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ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE MEASUREMENTS
AT ASA, FORT HUACHUCA, ARIZONA

H.E. Taggart and J.W. Adams

This report describes the work performed for the U.S. Army Security Agency Test and Evaluation Center (USASATEC), Fort Huachuca, Arizona, during the period from April 1973 to November 1973. The purpose of the project was to measure, analyze, and evaluate the electromagnetic environment at selected sites and to recommend methods of reducing the present levels of electromagnetic interference (EMI). Chief sources of EMI were the power lines in the area and sferics from thunderstorms. Both broadband EMI measurements and amplitude probability distribution measurements were made. Both electric and magnetic fields were measured. The frequency range covered was 15 kHz to 10 GHz. Measurements were made at three locations: 1) at Fort Huachuca USASATEC; 2) Willcox Dry Lake; and 3) Boulder, Colorado. The report contains a description of the test procedures, test results, conclusions, and recommendations.

Key words: APD measurements; broadband EMI; electromagnetic interference; power line EMI.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes the work performed for the U.S. Army Security Agency Test and Evaluation Center (USASATEC), Fort Huachuca, Arizona, during the period from April 1973 to November 1973.

The purpose of the project was to measure, analyze, and evaluate the electromagnetic environment at selected sites and to recommend methods of reducing the present levels of electromagnetic interference (EMI).
1.1 Types of Noise

There are three basic types of interference or "noise" discussed in this report:

1. Man-made noise: This includes any noise generated by machines, computers, transmitters, high-voltage lines, or any other electrical noise caused by human activities.

2. Natural noise: This includes noise that is generated as a result of natural phenomena such as galactic noise, solar noise, general atmospheric noise, and local thunderstorms.

3. Receiver noise: This is the white noise associated with receiver input circuits and is always present. If a noise source has a lower level than the receiver noise, the source cannot be measured using the techniques and instruments described here. Thus, on data plots where "receiver noise" is indicated, only noise levels above this "receiver noise" can be measured.

In this measurement program, man-made noise was of primary interest and in most cases was the dominating source of interference. However, during certain parts of the day, atmospheric noise due to large thunderstorms was dominant. In most cases, it is possible to distinguish man-made noise from atmospheric noise. Figure 1 illustrates typical values of electromagnetic interference (EMI) from various sources.

In the broad sense, sources of man-made noise can be divided into four categories:

1. CW signals: Including the various types of communication signals, FM, AM, television, etc. (relatively narrowband signals).

2. Impulsive interference: Caused by electrical motors, arc welders, ignition noise from gasoline engines, corona discharge, and computers (broadband noise sources).

3. Power line hum: The result of the 60 Hz line current and its related harmonics.

4. Rectangular wave signals: Produced by digital transmission systems including computers, data transmission lines, etc.
Figure 1  Typical values of EMI from various sources.
All of the above signals contribute to the EMI environment. Since the primary objective of the project was to determine the amount of EMI, and since the recorded data contained both broadband EMI and narrowband CW signals, narrowband signals were deleted from some results. This allows much easier interpretation of the broadband EMI characteristics. Both the narrowband and broadband signals are shown in some results. The number and the magnitude of the narrowband signals vary widely depending on time of day, polarization, frequency, and location.

An important characteristic of electromagnetic noise is its variation with time. This is illustrated in figure 2, which shows typical noise caused by impulsive (lightning) sources. In digital communication systems, where codes do not have the redundancy of human voice, these time variations become increasingly important. Therefore, a basic statistical form will be used in presenting the measured noise data. This is the amplitude probability distribution (APD) [1]. This topic will be explained in the section on Measurement Techniques. One horizontal component of the magnetic field strength was measured for APD analysis.

1.2 Measurement Sites and Types of Measurements

Selected sites for the measurements were the USASATEC facility and compound (Hayes Hall) at Fort Huachuca, Arizona; the USASATEC antenna site located at Willcox Dry Lake, near Willcox, Arizona; and, for comparison, two sites at Boulder, Colorado.

