

# Remarks on a Problem of Rademacher in the Theory of Modular Forms\*

Marvin I. Knopp\*\*

(May 17, 1973)

Rademacher quite some time ago posed the question of deriving the classical functional equation of  $1/\eta(z)$  ( $\eta(z)$  is the Dedekind modular form) directly from the exact expression he had found for the partition function,  $p(n)$ , which arises as the Fourier coefficient of  $1/\eta(z)$ . Although he had been able to solve a similar problem for the absolute invariant  $J(z)$ , Rademacher was unable to solve the problem for  $1/\eta(z)$ . We here relate this question to some more recent results of Douglas Niebur, which reduce the problem to one of identically zero Poincaré series of degree  $-5/2$ .

Key words: Dedekind function; modular form; partition function; Poincaré series.

1. In [6]<sup>1</sup> Rademacher introduced a method for recapturing the functional equation

$$J(-1/z) = J(z)$$

of the well-known modular invariant,  $J(z)$ , directly from the explicit representation of the Fourier coefficients of  $J(z)$  that he had obtained earlier [5]. Subsequently, Rademacher quite naturally raised the question of finding an analogous method for deriving the functional equation of the modular form  $\eta^{-1}(z)$  from the exact formula he had found for the partition function,  $p(n)$ , which is generated as the Fourier coefficient of  $\eta(z)^{-1}$ . It is the purpose of this note to consider the relationship of this problem to the results of Niebur [3]. We shall show that, in one sense at least, Niebur's results (which are quite far-reaching and deep) solve Rademacher's problem; in another sense the problem remains open. The apparent paradox will be explained in due course.

2. The *Dedekind function*  $\eta(z)$  is defined by the infinite product.

$$(1) \quad \eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi imz}), \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

A simple combinatorial argument [1, pp. 32-34] shows that

$$(2) \quad \eta(z)^{-1} = e^{2\pi i(23/24)z} \left\{ e^{-2\pi iz} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n+1) e^{2\pi inz} \right\},$$

where  $p(n)$  is the number of unrestricted partitions of  $n$  into positive integers. Now it is obvious from either (1) or (2) that

$$(3) \quad \eta(z+1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(z),$$

AMS Subject Classifications: 10D05; 1001.

\* An invited paper.

\*\* Present address: The University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60680

<sup>1</sup> Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

while it is a deep property of  $\eta(z)$  that

$$(4) \quad \eta(-1/z) = e^{-\pi i/4} z^{1/2} \eta(z), \quad \text{Im } z > 0,$$

where  $-\pi \leq \arg z < \pi$  is the convention adopted for calculating  $z^{1/2}$  [1, pp. 41–43].

It follows from (1), (3), and (4) that  $\eta(z)$  is a *modular cusp form of degree*  $-1/2$ ; that is,

$$(5) \quad \eta(iy) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } y \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \eta(Mz) = v_\eta(M) (cz + d)^{1/2} \eta(z),$$

for all  $Mz = (az + b) / (cz + d)$ , with  $a, b, c, d$  rational integers such that  $ad - bc = 1$ . Here  $v_\eta(M)$  is a 24th root of unity, independent of  $z$ . The collection  $\{v_\eta(M)\}$  is called the *multiplier system of  $\eta(z)$* . It follows that  $\eta(z)^{-1}$  is a modular form of degree  $1/2$ , with multiplier system  $\bar{v}_\eta$ ;  $\eta(z)^{-1}$  has a *pole* at  $i\infty$ , in the sense that

$$(7) \quad |\eta(iy)^{-1}| \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } y \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using (2) and (4) and a refined version of the “circle method,” Rademacher [4] derived the exact formula

$$(8) \quad p(n) = \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(n) k^{1/2} \frac{d}{dn} \left\{ \frac{\sinh(\nu\sqrt{n-1/24}/k)}{\sqrt{n-1/24}} \right\},$$

where  $\nu = \pi\sqrt{2/3}$  and

$$(9) \quad A_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{h(\text{mod } k) \\ (h, k)=1}} v_\eta(M_{k,-h}) \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\pi i}{k} \left[ \left( n - \frac{1}{24} \right) h + \frac{1}{24} h' \right] \right\};$$

here  $M_{k,-h} = \begin{pmatrix} h' & k' \\ k & -h \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $-hh' - kk' = 1$ .

