525+009	2424500000
50	100-1010020011.	1240368460+001	. 1031371721+002	.2624192574+009	2523500000
20	100-0010961211.	1237446556+001	.1101345363+002	. 2781696422+609	2624469006
51	. 1145423413-001	1234643768+001	.11213199994002	.294437787787.	
55	100-6332200111.	1231952963+001	.11412955734002	.3115355720+000	- 198124400000
13	.1095236133-001	1329367560+001	.1161272034+002	. 3291742762+009	
¢.c	1022655051-001	1226281490+001	.1131249336+002	.3474675517+009	3044290000
55	.1050262087-091	12344391364001	.12012274334002	. 366425556664009	15900000000
255	100-2220023201.	1222185300+001	.1221206204+062	.33666607115+609	32719:0000
120	.100-2324220001.	1219965153+001	.1241485052+002	. 4063848964+609	3303720900
03 63	200-02022235560.	1217824234+601	.1261166101+002	.4274100013+000	250300000
20	.9605032317-002	1215753362+001	. 1231 146996+002	.4491420062+009	
00	.9510001183-002	1213763665+001	.1301128503+002	.4716104911+009	8743120000
61	. 9336329610-002	1211836522+001	. 1321110666+002	. 49429963604609	
62	.9167126124-002	1209973577+001	.1341093266+002	.5137575200+000	00000000000
63	. 9008434463-002	1203171659+001	. 1361076453+002	. 5434651258+009	4117400000
6-2	. 8245321500-003	1206427224001	.1331060152+032	.5629452307+009	00000mmです。
65	. 5092775004-002	1204739305+001	. 1401044332+002	.5952094156+609	4576720000
66	. 3545313728-002	1203103516+001	.14210269834002	.6222695605+009	45004420000
2.9	.84025742025-002	1201512024+001	.14410140334003	. 6301375455+009	4644030600
3	. 2264022214-000	1159923545+001	.14609999603+052	. 67332552504+009	4780200000
63	. 5151631373-002	1198438932+001	. 1420955551+002	. 70834455553+609	4919320000
0.2	. 2002060540-002	1197041161+001	.1500971350+002	.7387973492+009	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
2 8	. 7876010650-002	1195635329+001	.15209503444-052	. 76992546624-009	- 15202440000
01	.77555-005555-00B	11942696394001	.1540945596+002	.8320103701+609	53469E0000
82	.7637665028-002	11929423924001	.1560952994+002	.8349753750+009	54035009990

Table 4 (Continued)

b ₁ (r+3)	5642000000+007	200460000555529	59449400000+007		62555800000-007	641420000+007	- 6674669000+002	6736940004007	690125000040007	70676900004607	7235968000+007	7406100000+007	75784-00009+007	7752669999+097	79289000000+607	81071000004007	8247280000+0007	- 54694400001 007	4653500000+007	700+00000706888 -	9027230000000	9217823600+667	94099400000			9992650000:002		103999404004-068	1060388050+003	10309300904008		1125755000 + 0005	11409440094000	1165325500+008	- 11069100001090 - 11069100001090
$p_0(r+3)$. 8568308800+000	.9035892649+069	.9392624093+609	.9755621948+009	.1013400500+010	. 1051329205+010	010+00107010010	.11317653354010	010+6129218211.	.1215555524+010	.125990-4529+010	. 1303445114+010	. 13489 19259+010	.13954333444010	.1443015749+010	. 149 166 1354+010	. 1541389039+010	. 1592269134+010	. 1644134169+010	. 1697175874+010	010+6213461321.	. 1306656964+010	. 1363 120 109+040	.1920747494+010	. 19795589994010	. 2039542504+010	.21007333339+010	.2163137034+010	.22267608194010	.2291626134+010	010+622323234010	. 2425 1043 14+010	.2493744958+010	.2563668143+010	.2634336243+010
a ₂ (r)	.1580920724+002	. 16609005771+602	. 1620897126+002	.1640335775+002	. 1660874707+002	. 1639263913+062	.1700253381+002	.1726843104+002	. 1740333071+002	.1760823274+002	. 17208/3704+092	. 18098048555+002	. 1820795218+602	. 1240726265+002	. 18507773534062	. 1889769911+002	. 19097666555+062	.19.0752479+002	.1940744477+002	.1960736643+002	. 1960728972+002	. 2000721459+002	.2020714100+002	.2040706559+002	. 20666996224002	. 2020692295+002	.2100646104+002	.2120679445+002	200+4162290212.	.2160666507+002	. 21206602214002	. 2200654052+002	.3220647990+002	. 2240642055+002	. 3260636219+002
a ₁ (r)	1191652003+001	1190396942+001	1189175734+001	1102987173+001	1186829825+001	11857025244001	1164604113+001	1180533498+001	1182469656+001	1181471536+001	1180473268+001	1179502904+001	1173562620+001		1176736046+001	1175354239+601		1174160052+001	1173326361+001	1172520747+001	1171732650+001		1170206744+001	11694678774001	1160744397+001	1168038830+001	1167341720+001	16666 627+001	1165995123+001	1166341033+001	11647013334001	1164073273+001	1163457292+001	1462383636364001	11622601794001
a ₀ (r)	.7523429960-002	.7412542924-602	. 7304262134-002	.7200250526-002	.7098579240-002	. 6999726521-002	. 6903677202-002	. 6810022298-002	. 6718958523-002	. 6680288217-602	. 6543018403-002	.6459761063-062	.64777325547-002	.6297753356-002	.6219747890-002	.6140644812-002	. 60693733228-002	. 5996071426-002	. 5926074985-002	. 3856925001-002	. 5789354923-002	. 5723340697-002	. 5652200056-002	. 5595695539-002	. 66630978060-002	. 6473603003-092	. 5414527435-002	. 5356709651-002	.5300110187-002	. 5244090943-002	. 5100415506-600	. 5137243910-002	. 6025157601-062	. 5034109859-003	. 49840782888-002
ч	52	26	92	22	192	62	63	31	6.63	63	514	315	8.5	293	83	63	06	16	919 10	93	0	90	96	2.6	93	()()	001	101	102	100	104	105	105	201	10£

Table 4 (Concluded).

$J_{r}(1)$	-44000-05356-020 -44000-05356-020 -11-4900-4629-000 -19563-53904-002 -20956-53904-695 -20956-5390-695 -20956-5390-695 -505355014-065 -545255016-007 -5550615120-007 -5550615120-009	921-9291902082001 920-920202020 920-920202020 920-9202020 920-9202020 920-9202020 102011202020 102011202020 10201120202020	601-00000000000000000000000000000000000	 41742/0564-196 2046251400-196 2046251400-197 993853711-196 993853724-197 993855374-197 993855374-197 993855374-296 9938516721-296 99385525256-296 9938516767-296 993851767-296 993851767-296 993851767-296 993851767-296 993851767-296 993851767 99385177 <	
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 7590270303-189 . 7602333725-190 . 7623051637-190 . 7662566116-193 . 7662566116-193 . 7662565116-193 . 76625552-195 . 7712059435-196 . 7730153450-197 . 7730153450-197	=10, i=0, m=100, j=1.
y _r (100)				. 7538236664-188 . 8942869694-188 . 8942869694-188 . 84230520629-188 . 8421743629-188 . 84318297955-188 . 84318287955-188 . 8431828795-188 . 8431828799-188 . 8431828799-188	uation with x_1 =1, x_2 =
$w_{100}(r)$. 1961636230+002 . 00995704576+003 . 00995704576+003 . 7814814020+006 . 1503042022+008 . 1504291606+010 . 13092391606+014 . 1309236503161012 . 1309236505+014	 from the JYIK equ
z(r)	300 +162925300 - 500 +129252000 - 500 +12023000 - 500 +12023000 - 500 +12023000 - 500 +12025000 - 500 +0005325000 - 500 +00550200 - 500 +0005020 - 500 +000500 - 500 +0000 - 500 +00000 - 500 +0000 - 500 +00000 - 500 +00000 -				5. Computation of J _r (
.1	<u>©</u> @@408900-0	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	101 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Table

I _r (10)	22361512969+604 1758650718+604 1226490030516+604 27718h2065+003 4493022516+003 2360256516+003 1160664327+003 1160664327+003 236021626327+003 1160645327+003	. 1260799736003 . 7787469787-011 . 2042123276-019 . 4766894563029 . 1566309294-039 . 1004715313050 . 1004715313060	7153174640-075 3915645683-076 3123512941-077 1138427063-072 60336033601-072 31695472332-031 1646397344-032 3469542030 316954203232-031 1646397344-032 3460742032344-032 1207440977-055 105234420477-055	.5245199136-029 .2613976761-099 .1268534676-091 .80000999003 .80000033003	
$\eta_{r,1}^{(100)}$				2403240369-090 .5369909436-693 1338599159-695 .3225957327-093	j=10, $i=0$, $m=100$, $j=2$.
y _r (100)				. 108233442187-087 . 108233442167-087 . 10823442162-087 . 1082344202-087 . 1082344202-087	uation with x ₁ =1, x,
w ₁₀₀ (r)				$\begin{array}{c}4312543358-001\\ .2070537364-002\\9726392768-004\\ .4532505849-005\\ \end{array}$	(10) from the JYIK eq
z(r)	 2815716620+004) 2670983004+004) 2670983004+004) 2670983004+004) 26709832477+009 272050703014009 1926375913914004 27205070321+009 27205070321+009 1926375956115152230 	. 8757025907+004 . 2619197730-002 . 2127555141-010 . 1192355355-019 . 8149012355-019 . 9640395631-041 . 2368979314-052	 1868340500-065 1069923979-065 6056290508-065 6056290508-065 5359912566-065 1876514785-067 5564589099-072 18554580999-072 18554580992657-072 1905408292551-072 1905408292551-072 	.2212575575-078 .1125525591-079 .5666404-081 .2524925555-032	. Computation of I
r	©−00∜200660 <u>0</u>	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0008458555 00084585555 000845855555	103 103 105	able 6

(-) ^r k _r (10)		. 1767443789-609 29683-37949-601 59863-3794631-016 59861-376521-016 5981-302955-020 5941-302955-020 7053229051-040 39432-05210-061	7719051307+075 - 13937620424075 - 13937620424075 - 4693657747-076 - 46936574134075 - 1651414433.6039 - 1651414433.6039 - 16551552356571053 - 17333566315551053 - 175335565743654055 - 2311569135346655 - 2311569135346655	
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$				$\begin{array}{l}5070354569+025\\ .5022976703+025\\ .5032976703+025\\5095532969+033\\ .5107572312+032\\5119569499+031\\ .5119569499+031\\5114569429+031\\5114569420756+072\\5142965612+070\\51264360756+077\\5129650726+077\\5127479341+075\\5127479341+075\\ \end{array}$
y _r (100)				. 5057510931+086 . 4550475474+025 . 4550475474+025 . 45505209250+025 . 4596529050+025 . 459667402516+025 . 4596674025+025 . 4596674023+025 . 4596674023+025 . 4596674024+025
w ₁₀₀ (r)				-4251595137-002 -2701191052-004 -11094031220-006 -52305020303-006 -52305020303-006 -1100205579-013 -11200205579-013 -11200205579-013 -11200205579-013 -1060005195-013 -1060005195-012 -2092060001-025
z(r)	\$00 \$6391 236820 . \$00 \$6391 236820 . \$00 \$6391 256 820 . \$00 \$6390 00 \$250 10 \$20 . \$00 \$61 00 00 \$250 10 \$50 . \$00 \$60 00 \$260 10 \$50 . \$00 \$60 90 \$260 10 \$250 . \$00 \$60 90 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$1 \$ \$00 \$60 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$1 \$ \$00 \$60 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$1 \$ \$00 \$60 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$1 \$ \$00 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$1 \$ \$00 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	0204020100005 0204020005 200402020005 02040102261025 02040102261025 02040102201 01040102010201 010400010201 010400010005 010400010005 010400010005 010400005 010400005 01040005 01040005 01040005 01040005 010405 010405 010405 010405 010405 010405 010405 010405 010405 0105 01	960+9771027126 * 760+992727275 * 260+99050202075 260+0900502020 600+0912572065 * 900+29659125765 * 900+2065561257 * 900+2065561257 * 900+2065561557 * 900+2065561557 *	011+0252600040 201+2000051910 201+2000051910 201+20000051910 201+20000051910 201+20000051910 201+20000051910 201+20000051910 201+20000051910 200+26029120001 260+2602922001
	0-103661-000		0-00244900-00	100000000000000000000000000000000000000

Table 7. Computation of (-)^rK_r(10) from the JYIK equation with x_1 =1, x_2 =10, i=0, m=100, j=3.

-001) -00000 -001) -001 -001	+013		021+	021 - 621 - 221 -	+ 186 + 186 + 186	193
6420-001) 22215+060) 22607+001) 7606+001) 55457+005 04931+007 05926+005 25926+009 25155+011	670-261 670-261 610+2697	53769+054 9769+054 4850+054 1890+105 1850+126 8551+126	621+92CW 021+92CW	02274120 0735512 0735512 07204120	09120+184 1662+186 07150+186	261+2213 262+213
826096420-001) 812121240001 860582607+001 821517606+001) 821517606+001 22160540091+007 20026005+001 2216051554001 2216051554001 7007142001000000000000000000000000000000	62046974012 8104721976 8104721976	24.022944040 99372948044064 0916948644084 5221188904106 552896653144448	021+920843090 021+920843090	021+1022010020 021+2525+10220 221+2525+102201	20000012071302 20000012071304 20000116624126	1876286284193
 (6204261221924 8104269248924 8104261221924	. 222 2023 2024 040 . 9993729750+055 . 409 159265 1+005 . 102 159202165+126 . 295202165+124		621+3950105201 521+592109209 521+502109201 521+5021052010	. 2200000000000000000000000000000000000	. 1757628626+191 191757628626+191
 (1.6326696420-001) (1.7312123213+0001) (1.731212320507+001) (1.532413157606+001) (1.5324054031+0001) (1.232650554031+0001) (1.23265265265261+0001) (1.23265265265261+0001) (1.23265265265261+0001) (1.23265265265261+0001) (1.23265265261+0001) (1.23265265261+0001) (1.23265261+0001) (1.2326561+0001) (1.2326561+	620+261221220 12421221221220 12421221221220		014826848384 01414226448264 016477420244	62142959162291 621425956260909 22142521602201 62142557626209 121425576259 121425576257 121425576257 121425576257 121425576257 121425576257 121425576257 1214257 1214257 1215576 12155776 1215576 12155776 12155776 121557776 121557777777777777777777777777777777777		. 1757628636+ (91 . 1757628636+ (91

1=100, j=4. <u>بر</u>

Υ_r(1)

25707(19245+604
 3053055705+005
 4256746155+006
 4256746155+006
 4256740139+007
 12161201439+009

-. 33276403004-002 -. 30401 ±2723 × 040

-.4113970315+010 030+2625205012.- $\begin{array}{l} -\,.\,652323416\pm163\\ -\,.\,174145492\pm166\\ -\,.1136679564\pm163\end{array}$

Example 4.1.2 In this example we compute the solutions $I_r(1)$, $J_r(10)$. $Y_r(10)$ and $(-)^r K_r(1)$ of the homogeneous equation defined in Figure 6, with $x_1 = 10$, $x_2 = 1$, for r = 0,1,2,...,100. Both $I_r(1)$ and $K_r(1)$ are monotonic over this range of r. The solutions $J_r(10)$ and $Y_r(10)$ are monotonic only for $10 \le r \le 100$; for lower values they oscillate with approximately the same amplitude. However, since there is no "crossing over" of growth behavior in the four solutions in the nonasymptotic region $0 \le r \le 10$, we expect the algorithm to be stable with the following numbers of initial conditions: j = 1 for $I_r(1)$, j = 2 for $J_r(10)$, j = 3 for $Y_r(10)$ and j = 4 for $(-)^r K_r(1)$; compare (4.1.4). Tables 9 through 12 give the results of the computation of each of these solutions for selected values of r: see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. Again, the stability of the algorithm for each solution is confirmed by comparison with Tables 9.4 and 9.11 of [16].

