JOURNAL OF RESEARCH of the National Bureau of Standards—B. Mathematical Sciences Vol. 76B, Nos. 3 and 4, July–December 1972

# Scheduling a Time-Shared Server to Minimize Aggregate Delay<sup>\*</sup>

# A. J. Goldman

Institute for Basic Standards, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

#### (July 21, 1972)

A simplified analysis is given of a problem situation, previously treated in the literature, which pertains to the delay-minimizing allocation of servicing times among N incoming streams requiring "processing" of some kind by a single "server" (e.g., a time-shared computer). The original problem is generalized to permit different "weights" for the delays suffered by different streams.

Key words: Computer systems; optimization; scheduling; time-sharing; traffic control.

#### 1. Introduction

A 1967 paper by Rangarajan and Oliver  $[1]^1$  contains a formulation and analysis of the two problems described below, which pertain to the allocation of servicing times among N incoming streams requiring "processing" of some kind by a single "server." The server might for example be a switching point or a congestion point (e.g., a tunnel entrance) in a transport network, in which case "processing" an item (vehicle) simply means letting it through. Or, the server might be a computer handling reservations from several ticket offices, or exercising real-time control over vehicle movements on several network links, or performing some other tasks on a time-shared basis.

The streams are treated as continuous flows. During each service *cycle*, of duration T, the server handles stream 1 for time  $G_1$ , switches (with associated known switch-over or "dead" time) to handle stream 2 for time  $G_2$ , etc. The arrivals in each stream are assumed nonrandom, with a known uniform rate (possibly different for different streams). The server's processing rate, when serving a particular stream, is also assumed nonrandom and constant (possibly different for different streams). Each  $G_i$  is constrained to be at least large enough so that no queue remains in the *i*th stream when one of that stream's service periods ends.

The two problems formulated and analyzed are these:

**PROBLEM 1:** For given cycle time T, what allocation  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N$  of service times among the various streams is optimal, in the sense of minimizing total waiting time per cycle?

**PROBLEM 2:** What value of the cycle time T will minimize average waiting time?

Subsequently Horn [2] showed that the more general case, in which all streams are served equally often (possibly *more* than once) per cycle, can be reduced to PROBLEM 1. This provides additional reason for offering an alternative analysis, which is more self-contained and (at least to the writer) simpler than that of reference [1]. In addition, a mild generalization will be introduced by permitting the penalties for delay to be different for different streams.

AMS Subject Classification Number: Primary 9310.

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported by the Northeast Corridor Transportation Project (Dept. of Transportation) and the NBSCenter for Computer Science and Technology. ' Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

## 2. Formulation

#### The data for PROBLEM 1 are

T = cycle time, N = number of streams,  $a_i =$  arrival rate for *i*th stream,  $s_i =$  service rate limit when processing *i*th stream ( $s_i > a_i$ ),  $d_i =$  dead time in switching from *i*th stream to next one,  $p_i =$  penalty factor for delays to *i*th stream.

Note that our  $(a_i, s_i, d_i)$  are the  $(\lambda_i, \mu_i, \tau_i)$  of reference [1], which in effect assumes all  $p_i = 1$ .

Under the assumption of first-in-first-out service within each stream, the waiting time per cycle for the *i*th stream is found as in reference [1] to be

$$W_{i}[T, G_{i}] = \{a_{i}(T - G_{i})^{2} + a_{i}^{2}(T - G_{i})^{2}/(s_{i} - a_{i})\}/2$$
  
=  $a_{i}s_{i}(T - G_{i})^{2}/2(s_{i} - a_{i}).$  (1)

(The factor 1/2 was omitted from the analogous equation in reference [1]; also our (1) differs from that formula by a factor T because we work with total rather than time-averaged delay.) Thus the function to be minimized is

$$W^{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} a_{i} s_{i} (T - G_{i})^{2} / 2(s_{i} - a_{i}).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The condition, that each stream have its queue disappear before its service period ends, is expressed by

$$G_i(s_i - a_i) \ge (T - G_i)a_i$$
 or equivalently  $G_i s_i \ge Ta_i$ .

which is equivalent to

$$(T - G_i)s_i \le T(s_i - a_i). \tag{3}$$

The remaining constraint on the  $G_i$ 's is the obvious identity which can be expressed, in terms of total service time and total dead time

