720 - 300.03 - 40

## NBSIR 75-670 (R) Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers

E. L. Graminski and E. E. Toth

Paper Evaluation Section Institute for Materials Research

February 20, 1975

Progress report covering the period July 1 – December 31, 1974

Prepared for Bureau of Engraving and Printing U.S. Department of the Treasury Washington, D. C. 20401

NBSIR 75-670

# EVALUATION OF CURRENCY AND STAMP PAPERS

E. L. Graminski and E. E. Toth

Paper Evaluation Section Institute for Materials Research

February 20, 1975

Progress report covering the period July 1 – December 31, 1974

NOTE:

This document has been prepared for the use of the Bureau of Engraving and Printing. Responsibility for its further use rests with that agency.

Prepared for Bureau of Engraving and Printing U.S. Department of the Treasury Washington, D.C. 20401



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick B. Dent, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Richard W. Roberts, Director



### CONTENTS

Page

1.	SUMMA	ARY	1
	1.1 1.2	Mechanical Refining, Wet Pressing, and Modification of Paper with Acrylic Resins . Modification of Currency Paper	1 2
2.	THE E STIFF	EFFECT OF MECHANICAL TREATMENT ON THE TNESS RETENTION OF PAPER WHEN FLEXED	3
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Background	3 3 5
		Flexing	6
	2.4	Modulus and Paper Stiffness Achievement of Maximum Density for	7
	2.5	Currency Paper	9
		raper with Acrylic Resins	11
3.	MODIF	FICATION OF CURRENCY PAPER WITH ACRYLIC NS BY THE SATURATION TECHNIQUE	13
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Background	13 13 14
Δ	BTRLI	I OG RAPHY	

.

#### 1. SUMMARY

### 1.1 <u>Mechanical Refining, Wet Pressing, and Modification</u> of Paper with Acrylic Resins

The structure of paper affects the durability of paper. Mechanical refining (beating), wet pressing, and the addition of acrylic resins to paper by the beater addition technique have a significant effect on the structure of paper. The relationship between the three aforementioned variables on the durability of paper was determined.

A kraft wood pulp was mechanically refined (beaten) to various degrees and formed into handsheets which were pressed at either low or high pressure. Some of the beaten pulp was modified with acrylic resins known to have varying effects on the structure of paper. One half of each handsheet was flexed on the National Bureau of Standards paper flexer, and the properties of the flexed paper were compared to those of the unflexed portion.

Beating increases wet fiber flexibility resulting in a more compact fiber network structure and a denser sheet. Increasing amounts of wet pressing also compacts the fibers, resulting in greater paper density. The effects of wet pressing are greatest at lower levels of pulp refining. Acrylic resins cause the fibrils and debris to redeposit onto the fibers ensuing in a more porous sheet.

The fines, produced during mechanical refining, form a film-like material (matrix) in the interstices of the fibers during the formation of paper. This matrix serves to restrain the lateral movement and the twisting of fibers when paper is strained, compelling the stresses developed in the fibers to dissipate axially rather than transversely. Stress dissipation in the direction of least resistance is restrained and, consequently, the modulus and stiffness are higher. If the matrix deteriorates when paper is flexed, a significant decline in stiffness and modulus results.

As mechanical refining and wet pressing increases, the free volume of paper decreases precluding lateral movement and/or twisting of fibers, and the matrix contributes less and less to paper stiffness. If there is little or no degradation of interfiber bonding during flexing of dense paper, the free volume does not increase appreciably and the bending stiffness does not decline as extensively as it does in less dense papers. Modification of paper with certain acrylic resins results in a further improvement of stiffness retention during flexing. The effect of the acrylic resin on the structural changes of paper does not diverge at different levels of mechanical treatment. An analysis of the effect of acrylic resins on the stiffness retention of paper indicates that the acrylic resin should be located at or near the top and bottom surfaces of paper for greatest utility.

Additional work must be done to determine the most effective means for obtaining high density currency paper.

### 1.2 Modification of Currency Paper

Laboratory results with handsheets indicated significant increases in stiffness retention with flexing would result when paper was modified with certain acrylic resins by the saturation technique. Results obtained from handsheets, however, are not always equivalent to results obtained with machine made paper. Therefore, machine made currency paper was treated with acrylic resin AC-61 to determine if the treatment would also improve its stiffness retention. The reason for choosing resin AC-61 was because it produced the best results in handsheets.

The stiffness retention with flexing of currency paper treated with AC-61 was superior to currency paper normally sized with a glue-glycerin mixture. The results suggest that a mill trial should be conducted in which currency paper would be modified with AC-61 by saturation to enable a full scale evaluation of the acrylic resin treatment.

### 2. THE EFFECT OF MECHANICAL TREATMENT ON THE STIFFNESS RETENTION OF PAPER WHEN FLEXED

### 2.1 Background

The mechanical refining of pulp and wet pressing of paper after formation significantly affect the mechanical properties of finished paper [1], as both treatments influence interfiber bonding and fiber strength. The effects of mechanical refining and wet pressing on the structural and mechanical properties of paper and on the stiffness retention of paper with flexing have been evaluated and are reported here.

Previous studies [2-5] on the modification of paper with acrylic resins by beater addition indicated that fibrils and debris redeposited onto the fibers, resulting in a decline in the material deposited in the interstices of the fibers [4]. The decline in modulus, strength, and retention of stiffness, which resulted with some of the treatments, could be attributed to the accompanying structural change. As acrylic resins in beater addition might perform differently at different levels of mechanical refinement and wet pressing, a study has been .made of refining and wet pressing in relation to beater addition of acrylic resins.

### 2.2 Experimental

A kraft wood pulp was chosen for this work as it is relatively easy to refine in comparison to rag pulps.

Two acrylic resins were selected for this investigation, E-631 and TR-407 (same as resin HA-16 except for emulsifier). Resin E-631 has an adverse effect on stiffness retention, while TR-407 was found to give the greatest improvement in stiffness retention of any of the acrylic resins investigated in beater addition studies [3]. The use of two greatly different functioning resins would tend to magnify effects and minimize uncertainties due to sample variation.

The pulp was beaten in a PFI laboratory mill at 10 percent consistency, with no clearance between bedplate and roll, for 1, 2.5, 5, 10, or 20 thousand revolutions at 3.4 kilograms force and a relative velocity of roll to bedplate of 6 m/sec. Forty grams of pulp were beaten for each of the variables investigated. Six aliquots were taken from each beater run sufficient to make a 12 x 12 inch handsheet of 70 g/m<sup>2</sup>. An aliguot of beater stock was diluted with  $600 \text{ cm}^3$  distilled water and agitated 7,500 revolutions in a British disintegrator. If no further treatment was necessary, as in the preparation of waterleaf control handsheets, the mixture was transferred to the deckle box of the handsheet machine and a sheet was made as described below. For acrylic resin treatments, the pH was adjusted to 9 with 1 N NaOH and a retention aid added to the pulp slurry. Two percent, based on latex solids to be deposited on the fibers, was added for latex E-631 and 4 percent for latex TR-407. The retention aid was metered from a 1 percent solution and diluted with 30 cm<sup>3</sup> distilled water. Two thirds of the retention aid was added to the pulp suspension and stirred for 5 minutes in order to exhaust the retention aid from solution prior to latex addition. The pH of the mixture was then decreased to 4.0 with 0.5 N  $H_2SO_A$ .