Measurements were made at frequencies from 14 kHz to 10 GHz. Radiated and conducted measurements, including both the electric (E) and magnetic (H) components of the radiated field, were measured at Hayes Hall. Only radiated E and H measurements were made at Willcox Dry Lake.

At Hayes Hall, radiated measurements were made at a number of locations inside and outside the compound. The location of the 15-kV power lines, test facilities, and buildings, as well as the positions where the measurements were made, are shown in section 3.0 of this report.

Measurements at Willcox Dry Lake were made at ASA benchmark 102, a location several miles from the nearest power line. This location was the "best" or quietest of the sites selected.
Figure 2  Three-dimensional illustration of the effects of impulsive noise.
Noise energy normally varies with time and can vary as much as 100 dB over a diurnal cycle. Typical variations in noise caused by impulsive (lightning) sources are shown dramatically in figure 3. The upper curve of figure 3 shows EMI recorded during heavy thunderstorm activity, and the lower curve shows EMI recorded at the same location on a clear day. Therefore, continuous measurements of EMI at selected frequencies (25 and 250 kHz and 1, 3, 10, and 30 MHz) were made for a 24-hour period so that diurnal variations, as well as other variations of the EMI, could be presented on a statistical basis. Data are presented in amplitude probability distributions.

1.3 Shielded Enclosure Measurements

In addition to the EMI measurements discussed above, plane-wave shielding effectiveness of the shielded enclosure located in the Hayes Hall Electronics Maintenance Branch was measured. This test was conducted at two frequencies, 420 and 1000 MHz.

2.0 EMI MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES

This section discusses the techniques used for measuring conducted EMI, radiated EMI, and APD's. The types of equipment used for the measurements are also discussed. Calibrations were done in accordance with NBS calibration techniques [2].

2.1 Radiated Measurements

A swept-frequency technique was used to evaluate the characteristics of the radiated energy. This technique provides a measurement of either the electric or magnetic field strength. Electric field strength is measured as a function of receiver bandwidth in decibels above one microvolt per meter per megahertz, dB (\(\mu V/m\))/MHz. In the case of magnetic field strength measurements, the units are decibels above one microampere per meter per megahertz, dB (\(\mu A/m\))/MHz.

Measurements of radiated interference were made at various locations during normal working hours (0730 to 1630). Electric field strength was measured at frequencies from 14 kHz to 10 GHz, and magnetic field strength was measured at frequencies from 14 kHz to 30 MHz.
Figure 3  Comparison of electric field Electromagnetic Interference during a thunderstorm and on a clear day.
Electric field strength (E) is calculable from the magnetic field strength (H) by the simple free-space plane wave condition: \( E = n_0 H \), where \( n_0 \) is 377 ohms, the intrinsic impedance of free space. For approximate comparisons, the magnetic field strength in dB \( \mu A/m \) can be converted to the electric field strength in dB \( \mu V/m \) by adding 51 dB to the magnetic field strength. Where free-space conditions prevail, use of this 51 dB will allow conversion from either E or H to the other. Since most of the field strength measurements at Ft. Huachuca were made near a ground plane, free-space conditions did not prevail, and exact comparisons cannot be expected.

Both magnetic and electric fields were recorded. Electrostatically shielded loop antennas were used to detect the magnetic EMI. Various types of antennas, including monopole, biconical, log-spiral, and dipole, were used to detect the electric field EMI. The choice depended upon the desired frequency.

Antennas used from 14 kHz to 200 MHz were positioned for vertical polarization during the measurements. Above 200 MHz, circularly polarized antennas were used. Although EMI varies somewhat as a function of the polarization of the antenna, measurements indicated that the overall results were not significantly changed by using just one antenna polarization. If directional antennas were used, the antennas were oriented for maximum gain in the direction of the nearest power line.