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_{i} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{i},$$

$$G + D = T. \tag{4}$$

in the form

We simplify by introducing the new variables

 $C_i$ 

$$W = 2W^o/T^2 \tag{5}$$

and also

as the new minimand, equivalent to the previous one since T is fixed for PROBLEM 1. Furthermore, let

 $x_i = (T - G_i)/T$ 

$$b_i = (s_i - a_i)/s_i > 0,$$
$$= p_i a_i / b_i = p_i a_i s_i / (s_i - a_i) > 0$$

$$q_i = 1/c_i = b_i/p_i a_i > 0,$$
  
 $B = N - 1 + (D/T)$ 

Then from (2) and (5), we see that PROBLEM 1 requires the minimization of

$$W(T) = W = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i x_i^2 \tag{6}$$

subject to the conditions (3), which are equivalent to

$$0 \le x_i \le b_i,\tag{7}$$

and to condition (4), which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i = B. \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8) we obtain the condition

$$B \le \sum_{1}^{N} b_i, \tag{9}$$

which is both necessary and sufficient for the consistency of the constraints, and is assumed to hold in what follows.

#### 3. Solution of PROBLEM 1

Since the problem requires minimizing a continuous strictly convex function over the closed bounded subset of x-space defined by (7) and (8), there must exist a unique relative minimum which is in fact the unique absolute minimum. Hence we need only derive enough necessary conditions, for a local minimum, to single out just one point in x-space.

The streams will be numbered (in analogy with p. 76 of ref. [1]), so that

$$p_1 a_1 = b_1 c_1 \ge p_2 a_2 = b_2 c_2 \ge \ldots \ge p_N a_N = b_N c_N > 0.$$
(10)

Observe first that at a local minimum,

$$c_i x_i < c_j x_j$$
 implies  $x_i = 0$  or  $x_i = b_i$ ,

for otherwise we could further decrease the objective function (6) without violating the constraints (7) and (8), by decreasing  $x_j$  and increasing  $x_i$  by the same sufficiently small positive quantity. Since  $x_j=0$  in this situation would lead to a contradiction of the condition  $x_j \ge 0$ , we in fact have

$$c_i x_i < c_j x_j \qquad \text{implies } x_i = b_i.$$

$$(11)$$

In analogy with eq (4a) of reference [1], let *r* be the smallest index for which  $x_r = b_r$  in the locally optimal solution under consideration. (If  $x_i < b_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., N, then take r = N+1.) We next show that

$$x_i = b_i \qquad \text{if} \qquad i \ge r, \tag{12}$$

i.e., that streams 1 through r-1 are precisely those served longer than needed to eliminate their queues. That  $x_i < b_i$  for i < r, follows from the definition of *r*. To rule out the possibility that  $x_i < b_i$ for some i > r, note that  $b_i c_i \leq b_r c_r$ , so that

$$c_i x_i < b_i c_i \leq b_r c_r = c_r x_r,$$

which by (11) implies  $x_i = b_i$ , a contradiction.

In particular, the solution is fully determined (each  $x_i = b_i$ ) if r = 1, which by (12) and (9) can occur iff  $fB = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i$ . Thus in what follows we temporarily assume  $B < \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i$ , so that r > 1. Next, (11) and (12) imply the existence of some K > 0 such that

 $c_i x_i = K$  for all i < r.  $x_i = q_i K$  for all i < r. (13)

It follows from (8), (12), and (13) that

$$B = K \sum_{1}^{r-1} q_i + \sum_{r}^{N} b_i,$$

implying

or equivalently

$$K = \frac{(B - \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i}.$$
 (14)

From (13) and the fact that  $x_{r-1} < b_{r-1}$ , we have

$$K < b_{r-1}c_{r-1} = p_{r-1}a_{r-1}.$$
(15)

If  $r \leq N$ , then it follows from  $x_{r-1} < b_{r-1}$  and (11) . . . with i = r-1 and j = r . . . that

$$K = c_{r-1} K q_{r-1} = c_{r-1} x_{r-1} \ge c_r x_r = c_r b_r (r \le N).$$
(16)