The acrylic emulsion was diluted with approximately 50 cm<sup>3</sup> distilled water and added to the pulp suspension in three equal portions with moderate stirring (rapid stirring removes adsorbed polymer by shearing). Five minutes was allowed between each addition to exhaust the acrylic latex. After all of the latex was added, the remainder of the retention aid was added and the mixture was stirred for an additional 5 minutes.

The mixture was then transferred to the deckle box of the handsheet machine and a sheet was formed. The wire containing the formed sheet was placed on a blotter, covered with a felt, and consolidated by pressing the sheet with a 30 cm long roller weighing 22.5 kg. The sheet was removed from the wire, placed between felts, and passed through the roll press of the handsheet machine at either the minimum or maximum pressure possible. The pressed sheet was dried on a drum drier at 95°C for approximately 4 minutes.

One half of each sheet was flexed 1,000 times over 3.18 mm rollers and constrained by a 700 g free hanging weight on the NBS paper flexer. The other half served as a control. The results are given in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4.

### 2.3 Results and Discussion

Wet pressing and mechanical refinement increase the tensile and other physical properties of paper with the exception of Elmendorf tear, cantilever stiffness, air permeability, and thickness. Mechanical refinement results in greater fiber wet flexibility eventuating in greater compaction of fibers before pressing. Therefore, as mechanical refinement is increased, the effect of wet pressing decreases.

The chances for stress concentration increases with density, as when paper is torn, leading to a decrease in tearing strength. Increased compaction of fibers results in a less porous sheet leading to lower air permeability and decreased thickness. The decrease in thickness is also responsible for the decline in bending stiffness, as the stiffness of a material is proportional to the cube of the thickness. It will be shown later, however, that thickness is not the only factor related to the stiffness of paper.

Beater addition of acrylic resins usually increases the porosity of paper. The probable causes for this were discussed in a previous report [2]. The effect of the acrylic resins on the mechanical properties of paper did not appear to be influenced by mechanical treatment. If the stiffness retention of paper retrogressed as a result of treatment with acrylic resin at one set of mechanical treatment conditions, the trend remained unchanged for all the other mechanical treatment conditions. Stiffness retention, as well as the retention of other properties, declined with resin E-631, but improved appreciably with resin TR-407.

The retention of physical properties during flexing increased as the degree of mechanical refinement increased. Wet pressing had a similar effect in that retention of properties with flexing were higher for sheets pressed at the highest pressure.

### 2.3.1 The Importance of Apparent Density to the Retention of Stiffness with Flexing

Retention of stiffness increases with increasing mechanical refining and wet pressing. Both mechanical processes eventuate higher density paper as the degree of the process increases. A plot of log percent retention of stiffness against density for the four papers investigated indicates a high correlation between the density of the paper and the retention of stiffness with flexing. The coefficient of correlation ranges from .89 for paper treated with E-631 to a high of .99 for the water and retention aid controls (Figure 1).

In the beating of pulp, the following occurs: The outer  $S_1$  layer of the fibers is removed, fibers are cut and split longitudinally, fines are produced, hemicelluloses and other low molecular weight celluloses are rearranged and migrate to the fiber surface or are dissolved, and internal bonds are broken. The fibrils exhibit a significant axial orientation which results in an increase in packing density of the wall [9], and some fibrils are pulled away from the fiber but remain attached (fibrillation) while others break away completely (debris). These morphological changes . result in increased wet fiber flexibility and increased fiber strength, stiffness, and modulus upon drying [9]. As the amount of mechanical refinement increases, dislocations and other fiber wall damage occur which reduces both fiber tensile strength and modulus.

Increased wet fiber flexibility results in a more compact fiber network structure or a denser sheet. The fines formed during beating also contribute significantly to sheet density since they produce denser sheets than fibers alone [9]. As a consequence, increased refining results in the production of increasingly more dense sheets with a decreasing amount of free volume, which is the probable cause for increased stiffness retention.

In less dense sheets, the free volume is greater, allowing fibers to move laterally or to twist when strained, permitting the dissipation of stresses in the direction of least resistance. The matrix, located in the interstices of fibers in the sheet and formed from the fines generated during beating, restrains lateral movement and fiber twisting when paper is strained and results in a higher modulus. On flexing, the matrix cracks leaving only the fiber network structure and fiber stiffness contributing to sheet stiffness. As paper density increases, the matrix contributes less and less to paper stiffness as the decrease in free volume precludes fiber twisting or lateral movement when the paper is strained. If there is little or no degradation of interfiber bonding during flexing of dense papers, the free volume should not increase appreciably and the bending stiffness should not decline as extensively as it does in less dense papers.

In summary, the bending stiffness of paper is dependent on (1) fiber stiffness, (2) the matrix formed from the fines, and (3) the fiber network structure. The matrix plays a major role in paper stiffness with less dense papers. Once the matrix is destroyed in flexing, there is a sharp decline in stiffness, and the residual stiffness depends upon the fiber stiffness and fiber network structure. As the fiber network becomes more compact, the free volume of paper declines, and the matrix becomes decreasingly important for paper stiffness. The lower the free volume, the lower the opportunity for fibers to twist and move laterally when paper is strained, resulting in a higher modulus and bending stiffness.

### 2.3.2 The Relationship Between Paper Modulus and Paper Stiffness

When a piece of material, initially straight, is stressed in bending, the relationships between the applied bending moment and the deformation, on the one hand, and between the deformation and the stress at any point in the material, on the other hand, are given by the ordinary elastic formulae of strength of materials.

$$\frac{M}{I} = \frac{E}{R} = \frac{f}{Y}$$

where M = bending moment

- I = second moment of area of the section about the neutral plane
- E = Young's modulus
- R = radius of curvature
- f = the tensile or compressive stress in the material at any distance (y) from the neutral plane.

7

For a rectangular section, as in the case of paper,

$$I = \frac{a b^3}{12}$$

where a = width
b = depth of the cross-section (thickness) [13].

In bending, both the stress and the strain vary linearly across the section, increasing from zero at the neutral plane to a maximum at the maximum value of y, providing the material behaves elastically. The stress distribution is represented in Figure 5 below.



Figure 5. Elastic stress distribution in bending.

Material on the outside of the bend is in tension while that on the inside is in compression [13].

For most materials there is a linear relationship between the modulus and the stiffness of the material, i.e., the stiffness increases with increasing modulus. With paper the reverse is true in that the stiffness decreases with increasing modulus at a constant cellulose content (weight per unit area) (Figure 2). The increase in paper density is achieved by increased beating and wet pressing which results in a decreased thickness if the weight per unit area remains unchanged. As the stiffness of a material is related to the third power of its thickness, it is only logical to attribute the inverse relationship of modulus and stiffness of paper to the decline in thickness. The relationship between the modulus and the stiffness of flexed paper is the inverse of that for unflexed paper and identical to that of most materials (Figure 3). Since the thickness of flexed and unflexed papers is not significantly different, it becomes apparent that the stiffness of unflexed paper is highly dependent on a structural component which deteriorates during flexing. Conceivably, it is the deterioration of the matrix which has a significant effect on the original stiffness of paper. The effect of the matrix on the stiffness of paper could be viewed as being analogous to the effect of starch on the stiffness of woven or nonwoven fabrics. The matrix in paper and the starch in the woven fabric probably function similarly in increasing the stiffness of the material.