$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}}^{(1)}$. 565 15* 104 1+6*0 . 1357476698+660 . 1357476698+660 . 2216842698+091 . 271463 1560-603 . 271463 1560-603 . 2248866 148-604 . 1599248260-603 . 55103550-605 . 55103550-605 . 55103550-605	. 3966835987-034 . 3539500583-041 . 1121509742-059 . 2956659965-059 . 7096476955-099 . 7096476949-121	. 6462022590-165 . 299555295732-167 . 2995556631-167 . 87532967718-172 . 4655295718-172 . 4655295718-172 . 2450955303-176 . 172-172 . 172-172 . 1694775750-165 . 347067400-165 . 3470674000-165	 4194706527-190 2666216250-192 9951411126-195 47996641210-197 2236495559-199 10777079564-201 10777079660-199 26053641600-206 9626192316-209 00009505600 600009505600 (600000000 	
$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{r},1}^{(100)}$				861-0060200222 261-0060200222 261-1222616222 261-122261622 261-22160222 261-22160222 261-22160222 261-2216022 261-2216022 261-2216022 261-2006220 20150 2010	2 ⁼¹ , i=0, m=100, j=1.
y _r (100)				. 7626717050-133 . 5359185269-108 . 8472303154-108 . 847356561549-108 . 84736551549-108 . 8473655154-108 . 84736551925-108 . 8473674001-183 . 8473674001-183 . 8473674001-108	uation with x_1^{-10} , x_2^{-10}
w ₁₀₀ (r)				. 1960693337+002 . 3075035173+002 . 77736654732+002 . 1559511453+004 . 155653026+007 . 15565352026+014 . 155654552026+014 . 155654552026+014 . 1206171766+014 . 1206171766+014	(1) from the JYIK equ
z(r)	C00-22122525 C00-40202000 100+10002000 100+10002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 200+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012002000 2000+012000000 2000+0000000 2000+00000000 2000+000000000000000000000000000000000	. 6559496636-017 . 1329617914-033 . 13730717014-033 . 6939176146-071 . 4234452563-091 . 4526653072-112 . 10920659172-1133	. 7322042361-156 . 4157059733-156 . 4157059733-156 . 2335674199-166 . 2335674199-166 . 2355533695-1616 . 238551501695-171 . 23855151695-171 . 238551502425-171 . 238551502425-177 . 238551502605-175 . 238552625351551-175 . 155552526253-175	. 7935265156-131 . 4004267706-133 . 3000932222-135 . 9399795341-125 . 4350052159-190 . 2353066105-192 . 1130655566-194 . 5331149102-197 . 3536924257-199 . 11326924257-199	9. Computation of I_r (
ц	⊘−ଶଅଟେତ⊳ଓରବୁ	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	90 90 10 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	Table

J _r (10)	. 26460011374000 . 26460011374000 . 583790201374000 . 18857902014 . 14466036414000 . 112650329400 . 112691270 . 21674091270 . 29136505504000 . 29136505500	. 1 15 1336926-004 165 1995072-014 . 60308955 13-029 . 178345 13506-029 . 178345 13506-039 . 496019 1405-065 . 446354-9427-065	4129277626-975 	. 02789-4974-009 . 1005812294-099 . 781410354-092 . 6003050000 . 6003505000	0
$\eta_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 1474190197-090 . 3634701407-093 . 8681565955-695 . 2035942779-693	k =1 i=0 m=100 i=
y _r (100)				.6582537728-038 .6597279600-008 .6597279600-008 .6597279600 .6597316065-028 .6597316065-028	uatíon with x =10 →
w ₁₀₀ (r)				-4336381742-001 -2091657372-002 -9270363604-004 -4622567666-005	(10) from the JYIK ec
z(r)	900+2049300464 - 900+00402225 - 91 - 900+00402225 - 91 - 900+64460200000 - 900+6426000000 - 900+600221 - 901	 - 0361972652-000 - 6705065560-000 - 67050560-000 10-678052020 - 4632022202 - 4632022205 - 4677965205 - 106504056 - 105504056 	770-0365555555 - 700-050555555 - 700-0177120000 - 700-0177120000 - 700-0177120000 - 700-0172150000 - 700-0015555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-0015555555 - 700-0015555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-00155555555 - 700-0015555555 - 700-001555555 - 700-00155555 - 700-00155555 - 700-00155555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-001555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-0015555 - 700-001555 - 700-0015555 - 700-001555 - 700-001555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-0055555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-005555 - 700-00555 - 700-00555 - 700-00555 - 700-00555 - 700-005555 - 700-00555 - 700-005555 - 700-0055	1042059749-078 6054290120-069 0470319014-061 17897655791-002	10. Computation of J
1	୦ = ମାର ୬ଜତନ ଓଡ଼ିର	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	101 102 103 103	lable

л ^г (10)	2513636572+006 1513636572+006 1449495119+000 . 1254036477+606 . 23935255964600 . 20102662338 000 - 1992992553712-002 19929925534000	16974, 33340+064 85042974, 33540+064 72850329745 136220329745 136220329740 	$\begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 967374245554037 1940246354039 1940246354039 39667397455409 31694667397455409 354337767294609 354337767294609 160243278573409 1602432785334099 1602643234096 16020000000000 	j=3 .
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 5319211805+035 . 5305934457+924 . 5205934457+924 . 52205934457+923 . 5230162167+923 . 5230162167 . 5230474316+076 . 5230474516+076 . 52304745416+076 . 523047454	$x_2^{=1}$, i=0, m=100,
yr (100)				5332523150+036 4253962000+036 42539616344-026 425396163153+036 42649153+036 434914379+036 434914373+036 4349143234+036 4349143234+036 4349143234+036 43491432371+036	equation with $x_1^{=10}$,
w ₁₀₀ (r)				$\begin{array}{c}4351375169-002\\4350951641-004\\110933311641-006\\5229660353-006\\2442372393-011\\1120095049-013\\5131074754-016\\5131074754-016\\23572393-0118\\1059693055-020\\1059693055-020\\2091106739-025\\2091106739-025\\ \end{array}$	r(10) from the JYIK
2(r)	 \$00+15720 \$00+15720 \$00+05705 \$00+05705 \$00+05705 \$00+05705 \$00+05505 \$00+	$\begin{array}{r}2372765613+011\\3645219224+018\\86452192242+018\\93236556132+036\\93236256132+036\\94612355256+056\\94612355556+056\\56513552565+056\\565135555555555555555555555555555555555$	$\begin{array}{c}1322967434+033\\2454619247+034\\2454619247+034\\4604241729+025\\4604241729+025\\1672016491+023\\1672016491+023\\12366791046+090\\6230957345+090\\6230957345+090\\12366726432+092\\1024665726+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\1024665723+094\\10246655723+096\\10246655723+096\\10246655723+096\\10246655723+096\\10246655723+096\\10246655723+096\\10246555723+096\\10246555723+096\\10246555723+096\\102465555723+096\\102465555723+096\\10246555723+096\\10246555723+096\\10246555525555555555555555555555555555555$		11. Computation of Y
ч	ତ_ରଅନ୍ମରେହ ଭୁଜଅନ୍ମରେହ ଭୁଜଅନ୍ମରେହ	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900		Table

$(-)^{r} k_{r}(1)$	- 4420311503+002 - 560965306+002 - 3653303012+004 - 442070300324005 - 442070300324005 - 442070300324005 - 10005594559+005	151+00050545001 000+28505001 000+28505001 000+38505001 000+38505001 000+40005050001 000+385050001 000+385050001 000+40005000000 000+38000000000000000000000000000000000	active and a set of the set of th	n the JYIK equation with x_1 =10, x_2 =1, i=0, m=100, j=4.
				(-) ^r K _r (1) from
z(r)	<pre>(000420004200105 () (00042000200101 () (00042000200101 () (0004200020001 () (00042000020001 () (0004202000000 () (0004202000000 () (0004202000000 () (000420000000 () (000420000000 () (000420000000 () (00042000000 () (000420000000 () (000420000000 () (000420000000 () (0004200000000 () (000420000000000 () (000420000000000 () (0004200000000000 () (00042000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>	02141002250020 021410020000 26042042040 26052042040 260520420 26052062 26052062 26052062 26052062 2605200 2605200 2605200 2605200 2605200 2605000 2605000 260500000000000000000000000000000000000		e 12. Computation of
1	0-004001-000	82888888	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Tabl

Example 4.1.3 In this example we compute the solutions ${}^{\dagger}A_{r}(1)$, $J_{r}(1)$, $I_{r}(1)$, $Y_{r}(1)$ and $(-)^{r}K_{r}(1)$ of the homogeneous equation defined in Figure 6, with $x_{1} = 1$, $x_{2} = 1$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 100. Since each solution is monotonic (in magnitude) except possibly at the very beginning of the range of r, the algorithm is expected to be stable provided that the proper number of initial values is taken in each case. This number is j = 1 for $A_{r}(1)$, j = 2 for $J_{r}(1)$ and $I_{r}(1)$, and j = 4 for $Y_{r}(1)$ and $(-)^{r}K_{r}(1)$. However, in the case of $A_{r}(1)$, for reasons given earlier in this section, we take j = 2 instead of j = 1, thereby exchanging mild instability for a much improved rate of convergence.

Because of this mild instability, each individual rounding error, introduced at say r = s, is amplified in direct proportion to the number of steps taken beyond the point s, since in (4.1.7) $A_r/I_r = 0(1/r)$. Thus, after 100 steps, approximately two decimal places of precision will be lost. On the other hand, if we were to take the number of initial conditions theoretically required (in this case it is $j^{-1} = 1$, since A_r is dominated by all of the other homogeneous solutions), then the convergence would be extremely slow: A terminal point of the order of 10^8 would be required to obtain 8 significant figures of accuracy in $A_{100}(1)$. Tables 13 through 17 give the results of these computations for selected values of r ; see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. Again, these results agree with the entries in Table 9.4 and 9.11 of [16]. In the case of $A_r(1)$, the method we have used is equivalent to computing $J_r(1)$ and $I_r(1)$ as type 2 solutions and then forming their difference.

The function $A_r(1)$ is defined by $A_r(1) = J_r(1) - I_r(1)$; compare (4.1.6).

A _r (1)	 - 26644/2765/-061 - 26664/27676/-076 - 26667/9767-076 - 266451267-076 - 266451267-076 - 266451267-076 - 266451267-076 - 266451267-066 - 266541267-066 - 266541267-066 - 266541267-066 - 266541267 <!--</th--><th>029-002020202000</th><th>051 -019202575720 101 -01920255220 101 -0252395220 101 -025052520 101 -025052550 -121 -016502556 -121 -01650255 -121 -01650255 -121 -0165025 -121 -01920 -121 -01920</th><th></th>	029-002020202000	051 -019202575720 101 -01920255220 101 -0252395220 101 -025052520 101 -025052550 -121 -016502556 -121 -01650255 -121 -01650255 -121 -0165025 -121 -01920 -121 -01920	
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$				5128603289-199 2464717068-199 5696408736-203
y _r (100)				4184521849-190 4184521849-190 4184521856-190 4184521856-190
$w_{100}(r)$.2451225522-005 .2379592624-004 .1121932240-010
z(r)	800-509555009 200-1020500951 500-0216600551 500-0216600551 100-5655125005 100-5655125005 00040551600216 0004505666655 000450566751 000450156675 000450550005 000450550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 000550550005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 0005505005 00055005 00055005 0005505005 0005500000000	071-0060276501 611-01702526606 220-0072800501 220-0090500501 630-129709920057 630-129709920 550-000662991	9706720566-165 54070720566-165 290740209204-165 29074020956 161-2500050 271-0520205 271-052005720 271-052005720 271-05205720 250-0572005 251-155 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 2540572005 151-252 254057205 151-252 25405720 152-252 25405720 152-252 25405720 152-252 25405720 152-252 25405720 152-252 152-552 152-152 152-55	.0402780950-108 .4104821605-190 .2051206050-192
ц	0-2000000000000000000000000000000000000	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	06066666666 06066666666666666666666666	101 102 102 104 104

 $J_{r}(1)-I_{r}(1)$ from the JY1K equation with $x_{1}=x_{2}=1$, i=0, m=100, j=2. $A_{\rm I}$ (1) computation of Table

J _r (1)	 11490343594096 195653559594096 195653559564-002 249757392-033 24975735590-004 1502035317 -005 9423544171-067 5249250179-005 5249250179-005 263054515123-005 	2623693000-024 . 3672359793-024 . 1102945031-029 . 29060349430-029 . 103314976-029 . 103314976-029 . 10321443743-1421	. 5422149233-165 2979291632-165 1619221661-167 8708774929-172 4680560514-175 2487301823-175 2487301823-175 12694993366-176 1312694993361-151 33355955340-151 16865234015-168 16865234015-168	. 4174270965 - 190 . 2046261419- 192 . 6006000599 . 600600006	
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 1043672861-196 . 5065003535-197 . 1182007093-205	i=0, m=100, j=2.
y _r (100)				. 843 1828772- 188 . 848 1828783- 188 . 848 1828783- 188 . 840 1828788- 188	uation with x,=x_=l,
$w_{100}(r)$.2451225522-006 .2379592624-004 .1121932640-010	(1) from the JYIK equ
z(r)	$(\ .76519765664000) \\ (\ .4400565574000) \\275552069994001 \\93755291024000 \\93755291024000 \\93755260994001 \\35164524614000 \\35164524610003 \\35164524610003 \\3210364524510003 \\18596143900003 \\18596143900003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\1157424939000003 \\11574249000003 \\1157424900000000000000000000000000000000000$	6507469604-021 1295626960-037 1295626914-056 7267926914-056 1519809251-095 1519809251-095 1519809251-095 15065320256-116	1776296675-168 	- , 1720134010- 185 - , 8599300974- 183 - , 4256823083- 190	14. Computation of J
r	©−≈≈≈≈≈≈≈ ©−≈≈≈≈≈≈	0000000 010400000	0000400170 000040070	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	able

