NBS BUILDING SCIENCE SERIES 123

The Effect of Moisture on the Thermal Conductance of Roofing Systems

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE • NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards[1] was established by an act of Congress on March 3, 1901. The Bureau's overall goal is to strengthen and advance the Nation's science and technology and facilitate their effective application for public benefit. To this end, the Bureau conducts research and provides: (1) a basis for the Nation's physical measurement system, (2) scientific and technological services for industry and government, (3) a technical basis for equity in trade, and (4) technical services to promote public safety. The Bureau's technical work is performed by the National Measurement Laboratory, the National Engineering Laboratory, and the Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology.

THE NATIONAL MEASUREMENT LABORATORY provides the national system of physical and chemical and materials measurement; coordinates the system with measurement systems of other nations and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical and chemical measurement throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce; conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement, standards, and data on the properties of materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; provides advisory and research services to other Government agencies; develops, produces, and distributes Standard Reference Materials; and provides calibration services. The Laboratory consists of the following centers:

Absolute Physical Quantities[2] — Radiation Research — Thermodynamics and Molecular Science — Analytical Chemistry — Materials Science.

THE NATIONAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY provides technology and technical services to the public and private sectors to address national needs and to solve national problems; conducts research in engineering and applied science in support of these efforts; builds and maintains competence in the necessary disciplines required to carry out this research and technical service; develops engineering data and measurement capabilities; provides engineering measurement traceability services; develops test methods and proposes engineering standards and code changes; develops and proposes new engineering practices; and develops and improves mechanisms to transfer results of its research to the ultimate user. The Laboratory consists of the following centers:

Applied Mathematics — Electronics and Electrical Engineering[2] — Mechanical Engineering and Process Technology[2] — Building Technology — Fire Research — Consumer Product Technology — Field Methods.

THE INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides scientific and technical services to aid Federal agencies in the selection, acquisition, application, and use of computer technology to improve effectiveness and economy in Government operations in accordance with Public Law 89-306 (40 U.S.C. 759), relevant Executive Orders, and other directives; carries out this mission by managing the Federal Information Processing Standards Program, developing Federal ADP standards guidelines, and managing Federal participation in ADP voluntary standardization activities; provides scientific and technological advisory services and assistance to Federal agencies; and provides the technical foundation for computer-related policies of the Federal Government. The Institute consists of the following centers:

Programming Science and Technology — Computer Systems Engineering.

[1]Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, MD, unless otherwise noted;
mailing address Washington, DC 20234.
[2]Some divisions within the center are located at Boulder, CO 80303.

NBS BUILDING SCIENCE SERIES 123

The Effect of Moisture on the Thermal Conductance of Roofing Systems

Lawrence I. Knab
David R. Jenkins
Robert G. Mathey

Center for Building Technology
National Engineering Laboratory
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Cover: *There are an estimated 25
billion square feet of built-up
roofing in the United States.*

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

v

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES

The Effect of Moisture on the Thermal
Conductance of Roofing Systems

by

Lawrence I. Knab*, David R. Jenkins**, and Robert G. Mathey*

ABSTRACT

The results of laboratory tests are presented describing the effect of
the moisture content on the thermal conductance of roofing systems con-
taining insulation. Roofing systems, consisting of five types of rigid-
board roof insulations with attached four-ply bituminous built-up
membrane, were tested. Moisture was induced into the roofing system
specimens by maintaining a constant water vapor pressure difference
across them. Moisture gain in the insulation varied depending on the
type and thickness of the insulation.

A procedure was developed, using a heat-flow meter apparatus (ASTM C 518
type), to carry out thermal conductance tests on roofing specimens con-
taining moisture. More than 200 tests were performed over a wide range
of moisture contents. The approximate moisture distribution in the
insulation was determined from core samples.

Relationships between the thermal conductance and moisture content are
presented. The relationships show that the presence of moisture in
roofing systems can cause significant increases in thermal conductance,
depending on the type and thickness of the insulation.

Key words: Built-up roofing, insulation, moisture, roofing, thermal
conductance, thermal conductivity, thermal resistance.

---

* Center for Building Technology
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

** Professor of Engineering, University of Central Florida, Orlando,
Florida, on assignment to the National Bureau of Standards under
provisions of the Intergovernmental Personnel Act.

Since the roofing industry generally uses conventional U.S. units, the following table is provided for converting to metric units.

CONVERSION FACTORS TO METRIC (SI) UNITS

Physical Quantity	To Convert From	To	Multiply By
Length	ft	m	3.05×10^{-1}
Area	ft^2	m^2	9.29×10^{-2}
Volume	ft^3	m^3	2.83×10^{-2}
Temperature	Fahrenheit	Celsius	$T_C = (T_F-32)/1.8$
Temp. Diff.	Fahrenheit	Kelvin	$K = (\Delta T_F)/1.8$
Density	lbm/ft^3	kg/m^3	$1.602 \times 10^{+1}$
Thermal Transmittance (or Conductance)	$Btu/h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F$	$W/m^2 \cdot K$	5.68
Thermal Resistance	$h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F/Btu$	$m^2 \cdot K/W$	0.176
Heat Flow	Btu/h	W	2.93×10^{-1}
Mass per Unit Area	lbm/ft^2	kg/m^2	4.88

Facing Page: *The heat loss through a roofing system can be a significant portion of the overall heat loss of a building.*

x

1. BACKGROUND

The roofing system is often a major component in the heat transmission through the envelope of a building. Most low-slope roofing in the United States consists of rigid board thermal insulation with an attached built-up bituminous membrane. The thermal resistance of this type of roofing depends on factors such as the type and thickness of insulation, the number and size of roof penetrations, the spacing of insulation joints, the number of metal fasteners penetrating through

1

the insulation, and the amount and distribution of moisture in the roofing system. Small amounts of moisture in some insulations can significantly increase their thermal conductance.

A number of researchers have studied the effect of moisture content on the thermal conductivity of insulation. Dechow and Epstein [1]* reported the results for their own laboratory study, together with the results of five other empirical studies [2,3,4,5,6], all of which were performed to determine the effect of moisture on the thermal conductivity of various insulations. Dechow and Epstein also presented empirical relationships between thermal conductivity and the moisture content for polyurethane foam, polyisocyanurate, low and high density expanded polystyrene, and glass fiber insulations. For these relationships, the thermal conductivity increased with increasing moisture content and the change in thermal conductivity per unit change in moisture (slope) was either constant or increased with respect to moisture content.

Cammerer [7], in a comprehensive review published in 1957, dealt at length with the effect of moisture distribution on the measurement of thermal conductivity and on the change in moisture distribution during the measurement period. He considered a number of building materials. In a more recent review, Tye [8] also considered the effect on thermal conductivity of moisture transmission in damp materials. Tye noted that the geometric arrangement of the moisture is a critical factor and that several processes of heat transfer need to be considered. Tye developed a number of models which may be useful in predicting the behavior of porous composite materials, such as roofing insulation.