Radiated EMI was continuously recorded on an x-y recorder using a swept-frequency receiver. Frequency bands of the receivers were usually about one octave wide. The bandwidth and frequency range for each band are shown in Table 1. The receiver was calibrated in terms of an impulse generator in dB \( \mu V/MHz \). Receiver bandwidth varies as a function of frequency, but all results are normalized to a one megahertz bandwidth.

2.2 Mobile Van

The EMI receivers and all ancillary equipment were housed in a mobile van with the antennas mounted 20 feet above the ground on a non-metallic mast. A photograph of the mobile van with the mast in position is shown in figure 4. All equipment was operated from batteries so that no EMI was generated from the vehicle engine or from the gasoline-driven motor-generator unit in the mobile van.
Table 1. Receiver Bandwidths

(Manufacturer's Specifications)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver A</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Frequency Range</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.0 kHz - 30.0 kHz</td>
<td>4 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.0 kHz - 60.0 kHz</td>
<td>4 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.0 kHz - 120 kHz</td>
<td>4 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120 kHz - 240 kHz</td>
<td>4 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>240 kHz - 500 kHz</td>
<td>4 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5 MHz - 1.1 MHz</td>
<td>5 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.1 MHz - 2.30 MHz</td>
<td>5 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.30 MHz - 5.00 MHz</td>
<td>50 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.00 MHz - 11.0 MHz</td>
<td>50 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.0 MHz - 25.0 MHz</td>
<td>50 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.0 MHz - 50.0 MHz</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50.0 MHz - 100 MHz</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100 MHz - 200 MHz</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>200 MHz - 500 MHz</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>500 MHz - 1000 MHz</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver B</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Frequency Range</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - 2 GHz</td>
<td>5 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 - 4.4 GHz</td>
<td>5 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.4 - 10 GHz</td>
<td>5 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Measurement Equipment in the Mobile Van

The equipment used included the following:

a. EMI Analyzer (Receiver A),
   frequency range: 14 kHz to 1 GHz, see table 1.

b. EMI Analyzer (Receiver B),
   frequency range: 1 to 10 GHz, see table 1.

c. x-y Recorder

d. Active, Broadband, Monopole Antenna,
   frequency range: 10 kHz to 40 MHz.

e. Biconical Antenna,
   frequency range: 20 to 200 MHz.

f. Conical, Log-Spiral Antenna,
   frequency range: 200 to 1000 MHz.

g. Conical, Log-Spiral Antenna,
   frequency range: 1 to 10 GHz.

h. Collapsible Loop Antenna,
   frequency range: 10 to 250 kHz.

i. Loop Antenna,
   frequency range: 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

j. Current Probe,
   frequency range: 20 Hz to 10 kHz.

k. Current Probe,
   frequency range: 1 to 1000 MHz.

When possible, equipment was calibrated in terms of NBS standards.

2.4 Conducted Measurements

The conducted EMI on the power lines was measured at two locations within Hayes Hall at frequencies from 14 kHz to 1 GHz. Conducted EMI was recorded in terms of voltage in decibels above one microvolt per megahertz of receiver bandwidth (dB μV/MHz).
The conducted EMI measurements were performed by coupling into the 60 Hz power line by means of a capacitive network. Swept frequency techniques were used similar to the radiated measurement techniques.

An attempt to use the current probes listed in this section proved unsuccessful because of insufficient current probe sensitivity. By direct capacitive coupling the sensitivity of the system was improved by approximately 35 decibels. Good sensitivity was essential, because some measurements were performed within a shielded enclosure that used power-line filters.

2.5 APD Measurements

This section of the report describes the system used to measure amplitude probability distribution statistics of electromagnetic noise. The system is an extension of one designed by Matheson [3]. The systems used for recording and data processing are shown in figures 5 and 6. Measurements were made at the following frequencies: 25 and 250 kHz and 1, 3, 10, and 30 MHz.