1 ⁽¹⁾	 <ul< th=""><th></th><th>5482000546-165 . 5995652721-165 . 29956520-169 . 4755295714-173 . 4655295714-173 . 4655295714-173 . 465529505-176 . 122605405020-175 . 122605405020-105 . 169477475-105 . 1694774750-105</th><th>. 4194726057-190 . 3056312776-192 . 6990090000 . 6009000000</th></ul<>		5482000546-165 . 5995652721-165 . 29956520-169 . 4755295714-173 . 4655295714-173 . 4655295714-173 . 465529505-176 . 122605405020-175 . 122605405020-105 . 169477475-105 . 1694774750-105	. 4194726057-190 . 3056312776-192 . 6990090000 . 6009000000
$\eta_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 1048291464-196 . 55829650706-197 . 1187703502-205
y _r (100)				CD1-90094298288 CD1-90094298288 CD1-10074298288 CD1-1668298288 CD1-1668298288
$w_{100}(r)$.2451225522-006 .2379592624-004 .1121992240-010
z(r)	 1266065070+000 1266065070+000 1266140+000 1266140+000 1266140+000 1266140+000 1266050500+000 126012140 12660505000 126012140 12660505000 12601214000 12600505000 12600505000 126005050000 12600500000 12600500000 126005000000 12600500000000 1260050000000000 1260050000000000 1260050000000000 12600500000000000000 126005000000000000000000000000 1260050000000000000000000000000000000000	001-20042030000 - 911-99042030000 - 940-990600101 - 920-09060012506651 - 200-0906012506651 - 200-0906012501- 150-0490501250 -		-, 1728587644-185 -, 0561854191-185 -, 4276838464-185
ľ.	0-00-00-00-000	88888888	69999999999999999999999999999999999999	101



Y (1)	 - 3327842090+692 - 3327842090+692 - 2570740244-006 - 3063895705+005 - 40266746185+006 - 4256746185+006 - 4256746185+006 	4115970315+022 20481287584-040 20481287584-040 71848747959+058 2191142815+058 34554021267-058 345551267-058		·
				$x_1^{=x_2^{=1}}$, i=0, m=100, j=4
				rom the JY1K equation with
z(r)	 (1.2010) (1.	4113920315+040 30481207474040 7134074754204040 713407475420 5454021267+114 5454021267+114 54554212674114	-, 6522334117+165 -, 6523234117+165 -, 1174145955+167 -, 13186429564+165 -, 137472165564165 -, 157472165566 -, 157472165566 -, 157457165 -, 15762566160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660160+165 -, 15067660+165 -, 1506760+165 -, 1506760+1000+1000+1000+1000+1000+100+100+100+	16. Computation of $\gamma_{ m r}(1)$ f

0-004000000

0 - N R & B & D R B & C O

Table 16. Computatio

(T)

(-) ^r k _r (1)	 . 44283415061002 . 36500000000000000 . 3650000010000000000000000000000000000000	. 629436936219023 . 4706 F555281-040 . 1114220651+040 . 14064256651+050 . 100642528359 095 . 10064255001+120 . 30905405500+141	\$C1 +432 \$C000065 \$C1 +432 \$C000065 \$C1 +432 \$C000065 \$C1 +425 \$C0000666 \$C1 +425 \$C0000666 \$C1 +425 \$C0000666 \$C1 +425 \$C0000666 \$C1 +425 \$C0000066 \$C1 +425 \$C0000066 \$C1 +425 \$C0000066 \$C1 +425 \$C00000066 \$C1 +425 \$C00000066 \$C1 +425 \$C000000666 \$C1 +425 \$C000000666 \$C1 +425 \$C0000000666 \$C1 +425 \$C000000000000000000000000000000000000	
) $^{\Gamma}k_{\rm r}(1)$ from the JYIK equation with $x_{\rm l}^{=x}z_{\rm }^{=1}$, i=0, m=100, j=4.
z(r)	600+660541250175+ .) 900+6605420017- 900+6605020250 900+6605020250 100+6605020250 100+6605020250 100+660502055 100+60502055 000+7002505 000+70055 000000000000000000000000000000000	.62945693624028 .47861458224028 .9195626583656 .0405625225965 .04056252259 .100547352252 .10054735225 .10054735252 .10054735252 .10054735252 .10054735252 .10054735252 .10054735255 .10054735255 .10054735255 .10054735555 .10054735555 .10054735555 .10054735555 .10054735555 .10054735555555 .100547355555 .100547355555 .100547355555 .1005473555555 .100547355555555555 .10054735555555555555555555555555555555555	231+23120200062 231+1226020202 231+122602020 221+22202020 221+2220020 221+220020 221+220020 221+220020 201+220020 201+220000 201+220000 201+220000 201-200000 201-200000 201-200000 200	17. Computation of (-
.1	0-00-00-000000000	66666666666666666666666666666666666666	0.9999999900	Table

4.2 Examples Involving the Inhomogeneous JYIK Equation

For fixed x and large r the Anger-Weber function has the asymptotic forms

(4.2.1)
$$E_{2r}(x) \sim \frac{2x}{(4r^2-1)\pi}$$
, $E_{2r+1}(x) \sim \frac{2}{(2r+1)\pi}$

compare [21]. Comparison of (4.2.1) with (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) shows that

;

$$(4.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} I_{r}(x_{2}) \\ J_{r}(x_{1}) \end{cases} < E_{r}(x) < \begin{cases} (-)^{r} K_{r}(x_{2}) \\ Y_{r}(x_{1}) \end{cases} , \quad r \to \infty ,$$

for any given set of values of x_1 , x_2 , x. Thus $E_r(x)$ is a solution of type 2 of the first inhomogeneous equation defined in Figure 6 and the correct number of initial values of $E_r(x)$ to be used in every case is j = 2.

The asymptotic form of the Struve function, also taken from [21], is

(4.2.3)
$$H_{r}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{2} \pi r} \left(\frac{ex}{2r}\right)^{r}$$

for fixed x and large r. Comparison of (4.2.3) with (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) shows that

(4.2.4)
$$H_{r}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} r^{(x)} \\ J_{r}(x) \end{array} \right\}, r \neq \infty.$$

Thus $H_r(x)$ is a solution of type 1 of the second inhomogeneous equation defined in Figure 6 when $x_1 = x_2$; compare (4.1.8). However, since the separation of $H_r(x)$ from solutions of type 2 is even weaker than that of the solution $A_r(x)$ defined by (4.1.6) it is again advantageous in most applications to specify the number of initial values appropriate to solutions of type 2, i.e., j = 2 instead of j = 1; compare Example 4.1.3.

Example 4.2.1 For the inhomogeneous fourth-order difference equations defined in Figure 6, we compute $E_r(1)$ with $x_1 = x_2 = 1$ for r = 0, 1, 2,...,100; and $H_r(0.1)$ with $x_1 = x_2 = 0.1$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 50. The appropriate number of initial values in these cases is j = 2 for $E_{j}(1)$ and j = 1 for $H_{j}(0.1)$. However, for reasons given above we actually use j = 2 for $H_r(0.1)$. Initial values for $E_r(1)$ and $H_r(0.1)$ were computed from series expansions given in [21] and checked against values found in [21] and [23]. Tables 18 and 19 give the results of these computations for selected values of r ; see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. They agree with the 10-figure values of $E_{r}(1)$ and $H_{r}(0.1)$ r = 2,3,4,5, given in [23]; the 7 through 9-figure values of $E_r(1)$, $r = 2, 3, \dots, 10$, given in [21]; and the 9-figure values of $H_r(0.1)$, r = 1,2,...,13 , given in [21]. In addition, they agree with series calculation of the functions to 10 significant figures in the case of $E_{r}(1)$, $r = 2, 3, \dots, 100$, and to 9 significant figures in the case of $H_r(0.1)$, $r = 2, 3, \dots, 50$. The loss of precision in the Struve function computation is due to mild instability which is acceptable in lieu of impossibly slow convergence.

We restrict the sequence of H (0.1) to $r \leq 50$ in order to avoid underflow in the computer. As suggested at the end of §4.0, this difficulty could be overcome by using the software described in [11].

E _r (1)	17174195474090 2400045404000 4785079509-00 134006978340-00 1891944340-00 1891944340-00 1891943436 100-131100-00 1891943436 100-00 1891943436 131-000	. 1599629850-002 . 70895789869-662 . 3982655946-603 . 3548631183-093 . 1769372327-603 . 1299754372-003 . 1299754372-003	7351445420-064 6996646949-062 753327400-004 646145819-002 7296473146-004 6702903595-002 6702903595-002 696929646-004 65667471723-004 65567471723-004	. 6303727260-002 . 6120167755-004 . 6131215587-002 . 5335732121-004 . 6000000000000	
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$.1576056173-003 .1514822220-603 .73551233279-013 .3467332779-013 .2477650711-017	, i=0, m=100, j=2.
y _r (100)				. 6367162628-004 . 6367471717 . 6367471717 . 6367471723 . 6367471723 . 6367471723 . 636747173 . 636747	quation with $x_1 = x_2 = 1$,
$w_{100}(r)$				245122552-005 2379592624-004 1121932840-010 5447785345-009 3706095333-015	$r_{f}(1)$ from the JYIK ec
z(r)	$ \begin{array}{l} (5636566270+000) \\43316240562+000) \\4073956117+001 \\4073956117+001 \\1738473195+002 \\1597395325+002 \\1597395355+002 \\1597395355+002 \\2577595355+002 \\2577595355+002 \\25775953532+002 \\25775953532+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\257759753325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975325+002 \\25775975525325+002 \\25775975525325+002 \\257759755253525+002 \\257759755255555555555555 \\257759755555555555555555555555555555555$	$\begin{array}{c} -.2654454606+001\\ -.2653916191+001\\ -.264265016199+001\\ -.25990010679001\\ -.255900106260001\\ -.2553060000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{rcl} -& 25752556665+001\\ -& 254297535665+003\\ -& 258746195925+003\\ -& 2593900229+003\\ -& 2574611044+001\\ -& 25468252597+003\\ -& 25468255557+003\\ -& 25468759516+003\\ -& 2549575555551+003\\ -& 257255555551+003\\ -& 2572555555551+003\\ -& 2572555555551+003\\ -& 257255555555551+003\\ -& 25725555555555555555555555555555555555$		18. Computation of E
ц	ତି କଞ୍ଚ ସ ବ ସ କ ସ କ ତ	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000 00000 00000	able

н _г (0.1)	$\begin{array}{c} +242111244-004\\ -6060380285-606\\ -6734676950-604\\ -6734676950-004\\ -6122710211-010\\ -4709944505-012\\ -1047123373-014\\ -1047123373-014\\ -1747123373-014\\ -1647123373-014\\ -1647123373-014\\ -1647123373-016\\ -97216545-014\\ -926\\ -92612526-033\\ -1095260275-033\\ -2317141765-033\\ -3317141765-033\\ -3317141765-033\\ -3317141765-033\\ -3317141765-033\\ -3317141765-033\\ -2462955-032\\ -24629555-032\\ -24629555-032\\ -24629555-032\\ -2462955655-032\\ -24629555$.2136010240-059 .3672007249-073 .2657537515-007	. 935 1329249- 102 11365903266- 104 . 13963639 13- 107 . 16050 17379 - 107 . 16050 17379 - 110 . 180339 17937 - 113 . 1931750790 - 116 . 21309 164350 - 116 . 2312445507 - 125 . 23125566 110 - 123 . 23125566 110 - 123 . 2312663799 - 131	. 2245322546-104 . 0000000000 . 000000000	=2 .
$n_{r,1}^{(50)}$.4492442073 - 143 .2096474211 - 143	$=x_2=0.1$, $i=0$, $m=50$, $j=1$
y _r (50)				.2312680799-131 .2312680799-131 .2312680799-131	YIK equation with x ₁
w ₅₀ (r)				. 1923846462-008 . 9071117562-006	$H_{r}(0.1)$ from the J
z(r)	$\begin{array}{c} 750-999-001 \\ (\ .6459120699-001 \\ (\ .2120651601-002 \\ (\ .2120651601-002 \\ (\ .2120651601-002 \\ (\ .2120651601 \\ (\ .2120651602 \\ (\ .2120651602 \\ (\ .2120651602 \\ (\ .2025205052 \\ (\ .2025205052 \\ (\ .2025205052 \\ (\ .20252052 \\ (\ .20252052052 \\ (\ .20252052 \\ (\ .20252052 \\ (\ .20252052 \\ (\ .20252052 $	5553626624-060 1328726920-073 1328726920-073	$\begin{array}{c}6462471923-102\\0175424095-102\\0027632949-107\\10027632949-107\\1228226304-113\\12282263949-116\\124281657152-1125\\124281657059539-116\\124281657059259-1125\\2259965929259-1225\\2359904415-131\\2359904515495522\\2359904515455225252565555255555555555555555555$	2331233159-134 2357276234-137	e 19. Computation of
ч	©−00%40°2000000000000000000000000000000000	36 36 36	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	52 52	Tabl

4.3 Examples Involving the Homogeneous JYPQ Equation

For large values of r and fixed values of μ and x , the associated Legendre functions have the asymptotic forms

(4.3.1)
$$P_{r}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(r+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(r+3/2)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi \sin \theta\right)^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{r})^{-1/2} \cos(r\theta + \frac{1}{r}$$

and

(4.3.2)
$$Q_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{r}+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{r}+3/2)} \left(\frac{\pi}{2\sin\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cos(\mathbf{r}\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\mu\pi) + O(\frac{1}{r})$$

where $x = \cos \theta$ with $0 < \theta < \pi$; see [16, Chapter 8]. Consequently, for values of x less than 1 in magnitude, neither associated Legendre function dominates the other. Comparing (4.3.1) and (4.3.2) with (4.1.1) we obtain

(4.3.3)
$$J_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) < \begin{cases} P_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \\ Q_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \end{cases} < Y_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) , \quad \mathbf{r} \neq \infty$$

for all admissible values of μ , x_1 , x_2 . Thus the JYPQ equation has three distinct types of solution, and the dimensions of the subdominant subspaces of types 1, 2 and 3 are 1, 3 and 4 respectively; this contrasts with (4.1.3), (4.1.4), and (4.1.8).

Example 4.3.1 In this example we compute the solutions $J_r(1)$, $P_r(0.5)$, $Q_r(0.5)$ and $Y_r(1)$ of the homogeneous equation[†] defined in Figure 7, with $\mu = 0$, $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 0.5$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 100. Since these solutions exhibit their characteristic asymptotic separation, described by (4.3.3), from the very beginning of this range of r, the algorithm

[†]In accordance with custom, when the order of the associated Legendre functions is zero we drop the superscript in the notation.

will be stable provided the proper number of initial values is used in each case. This number is j = 1 for $J_r(1)$, j = 3 for $P_r(0.5)$ and $Q_r(0.5)$, and j = 4 for $Y_r(1)$.

Table 20 gives the numerical coefficients of the JYPQ operator with $\mu = 0$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 0.5$ for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 104. Tables 21 and 22 give the numerical coefficients of the (0,1)-factorization and the (0,3)factorization. Since the (i,j)-factorization $D_j^i = A_j^i B^{i+j}$ produced by the test program has the principal diagonal of A_j^i equal to (1,1,1,...), we do not include values of $a_j(r)$.