Rademacher’s problem may be stated as follows. Suppose we regard the function  $\eta(z)^{-1}$  as being *defined* by (2), (8), and (9), ignoring the definition (1) and the number-theoretic interpretation of  $p(n)$  as the partition function. Show *from this definition* that  $\eta(z)^{-1}$  is a modular form of degree  $1/2$  and multiplier system  $\bar{v}_\eta$ , that is, that

$$(10) \quad \eta(Mz)^{-1} = \bar{v}_\eta(M) (cz + d)^{-1/2} \eta(z)^{-1},$$

with  $M$  as before. Since it is obvious from (2) that

$$\eta(z+1)^{-1} = e^{-\pi i/12} \eta(z)^{-1},$$

the problem reduces to showing that

$$(11) \quad \eta(-1/z)^{-1} = e^{\pi i/4} z^{-1/2} \eta(z)^{-1}, \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

3. The results of Niebur are valid for all automorphic forms of positive degree on  $H$ -groups, and, in particular, apply to the function  $\eta(z)^{-1}$ , as defined by (2) and (8). In this case Niebur’s method

yields the following functional equation directly from the series (2), (8) [3, Theorem 3.2]:

$$e^{-\pi i/4 z^{1/2}} \eta(-1/z)^{-1} - \eta(z)^{-1} = \left[ \alpha \int_0^{i\infty} G(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{1/2} d\tau \right]^{-},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a nonzero constant,  $[\beta]^{-}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $\beta$ , the path of integration is the  $y$  axis, and  $G(\tau)$  is the *Poincaré series* defined by

$$(13) \quad G(\tau) = \sum \frac{\exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i}{24} \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right\}}{v_\eta(M)(c\tau + d)^{5/2}}, \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0.$$

Here the sum is taken over all pairs of relatively prime integers  $c, d$  and  $a, b$  are rational integers chosen such that  $ad - bc = 1$ ; also,  $M\tau = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$  and  $v_\eta$  is the multiplier system of  $\eta(z)$ .

Now (11) follows from (12) if it can be shown that

$$(14) \quad \int_0^{i\infty} G(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{1/2} d\tau \equiv 0,$$

and Niebur has observed that (14) is equivalent to  $G(\tau) \equiv 0$  [3, Theorem 4.1]. Thus Rademacher's problem is reduced to showing that  $G(\tau) \equiv 0$ . On the other hand,  $G(\tau)$  is a modular cusp form of dimension  $-5/2$  and multiplier system  $v_\eta$  (cf. the discussion in [2, pp. 272-280]), and we may show that such a modular form is necessarily  $\equiv 0$ . This can be done as follows. With  $\eta(z)$  defined by (1), the function  $f(z) = G(z)/\eta(z)$  is an *entire* modular form of degree  $-2$  and multiplier system identically 1. That is,

$$(15) \quad f(Mz) = (cz + d)^2 f(z),$$

with  $M$  as in (6) and  $\text{Im } z > 0$ , and

$$(16) \quad |f(iy)| \text{ has a finite limit as } y \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It is not too difficult to show that  $f(z)$  satisfying (15) and (16) is identically 0 [2, p. 216]. Thus  $G \equiv 0$  and Rademacher's problem is solved.

But only in a sense, for to maintain the spirit within which Rademacher proposed the problem, one should show that  $G \equiv 0$  *directly from the expression* (13). This is as yet unresolved and apparently exceedingly difficult.

## References

- [1] Knopp, Marvin I., *Modular Functions in Analytic Number Theory* (Chicago, Markham Publishing Co., 1970).
- [2] Lehner, J., *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Providence, American Mathematical Society, 1964.
- [3] Niebur, Douglas, *Automorphic integrals of arbitrary positive dimension and Poincaré series*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, 1968.
- [4] Rademacher, Hans, A convergent series for the partition function  $p(n)$ , *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **23**, 78-84 (1937).
- [5] Rademacher, Hans, The Fourier coefficients of the modular invariant  $J(\tau)$ , *American Journal of Mathematics* **60**, 501-512 (1938).
- [6] Rademacher, Hans, The Fourier series and the functional equation of the absolute modular invariant  $J(\tau)$ , *American Journal of Mathematics* **61**, 237-248 (1939).

(Paper 77B3&4-381)