In order to characterize time variations of the EMI, APD's are produced which require special techniques and equipment. The final outputs are APD figures. These are plots of the amplitude of time-varying parameter versus the percent of time a given level is exceeded. By plotting the cumulative APD on Rayleigh graph paper, one can clearly show the fraction of time the parameter exceeds various levels. See figure 7. The measured parameter is one horizontal component of magnetic field strength.

The APD's are integrated to give rms and average values of the field strength, according to the equations

\[ H_{\text{avg}} = -\int_{0}^{\infty} H \, dp(H) \]

and

\[ H_{\text{rms}} = \left( -\int_{0}^{\infty} H^2 \, dp(H) \right)^{1/2} \]
Figure 5  Battery-operated, 4 channel, field recording system.
Figure 6  Laboratory analysis system.
Figure 7  APD, 1 MHz, Horizontal E-W Component of Magnetic Field, 1 kHz Predetection Bandwidth, Hayes Hall, Position 1, 6:00 p.m., July 2, 1973.
where $H$ represents the magnetic field strength of the noise, and $p$ is the probability that measured field strength exceeds the value $H$. The rms and average values are identified on each graph and are time averages (30 minutes) of these time-dependent parameters. These quantities are also dependent upon bandwidth of the system, length of the data run, and possibly other parameters. Finite series are actually used for the numerical integration. If the tapes are played into ordinary rms reading meters, the meter readings will vary 10 to 20 dB over fractions of a second. The rms value is directly relatable to noise power. With these wide variations of field strength with time, the most suitable presentations are statistical ones.

The measurement technique is to record on magnetic tape a time-varying, analog signal that is proportional to the magnetic field strength as seen through a specific receiver bandwidth. This analog signal must be converted down to be within the passband of the tape recorder. Four signals whose amplitudes are proportional to EMI at four frequencies are tape recorded simultaneously on four channels for about 30 minutes. 1 MHz and 3 MHz recordings were alternated with 10 MHz and 30 MHz recordings to give better spectral coverage, while 25 kHz and 250 kHz recordings were made during every run.

The data-processing system consists principally of the analog magnetic tape recorder as a playback unit, an amplifier, a tuned frequency converter, and a digital level counter. A stable 25-kHz signal recorded on channel 4 at the time of recording is used during playback to control a servo system to remove flutter and wow. The amplifier is used primarily for impedance conversion between the output impedance of the tape recorder and the input impedance of a tuned frequency converter. The 40-kHz output of the tape recorder is converted up to 455 kHz by the tuned frequency converter in order to match the response band of the digital level counter. The digital level counter provides a direct digital display of the percentage of the time each of 15 levels, 6-dB apart, is exceeded.

The 3-dB bandwidth of the whole system, including recording, transcribing, and data-processing systems, is primarily determined by the data-processing system. It was found to be about 1 kHz for the 25 kHz and 250 kHz data and 1.2 kHz for other frequencies. For approximate comparison with other data in the report given in dB ($\mu A/m$)/MHz, add 60 dB to the APD measurement data which is given in dB($\mu A/m$)/kHz.
The dynamic range of the whole system is about 60 dB. A portable oscilloscope was used to monitor signal levels to be recorded in order to use the 60 dB dynamic range to obtain the most useful part of the noise data.

The calibration of the entire measurement system, including loop antennas, field strength meters, mixers, magnetic tape recorders, impedance transforming amplifiers, and the digital level counter, was performed by immersing the receiving loop antennas in a known field, generated at the NBS field strength calibration site [2]. Thus all levels of field strength are given in absolute units.

Estimated limits of error for the APD noise measurements are ±3 dB. Several sources of error that are critical to the overall accuracy of the measurements are listed below:

1. Calibration of the discrete digital level counter by means of a 1 dB step attenuator contributes ±1-dB quantization error limit.