### 2.4 Achievement of Maximum Density for Currency Paper

The most common methods for increasing the apparent density of paper are (1) mechanical refining (beating), (2) wet pressing, (3) calendering, (4) selecting pulps with low fiber coarseness, and (5) additives such as inorganic fillers.

The morphological changes that occur in fibers during beating were enumerated in section 2.3.1 of this report. Perhaps the most important changes occurring during mechanical refining are the increase in wet fiber flexibility and production of fines. These two factors have a great effect on the final density of paper. Since excessive beating leads to fiber damage, there is a limit to the degree a pulp can be beaten without suffering a decline in strength. Each pulp has a characteristic response to beating which must be determined in advance.

The greatest increase in apparent density through wet pressing is with lightly beaten pulps since these pulps do not compact well without wet pressing. Excessive wet pressing may cause fiber damage and a resulting decline in strength properties. The main advantage in achieving greater density through wet pressing of lightly refined pulp is to obtain high interfiber bonding while still maintaining a lower fiber modulus. In this way, high modulus paper increases its ability to absorb shock and distribute stresses. There is no information available on the effect of wet pressing on subsequent calendering. Some work in this area is in progress at NBS. The importance of wet pressing in optimizing the apparent density of currency paper is unknown.

Calendering is very effective in increasing the apparent density of paper. However, while calendering makes paper more uniform in thickness, it is at the expense of uniformity in density. Calendering is achieved with a set of horizontal cast iron rolls with chilled hardened surfaces, or a set of rolls having alternate chilled, cast iron, and soft rolls (supercalendering).

Technically, currency paper is supercalendered during printing as it is passed twice between a steel roll consisting of the engraved plate and the flexible impression roll. There is a 20-25 percent reduction in thickness as a consequence of printing.

In retrospect, the dry process for printing currency may have a significant effect on the increased circulation life of currency printed by that method over currency printed by the wet intaglio process [12]. The apparent density of currency (calculated from the weight per unit area and thickness of currency) printed by the wet intaglio method is approximately .791 while currency printed by the dry method is approximately .901. This represents an increase of almost 14 percent in apparent density. The increase should contribute significantly to the increased circulation life of currency printed by the dry intaglio process.

The contribution of density (and, therefore, compressibility) to printability of currency paper has not been established. This needs further study.

Fiber coarseness, the ratio of fiber length to fiber diameter, is an important property of papermaking pulps. It determines the felting characteristics of the fiber. Included in the coarseness factor are fiber thickness, the size of the lumen, and the density of the material making up the fibers. Other factors being equal, the density of the paper is an inverse function of the coarseness of the pulp.

Pulp coarseness is variable in wood pulps but not in rag pulps. Coarseness decreases as fibers are split with beating. However, wood fibers do not easily split apart lengthwise so that their decrease in coarseness with beating is minor and not nearly as marked as with cotton and especially linen fibers. The ability of cotton and linen to decrease in coarseness during beating probably accounts for the high durability of many rag papers. It is important, however, to beat rag pulps in a manner which will produce maximum longitudinal splitting and a minimum of fiber cutting.

The incorporation of fillers in paper usually results in higher paper densities as the pigments used are much more dense than cellulose. Unfortunately, as the amount of filler increases, the strength decreases because the filler interferes with interfiber bonding. Of the five most common means of increasing paper density (mentioned above), the addition of fillers would appear to have the least utility.

The most effective means of achieving maximum densification of currency paper are mechanical refinement and calendering. Additional information must be obtained on wet pressing before its role in densification can be established.

### 2.5 Analysis of the Modification of Currency Paper with Acrylic Resins

The main purpose for treating currency paper with acrylic resins is to produce a more crack resistant matrix. This has been discussed in section 2.3.1 of this report.

Two methods are commonly used for modifying paper with latexes: (1) beater addition and (2) saturation. In beater addition, the fibers essentially are encapsulated with resin prior to sheet formation. The second technique involves saturating dry paper with latex, squeezing out the excess, and drying. The saturation technique deposits resin only on the exposed portion of the fibers.

A cursory examination of the two methods favors beater addition over saturation for modifying paper. The intimate mixing of resin with the fines would appear to be an excellent means for improving the crack resistance of the matrix. All that would be necessary is to choose an appropriate resin in sufficient quantity. Unfortunately, treatment of pulps with acrylic resins usually results in a decline of matrix formation [4]. As a consequence, stiffness retention has declined. A combination of treatments (beater addition with an acrylic resin followed by treatment with a wet strength resin) has overcome the decline of matrix formation and conceivably the double treatment could produce currency paper with a substantial improvement in stiffness retention.

When paper is bent, one side of the paper is in tension, while the other side is in compression (see section 2.3.2 of this report). The higher the tensile and compression moduli, the greater the stiffness of a given paper. The. amount of tension and compression also will be dependent on the radius of curvature of the bend. The degree of tension or compression through the sheet is in proportion to the distance from a central neutral plane which is neither in tension or compression. Therefore, the outermost layers of paper have the greatest effect on the stiffness of paper, as these layers are in greatest tension or compression. From this it is apparent that the outermost layers of paper should be modified with resin to achieve maximum stiffness and stiffness retention. When currency paper is modified with a synthetic resin by the saturation technique, most of the resin is taken up by the outer layers. For a given weight gain of acrylic resin, greater stiffness retention should result from saturation than from beater addition by virtue of the resin being located in the areas where it is needed most. In fact, studies have indicated that stiffness retention improves most when paper is treated with acrylic resins by saturation [2].

### 3. MODIFICATION OF CURRENCY PAPER WITH ACRYLIC RESINS BY THE SATURATION TECHNIQUE

### 3.1 Background

All previous work on modifying paper with acrylic resins by saturation was done with handsheets. This may not be equivalent to treatment of machine made papers. Consequently, currency paper was saturated with an acrylic resin to determine whether improvements in stiffness retention, similar to those observed with acrylic resin modified handsheets, could be produced.

### 3.2 Experimental

Some nondistinctive, unsized currency paper was obtained from the manufacturer of U.S. currency paper. The acrylic resin used in this investigation was AC-61, as it produced the best results in saturation of all the acrylic resins evaluated.

One set of 30 x 30 cm sheets of waterleaf (unsized) currency paper was glue-sized by the manufacturer of the paper. As the weight of the sized sheets was approximately  $104 \text{ g/m}^2$ , it was apparent that the glue content was much greater than normally found in currency paper. Therefore, a second set of 30 x 30 cm sheets was sized at the National Bureau of Standards using a glue-glycerin size mixture employed by the currency paper manufacturer. The sizing was done with the aid of a laboratory size press. The glueglycerin size mixture was heated and maintained at 63°C. The paper was passed through the sizing solution at a speed sufficient to give a residence time in the sizing mixture of approximately 1.5 seconds. This is the approximate residence time in the sizing mixture at the paper mill. The weight of the NBS sized currency paper was 91 g/m<sup>2</sup> which is considerably closer to the normal weight of currency paper.