Recently, Tobiasson and Ricard [9] presented laboratory results for moisture gain and its effect on the thermal resistance of some commonly used roof insulations. Powell and Robinson [10] investigated the effect of moisture on heat transfer through insulated concrete deck roof constructions with attached built-up bituminous membranes. No other studies to date, however, were available in the open literature on roofing systems containing insulation with a built-up roofing (BUR) membrane. Moreover, the effect of the amount and distribution of the moisture on the thermal conductance of roofing systems containing insulation has not been investigated.

This paper presents the test results of a laboratory program, sponsored by the Department of Energy (DOE), to determine the effect of the moisture content on the thermal conductance of roofing systems consisting of insulation and a BUR membrane. The study presented herein was performed in conjunction with another laboratory investigation, sponsored by the Department of the Air Force, to evaluate various techniques for nondestructively determining moisture content and its distribution in roofs.

Facing Page: *Specimen being fabricated - hot asphalt being "mopped" on.*

---

* Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of this report.

2.   SPECIMENS

Thermal conductance tests were performed on "room dry" roofing insula-
tion boards, BUR membranes, and insulation boards with attached BUR
membranes (BUR systems). The "room dry" roofing test specimens were
stored at room conditions at approximately 70°F and 50% relative
humidity (RH). The moisture contents of the insulation board and roof-
ing felts were determined gravimetrically after oven drying. Thermal
conductance tests ("wet") were also conducted on a set of BUR system
specimens in which moisture was introduced into the specimens. All
specimens were nominally 2 by 2 ft in area. Five types of rigid board

3

roof insulations, in 1 and 2 in nominal thicknesses, were included in the study; they were glass fiber, perlite board, fiberboard, expanded polystyrene, and foamed polyurethane. The BUR system specimens were fabricated by a roofing contractor under the supervision of National Bureau of Standards' personnel. The BUR membranes consisted of four plies of type 15 asphalt saturated, organic felts (Type I - ASTM D 226-77 [11]). The felts were applied to the insulation boards in sandwich fashion by hot mopping with type III asphalt (ASTM D 312-77 [12]). Figure 1 shows a schematic of the composition of a BUR system specimen.

Table 1 gives the average measured properties for the insulation, felt, and asphalt used in the fabrication of the test specimens. The glass fiber board had an asphaltic facing sheet over which the BUR membrane was applied. In this study, the facing was considered as part of the membrane. The polyurethane board originally had asphaltic facing sheets on both sides. In order to increase the rate of moisture absorption, the asphaltic facing sheets were removed from both sides with a band saw to provide the required 1 and 2 in thicknesses. The thickness of the boards before cutting was 2.25 in for the 1 in specimens and 3.25 in for the 2 in specimens. The batch from which the 1 in thick specimens were cut was probably different from the batch from which the 2 in specimens were cut. This is supported by the difference in density (table 1) and visual difference; i.e. the 2 in thick boards appeared less uniform in texture than the 1 in thick boards.

Table 2 identifies the individual BUR system specimens for which "room dry" and subsequent "wet" thermal conductance tests were performed. The table gives average measured values for total specimen thickness, membrane thickness, and membrane weight per unit area in specimens which had been taken apart after completing the conductance tests. The insulation thickness was taken as the difference between the total specimen and the membrane thicknesses. In some cases, as noted in table 2, the thickness of the specimen could not be measured because the insulation had deformed. Therefore, the total specimen thickness had to be estimated using the measured membrane thickness and values of insulation thickness given in table 1. Some melting and consequent reduction in the thickness of the polystyrene insulation occurred during application of the hot asphalt and roofing felts.

The four membranes of the glass fiber BUR system specimens (nos. 3, 53, 7 and 57 in table 2) had an average thickness of 0.40 in and an average weight per unit area of 2.05 lb/ft^2. These four membranes were grouped separately since the facing sheet on the glass fiber insulation was considered as part of the membrane. The remaining 15 BUR system specimens in table 2 had an average membrane thickness of 0.35 in and an average

weight per unit area of 1.76 lb/ft^2. The corresponding coefficients of variation (COV)* for the 15 measurements were 8.4 and 10.0 percent, respectively for the thickness and weight per unit area.

The values of individual membrane thicknesses in table 2 are the average of 6 to 9 measurements. The coefficients of variation for each membrane ranged from 4 to 14 percent, with the exception of specimen number 82, which was 22 per cent.

---

* The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the mean.

Facing Page: *Ponded water can increase
the possibility of water
intrusion in the roofing
system.*

3. MOISTURE INTRODUCTION AND MEASUREMENT

Thermal conductance tests were performed on BUR system specimens con-
taining a wide range of moisture contents. Moisture was induced in the
2 x 2 ft specimens by controlling temperature and relative humidity
conditions on each side of the specimens. Specimens were placed on
the top of insulated wooden chambers, as shown in figures 2 and 3.
The inside of each of two large insulated chambers, 8 x 16 ft in area
was maintained at 100°F ($\pm$7°F) and 100% RH by means of four pools (each
about 25 ft^2) containing heated water. The two insulated chambers were
in an environmental room which was maintained at 50°F ($\pm$4°F) and 25%

(+5%) RH. Thus, the insulation (bottom) side of the specimens was sub-
jected to 100°F and 100% RH while the membrane (top) side of the speci-
mens was subjected to 50°F and 25% RH. The calculated vapor pressure
difference was 1.84 in of Hg for the environmental conditions under
which the specimens were exposed. The intent of using this relatively
large vapor pressure difference was to induce moisture into the speci-
mens at a rapid rate; usually, much lower vapor pressure differences
exist under actual field environmental conditions.

A wooden frame, approximately 2 x 2 ft, with a continuous wooden ledge
at the bottom was used to support the individual specimens (figure 2).
The ledge provided about a 1/2 in width of support at the bottom on all
four specimen edges. When additional support was needed, aluminum
angle, 1/2 in width, spanned the center of the wooden frame in both
directions. The edges of the specimens for all insulations except the
perlite boards were sealed with an impervious, vinyl, vapor-barrier
paint. A wax was used to seal the edges of the perlite board specimens.
Due to handling, some specimen edge seals cracked or fell off, but the
sides of the wooden frame (figure 2) tended to prevent moisture from
entering through the edges. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the BUR
system specimen, supporting frame, and the environmental conditions
used to induce moisture in the specimens.

