JOURNAL OF RESEARCH of the National Bureau of Standards – B. Mathematical Sciences Vol. 75B, Nos. 1 and 2, January–June 1971

# **On the Smith Normal Form**

**Morris Newman** 

## Institute for Basic Standards, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

#### (October 21, 1970)

An elementary proof is given of the fact that if A, B are nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrices over a principal ideal ring R, then the *k*th invariant factor of AB is divisible by the *k*th invariant factor of A and by the *k*th invariant factor of B,  $1 \le k \le n$ . Some consequences are drawn.

Key words: Invariant factors; principal ideal rings, Smith normal form.

## 1. Introduction

Let R be a principal ideal ring (a commutative ring with identity 1 in which every ideal is principal). If  $A \epsilon R_n$  (the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over R)  $A^T$  will denote its transpose. If in addition A is nonsingular then

$$S(A) = \operatorname{diag}(s_1(A), s_2(A), \ldots, s_n(A))$$

will denote the Smith normal form of A (see [2] for an excellent reference on this topic). It is wellknown that if A, B are nonsingular elements of  $R_n$  then the determinantal divisors of AB are divisible by the corresponding determinantal divisors of A and of B. It is not so well-known that the same result is true for the invariant factors: i.e.,  $s_k(AB)$  is divisible by  $s_k(A)$  and by  $s_k(B)$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ . An interesting consequence is that S(AB) = S(A)S(B), provided that A, B have relatively prime determinants. This result is a consequence of a rather general theorem about rings which is given by Kaplansky in his paper [1].<sup>1</sup> Since Kaplansky did not include a proof of his theorem in his paper, and since the proof at any rate would be ring-theoretic, it is desirable to have a purely elementary matrix-theoretic proof, and this is what is given here.

We also note that the result concerning the determinantal divisors follows as a corollary, since if A is nonsingular then its kth determinantal divisor  $d_k(A)$  is just  $s_1(A)s_2(A) \ldots s_k(A)$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ .

#### 2. A Lemma

We first prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 1: Suppose that  $\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$  is a nonsingular element of  $R_n$  which is in Smith normal form. Let m be any non-zero element of R and suppose that there is a matrix U of  $R_n$  such that  $(\det U, m) = 1$ , and

(1) 
$$U\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mod m.$$

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 15A33, Secondary 15A21.

 $<sup>^1\,{\</sup>rm Figures}$  in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

Then  $K \equiv 0 \mod m$ .

**PROOF:** Put

$$H = \text{diag} (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_r), K = \text{diag} (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_s),$$

where r + s = n and  $h_i | h_{i+1} (1 \le i \le r-1), k_j | k_{j+1} (1 \le j \le s-1), h_r | k_1$ . Partition *U* so that

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $U_1$  is  $r \times r$ ,  $U_4 \times s \times s$ . Then (1) implies that

(2)  $U_3 H \equiv 0 \mod m,$ 

 $U_4K \equiv 0 \mod m.$ 

We can multiply (2) on the right by diag  $(h_r/h_1, h_r/h_2, \ldots, 1)$  to obtain

 $h_r U_3 \equiv 0 \mod m.$ 

Put

 $(4) (h_r, m) = \delta.$ 

Then

$$\frac{h_r}{\delta} U_3 \equiv 0 \mod \frac{m}{\delta} \,,$$

and since  $(h_r/\delta, m/\delta) = 1$ ,

(5) 
$$U_3 \equiv 0 \mod \frac{m}{\delta}$$
.

Now set  $K = k_1 K'$ , where  $K' = \text{diag} (1, k_2/k_1, \dots, k_s/k_1)$ . Then from (3),

 $k_1 U_4 K' \equiv 0 \qquad \text{mod} \ m.$ 

Put

 $(6) (k_1, m) = \Delta.$ 

Then as before we deduce that

(7) 
$$U_4K' \equiv 0 \mod \frac{m}{\Lambda}$$

Now  $\delta | \Delta$ , in virtue of (4), (6), and the fact that  $h_r | k_1$ . It follows that  $m/\Delta | m/\delta$ , and so (5) holds modulo  $m/\Delta$  as well. Thus

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ 0 & U_4 \end{pmatrix} \mod \frac{m}{\Delta},$$
  
det  $U \equiv \det U_1 \det U_4 \mod \frac{m}{\Delta}$ .

Since (det U, m) = 1, it follows that (det  $U_4, m/\Delta$ ) = 1. Hence (7) implies that  $K' \equiv 0 \mod m/\Delta$ , and so  $\Delta \equiv 0 \mod m$ , since the 1,1 element of K' is 1. Thus (6) implies that  $m|k_1$ , and the conclusion follows.