2. The calibration of the field recording system, i.e., recording, and data processing, has an uncertainty of ±0.5 dB [4].

3. The estimated uncertainty involved in using the tape recorder for record and playback is ±0.5 dB due to harmonic distortion, flutter, dropout, cross-talk, gain instability, etc.

4. Gain instability during measurements, gain changes between measurements and calibration, and the nonlinearity of field strength meters and mixers, all combined, contribute ±0.5 dB uncertainty.

5. Gain instability and nonlinearity of the digital level counter, the tuned frequency converter, the amplifier, and attenuators, all combined, contribute ±0.5 dB uncertainty.

3.0 MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This section contains the following measurement results:

1. Radiated EMI (electric field) at three locations, Hayes Hall, Willcox Dry Lake, and Boulder, Colorado.
2. Radiated EMI (magnetic field) at two locations, Hayes Hall and Willcox Dry Lake.

3. Selected frequency radiated EMI (electric field) at Hayes Hall.

4. Conducted EMI at Hayes Hall.

5. Evaluation of the mercury vapor lamps at Hayes Hall as EMI source.

6. APD measurements at Hayes Hall and Willcox Dry Lake.

7. Evaluation of the shielded room in Hayes Hall.

Exact location of the measurement points in the Hayes Hall Area is shown in figure 8. Numbers listed in this figure will be referred to by position number throughout this report.

Measurements at Willcox Dry Lake were made at ASA benchmark number 102, approximately one mile south of the ASA test site buildings.

Measurements were made at two locations in Boulder, Colorado: 1) near a 15 kV power line that feeds the Radio Building at NBS, and 2) at a nearby antenna site where the nearest power line is approximately 100 meters distant.

3.1 Radiated EMI (electric field)

Measurement results of the radiated EMI (electric field) at the various locations are shown in graphic form. Each plot of the spectrum is from 14 kHz to 1 GHz, showing the magnitude of the EMI in dB (µV/m)/MHz, the frequency, the date of the measurement, and the approximate time of the measurement. Each scan of the spectrum requires more than one page to provide adequate resolution of the EMI. The measurement data shown in figures 9 through 13 are only plotted up to 1 GHz. Measurements were made from 1 to 10 GHz; however, no EMI was detected above 1 GHz that exceeded receiver noise. Measurements were made at the following locations:
Figure 8  Measurement locations at Hayes Hall.
position 2. Hayes Hall area (110 volt line);
position 5. Hayes Hall area (outside ASA compound);
position 9. Hayes Hall area (15 KV line);
position 35. Willcox Dry Lake;
position Bl. Boulder, Colo. (15 KV line); and

Figures 9(a), 9(b), 9(c) compare the EMI at position 9
(generally the highest level of EMI) with position 35
(generally the lowest level of EMI).

Figures 10(a), 10(b), 10(c) compare the EMI at position 2
(generally the lowest level of EMI within the ASA compound)
with position 5 (generally the lowest level of EMI outside
the ASA compound).

Figures 11(a), 11(b), 11(c) compare the EMI at position
9 with that at position 5.

Figures 12(a), 12(b), 12(c) compare EMI at position 9
(generally the highest level within the ASA compound) with
that at position 2 (generally the lowest level of EMI within
the ASA compound).

Figures 13(a), 13(b), 13(c) compare the EMI at the two

The data in figures 9 through 13 show only the broadband
EMI. The carriers from the numerous transmitters were deleted
in order that the broadband data could be more easily studied
and understood.