Before the specimens were placed into chambers, they were weighed and
measured. When the specimens were removed from the chambers, the time
was recorded and the specimens weighed; the difference in weight between
the "room dry" and "wet" condition was taken as the moisture gain. With
the exception of the specimens containing glass fiber insulation, the
surface of the insulation was wiped with paper towels to remove any free
or condensed surface water prior to weighing. In most cases, the speci-
mens containing glass fiber insulation were turned on edge for several
minutes to drain water prior to weighing. The rate of gain of moisture
was determined from the exposure time in the chamber and the correspond-
ing weight gain. When the specimen was returned to the chamber for
additional exposure and moisture gain, the time and weight were again
recorded. The exposure time ranged from about 10 to 100 days, depending
on the type of insulation. It is noted that there was interruption in
the introduction of moisture in some specimens because the desired mois-
ture contents had been reached and because of maintenance of the labora-
tory facilities. Some specimens were stored in plastic bags at room
temperature up to about three weeks before testing.

To estimate the vertical moisture distribution (from the cold to warm
side of the specimen) of the water in the insulation, 0.91 in diameter
cores were taken by hand using a cork-borer from several BUR system
specimens containing perlite board and polystyrene. The cores were
taken before and after the thermal conductance tests to determine if
the distribution of water had changed during the test. Cores were
generally not taken from the center 10 in square area, because this
area was used as the heat flow monitoring section in the thermal
conductance tests. The resulting holes were plugged with a core of

8

polyurethane or polystyrene insulation. The cores were cut into four approximately equal length sections for the nominal 1 in insulations and into eight sections for the 2 in insulations. The core sections were immediately placed into preweighed glass flasks with tight sealing lids and weighed. After removing the lids and oven drying, the flasks were again weighed, to determine the moisture loss in each of the sections of insulation. These data provided an approximation of the moisture distribution. For higher moisture contents in the insulation and particularly for the polystyrene insulation, it was impossible to avoid some water loss during the coring and sectioning processes. None the less, some definite trends for water distribution in the insulations of the BUR system specimens were obtained.

Facing Page: *Heat-flow meter apparatus used in thermal conductance tests.*

4.   THERMAL CONDUCTANCE TEST PROCEDURE, EQUIPMENT, AND MEASUREMENT

Measurements of thermal conductance of the "room dry" roofing insulation boards, BUR membranes, and the "room dry" and "wet" BUR system specimens were made using an ASTM C 518 [13] type heat-flow meter apparatus*.

_____

* A Dynatech R-Matic heat-flow meter apparatus was used for these
   tests. This does not imply a recommendation or endorsement by the
   National Bureau of Standards.

11

The procedure used in this study differed from the ASTM C 518 procedure mainly because the moisture levels in the specimens tested are not considered in ASTM C 518 and the specimen surfaces (bituminous built-up membranes) were not always flat.

The metering section consisted of a 10 in square, fully integrated, heat flow meter which was built into the lower (hot) plate of the apparatus. The hot and cold plate surface temperatures, the heat-flow meter output, and the specimen thickness were digitally displayed on a front panel meter (figure 4).

The following procedure was used to conduct the thermal conductance tests:

1. "Wet" specimens were removed from the chamber after exposure to moisture and wiped or drained to remove any free water. The time and specimen weight were recorded.

2. The specimen was then wrapped in 0.0005 in thick PVC sheet and placed in a 0.003 in thick polyethylene bag which was then stapled. This was done to prevent water leakage from the specimen and damage to the heat-flow meter apparatus. To be consistent, all specimens (including "room dry" specimens) were wrapped as described.

3. The wrapped 2 x 2 ft specimen was then inserted in the heat-flow meter apparatus (figure 4). The specimen was placed horizontally in the apparatus with the membrane side in contact with the upper (cold) plate, maintained at about 50°F and the insulation side in contact with the lower (hot) plate maintained at about 100°F. It is noted that in about 8 percent of the "wet" conductance tests performed on BUR specimens the lower plate temperature ranged from 86 to 90°F. The lower plate temperature was about 85°F during the 6 "room dry" roofing membrane tests. By means of a vacuum pump or by gradually and gently compressing the specimen with the hot and cold plates, most of the entrapped air was forced out of the bag. The plates were then brought in contact with the insulation or BUR system specimens by compressing them approximately 0.06 in after initial contact. It is noted that lack of flatness in bitumen surfaces makes it possible to trap air between the bitumen surface and the cold plate. The possibility of air layer could affect the thermal conductance values. The temperatures of the plates in the heat-flow meter apparatus (adjacent to the membrane and insulation sides of the specimen) were about the same as the temperatures to which the specimens were exposed during introduction of moisture in the insulated chambers. This was done deliberately to maintain the same rate of moisture migration during testing and moisture introduction.

12

4. The above conditions were maintained until essentially
   steady-state heat flow occurred. Then the following data
   were recorded: specimen thickness (distance between hot
   and cold plates), hot and cold plate surface temperature,
   and the heat flow. In this study, steady-state heat flow
   was defined to occur when three successive thermal conduc-
   tance readings were taken 20 minutes apart and agreed to
   within 1 percent. This resulted in tests lasting from
   about 2 to 5 hours.

   In 93 percent of the thermal conductance tests reported,
   the three successive readings agreed to within 1 percent
   or less. In 6 percent of the tests reported, the three
   successive readings agreed to within 1 to 2 percent and
   for 1 percent of the tests, the readings agreed to within
   2 to 4 percent. In most cases, the three successive read-
   ings were taken 20 minutes apart and, with the exception
   of one test, all the readings were taken at least 17
   minutes apart.

5. When the test was completed, the specimen was removed
   from the apparatus, unwrapped, weighed, and the time
   recorded before being returned to the chambers for
   further moisture gain.

   The mean temperature during the thermal conductance tests
   was about 75°F which was close to the temperature in the
   room in which the tests were conducted.

When steady-state heat flow is achieved, the thermal conductance of the
specimen is determined from the one dimensional Fourier heat transfer
equation:

$$q = C \cdot \Delta T. \tag{1}$$

Noting that

$$q = SQ$$

and solving for C gives:

$$C = \frac{SQ}{\Delta T} \tag{2}$$

where: C = thermal conductance in $Btu/(h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F)$

      S = apparatus constant, having dimensions of $Btu/(h \cdot ft^2 \cdot mV)$;
      found [14] to be 4.05 for a specimen thickness of less
      than or equal to 2.25 in and 4.03 for a specimen thickness
      between 2.26 and 3.00 in. The apparatus constant was

determined, with an uncertainty of about 2 percent, using a standard reference material. Calibration procedures are described in reference 14.

ΔT = temperature difference between hot and cold plates in °F

q = heat flow rate per unit area, Btu/h·ft^2

Q = signal from heat-flow meter in millivolts (mV)

The thermal resistance or R-value is calculated from:

$$R = \frac{\Delta T}{SQ} \qquad (3)$$

Facing Page: *Ridging and blistering of built-up roof membranes may be caused by moisture in the roofing system.*

5. TEST RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

5.1 THERMAL CONDUCTANCE OF "ROOM DRY" SPECIMENS

Table 3 gives average values of "room dry" thermal conductance, C_D, and their corresponding coefficients of variation (COV) for insulation and BUR system specimens.

