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## Foreword

The prevalent large building areas and heights require adequate fire resistance of structural supports and of subdividing constructions to restrict the spread of fire. The degree of fire resistance for the purpose is dependent on the severity of fires that can occur from burning of combustibles in contents and interior finish, floor, and trim. The present surveys were undertaken to obtain information on the amounts of combustibles associated with typical building occupancies. The data herein presented should be of assistance in constructing and equipping buildings to resist and restrict the fires that can occur within them.

A. V. Astin, Director.
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# Combustible Contents in Buildings 

S. H. Ingberg, * John W. Dunham, ** and James P. Thompson


#### Abstract

Information is presented on the combustible contents, including the flooring and the interior finish and trim, found in buildings housing various classes of occupancy. The data givell are based on surveys of specific builuings in which the weight and distribution of combustible contents were obtained area by area and floor by floor. The results indicate the range in the amounts of combustibles associated with different occupancies and show that, except for the areas used for filing and storage, the combustible load is uniformly light for residential buildings, schools, hospitals, and office buildings. The combustible load varies considerably in mercantile occupancies and even more so in industrial and storage buildings. In conjunction with fire severity tests, data from these surveys can be applied in developing requirements for minimum fire resistance of buildings.


## 1. Introduction

In 1939, the Subcommittee on Fire Resistance Classifications of the Central Housing Committee on Research, Design, and Construction had surreys made of residential buildings, schools, hospital buildings, and warehouses to determine the amounts of combustible contents associated with those occupancies. A similar survey of office buildings had previously been conducted by the National Bureau of Standards. Information based upon these survers was published in 1942 [1]. ${ }^{1}$

In 1947, the Office of Technical Services in the Department of Commerce sponsored a number of investigations to assist in the solution of various business and industrial problems. The investigation of the weights of combustible contents in mercantile, industrial, and storage occupancies was undertaken at that time to complement and extend the information previously presented in BMIS92. The National Bureau of Standards assumed responsibility for the program and arranged to have the work done by the Public Buildings Administration (now the Public Buildings Service). Reports of the results of these surveys constitute the principal part of this publication.
Although not all of the occupancies defined by building codes were included in the surveys, those included were extensive enough to give a good indication of what can be expected. For example, the shoe or paint department in a department store could very well serve as the criterion for buildings containing either of these particular occupancies alone.

Evaluation of the resistance of buildings to the fires that occur in them requires not only a knowledge of the fire resistance of the construction, but an estimate of the potential severity of such fires. In tests conducted to obtain information on this subject [2], it was indicated that there is a fairly definite relation between the amount of combustible contents and the resulting fire severity expressed in hours as periods of exposure to the standard fire test [3].
To obtain an estimate of the probable fire severity in the various types of occupancies, the amounts of combustibles associated with these

[^0]occupancies must be known or estimated. These combustibles include movable property such as furniture and goods, and combustible trim, finish, and flooring material.

This report presents a large volume of data on combustible loads found in a number of typical occupancies. The data are factual and should be helpful in designing buildings to resist fires involving prospective amounts of combustible contents.

## 2. Basis and Method of Surveys

Only the weights of combustible contents, finished flooring, interior finish, and trim are included in the weight totals. No combustible structural elements are included because they are a part of the building itself and not of the contents.

In general, the amounts of combustibles were obtained by weighing combustible furniture. equipment, goods, and other combustible contents in sufficient quantity to enable the total weight of such material within each area to be computed. The weight of any combustible flooring material, showcases, partitions, door and window trim, and built-in fixtures that could not be weighed was estimated from the thickness and area. All of the weights were converted to equivalent weights of combustibles haring a calorific value in the range of wood and paper. A table giving the calorific value of various compounds and materials can be found in references $[2,5,6]$.

Where it was desired to segregate within close limits the weights for individual rooms. such as in residences, schools, hospitals, and office buildings, one-half of the weight of common doors. door frames, and wood sash was allocated to the respective rooms on each side of them. 'The total weight of the combustible contents of metal lockers, filing cabinets, etc., was included. No weight was included for possible eseaping illuminating gas.

Small enclosures, such as closets. were foume to contain concentrations of combustible materials considerably higher than the arerage for the rest of the unit. Considering the small ares and the fact that wood eloset doors are generally of the type that will burn through in ashort time, the contents and area of closets wete areraged with those of the adjoming hall or room.

## 3. Tabulated Results

The data presented in the tables show that the weights of combustible contents vary over a wide range among the different occupancies and, in some cases, for occupancies of the same type. These tables indicate the weight of combustibles per square foot of floor area associated with the occupancy surveyed and the area over which they were found. For some occupancies, summary tables give the percentage of the total area over which the weight of the combustibles within certain ranges were found and the largest single area within these ranges.

Table 1. Survey data for apartments and residences
(Data taken from BMS92)

| Occupancy or use | Num- <br> ber of <br> rooms <br> sur- <br> veyed | A verage ffoor area | Average combustible contents |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov- } \\ \text { able } \\ \text { prop- } \\ \text { erty } \end{gathered}$ | Floor | Ex- <br> posed woodwork other than floor | Average | Maximum | Minimum |
|  |  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | lo/ft ${ }^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | lofft ${ }^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ |
| Basement | 1 | 783 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Bathroom | 10 | 43 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 |
| Bedroom (closets included) | 18 | 132 | 5.0 | 2. 8 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 6.8 |
| Dining room | 3 | 164.5 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6.5 |
| Hallway ------ | 12 | 40.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 10.5 | 13.7 | 7.5 |
| Kitehen- | 11 | 119 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 2.9 |
| Library- | 1 | 146 | 10.6 |  | 2.4 | 13.0 |  |  |
| Living room-- | 12 | 203 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 5.7 |
| Storeroom (apartment house) $\qquad$ | 6 | 727 | 6. 4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 10.0 | 2.4 |
| Vestibule | 1 | 22.5 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Average for complete units | 13 | 628.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 7.6 |
| Summary for closets in residential buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Closets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clothes_ | 28 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 11.6 | 19.4 | 30.2 | 10. 2 |
| Linen--- | 9 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 3.0 | 21.4 | 36.1 | 49.3 | 26.2 |
| Kitchen. | 1 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 23.2 | 39.2 |  |  |

### 3.1. Apartments and Residences

The amounts of combustible contents found in apartments were so similar to those found in private residences that the two were grouped together. Table 1 gives the survey data for individual living and storage areas in a total of 13 apartments and residences, and the average combustible load for all the units taken as a whole. It is seen that the combustible load for a complete unit is relatively light, being not over $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Even in the storeroom areas this loading was not exceeded. To show the higher concentration of combustibles that exist in closets, table 1 also gives a summary for closets in residences, these concentrations being included with the adjoining rooms as given in the first part of the table.

### 3.2. Hospitals

St. Elizabeths Hospital, in Washington, D. C., was the only institutional-type occupancy included. Three buildings were surveyed, each housing the facilities necessary for a different type of treatment. Although St. Elizabeths is a psychiatric hospital, the results obtained may very well be typical of the general hospital occupancies covered, namely, neuropsychiatric continued treatment, tuberculosis infirmary, and medical and surgical.

Table 2 gives the results for the three buildings, and table 3 gives a summary of distribution for each building within given ranges of combustible contents. The average combustible loads for the various occupancies did not exceed $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, except in the laundries, where it did not exceed 15 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

### 3.3. Schools

The maximum combustible contents in school buildings were found in storerooms and libraries, whereas other portions of the buildings had a relatively light combustible load. The pupils'

Table 2. Survey data for hospital buildings, St. Elizabeths Hospital
(Data taken from BMS92)

| Occupancy or use | Medical and surgical building |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage combustible contents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of rooms or units surveyed | Total floor area | Combustible contents |  |  |  |  | Neuropsychiatric continuedtreatment hospital | Tuberculosis infirmary |
|  |  |  | Movable property | Woodwork and floor covering ${ }^{1}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | Maximum | Minimum |  |  |
| Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{f} t^{2}$ | $2 b / f t^{2}$ | lo/ft ${ }^{2}$ | $\underline{\text { l }} / \mathrm{j} t^{2}$ | lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ | lo/ft ${ }^{2}$ | lolft ${ }^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ 3 |
| Doctors' office ----- | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | ${ }_{945}^{915}$ | 6.3 | 1.8 2.9 | 8.1 8.6 | 13.4 14.4 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 2.9 |
| Waiting rooms | 3 | 495 | 1. 4 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 4. 1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Nurses' offices and rooms Nurses' training school | 13 | 1,728 | 3.1 | 1. 9 | 5. 0 | 12.5 | 3. 1 |  | 3.7 |
| Nurses' training school | 12 | 3,613 1,599 | 2. 2 | 1.8 | 4. 0 | 14.5 3.5 | 1. 1 |  |  |
| Nurses' infirmary | 11 | 1,599 | 0.9 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 7.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | ------------ | --------- |

[^1]Table 2. Survey data for hospital buildings, St. Elizabeths Hospital-Continued

${ }^{1}$ Combustible floor finish where present was 14-in.-thick linoleum, assumed to give equivalent in combustible material of $1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{3}$.