Saturation with AC-61 was also done with the aid of the laboratory size press. The emulsion, as received, contained 46.5 percent solids and this was diluted to 20 percent solids with a 3:1 mixture of water and ethanol. Ethanol was used to make the diluted emulsion more hydrophobic. Currency paper has considerable resistance to wetting with water and the treatment with the diluted emulsion was very nonuniform when ethanol was not used in the dilution. The paper was passed through the size press at about 5 cm/min. and at a pressure of 1.4 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. It was estimated that the residence time of the paper in the saturating medium was about 6 seconds. The saturated sheet was dried on a drum dryer at 95°C for approximately 4 minutes. Each 30 x 30 cm sheet was cut in half. One half was designated for flexing and the remaining half was used for the unflexed control.

Flexing was performed on the NBS paper flexer over 3.18 cm diameter rollers in the cross direction of the paper for 1,000 double flexes. That portion of the specimen passing over both rollers was used for subsequent testing. The air permeability of each specimen was measured in six different locations with a commercial air permeability tester. The sample was then cut into eight specimens as described in NBSIR 74-571 [5].

Cantilever stiffness was measured on the Carson-Worthington stiffness tester [11]. These same specimens were then used for the determination of internal tear according to TAPPI T414 ts-65. A single specimen was used for each determination on an Elmendorf tear tester with a capacity of 200 g. Folding endurance was determined according to TAPPI T511 su-69 using an MIT folding endurance tester.

Load elongation was performed on a constant rate of elongation apparatus according to TAPPI T404 ts-66, using a specimen 1.5 cm wide and a span length of 10 cm.

The results are given in Tables 5 and 6.

### 3.3 Results and Discussion

The data indicate that currency paper treated with AC-61 has far better stiffness retention after flexing than when sized with a glue-glycerin mixture. Furthermore, the acrylic resin treated currency paper has a higher strength and energy to break than the glue-sized paper. Retention of stiffness after 1,000 flexes is only 61 percent for glue-sized paper as compared to 86 percent for the resin-treated paper (Figure 4).

Increasing the glue-glycerin content from approximately 6 to 18 percent results in a softer paper. The stiffness of the glue-sized papers after flexing are not significantly different but, as the stiffness of the unflexed paper containing the greater amount of glue-glycerin is much lower, the retention naturally is much higher (Figure 4). Ideally, however, currency should have a high stiffness initially and it should maintain that stiffness to a high degree when flexed.

Perhaps the greatest advantage in glue sizing is the improvement in folding endurance. However, even though the higher glue-glycerin content currency paper has a significantly higher folding endurance, it does not appear to be more durable. This is an important point to consider in evaluating potential currency papers. Great emphasis may be placed on high folding endurance on the assumption that it is synonymous with durability. Unfortunately, a relatively poor paper might appear to be high quality if treated with a sufficient amount of glue-glycerin to impart high folding endurance.

This investigation suggests that a mill trial should be conducted by modifying currency paper with AC-61 acrylic resin by saturation. This would enable a full scale evaluation of the paper. Along with the acrylic treated paper, the evaluation should include some unsized and some gluesized paper from the same lot. A minimum of 10 percent AC-61 resin should be applied to the paper in a uniform manner. All three papers should be printed.

In addition to evaluating the printability of the paper and its subsequent durability, there should be an investigation on the repulpability of the resin treated paper. It is essential that all the currency paper wastes, along with trimmings from printed currency, be repulpable for reasons of economy.

If the AC-61 treated currency paper maintains its superior stiffness retention after printing, a substantial increase in circulation life of currency might result, providing all other factors remain unchanged. New factors influencing circulation life may arise as a consequence of the treatment or because of the extended circulation life. Therefore, the new currency would have to be placed in circulation and monitored before a final assessment of the paper could be made.



#### 4. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Alexander, S. D. and Marton, R., Tappi 51 (6): 283 (1968).
- 2. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBSIR 73-124, January 30, 1973.
- 3. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBSIR 73-274, August 15, 1973.
- 4. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBSIR 74-431, January 2, 1974.
- 5. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBSIR 74-571, September 6, 1974.
- 6. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBS Report 10 336, September 17, 1970.
- 7. Graminski, E. L., "The Effect of Flexing on the Mechanical Properties of Paper," The Fundamental Properties of Paper Related to Its Uses (Technical Section, BP&BMA, London), in press.
- 8. Graminski, E. L. and Toth, E. E., Evaluation of Currency and Stamp Papers, NBS Report 10 465, August 19, 1971.
- 9. Alexander, S. D., The Effect of Modifying Single Fiber Properties on the Relation Between Paper Properties and Density, Ph.D. dissertation, New York State College of Forestry, Syracuse, 1966.
- Fujii, J. S., Molecular Degradation Caused by Vibratory Ball-Milling of Wood, Ph.D. dissertation, New York State College of Forestry, Syracuse, 1964.
- 11. Carson, F. T. and Worthington, V., <u>Tappi</u> <u>36</u> (1): 36-42 (1953).
- 12. Randall, E. B., Jr. and Mandel, J., Statistical Comparison of Wear Characteristics of Old and New Dollar Notes, NBS Report 6933, August 15, 1960.
- Fenner, A. J., Mechanical Testing of Materials, Philosophical Library, Inc., New York, NY, pg. 70-71, 1965.

possible.	4	
pressure		
(H)		
OF MAXIMUM	imples.	
(F)	s sa	
MUNITITAL	30 cm fle:	
GT LINET	15 x	
ט	fot	
10000	length	
ĺ,	1	
	and I	
	width	
3	Ш	
1	2	