We next dispose of the case r=N+1. By (8) and (13), if r=N+1 then

$$x_{i} = \frac{q_{i}B}{\sum_{1}^{N} q_{j}} \qquad \text{(all } i\text{)},$$

$$K = \frac{B}{\sum_{1}^{N} q_{i}}.$$
(17)

Using (15), we see from (18) that r = N + 1 implies

$$B < b_N c_N \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i. \tag{19}$$

Conversely if r < N+1, then (14) and (16) would both hold, yielding

$$B = K \sum_{1}^{r-1} q_i + \sum_{r}^{N} b_i \ge b_r c_r \sum_{1}^{r-1} q_i + \sum_{r}^{N} (b_i c_i) q_i \ge b_N c_N \sum_{1}^{N} q_i,$$

contradicting (19). So (19) is a necessary and sufficient condition for r = N + 1.

Suppose now that 1 < r < N+1. Using (14), (15), and (16), we have

$$b_r c_r \sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i+1} \sum_{r=1}^{N} b_i \leq B \leq b_{r-1} c_{r-1} \sum_{1}^{r-1} q_i + \sum_{r=1}^{N} b_i$$

as the test for determining r. With r known  $(1 \le r \le N+1)$ , the optimal solution is given by (12), (13), and (14). Since  $b_{r-1}c_{r-1}q_{r-1} = b_{r-1}$ , the test can be rewritten

$$B_r \leq B < B_{r-1},\tag{20}$$

in terms of the quantities

$$B_k = b_k c_k \sum_{1}^{k-1} q_i + \sum_{k}^{N} b_i.$$
(21)

With the convention  $B_{N+1}=0$ , the test remains valid when r=N+1, according to the discussion surrounding (19). And with the convention  $B_0=\infty$ , it remains valid for r=1 as well (necessarily with  $B=B_1$ ). The test is satisfied for at *most* one value of r since  $B_{N+1} < B_N$  and for 1 < k < N+1,

$$B_{k-1} - B_k = (b_{k-1}c_{k-1} - b_kc_k)\sum_{1}^{k-1} q_i \ge 0;$$

it is satisfied for *at least* one value or *r* since  $B_{N+1} < B \leq B_1$ .

We conclude this section by summarizing the solution process, in terms of the problem data (assuming the ordering (10)):

Step 1: Calculate the total dead time per cycle, D.

Step 2: Calculate B = N - 1 + (D/T).

Step 3: Calculate the quantities  $b_i = (s_i - a_i)/s_i$  and their sum  $B_1$ .

Step 4: If  $B > B_1$ , then stop; the problem is infeasible. If  $B = B_1$ , the optimal solution is  $G_i = Ta_i/s_i$  for all *i*. If  $B < B_1$ , continue.

Step 5: Beginning with  $B_1$  and with  $Q_0 = 0$ , calculate quantities  $Q_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $B_3$ , etc. by the formulas

$$q_{k} = \frac{b_{k}}{p_{k}a_{k}}$$

$$Q_{k} = Q_{k-1} + q_{k},$$

$$B_{k} = B_{k-1} - (p_{k-1}a_{k-1} - p_{k}a_{k})Q_{k-1}.$$

Stop as soon as  $B_k \leq B$  is attained, set r = k, and go to Step 6. If  $B < B_N$  is encountered, the optimal solution is

$$G_i = T - (TB/Q_N)q_i$$

for all *i*.

Step 6: Calculate

$$K = \frac{\left(B - \sum_{r}^{N} b_{i}\right)}{Q_{r-1}}.$$

The optimal solution is given by

$$G_i = T - TKq_i \qquad (i < r),$$
  
$$G_i = Ta_i/s_i \qquad (i \ge r).$$

# 4. Solution of Problem 2

Recall the relation

between B and T, which yields

$$D/T = B - N + 1 \tag{22}$$

$$dB/dT = -D/T^2. \tag{23}$$

The decreasing sequence  $\{B_k\}_1^N$  defined by (21) yields, through (22), an increasing sequence  $\{T_k\}_1^N$  of break-points in "*T*-space." The feasibility condition  $B \leq B_1$  is equivalent to  $T \geq T_1$ , and the interval  $B_k \leq B < B_{k-1}$  on which r = k corresponds to the interval  $T_{k-1} < T \leq T_k$ .