Table 3. Distribution of combustible contents, St. Elizabeths Hospital

| Combustible contents for usable floor area | Medical and surgical building | Continued treatment building | Tuberculosis infirmary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $l b / f t^{2}$ | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| 0 to 4.9 -.-------- | 82.1 | 91.0 | 93.2 |
| 5 to 9.9. | 15.4 | 7.6 | 0.3 |
| 10 to 14.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1. 8 |
| 15 to 19.9. | 0.5 |  | 4. 1 |
| 20 or more | . 4 | . 7 | 0.3 |
| Usable floor area.....-. $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$.- | 83, 819 | 36, 907 | 23,054 |

wearing apparel and the contents of the desks were not included in the survey.

Table 4 gives a summary of the combustible contents for 4 high schools and 2 elementary schools in Washington, D. C., and vicinity. Except where heavy filing cases, library stacks, and storage of textbooks or materials were involved, the combustible load was found to be less than $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

From table 5 it is seen that less than 5 percent of the entire floor area of each building contained combustible loads in excess of $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Usually the rooms or areas with heavy combustible loads were in the basement, ground, or first floors. One

Table 4. Survey data for rooms in six schnol buildings in the Washington, D. C., area
(Data taken from BMs92)

| Occupancy | A veragefloorarea | A verage combustible contents |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { prop- } \\ & \text { erty } \end{aligned}$ | Floor | Ex- <br> posed <br> wood- <br> work <br> other <br> floor | Total |
| Auditorium, gymnasium, and lunchroom Typical classroom | $\begin{array}{r} 5,193 \\ { }_{752} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} l b / f t^{2} \\ 0.7 \\ 2 . \end{gathered}$ | lb/ft $=$ | lbift : | lbift : |
|  |  |  | 4.2 | 1.3 | 6. 4 |
|  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.3 | 7.0 |
| Laboratories: bioloy chemistry, physics, food, and clothing. | 1,038 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 1.5 | s. 1 |
| Special classrooms: art, bookkeeping, mechanical drawing, typing, physics lecture, woodworking shop, library reading room .- | 1,335 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 1. 9 | 10.4 |
| Offices: home economies, publications, teachers. | $3+2$ | 8.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 14.2 |
| Library stackroom. | 264276 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5. }+ \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9 \\ & 39.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Office and files- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storerooms: |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 35.9 \\ 43 . \\ 9.9 \\ 9.9 .5 \\ 172.3 \end{array}$ | 2.60.91.30.0.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 . \\ 2.3 \\ 4 . \\ 10 . \\ 103 \\ 103.0 \end{array}$ |
| Janitor. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lumber |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textbook... |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Data for six schools in the Washington, D. C., area showing the percentage of usable floor area having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible contents | Elementary sebools |  | Higb schoo!s |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $l b / f t^{2}$ | Per- <br> cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Per- cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| 0 to 4.9 | 38.2 | 50.8 | 54.6 | 50.3 | 66.4 | 32.6 |
| 5.0 to 9.9 | 58.5 | 47.1 | 34.4 | 31.0 | 25.4 | 64.1 |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 16.2 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| 15.0 to 24.9 |  |  | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | ----- |
| 25.0 to 34.9 (general storage) $\qquad$ | -.-- | ---- | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | ----- |
| 35.0 to 49.9 (general storage) |  | ---- | 1. 2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | ----- |
| 50.0 to 74.5 (wood or paper storage) | . 8 | ---- | 0.2 | ---. | ---- | ----- |
| ```75.0 to }99.9\mathrm{ (paper storage)``` | ---- | ---- |  | --. | . 3 | ----- |
| 103.3 (paper storage) -- | ---- |  | . 1 | -..- | ---- | ----- |
| 167.6 (textbook storagc) |  |  |  | . 6 | ---- | ----- |
| 255.7 (textbook storage) $\qquad$ |  |  |  | ---- |  | 3 |
| 288 (textbook storage) |  |  |  |  | . 2 |  |
| Number of floors...... <br> Usable floor area $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \times 31,309 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{~b} 40,098 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 130,973 \end{gathered}$ | 125, $\stackrel{4}{790}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 254,619 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{c} 24,177$ |

a Exclusive of basement, wbich contains boiler room only
b Exclusive of temporary wooden corridor.
c Excludes attic and basement, which latter contains boiler room only.
small textbook-storage room and a large library stackroom were found on the second floor in these buildings. Janitors' and general storerooms with average combustible loads near $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found on upper as well as lower floors in one or more of these buildings. Their individual and aggregate areas, however, were relatively small.

### 3.4. Mercantile Establishments

The department store is unique in that there are contained within its various departments practically all of the characteristics common to single mercantile occupancies handling similar merchandise. As a result, these data have a wide scope of usefulness.

The New York, N. Y., department store selected was of such size that a complete survey was not feasible; therefore, the survey was limited to the selling areas and small storage areas frequented by the clerks, but did not include workshops, packing rooms, tube rooms, offices, etc., associated with the selling areas. The tenth through the twentieth floors, which were used for storage and offices, were also omitted. The combustible load per square fooi for a whole department was determined by weighing the combustible contents in a representative area of the department.

The second-floor plan of a department store in Washington, D. C., figure $1,{ }^{2}$ shows a typical department arrangement and the combustible loads that were found to exist at the time of the survey. Although stores of this type are continually making minor changes in arrangement, the floor plan shown indicates the combustible-load differential of the various departments, the higher accumu-

[^2]lation of combustibles in storage areas as compared to sales areas, and the ready manner in which storage areas can be established anywhere throughout the area. The load is assumed to be uniformly distributed over each area, including the area of aisle spaces.

Tables 6 a and 6 b give a summary of combustible loads by floors. For 4 floors of the New York City store the average was below $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and for the 6 others the lighest average for any 1 floor was $13.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. For the Washington, D. C., store the average was not over $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for 6 floors, and the highest individual average for the 2 other floors was $12.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