	S		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ուսապ		4 M D Q D M		m 4 10 4 80 M		6 ч 4 м м	m
ess	ц		166 1166 1150 1138 1138 1138	1119 1117 114		150 1138 1138 1121 1121 1121		143 131 134 126 127 115		149 135 140 131	126
ickn	m s		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<b>4</b> 0 <b>4</b> 0		901909		0 m 0 4 0 m 0		0 0 4 m 4	5
Th	М		155 143 143 127 127	1113 1110 1112		138 127 126 122 115 113		140 127 129 128 128		144 131 132 127 124	121
IS	S		0.00.4	0.3		000000 400400		00.000 00.0000		0.50.3	0.5
Modulu	x10-3 L		6.11 6.11 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	8.0 6.8 7.7		4.4 5.5 6.9 6.7 7.2		3.8 5.1 6.1 6.2 6.2		6.0 7.1 7.1	7.3
tic	s/cm <sup>2</sup> ;		00000 00000 00000000000000000000000000	0.3		0.4 0.3 0.4 0.4		0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8		0.2 0.3 0.4	0.2
plas	k w		0.014.020 0.0104.60	6.9 6.4		6.2 6.1 6.2 6.2		5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.0		5.9 6.5 6.2	6.3
eld	S		0.09	0.05		0.03 0.01 0.05 0.1 0.05 0.05		0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05		0.04	0.04
at Yi	Г			0.7		0.77		0.7		0.70.7	0.7
ation	ою 00		0.04 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.08	0.06		0.02 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.03		0.04 0.05 0.09 0.06 0.1 0.03		0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02	0.03
Elong	М		0.7	0.68		0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7		0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.7		0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7	L
	w		0.00.03 0.24 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	5.55		0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0		0.000.2 0.45552		0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.3
Yield	ц б		4.1 2.7 2.7 4.1 7.7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	4.5.4		600 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		4.1 4.0 4.0 3.7 1.7 8.9		4.3 4.3 4.7 4.6	4.6
oad at	y s		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.2		0.08 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2	ion	0.1 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.2	tion	0.1	0.2
	М		004000	4.4		8.7 4.1 4.6 4.6	Addit		į r Addi	3.6 3.7 4.1 4.2	4.7
ak	w		0.10 0.07 0.09 0.07 0.07 0.1	0.2		0.4 0.2 0.1 0.3 0.3	eater	0.230.230.200.200.200.200.0000.2000.00000.20000.20000.2000000	Beater	0.52	0.5
to Bre	J-Cm		0.00.8	2.3	01	1.5 2.2 2.2 2.6 2.6	by B	1.6 2.1 2.1 2.6	'd u	0.00000 0.0000000000000000000000000000	20
ergy t	s ko	trol	0.06 0.09 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4	0.3	Contr	0.2 0.07 0.1 0.3 0.3	Resin	0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	c Pesí	00.5 00.4 00.0 0.5	0.2
En	М	r Con	0.0 1.1 4.1 1.9 2.2 2.2	2.5	n Aid	1.8 2.3 2.7 3.0	rylic	1.7 2.1 2.3 2.9 2.9	cryli	2.2	с. С
Break	S	Wate	M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.3 0.1	centio	××××××	531 Ac	0000 4400 8446	-407 A	0.1	0.4
n to	л °		22.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	4.1 4.3	Ret	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	)8 E−€	3.6 3.6 4.2 4.2 4.2 4.7	-UT %(	4 4 4 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	4.4
gatio	ß		0.20.10.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20	0.5		0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3	ith 10	0.9 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.5	ith 10		т.
Elon	14		2.6 3.5 3.5 4.1	4.4 4.4 4.9		3.9 4.1 5.0 5.0	ted w.	4.0 4.6 6.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9	ted w	4 4	0.0
4	v)		0.2 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	0.6 0.5 0.6		0.9 0.7 0.4 1.0 1.0	Treat	0.50.30.30.30.300.3	Trea.	1.00.5 0.9 1.0	7.1
trengt	ц		6 2 2 3 2 4 3 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8.8 8.7 9.7		6.4 8.4 8.6 8.6 9.1		6.5 7.9 8.5 8.5		9.1 9.7 10.1 10.6	T
king S	kg s		0.00 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5		00000 4 8 5 2 2 2 2 5 5		0.4 0.6 0.6 0.3 0.3 0.3		00000 • 4 8000	1.0
Breal	М		3.6 5.7 7.3 8.0 8.0	8.5 8.7 9.1		6.9 8.1 9.2 9.2		6.0 6.1 7.0 7.2 8.3		8.5 19.2 10.1	* • O T
	S		1.23.23 1.23.23	4.5 3.0 2.8		1.5 2.8 3.7 2.4 2.4		2.6 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		22.048	+ • • •
sulus	2x10-3		15.1 20.3 22.5 29.3 34.4 37.3	41.2 39.4 41.4		24.6 31.8 29.6 37.7 37.7 39.4		27.4 29.8 32.9 35.9		28.2 32.4 36.8 39.3 39.3	n•0n
Modi	sg/cm		1.2 1.2 3.1 3.0 3.0	1.3 2.8 2.3		1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5		2.3 2.2 3.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8		1.7 2.6 1.4	
	м		16.6 19.3 24.4 32.8 31.9 37.1	39.7 39.6 40.7		28.2 31.5 35.0 34.1 42.5 40.4		25.8 29.1 20.0 30.6 30.6		28.0 32.3 33.1 33.1	2.10
f f	nens L <sup>2</sup>		مەمەمەمە	0.00		ورورون				0.04405	,
NO.	Specir W <sup>2</sup>		ଡ଼ଡ଼ଡ଼ଡ଼ଡ଼ଡ଼ଡ଼	999		ບດາດເບດ		୰୰୰୰୰୰		004400	,
Wet 1	Pressing		ововово	нЧн		ЧЕЧЕЧЕ		Чнчнчн		ЧТЧТЧТ	:
Tad	Revolutions		1 2.5 10 5.5 5 10	10 20.		2 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		200 200 200 200 200		200022 200022	

Table 1. The Effect of Beating, Wet Pressing, and Acrylic leains on the Tensile properties of Wood Pulp Handsheets

.

 ${}^{3}s = \sqrt{\frac{n\Sigma x^{2} - (\Sigma x)^{2}}{n(n-1)}}$ 

Tatle 2. The fiftest of Beating, Wet Pressing, and Acrylic Fesins on the Tensile Properties of Hood Pulp Handsheets After Flexing<sup>1</sup>

3		08 2. 3.	<u></u>			r Add		er Ado	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
R LC		2.3 3.1	8.000 8.0000 8.00000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.00000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.00000 8.00000 8.00000000		3.5 3.6 3.8 3.8 4.0 5.0 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Additi		Addit	
ak s		0.07 0.08 0.2 0.1	0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2		0.2 0.08 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.06	eater	0.2 0.1 0.09 0.2 0.2	Deater	0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1
to Bre g/cm L		0.5	1.4 1.9 2.4 2.4 2.4	ol	1.4 1.8 2.0 2.3 2.3	ı by B	1.3 1.5 2.0 2.3 2.3	n by	1.9 2.1 2.5 2.5 2.0
ergy t s kg	trol	0.06 0.04 0.07 0.1	0.2 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3	Contr	0.1 0.2 0.4 0.3 0.2	Resin	0.10.200.200.200.200.200.200.200.200.200	c Resi	0.2 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2
En	r Con	0.5.0	1.6 2.3 2.3 2.6 2.6	n Aid	1.7 2.2 2.3 2.3	rylic	1.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	cryli	22.2.4
Break s	Mate	0.2	0.1 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.06	tentio	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	531 AC	0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2	-407 N	0.3 0.1 0.3 0.1 0.3 0.1 0.1
e to		3.0 3.4 1.4	3.7 3.6 4.6 4.2	Re	4.1 4.1 4.1 4.6 4.4	08 E-(	3.9 3.8 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.6	0% T.T	44.3 44.3 4.4 4.2
gatio s		0.5	0.5		$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.3\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ \end{array}$	ith 10	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.5\\ 0.5 \end{array}$	ith l(	0.2 0.3 0.5 0.5 0 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Elone		2.9 3.6 3.5	3.8 4.0 4.5 4.6 4.8		4.1.4.5.5.5.1.5.5.1.5.5.1.5.5.1.5.5.5.1.5	ted wi	4.1 4.9 5.0 5.2 5.2	ted vi	44.9 6.44.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
th s		0.00	0.50.9		0.3 0.3 0.6 0.6	Treat	0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5	Treat	0.6 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6
trengt L	ι.	3.1 3.8 5.7	6.4 7.4 8.1 8.8 9.2		6.0 7.5 8.3 8.7 8.7		5.6 6.6 7.5 8.1 8.1		7.8 8.7 9.1 9.8 9.9
ing S kg		0.58	0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3		0.3 0.8 0.5 0.6		0.55 0.55 0.25 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55		00.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Break W		4.5	6.5 6.5 7.9 8.6 8.4		6.2 6.8 7.8 8.0 8.3		5.1 5.7 6.3 7.2 7.7		8 1 8 9 4 4 7 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 م		0.6	2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3		1.6 1.9 1.4 1.4		211111 2.00 0.00 0.00		33.1 1.5 22.4 7.6 3 1
s 10-3		7.04.0 7.0 7.0	200000 200000		1.6 5.2 5.5 5.5		1.4 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1		6.2 5.6 7.5
odulu: cm <sup>2</sup> xl		0	440600		111228		048208		4 II
kg/6		0.000					. 2 2 2 3 . 2 4 2 2 3 . 2 1 2 2 3		4 2 2 1 1
S		110	23 31 34 33 33		21 28 29 33 33		17 19 20 23 25 27		200000 20000 20000
. of cimens J L <sup>3</sup>		୧୧୦୦୦	ດດດາດດູ		ດດດດດດ		ຍທູດທູດທູ		0044000
Spe					999999		000000		04400
Wet <sup>2</sup> Pressing Pressure		니프니프	しましましま		JE JE JE		<b>Ч</b> ЕЧЕРЕ		니프니프니드 
FI Revolutions 1,000		2.5 2.5 2.5	20000 20000 20000		2 2 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0		20000 20000 20000		2000 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S