## 3. The Theorems

We are now prepared to use Lemma 1. Let A, B be nonsingular elements of  $R_n$ . Then matrices U, C of  $R_n$  exist such that U is a unit matrix and

US(AB) = S(A)C.

Certainly  $s_1(A)$  divides  $s_1(AB)$ , since  $s_1(A)$  is the greatest common divisor of the elements of A and  $s_1(AB)$  the greatest common divisor of the elements of AB. For  $2 \le k \le n-1$ , choose  $m = s_k(A)$  and apply Lemma 1. We are left with k = n. Write  $U = (u_{ij})$ ,  $C = (c_{ij})$ . Then (8) implies that

$$u_{ij}s_j(AB) = c_{ij}s_i(A),$$

so that for i = n,

(8)

$$u_{nj}s_j(AB) \equiv 0 \bmod s_n(A).$$

Since  $s_i(AB) | s_n(AB), 1 \le j \le n$ , this implies that

$$u_{ni}s_n(AB) \equiv 0 \bmod s_n(A).$$

The fact that  $s_n(A) | s_n(AB)$  now follows, since  $(u_{n1}, u_{n2}, \ldots, u_{nn}) = 1$ .

If we note that in addition  $S(A^T) = S(A)$ , the entire argument may also be applied to the pair  $B^T$ ,  $A^T$ , and we finally obtain

THEOREM 1: Let A, B be nonsingular elements of  $R_n$ . Then  $s_k(AB)$  is divisible by  $s_k(A)$  and by  $s_k(B)$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ .

From this theorem we easily deduce

THEOREM 2: Suppose that A, B are elements of  $R_n$  with relatively prime determinants. Then

$$S(AB) = S(A)S(B)$$
.

PROOF: Since  $(\det A, \det B) = 1$  and  $s_k(A) | \det A, s_k(B) | \det B$ , it follows that  $(s_k(A), s_k(B)) = 1$ for  $1 \le k \le n$ . Then Theorem 1 implies that

$$s_k(AB) \equiv 0 \mod s_k(A)s_k(B), 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

But  $\prod_{k=1}^{n} s_k(AB)$  is a unit multiple of det(AB), and  $\prod_{k=1}^{n} s_k(A)s_k(B)$  is a unit multiple of det A det B. It follows that  $s_k(AB)|s_k(A)s_k(B)$  is a unit for  $1 \le k \le n$ . But this implies that in fact  $s_k(AB) = s_k(A)s_k(B)$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ , since associated elements in corresponding diagonal positions of matrices in Smith normal form must be equal. This completes the proof.

#### 4. Concluding Remarks

Theorem 2 is definitely false if  $(\det A, \det B) > 1$ . Thus if m is any element of R, and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & m \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$S(A) = S(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix},$$

but

$$S(AB) = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example also shows that S(AB) need not equal S(BA), since here

$$S(BA) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, S(AB) and S(BA) are equal if A and B have relatively prime determinants as is readily seen from Theorem 2.

A simple example illustrating the use to which Theorem 1 may be put is furnished by choosing A as the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane of order n, so that A is a  $v \times v$  rational integral matrix satisfying

$$AA^{T} = A^{T}A = nI + J,$$

where  $v = n^2 + n + 1$  and J is the matrix all of whose entries are 1. It is easy to show that the invariant factors of nI + J are

1(once), 
$$n(v - 2 \text{ times})$$
,  $n(n + 1)^2$ (once).

Thus if the Smith normal form of A is

$$S(A) = \text{diag} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_v),$$

then

$$\alpha_1 = 1, \, \alpha_i | n(2 \le i \le v - 1), \, \alpha_v | n(n+1)^2.$$

Now the facts that

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_v = n^{\frac{v-1}{2}} (n+1),$$

and  $(\alpha_i, n+1) = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le v-1$ , imply that  $\alpha_v = (n+1)\alpha'_v$ , where now

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{v-1} \alpha'_v = n^{\frac{v-1}{2}},$$
  
$$\alpha_i |\alpha_{i+1}(1 \le i \le v-2), \alpha_{v-1} |\alpha'_v, \alpha'_v| n.$$

Choosing n square-free, we easily obtain

COROLLARY 1: Let A be the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane of order n, where n is square-free. Then the invariant factors of A are

$$1\left(\frac{n^2+n}{2}+1 \text{ times}\right), n\left(\frac{n^2+n}{2}-1 \text{ times}\right), n(n+1) (once).$$

Of course such a matrix is known to exist only if n is a prime, and this result might possibly be of some use in settling the question of existence for other square-free values of n.

## 5. References

[2] MacDuffee, C. C., The theory of matrices, Reprint of first edition, (Chelsea, New York, 1964).

(Paper 75B1&2-347)

<sup>[1]</sup> Kaplansky, I., Elementary divisors and modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66, 464–491 (1949).