Table 6a. Survey data for a department store in New York City


Table 6a. Survey data for a department store in New York City-Continued

| Department | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable property and display trim | Floor | Total |
| Third floor |  |  |  |  |
| Fur storage, cleaning, and repair- |  | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $1 b / f t^{2}$ | $l t / f t^{2}$ |
| ing | 6, 415 | 7.8 | - | 7.8 |
| Women's dresses-------...- | 22, 600 | 5. 2 |  | 5. 2 |
| Budget furs-- | 4,917 | 2. 6 |  | 2.6 |
| Women's suits and coats | 49,382 | 5. 6 | 5.2 | 10.8 |
| Better furs------- | 5,451 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 11.4 |
| W'omen's beach wear | 10, 811 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 14.2 |
| Total. | 99,576 | 5.9 | $3-4$ | 9.3 |
| Fourth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Children's shoes | 9. 722 | 5. 1 | 5.2 | 10.3 |
| Storage, children's shoes | 2,968 | 20.5 | 5.2 | 25.7 |
| Children's hose . | 820 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 16.3 |
| Girls' clothing | 12,083 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 14.5 |
| Children's clothing | 6. 530 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 16.5 |
| Girls' dresses.---.-- | 9,716 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 12.3 |
| Infants' furnishings | 12, 127 | 5. 6 | 5.2 | 10.8 |
| Temporary bargain counter | 766 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 11.9 |
| Total. Average | 54, 332 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 13.4 |
| Fifth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Boys', camp equipment | 4, 080 | 9. 3 | ------ | 9.3 |
| Boys' clothing.-- | 14,323 | 6. 8 | ------ | 6.8 |
| Toys..--- | 12,089 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 |
| Playground equipment | 5, 054 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 7.6 |
| Sporting goods.- | 10,707 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 |
| Radios and phonograph | 4, 299 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 9.9 |
| Crosley automobiles | 720 | 2. 4 | 5.2 | 7.6 |
| Airplanes.---- | 500 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 7.6 |
| Pianos.- | 3, 280 | 6. 1 | 5.2 | 11.3 |
| Commercial stationery | 1. 967 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 13.5 |
| Cameras, etc | 3. 194 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 14.3 |
| Art supplies | 2, 203 | 13.3 | 5.2 | 18.5 |
| Phonograph records | 6,400 | 29.2 | 5.2 | 34.4 |
| Toys-.---- | 6,904 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 8.4 |
| Total... A verage | 75, 720 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 10.6 |
| Sixth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Women's shoes.- | 21,358 | 3.5 | ------ | 3.5 |
| Storage, women's shoes | 12, 085 | 9.8 |  | 9.8 |
| Towels.------ | 6,882 | 9.4 | 5.2 | 14.6 |
| Blankets | 6, 811 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 14.8 |
| Sheets and linens | 17,379 | 15. 1 | 5. 2 | 20.3 |
| Votions.- | 2, 238 | 8. 2 | 5.2 | 13.4 |
| Patterns. | 2, 525 | 13.0 | 5.2 | 18. 2 |
| Yard goods | 26, 904 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 16.5 |
| Total A verage | 96, 182 | 9.8 | 3.4 | 13. 2 |
| Seventh floor |  |  |  |  |
| Linoleum | 3. 070 | 5.2 | ------ | 5.2 |
| Rugs | 27.651 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 |
| Candles... | - 200 | 19.6 | 5.2 | 24.8 |
| Lamps and shades. | 7,868 | 6. 0 | 5.2 | 11.2 |
| Curtains.-------- | 14,430 | 3. 4 | 5.2 | 8. 6 |
| Closet shop. | 8, 109 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 13. 7 |
| Wallpaper. | 1,190 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 13.6 |
| Assorted yard goods. | 23. 303 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 16.5 |
| Total | 85, 821 |  |  |  |
| A rerage |  | 8.0 | 3.3 | 11.3 |

Table 6a. Survey data for a deparment store in New York City-Continued

| Department | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mov- <br> able property and display trim | Floor | Total |
| Eighth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Food | $f t^{2}$ 10,190 | $t b / f t 2$ 7.0 | $1 h_{1} / f t^{2}$ 5.2 | $l b / f f 2$ 12.2 |
| Glassware | 9,438 | 5.2 |  | 5. 2 |
| Chinaware | 19,24.4 | 8.3 | --- | 8.3 |
| Pictures and frames | 7,253 | 4. 6 | ------- | 4.6 |
| Luggage | 8,149 | 5. 2 |  | 5. 2 |
| Total A verage | 54, 274 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 7.5 |
| Ninth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Bedroom furniture...-.........-- | 24,929 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 9.2 |
| Dining room and occasional furniture | 55, 847 | 3.4 | 5. 2 | 8.6 |
| Modern furniture | 12,513 | 9.3 | 5. 2 | 14.5 |
| Total. A verage | 43, 289 | 4.4 | 5. 2 | 9.6 |

Table 6b. Survey data for a department store in Washington, D. C.

| Department | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable property and display trim | Floor | Total |
| First floor |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t=$ | $l b / f t^{3}$ | $l b^{\prime} \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| Dry cleaning counter | 320 | 9.2 |  | 9.2 |
| Books--------------- | 3,450 | 16.6 |  | 16.6 |
| Candy | 1,600 | 11.9 |  | 11. 9 |
| Notions | 9, 750 | 10.1 |  | 10. 1 |
| Umbrellas | 350 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Cosmetics | 150 6,350 | 11.0 5.6 |  | 11.0 5.0 |
| Hand bags and leather goods | 2,800 | 7.3 | ---- | 7. 3 |
| Hat bar. | 300 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Stationery--- | 5,500 | 12. 1 |  | 12.1 |
| Costume jewelry | 2, 900 | 6. 1 | --- | ถ. 1 |
| Jewelry. | 3,350 | 7.6 |  | -. 6 |
| Total -- | 36,820 | 9.6 | ---- | - |
| Second floor |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | -... |  |
| Ladies' shoe stockroom..........-- | -2000 | 32. | --- | 32 |
| Shoe sale space .-.................... | 3. 244 | 3.1 | -... | 31 |
| Storage, men's hats, shoes, tobacco, etc $\qquad$ | S00 | 31. 7 | -... | 31.7 |
|  | $16,2{ }^{-2}$ | 12.0 | .... | 12.0 |
| Total A verage | 3 2, 心- | 12. 6 | --- | 12. |

Table 6b. Survey data for a department store in Washington, D. C.-Continued


Table 6b. Survey data for a department store in Washington, D. C.-Continued

| Department | Area | Combustihle contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable property and display trim | Floor | Total |
| Eighth floor |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / j t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ |
| Paint----- | 1,749 | 35.2 | 5.2 | 40.4 |
| Household goods | 7,781 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 8.9 |
| Groceries.-------- | 3, 500 | 1.5 | -- | 1.5 |
| Cold storage for grocer | 400 | 3. 9 | --- | 3.9 |
| Refrigerators, etc.- | 2, 307 | 2.3 | ---- | 2.3 |
| Electrical | 1,400 | 9.1 | , | 9. 1 |
| Bathroom fittings | 3, 205 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 13.7 |
| Cafeteria | 3,834 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 8.0 |
| Central wrapping | 2,556 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 13.2 |
| Bakery --------- | 3, 210 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Paper storage | 360 | 25.0 | 5.2 | 30.2 |
| Fur fitting | 2,000 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 8.3 |
| Office. | 7, 230 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 6.4 |
| Total. <br> A verage | 39, 532 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 9.0 |

Table 6c. Summary of combustible loads in department stores by occupancies
(Comhustible weight of flooring and covering not included)


Table 6d. Percentage of department-store floor area having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of comhustihle contents | Washington (Total floor area, $314,239 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  | New York <br> (Total floor area, $790,793 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range |
| 0.0 to 4.9-lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ | Percent 12.6 | ft ${ }^{2}$ 14,022 | Percent | $f t^{2}$ 21,358 |
| 5.0 to 9.9 | 46.7 | 16, 350 | 43.1 | 55, 875 |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 29.9 | 18, 500 | 35. 3 | 49, 382 |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 5.8 | 10,925 | 10.0 | 26, 904 |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 3.4 | 2,870 | 2.8 | 17,379 |
| 30.0 to 39.9- | 0.9 | 2,000 | 0.8 | 6, 400 |
| 40 and over | . 7 | 1, 749 | . 2 | 966 |

Table 6c gives a summary of the combustible loads contributed by movable property and display trim in department stores as related to the type of goods sold or stored, based on tables 6a and 6 b . The majority of the sales areas had combustible loads of $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or less, some had loads between 10 and $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and a few, including sales areas for books and for paints, had higher loads. Storage of clothing, rugs, shoes, paper, and drapery material gave combustible loads in the range from 15 to $46.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

The percentage of department-store areas having combustible loads between given limits is shown in table 6d. It is seen that from 50 to 60 percent of the floor area had combustible loads not over $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, from 30 to 35 percent had between 10 and $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, 10 percent had between 15 and $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and no more than 5 percent of the area had more than $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

### 3.5. Manufacturing Establishments

The surveys of manufacturing occupancies included 2 furniture factories, 2 mattress factories, a women's clothing factory, and a men's clothing factory. Where more than one area is used for the same purpose, separate entries are made in the tables for each area.

## a. Furniture Factories

All of the buildings or portions of buildings used in the production, shipping, storage, and display of furniture were surveyed. Outside lumber storage and service buildings were not included.

The Gettysburg, Pa., factory surveyed had 20 buildings, of 1 story, 1 story and basement, or 2 stories, nearly all contiguous with structural separations. The floor and roof constructions of all buildings were of wood, but all except buildings 11 and 12 had masonry exterior and interior walls. Figure 2 shows the building layout. In determining the combustible content of the first floor of the shipping and storage building, it was assumed that the contents of a car of furniture loaded just prior to the survey were in the shipping-room area.