As shown in table 3, the COV values were relatively small, particularly since the COV values included both the within specimen and between specimen variability. For the two cases in table 3 where the COV values

for C_D for the insulation specimens could be computed, the COV values
for the BUR system specimens were higher than those for the correspond-
ing insulation specimens. This was probably due to the variability of
the membrane thickness (COV ranged from about 4 to 14 percent) which
was present in the BUR system specimens but not in the insulation speci-
mens. Table 2 shows the C_D values for individual BUR system specimens,
many of which subsequently had moisture induced in them. The values
from table 2 are the "room dry" reference values for the plots of
thermal conductance vs moisture content presented later.

Preliminary tests on "room dry" insulation board with and without
wrapping (0.0005 in PVC sheet and 0.003 in polyethylene bag) were con-
ducted to determine the effect of the wrapping material. The procedure
for the preliminary tests differed from the procedure given earlier in
this report in that the time between readings was less. For the pre-
liminary tests, the specimens were in the heat-flow meter apparatus for
at least two hours, and two or three readings were taken at least 15
minutes apart. The results showed that for the insulation tested (glass
fiber, 1 in; perlite board, 1 and 2 in; fiberboard, 1 and 2 in; poly-
styrene, 1 and 2 in; and polyurethane, 1 in) there was no appreciable
difference in thermal conductance for specimens with and without wrap-
ping. It was also shown by calculation that the effect of the wrapping
material is not significant.

5.2. MOISTURE GAIN AND DISTRIBUTION

Figure 5 shows the insulation moisture content, V_P, in terms of the
elapsed time in the chambers for ten BUR system specimens, one for each
of the five insulation types and two insulation thicknesses studied.
The moisture content, V_P^*, in the specimen insulation is expressed as
the percent by volume of water in the insulation; V_P was based on the
average moisture gain for the entire specimen. The volume of insulation
was determined from the insulation thickness (table 2) and the overall
specimen dimensions (about 2 x 2 ft). The volume of water was deter-
mined from the weight gain of the specimen (62.4 lb = 1 ft^3).

The lack of smoothness in the data plots in figure 5 for the glass
fiber specimens is attributed in part to their being drained prior to
being weighed. For equal exposure times (90 days), the 1 in polyure-
thane absorbed the largest amount of moisture (about 60%) while the 2 in
polyurethane absorbed the smallest (about 5%). It is also noted that
the rates of absorption of the 2 in polyurethane and 2 in polystyrene
were lower than the other specimens. Both 1 in perlite board and 1 in
fiberboard initially absorbed moisture very rapidly. The 2 in fiber-
board specimen fell apart by delaminating, after absorbing about 18
percent moisture in a relatively short exposure period (17 days).

---

* $V_P = (\dfrac{\text{Volume of moisture}}{\text{Volume of insulation}}) \times 100$

In general it can be seen from figure 5 that specimens with 1 in insulation absorbed moisture more rapidly and eventually absorbed more moisture per unit volume for a given exposure time than did their counterparts with 2 in insulation. Since the exposure conditions on the internal and external faces of the specimens were the same during forced introduction of moisture, the differences in the response of the 1 in and 2 in specimens may be due to the lesser permeance of thicker insulations or to the location of the plane of condensation. Other factors which may be significant are the physical state of the water introduced (vapor or liquid) and its distribution in the voids of the insulation.

The response of the generally considered closed cell materials included in this study suggests that some breakdown in cell structure occurred due to moisture, that the cells were not initially completely closed, or that continuous moisture paths developed around and through the cells. Large moisture gains in polyurethane foam and expanded polystyrene insulations have been reported by other investigations [1,9].

Cores were taken before and immediately after the thermal conductance tests to determine if the moisture distribution had changed. The moisture content of the cores and corresponding specimens is given in table 4 along with information regarding the sequence of coring relative to the thermal conductance tests. Figure 6 shows moisture distributions for three different moisture contents in 2 in polystyrene. The moisture distribution for each of these three moisture contents did not appear to be significantly different before and after testing. A similar trend is shown in figure 7 for 1 in perlite board (cores 4B and 4A). It is noted that core 2AA (2 in polystyrene), which was taken two hours after the thermal conductance test, had a moisture distribution similar to cores taken before and immediately after the test (cores 2B and 2A).

Some uncertainty in the reported moisture distributions may be attributed to the experimental technique of coring. The amount of water lost while coring can be estimated by comparing the average moisture content based on the core test with the that based on the entire BUR system specimen weight. Table 4 shows these two average moisture content values. As can be seen, significant moisture was lost while coring the 2 in polystyrene, particularly at larger moisture contents.

Distinct areas of visible free water, particularly for higher moisture contents, were observed on the surface of the warm side of the glass fiber insulation. It appeared that the lateral distribution of moisture in these specimens varied considerably. As noted earlier, these specimens were drained prior to the thermal conductance tests; this also contributed to the variability in the lateral moisture distribution.

5.3   THERMAL CONDUCTANCE of "WET" BUR SYSTEM SPECIMENS

Figures 8 to 12 show the thermal conductance, C_W, vs moisture content, V_p, relationships for the BUR system specimens. The curves plotted in figures 8 to 12 are either linear or quadratic best fit curves.

Quadratic curves were used when they exhibited a significant improvement in fit as compared to the linear curves. In each figure, the upper curve is for specimens containing 1 in insulation and the lower curve is for 2 in insulation. Table 5 presents linear or quadratic coefficients and the residual standard deviations for the best fit curves plotted in figures 8 to 12. It is noted that the C_W and V_p scales differ in these figures.

For specimens containing glass fiber insulation (figure 8) or 1 in poly-urethane (figure 11) the C_W vs V_p curves are concave upward; the 2 in polystyrene curve (figure 12) is only slightly concave upward. It is noted that the small moisture gain of the 2 in polyurethane insulation (figure 11) makes it difficult to comment on the C_W vs V_p trend for that configuration. In contrast, the C_W vs V_p curves for specimens contain-ing the other insulations are slightly concave downward (perlite - figure 9 or fiberboard - figure 10), or are linear (1 in polystyrene - figure 12). These differences are probably associated with different moisture distributions in the various insulations and with the possibil-ity of the existence of continuous moisture paths through the insulation thickness.

To compare the thermal conductances of the BUR system specimens con-taining different types of insulations, the data and best fit curves (figures 8 to 12) are shown collectively for the specimens containing 1 in insulation in figure 13 and 2 in insulation in figure 14. As figures 13 and 14 indicate, C_W for all the BUR system specimens increased with an increase in V_p, except for the specimen containing 2 in fiberboard. The slopes of the curves in figure 13 were not appre-ciably different except for specimens containing glass fiber insulation. In general, all C_W vs V_p curves in figure 13 lie in a relatively narrow band bounded by the perlite and polyurethane insulations. In figure 14, the curves lie in an even narrower band than those in figure 13. Since the conductance of the BUR system specimens is dependent on thickness, it should be noted that the measured thicknesses of the nominal 1 and 2 in insulations differed from their nominal thickness (table 2).