Figures 14(a), 14(b), 14(c) and 15(a), 15(b), 15(c) show
only the average cw signals (narrowband) at positions 2 and
35 respectively. The units of measure are decibels above one
microvolt per meter. It should be pointed out that the mea-
sured values of all the carriers may not be accurate because
some antennas used to measure the EMI were directional and
may not have been directed toward the carrier sources. Also,
the linearly polarized antennas were always positioned for
vertical polarization. The carrier signals can usually be
identified in the background EMI by their narrowband character.
However, they are not readily discernible in all cases. No
attempt was made to identify the source of each carrier sig-
nal in figures 14 and 15.
Figure 9(a) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 35.
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
Figure 9(b) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 35.
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
Figure 9(c) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 35.

position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
Figure 10(a) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 2 and 5.
position 2: 7 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 5: 9 July 1973, 1437 to 1551 hours
Figure 10(b) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 2 and 5.
position 2: 7 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 5: 9 July 1973, 1437 to 1551 hours
Figure 10(c) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 2 and 5.
position 2: 7 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 5: 9 July 1973, 1437 to 1551 hours
Figure 11(a) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 5.

Position 5: 9 July 1973, 1551 hours
Position 9: 9 July 1975, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure II(b) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 5.
position 5: 9 July 1973, 1437 to 1551 hours
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure 11(c) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 5.
position 5: 9 July 1973, 1437 to 1551 hours
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure 12(a) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 2.
position 2:  9 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 9:  9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure 12(b) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 2.
position 2: 9 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure 12(c) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 2.
position 2: 9 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
position 9: 9 July 1973, 0832 to 1113 hours
Figure 13(a) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions B1 and B2, Boulder, Colorado.

position B1: 12 July 1973, 1015 to 1235 hours
position B2: 13 July 1973, 0600 to 0815 hours
Figure 13(b) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at positions B1 and B2, Boulder, Colorado.

Position B1: 12 July 1973, 1015 to 1235 hours
Position B2: 13 July 1973, 0600 to 0815 hours
Figure 13(c) Broadband peak electric field strength (carriers deleted) at position B1 and B2, Boulder, Colorado.
position B1: 12 July 1973, 1015 to 1235 hours
position B2: 13 July 1973, 0600 to 0815 hours
Figure 14(b) Average electric field strength (with carriers) at position 2. Position 2: 9 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
Figure 14(c) Average electric field strength (with carriers) at position 2.
position 2: 9 July 1973, 1201 to 1313 hours
Figure 15(a) Average electric field strength (with carriers) at position 35.
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
Figure 15(b) Average electric field strength (with carriers) at position 35. Position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
Figure 15(c) Average electric field strength (with carriers) at position 35.
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1104 to 1247 hours
3.2 Radiated EMI (magnetic field)

The magnetic field EMI was measured at the following positions:

position 5. Hayes Hall Area (outside ASA compound);
position 9. Hayes Hall Area (15 KV line); and
position 35. Willcox Dry Lake.

Figures 16(a) and 16(b) compare the magnetic EMI at position 9 and 5.

Figures 17(a) and 17(b) compare the magnetic EMI at positions 9 and 35.

3.3 Selected-Frequency Radiated EMI (electric field)

In addition to making the swept frequency measurements just described, radiated electric field measurements were also made at a number of sites in and about the ASA Compound at three selected frequencies. The selected frequencies were 40, 54, and 200 MHz. As a result of these measurements, an EMI map of the area was drawn, showing the variations in field strength. This map is shown in figure 18.

The numbers on the map represent the measured EMI in decibels at that particular location at the respective frequencies of 40, 54, and 200 MHz. The top number is the 40 MHz measurement followed by the 54 and 200 MHz measurements. These measurements were made relative to each other; therefore, they can be compared with each other but cannot be compared with other data in this report.