The Grand Rapids, Mich., factory was housed in 18 buildings, all but 3 of which were contiguous. Most of them had 3 or 4 stories, with or without basements. They were largely of heavy-timber construction. A 3 -story building used in part for exhibition purposes was of reinforced-concrete construction.

Tables 7a and 7b give the survey data for the two furniture factories, and table 7 c gives the percentage of the total area with combustibles within a given range and the largest single area over which combustibles within these ranges were found.

In the lower range of combustible contents, there was a marked difference found for the two plants. For the Gettysburg plant, only $1 \frac{1}{2}$ percent of the floor area had combustibles in an

Table 7a. Survey data for furviture foctory in Gettyshurg, Pu.

See figure 2 for bullding layout

| Building | Area | Occupancy or use | Floor area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Other than floor | Flionr | Total |
| 1 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ \text { d }\end{array}\right.$ |  | $f t^{2}$ | $3 b^{\prime} / i^{3}$ | $V_{1} / / t^{2}$ | $b / f t 2$ |
|  |  | Millwor | 5, 450 | 15.8 | 5. 2 | 21. |
|  |  | -.-.do. | 3,100 | 12.0 | 5. 2 | 17.2 |
|  |  | do | 760 | 22.1 | 5. 2 | 27.3 |
|  |  | Glue room | 1.030 | 8.0 | 5. 2 | 13.2 |
|  |  | Office | 130) | 4.5 | 5.2 | 9.7 |
|  |  | Pattern room | 130 | 9.8 | 5.2 | 15.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4- \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | Staining | 3,900 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 11.5 |
|  |  | Spraying | 10, 200 | 7.9 | 5. 2 | 13.1 |
|  |  | Finishing | 5,920 | 7.1 | 5. 2 | 12.3 |
|  | l a | Paint sbop | , 216 | 99.3 | 5.2 | 104.5 |
| 6..... |  | Dry kilns | 5,660 | 68.9 | -- | 68.9 |
|  | ¢ Basement-- | Millwork | 5,600 | 15.6 |  | 15. 6 |
| 8.-. -- | \{ First floor - - | --.do. | 5,600 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 19.5 |
|  | a | Storage ${ }^{\text {a }}$...... | - 598 | 95.9 | 5.2 | 101.1 |
|  | ( Basement - | Cabinet work and storage b | 7,450 | 48.7 | -- | 48.7 |
|  | $\{$ First floor.. | Cabinet manufacture. | 7,100 | 13.2 | 5.2 | 18.4 |
| 9.... |  | ---do. | 4, 650 | 15.9 | 5.2 | 21.1 |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Basement } \\ \text { First }\end{array}\right.$ | Storagec | 2,800 | 62.9 |  | $62.9$ |
|  | [ First floor .- | Spraying | 2,750 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 11.7 |
| 11..-- |  | Storage d | 2,750 | 30.8 | 5.2 | 36.0 |
| 12---- |  | --.do ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2,360 | 83.0 | 5.2 | 83.0 |
| 13-..- |  | Varnish vault | 600 | 16.5 | (i) | 16.5 |
|  | ---------- | Lumber shed | (i) | (i) | (1) | (i) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \ldots \\ & 16 \ldots- \end{aligned}$ | ---------- | Pump house. |  |  |  |  |
|  | ---------- | Rubbing and polishing. | 6,200 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 10.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \ldots \\ & 18 . . \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { First floor } \\ \text { Second } \\ \text { floor. }\end{array}\right.$ | Storage e --- | 5,850 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 17.2 |
|  |  | --- do | 7, 400 | 15.6 | 5.2 | $20.8$ |
|  |  | do | 7,400 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 17. 2 |
| $19$$20$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { First floor } \\ \text { Second } \\ \text { floor. }\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\text { 1, } 750$ | 4. 7 | 5.2 | 9.9 |
|  |  | Shipping and storage E . | $9.675$ | 11.4 | 5.2 | 16.6 |
|  |  | Storage b--.. | 10,625 | 13.6 | 5. 2 | 15.8 |
| Total |  | 127.654 |  |  |  |  |
|  | erage |  |  | 19.3 | 4. 6 | 23.9 |

a Lumber being transferred from dry kilns,
Plywood panels loaded on trucks.
c Plywood (3-ply).
d Veneer and packing material.

- Furniture
${ }^{f}$ Furniture and packing material.
g Furniture (some crated ready to ship).
h Furniture (chairs).
i Not surveyed.
amount less than $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$; whereas for Grand Rapids, over one-half of the area was thus relatively lightly loaded. 'This was due in part to a somewhat lighter wood flooring in the Grand Rapids plant.

A generally lighter combustible loading for the latter plant is also indicated by a relatively small percentage of the floor area having combustible loads in the higher ranges. Eight percent of the area for the Grand Rapids plant and abont 17 percent of that at Gettrsburg had combustibles of $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or over. These areas were confined to spaces used for storage, air and kiln drying, and paint and lacquer shops and raults.

## b. Mattress Factories

Two establishments manufacturing mattresses were surveyed, one in Atlanta, Ga., and the other

Table 7b. Survey data for furniture factory in Grand Rapids, Mich.

| Occupancr or use | Numher of units surveyed | Total floor area | Average combustible contents |  |  | a Max- <br> imum | a Minimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Other than floor | Floor | Total |  |  |
|  |  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ |
| Photo studio | 1 | 4,970 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | - |  |
| Exhibition. | 1 | 9,940 | 3.4 | . 0 | 3.4 | -- |  |
| Trucking area | 1 | 2, 670 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | -- | --- |
| Smoking room | 1 | 720 | 5. 7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | -- |  |
| Kitchen | 1 | 750 | 7.1 | . 0 | 7.1 | -- | --- |
| Cabinct and assembly work | 7 | 71,365 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 14.2 | 6.4 |
| Shipment makeup.- | 4 | 34,900 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 10. 7 | 7. 0 |
| Finishing ------ | 8 | 80, 320 | 4. 7 | 4. 0 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 5. 9 |
| Maintenance slop. | 3 | 19, 230 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 5. 5 |
| Office and sales .- | 2 | 1,404 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 9.5 |
| Banquet and bar | 1 | 1,900 | 11. 4 | 0.0 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Storageforshipment | 2 | 8,380 | 14.3 | . 0 | 14.3 | 30.0 | 8. 0 |
| Storage--..- | 11 | 80,575 | 15. 1 | 2.1 | 17.2 | 30.1 | 2.5 |
| Sawing | 2 | 12,800 | 12.6 | 4.8 | 17.4 | 23.0 | 9.3 |
| Drafting | 1 | 860 | 13.9 | 4.0 | 17.9 | -- | --- |
| Woodworking | 7 | 53, 186 | 13.9 | 4. 1 | 18.0 | 28.5 | 11.3 |
| Glue room. | 2 | 15, 600 | 14.3 | 5. 0 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 16.9 |
| Leather room | 1 | 500 | 27. 3 | 0.0 | 27.3 |  |  |
| Lacquer vault | 2 | 1,660 | 53.3 | . 0 | 53.3 | 73.0 | 33.6 |
| Air-drying building- | 1 | 10, 200 | 59.4 | 4.0 | 63.4 | -- | --- |
| Dry kilns_ | 1 | 8,100 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 97.2 | -- | --- |
| Vencer storage | 1 | 2, 100 | 117.3 | . 0 | 117.3 | -- | --- |

a No entry indicates that only one area was used for tbat occupancy.
Table 7c. Percentage of furniture-factory floor having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible contents | Gettysburg, Pa. (Total floor area, $127,654 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  | Grand Rapids, Mich. <br> (Total floor area, $421,164 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range |
| 0.0 to 4.9.lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ | Percent | $f t^{2}$ | Percent 6.4 | ft $t^{2}$ 9.940 |
| 5.0 to 9.9.. | 1.5 | 1,750 | 48.3 | 15,300 |
| 10.0 to 14.9 . | 23.5 | 10,200 | 14.3 | 10,932 |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 43. 6 | 10, 625 | 10.7 | 11, 500 |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 14.3 | 7, 400 | 12.3 | 15, 400 |
| 30.0 to 39.9 | 2.2 | 2,750 | 3.0 | 9,280 |
| 40.0 to 65.0 | 8.0 | 7,450 | 2.4 | 10, 200 |
| Over 65.0. | 6.9 | 5,660 | 2.6 | 8, 100 |

in Chicago, Ill. The Atlanta plant had 7 buildings of 1 or 2 stories. One of these, used for the assembly and storage of springs, was of reinforcedconcrete construction and the others were of masonry-wall, wood-joist, or all-metal construction. Temporary wood partitions and woodplank flooring covering parts of the area are included in the combustible contents.