Let  $W_{\min}(T)$  be the minimized value of W(T), as determined in section 3. Then by (5), we have the expression

$$W_{\min}^0(T) = T^2 W_{\min}(T)/2$$

for the minimum delay per cycle, so that

$$V^{0}(T) = TW_{\min}(T) \tag{24}$$

is twice the minimized *time-averaged* delay per cycle. Thus our objective in PROBLEM 2 is to choose T, subject to  $T \ge T_1$ , so as to minimize  $V^0(T)$ .

First consider the behavior of  $V^0(T)$  on the interval  $(T_N,\infty)$  corresponding to the range  $B < B_N$ . By (17) and (6),

$$W_{\min}(T) = (B/Q_N)^2 \sum_{1}^{N} c_i q_i^2,$$

 $V^0(T) = (B^2T) \times (\text{pos. const.}).$ 

so that (24) yields

$$(d/dT)(B^{2}T) = B^{2} + 2BT(dB/dT) = B^{2} - 2B(D/T)$$

$$=B[2(N-1)-B]>B[2(N-1))-B_N],$$

and since

$$B_N \leq B_1 = \sum_{1}^{N} b_i \leq N < 2(N-1)$$

(assuming of course that N > 1), it follows that  $(T_N, \infty)$  is an interval on which  $V^0(T)$  is increasing, hence *not* an interval on which the minimum of  $V^0(T)$  can occur.

Next, consider the behavior of  $V^0(T)$  on the interval  $(T_{r-1}, T_r)$ . Minimizing  $V^0(T)$  over this interval is equivalent to minimizing

$$V_r(T) = V^0(T) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i.$$
(26)

Using (12) and (13) to substitute the optimal solution to PROBLEM 1 into (6), we obtain

$$W_{\min}(T) = K^2 \sum_{1}^{r-1} c_i q_i^2 + \sum_{r}^{N} c_i b_i^2$$

(25)

$$=K^{2}\sum_{1}^{r-1}q_{i}+\sum_{r}^{N}c_{i}b_{i}^{2},$$

which by (14) can be rewritten

$$W_{\min}(T) = \left(B - \sum_{r}^{N} b_{i}\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i}\right)^{-1} + \sum_{r}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}.$$
(27)

It follows from (24) and (26) that

$$V_r(T) = T\left(B - \sum_r^N b_i\right)^2 + T\left(\sum_r^N c_i b_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i\right) + C\left(\sum_r^N c_i b_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i\right) + C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i\right)$$

This formula, (22) and (23) yield

$$dV_r/dT = \left(B - \sum_{r}^{N} b_i\right) \left(2N - 2 - \sum_{r}^{N} b_i - B\right) + \left(\sum_{r}^{N} c_i b_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_i\right),$$
(28)

$$d^2 V_r / dT^2 = 2D^2 / T^3 > 0. (29)$$

Suppose in particular that  $r \ge 3$ . It will be shown that

$$dV_r/dT \ge 0$$
 (right derivative at  $T = T_{r-1}$ ), (30)

which by (29) implies that  $(T_{r-1}, T_r)$  is an interval on which  $V_r(T)$  and hence  $V^{\circ}(T)$  is increasing, hence *not* an interval on which the minimum of  $V^{\circ}(T)$  can occur.

By (21) and (28), the expression in (30) whose sign is to be determined is

$$\left(B_{r-1} - \sum_{r}^{N} b_{i}\right) \left(2N - 2 - \sum_{r}^{N} b_{i} - B_{r-1}\right) + \left(\sum_{r}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i}\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i}\right) \left\{b_{r-1}c_{r-1} \left(2N - 2 - 2\sum_{r}^{N} b_{i} - b_{r-1}c_{r-1}\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i}\right) + \sum_{r}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}\right\}$$

This has the same sign as

$$D_{r-1} = 2N - 2 - 2\sum_{r}^{N} b_{i} - b_{r-1}c_{r-1}\sum_{1}^{r-1} q_{i} + (b_{r-1}c_{r-1})^{-1}\sum_{r}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}.$$

Since

$$b_{r-1}c_{r-1}\sum_{1}^{r-1}q_i \leqslant \sum_{1}^{r-1}b_ic_iq_i = \sum_{1}^{r-1}b_i,$$

we have

$$D_{r-1} \ge 2N - 2 - 2\sum_{r}^{N} b_{i} - \sum_{1}^{r-1} b_{i} + (b_{r-1}c_{r-1})^{-1}\sum_{r}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}$$

Because each  $b_i < 1$ , the two subtracted terms in the right-hand side total less than

$$2(N-r+1) + (r-1) = 2N-r+1,$$

which is no greater than 2N-2 for  $r \ge 3$ . Thus  $D_{r-1} > 0$  for  $r \ge 3$ , verifying (30).