Handsheets were flexed 1,000 times over 3.18 mm rollers and constrained by a 700 a "Handsheet were presed at the maximum (H) pressure possible. <sup>2</sup>Net sheets pressed at either minimum (L) or maximum (H) pressure possible. <sup>3</sup>W = width and L = length of 15 x 30 cm flex samples. <sup>4</sup>S =  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(x^2 - (x))^2}}$ 

s V		.008	.013	.012	.014	.023		110.	.021	.016		.012	.019 .019	.012		.010	.014	.014	
Densit m <sup>3</sup> L		.410 .458	.458	.493	.541 544	.572 .576 .588		.461 516	.504 .551	.571 .580		.533	.575 .615 .603	.665		.496 .546	.545	.597	
arent g/c		.008	.007	.016	.015	.024		.021	.020	.025		.016	.020 .026 .015	012		.092	.016	.011	
App W		442	.489	.537	.558	.604 .599		.501 542	.552	.603		.548	.597 .611 .598	.621		.514 .564	.607	.622	
Weight per Unit Area g/m <sup>2</sup>		08	69	68 68	68 68	68 67		69	690	69		76	77 77 77	76		74 74	76	75	
bility s		886	171	72 175	96 00	11 61		145 34	81 16	11 3		173 132	45 40 5	10		6 6 6	10 10	14 9	
Air Permea ml/min (10cm)		2669 1782	1628	896 834	360	1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		1362 371	402 147	95 49		1407 674	572 244 218	88		1044 453	284 113	86 57	
ນ ເນ		0.5	0.5	6.0	0.0	0.6 0.6		0.6	0.5	0.7		0.4	000	0.8		0.4	0.4	0.7	
10dulu 2x10-4 L		9.8 10.8	12.0	12.8	14.0	15.4 15.0 14.8		11.9	13.0 13.7	14.7 14.8	ion	12.0 12.0	12.4 12.7 12.6	13.1	tion	12.2	13.2 13.9	14.1 12.8	
onic <sup>N</sup> ka/cm <sup>2</sup> s		0.4	0.2	0.0 0	0.4	0.6		0.4 4	0.50	0.7	Addit	0.0	0.6 1.1	0.5	Nridi.	0.5	0.3	0.9	
er S		9.5	11.6	12.0	12.9	14.3 13.7		12.4	13.9 13.3	1477 14.3	Seater	11.3 11.6	11.5 11.5	11.5	Beater	12.9 12.0	13.7 13.6	14.6 14.6	
ness s		0.1	0.07	0.2	0.2	0.105	ntrol	0.2	0.01	0.1	- I A d u	0.1	0.1	0.2	yd ni	0.2	 	0.2	
stiff m L	ontro	2.6	2.4	1.8 2.1	1.8 2.0	1.6	id Co	2.4	1.6	1.7 1.4	Rest	2.5	2.0 2.0	1.8	C Pes	2.1	5.1.2 5.1.2	2.0 2.0	
lever g-c	ater C	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.00	1.000	tion A	0.3	0.1 0.2	0.1	crylic	0.1	0.11	0.1	scryli	0.2	0.1	0.2	
Canti W	M	2.6	2.4	1.9 2.3	1.9 0.7	1.7 1.6 1.5	Reten	2.5	2.2 1.7	2.0 1.6	631 A	1.9	2.1 1.7 1.9	1.3	- 407	2.0	2.5 2.1	1.8	
ce Lds s		17	105	159 341	175	478 348 650		295	271 609	309 560	th E-	336	549 181	589	th TR	224 361	702	614 630	
duran le fo		44 104	350	604 1283	1466 1675	2316 2316 2373 2980		1680 1680	1535 2031	1935 2890	ted wi	1435 1313	1/90 2013 2194	2828	tel Wi	1780 2269	3779	3368 4296	
old En , doub s		6 6	160	159 162	181 463	225 687 585		194 206	209 346	546 251	Trea.	423 509	323 448 269	187	Trea	377	776	1600 1600	
MIT F( 1000 g W		41 75	498	600 1235	1373 1778	2178 2543 2723		1072 1464	1647 2410	1872 2451		1152 1377	2095 2092	2801		1775 2344	2556	31/6 5070	
о ц		ωıΩ	II.	11 °	16	120		0 m	11 9	4 00		001	10 10	12		11	م س ا	~ 00	-
cf Te		85	100	96 94	87 80	61 61 61		6 9 9 3	80	78 66		92 85	68 76 76	69		82 73	11	65	
endo:		ഗഗ	00	11	13	10 10		9 1	14	9 11		4 IN [	16 11	12		4 4 5	7 @ I	0 Г	
Elm W		91 91	66	0 6 8	78	67 64 61		105 80	82	79 65		93	4 4 0 8 7 9	71		83 74	0 0 0 0 0 1	61 61	
No. of pecimens W <sup>2</sup> L <sup>2</sup>		e e e	9	0 0 0 0	9 9 9 9	0000 0000		2 Q Q Q Q	00	0 0 0 0			000 000	9		100 100	ວເດ ເ	0 0 0 0	-
Wet <sup>1</sup> Pressing S Pressure		чн	1	нц	н	a 보 너 보		Чн	12	니프		리프	1 2 1	Н		니프	- = -	- 프	-
PFI Pevolutions 1,000			2.5	2°2	10.5	200		ហល	10	20		ې مى مى	200	20		، مناعباً	0 0 0	20	

Table 3. The Effect of Beating, Net Pressing, and Acrylic Resins on the Physical Properties of Wood Pulp Handsheets.