Tables 23 through 26 give the results of the computation of the four desired solutions for selected values of r; see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. Initial values were obtained from Table 9.4 of [16] in the case of the Bessel functions and by hand calculation of the explicit elementary forms given in [16], Section 8.4 in the case of the Legendre functions. The computed Bessel function values agree satisfactorily with the values given in [16]. The Legendre function values were compared with data available for $r \leq 10$ in [16] and (in the case of the P_r(0.5)) [17]. Agreement is satisfactory to the precision available in these references; this ranges from 6 to 8 significant figures. In addition, all of the computed Legendre function values agree satisfactorily with double-precision values computed by an unpublished program of Dr. J. M. Smith of the National Bureau of Standards. This is sufficient to demonstrate stability.

 $d_3(r)$

-.81682728374008 1618049 4261098 -.20435175004000 -. 57053-26476405 -.6842'09 (250+009 -. 27592300000+005 -.2105372000+007 -.2940945750+007 -.1266530450+003 - . 13554004675+000 -.31617449504093-.4722809300+000 -.96743>9200+00D -. 155954251254099 -.2410757005+009 230000000000+002 -.5687500000+003-.30945000000+004-.1049825000+005 -.6161175000±005 -.1226375900+006 -.6220467/5001006 -.14484602001007 -.746027500+007 -.1140100417+009 - · 1336640555400 -.1003626407+000 -.27651919424009 -.0160125500+009 -.41255959590+007 d4 (r) .20571576184010 2492542069+010 00+102121262 4016240779+009 01045226218191. 01042208128926. 4041055137+010 . 498106/3654010 01042020200010 .7412771463+010 8957651896+010 . 155825352787+008 .65346333412+000 0347857037+003 . 14453449 16+009 .20726292444009 .649469946+009 · 7/1955/260554+000 .9609419921+609 010+620000000010 5317873000+004 3464662599+905 .1379233750+006 .4169141250+006 .1052776375+007 200181020+002 4721190375+007 - 9839892126+002 .2614010062+603 .4206634537+003 10761963004011 11265923366+011 1302412365+011 2129552422+011 2031250000+003 .15236555677+011 110+10202000 -. 32572573534010 -. 4045134209+010 -.20785094601009 -.7298599610+009 -.1258954922+010 -. 16164162834010 -.2601596537+010 -.6101234822+010 -.7418244088+010 -. 4773 1850001007 -. 1569393350+008 -.2629489489475+008 -.985419480+008 -.1450000597+009 -.29190468124009 -.4025254755+009 -.5460429147+009 -.9625367122+009 010+9529456+010 0-0403066306040- - S964522120+010 -. 1529682626+011 -.2498375940+011 -. 3972500000+003 -.4283322500+006 -.1073038500+007 - . 422768 15004 068 -. 6562719425+008 -. 107696660034011 110+2555579512-- .2130797426+011 -. 6207500000+004 -.3720675000+005-.1437410000+006 -.23725757504007 $d_2(r)$. 1562652962+010 25072026655+010 01049299116060 610+661266+010 010+1966555612 . 1967561514+009 000+0000118000 697759568444009 .12032265939+010 010+1353743681+010 010+5526168518 010+020050707010 010+0911488098 24054140204011 900+0928826676 800+28000000000 . 1368233321+009 2771133526+009 5209272451+009 9219371376+009 1045966321+011 12607929334011 - 14年20000年21-011 .1761560274+011 11040282628205 2926250000+003 4593875600+004 000+00012200+000 - 1222363750+006 3728296250+006 2128530125+007 48280933754607 . 8156822625+007 .14460355587+903 .2437951512+002 6145543962+008 300+23307253959 d₁(r) -.1336649525+003 -.1702931500+095 -. 3305099425+603 - 1026259550+005 -.2145032275+002 -.26753232650+003 -. 49 19264375+008 -.7109952525+002 000+9828826211 ---. 43964775900+006 -.6903525000+000 -. 3205796400+097 700+08777260+007 -.8465099300+008 -. 1331131762+009 . 18573885417+009 000+9100000918----. 2214112950+009 -.11375000000+005 -.4409250000+004 - . 34<u>35875000+005</u> -.73582560000+005 -.) 4260025004006 -.2555532000004006 - · 1063.401250+007 200+0006706291 ----. 2279546750+007 -.5968220000+007---24815025154009 -.024/3177274009 -.1031500000+000 d₀(r) 0 5 Ы

Table 20. Numerical coefficients of the JYPQ operator with μ =0, x_1 =1, and x_2 =0.5.

Table 20. (Continued)

4 - 1	3598933187+009		46 224 05902 + 000	6215111230-009	\$256653311837+009	65523300454000	7066665838834009	52320000665000	9166424242424009	1012313132:010	11279086494010	010+23050 02051 -	13778542575010	1518434410+010	16202+0006+010	(833995148824010	E0102187864 010		13403600240404010		010+12201809887	0106739084+010	010++0000000010	046604010335010		4090801.12804010	<0305567 1833 +0 10	5002456585 F010	010+22310530010-	63104034624010	62605555020010	671698703821010	7210045454500107	773:3341565+010	02286602781+010	2250 1540274010	04050-2021+010
<u></u>	.2015610035+011	110+62021316000	. 3929776531+011	10+62030222005	. 5222222246463+011	11040233630663.	.6350937311+011	.73113533334011	110+20200020003.	2104072700727001.	21040103000011.	.12555446915+012	.1446884041+012	.1624873797+012	. 1620244126+012	.2036904793+012	. 227 1346437+012	.25301444484912	.28(2462)35+0/2	.3120550931+012	. 244626266661+012	. 382 1552674+012	. 4218454220+012	.4649124096+012	.5115325145+012	.5620926311+012	.6166916954+012	.6266401524+012	.7392109037+012	. 8076894614+012	.6818740329+012	.9605774605+012	.1045624593+013	.1136255591+013	.1234625339+013	. 1339303450+013	. 14512741954013
-2 ~ . /	11042010012165	1.05923675055	39315952323011	4539783103+011	52244169644 011	6992996776+011	6263561237+011	7414712944+011	88335644267+011	1007616030+012	1139672269+012	12858244295+012	1447314506+012	1625537674+012	1821244217+012	20364431314012	2272425272+012	-2520765920+012	2813128576+012	3121267021+012		0322269103+012	4219325651+012	4650054193+012	5116215144+012	5621920436+012	6168037225+012	6757591552+012	7392372020+012	89782333574-012	3316162264 + 012	9607276715+012	10457334024013	I I: 7023629+013	1234@02705+013	13.9490%324013	1451471004013
-, T_	.2845505517+011	11046220221122	. 38339612621+011	.44380999584011	.5107652278+011	10+22083619830	10+05000002020	.7651031964+011	110+20112820223.	.9572602624011	.11172129254012	.1263994201+012	. 1419874225+012	. 1596095604+012	.17379760534012	. 1999911424+012	.2232572702+012	.2406909100+012	. 2766241348+012	. 2069024566+012	24001210564012	.3760465449+012	.41520201214012	.4527106616+012	. 5007765141+012	.5536462912+012	. CO75625756+012	.6657041773+012	. 72640560504012	. 79624095354012	. 2690553624+012	.9473369334+012	.10314076104013	. 1121605431+013	.12(222590+013	.1321296243+013	. 1402636380+013
0	3696107903+009	600+006225861+		513-550,000 6517 + COO	60093622164099	6700.000902+009		54052745574009	9.359567326+C09	1009445220+010	1151891982+010	010408299282821 -	1405957767+010	15452255551+010	01046103663021 -	13592437374010	10453005364010	2240672266+010	2447312770+010	201893889801010	2906c04555+010	016000000055+010	34347144454010	3721476659+010		4859760724+010	4710076734+010	500200246744010	5478320407+010	80904117154610	63433105234010	6515723723+010	7015020102+010	72407058264010	5402887214+010	09920042024 0 I O	9614900001+010

600420121224000

d_(r)

d. (r)

d. (r)

d_(r)

-

 $d_{\alpha}(r)$

d, (r)

 $d_{n}(r)$

7	1571147468+013 1698935187+013	1835268775+013	1920599428+013	2135395979+013	2300145346+013	2475552992+013	2661543413+013	28092605564013	3069068350+013	3291551161+013			4041210290+013	- 43205626914013	4616036265+013	49280478884018	5257439215+015	56049762114013	59714495524013	6357676621+013	6764499748+018	7193782064+013	7643438406+013		4615551304+013	9188948484824013	9688577259+018	10265420934014	10470729294014	1150542200+014	
T	. 1550938747+013	. 1812254879+013	010+9120209961.	.2109273715+013	.2272347769+013	.2445795237+013	. 2639 1374 15+0 13	. 24259 15003+013	. 3093623562+013	. 22540390954013	. 24075683354013	. 37544994044413	.3996677370+013	0104192699302755.	.4566265884+013	. 487554001028+013	.5201949812+013	. 5546420612:013	. 5909727423+013	.6292634536+013	.6694996304+013	.7120677662+013	. 7567570692+013	. 20375951804013	C10+0616691020.	. 9050259631+013	. 95960322342+013	.1016640517+014	.1076229353+014	. 11393646224014	
O	- 1027157665+011 - 1096861664+011	1169246276+011	12459563984011	1326640675+011	141145(566+011	16005455561+011	1694082301+011	1693236408+011	12951459354-011	1903013039+011	2016003948+011	2184299101+011	22580020070+011	2337544703+011	2522377025+011	2664277650+011	110+12535561165	2966095033+011	3126928798+011			30517270464011		40300960484011				49123603374011	5152700277+011	5402325030+011	
	42	26	2.2	82	62	39	10	្លា	88	23	83	9.Q	28	80	60	06	16	55	63	50	96	96	2.6	36	66	601	101	102	103	104	

Table 20. (Concluded).

10-2000 10:1285 94031-01

00764-01 664441 01

110+3695 10+0126

 $d_4(r)$

 $d_3(r)$

1570939 0120601 10+0165 6040401 10-0-01

10-101122 414401

---4464702687+011 - . 38023 \$ 32284 0 I I -.2635004952401 -. 273 (300756401 10+0535355555555---. \$693669913+01 10+66969696555 - $-.34533377476401\\-.36149351374701$ 10+20080886666.--- 4202391347401 1040322230152 7192317746+013 7642844629+013 9133229909+013 . 10369979300+014 . 1150464912+014 .5604492852+013 6970945783+013 .6367151331+013 6763952183+013 8116757263+013 .86149024434013 9647788292857859 · 1036475586+014 4927604075+013 . 5256975946+013 2105141 2462951 .0291211 2661245 3276615 4320250 . 1235031 404083 198035 247507 401561

1018100

=0.5.
x
and
Ē
×
,
1=()
wi tl
or
ц а
er
do
0
JΥF
11
the
0 f
uc
tic
29
ri
to
ac
4-4
1)
Ć.
he (
سب د ان
of
ts
en
сi
f1
ef
CO
- E
ici
er
nm
Z
21.
e
ab l
2

$b_{3}(r+1)$	23000000000+002 5687570000+003	- 50040000004004			6161173000:000	1226074000+006	- :22413335004 000		62204675004 006	96640040000:000	- 144454502104 00V	210532200010-007		4126496000+007		74603750004 607	97890000740+097	E2065394501 C03	1618049425+003		25540040754000				57061466753 COS	684709 1250. 508	01602733336÷0995	96748993001000	1 140 1914 171 009	103664003554+009	- : 154983331124 009	181077333561000	2000614407400 	24 LOVU790E4 6.09	27651919421009	3160(135500+000	
b ₂ (r+1)	.2031250000+001 .5236200246+004	. 33914151544005	. 1361159122+006	000+2623925015·	.1046664574+007	. 2329022293+607	200426619830222.	. 8316018233+007	.19540366663+000	.3609183097+008	.42000533334000	. 611260303555+005	.9836417988+303	.1443877323+009	.20707655554+609	. 2009356447+009	. 4013373050+009	. 6445954413+009	.7281031895+009	.9604314293+609	.1251451806+010	. 16 1246 1506+010	. 2056314553+010	.2597550551+010	.3.5257251+010	. 40397333867+010	.49795561554010	.6094516264+010	.7410304312+010	. 8955424772+010	10759333354-011	.1385641001+011	. 162833883884011	11042160303031.	.2129187474+011	.2496407969+011	
0 ¹ (1+1)	39725000000000000000000000000000000000000	304624535524095	- · I2093212074006	3837663674+006	9814676908+606		44705005044007	84160162944007	1490151405F0C8	2550809680464 008	4070233502+005	63.38763656564005	9529303910+00D	1401440126+009	2018205202+009		3913096992+069	5316357267+009	71156333552+009	9395617407+009	12253905354010	1530224437+010	20167333594010	25494712334010	3194513023+010	010+3202010266	4296579599+010	59965321574010	72950394554010	3519766001+010	10601195044011	1267278294+011	16071165384011	17/336299754041	21011265144011	24644067774011	
0 ⁰ (111)	.2926250000+003	. 23624332234005	.1045435939+006	. 6024626620+006	.8549379154+006	. 1966693303+007	. 4042175329+007	.7600541764+007	. 1370439101+003	. 2222452700+003	.2770042613+003	.59006476164003	20046122620363.	. 13211590124009	.19042541224009	. 262735 1463+009	. 372 19225 134009	. 50627412734009	· 6790922260+009	. 29953407234009	010+12552211.	.15181940014010	.1940609672+010	. 2466625046+010	010+20020000000000000000000000000000000	. 353544295114010	. 47355926226+010	. 5304042932+010	.70704416814010	. 2556340717+010	.1029375016+011	.12215625454011	.14663950474011	.1735045069+011	.2046577170+011	.2402069370+011	
(1) ⁰ p	1127500000000000 05249509214001	- 1237334091+001	584000000000000	00741126194000	22146556764000	1640927661+000	12990833124000	- · 10653355991+000		775668995669-001	67983871592001	4040474760-001	54020412227-001	4936204360-001	4517509745-001	4161460205-001	0050002612-001	00120%001-001	00590519950-001	0185455609-001	2974120417-001	2512031521- 001	16666457249-001	25040 06650-00 I	2418809321-001	2005075911-001	2207070279-001	1115006071-001	2031310242-001	1955223239-001	1331100241-001	1314002078-001	1753422929-001	1692323656-001	16200071379-031	- 1801081030-001	
-	0-	01	\$		10	5	e	0	6	01	11	5	10	1.5	13	16	2.1	10	19	50		22	00 00	57 61	3	51	25	075	00	00	5	61	00	50 50	8 <u>5</u>	0	

 $b_{3}(r+1)$

000+2312268636---.4085171595+009 -.4625555556595+000 -.5215111330+009 --65822200451000 - . 73656983332+009

 $b_2^{(r+1)}$

110+123731+011 . 3390679649+011 . 39291839884011 4537131791+011 1104203031223 110+1808186868 .6850061440+011 .7810918120+011 .8281513504+011

b₁ (r+1)

-.2878690220+011 - . 332990858589-011 -. 38824148384011 110+9001005555-

 $b_0^{(r+1)}$

ч

11048851801628.