The Chicago plant was housed in what was structurally one building with masonry exterior and subdividing walls, and interior wood or steel construction. The height for the different parts of the building ranged from 1 to 5 stories, with a basement under all but the 1 - and 2 -story portions. There was maple flooring in all except basement, shop, and garnetting areas.
Table 8c gives a summary of combustibles within given ranges of concentration and the
largest single area over which they were found, based upon the survey data given in tables 8a and 8 b .

Table 8a. Survey data for mattress factory in Atlanta, Ga.

| Occupancy or use | Arca | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable propcrty and trim | Floor | Total |
| Second floor, building 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | lb/ft 2 | $7 b / f f^{2}$ |
| Spring assembly | 805 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Spring storage | 900 | 0.0 | . 0 | 0.0 |
| Spring assembly | 1,124 | 1.1 | . 0 | 1.1 |
| Do...------ | 453 | 3.5 | . 0 | 3.5 |
| Lockers | 207 | 6. 2 | . 0 | 6.2 |
| Total Average | 3,489 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Second floor, building 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary storage | 1,743 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 9.3 |
| Cotton felt mattress. | 1. 312 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 6.3 |
| Mattress stapling. | 635 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 6.6 |
| Mattress make-up. | 635 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 5. 6 |
| Mattress tape edging | 942 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 |
| Mattress button tufting | 1,243 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 6.8 |
| Mattress reginning | 440 | 18.0 | 3.9 | 21.9 |
| Spring receiving | 472 | 8.3 | 3. 9 | 12.2 |
| Stapling--.-.-- | 472 | 5. 2 | 3.9 | 9.1 |
| Cotton felt mattress | 864 | 4. 9 | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| Cotton tufting | 1,115 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 6.2 |
| Roll edging.- | 950 | 7.7 | 3.9 | 11.6 |
| Temporarily unused | 800 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Total | 11,623 |  |  |  |
| A verage |  | 4.1 | 3.9 | 8.0 |
| Second floor, building 2A |  |  |  |  |
| Box spring assembly | 816 | 11.1 | 3.9 | 15.0 |
| Box spring make-up. | 900 | 9.4 | 4. 7 | 14.1 |
| Do--------.-.-- | 648 | 2.5 | 3. 9 | 6.4 |
| Box spring storage | 1,263 | 2. 9 | 3.9 | 6.8 |
| Quilting tops .-.-. | 1,450 | 3.3 | 4. 4 | 7.7 |
| Temporary storage | 990 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 13.5 |
| Packaging---- | 2,115 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 9.6 |
| Total.. Average | 8,182 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 10.1 |
| Second floor, huilding 2B |  |  |  |  |
| Stock room | 1,556 | 56.3 | 3.9 | 60.2 |
| Sewing room. | 2, 160 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 6.0 |
| Total A verage | 3,716 | 24.8 | 3.9 | 28.7 |

First floor, building 3

| Cotton cleaner, picker | 561 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton mixers | 1,080 | 0.6 | . 0 | 0.6 |
| Cotton stores. | 825 | 12.0 | . 0 | 12.0 |
| Cotton batting, etc., store | 6,170 | 15.4 | . 0 | 15.4 |
| Total_- | 8,636 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 |

Second floor, building 3

| Garnetting | 1,750 | 2.5 | 5. 2 | 7.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do. | 2, 816 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 7.8 |
| Do | 1,540 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 6.5 |
| Fales | 1,853 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 8. 3 |
| Total | 7,959 |  |  |  |
| A verage |  | 2.5 | 5. 2 | 7.7 |

Table 8a．Survey data for mattress factory in Atlanta，Ga．－ Continued

| Occupancy or use | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mov－ able prop－ erty and trim | Floor | Total |
| Garnett annex，building 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Garnett annex． | $f t^{2}$ 4,810 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 5.4 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 0.0 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 5.4 |
| Cotton warehouse，building 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton warehouse | 8， 010 | 101.3 | 0.0 | 101.3 |
| Shipping，building 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Shipping－ | 15． 640 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 20.7 |
| Garnett parts stores |  |  |  |  |
| Garnett parts stores | 740 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 15.8 |

The difference found for the two plants was not great．Combustibles in amounts less than 10 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found in 48 percent of the area of one plant and in 66 percent of the area of the other， with the load uniformly distributed over areas as large as $7,310 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ．The combustible loads within the range of 10 to $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were 16.3 and 17.8 percent of the respective plant areas．Higher concentrations were largely in storage areas，with a baled－cotton－storage area having about 100 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ．The progress of fire in such baled materials is relatively slow．

Table 8b．Survey data for mattress factory in Chicago，Ill．


Table 8b．Survey data for matress factory in Chicago， Ill．－Continued


Table 8 b shows that the higher concentrations were found in basement areas，the highest arerage for floors above the basement being $12.2 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ． with the highest concentration for an individual area on these floors being $25.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ．

Table 8c．Percentage of mattress factory floor area having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range in combustible contents | Chicaso（Total floor area， $\mathrm{St}, \mathrm{St} 4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ） |  | Atlanta（Total flow are：3，$\because=, 05 \mathrm{ft}^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor are： | Largest single ares with－ ith range | Part of total floer ant： | Larmast single ลスM with it ratiz |
| $\begin{array}{r} 75 / f t^{2} \\ 0.0 \text { to } 4.9 \end{array}$ | Percent 10．s | $\begin{gathered} f f^{2} \\ -0(0 ; i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ -.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & f 0 \\ & 1,1 \because t \end{aligned}$ |
| 5.0 to 9.9. | 55.3 | $\therefore 310$ | 40． 8 | 4．slo |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 11.9 | 1， $2 \times$ | ふ： | 2（1） |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 5.9 | 3，144 | 10 \％ | （17） |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 12．s | 3． 315 | ざ， 1 | 13：（i）t |
| 30.0 to 49.9. | 3.1 | $\sim$－ 915 |  |  |
| 50.0 to 69．9． |  |  | $\because 1$ | 1．55\％ |
| Over 100． |  | － | 11.0 | $\therefore 010$ |


a Included in the total combustinle content is $3 \mathrm{lh} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for flooring material.

Table 9b. Percentage of clothing factory floor area having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible con-tents | New York, N. Y. (Total floor area, $66,961 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ ) |  | Philadelphia, Pa. (Total floor area, $24,740 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range |
| 0.0 to 4.9 ${ }^{\text {l }}$. $/ f t^{2}$ | Percent | $f t^{2}$ | Percent 23.5 | ft ${ }^{2}$ 2,915 |
| 5.0 to 9.9 | 35.3 | 5,135 | 62.2 | 5,415 |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 53.6 | 16,285 | 5. 7 | 1, 085 |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 10.6 | 7,085 | 4.1 | 515 |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 0.5 | 315 | 0.3 | 80 |
| 30.0 to 39.9 |  |  | 4.2 | 850 |

## c. Clothing Factories

The factory making women's clothing occupied the second and third floors of a building 100 by 142 ft in outside dimensions. The men's clothing factory covered the fifth floor and part of the sixth floor in one building and part of the third floor in another building. The premises surveyed contained all operations from the receiving of the original bolt of cloth to the shipping of the finished goods.

The more detailed data of the two surveys are given in table 9a, and the summary of results is given in table 9 b .

In the establishment making women's dresses, over 85 percent of the floor area had combustibles of no more than $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. For the men's clothing factory, about 90 percent of the floor area had combustibles in the range 5 to $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. For both establishments, heavier loadings were confined largely to storage areas, aggregating an average of nearly 10 percent of the floor area for the two plants. In all areas of both factories there was wood flooring with a computed weight of $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

### 3.6. Printing Plants

Of the two establishments surveyed, the one doing job printing exclusively was in five connected buildings built at different times as the plant expanded. They had two or three stories and basement and were of reinforced-concrete construction with floors designed for live load of 250 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

The building for the newspaper plant, erected in 1922, had nine stories and basement, the in-
terior construction being protected structural steel. It housed the printing plant and newspaper offices.