<sup>1</sup>Handsheets were consolidated by using either minimum (L) or maximum (H) pressure possible. <sup>2</sup>W = width and L = length of 15 x 30 cm flex specimens. <sup>3</sup>s =  $\sqrt{\frac{n\Sigma x^2}{n(n-1)}}$ 

"Sonic modulus based on cellulose density of 1.54  $g/\text{cm}^3.$ 

Table 4. The Effect of Beating, Met Pressing, and Acrylic Resins on the Physical Properties of Wood Pulp Handsheets After Flexing.

s		.009 .013 .003	.014 .020 .016 .012 .012		.016 .011 .015 .007 .019		.017 .018 .021 .021 .013		.013 .009 .016 .016 .016	
Densit m <sup>3</sup> L		.421 .468 .477 527	.5520 .5567 .619 .628		.491 .551 .557 .592 .619		.550 .613 .608 .645 .633		.514 .567 .575 .620 .617	
g/c s		.011	.012 .013 .012 .012 .019		.020 .010 .014 .015 .015		.015 .021 .009 .013 .013		.015 .014 .013 .007 .016	
Api W		.425 .465 .478 .511	.519 .559 .594 .610		.494 .530 .556 .567 .568		.554 .601 .595 .639 .608		.511 .555 .558 .599 .606	
ahility s		89 96 157	197 266 12 88 3		174 60 20 9 4		192 149 61 12		98 112 15 12 12	
Air Perme ml/min (10cm)		2865 2145 1878 1142	142 976 529 159 159 53		1486 579 502 203 110 58		1478 699 617 247 240 100		1084 460 296 116 92	
ري س		0.3	0.0000.0		0.0		000000 4420006		40 40 4	
odulus x10-4 L		  4.78	7.1 9.0 9.6 11.7 12.4		6.0 8.3 8.6 8.6 10.7 11.8 11.8		6.3 8.8 9.5 9.2 10.5		7.9 9.6 11.6 11.2	
kg/cm <sup>2</sup>			0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5		1.4 0.3 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	tion	0.5 0.5 0.3 0.4	ition	0.64 0.60 0.60 0.60	
S N		1111	  10.1 11.5 11.5 11.7		8.7 9.9 11.4 10.9 11.2 11.2	r Aldi	8.4 9.4 9.2 10.0 10.1	er Add	10.7 11.1 11.8 11.8 11.8 12.1 12.1	
fness		0.03	0.05 0.11 0.11 0.12 0.25 0.12		0.1 0.03 0.05 0.1 0.1	Beate	0.05 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.05	/ Beat	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2	
stif: cm L	01	0.4	0000011 0000011	ontro]	0.0 0.9 0.9 0.1	in by	0.7	{d ni⊰	1.12 1.66 1.66 1.56	
ilever g-	Contr	0.07 0.1 0.2 0.2	0.09	Aid C	0.11000.1	ic Res	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	lic Re	000.22 000.22 0000000000000000000000000	
Cant	Vater	0.7 0.7 1.3	1.5 1.4 1.5 1.3 1.2	ition	1.6 1.6 1.3 1.3 1.3	Acryl	1.3 1.1 1.0 1.2	Acry.	1.7 1.7 2.0 1.8 1.7 1.5	
ce lds s		31 132	2533 268 341 465 687	Reter	188 223 328 404 290	-631 2	376 261 352 423 201 475	2-407	321 434 518 445 644 729	
nduran ble fo L		23 67 248 416	416 730 1094 1738 2121 1900 2252		817 1143 1499 1716 2119 2056	vith E-	1092 1338 1528 2124 1675 2670	vith TJ	1541 1537 2555 3554 2858 3410	
Fold E J, dou		5 108 108	325 325 335 394 294 294		266 307 462 380 432 616	eated v	191 400 390 206 125 300	ated 1	349 346 165 554 134	
I TIM 1000 W		23 48 315 476	4/0 1265 1328 1769 2234 2231 2372		1275 1335 1480 1773 2052 2376	Tre	971 1504 1830 1959 2024 2513	Tre	1796 1811 2527 3928 2972 4162	
rear		97.60	9 9 15 15 9 9		12 6 10 12 17 17		8 12 14 12 12 4		14040	
orf 1 g L		73 76 88 86	86 79 75 75 63		95 83 76 82 82 69		84 81 89 67 76 62		87 78 68 62 59	
mendo s4		6 0 A	4 11 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0		11 12 12 5		8 4 4 1 4 4 1 4		0 1 0 6 2 1	
E E		78 87 93 85	812 666 666 680 680 680 680 680 680 680 680		92 76 74 60		85 72 68 69 69		83 68 72 73 60 60	
of mens L <sup>3</sup>		ومورو	وموتومو		୰୰୰୰୰୰		໙໙ຎຎຎ		001 <b>4010</b> 0	
No. Speci W <sup>3</sup>		0000	စ စ ်စ စ စ စ စ		୰୰୰୰୰୰		୰୰ୖ୳୰୰୰		004000	
Wet <sup>2</sup> Pressing Pressure		ЧжЧт	сЧ¤Ч¤Ч <sup>"</sup>		ЧЕЧЕЧЕ		ЧШЧШЧШ		ㅋㅋㅋㅋ ㅋ ㅋ	
PFI Revolutions 1,000		н н о о 	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2		20000 20000 20000		20000200 2000020		50002 50002 ,	

<sup>1</sup>Handsheets were flexed 1,000 times over 3.18 mm rollers and constrained by a 700 g <sup>2</sup>Handsheets were consolidated by using the minimum (L) or maximum (H) pressure possible on handsheet machine. <sup>3</sup>W = width and L = length of 15 x 30 cm flex specimens. <sup>4</sup> s =  $\sqrt{\frac{n_L x^2 - (Lx)^2}{n(n-1)^2}}$ 

 $^{\rm s}{\rm Sonic}$  modulus based on cellulose density of 1.54  $g/{\rm cm}^3.$ 

Resin AC-61	Flexing.
ration with Acrylic	urrency Paper After
Sizing and Satu	properties of C
The Effect of Glue-Glycerin S	on the Retention of Tensile 1
rable 5.	