We have shown that the minimum of  $V^{\circ}(T)$  over  $(T_1, \infty)$  is given by its minimum over  $[T_1, T_2]$ . That is, as noted in reference [1], in an optimal solution one has r=1 or r=2, so that for all but at most one stream one has  $G_i/T = a_i/s_i$ , i.e., all "slack time" (if there is any) is concentrated in the period allotted to a single stream.

The minimum over  $[T_1, T_2]$  is determined as follows. Using (27) with r = 1 and r = 2, it is readily verified that  $W_{\min}(T)$  is right-hand continuous at  $T_1$ . Thus the problem is equivalent to that of minimizing  $V_2(T)$  over  $[T_1, T_2]$ .

By (29), the minimum will occur at  $T_1$  if (30) applies there, and by (28) this condition reads

$$b_1\left(2N-2-b_1-2\sum_{2}^{N}b_1\right)+q_1\sum_{2}^{N}c_ib_i^2 \ge 0,$$

or equivalently

$$p_1 a_1 (2N - 2 - 2B_1 + b_1) + \sum_{2}^{N} p_i a_i b_i \ge 0.$$
(31)

If (31) does not hold, then  $dV_2/dT = 0$  occurs at a unique value  $T^*$ , where  $T^* > T_1$ , and the optimum occurs at  $T^*$  or  $T_2$  according as  $T^* \leq T_2$  or  $T^* > T_2$ . Specifically, from (28) and (22) we have

$$\left(D/T^* + N - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i\right) \left(N - 1 - D/T^* - \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i b_i^2\right) q_1 = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\left(N-1-\sum_{2}^{N} b_{i}\right)^{2}-(D/T^{*})^{2}+\left(\sum_{2}^{N} c_{i}b_{i}^{2}\right)q_{1}=0,$$

yielding

$$T^* = D\left\{ \left( N - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \right)^2 + q_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i b_i^2 \right\}^{-1/2}$$
(32)

The solution process for PROBLEM 2 can be summarized as follows, assuming the ordering (10):

Step 1: Calculate the total dead time per cycle, D.

Step 2: Calculate the quantities  $b_i = (s_i - a_i)/s_i$ , their sum  $B_1$ , and the quantity

$$B_2 = B_1 - (b_1 - p_2 a_2 b_1 / p_1 a_1) \cdot$$

If  $B_1 \leq N-1$ , stop; the system is infeasible.

Step 3: If (31) holds, set  $T = D/[B_1 - (N-1)]$  and  $G_i = Ta_i/s_i$  for all *i*. Step 4: Otherwise, calculate  $T_2 = D/[B_2 - (N-1)]$  and

$$T^* = D \left\{ N - 1 - B_1 + b_1 \right\}^2 + (b_1/p_1a_1) \sum_{2}^{N} p_i a_i b_i \Big\}^{-1/2}.$$

If  $T^* > T_2$ , set  $T = T_2$  and

$$G_1 = T_2(1 + B_1 - B_2 - b_1).$$

If  $T^* \leq T_2$ , set  $T = T^*$  and

$$G_1 = T^* [B_1 - b_1 - (N-2)] - D.$$

In both cases, set  $G_i = Ta_i/s_i$  for i > 1.

## 5. References

- Rangarajan, R., and Oliver, R. M., Allocations of servicing periods that minimize average delay for N time-shared traffic streams, Transp. Sci. 1, 74-80 (1967).
   Horn, W. A., Allocating service periods to minimize delay time, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 72B (Math. Sci.), No.
- [2] Horn, W. A., Allocating service periods to minimize delay time, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 72B (Math. Sci.), No. 3, 215-227 (1968). (Paper 76B3&4-365)