A summary of results grouped by ranges in combustible load is given in table 10c, and the data for individual areas are given in tables $10 a$ and 10 b .

Table 10a. Survey data for printing plant in Washington, D. C.


Table 10b. Survey data for newspaper plant in Washington, D. C.-Continued

| Occupancy or use | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable property | Floor | Ex- <br> posed <br> wood- <br> work <br> other <br> than <br> floor | Total |
| Fifth floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telephonc switchboard and equipment | $f t^{2}$ 520 | $l o / f t^{2}$ 1.8 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 2.5 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 2.3 | $l b / f t^{2}$ 6.6 |
| Storeroom..--------------- | 194 | 39.1 | 2.6 | 2. 6 | 6.6 44.3 |
| Offices- - | 447 | 11.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 15.8 |
| Do | 1, 290 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 16.3 |
| Conference room, Board of Trade. $\qquad$ | 1,294 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 4. 7 |
|  | 2, 041 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 11.4 |
| Corridors | 1,348 | 5. | -.-- | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Total... A verage | 7,134 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 10.3 |
| Sixth floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offices-- | 1,786 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 14.6 |
| Corridor | 740 | 2.8 | ---- | 3.1 | 5.9 |
| Lobby | 371 | 0.0 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Office. | 324 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 13.5 |
| counting- | 3,890 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 14.3 |
| Total A veragc | 7,111 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 12.8 |
| Seventh floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office. | 1,900 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 12.9 |
| Do. | 140 | 31.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 36.2 |
| Art room | 496 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 20.2 |
| Photographers. | 439 | 6.2 |  | 2.1 | 8.3 |
| Dental laboratory | 126 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 1. 2 | 13.8 |
| City room. | 3,446 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 10.9 |
| Corridor. | 692 | 0.1 | ---- | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Lobby . | 371 | ---- | ---- | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Total A cerage | 7,610 | 5. 2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 11.2 |
| Eighth floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stereotype room | 1,296 | 0.7 | 3. 2 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| Composing room | 6, 300 | 1. 7 | 3.2 | . 3 | 5.2 |
| Office. | 54 | 7.6 |  | 5.2 | 12.8 |
| Lobby | 176 | ---- | 3.2 | 1.6 | 4.8 |
| Total.. Average | 7,826 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 5.1 |
| Ninth floor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Picture file room. | 914 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 16.8 |
| Monotype room | 390 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 1. 0 | 5.1 |
| Composing room A | 1,600 | 1.5 | 3. 2 | 0.1 | 4.8 |
| Composing room B | 990 | 0.9 | 3. 2 | 1.5 | 5.6 |
| Office.- | 232 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 10.0 |
| Storeroom. | 165 | 11.1 | 3. 2 | 1.3 | 15.6 |
| Office | 54 | 2. 5 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 10.8 |
| Lobby | 300 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| Locker room. | 1,494 | 1.0 | 0.2 | ---- | 1.2 |
| Total... A verage | 6,139 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 6.4 |

For both buildings, combustibles of 10 to 20 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ covered about 40 percent of the floor area. A comparatively greater area in the newspaper plant had combustible contents of less than 10 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ because of the floor area occupied by equipment of incombustible type and the larger corridor areas. The higher loads in both plants were due to storage of paper before or after printing.

Table 10c. Percentage of printing plant floor areas having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible contents | Printing plant (Total floor area, $107,143 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  | Newspaper plant (Total floor area, $84,612 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range | Part of total floor arca | Largest single area within range |
| 0.0 to $4.9{ }^{\text {lb/ft }}{ }^{2}$ | Percent | ${ }^{\text {f }}{ }^{2}$ | Percent | $f t^{2}$ |
| 5.0 to 9.9 | 5.5 | 4,477 | 21.7 | 6,300 |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 28.1 | 5,624 | 24.9 | 3,446 |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 12.5 | 5, 233 | 14.3 | 7,177 |
| 20.0 to 29.9. | 6.1 | 4, 834 | 1.4 | 496 |
| 30.0 to 39.9 | 6.2 | 3, 348 | 14.0 | 11, 740 |
| 40.0 to 49.9 | 3.0 | 3,200 | 0.5 | 194 |
| 50.0 to 59.9 | 12.4 | 9, 250 | 1.2 | 764 |
| 60.0 to 79.9 | 4.3 | 2, 145 | 0.8 | 363 |
| 80.0 to 100.0 | 1. 5 | 1,591 | . 2 | 190 |
| Over 100. | 17.3 | 9.700 |  |  |

### 3.7. Warehouses

The five warehouses surveyed were of protectedsteel or reinforced-concrete construction and were from three to nine stories in height. Three of the buildings had basements. While five warehouses were surveved, the more detailed survey data for only two, $W-4$ and $W-5$, are given in tables 11a and 11 b . The percentage of the floor area, with combustible contents within a given range, and the largest single area over which the combustibles within these ranges were found for these two warehouses, are given in table 11c. Table 11d gives a complete summary of the combustible contents found in all five warehouses.

Table 11a. Survey data for warehouse W-4 in Washington, D. C.

| Occupancy or use | Arca | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable <br> prop- <br> erty | Exposed Woodwork other than floor | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Basement |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f t^{2}$ | $10 / f t^{2}$ | lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ | (b)/ft ${ }^{2}$ |
| Switchboard room | 358 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Locker room.. | 600 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 |
| Bascment storage. | 4. 569 | 16.7 | 0.3 | 17.0 |
| Total A verage | 5, 527 | 14. 2 | 0.7 | 14.9 |
| First floor |  |  |  |  |
| Private office. | 192 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 13.2 |
| Gencral office | 1,167 | 6.3 | 1. 5 | 7.8 |
| Vestibule.- | 236 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Sales office.. | 653 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 10.6 |
| Storage room A .-.-.-.-.----.-.-- | 95 | 15.8 | -.-- | 15.8 |
| Warchouse, panels A to G, inclusive. | 4,697 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 11.2 |
| Total | 7,040 |  |  |  |
| A verage |  | 8.6 | 1.9 | 10.5 |

See footnote at end of table.

Table 11a. Survey data for warehouse W-4, in Washington, D. C.-Continued

| Occupancy or use | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable <br> property | Exposed Woodwork other than floor | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Second floor |  |  |  |  |
| Warehouse, panels A-1, A-2, B, C , and D . | $\begin{gathered} f t^{2} \\ 8,916 \end{gathered}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ 6.4 | $7 b / f t t^{2}$ 0.5 | $\begin{array}{r} l b / f t^{2} \\ 6.9 \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> A verage | 8,916 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Third floor |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95 | 6.6 | -- | 6.6 |
| sive | 8. 599 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 25.0 |
| Total.-A verage | $8.694$ | 24.6 | ---5 | 25.1 |
| Fourth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Storeroom A. | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 8,663 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \\ & 39.8 \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | 41.1 40.3 |
| Total <br> Arerage | $\text { 8, } 758$ | 39.8 | 0.5 | 40.3 |
| Fifth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Wareroom B .-.-.------------ | $\begin{array}{r} 650 \\ 8,349 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.3 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | 43.1 8.0 |
| Total <br> A verage | $\text { 8. } 999$ | 7.9 | 0.5 | 10.6 |

Table 11a. Survey data for warehouse W-4 in Washington, D. C.-Continued

| Occupancy or use | Area | Combustible contents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mov. able property | Exposed <br> Wood- <br> work <br> other <br> than <br> floor | Total 3 |
| Sixth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Storeroom A <br> Storeroom B <br> Storeroom C <br> Warehouse, panels A, B, and C.- <br> Total <br> Average | $f t^{2}$ | $l t / f t^{3}$ | $\psi_{i f t^{2}}$ | $\underline{L} /{ }^{\prime} t^{2}$ |
|  | 95 | 20.2 |  | 20.2 |
|  | 25.5 | 31.7 | 1.0 | 35.3 |
|  | 518 | 43.1 | 0.8 | 43.9 |
|  | 8. 437 | 13.0 | . 5 | 13.5 |
|  | 9,305 | 15.3 | 0.5 | 159 |
| Seventh floor |  |  |  |  |
| Storeroom A <br> Storeroom B <br> Storeroom C <br> Warehonse, panels A and B <br> Total <br> A verage | 95 | 70.7 |  | 70.7 |
|  | 184 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 12.0 |
|  | 589 | 11.7 | 0.9 | 12. 6 |
|  | 8,437 | 27.0 | . 6 | 27.6 |
|  | 9,305 | 26.1 | 0.6 | 26.8 |
| Eighth floor |  |  |  |  |
| Storeroom A <br> Panel B <br> Panels A-1, A-2, C, and D <br> Total_ <br> Average. | 95 | 18.8 |  | 18.8 |
|  | 4, 287 | 18.4 | 0.4 | 18.8 |
|  | 3, 680 | 5.7 | .4 | 6.1 |
|  | 8, 062 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.6 | 0.4 | 13.0 |

a Where the total content is larger than the sum of the movable property and exposed woodwork, there was combustible flooring in that area that is included in the total.