In general, the EMI levels near Irwin Street (front of the compound) were higher. This was expected, since the power lines parallel Irwin Street. As the distance from Irwin Street (and the power lines) was increased, the overall EMI within the compound decreased. The levels at the extreme rear of the compound were 10 to 30 decibels lower than at the front of the compound. Measurements at 40 MHz were made at two locations approximately 300 feet and 500 feet north of the compound. EMI at these two locations outside the compound were about the same level as that at the quietest location inside the compound. These two locations were farthest from the power lines, and here the measured EMI was the lowest (approximately 30 decibels lower than the EMI measured at the front of the compound).
Figure 16(a) Broadband peak magnetic field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 5.
position 9: 2 July 1973, 1046 to 1230 hours
position 5: 3 July 1973, 1039 to 1123 hours
Figure 16(b). Broadband peak magnetic field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 5.
Position 9: 2 July 1973, 1046 to 1230 hours
Position 5: 3 July 1973, 1039 to 1123 hours
Figure 17(a) Broadband peak magnetic field strength (carriers deleted) at positions 9 and 35.
position 9: 2 July 1973, 1046 to 1230 hours
position 35: 8 July 1973, 1255 to 1440 hours
Figure 18 Selected frequency measurements. The numbers represent the relative EMI in decibels. These results indicate the amount of EMI variation at the different locations.
Additional measurements were also made at the main power station west of Greeley Hall and at two locations just west of the ASA compound. These measurements were near power lines, and, as expected, the EMI levels were comparable to those measured near the front of the compound. The highest EMI levels were measured at the power station where there are higher power line voltages.

3.4 Conducted EMI

Figures 19(a) and 19(b) compare the conducted EMI in the 110 volt AC line located in the Systems-Evaluation Laboratory (at a workbench) with the conducted EMI within the Electronics-Maintenance Branch shielded room. The difference between the curves illustrates the effectiveness of the line filters in reducing conducted EMI. The bottom curve is the conducted EMI within the shielded room.

3.5 Mercury Vapor Lamps

Mercury vapor lamps are positioned at selected points on the periphery of the ASA compound and are used as security lights during darkness. The contribution of the mercury vapor lamps to the total EM environment was not certain. Therefore, measurements were made in the immediate vicinity of several of the lamps to determine if they contributed significantly to the overall EMI environment.

Selection of test locations was based on location of lamps relative to power lines. In areas where EMI levels were lowest, such as near the rear of the compound, EMI generated by the lamps was insignificant compared to EMI emanating from the power line. The general conclusion is that mercury vapor lamps at the ASA compound do not contribute significantly to the EMI environment.

3.6 APD Measurements

Measured data were processed and presented as about 150 APD's. These 150 APD's are not included in the body of this report. These large amounts of data are more easily comprehended in summary forms. Diurnal variation for each frequency
Figure 19(b) Broadband peak conducted EMI in Systems-Evaluation-Lab (upper curve) and shielded room (lower curve).
Systems-Evaluation Lab: 5 July 1973, 0940 to 1154 hours
Shielded Room: 5 July 1973, 1444 to 1526 hours
at locations 1 and 35 is compiled from the APD's. The 0.001 percent value, the time-averaged rms value, and the 99 percent value are given for a 24-hour period. These are shown in figures 20 to 31.

Also, since time variations versus frequency may be of interest, these are summarized for locations 1 and 35. The maximum (.001 percent) value during the 24-hour period, the linearly averaged rms value, and the minimum (99 percent) value are plotted in figures 32 and 33.

The detailed information in the APD's may be obtained from the authors.

3.7 Evaluation of the Shielded Room

In response to a request to measure shielding effectiveness of the shielded room in the Electronics Maintenance Branch, limited measurements were performed. Since the original measurements plan did not include evaluation of the shielded room, NBS personnel did not bring all of the required equipment necessary for complete evaluation. Therefore, measurements were made using what equipment was available.