		QN	ч (		Mod	ulus		Brea	king	Streng	1th	Elong	ration	1 to E	3reak	Enei	rgy to	o Bre	яk	LO	ad at	Yielò		Elong	ation	at Yi	eld	Plas	tic M	sulubc	
	No. of	Speci	imens		ka/cm	2×10-3	~		X	7			độ	~~~			kg-	EO-			k	-			dЮ			X	q/cm <sup>2</sup>	¢10-3	
nt	Flexes	W	U	W	s,	U	S	W	S	υ	S	Σ	s	υ	S	x	s	¥	s	М	S	υ	S	Σ	s	U	S	М	s	C	w]
	0	6	10	52.3	3.1	27.3	1.9	10.3	0.6	6.3	0.2	2.9	0.2	5.6	r- * ¢	2.1	0.2	2.7	0.4	7.6	0.4	4.6	0.1	0.8	0.04	6.0	0.07	7.2	0.7	2.0 0	с.
n-regular	0	7	7	43.9	1 • 8	24.4	1.2	10.7	0.6	6.8	0.3	3.8	0.2	7.1	6.0	2.8	0.3	3.5	0.5	6.3	0.5	4.3	0.3	0.7	0.04	6.0	0.04	6.8	0.5	2.0 0	۲.
n-hiqh	0	80	10	36.8	0.8	18.4	1.4	9.6	0.4	6.4	0.3	4.6	0.3	8.9	0.5	2.9	0.2	3.9	0.4	5.2	0.3	3.2	0.3	0.7	0.06	0.9	0.10	6.0	0.4	2.0 C	• 2
ith AC-61	0	10	6	46.7	1.7	25.0	0.5	11.3	0.5	7.5	0.4	4.0	0.3	7.9	0.6	3.1	0.3	4.3	0.5	7.2	0.3	4.8	0.2	0.8 (	0.05	1.0	0.03	6.5	0.4	2.0 C	4
	1,000	10	10	48.1	2.7	20.0	1.5	9*6	0.5	6.2	0.1	2.8	0.3	6.2	0.4	1.9	0.3	2.8	0.2	7.0	0.4	4.6	0.1	0.8	0.05	1.3	0.12	7.2	0.7	1.7 0	.2
.n-reqular	1,000	9	7	41.5	2.7	19.2	1.2	10.2	0.6	6.6	0.2	3.7	0.2	7.1	9*0	2.5	0.2	3.3	0.3	6.1	0.4	4.1	0.2	0.8 (	0.06	1.1	0.08	6.9	0.4	2.1 0	۲.
un-high	1,000	10	10	35.1	2.2	14.7	0.8	9.6	0.4	6.5	0.3	4.5	0.3	9.5	0.3	2.8	0.2	3.9	0.2	5.0	0.5	3.6	0.3	0.8 (	0.07	1.2	11.0	6.3	0.6	1.9 0	• 5
th AC-61	1,000	9	6	44.8	2.0	19.5	1.0	11.1	0.6	7.4	0.3	4.1	0.2	8.1	0.4	3.1	0*3	4.3	0.3	6.9	0.4	4.7	0.3	0.8	0.08	1.2	0.10	6.6	0.2	2.0 0	.2

 $^{1}s = \sqrt{\frac{n\Sigma x^{2} - (\Sigma x)}{n(n-1)}^{2}}$ 

		CIV.	ų	Elme	endor	f Tea	4	MIT F	old E	nduran	ce	Cantil	lever	Stiff	ness A	ir Permeal	Dility	Thi	cknes	s	Weight per	aay	arent	Densi	
	No. of	Spec.	mens		g			10009	-doub	le fol	1s		9-6	mo		mi//m		~			Unit Area	4	6/6	cm <sup>3</sup>	7
Treatment	Flexes	Σ	υ	Σ	s 1	U	S	W.	S	υ	S	£	S	υ	N	(10cm)	S	Σ	s C	w	g/m <sup>2</sup>	X	S	υ	S
none ·	0	10	10	102	15	120	33	874	636	2659	541	4.4	0.7	2.3	0.3	42	2	125	2 12	3	86	.690	.03	.691	.02
glue-glycerin-regular	0	2	7	88	11	100	11 6	5369 1	022	5124	1514	4.7	0.5	2.3	0.3	22	г	137	2 13	6 2	16	.663	.02	.672	.02
glue-glycerin-high	0	10	10	93	13	87	14 8	3265 2	030	6848	1473	3.4	0.5	1.9	0.2	23	г	130	2 13	5	104	.800	.02	.769	.02
saturated with AC-61	0	10	10	92	10	110	25 4	121	768	3980	1451	4.9	0.8	2.5	0.2	12	7	134	3 13	5 4	96	.718	.02	.717	.02
none	1,000	10	10	101	20	102	18	1770	501	2734	464	3.7	0 • 6	1.4	0.05	47	2	125	2 12	6 2	85	.679	.01	.675	.01
glue-glycerin-regular	1,000	2	7	85	9	92	14 6	5219 1	123	4893	921	4.0	0.4	1.5	0.2	27	г	135	2 13	4 2	16	.671	.03	.680	.03
glue-glycerin-high	1,000	10	10	82	15	96	13 5	9405 2	352	6529	2069	3.3	0.5	1.6	0.1	27	г	130	3 13	4 3	104	.801	.02	.775	.02
saturated with AC-61	1,000	10	10	92	6	106	14	\$246	628	3754	962	4.3	0.3	2.0	0.1	11	2	134	3 13	5 4	96	.717	.02	.708	.02
															-					]					

Table 6. The Effect of Glue-Glycerin Sizing and Saturation with Acrylic Resin AC-61 on the Retention of Physical Properties of Currency Paper After Flexing.

 $^{1} s = \sqrt{\frac{n\Sigma x^{2} - (\Sigma x)^{2}}{n(n-1)}}$ 











NBS-114A (REV. 7-73)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipier	nt's Accession No.
A TITLE AND SUBTITLE	NB51R / 5-070		5. Publicat	ion Date
, THEE AND SUDTIES.			of t divite at	
NBSIR 75-670, E Paper	valuation of Currency a	and Stamp	6. Performi	ary 20, 1975 ng Organization Code
AUTHOR(S)			8. Performi	ng Organ. Report No.
E. L. Graminski	AND E. E. TOTA		NBSIR	/5-6/U
			30004	<b>43</b>
DEPARTMEN WASHINGTO	NT OF COMMERCE N, D.C. 20234		11. Contrac	t/Grant No.
2. Sponsoring Organization Na	me and Complete Address (Street, City, S	State, ZIP)	13. Type of	Report & Period
Buroau of Engra	ving & Printing	,	Covered	Progress.
U.S. Department	of the Treasury		7/1 -	12/31/74
Washington, D.C	. 20401		14. Sponsor	ing Agency Code
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			1	
can best be ach pressing of the be performed to producing a high stiffness reten resins by the sa with acrylic res stiffness reten	ieved by mechanical ref formed sheet, and cale determine which of the her density currency pa tion can be achieved by aturation technique. O sin AC-61, appears to h tion than regular curre	ining (beatin indering. Add three method per. Further modifying pa urrency paper ave a signifi ency paper.	g) of printing itional s is be improve per with , when cantly h	ulp, wet work must st for ement in h acrylic so modified higher
. KEY WORDS (six to twelve name; separated by semicolo Acrylic resins; stiffness retent	entries; alphabetical order; capitalize on ons) apparent density; cale tion; wet pressing	ly the first letter of the ndering; mech	first key word anical s	funless & proper
8. AVAILABILITY	Unlimited	19. SECURIT (THIS RE	Y CLASS PORT)	21. NO. OF PAGE
<b>XX</b> For Official Distributio	n. Do Not Release to NTIS	UNCL AS	SIFIED	31
Order From Sup. of Doc Washington, D.C. 20402	., U.S. Government Printing Office 2, <u>SD Cat. No. C13</u>	20. SECURIT (THIS P	Y CLASS AGE)	22. Price
Order From National Te Springfield, Virginia 22	chnical Information Service (NTIS) 151	UNCLASS	SIFIED	3.75