Figures 15 and 16 are similar to Figures 13 and 14 and show the ratios of the "wet" to "room dry" thermal conductance (C_W/C_D). The C_W/C_D ratio gives the relative change in the thermal conductance by removing the magnitude of the "room dry" thermal conductance from consideration. The C_D values for the individual BUR system specimens (table 2) were used when computing the C_W/C_D ratio. Table 5 shows the coefficients for the best fit linear or quadratic curves for C_W/C_D vs V_p.

18

The curves for C_W/C_D vs V_P were close to each other over the entire range of V_P for the BUR system specimens, except curves for specimens containing 1 and 2 in glass fiber and 1 in polyurethane insulations (figures 15 and 16). For example, at 20 percent moisture content, specimens containing 1 and 2 in glass fiber insulation had values of C_W/C_D of about 3 whereas C_W/C_D for the other specimens (figures 15 and 16) ranged from about 1.5 to 2.4. Similarly at 40 percent moisture content the specimen containing 1 in polyurethane had a C_W/C_D value of about 4.5 compared to about 2.2 to 2.9 for the other specimens (figure 15).

Facing Page: *Moisture intrusion in roofing systems can occur during their construction.*

20

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Laboratory thermal conductance vs moisture content relationships are
presented for roofing system specimens containing moisture. The speci-
mens consisted of built-up bituminous membranes applied to rigid board
insulation. The following five types of insulations in 1 and 2 in
thicknesses were included in the study: glass fiber, perlite board,
fiberboard, polyurethane and expanded polystyrene.

The thermal conductance was measured on roofing specimens containing
a wide range of moisture contents in the insulations. Moisture was

21

introduced by imposing temperature and vapor pressure gradients across the specimen thickness using large insulated chambers in an environmentally controlled laboratory. The moisture content and approximate distribution were determined from specimen weight gain and coring data. Thermal conductance tests were conducted over relatively short time periods, about 2 to 5 hours, using an ASTM C 518 type heat-flow meter apparatus. A procedure was developed to test "wet" specimens and interpret the results for heterogenous roofing systems.

Linear and quadratic best fit curves are presented for thermal conductance vs moisture content (percent by volume of insulation) of roofing specimens containing different insulations. The thermal conductance of the roofing specimens in general increased with an increase in moisture content for all insulations. The best fit curves for thermal conductance vs moisture content lie in relatively narrow bands for specimens containing the 1 and 2 in insulations (figures 13 and 14).

For comparison, the thermal conductance data for individual specimens were normalized by dividing by the "room dry" thermal conductance value. The normalized curves were relatively close to each other throughout the entire moisture content range, with the exception of specimens containing glass fiber and 1 in polyurethane insulations (figures 15 and 16).

The amount and rate of gain of moisture varied considerably depending on the insulation type and thickness. The moisture gain in about 100 days or less of exposure ranged from about 20 to 60 percent moisture content by volume of insulation, for all specimens, including those containing nominally closed cell insulations. A notable exception was the specimen containing 2 in polyurethane which gained only about 5 percent moisture in 90 days of exposure.

The vertical moisture distribution in specimens containing 1 in perlite and 2 in polystyrene insulations did not appear to change appreciably during the thermal conductance tests (figures 6 and 7). Lateral movement of free water in glass fiber insulations precluded the determination of representative vertical moisture distributions.

specimens. Finally, Ms. Catherine A. Scarbrough and Mr. Nathaniel E. Waters deserve recognition for their roles in the reducing, computerizing, and plotting of the data.

7. REFERENCES

1. Dechow, F. J. and Epstein, K. A., "Laboratory and Field Investigation of Moisture Absorption and Its Effect on Thermal Performance of Various Insulations," Thermal Transmission Measurements of Insulation, ASTM STP 660, R. P. Tye, Ed., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1978, pp. 234-260.

2. Thorsen, S. H., "Determination of the Expansion Coefficient, Water Absorption, Moisture Diffusivity, as well as Thermal Conductivity at Different Moisture Contents for Polystyrene and Urethane Cellular Plastics," Institute for Building Technology, Chalmers Technical University, Goteborg, Sweden, August 1973.

3. Paljak, I., "Condensation in Slabs of Cellular Plastics," Materiaux et Constructions, Vol. 6, No. 31, 1973, pg. 53.

4. Levy, M. M., "Moisture Vapor Transmission and Its Effect on Thermal Efficiency of Foam Plastics," Journal of Cellular Plastics, January 1966, pp. 37-45.

5. Mittasch, H., "Dependence of the Thermal Conductivity of Plastic Foams on Their Moisture Content," Plaste und Kautschuk, Vol. 16, No. 4, 1969, pg. 268.

6. Achtziger, J., "Measurement of the Thermal Conductivity of Plastic Foams With Any Desired Moisture Content," Kunststoffe in Bau., Vol. 23, 1971, pg. 3.

7. Cammerer, J. S., "The Influence of Humidity on the Thermal Conductivity Coefficients of Building Materials According to the International Literature," (Feuchtigkeitsregelung Durchfeuchtung Und Warmeleitfahigkeit), 37-60, 1957, Translated by D. A. Sinclair, Ottawa,Canada, 1964.

8. Tye, R. P., Ed., "Heat Transmission in Damp Materials," Thermal Conductivity Vol. 1, Academic Press, 1969, pg. 326.

9. Tobiasson, W., and Ricard, J., "Moisture Gain and its Thermal Consequence for Common Roof Insulations," Proceedings, 5th Conference on Roofing Technology, NBS and NRCA, April 19-20, 1979.

10. Powell, F.J., and Robinson, H.E., "The Effect of Moisture on the Heat Transfer Performance of Insulated Flat-Roof Constructions," National Bureau of Standards, Building Science Series 37, October 1971.

11. ASTM "Asphalt-Saturated Organic Felt Used in Roofing and Water-proofing," ANSI/ASTM D 226-77, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 15, Philadelphia PA, 1978.

12. ASTM "Standard Specification for Asphalt Used in Roofing," ANSI/
    ASTM D 312-71 (Reapproved 1977), Annual Book of ASTM Standards,
    Part 15, Philadelphia PA, 1978.

13. ASTM "Standard Test Method for Steady State Thermal Transmission
    Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Meter," ANSI/ASTM C 518-76,
    Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 18, Philadelphia PA, 1978.

14. Siu, M. C. I., "Fibrous Glass Board as a Standard Reference
    Material for Thermal Resistance Measurement Systems," Proceedings
    of DOE/ASTM Insulation Conference, Tampa, Florida, October 23-24,
    1978.