2807554010+011 1104825668893284011 43312576464011 110+28068199999 . 5795045075+011

-.9931757479-602 -.9789407248-602 100-2205140211 ---.1152040102-001 -. 11010105073-001 -. 1078316625-001 -.1034005905-001 -.1013181772-001 -.1335442955-001 -.1260315239-001 -.1237.202735-001 -.1207570118-001 -. 1126570422-001 -. 1055699116-001 -.1403304524-001 -.1450471562-001 -.1410006983-001 -.1371720793-001 -.1301020412-001 -.1522713315-001 a₀(r)

. 15793069334012 . 17706216754012 . 19808595204012 . 23115204944012

-. 16702-00064010 - 1833992482+010

010420102340101 ---. (127903543+010 010+2824887281 ---.1518404410+010

010+2305882242F --

. 12853169414012 . 14467442594012 . 16247219694012

.1007167511+012

-. 1127794595+012 -. 1272716971+012

.11034564994012 210479901674012 .1405536170+012

- . 677566325604011 110+2382882222 -110+0252006328 --110-702010207-011

.6629377436+011 75650955524+011

. 8507742150+011 9767469194+011

-.51621301844011 -.5933266514+011 $\begin{array}{c} . \ 1820583039+012 \\ . \ 20357255464+012 \\ . \ 2271656401+012 \\ \end{array}$

-.91562312124009

-.55566231157+609

-.2403630249+010 -. 2622120825+010 010412201099882--010+2303844+010 010483810804010 010+59242390625---. 463650071834010 010+2.2910596099 ---. 5810403463+010 -.67169243824010

010~2656655255-010

25299407664012 220122436414012 345660131354014012 345660131354012 352125550714012 352151562524012 464038193754012 562055552334012 562055553334012 61665490004012 67560105744012

-.2010313736+010

-.9376127674-002 -.9204420476+002

-.9002002002-002

200-200001025020 --. 6724024027-002

200-27216037355-

-. 5292756665-002

-.2152200562-002

-. 700-350505055007 --.7773757195-002 -.7060033533-005 -. 7544366036-002 -.7324592002-002

-.4927557448-002

9899999 000000

02

010426692208030-

. 29764544504012 . 88132766964912 . 96052697364912 . 10455723434913

-. 2017932453+012 -. 8780320962+012 -.9537641153+012

.1136300456+013

-.1(2202256964013 -.12202256964013 -. 10000136744010 -. 144166220084013

-.1088311767+010

.1023923510+013

SI0+0701650111.

 1309%64424+013 .131269169013

-.7483103345-002

21. (Continued)

Table

· 1423814926+013

- . 5000440555010

0101020200101010 - . 8269 164537÷010

-.94850385331+010

. 145 1209232+013 .1234566992+013 . 1339241562+013

-.7210845732+010 127

-. 848 989487-002

$b_{3}(r+1)$	10134056234011	1021909410:011	11540649104011	1229900666454041	13093615934011	- 13936125465101	145320321444-011	- 15246-666624011	- 16218433214011	110-0000-02221	- (030629070+0))	- 1992500533+011	110422912260151 -				26350049524011		- 1046889689408 -	30936690134011	1104809686868889	843333374764011	3614086187+011	58020107264011	110+2002028665				43647036074011		53501250552-011
b ₂ (r+1)	.1570370946+013	. 1098643983+013	.1884962349+013	.1920327016+013	.2136057071+013	.2299789318+013	.24749792024013	.2061151206+013	.2656349260+013	. 2063637275+013	.3291096034013	. 352664 1524+013	. \$776439743+013	.4040692883+018	.4320122019+013	.4615471134+013	.4927457691+013	.52562331274013	. \$6043333339+013	. 6970779466+013	.6356977932+013	. 6763771433+013	.7192029517+013	. 7643643639+043	.01166532234913	.8614696212+013	.9132059139+013	.9587653460+013	.1026432040+014	.1035973203+014	.1150439137+014
b ₁ (r+1)	15606709074018	168775556454010	12.23349020+013	1967900760+013	212187828004013	2205766725+013	2460070227+013	26453115724013	28420030714013	20507969534-013	0272185662+013		3755224167+013	4018245276+013	4296325729+010	4590327720+013	4900966736+013	52%386%0204013	55742387702+013	5939682487+013	6324210362+013	67292633355+013	7155707042+012	76044366564913	3076873227+013	8672468509+018	9093700702+013	9641079267+013	1021564253+014	1031246039+014	1145063419+014
$0^{0}(r+1)$. 15405311084013	.16662533331+013	.10004115304013	.1940452452415+013	.2095840419+013	.2252057901+013	.2450605660+013	.261400353+013	.2200790059+013	. 3015524661+013	. 3234735371+013	· 34671752124013	.3713312504+013	. 5973241363+013	. 4240427229+013	. 4540758322+013	. 4040546212+013	.5173526313+013	. 8516453416+013	. 5578127220+013	.6259342574+013	. 6660941517+013	. 70207266531+013	. 7633765597+013	C10+0355629662.	. 2465329471+013	.90058333714+018	.9640214529+013	. 1011220663+014	.1071627547+014	.11344110834014
a ₀ (r)	7219193599-002		70172127105-002	6920097795-002	0220205127-002	6734637057-002	66450917002	6558074703-002	6473596394-002	609171642-002	0310719500-002	61322668021-002	6185529000-002	6051047703-002		59365.31494-002	- 525757572020-002	57%9369808-692	5700017393-000	5662362285-002		55429500%6-002	5402290516-002	5422957426-002	5004024040-002	5002040096-002	525222526461-002	5197235226-002	5!44501559-662	5092201049-002	5040952512-002
-	₹. [·)	9.2	5.5	3 3	01	5.0	00	10	e) ()	83	Ś	C B	00	20	00	52	c S	10	00	93	50	13 0	00	25	0 0	66	001	101	101	103	100

Table 21. (Concluded).

-

5.
0=
x ³
and
x
° 0
=
with
or
erato
do
JYPQ
the .
of
uc
at i
iza
OL
act
بب 1
, 3)
0)
the
Ē
0
n tí
cie
ĹĴ.
ъef
ວັ
cal
ri
lume
. z
22
le
Tab

b ₁ (r+3)	23000000000000000 4687800000000000000	3094500000+004	10496.50004005	2759200000000000	61617750004005	1226375000+006	2241332500+006	38329709904005	6220467500+096	96680~8601004	- 1445446250+902	2105325000-002				74602760001007	978900-0760+007	(206539450+008	{612049435+002	20435 17860- 008	25540046878+008	3161744950+608		47223094000	5706146675+008	60420012004008	- 616622255016 -	9674499200105058	11401334174009	13366405464 020	- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 13107785270+009	20946564474009	000-1062420152-	27651919242+009	
$b_0(r+3)$.2031250000+003 .4614995000+004	. 3043964450+605	.1343452428+606	. 3340415686+606	.9213616523+606	20042269226612.	.4470169597+007	. 8415510563+007	.14900782554003	. 28032568864003	. 40500075555+003	. 63025254555+003	.92290760654008	. 1401411825+009	. 2013250455+009	. 2002000000004000	. 30 13046345+009	.5316297255+009	. 7115562675+009	.9395535005+609	.1225331001+010	. 1530213430+010	. 2016771326+610	.2549457023+010	. 31944970314010	. 3970039426+010	. 4296559400+010	. 5996514641+010	. 7:295014453+010	010+92929226183	.1060116453+011	12672749394011	. 160711861+011	.1783625956+011	.2(01172162+011	.2464432010+011
a ₂ (r)	3972500000+093 3056000000+092	73029764994601	42262565584001	31312415314601	2567695522+001	2262647195+001		189345665124001	17729331834001	1690243036+001	16196265014001	15620213434001	1514169624+001	147379535124001	14392775994601	1409482591+001	1363373044+001	13604335525001	13300599444001	1321069237+001	1305521297+001	1290751699+001		1265111347+001	12609126664001		1204129695+001	1226346953+091	1217202515+001	12006238874+001	12025555723+001	105948200+001	110075577624001	1188946134+001		11733283665+001
a ₁ (r)	.2926250000+003 .4293375000+004	.15112430774003	. 2616988829+002	.1202972078+002	.754943343546401	.5511216766+001	.4391577334+001	. 3695733495+001	. 32263535256+001	.2890643365+001	.2639749169+001	.2445737099+091	10040229201622.	.2166333010+001	.20627071384001	1975613011+001	.1901457029+001	. 1837551347+001	.17820157964001	. 17333356040+001	.16901371444001	. 1651743531+001	.1617346117+001	. 15555573554001	.1564296816+001	. 1532776368+001	. 1609465015+001	.14339901354001	.1468422073+001	. 1450265551+001	. 14834538882+001	1004012648212121	1004023116204001	.1330749926+001	.10770653234001	. 1365175718+001
a ₀ (r)	11375600003+003 1031560000+004		6793355846+002	7416855373+001		1142456427+001	6655477451+000	4020367356+000	3122208214+000	2376195994+000	1875331790+000	1529516299+009		1090995017+000	9460471126-001	8316101205-001	7394396309-001	6639261009-001	6011413303-001	54226566441-001		4644 25226 1-001	4500541709001	4014477484-001			- : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	3136441831001	29706655241-001		2644455292001	2559677475-001		2340252409-001	2244224594-001	2164994471-001
ч	© -	63	ಣ	4	10	9	2	c3	¢	01	11	01	13	5	41	16	1 5	103	10	30	-	6.1 	() ()	5	19	30	24	28	50	00		5	30	50	10	50

Table 22. (Continued)

T		- 40851218905-000	- 4622356255921000	5215111320-600	0.00 - 181 1820 0000	055522 100464 0/19		000:000000000000000000-	91562:1212-000	010423135340101 -	- 113200600444 -	01042826240324010	010:22:20:022201-	1518404410+010	1670300004 010	16309~2482+010	01049229103102	3199995166361010	2403600140+010	2623120426+010	01041220180880-	31067%9%82%010	\$37465855454-010	3660-50103354010	89655070744010			60044455554010			*20000000000.010	67169020333010	01042322200122	773332416654010	0101123000012311010	8369 U. 46327. 010	940500032814010
>	104900000000000000000000000000000000000	. 3349339336401	. 88824083344011	110+20802000000	.5162123186+011	10+100355550505	10-25034455011	10+62005028222	10+00126688223.	.99689903384011	21043030622211.	21049202122221.	1-1028866009+012	.16093944554019	. 13037233214012	2017221001+012	2104212742131012	.25077433674012	.2703000320+012	21042135755000.	21042300012724012	. 3749965136+012	.4184150853+012	. 46119245554012	. 5075535361+012	. 5077345669+012	. 61193333064013	. 67055923374+012	.733734343430+013	. 2017929519+012	. 8750335015+012	.9557632013+012	. 10363114644013	.1(290/4/62+013	. 12262255334 013	. 1330313296+013	.1444661818+013
4	11634650994001	11633636134001	11995154014001	10042532889911	11514624124001	II477402025+001	1144192064+001	114031018574001	110250002201001	11346007255+001	114166888694001	- 11267323300+001	1 1260290225+001	11234320564-001	11209500274+001	11185619024001	III62654001	11140562114001	11 119293474601		1107904942401	1105999312+001	1104159202+001	11023330034-001	11006653541+001	IO~\$0082884001	1097298508+001		109433467334601	1092876858+601	10914614624C01	1090025547+ 401	1033756251+001	- 10874627264-001	10362068942+601		1003792940+001
Ŧ	135400\$987+001	10046501053501.	100420996933301	13342443654001	1016099829+001	1004020202001.	.1292077664+001	100+0201531021.	120405655574-001	1277523021+001	.12210099564001	1264294575401	12662556958-001	.1252172952+001	100+2>68>22>51.	. 124255566964001	1004222848221.	.1232750563+001	10041012518231.	122222317624001	.12104643544001	.12103655704001	.1211416726+001	10040926092071	12003271744001	.120\$391990+001	.1196967706+001	. 1193653250+001	.190452954+001	.1137361513+001	.11340639604001	. 1131260621+001	. 1173642103+001	11759194994001	1004212022511	.1120706212+001	.1160213567+001
>	2072243340-001	19953351946-001	192.7733000-0.01	100-1022220401-	- 15 少ないないないないの001	- 12 051 04707-001	10.00, 96797-001	162321451-001	15501064-001	- 10020000001	1400527474-001	- (000000000000000000000000000000000000	14100404040-003		- 100022622-001	1004570645-001	100-0021207-01-	- 100-000000000	12/3009493-001	1105404080-001	1152929900-001	100529998-001	1100/194602-001	1028289212-091			- 100-02000001001	- 1001096762-001		9640160946-003	5460439015-002	9289102727-002	9133205921-005	100-100日からいですの1	14391 00490-003	6560007759-005	

b₁ (r+3)

 $b_0(r+3)$

 $a_{\gamma}(r)$

a, (r)

 $a_0(r)$

ы

b₁ (r+3)

Ч

- · 10134656934011 -.13299566343+011 - 130955613954011 - . 13936126469501 -.16746468674011 -.16718423714011 1045306200661 --1048057252828.--.2360%2555210+011 - 24946350555401 - . 27613813856 + 011 - 3090669013401 -.8260020505050 -. 53023137234011 10+2000000000 --- . 346547 2420 617 --.1482024144-01 - . 12765794401 -. - 902800880 0 F 10~2291220015.-- 64 146:22201010 .7765273524013.4018244610+013.4296355101+013.42963570564013.44903570664013.4900956000+013. 16877555221+013 010400200062961. .2121877720+013 . 2205766226+013 . 2460069769+013 2645311035+013 . 2042032513+013 . 3050706376474 . 3050706276474 . 3572310526574013 . 63260661319+013 . 5572636015+013 010+02020202020 010+0200020420 010+02020202020 010+02020202020 010+0202020202020 010+0202020202020 7 155705 15540 (3 26044554 1540 (3 \$1042888Z2\$9208* 01046696698606* 102156-176+014 . 1823344576+013 81042828201296 .1560670497+013 $b_0^{(r+3)}$ - 1031522251001 -. 10692863394601 10682954954001 - 106773954964601 -.100,0243745+001 - · 1079366025+001 | 00+00| 0000km k0 k -. 1072641156+001 -.1071772364+001 -.1070095454001 -.100000240+001 - . 10%622276750701 - . 10555554740+001 - I0045152024144001 100401001004001 1004510250104001 -. 1062764200+001 - . 10621145234001 00+6026250801 - · 10%75220579+00 102402323204001 10/15378465+001 -.1073531236+00] 10769224104+00 $a_2(r)$. ŀ . . 11567665664001 . 11546613604001 . 11526118714001 . 11526159214001 . 114565159214001 . 114565159214001 100+0221002311. 1126909392+001 112454421114001 . 1140127667+001 . 1141370005+001 . 118956566730-001 100+2968123811. . 11657925594001 100+1679293911. . 1146776465+001 . 1144929131+001 .11219090364001 - 1120631401+001 10049099020111. 100455555101111. . 1163440143+00 . 1161153463+00 $a_{1}(r)$ -.700352520799-002 -. 60980000000-000 -. 6680451624-002 -.6544916610-002 -- 6461535709-092 -.63092299520-002 -.60000220004-0002 -.60740878555-002 -.60023386401-002 -- 7088468648-002 -.7512001911-002 -.7297134466-002 200-1009289669.--.6909841191-092 -.6718:324560-002 -.62255541145-002 -...6148018103-002 - . 500 10 202 10 - 000 800-1020200410.-- 74502055565-002 -.7740008922-002 -.7025102066-002 200-96291636121--. 8376581693-002 -.8110406091-002 -.5700420030-002 a₀(r)

Table 22. (Concluded).