Table 11b. Percentage of floor area of warehouse $W-5$, New York, N. Y., having combustible contents within certain limits


The contents of warehouse $\mathrm{W}-1$, for a large printing establishment, were largely stored paper in rolls, paper cartons, or wooden crates. The flooring over most of the area was either 2 -in. asphalt paving blocks, assumed to have (in terms of wood) equivalent combustible weight of 6.2 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, or $2 \frac{1}{2}$-in. end-grain hard pine blocks weighing $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Part of the area of the third floor ( $10,225 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) was depressed for railroad tracks on which there were 14 freight cars with paper contents aggregating $630,000 \mathrm{lb}$.

Warehouses W-2 and W-3 served elepartment stores, with a large part of the storage consisting of clothing, furniture, floor coverings. paint, tors. wrapping paper, and cartons. The first floor of warehouse $\mathrm{W}-3$ was used only for merchandise in transit and there was none on the thoor at the time of the surver.

Warehouse $W^{-4}$, near railroad terminals, carried incoming stocks of merchantise for lowa dealems. A percentage of the aren, varing from thoor to floor, was without load at the time of the surver.

Table 11c. Percentage of storage-building floor areas having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible contents | Washington, W-4 (Total floor area, $74,606 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  | New York, W-5 (Total floor area, $117,170 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range | Part of total floor area | Largest single area within range |
| $\begin{gathered} \quad l b / f t^{2} \\ 0.0 \text { to } 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | Percent 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} f t^{2} \\ 600 \end{gathered}$ | Percent 3.7 | $f t^{2}$ |
| 5.0 to 9.9- | 30.3 | 8,916 | 2. 9 |  |
| 10.0 to 14.9 - | 19.8 | 8, 437 | 2. 7 |  |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 12. 1 | 4, 569 | 2. 2 |  |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 23.0 | 8, 599 | 6.6 | Survey units |
| 30.0 to 39.9 | 0.3 | 255 | 8.0 | bays with |
| 40.0 to 49.9 - | 13.3 | 8,663 | 12.7 | areas from |
| 50.0 to 59.9. 60.0 to 70.7 | 0.1 | 95 | ------ | 396 to 560 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$. |
| 50.0 to 74.9- |  |  | 30.2 |  |
| 75.0 to 99.9 |  | ------ | 15.7 |  |
| 100.0 to $149.9-$ |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| 150.0 to 199.9---------- |  |  | 5. 0 2.0 |  |

and the difference in the average load for the individual floors is as much attributable to this condition as to differences in concentrations for areas carrying load. Even for those floors carrying loads over nearly the whole area (4th, 5th, and 7 th), a considerable range in average load was found.

Warehouse $W-5$ carried storage for a number of clients, and the types of goods stored covered a wide range. A plan of the sixth floor, which carried a load near the average for the warehouse, is shown in figure 3 . The combustibles present were almost wholly in the goods stored and, hence, only the total combustibles represented thereby are given in table 11d for each floor. The averageload variation between floors, as also between panels on a floor, was large.

For warehouse W-4, about one-half of the floor area had combustible concentrations no higher than $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ and for almost all of the remaining area they were not over $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. For warehouse W-5, nearly 18 percent of the floor area had combustible load of less than $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, about 50 percent carried between 30 and $75 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, 24$ percent carried 75 to $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and 7 percent had greater combustible load.

### 3.8. Offices

The office surveys include areas in buildings that house offices, or spaces directly associated therewith, and office spaces found in the surveys of other occupancies. The survey data for all office areas is given in table 12a.

The range of combustibles found in offices in the various other occupancies, with the exception of the newspaper plant, was about the same as that of the offices, office and reception rooms, and office and light-file areas in buildings housing office occupancies. The former ranged from 4.5 to $15.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, as compared to 3.8 to $16.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for the latter. Law offices and law libraries gave

Table 11d. Summary data for warehouses

| Portion of building | Floor area | Combustible contents |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Movable $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moperty } \\ & \text { proper }\end{aligned}$ | Floor | Exposed wood- work other than floor | Total |
| Survey W-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t^{2}$ | $l b / f t 2$ |
| Basement. | 33, 890 | 172.9 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 179.1 |
| 1st floor. | 34, 900 | 223.7 | 9.7 | . 0 | 233.4 |
| 2 d floor | 32, 592 | 105. 8 | 10.0 | . 4 | 116.2 |
| 3d floor | 23, 448 | 204.0 | 6.2 | . 0 | 210.2 |
| 3d floor---1. | 10, 225 | 61.6 | 0.0 | . 0 | 61.6 |
| 3d-floor total | 33, 673 | 160.8 | 4.3 | . 0 | 165.1 |
| Entire building. | 135, 055 | 166.8 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 174.4 |
| Survey W-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st floor | 46, 158 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| 2d floor | 44, 957 | 13.6 | . 0 | 1.0 | 14.6 |
| 3d floor | 45,677 | 4.0 | . 0 | 1.2 | 5. 2 |
| 4th floor- | 45, 677 | 12.7 | . 0 | 2.2 | 14.9 |
| 5 th floor | 45, 677 | 8.4 | . 0 | 1. 9 | 10.3 |
| 6 th floor | 45,677 | 12.2 | . 0 | 3.8 | 16.0 |
| Entire building | 273, 823 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 11.1 |
| Survey W-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st floor | 17, 442 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2d floor. | 17, 442 | 16.2 | . 0 | . 8 | 17.0 |
| 3d floor | 17, 442 | 16.0 | . 0 | 2.3 | 18.3 |
| 4th floor | 17, 442 | 10.6 | . 0 | 2.1 | 12.7 |
| Entire building | - 52,326 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 16.0 |
| Survey W-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basement | 5, 527 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 14.9 |
| 1st floor- | 7,040 | 8.6 | . 0 | 1. 9 | 10.5 |
| 2 d floor | 8,916 | 6.4 | . 0 | 0.5 | 6. 9 |
| 3 d floor. | 8,694 | 24.6 | . 0 | . 5 | 25. 1 |
| 4th floor- | 8,758 | 39.8 | . 0 | . 5 | 40.3 |
| 5 th floor | 8, 999 | 7.9 | 2.2 | . 5 | 10.6 |
| 6 th floor | 9, 305 | 15. 3 | 0.1 | . 5 | 15.9 |
| 7 th floor | 9, 305 | 26.1 | . 1 | . 6 | 26.8 |
| 8th floor | 8, 062 | 12.6 | . 0 | . 4 | 13.0 |
| Entire building | 74,606 | 17.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 18.1 |
| Survey W-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basement. | 10, 806 | ------ | ---- | ------ | 50.0 |
| 1st floor. | 11, 848 | ------ | ---- |  | 27.8 |
| 2 d floor- | 11, 848 |  | ----- |  | 62.5 |
| 3 d floor- | 11, 848 |  | ---- |  | 65.9 |
| 4th floor | 11, 848 | ------ | ---- | ------ | 54.0 |
| 5 5th floor | 11, 848 | ------ | ---- |  | 80.6 |
| 6 th floor- | 11, 848 | ----- | --- |  | 62.2 |
| 7 7th floor- | 11, 848 | ------ | ---- | ------ | 133.3 |
| 8th floor- | 11, 848 |  | ---- |  | 52.9 |
| 9 9th floor | 11,848 | -.---- | ---- | ------ | 70.3 |
| Entire building | 117, 438 | ------ | ---- | ------ | 65.9 |

a Not including the first floor, which was used only for merchandise in transit.
loads in the range 17.9 to $35.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. For heavy filing, the range was from 28.1 to $85.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