Table 1. Properties of Roofing System Components

Material	Thickness (in)			Density (lb/ft³)			Moisture Content "room dry"c Percent by Volume			$(w_P/v_P)^d$
	Average	COV^a(%)	N^b	Average (oven dry)	COV (%)	N	Average	COV (%)	N	
Glass fiber 1 in^e	0.75	4.72	7	9.56	11.9	7	0.0733	60.7	7	6.53
Glass fiber 2 in^e	2.07	1.94	7	8.17	4.78	7	0.0348	48.0	7	7.64
Perlite board 1 in	1.02	2.18	6	10.4	3.15	6	0.222	18.5	9	5.99
Perlite board 2 in	2.06	1.59	6	9.47	3.70	6	0.295	17.6	9	6.59
Fiberboard 1 in	1.00	--	3	16.4	--	3	1.32	2.96	6	3.80
Fiberboard 2 in	2.00	--	3	15.2	--	3	1.41	2.10	6	4.12
Polystyrene 1 in	0.99	1.53	6	1.30	9.71	6	0.00245	160.	9	48.1
Polystyrene 2 in	1.99	0.643	6	1.13	9.05	6	0.00128	154.	9	55.6
Polyurethene 1 in	0.97	4.56	9	1.59	4.93	9	0.101	20.8	12	39.2
Polyurethene 2 in	2.16	8.90	9	1.86	9.37	9	0.0357	38.1	12	33.5
Roofing Felt, ASTM Type 15	0.035	--	2	.122^f lb/ft²	9.1	4	2.08^g	--	2	--
Asphalt^h ASTM Type III	--	--	--	about 62.4	--	--	--	--	--	--

a Coefficient of variation in percent, defined as the standard deviation divided by the mean.

b Number of specimens.

c Refers to storage at room conditions at approximately 70°F and 50% relative humidity.

d Ratio of moisture content expressed as percent by dry weight of insulation to moisture content expressed as percent by volume of insulation.

e Excludes asphalt facing sheet. Facing was treated as part of the membrane - see table 2.

f Weight per unit area.

g Moisture content - percent by dry weight of felt.

h Average softening point of 187°F based on 16 measurements (COV=1.7%).

27

Table 2. Thickness, Density and "Room Dry" Thermal Conductance Results[a] for Individual BUR System Specimens

Spec No.	Insulation Type and Nominal Thickness	(in)	Total Specimen Thickness (in)	Insulation Thickness (in)	Membrane Thickness (in)	Membrane Weight Per Unit Area (lb/ft^2)	Thermal Conductance C_D	
							No. of Tests	Average C_D $(Btu/h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F)$
3	Glass fiber	1	1.15[c]	0.75[d]	0.40[e]	2.05[e]	2	0.266
53	Glass fiber	1	1.25	0.87	0.38[e]	1.94[e]	1	0.250
7	Glass fiber	2	2.59	2.17	0.42[e]	2.17[e]	2	0.110
57[b]	Glass fiber	2	2.60	2.20	0.40[e]	2.05[e]	2	0.110
13	Perlite board	1	1.37[c]	1.02[d]	0.35	1.90	--	0.341[f]
63	Perlite board	1	1.43	1.08	0.35	1.75	2	0.335
17	Perlite board	2	2.39[c]	2.06[d]	0.33	1.63	1	0.177
67	Perlite board	2	2.35[c]	2.06[d]	0.29	1.41	2	0.181
22	Fiberboard	1	1.32[c]	1.00[d]	0.32	1.57	2	0.340
28	Fiberboard	2	2.38[c]	2.00[d]	0.38	1.98	2	0.176
33	Polystyrene	1	1.12	0.78	0.34	1.76	1	0.273
751[b]	Polystyrene	1	1.16	0.78	0.38	1.76	1	0.276
82[b]	Polystyrene	1	1.24	0.88	0.36	1.75	4	0.250
37	Polystyrene	2	2.38	2.02	0.36	1.83	-	0.131[f]
155[b]	Polystyrene	2	2.29	1.89	0.40	2.06	1	0.132
87[b]	Polystyrene	2	2.30	1.95	0.35	1.84	2	0.130
42	Polyurethane	1	1.41	1.09	0.32	1.65	2	0.157
48	Polyurethane	2	2.31	2.00	0.31	1.55	-	0.0795[f]
98[b]	Polyurethane	2	2.25	1.89	0.36	1.94	1	0.0726
M_1[h]	Membrane	-	--	--	0.35	1.78	4	1.24[g]
M_2[h]	Membrane	-	--	--	0.40	2.15	2	1.40

[a] Unless noted otherwise, specimens were used for "room dry" and subsequent "wet" thermal conductance tests.

[b] Thermal conductance tests performed for "room dry" conditions only; note that for specimen no. 82, no moisture was induced; specimen no. 98 was soaked in water for 5 months rather than exposed to water vapor prior to thickness measurements.

[c] Based on sum of insulation thickness (estimated from table 1) and the membrane thickness.

[d] Based on table 1.

[e] Includes an asphaltic facing sheet. Estimates of the average density ($\bar{d}$), thickness ($\bar{t}$), and coefficients of variation (shown in parentheses) for 7 facing specimens are:

Asphaltic facing on 1 inch glass fiber insulation: $\bar{d}$ =43 lb/ft^3 (11%); $\bar{t}$ = 0.14 inch (9.9%)

Asphaltic facing on 2 inch glass fiber insulation: $\bar{d}$ = 40 lb/ft^3 (3.1%); $\bar{t}$ = 0.13 inch (9.7%)

[f] Value based on table 3; "room dry" thermal conductance test not performed on this specimen.

[g] Coefficient of variation was 1.7%.

[h] Membrane only, tested at "room dry" conditions.

28

Table 3. Summary of Thermal Conductance Results for "Room Dry" Conditions

System	Insulation Type and Nominal Thickness	(in)	No. of Specimens	Total No. of C_D Tests	Average Thermal Conductance C_D (Btu/h·ft^2·°F)	COV[a](%)
Insulation[b]	Glass fiber[d]	1	2	4	0.266	0.673
BUR[c]	Glass fiber[d]	1	3	5	0.256	3.39
Insulation	Glass fiber	2	1	2	0.115	--
BUR	Glass fiber	2	3	6	0.111	1.17
Insulation	Perlite board	1	2	3	0.375	--
BUR	Perlite board	1	3	8	0.341	1.64
Insulation	Perlite board	2	1	2	0.184	--
BUR	Perlite board	2	4	8	0.176	1.52
Insulation	Fiberboard	1	1	2	0.364	--
BUR	Fiberboard	1	3	7	0.330	3.94
Insulation	Fiberboard	2	1	2	0.199	--
BUR	Fiberboard	2	3	5	0.173	1.30
Insulation	Polystyrene	1	1	2	0.266	--
BUR	Polystyrene	1	3	6	0.258	4.96
Insulation	Polystyrene	2	1	2	0.137	--
BUR	Polystyrene	2	2	3	0.131	--
Insulation	Polyurethane	1	1	2	0.166	--
BUR	Polyurethane	1	2	7	0.168	4.41
Insulation	Polyurethane	2	2	4	0.0741	7.72
BUR	Polyurethane	2	2	5	0.0795	5.37

[a] Coefficient of Variation.