131

$J_{\Gamma}(1)$. 44005050505000 . 1490050505000 . 19563 3523 001 . 2426605064 002 . 2402572505-605 . 15623,35305-605 . 15623,35810-605 . 94233,470-005 . 94233,470 . 9443,470 . 9444,470 . 9444,4700 . 9444,470	571-0571152911 171-057115291 640-020702060 640-020702060 650-020702020 650-0000022290 220-0000022290	5423149529169254165 5212929160254 16199350101 16199350101 16199350101 126960599 121-0000000 1269600000 1269600000 126960000 126960000 126960000 126960000 126960000 1269600000 1269600000000000000000000000000000000000	4174070971-19 -20460961904-19 -2046090000 -20100000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -20000000000 -201-20 -20000000000 -20000000000 -20000000000
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 4194633245-190 . 993354530-195 - 1003189945-194 - 1003093575-201
y _r (100)				. 8369662422-180 . 0431829796-180 . 0431829796-100 . 0431829796-100 . 0431828796-103 . 0431828790-103
w ₁₀₀ (r)				.9512794796+000 .4555795594-002 25130525104-000 31151739230+000 3550594353-002 3550594353-002
z(r)	200 - 0000000000000000 100 - 2000000000000 100 - 200000000000 100 - 200000000000 200 - 2000 - 200000000 200 - 2000 - 2000000 200 - 2000 - 200000 200 - 2000 - 20000 200 - 2000 - 2000 200 - 20	001-699090000 000-750000000 000-7500000000 000-522000000 000-52200000 000-52200000 000-0000000 000-0000000 000-000000	921-0110000001313 021-0110000001 021-001000000 091-0565991195 091-0560000100 091-05000002100 091-0000002100 091-1000000251 091-059000050550 091-1000000251	.0740764406-177 .1944406404-177 .1000000109-121 .0998200104-104 .1567725174-105
L	<u></u>	86668666666666666666666666666666666666	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	101 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103

2⁻

$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(0.5)$	4374.900000000000000 2496625000000000 . 49844750000-001 . 3233242167000-001 . 32332421670-001 2363459515000 26789345965000 188520007240000	100-71717046830 100-717170464 100-9580405 100-9580405 100-958040 100-95804 100-9580 100-9580 100-9580 100-9580 100-9580 100-717170 100-717070 100-710	$\begin{array}{c} & 8703669017-091\\ & 63425391200-001\\ & - & 63425391200-001\\ & - & 3300653556724-001\\ & - & 62541255567601\\ & - & 62541255256-001\\ & 642307625592-001\\ & - & 22239769379-001\\ & - & 22239769379-001\\ & - & 6951892696-001\\ & - & 6951892696-001\\ \end{array}$.2196749977-091 .9178452815-091 .963517405-091 212639592-000	=100, j=3.
n _{r,1} (100)				. 1078157569-003 . 2017234533-005 . 7248936718-003 - 1262587242-010 - 2275145635-012	x.=1, x.=0.5, i=0, m
y _r (100)					equation with $\mu=0$.
w100(r)				4707947541-002 2195844083-094 200-031-095 2646272101 26462721012 2102200739-09 2102200739-011	(0.5) from the JYPQ
z(r)	 (1000000000000000000000000000000000000	110+262516384 110+26250555 110+352505555 010+12635256555 600+1053255555 600+105325555 600+1053255555 600+1053255555	.3453142669+012 .2730560366+012 10346750577+012 4160160777+012 5160160777+012 50569256025+012 35659256952+012 1471976950231+012 5917921210+012 5917921210+012 5917921210+012	. 1741470414-012 . 6985891515+012 . 64834306674012 . 2483066674012 - 2883075010+14012	24. Computation of P
r	<u>େ-ଖର୍ବନ୍ଦ୍ରେର</u> ି	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 - 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	able



Qr (0.5)	19265-27215+000 4401745200+000 6550090905+000 1420100447-000 14501509505+000 1460511255+000 11616-0255+000	2673550119:000 	. 5674950004-001 - 9947650051-001 - 1052650905.600 - 3615179652-691 - 9703764552-691 - 1031456770560 - 1031456770560 - 104095160-601 - 104095551009-601 - 3503651909-001	. 1291470462+000 . 846195362+000 - 9364046146-691 - 1271186636146-00 . 600000099
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$. 6442970616-000 . 8553374002-006 - 1127575337-007 - 7487943037-010 - 9759976206-013
y _r (100)				. 9427932240-601 . 9492421946-601 . 9492503530-001 . 94925035395-001 . 9492507395-001
w ₁₀₀ (r)				.4707947541-002 .2195044003-004 .1014722037-006 .4646273100-002 .2100220759-011
z(r)	700+120005005100	210+020264292964290 110+0202090 110+02020901 100+0110402020 010+040104040 010+040104040 010+040400 010+040400 010+040400 000-0404040 000-040400 000-040400 000-040400 000-040040 000-040040 000-040040 000-040040 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-0400400 000-04004000 000-0400400000000		.10416594524013 .259645849124012 34691704804012 122585009954013 3852049558534012
1.	0+00+00000000	828888888 8288888888888888888888888888	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	10011002

Table 25. Computation of $Q_r(0.5)$ from the JYPQ equation with $\mu=0$, $x_1=1$, $x_2=0.5$, i=0, m=100, j=3.

13-
z(r)	 (100+2025696429-001) (100+202502696420) (-1650652696420) (-562451517606+201) 	.7654037296+003 .14051056366+006 .7955279462+007 .5216305163+007 .5216305163+003	\$10482686841671 21049162082219 11049162082219	. 3069 1349 59 + 000 . 2027 02 1592 + 040 . 227 05 106 06 + 057	. 2229144213+667 . 1240046751+129 . 2740045751+129	. 13762533911172 .2621195032+176 .50445511999+176 .903916451499	- 192690200201 - 192690202000 - 756412000051 - 15514400552+195 - 15514400552+195 - 1551521294+195 - 1364421534+192
ц	©⊣ɑ⇔	4 B Q D C	େବୁ		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	*3950000 \$666660

Table 26. Computation of $Y_r(1)$ from the JYPQ equation with $\mu=0$, $x_1=1$, $x_2=0.5$, i=0, m=100, j=4.

276-12503 -04612503 -0761203481

Υ_r(1)

Example 4.3.2 In this example we compute the solutions $P_r^1(0.5)$ and $Q_r^1(0.5)$ of the homogeneous equation defined in Figure 7, with $\mu = 1$, $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 0.5$, for $r = 0,1,2,\ldots,100$. The algorithm will be stable provided that we take three initial values for each of these functions; compare (4.3.3). Tables 27 and 28 give the results of these computations for selected values of r; see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. Initial values were obtained by hand calculation of the explicit elementary forms given in Tables 8.2 and 8.4 of [16]. The computed function values agreed satisfactorily for $r \leq 10$ with the limited data available in [16] and [17]. In addition, satisfactory agreement was obtained with double-precision values produced by an unpublished program of Dr. J. M. Smith; compare Example 4.3.1. Consequently, the stability of the method is confirmed for this example.

$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{l}}(0.5)$	100+6277350505. 100+6277350505. 100+605050505. 100+605050505. 100+605050505. 100+605050505. 100+6055. 1		2002210162+001 - $58100210162+001$ - $7900165555+001$ - $7900165555+001$ - $215722050555+001$ - $100+370525055+001$ - $100+31055+001$ - $100+31055+001$ - $100+31055+001$ - $2195563248+001$. 8338576631+601 . 8338576631+601 6189792492+661 8489477942+661 . 9009900600	m=100, j=3.
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$.4164026078-001 .5592476477-004 7450174230-008 4977885242-008 6490344958-011	$x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2}^{-0.5}, i=0,$
y _r (100)				6049202230+001 100+9212060609 100+9212060609 100+921206009 100+921206009 100+9205050 100+920 100+92050 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+920 100+90 10000000000	equation with $\mu=1$,
w ₁₀₀ (r)				4707495729-002 2195426657-004 10144355504-006 46444455504-006 . $364444525504-006$	r (0.5) from the JYPQ
z(r)	 ($\begin{array}{c} 13305 18476 \pm 010 \\ . 593209570 \pm 010 \\ . 1071445304 \pm 010 \\ 545540 1124 \pm 012 \\ 545540 1124 \pm 012 \\ . 537573 1112 \pm 012 \\ 144099533092 \pm 013 \\ 1440995332 \pm 014 \\ \end{array}$. 6663603321+014 . 1915119233+014 5530392033+014 2319245581+014 2319245723+014	27. Computation of P ¹
ч	©−007002300	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 = 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Table

$Q_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{l}}(0.5)$. 249 18736764 (5.1 . 1934 03764 (5.1 - 1934 03764 (5.1 - 33587693674 (5.1 - 2558726613054 (5) - 11333164054 (5) - 11333164054 (5) - 11333164054 (5)	. 16460%709201001 - 71980070701000 - 101280%2072100 - 101280%2072010 - 101280%20720 - 101280%20930001 - 101280%20930001	 125.828.6.040.0.002 96.829.6.9.44.4.0.01 56.83176.4.80.34 56.83176.4.80.34 125.8365.0516.6.691 125.8365.0516.6.01 125.8365.0516.601 125.8365.0510.6001 125.8365.0529.601 129.6397.6301 129.6397.6301 023.625.6059.601 129.6397.6301 023.5556.6691 023.55566.6991 023.555666991 023.555669691 023.555669691 023.5556696991 023.55569699991 023.5556969991 023.5556969991	 - 36392430514001 - 13178 434524002 - 966840755231001 - 362965795414001 - 30096405000 	=100, j=3.
$n_{r,1}^{(100)}$				010-2323023295213- 000-10122022020- 000-10122022020- 000-10122022020- 000-10122022020- 000-1012202 010-2322002202- 010-2322002202- 010-2322002202- 010-2322002202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202202- 010-232202- 010-232202- 010-232202- 010-232202- 010-23220- 010-232202- 010-23220- 010-2320-	κ ₁ =1, x ₂ =0.5, i=0, m
y _r (100)				.9544135226+001 .952673224+001 .9526436067+001 .9526436067+001 .9526436067+001 .9526436067+001	equation with μ=l, Σ
w ₁₀₀ (r)					(0.5) from the JYPQ
2(r)	 (1154700530+001) (2290530455001) (229050530455001) (229050530450501) (229050505004006 (22915050104006 (2201505054006 (2201505054006 (2201505054006 (2201196473840070 (2201196473840070 		\$10+209209209217. \$10+20920920917. \$10+2092092091. \$10+2092092091. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+2092092092. \$10+20920. \$10+2000. \$10+20920. \$10+2000.	\$10+84202805000+019 \$10+85202800+019 \$10+852068000+019 \$10+85206800+019 \$10+8428000+019 \$10+8428000+019 \$10+8428000+019	28. Computation of $\varrho^l_{\mathbf{r}}$
ľ	0~0040000000	82888888	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	101 102 100 100 105 105 105	Table

4.4 Examples Involving the Inhomogeneous JYPQ Equation

Using Stirling's formula, we find

(4.4.1)
$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{r}+\boldsymbol{\mu}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{r}+\boldsymbol{3}/2)} \sim \mathbf{r}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-1/2} , \quad \mathbf{r} \to \infty$$

for fixed values of $\mu = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Comparison of (4.2.1) with (4.3.1), (4.3.2) and (4.4.1) shows that

(4.4.2)
$$\mathbb{E}_{r}(x_{1}) < \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{r}^{\mu}(x_{2}) \\ \mathbb{Q}_{r}^{\mu}(x_{2}) \end{cases} , \quad r \to \infty$$

for fixed μ , x_1 and x_2 such that $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 < 1$. Accordingly, the Anger-Weber function is a solution of type 1 and asymptotically the correct number of initial values to be used in every case is j = 1; compared (4.3.3). However, the separation of $E_r(x_1)$ from the solutions of type 2 is weak when $\mu = 0$ (being of relative order $r^{-1/2}$ at the odd terms of the sequence and $r^{-3/2}$ at the even terms of the sequence). The separation is also weak when $\mu = 1$ (being of relative order $r^{-3/2}$ at the odd terms of the sequence and $r^{-5/2}$ at the even terms of the sequence). Accordingly, we treat $E_r(1)$ as a solution of type 2 in both cases, even though when $\mu = 1$ greater numerical instability is incurred than in any of the other examples. This is especially true at the even terms of the sequence order $r^{-5/2}$.

From (4.2.4) and (4.3.3) it is seen that the type of the Struve function $H_r(x_1)$ with respect to the JYPQ operator is 0 for all admissible values of x_1 and x_2 . But again because of the weakness of the separation, we regard $H_r(x_1)$ as belonging to type 1. Example 4.4.1 For the inhomogeneous fourth-order difference equations defined in Figure 7, we compute $E_r(1)$ with $\mu = 1$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 0.5$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 100; and $H_r(0.1)$ with $\mu = 1$, $x_1 = 0.1$ and $x_2 = 0.5$, for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., 50. (We restrict the sequence of $H_r(0.1)$ to $r \le 50$ for the same reasons that were described in Example 4.2.1.) For reasons given above, we use 3 initial values (rather than 1) for $E_r(1)$ and 1 initial value (rather than 0) for $H_r(0.1)$. Initial values for $E_r(1)$ and $H_r(0.1)$ were computed from series expansions given in [21] and checked against values found in [21] and [23].

1---

Tables 29 and 30 give extracts from the results of these computations for selected values of r; see §4.0 for a full description of these tables. Comparison of the function values produced by the algorithm with values given in [21] and [23] show good agreement over the available ranges, which, however, do not extend beyond r = 13. Comparison of the values of $E_r(1)$ in Table 2.9 with values computed to 10 significant figures from the series expansion shows a steady deterioration of precision at the even values of r, culminating in a relative error of $0.5(10)^{-5}$ at r = 100. At the odd values of r the precision also deteriorates steadily, but at a slower rate, culminating in a relative error of $0.8(10)^{-7}$ at r = 99. These rates of loss of precision are consistent with the separation ratios of $r^{-5/2}$ and $r^{-3/2}$ which govern the growth of the error in this example.

That is, an individual relative rounding error of, say, 10^{-10} in one of the stored initial values of $E_r(1)$ will be amplified by a factor of approximately $100^{5/2} = 10^5$ at $E_{100}(1)$ and by $100^{3/2} = 10^3$ at $E_{99}(1)$. (As noted in Eq. (4.2.1), the function is in behavior different at even and odd values of r .) These amplifications after 100 steps are greater than the factor of 100 that was predicted and observed in the computation of $A_r(1)$ in Example 4.1.3 and Table 13, where $A_r(1)$ was computed as if it were a function of the next higher type than it actually is.