In table 12 b are given the total area, percent of total area, and largest single area, having combustible load within given ranges. It is seen that a little less than 70 percent of the total office area surveyed had combustible load of less than 20 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, about 28 percent had 20 to $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and in only a relatively small part was the load over $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

Table 12a. Survey data of offices and offices associated with other occupancies

| Occupancy or use | Num. ber of offices surresed | Total floor area | Average combustible contents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mov. able prop erty and trim | Floor | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Arerage | Maximum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mini- } \\ & \text { mum } \end{aligned}$ |
| Office building: |  | $f t^{2}$ | lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ | $\tau b / f t^{2}$ | $l o / f t^{2}$ | lb/ft 2 | $u_{i / f t^{2}}$ |
| Office only | 2 | 407 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 7.0 |
| Office and reception room | - | 581 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 4. 3 |
| Office and light files | 20 | 11,860 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 16.7 | 3.8 |
| Heary files | 9 | 27, 431 | 35.7 | . 2 | 35.9 | 85.9 | 28. 1 |
| Law office. | 2 | 556 | 18.5 |  | 18.5 | 19.6 | 17.9 |
| Law library | 2 | 2. 992 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 17.3 | 35.3 | 19.7 |
| Offices in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores | 2 | 11, 230 | 5.9 | . 7 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.4 |
| Furniture factories. | 3 | 1,534 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 9.7 |
| Printing plant --. | 9 | 3, 668 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 11.2 | 15.9 | 4.5 |
| Vewspaper plant.- | 22 | 19,479 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 13.2 | 36.2 | 8.9 |
| Dress factory-....- | 4 | 3,990 | 4. 8 | 3.0 | 7.8 | 10.1 | 6.8 |
| Men's clothing fac- tory - -------- | 2 | 3, 335 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.6 |
| Warehouse----------- | 3 | 2,012 | 10.5 | --.- | 10.5 | 13.2 | 7.8 |

Table 12b. Percentage of the floor areas of offices and offices associated with other occupancies having combustible contents within certain limits

| Range of combustible contents | Area within range | Total area within range | Largest single area within range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 to 9.9--------- | $\begin{gathered} f t^{2} \\ 27,242 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 31.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} f t^{2} \\ 7,230 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10.0 to 14.9 | 24,844 | 28.2 | 3,890 |
| 15.0 to 19.9 | 7,961 | 9.0 | 2,533 |
| 20.0 to 29.9 | 9, 031 | 10.2 | 7, 742 |
| 30.0 to 39.9 | 15,877 | 18.0 | 9,550 |
| 40.0 to 85.9 | 3,122 | 3.6 | 2,041 |

## 4. General Notes and Summary

The results of the surveys show that there is a correlation between some of the occupancies and combustible loading. In other occupancies, such as manufacturing and storage, there is much variation, depending on the classes of materials involved and the operational plans of the establishments.

In large areas within fire walls or fire partitions, the fire exposure to the floor construction above from a fire in the contents would vary to an extent with the concentrations of combustibles on the floor beneath.

### 4.1. Residential Occupancies

Combustible loadings of 13 to $14 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found in limited areas in dwellings and a concentration of $49.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ was found in a linen closet. However, the greatest average for a whole dwelling unit did not exceed $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and in view of the fact that there were no subdividing fire walls, the average combustible load ( $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) appears to be a reasonable value. The six apartmenthouse storage rooms, at the time surveyed, had
no greater combustible load than the highest average for dwelling units as a whole.

### 4.2. Hospitals

Three buildings, each housing a different hospital activity, were surveyed at a large institution. A total of 469 rooms or units having an aggregate area of $143,780 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ was surveyed.
The group averages of combustible contents for patients' rooms, dormitories, waiting rooms, corridors, kitchens, and dining rooms ranged from 0.8 to $3.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, with no single area or unit exceeding $5.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The range of the group averages for administrative, doctors', attendants', and nurses' offices and rooms was from 2.9 to $8.6 \mathrm{lb}^{\prime} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, with a maximum for an individual area of 14.4 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. In service areas, including storerooms, laundries, and janitors' closets, the averages ranged from 0.5 to $13.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, with an individual maximum of $23 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Loadings in the range of 0.2 to $21.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found in individual areas used for treatment, surgery, and clinics.
The lower combustible loads were found in the comparatively large ward, dormitory, and patientroom areas rather than in office, service, and operational areas.

### 4.3. Schools

The surveys indicate that in classrooms, laboratories, library reading rooms, and similar areas the combustible contents did not exceed $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Library stackrooms, storerooms, and offices, representing a small percentage of the total area, had higher combustible loads.

### 4.4. Mercantile Establishments

Combustible loads below $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found in all but 3.8 percent of the area surveyed in the New York department store, and in all but 3.0 percent of the area in the Washington department store. The higher combustible loads were, generally, from sales stocks and stock storage not effectively segregated from the other areas. In view of the large open areas and the small proportion containing the higher combustible loads, it appears that the effect of these loads on the general fire severity would be minor.

### 4.5. Manufacturing Establishments

The range of combustible contents in manufacturing plants is large, depending to a great extent on the goods made. The results of the present surveys should be helpful in giving information on the combustible loads to be expected in the types of plants surveced or in those that are similar.

The buildings housing the higher combustible loads were seldom of heights of areas requirine so-called "fully firc-resistive" construction, although such construction might be justified from
the standpoint of the owncr and the decrease in hazard to nearby property.

The combustible contents in the clothing factories surveyed were less than $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for all but a small percentage of the area. Such occupancy does not represent an excessive potential fire severity for buildings of fire-resistive construction.

### 4.6. Printing Establishments

The newspaper plant surveyed contained a combustible load of $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or over on only 16.7 percent of the area. The printing plant carried a combustible load of $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more on 44.7 percent of the floor arca. The higher load in cach case was caused by storage of paper and combustible supplies.

### 4.7. Warehouses

The contents of storage buildings surveyed covered a wide range in type and distribution of the combustible materials housed.

For the two department store warehouses and the one carrying incoming stocks for local dealers, the combustible loads were within the range where structural protection for the possible fire severity can be provided without resort to unusual building details.

The high combustible loads in the warehouse for a big printing establishment were largely of paper in tight rolls or packages. After the initial stage of a fire in such contents, the intensity decreases, allowing more ready approach for extinguishment. In the absence of extinguishment, such storages will be fully consumed, and the required structural protection against the resulting fire severity is beyond presently defined means of attainment.

The general-storage warehouse, W-5, carried combustible loads up to $75 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ on nearly 70 percent of its area. Combustibles on the remaining area ranged up to $256.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for an individual floor bay and to $133.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for an entire floor. Combustible loads below $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ occupied only 18.1 percent of the building, and only 1 floor of the 10 had such a low average.

### 4.8. Office Occupancies

No surveys were made covering all parts of office buildings; however, surveys were made of typical areas in six such buildings, and of office areas in a number of other occupancies. In office areas, including light files, the combustibles exceeded $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ only in a small office of the newspaper plant where it amounted to 36.2
$\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ on an area of $140 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The fire severity resulting from this concentration would be reduced by the lower combustible load in large adjacent areas averaging less than $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

In areas used for heavy files, the combustible contents ranged from 28.1 to $85.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. In law libraries, combustible loads as high as 35.3 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were found.

## 5. General Objective and Application

The data from the present surveys present a general view of the combustible contents associated with typical buildings and occupancies. In conjunction with information from fire-severity tests and fires in buildings, data from these surveys can be applied in connection with requirements for minimum fire resistance of buildings, such as in building codes. Beyond such minimum requirements, building designers and owners can apply the data to provide a degree of structural protection that will prevent collapse of the structure from fires in contents. Where such structural protection cannot be fully attained, it can be supplemented with built-in fire-extinguishing equipment.

Although buildings may be seriously damaged by a fire of severity approaching that for which their structural protection is designed, prevention of major collapse is important in decreasing the possibility of spread of fire to adjacent construction and in affording a safcr approach for fire extinguishment. Conflagrations have been stopped on a line of such fire-resistive buildings and, although fire was communicated to those immediately exposed, such buildings gave the needed protection to buildings beyond them.
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Figure 1. Second-floor plan, department store, Washington, D. C.



Figure 3. Sixth-floor plan, warehouse, New York, N. Y.

Washington, December 5, 1956.
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