The Factorization of a Matrix as the Commutator of Two Matrices

John M. Smith*

Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

(April 4, 1974)

Let $P = I_p + (-I_q)$, the direct sum of the $p \times p$ identity matrix and the negative of the $q \times q$ identity matrix. The following theorem is proved.

THEOREM: If X = cZ where Z is a 4 × 4 P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix and $|c| \le 2$, there exist matrices A and B, both of which are P-orthogonal and P-skew-symmetric, such that X = AB - BA. Methods for obtaining certain matrices which satisfy X = AB - BA are given. Methods are also given for determining pairs of anticommuting P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrices.

Key words: Anticommuting; commutator; factorization; matrix; orthogonal; skew-symmetric.

1. Introduction

Let $P = I_p + (-I_q)$, the direct sum of the $p \times p$ identity matrix and the negative of the $q \times q$ identity matrix. Katz and Olkin [2]¹ define a real matrix A to be orthogonal with respect to P(P - orthogonal) if and only if

$$APA' = P \tag{1}$$

where A' is the transpose of A. Furthermore, they define B to be skew-symmetric with respect to P(P-skew-symmetric) if and only if BP is skew-symmetric in the ordinary sense.

The main result of this paper is concerned with matrices which are both *P*-orthogonal and *P*-skew-symmetric of order n=4=p+q. Smith [7] proved that such matrices exist in only two cases, p=4, q=0 and p=q=2. In the first case *P*-orthogonal and *P*-skew-symmetric reduce to orthogonal and skew-symmetric in the ordinary sense.

Pearl [4] and Smith [6] proved the following theorem in the cases p=4, q=0 and p=q=2 respectively.

THEOREM 1: If the 4×4 matrices A and B are both P-orthogonal and P-skew-symmetric then their commutator, $[A, B] \equiv AB - BA$, is a scaler multiple of a 4×4 P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a converse to Theorem 1. Shoda [5] proved that if X is a square matrix with zero trace having elements in an algebraically closed field then there exist matrices A and B such that X = AB - BA. Albert and Muckenhoupt [1] removed the restriction that the field be algebraically closed. However, both the method of Shoda and the method of Albert and Muckenhoupt give a singular matrix B. The main result of this paper is:

THEOREM 2: If X = cZ where Z is a 4×4 P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix and $|c| \leq 2$, there exist matrices A and B, both of which are P-orthogonal and P-skew-symmetric, such that X = AB - BA.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 15A21, Secondary 15A27. *Also with George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030.

¹ Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

2. Anticommuting Matrices

In examining the structure of P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrices in the case p = 4, q = 0, Pearl [4] shows that any such matrix has exactly one of the following forms:

(i)
$$\alpha_1 R_1 + \alpha_2 R_2 + \alpha_3 R_3$$
, $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 = 1$
(ii) $\alpha_1 S_1 + \alpha_2 S_2 + \alpha_3 S_3$, $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 = 1$

where the α_i are real scalers and the R_i and S_i are the first and second regular representations respectively of the real quaternions [3].

Similarly, in the case p = q = 2, Smith [6] shows that any such matrix has exactly one of the following forms:

(iii)
$$\alpha_1 R_1 P + \alpha_2 S_2 P + \alpha_3 S_3 P$$
, $\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_1^2 = 1$
iv) $\alpha_1 S_1 P + \alpha_2 R_2 P + \alpha_3 R_3 P$, $\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3^2 = -1$

where $P = I_2 + (-I_2)$.

A further examination of these papers leads to

THEOREM 3: If Z is a 4×4 P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix there exists a 4×4 P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix B such that ZB = -BZ.

PROOF: There are four cases to consider.

Case $I, Z = \alpha_1 R_1 + \alpha_2 R_2 + \alpha_3 R_3$. If $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, choose arbitrary β'_1, β'_2 and set

$$\beta'_3 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_3} (\alpha_1 \beta'_1 + \alpha_2 \beta'_2).$$

Let $x = \beta_1'^2 + \beta_2'^2 + \beta_3'^2$ and set $\beta_i = \frac{\beta_i'}{\sqrt{r}}$, i = 1, 2, 3. If $\alpha_3 = 0$, let $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ and $\beta_3 = 1$. Clearly, in either situation

and

$$\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_3\beta_3 = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 = 1.$$
 (3)

Letting $B = \beta_1 R_1 + \beta_2 R_2 + \beta_3 R_3$, by (3) B is P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric and by (2)

ZB = -BZ.

Case 2, $Z = \alpha_1 S_1 + \alpha_2 S_2 + \alpha_3 S_3$. Choose β_i , i = 1, 2, 3 as in Case 1 and let

$$B = \beta_1 \, S_1 + \beta_2 \, S_2 + \beta_3 \, S_3.$$

Case 3, $Z = \alpha_1 R_1 P + \alpha_2 S_2 P + \alpha_3 S_3 P$. The matrix $B = \beta_1 R_1 P + \beta_2 S_2 P + \beta_3 S_3 P$ will be *P*-orthogonal, *P*-skew-symmetric if

$$\beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 - \beta_1^2 = 1 \tag{4}$$

and ZB = -BZ if

 $\beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 - 1$

 $\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle$

$$\alpha_2 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_3 - \alpha_1 \beta_1 = 0. \tag{5}$$

If $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$, set $\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{-\alpha_3}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$, and $\beta_3 = 1$. Clearly, (4) and (5) are satisfied. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, set $\beta_1 = \beta_3 = 0$, $\beta_2 = 1$. Again (4) and (5) are satisfied. If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2 \neq 0$, since clearly $\alpha_3 = \pm 1$, set $x = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_1^2}$ and let $\beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_3 = \alpha_3 \alpha_1 \sqrt{x}$, $\beta_2 = \sqrt{x}$. Again (4) and (5) are satisfied.