Shielding effectiveness was measured at 420 MHz and 1000 MHz frequencies. Measurements were performed by launching a planewave field from a transmitter approximately 12 meters from the shielded room. Shielding effectiveness was determined by the difference between measured magnitudes in dBuV/m of the launched field outside and inside the shielded room. Results are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>frequency</th>
<th>type of field</th>
<th>shielding effectiveness decibels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>planewave</td>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>planewave</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measurement system dynamic range at 420 MHz was only 70 decibels, and shielding effectiveness of the room exceeded that value. Primary sources of rf leakage at 1000 MHz were in the vicinities of the door and the line filters, with the door leaking the most.
Figure 20. Summary of 25 kHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes, Hall.
Figure 21. Summary of 250 kHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes Hall.
Figure 22. Summary of 1 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes Hall.
Figure 23. Summary of 3 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes Hall.
Figure 24. Summary of 10 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes Hall.
Figure 25. Summary of 30 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Hayes Hall.
Figure 26. Summary of 25 kHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox Dry Lake.
Figure 27. Summary of 250 kHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox Dry Lake.
Figure 28. Summary of 1 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox·Dry Lake.
Figure 29. Summary of 3 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox Dry Lake.
Figure 30. Summary of 10 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox Dry Lake.
Figure 31. Summary of 30 MHz, H-field variations from each APD, Willcox Dry Lake.
Figure 32. 24-hour variations of H at selected frequencies, Hayes Hall, July 2-3, 1975.

Magnitude of H, dB relative to one microampere per meter, rms
Figure 33. 24-hour variations of $H$ at selected frequencies, Willcox Dry Lake, July 5-6, 1973.
4.0 CONCLUSIONS

An analysis of the measured data shows several factors that should be considered when planning communication links, tests and evaluations; evaluating present plant effectiveness; and planning expanded plant facilities. These are:

(1) The main source of interference is the power lines, with the highest levels of EMI emanating from the Irwin Street power lines.

(2) The power lines serve as transmission lines to bring in electric field interference over the portion of the spectrum from 250 kHz to approximately 500 MHz. Above 500 MHz, no noise from the power lines was detected. Quantitative differences may be obtained from figures 9(a), 9(b), and 9(c) (Hayes Hall vs. Willcox Dry Lake).

(3) Below 250 kHz these same power lines provide some shielding of electric field noise.

(4) Magnetic field noise comparisons indicate that power lines have little effect; i.e., magnetic field noise at Hayes Hall Compound is about the same as that at Willcox Dry Lake.

(5) EMI generated by the mercury vapor lights is insignificant when compared to the noise from power lines.

(6) Time variations of magnetic noise have a diurnal range of 95 dB at 25 kHz and have a short-term range of 35 dB at 30 MHz. Results are summarized in figures 32 and 33. During local thunderstorms, the amplitude and character of the interference change.

(7) The CW carriers from external transmitters can provide a serious source of interference at Hayes Hall in those cases where selectivity or directivity cannot be used to discriminate against them.

(8) Willcox Dry Lake area is much quieter with regard to electric field noise than Hayes Hall Compound.

(9) The shielded room provides only a shielding effectiveness of 50 decibels at 1000 MHz. A well-designed-and-constructed room will typically provide 100 to 120 decibels at this frequency.
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Specific recommendations must be based on many factors in addition to electromagnetic noise environment. Consequently, results and data in this report can be used only in conjunction with other factors when making future decisions.

For better use of existing facilities, USASATEC testing should be done near position 2 within Hayes Hall compound, or, for minimal interference at certain frequencies, at Willcox Dry Lake.

Testing during thunderstorms should be avoided, both for EMI reduction and for safety.

Power lines should be avoided, especially high-voltage lines along Irwin Street.

Unless power lines were buried throughout Ft. Huachuca, little would be gained by burying power lines within Hayes Hall Compound.

The mercury lights do not make a significant contribution to the EMI environment.

If cw signals are a problem, either (1) tighter control of local sources will have to be achieved; (2) the shielded room will have to be used; or (3) a remote site such as Willcox Dry Lake will have to be used. In case (3), some carriers would still be present.

Shielding effectiveness of the shielded room can probably be improved by:

(1) Uniformly tightening the bolts that hold the room together.

(2) Checking the line filters for proper bonding and tightening if necessary.

(3) Routinely cleaning finger stock on the door.
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