[b] Insulation (without built-up roofing membrane).

[c] BUR system specimen (insulation with built-up roofing membrane).

[d] Facing on glass fiber insulation in contact with cold plate of heat-flow meter apparatus.

Table 4. Insulation Moisture Content for Cores and BUR System Specimens and Conditions of Specimens Before Coring

Specimen No.	Core No.	Average Moisture Content[a]		History of Specimen Before Coring
		Core[b]	BUR System Specimen	
37 - 2" Polystyrene	1B	3.51	4.49	Before test. Specimen cored 15-30 minutes after removal from chamber.[c]
	1A	2.82	4.49	After test. Specimen was in apparatus[d] for 3-1/2 hours and then cored 5-15 minutes after removal from apparatus.
	2B	10.4	18.0	Before test. Specimen cored 5-15 minutes after removal from chamber.
	2A	13.3	18.0	After test. Specimen was in apparatus for 4-1/2 hours and then cored 5-15 minutes after removal from apparatus.
	2AA	13.8	18.0	After test. Specimen cored 2 hours after removal from apparatus.
	3B	21.3	29.7	Before test. Specimen wrapped in plastic bag and had been at room temperature for 194 hours before being cored.
	3A	14.4	29.7	After test. Specimen was in apparatus for 6-1/2 hours and then cored 2 minutes after removal from apparatus.
63 - 1" Perlite	4B	5.27	4.95	Before test. Specimen wrapped in plastic bag and had been at room temperature for 23-1/2 hours before being cored.
	4A	4.50	4.87	After test. Specimen was in apparatus for 12-2/3[e] hours and then cored 15-30 minutes after removal from apparatus.
13 - 1" Perlite	5A	69.0	57.3	After test. Specimen wrapped in plastic bag and had been at room temperature for 399-3/4 hours before being put in apparatus. Specimen in apparatus for 6 hours and then cored 15 minutes after removal from apparatus.
	5AA	59.2	57.3	After test. Specimen cored 2-1/2 hours after removal from apparatus.

a Percent by volume.

b Based on core data.

c Insulated chamber used to induce moisture.

d Heat-flow meter apparatus.

e Specimen stayed in apparatus overnight.

Table 5. Curve Fit Coefficients for C_W and C_W/C_D

Type Insulation in BUR System Specimen	Number of Tests	$C_W^* = a_0 + a_1 V_P^{**} + a_2 V_P^2$ (Figures 8-12)				$C_W^*/C_D = b_0 + b_1 V_P + b_2 V_P^2$ (Figures 15 and 16)			
		C_W Curve Coefficients			Residual Standard Deviation	C_W/C_D Curve Coefficients			Residual Standard Deviation
		a_0	a_1	a_2		b_0	b_1	b_2	
Glass fiber 1 in	24	.258571	-.000957572	.00139389	.0378	.994558	-.00463115	.00565024	.169
Glass fiber 2 in	19	.118008	-.000277890	.000610987	.0580	1.06973	-.00251317	.00553837	.526
Perlite 1 in	23	.372569	.0189125	-.0000871547	.0285	1.10677	.0554255	-.000258881	.0802
Perlite 2 in	15	.212833	.0119370	-.0000508645	.0243	1.18729	.0700821	-.000371967	.141
Fiberboard 1 in	14	.373006	.0122040	-.0000617180	.0192	1.09595	.0358573	-.000181341	.0564
Fiberboard 2 in	13	.195819	.0100616	-.000330270	.0142	1.11148	.0571105	-.00187464	.0804
Polystyrene 1 in	20	.288351	.0119111	--	.0341	1.05596	.0436193	--	.125
Polystyrene 2 in	20	.129210	.00245697	.0000609823	.00269	.986572	.0187599	.000465623	.0206
Polyurethane 1 in	21	.160161	.00719091	.000163073	.0368	1.01765	.0456906	.00103615	.234
Polyurethane 2 in	15	.0730231	-.000481150	.000501665	.00232	.918235	-.00605768	.00630970	.0292

* C_W, C_D = "wet" and "dry" thermal conductance in Btu/h·ft²·°F.

** V_P = moisture content, percent by volume of insulation ($V_P = \frac{\text{volume of water}}{\text{volume of insulation}} \times 100$)

Figure 1. BUR System Specimen Composition

Figure 2. BUR System Specimen, Wooden Supporting Frame and the Environmental Conditions Used to Induce Moisture

32

2 FT

2 FT

4"

MEMBRANE SIDE

INSULATION

WOODEN
FRAME

50°F ; 25% RH
OUTSIDE

100°F
100% RH
INSIDE

3/4 INCH PLYWOOD WITH 2 INCH
EXTRUDED POLYSTYRENE FACING

Detail View

50°F ; 25% RH OUTSIDE

SPECIMEN IN
WOODEN FRAME

4 FT

100°F
100% RH
INSIDE

DIRECTION OF MOISTURE INDUCTION

16 FT

8 FT

Overall View

Figure 3.   Insulated Wooden Chambers Used to Induce Moisture
in the BUR System Specimens

33

Figure 4.   Heat-Flow Meter Apparatus Used to Conduct Thermal
Conductance Tests

Figure 5. Moisture Gain in BUR System Specimens

35

Figure 6. Moisture Distributions by Coring for 2 in Polystyrene, BUR System Specimen No. 37

Figure 7. Moisture Distributions by Coring for 1 in
Perlite Board, BUR System Specimen No. 13

37

Figure 8. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for Glass Fiber BUR System Specimens

38

THERMAL CONDUCTANCE, C_W (Btu/h·ft²·°F)

MOISTURE CONTENT, V_P (volume percent)

1.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00

0   10   20   30   40   50   60

1in.

2in.

○ 1in. Specimen No. 13
□ 1in. Specimen No. 63
☆ 2in. Specimen No. 17
△ 2in. Specimen No. 67

Figure 9.   Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for
Perlite Board BUR System Specimens

39

Figure 10. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for Fiberboard BUR System Specimens

Figure 11. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for Polyurethane BUR System Specimens

Figure 12. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for
Polystyrene BUR System Specimens

42

Figure 13. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for all BUR System
Specimens Containing 1 in Insulation (Figs. 8 to 12)

43

Figure 14. Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for all BUR System Specimens Containing 2 in Insulation (Figs. 8 to 12)

44

Figure 15. Ratio of "Wet" to "Room Dry" Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for all BUR System Specimens Containing 1 in Insulation

Figure 16. Ratio of "Wet" to "Room Dry" Thermal Conductance vs Moisture Content for all BUR System Specimens Containing 2 in Insulation

46

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO. BSS 123	2. Gov't. Accession No.	3. Recipient's Accession No.