Finally, comparison of the values of $H_r(0.1)$ in Table 30 with values computed to 10 significant figures from the series expansion shows agreement to 9 or more significant figures at all values of r. The loss of precision in the Struve function computation is slight, due to the separation ratio of only $r^{-1/2}$, but it offsets impossibly slow convergence which would be evidenced if the theoretically correct number of initial values were to be taken.

E _r (1)	. 24002030461 000 . 47050190461 000 . 13406097044 606 . 13406097044 606 . 13406097044 606 . 13919 1291 001 . 1029361 1291 001 . 15096010744 - 603	\$00-671522666 009-2822526621 009-2822526621 009-06564 98822 009-0209 30868 009-002109822 009-00210980 005550002	. 705 1490977-094 . 0996607501-092 . 76533759961-092 . 6666195376961-092 . 7266491999-064 . 670203926-092 . 670203926-092 . 660093110261-692 . 6060911515-064 . 6067473963-693	. 6000784401 - 602 . 4.1202.14465 - 004 . 615.02707 - 042 . 83062.2407 - 042 . 83062.24650 - 062 . 83062.2650 - 062	0, j=3.
n _{r,1} (100)				.3151826350-004 .7649079534-009 .7500401654-009 .1750538505-013 .1717202460-013 .335727202760-013	=1, x ₂ =0.5, i=0, m=10
y _r (100)				$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{.3215467135-004} \\ \textbf{.6367237435-004} \\ \textbf{.6367239755-004} \\ \textbf{.6367393975-004} \\ \textbf{.6367435979-004} \\ \textbf{.6367432931-064} \\ \textbf{.6367432953-004} \\ \textbf{.6367432953-004} \\ \textbf{.63674329323-004} \\ \textbf{.63674329323-004} \\ \textbf{.63674329323-004} \\ \textbf{.63674329323-004} \\ \textbf{.6367432933-004} \\ \textbf{.6367432933-004} \\ \textbf{.6367432933-004} \\ \textbf{.6367632333-004} \\ \textbf{.6367632333-004} \\ \textbf{.6367632333-004} \\ \textbf{.63676323333-004} \\ \textbf{.636763233333-004} \\ \textbf{.636763233333-004} \\ \textbf{.636763233333-004} \\ \textbf{.636763233333-004} \\ \textbf{.6367632333333-004} \\ .636763233333333333333333333333333333333$	quation with μ =1, x_1
w ₁₀₀ (r)				. 4707495729-092 10144356057-004 200-03545504-01 207227550-012 246454545454 210722755270-014 24746755720-014	$_{\rm r}$ (1) from the JYPQ e
z(r)	 (56255566570+000 (000+05755162+000 (000+05755162+000 (000+05751516 (000+05755162 (000+057562 (000+05762 <!--</td--><td>300+937001455 000+99773295 000+9019723295 200+9019723285 200+901972328 200+90197238 200+0278052 200+0376238 200+037638 200+0376238 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+0000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td>600+0201251257 110+021251257 600+02202276020 110+02020226020 110+02020226027 600+02020226027 110+02020226020 110+02020225001 600+020202250 110+020202250 600+0202020 110+0202020 600+0202020 110+0202020 600+0202020 110+0202020 100-0200 100-02020 100-02020 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-000000 100-00000000</td><td>600+0626031204 110+062240-053 110+0962200 110+0962200 100+0963109 600+09631096 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 100+000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+00000000</td><td>29. Computation of E</td>	300+937001455 000+99773295 000+9019723295 200+9019723285 200+901972328 200+90197238 200+0278052 200+0376238 200+037638 200+0376238 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+03768 200+0000000000000000000000000000000000	600+0201251257 110+021251257 600+02202276020 110+02020226020 110+02020226027 600+02020226027 110+02020226020 110+02020225001 600+020202250 110+020202250 600+0202020 110+0202020 600+0202020 110+0202020 600+0202020 110+0202020 100-0200 100-02020 100-02020 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-0200 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-00000 100-000000 100-00000000	600+0626031204 110+062240-053 110+0962200 110+0962200 100+0963109 600+09631096 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 110+66200 100+000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+00000 100+000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+0000000 100+00000000	29. Computation of E
ы	0-000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	1012555555	Table

H _r (0.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 2120651601-002\\ .4242111249-004\\ .60608300286-006\\ .6734676051-003\\ .6734676051-003\\ .6734676051-003\\ .6122716212-010\\ .4709944262-012\\ .3140044933-014\\ .1847123376-016\\ .97218644933-014\\ .1847123376-016\\ .97218644933-014\\ .1847123376-016\\ .3140044933-015\\ .3140044933-016\\ .314064933-016\\ .2932665099-023\\ .2932665099-023\\ .2932665099-023\\ .23756-035\\ .2932665099-023\\ .23756-035\\ .2932665099-023\\ .29526015573-035\\ .29526015573-035\\ .295260276-035\\ .29526015573-035\\ .295260276-035\\ .2952602026-035\\ .2952602026-035\\ .2952602026-035\\$	2136010241-059 3572007851-073 2657537517-087	$\begin{array}{c} .9351329257-102\\ .1186903261-106\\ .1186903261-106\\ .1396563919-107\\ .16035017331-110\\ .1603391300-113\\ .1981756791-116\\ .2130916437-119\\ .23359071557-122\\ .2335506112-122\\ .2335506112-128\\ .2312660801-131\\ .2312660801-131\\ .2312660801-131\\ \end{array}$.2245322552-134 .2138403697-137 .1996692400-140 .00000000000 .0000000000	m=50, j=1.
$\eta_{r,1}^{(50)}$. 2223791084-134 . 2578697598-140 - 1939641672-140 - 1765448724-143	$x_{1}=0.1, x_{2}=0.5, i=0,$
y _r (50)				2310457009 - 131 2312680309 - 131 2312680303 - 131 2312680303 - 131 2312680301 - 131 2312680301 - 131	() equation with $\mu=1$,
w ₅₀ (r)				$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{-8829267290+000}\\ \textbf{-9595439215-003}\\ \textbf{6907674373+000}\\ \textbf{6142402122+000}\\ \textbf{6142402122+000}\\ \end{array}$	I (0.1) from the JYP(
z(r)	 (6359126999-001) . 1764475402+032 . 3725785047+001 . 2406152507+000 . 1130603957-001 . 2951956860-003 . 5555247554-005 . 94212380136-001 . 94212380136-011 . 7641248752-011 . 7641248729-012 . 19201360-024 . 193103305-011 . 7641248729-012 . 193103076239-019 . 1051029043-021 . 4960510130-024 . 295003764964-031 . 1112427579-036 . 35201649649-033 . 3621645659-036 	.5651266921-049 .2686369910-062 .4864654216-076	00113511551163-090 0011-051255670-095 011-05055670-095 011-05055670-095 011-05055670-095 011-0505590-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 010-0515960-095 000-05050-0950-095 000-05050-0950-0950 000-05050-0950-0950-0950 000-05050-0950-0950-0950-0950-0950-0950	821-20202020 2009057132-128 2196379447-131	30. Computation of 1
ц	0-004000000 <u>5-00400</u> 00000000000000000000000000000000	25 36 35	© - 11 11 4 11 0 1 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 0	00000 00000 00000	Table

CHAPTER 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This thesis is concerned with the numerical solution of a broad class of linear difference equations of arbitrary order, and of either homogeneous or inhomogeneous form. An algorithm is presented for the stable computation of any solution of such an equation. The results apply directly to scalar linear difference equations but could be adapted to similar problems posed in vector form.

Historically, the algorithm is an outgrowth of algorithms of Miller and Olver. The underlying idea of Miller's algorithm is the following: If a solution y(r) has growth behavior, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, that is less than or equal to the growth behavior of all independent solutions, then in the reverse direction its growth behavior is greater than or equal to the growth behavior of all independent solutions. Consequently, because of the superposition principle, errors introduced in computing y(r) by backward recurrence cannot grow faster than y(r) itself, and in this sense the backward recurrence process is stable. However, this is true only in a region in which the solutions actually maintain the growth behavior indicated by their asymptotic forms as $r \rightarrow \infty$. For this reason we call this the asymptotic region; evidently it depends on the asymptotic structure of the given difference equation for large r.

Miller's algorithm starts the backward recurrence process at a value of r that is larger than any r for which a valid approximation of y(r) is wanted. Arbitrary starting values are assumed. The computed

1---

solution is essentially a scalar multiple of y(r) for early values of r when (i) the difference equation is homogeneous; (ii) y(r) is subdominant compared to every independent solution of the equation. Under these conditions the algorithm is stable and y(r) is obtained from the computed solution for early values of r by a normalization procedure, such as matching the value of the computed solution at r = 0 with y(0) in order to determine the correct scale factor. Modified normalization procedures are required when the asymptotic behaviors of more than one fundamental solution are similar.

Miller's algorithm involves no forward recurrence, and is stable only for solutions whose growth behavior is less than or equal to the growth behavior of all independent solutions. Olver's algorithm was applied originally to second-order inhomogeneous difference equations, in cases where a particular solution y(r) has growth behavior $as r + \infty$ that lies between that of a pair of linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation. To construct a stable algorithm, Olver posed a boundary value problem having one condition at each end. The initial condition is the value of y(r) at a suitable point r = i. The terminal condition is zero, it being anticipated that isolated values of y(r)for large r will not be easily obtained. The resulting boundary value problem is a tri-diagonal linear system of algebraic equations. Olver specified a method for solving this linear system that involves a forward recurrence stage and a backward recurrence stage. The method is equivalent to Gaussian elimination without pivoting, scaled in a

particular way. Analysis of the method shows that (i) the forward and backward recurrence stages are stable, at least in ranges beginning at a sufficiently large value of r; (ii) the second-order linear difference operator that corresponds to the difference equation is, in effect, factored into the product of two first-order operators. Furthermore Olver derived an infinite series expansion of the truncation error, valid at any point r, that is incurred by choosing a particular terminal point for the boundary value problem. This enabled the optimal terminal point to be calculated automatically, in contrast to Miller's algorithm in which the terminal point is guessed and subsequently tested.

Extensions of Olver's algorithm to difference equations of higher order were proposed by Zahar, Oliver and Cash. Criteria for selecting the correct number of initial conditions (and therefore the correct number of terminal conditions, since the total number of conditions must equal the order of the difference equation) were given independently by Zahar and Oliver. Zahar proved convergence of the algorithm under assumed conditions on the solutions of the adjoint equation. Oliver investigated stability by analyzing the factorization of the finite boundary value problem. Cash provided an extension of the series expansion of the truncation error. The work of Zahar, Oliver and Cash, taken together, contains the essential elements of a practicable generalization of Olver's algorithm.

In this thesis Olver's algorithm has been extended and analyzed afresh. The view was taken that a linear difference operator is an

infinite upper-triangular band matrix. For a specified initial point i and number of initial conditions j, an (i,j)-factorization of a linear difference operator D is said to exist if Gaussian elimination without pivoting about the j-th super-diagonal of D, starting at the point i on this diagonal, can be carried out indefinitely. This process produces two lower-order difference operators (infinite band matrices). Their product is, of course, the original operator, and the sum of their orders is the order of the original operator. Furthermore, the solution of any finite boundary-value problem having initial point i, number of initial points j and terminal point n may be found by forward recursion with one of these lower-order operators followed backward recursion with the other (Theorem 1.2.2). Procedurally, a finite boundary value problem is solved by the algebraic method of forward elimination without pivoting followed by back substitution; this is accompanied by an analysis of the stability of this process in terms of linear difference operators.

Determination of the correct number of initial conditions for stability requires a knowledge of the relative rates of asymptotic growth of solutions of the homogeneous equation as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since the number of linearly independent homogeneous solutions is equal to the order l of the linear difference operator, there cannot be more than l distinct growth rates as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Cases in which there are exactly l distinct growth rates are the easiest to treat. In these cases a basis exists that is linearly ordered by growth rate, and we call the operator totally separable. However, there exist operators of practical

interest, including constant-coefficient operators for which one or more of the distinct characteristic roots are equal in magnitude, that are not totally separable. Accordingly, a more general class of operators, called separable operators, is introduced (Definition 2.2.1). The solutions of a homogeneous equation that has a separable, but not totally separable, operator exhibit less than ℓ distinct rates of growth as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Separable operators are characterized by the existence of certain bases, called optimally ranked. An optimally ranked basis includes $\sigma < \ell$ disjoint subsets such that (i) neither of two distinct solutions in the same subset dominates the other as $r \rightarrow \infty$; (ii) any two solutions taken from distinct subsets are such that one dominates the other; (iii) no nonzero linear combination of solutions from one subset is dominated by any solution in the subset. These conditions ensure that separable operators are unambiguously defined in the sense that any two distinct optimally ranked bases have similar structure (Lemma 2.2.1).

The disjoint subsets of an optimally ranked basis are arranged in linear order by increasing rate of growth. All possible solutions of an inhomogeneous difference equation with arbitrary right side are classifiable by comparison with the solutions in an optimally ranked basis of the corresponding homogeneous form. Thus a particular solution y(r) is said to be of type s if (i) y(r) is not dominated as $r \neq \infty$ by any solution in the first s subsets of an optimally ranked basis; (ii) y(r) is dominated by every solution in the remaining σ -s subsets. The extreme cases $s=\sigma$ and s=0 correspond to particular

1-3

solutions for which forward recurrence and backward recurrence of the given difference equation are stable, respectively. For the intermediate cases $0 < s < \sigma$, let j be the number of solutions in the first s subsets. If in addition to being separable the difference operator is (i,j)-factorizable for some initial point i, analysis of the boundary value problem shows that the equivalent pair of forward and backward recurrence problems (Theorem 1.2.2) are stable in the sense that rounding errors are not propagated more rapidly than the solutions, at least in the asymptotic region belonging to the given problem. Accordingly, the solution y(r) of the boundary value problem is obtained in a stable manner by forward elimination without pivoting followed by back substitution (§3.3 and Theorem 3.3.1). Furthermore, under appropriate conditions it follows that (i) y(r) is uniquely determined by j initial values (Theorem 3.1.1); (ii) the algorithm converges pointwise as the terminal point proceeds to infinity (Theorem 3.2.1).

The numerical examples included in this thesis were chosen so that known asymptotic results could be used in conjunction with the new classification theory for separable operators. This enabled the correct number of initial conditions for any chosen solution to be ascertained immediately. The examples also show that it is sometimes desirable to associate a solution with solutions of the next higher theoretical type. This artificial raising of the type is manifested in the algorithm by the specification of the number of initial conditions appropriate for the higher type. This artifice preserves unique determination

of the solution and also convergence of the algorithm, but introduces instability. It is employed only when the asymptotic separation between two types is weak, consequently the loss of precision resulting from the instability is mild. The compensating advantage is that the rate of convergence is increased very substantially, enabling a much smaller terminal point to be used.