Case 4, $Z = \alpha_1 S_1 P + \alpha_2 R_2 P + \alpha_3 R_3 P$. Let $B = \beta_1 S_1 P + \beta_2 R_2 P + \beta_3 R_3 P$ where the β_i are chosen as in case 3.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove Theorem 2 it is convenient to first prove the following lemmas. LEMMA 1: (i) If B is P-skew-symmetric then B' = -PBP.

(ii) If B is P-skew-symmetric and P-orthogonal than $B^2 = -I$.

LEMMA 2: If Z is a P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric matrix and $|c| \leq 2$ then

$$Y = \frac{\sqrt{4 - c^2}}{2} I + \frac{c}{2} Z \text{ is P-orthogonal.}$$

PROOF: By direct computation,

$$\begin{split} YPY' &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}I + \frac{c}{2}Z\right)P\left(\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}I + \frac{c}{2}Z'\right)\\ &= \frac{4-c^2}{4}P + \frac{c^2}{4}ZPZ' + \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}(ZP + PZ'). \end{split}$$

However, ZPZ' = P by (1) and by Lemma 1

$$ZP + PZ' = ZP + P(-PZP) = ZP - ZP = 0.$$

Thus $YPY' = \frac{4-c^2}{4}P + \frac{c^2}{4}P + O = P$ and by (1) *Y* is *P*-orthogonal.

LEMMA 3: If Z is P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric and $|c| \le 2$, and if B is P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric such that ZB = -BZ, then $A = \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}I + \frac{c}{2}Z\right)PB'P$ satisfies [A,B] = cZ.

PROOF: AB = (YPB'P) B = (YP) (B'PB) = (YP) P = Y

$$=\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}I+\frac{c}{2}Z$$

$$BA = B (YPB'P) = \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2} BPB'P + \frac{c}{2} BZPB'P$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2} I + \frac{c}{2} BZPB'P$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2} I - \frac{c}{2} ZBPB'P$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2} I - \frac{c}{2} Z.$$

Thus [A,B] = AB - BA = cZ.

COROLLARY: The matrix A defined in Lemma 3 is P-orthogonal, P-skew-symmetric.

PROOF: By Lemmas 1 and 2 A is the product of two P-orthogonal matrices. Hence A is P-orthogonal. Also

$$A = \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}I + \frac{c}{2}Z\right)PB'P$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}PB'P + \frac{c}{2}ZPB'P.$$

By Lemma 1, $\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}PB'P = -\frac{\sqrt{4-c^2}}{2}B$ which is *P*-skew-symmetric. Furthermore

$$\frac{c}{2} ZPB'P)' = \frac{c}{2} PBPZ'$$

$$= -\frac{c}{2} B'Z' \qquad \text{by Lemma 1}$$

$$= \frac{c}{2} Z'B' \qquad \text{since } ZB = -BZ$$

$$= -\frac{c}{2} PZPB' \qquad \text{by Lemma 1}$$

$$= -\frac{c}{2} P(ZPB'P)P.$$

Thus A is the sum of two P-skew-symmetric matrices and hence A is P-skew-symmetric.

In the 4×4 case, the existence of the matrix *B* is given by Theorem 3. Thus Theorem 3, Lemma 3, and the Corollary complete the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Conclusion

Theorem 2 provides a converse to the theorems of Pearl [4] and Smith [6]. While Theorem 2 is restricted to the 4×4 case, the results of section 3 refer to the general $n \times n$ case. Smith [8] has generalized Theorem 1 to the $n \times n$ case. Perhaps the results of section 3 can be applied to find a converse of that result.

5. References

- [1] Albert, A. A., and Muckenhoupt, B., On matrices of trace zero, Michigan Math. J. Vol. 4, 1-3, (1957).
- [2] Katz, L., and Olkin, I., Properties and factorizations of matrices defined by the operation of pseudo-transposition, Duke Math. J. Vol. **20**, 331–337, (1953).
- [3] MacDuffee, C. C., Orthogonal matrices in four-space, Canadian J. Math. Vol. 1, 69-72, (1949).
- [4] Pearl, M., On a Theorem of M. Riesz, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 62, No. 3, 69-72 (Mar. 1959).
- [5] Shoda, K., Einige Satz über Matrizen, Japanese J. Math. Vol. 13, 361-365, (1936).
- [6] Smith, J. M., Additional Remarks on a Theorem of M. Riesz, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 71B, No. 1, 43-46 (Jan.-Mar. 1967).
- [7] Smith, J. M., On the Existence of Certain Matrices, Portugaliae Mathematica, Vol. 30, 93-95, (1971).
- [8] Smith, J. M., A Theorem on Matrix Commutators, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 75B, No. 1, 17-21 (Jan.-Mar. 1971).

(Paper 78B3-407)