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

The Effect of Moisture on the Thermal Conductance of
Roofing Systems

5. Publication Date

April 1980

6. Performing Organization Code

7. AUTHOR(S)

Lawrence I. Knab, David R. Jenkins, and Robert G. Mathey

8. Performing Organ. Report No.

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20234

10. Project / Task / Work Unit No.

11. Contract/Grant No.

12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS *(Street, City, State, ZIP)*

Department of Energy
Office of Building and Community Systems
20 Mass. Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC   20585

13. Type of Report & Period Covered

Final

14. Sponsoring Agency Code

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES   Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 80-600031
Also published in the proceedings of the DoE/ASHRAE Conference on the Thermal
Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Buildings.
☐ Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Summary, is attached.

16. ABSTRACT *(A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.)*

The results of laboratory tests are presented describing the effect of the moisture
content on the thermal conductance of roofing systems containing insulation. Roofing
systems, consisting of five types of rigid-board roof insulations with attached four-
ply bituminous built-up membrane, were tested. Moisture was induced into the roofing
system specimens by maintaining a constant water vapor pressure difference across them.
Moisture gain in the insulation varied depending on the type and thickness of the
insulation.

A procedure was developed, using a heat-flow meter apparatus (ASTM C 518 type), to carry
out thermal conductance tests on roofing specimens containing moisture. More than 200
tests were performed over a wide range of moisture contents. The approximate moisture
distribution in the insulation was determined from core samples.

Relationships between the thermal conductance and moisture content are presented. The
relationships show that the presence of moisture in roofing systems can cause signifi-
cant increases in the thermal conductance, depending on the type and thickness of
the insulation.

17. KEY WORDS *(six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only the first letter of the first key word unless a proper name; separated by semicolons)*

Built-up roofing, insulation, moisture, roofing, thermal conductance, thermal
conductivity, thermal resistance.

18. AVAILABILITY ☒ Unlimited	19. SECURITY CLASS (THIS REPORT) UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES 46
☐ For Official Distribution. Do Not Release to NTIS		
☒ Order From Sup. of Doc., U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, Insert Stock Number And Sales Price.	20. SECURITY CLASS (THIS PAGE)	22. Price
☐ Order From National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA. 22161	UNCLASSIFIED	$3.50

USCOMM-DC

NBS TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

PERIODICALS

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH—The Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards reports NBS research and development in those disciplines of the physical and engineering sciences in which the Bureau is active. These include physics, chemistry, engineering, mathematics, and computer sciences. Papers cover a broad range of subjects, with major emphasis on measurement methodology and the basic technology underlying standardization. Also included from time to time are survey articles on topics closely related to the Bureau's technical and scientific programs. As a special service to subscribers each issue contains complete citations to all recent Bureau publications in both NBS and non-NBS media. Issued six times a year. Annual subscription: domestic $17; foreign $21.25. Single copy, $3 domestic; $3.75 foreign.

NOTE: The Journal was formerly published in two sections: Section A "Physics and Chemistry" and Section B "Mathematical Sciences."

DIMENSIONS/NBS—This monthly magazine is published to inform scientists, engineers, business and industry leaders, teachers, students, and consumers of the latest advances in science and technology, with primary emphasis on work at NBS. The magazine highlights and reviews such issues as energy research, fire protection, building technology, metric conversion, pollution abatement, health and safety, and consumer product performance. In addition, it reports the results of Bureau programs in measurement standards and techniques, properties of matter and materials, engineering standards and services, instrumentation, and automatic data processing. Annual subscription: domestic $11; foreign $13.75.

NONPERIODICALS

Monographs—Major contributions to the technical literature on various subjects related to the Bureau's scientific and technical activities.

Handbooks—Recommended codes of engineering and industrial practice (including safety codes) developed in cooperation with interested industries, professional organizations, and regulatory bodies.

Special Publications—Include proceedings of conferences sponsored by NBS, NBS annual reports, and other special publications appropriate to this grouping such as wall charts, pocket cards, and bibliographies.

Applied Mathematics Series—Mathematical tables, manuals, and studies of special interest to physicists, engineers, chemists, biologists, mathematicians, computer programmers, and others engaged in scientific and technical work.

National Standard Reference Data Series—Provides quantitative data on the physical and chemical properties of materials, compiled from the world's literature and critically evaluated. Developed under a worldwide program coordinated by NBS under the authority of the National Standard Data Act (Public Law 90-396).

NOTE: The principal publication outlet for the foregoing data is the Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data (JPCRD) published quarterly for NBS by the American Chemical Society (ACS) and the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Subscriptions, reprints, and supplements available from ACS, 1155 Sixteenth St., NW, Washington, DC 20056.

Building Science Series—Disseminates technical information developed at the Bureau on building materials, components, systems, and whole structures. The series presents research results, test methods, and performance criteria related to the structural and environmental functions and the durability and safety characteristics of building elements and systems.

Technical Notes—Studies or reports which are complete in themselves but restrictive in their treatment of a subject. Analogous to monographs but not so comprehensive in scope or definitive in treatment of the subject area. Often serve as a vehicle for final reports of work performed at NBS under the sponsorship of other government agencies.

Voluntary Product Standards—Developed under procedures published by the Department of Commerce in Part 10, Title 15, of the Code of Federal Regulations. The standards establish nationally recognized requirements for products, and provide all concerned interests with a basis for common understanding of the characteristics of the products. NBS administers this program as a supplement to the activities of the private sector standardizing organizations.

Consumer Information Series—Practical information, based on NBS research and experience, covering areas of interest to the consumer. Easily understandable language and illustrations provide useful background knowledge for shopping in today's technological marketplace.

Order the above NBS publications from: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

Order the following NBS publications—FIPS and NBSIR's—from the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, VA 22161.

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications (FIPS PUB)—Publications in this series collectively constitute the Federal Information Processing Standards Register. The Register serves as the official source of information in the Federal Government regarding standards issued by NBS pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 as amended, Public Law 89-306 (79 Stat. 1127), and as implemented by Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973) and Part 6 of Title 15 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations).

NBS Interagency Reports (NBSIR)—A special series of interim or final reports on work performed by NBS for outside sponsors (both government and non-government). In general, initial distribution is handled by the sponsor; public distribution is by the National Technical Information Services, Springfield, VA 22161, in paper copy or microfiche form.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES

The following current-awareness and literature-survey bibliographies are issued periodically by the Bureau:

Cryogenic Data Center Current Awareness Service. A literature survey issued biweekly. Annual subscription: domestic $25; foreign $30.

Liquefied Natural Gas. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: $20.

Superconducting Devices and Materials. A literature survey issued quarterly. Annual subscription: $30. Please send subscription orders and remittances for the preceding bibliographic services to the National Bureau of Standards, Cryogenic Data Center (736) Boulder, CO 80303.

SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE
BOOK