The trade-off between numerical instability and slow convergence can be regarded heuristically in the following way. First, suppose $u(r) \sim e^{-r}$ and $v(r) \sim e^{r}$ are two fundamental solutions of a second-order equation. Then one initial value of u(r) determines the function uniquely and the algorithm is both convergent and stable. Furthermore, the rate of convergence is very rapid. This can be seen from the equation

$$u_n(r) = u(r) - \frac{e^{-n}}{e^n} \cdot v(r)\{1+o(1)\}, n \to \infty,$$

where $u_n(r)$ is the solution of the approximating boundary value problem having n as its terminal point (compare Eq. 3.2.6). The rapid convergence of $u_n(r)$ to u(r) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed r is readily apparent. Thus the algorithm performs very well with regard to both numerical stability and rapidity of convergence when the separation of solutions is strong as in this example of exponential separation.

Next, suppose that $u(r) \sim r^{-1}$ and $v(r) \sim r^{-1/2}$. Here again, one initial value of u(r) determines the function uniquely and the algorithm is both convergent and stable. But in this case

$$u_n(r) = u(r) - \frac{n^{-1}}{n^{-1/2}} \cdot v(r)\{1+o(1)\}, n \to \infty$$

and it is apparent that the rate of convergence will be governed by the very slowly decreasing function $n^{-1/2}$. Suppose, however, that we give an additional initial value of u(r). With two initial values our algorithm is equivalent to forward recurrence of the given difference equation and all questions of convergence disappear. This forward recurrence is, of course, unstable for the desired solution u(r) but the instability is very weak; any small component of the more dominant solution v(r) can grow no faster than $r^{1/2}$ relative to u(r). Although this rate of growth (or any other positive rate of growth) is ultimately sufficient to obliterate the desired solution of considerable length can be computed before this happens. Thus in many practical situations where the separation of solutions is weak, a minor modification[†] of the algorithm performs acceptably well with regard to both stability and convergence.

Finally, suppose that the relative separation of u(r) and v(r) is like $r^{-3/2}$ or $r^{-5/2}$ (compare Example 4.4.1 which presents the computation of a function exhibiting both forms of behavior, $r^{-3/2}$ on the subsequence of odd terms and $r^{-5/2}$ on the subsequence of even terms). These separation ratios are too weak to allow rapid convergence of the unmodified algorithm, and at the same time too strong for the numerical instability in the modified algorithm to be truly insignificant. Computation of cases such as this are the most difficult for the algorithm to perform well, and performance can be improved only by recourse to extended-precision computation.

^TIn general the modified algorithm will require a back substitution as well as a forward elimination stage. Nevertheless, similar considerations govern the trade-off between numerical instability and slow convergence.

Perhaps it should be stressed that a complete knowledge of the asymptotic behavior of all of the solutions of the homogeneous equation is essential to determine the correct number of initial conditions. This information may be developed for a broad class of linear difference equations using known analytical results (§2.2). An alternative would be to attempt to construct the essential classification parameters - the number of distinct types of solution, and the number of linearly independent solutions of each type - by numerical experimentation. Under this approach, the algorithm presented in this thesis would be applied with chosen initial conditions appropriate to different possible realizations of the classification theory, that is, different possible forms of the optimally ranked basis. Presumably, incorrect choices would be revealed by discernible numerical instability. The effectiveness of such an approach has not be assessed, however.

In conclusion, the results presented in this thesis are distinguished from the work of earlier authors in the following ways. First, the interplay between linear difference operators and infinite band matrices achieves a fuller blending of analytic and algebraic ideas. This leads to a clearer understanding of stability, including the tradeoff choices that exist between slow convergence and mild instability when the asymptotic separation of adjacent solutions is weak. Secondly, the classification theory for separable operators is believed to be new. It enables the proper number of initial conditions that are prescribed by the convergence theorem to be determined directly from the asymptotic behavior of fundamental solutions of the given difference equation. In contrast, Zahar employs fundamental solutions of the adjoint equation and Oliver applies a point-by-point growth criterion between pairs of solutions. Finally, the numerical examples presented here have been chosen in such a way that the solutions exhibit a much richer variety of growth rates than in any previous investigation.

APPENDIX

Proof of Lemma 2.1.1

The proof consists of (i) identifying the sequence defined by equation (2.1.4) as an almost periodic sequence, and (ii) proving that every nonzero almost periodic sequence has an infinite subsequence which is bounded away from zero. We begin by presenting the essential elements of the theory of almost periodic functions.

First we give the characterization of almost periodic functions that is taken as the definition by Corduneanu [7]. A function of the form

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k e^{i\lambda_k x}, -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where the c_k are complex numbers, the λ_k are real numbers and $i = \sqrt{-1}$, is called a <u>complex trigonometric polynomial</u>. A function f(x)taking complex values and having all real numbers as domain is an <u>almost</u> <u>periodic function</u> provided that to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds some trigonometric polynomial $T_c(x)$ such that

$$|f(x) - T_{\varepsilon}(x)| < \varepsilon$$

uniformly on $-\infty < x < \infty$. Clearly, every complex trigonometric polynomial is itself an almost periodic function.

An alternative characterization is the definition of H. Bohr [4]: A continuous complex valued function f(x) defined on the real line is <u>almost periodic</u> if to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds a positive real number $\ell = \ell(\varepsilon)$ such that every open interval of the real line of length ℓ contains at least one point ξ for which

$$|f(x+\xi) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

uniformly for every x. Any number ξ which satisfies this condition is called a translation number of f corresponding to ε , or more briefly, an ε -translation number of f.

An ε -translation number of f is "almost" a period of f. Every periodic function is almost periodic as well. If p is the fundamental period of a periodic function f, then rp for $r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ are translation numbers of f for each ε . Bohr's definition generalizes the fact that the periods of a periodic function form an arithmetic progression.

Bohr's definition and Corduneanu's definition define exactly the same class of functions. This is the "fundamental theorem" of the theory of almost periodic functions. See either [4] or [7] for a full account.

Turning now to sequences, we have the following definition presented in [7, \S 1.6]: A function f(r), taking complex values and having the set of integers as domain, is an <u>almost period sequence</u> provided that to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds some positive integer N = N(ε) such that, within any set of N consecutive integers, there exists an integer p for which

 $|f(r+p) - f(r)| < \varepsilon$.

uniformly over all integers r. Corduneanu proves "A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence $\{a_r\}$ to be almost periodic is the existence of an almost periodic function f(x), $-\infty < x < \infty$, such that $a_r = f(r)$, $r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ "; see [7, Theorem 1.27].

We are now ready to prove Lemma 2.1.1. If r were allowed to assume all real values, instead of being restricted to r = 0, 1, 2, ..., then equation (2.1.4) defines a trigonometric polynomial. By Corduneanu's definition, every trigonometric polynomial is an almost periodic function. By the theorem quoted above, the sequence x(r), $r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ defined by equation (2.1.4) is an almost periodic sequence. The only thing remaining is to prove the following assertion:

If an almost periodic sequence $\{f(r)\}$ is not identically zero, then there exists an infinite subsequence of $\{f(r)\}$ which is bounded away from zero.

<u>Proof</u> Assume {f(r)} is not identically zero. Let r_0 be such that $f(r_0) \neq 0$ and put $A = |f(r_0)|$. Put $\varepsilon = A/(2+A)$. Then $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, and by the definition of an almost periodic sequence there exists a positive integer N_1 , say, such that for some integer $p_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., N_1\}$ the inequality

$$|f(r_0 + p_1) - f(r_0)| < \varepsilon$$

is satisfied. Put $r_1 = r_0 + p_1$. Next, there exists a positive integer N_2 such that for some integer $p_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., N_2\}$ the inequality

$$|f(r_1+p_2) - f(r_1)| < \epsilon^2$$

is satisfied. Put $r_2 = r_1 + p_2$. Continuing in this manner, we see that for each $k = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ we can find an integer $r_k > r_{k-1}$ such that

$$|f(r_k) - f(r_{k-1})| < \epsilon^k$$
.

For each $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ we have

$$|f(r_k) - f(r_0)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} |f(r_j) - f(r_{j-1})|$$
$$< \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varepsilon^j < \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2} A$$

Since $A = |f(r_0)|$, we have

$$|f(r_k)| = |f(r_0) + f(r_k) - f(r_0)|$$

 $\ge A - |f(r_k) - f(r_0)| > \frac{1}{2}A$

which finishes the proof of the assertion. 🕅

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, V. B. and Burgmeier, J. W. An algorithmic decomposition system for second order recurrence relations, BIT 18, 241-258 (1978).
- Birkhoff, G. D. Formal theory of irregular linear difference equations, Acta Math. 54, 205-246 (1930).
- Birkhoff, G. D., and Trjitzinsky, W. J. Analytic theory of singular difference equations, Acta Math. 60, 1-89 (1933).
- Bohr, H. <u>Almost Periodic Functions</u>. Chelsea, Bronx, New York, 1951. (Translated from the German edition of 1933.)
- British Association for the Advancement of Science, <u>Mathematical Tables</u>,
 v. 10, <u>Bessel Functions</u>. Part II. <u>Functions of Positive Integer</u>
 Order. Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1952.
- Cash, J. R. An extension of Olver's method for the numerical solution of linear recurrence relations, Math. Comp. 32, 497-510 (1978).
- Corduneanu, C. <u>Almost Periodic Functions</u>. Interscience, New York, 1968. (Translated from the Romanian edition of 1961.)
- Dongarra, J. J., Bunch, J. R., Moler, C. B., and Stewart, G. W. LINPACK Users' Guide. SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, Pa., 1979.
- Gautschi, W. Computational aspects of three-term recurrence relations, SIAM Review 9, 24-82 (1967).
- Isaacson, E. and Keller, H. B. <u>Analysis of Numerical Methods</u>.
 Wiley, New York, 1966.
- 11. Lozier, D. W., and Smith, J. M. Algorithm XXX. Extended-range arithmetic and normalized Legendre polynomials, to appear in ACM Trans. on Math. Software.
- 12. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MACSYMA Reference Manual. Mathlab Group, Laboratory for Computer Science, Cambridge, MA 02139, 1977.

- Miller, K. S. <u>Linear Difference Equations</u>. Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- 14. Milne-Thomson, L. M. <u>The Calculus of Finite Differences</u>, Macmillan, London, 1933.
- 15. Muir, T. <u>A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants</u>. Dover, New York, 1960.
- 16. National Bureau of Standards, <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, Applied Math. Series No. 55, (M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Eds.). U. S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1964.
- 17. National Bureau of Standards, <u>Tables of Associated Legendre Functions</u>. Columbia University Press, New York, 1945.
- 18. Oliver, J. Relative error propagation in the recursive solution of linear recurrence relations, Numer. Math. 9, 323-340 (1967).
- 19. Oliver, J. The numerical solution of linear recurrence relations, Numer. Math. 11, 349-360 (1968).
- 20. Oliver, J. An extension of Olver's error estimation technique for linear recurrence relations, Numer. Math. 12, 459-467 (1968).
- 21. Olver, F. W. J. Numerical solution of second-order linear difference equations, J. Res. National Bureau of Standards 71B. 111-129 (1967).
- 22. Olver, F. W. J. and Sookne, D. J. Note on backward recurrence algorithms, Math. Comp. 26, 941-947 (1972).
- Robinson, C. A numerical and analytical investigation of Struve's function. Thesis, London University, 1948.
- 24. Smith, J. M., Olver, F. W. J., and Lozier, D. W. Extended-range arithmetic and normalized Legendre polynomials, to appear in ACM Trans. on Math. Software.

- 25. Van der Cruyssen, P. A reformulation of Olver's algorithm for the numerical solution of second-order linear difference equations, Numer. Math. 32, 159-166 (1979).
- 26. Wimp, J. <u>On Recursive Computation</u>, ARL 69-0186, Aerospace Research Laboratories, Office of Aerospace Research, U. S. Air Force, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, November 1969.
- 27. Zahar, R. V. M. <u>Computational Algorithms for Linear Difference</u> Equations. Thesis, Purdue University, January 1968.
- Zahar, R. V. M. A mathematical analysis of Miller's algorithm, Numer. Math. 27, 427-447 (1977).

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Gov't. Accessio	n No. 3. Recipient's A	ccession No.		
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	NBSIR 80-1976					
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. Publication D	ate		
			MARCH	, 1980		
Numerical Solution of Linear Difference Equations				6. Performing Organization Code		
				G annaan		
7. AUTHOR(S)			8. Performing Or	gan. Report No.		
Dani	el W. Lozier					
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO	IN NAME AND ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10. Project/Task	/Work Unit No.		
DEPARTMENT OF COMM	STANDARDS ERCE		11. Contract/Gran	nt No.		
WASHINGTON, DC 20234						
12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS (Stre	eet, City, State, ZIP)	13. Type of Repo	rt & Period Covered		
				_		
Same as Item 9			Fina	FINAL		
			are oponsoring of	The opposition fills in gent y could		
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
Document describes a con	mputer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Sum	mary, is attached.				
16. ABSTRACT (A 200-word or I	less factual summary of most significant in	formation. If document	includes a significant bi	ibliography or		
Consider a given	linear difference equation	on $\int^{\ell} d(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{y}$	(r+s) = g(r) wh	ere l > 2 and		
r = 0.1.2 Sup	nose w is a solution of th	"s=0 s"	nd u v are solu	- tions of the		
homogeneous form of	this equation such that u	$(r)/v(r) \rightarrow 0,$	$y(r)/v(r) \rightarrow 0$,	$u(r)/y(r) \rightarrow 0.$		
Under these circumst	ances algorithms for the o	computation of	y based on for	ward or back-		
ward recurrence, suc	h as the Miller algorithm.	, are numerica.	lly unstable.			
v(r) by the solution	s of a certain sequence of	f boundary valu	ue problems. Sr	ecifically.		
y (r) is a solution	that coincides with y(r)	over some init	ial range of r,	say r=i,i+1,		
,i+j-1, and satis	fies $y_n(r) = 0$ for $r=n, n+2$	1,, n+l-j-1	. Here j is an	integer whose		
value depends on the	asymptotic behavior of the	ne chosen solu	tion y(r) and n	is an arbi-		
two initial value pr	oblems of order i and l-i	by factorizat	ion of the diff	erence opera-		
tor. The solution of	the problem of order j is	s obtained by	forward recurre	ence; the solu-		
tion of the other pr	oblem is obtained by back	ward recurrence	e.	Luddaa fam		
example, every const	specified completely for	a broad class	or operators 1 f v (r) to v(r)	as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for		
fixed r is proved an	d an expansion of the tru	ncation error	is derived. Nur	merical sta-		
bility is demonstrat	ed. The method is tested	by numerical	examples involv	ving fourth-		
order equations with	variable coefficients.					
II. KEY WURDS (six to twelve en separated by semicolons)	ntries; alphabetical order; capitalize only t	he first letter of the fir	st key word unless a proj	per name;		
Factorization meth	ods; linear difference equ	uations; linea	r recurrence re	lations;		
Miller's algorithm	; Olver's algorithm; nume:	rical stability	y •			
18. AVAILABILITY		19. SE(CURITY CLASS	21. NO. OF		
		(TH	IS REPORT)	PRINTED PAGES		
For Official Distribution.	. Do Not Release to NTIS	11N		166		
		20. SEC	CURITY CLASS	22. Price		
20402, SD Stock No. SNO	03-003-	ton, DC (TH	IS PAGE)			
Criter From National Tec	hnical Information Service (NTIS), Springfic	eld, UN		\$11.00		

NBS-114A (REV. 9-78)

