

A Note on Multipliers of Difference Sets

R. A. Brualdi

(October 29, 1964)

Let v, k, λ be integers with $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$. A set $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}$ of k integers distinct modulo v is a *difference set* with parameters v, k , and λ provided every nonzero residue c modulo v can be written in precisely λ ways in the form $d_i - d_j \equiv c \pmod{v}$. An integer t is a *multiplier* of D provided there exists an integer s such that the sets of numbers $\{td_1, \dots, td_k\}$ and $\{d_1 + s, \dots, d_k + s\}$ coincide modulo v . It is shown that -1 cannot be a multiplier of the difference set D . A consequence is that a Hadamard matrix of order v cannot be a symmetric circulant for $v > 4$.

Introduction

In a recent paper [1]¹ Gordon, Mills, and Welch state that it is known that -1 cannot be a multiplier of a nontrivial difference set. No proof of this fact appears ever to have been published. The purpose of this note is to give an elementary matrix-theoretic proof of this assertion using the approach of M. Newman in [2]. Some implications are also noted.

Let v, k, λ be integers with $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$. A set $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}$ of k integers distinct modulo v is a *difference set* with parameters v, k , and λ provided every nonzero residue c modulo v can be written in precisely λ ways in the form

$$d_i - d_j \equiv c \pmod{v},$$

with d_i and d_j in D . A simple count yields

$$k(k-1) = \lambda(v-1). \quad (1)$$

An integer t is a *multiplier* of D provided there exists an integer s such that the sets of numbers $\{td_1, td_2, \dots, td_k\}$ and $\{d_1 + s, d_2 + s, \dots, d_k + s\}$ coincide modulo v .

Let P be the v -square permutation matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and C the circulant matrix

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^k P^{d_i} \quad (2)$$

We have $P^v = I$, and the properties of the difference set D imply that

$$CC^T = C^T C = (k - \lambda)I + \lambda J, \quad CJ = JC = kJ \quad (3)$$

where J is the v -square matrix of all 1's. For t an integer let

$$C_t = \sum_{i=1}^k P^{td_i}.$$

Then t is a multiplier of the difference set D if and only if there exists an integer s where $0 \leq s \leq v - 1$ such that

$$C_t = P^s C. \quad (4)$$

THEOREM: Let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}$ be a difference set with parameters v, k , and λ where $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$. Then -1 is not a multiplier of D .

PROOF: Suppose -1 is a multiplier. Then

$$C_{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k P^{-d_i} = C^T,$$

and thus

$$C^T = P^s C \quad (5)$$

for some integer s , $0 \leq s \leq v - 1$. If s is even, say $s = 2r$, then (5) can be written as $(P^r C)^T = P^r C$ where $P^r C$ also satisfies (3). If s is odd and v is odd, then since $P^{v+s} = P^v \cdot P^s = P^s$ a similar remark holds. In case s is odd, say $s = 2r + 1$, and v is even then (5) can be written as $(P^r C)^T = P(P^r C)$ where $P^r C$ again satisfies (3). Hence we may assume that either

$$C^T = C, \quad v \text{ odd or even}, \quad (6)$$

or

$$C^T = PC, \quad v \text{ even}. \quad (7)$$

We proceed to show that a circulant matrix C of 0's and 1's which satisfies (3) with $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$ and either (6) or (7) does not exist. Let

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{v-1}$$

denote the first row of C , so that

$$c_0, c_{v-1}, c_{v-2}, \dots, c_1$$

is the first row of C^T .

¹ Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

Case 1. $C^T=C$; v even, say $v=2r$.

Comparing the first rows of C^T and C we find that $c_j=c_{v-j}$, $j=1, 2, \dots, v-1$. Thus the matrix C assumes the form

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{r-1} & c_r & c_{r-1} & \dots & c_2 & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} & c_r & \dots & c_3 & c_2 \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & \dots & c_{r-3} & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} & \dots & c_4 & c_3 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

By (3) the inner product of any two distinct rows of C is equal to λ . The inner product of row 1 and row 2 shows that λ is even. The inner product of row 1 and row 3 is congruent to $c_1^2+c_{r-1}^2=c_1+c_{r-1}$ modulo 2. Since λ is even, $c_1=c_{r-1}$. The inner product of row 1 and row 5 gives $c_2=c_{r-2}$. Continuing in this way we conclude that the first row of C has the form

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_2, c_1, c_r, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_2, c_1.$$

The inner product of row 1 and row $(r+1)$ then yields

$$\lambda = c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \dots + c_2^2 + c_1^2 + 2c_0c_r + c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \dots + c_2^2 + c_1^2$$

or since the c 's are either 0 or 1,

$$\lambda = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_2 + c_1 + 2c_0c_r + c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_2 + c_1.$$

Since the sum of the entries in the first row of C is equal to k , we then have $\lambda - k = 2c_0c_r - c_0 - c_r$. Because c_0 and c_r are either 0 or 1, this implies $\lambda = k$ or $\lambda = k - 1$. Since $\lambda = k - 1$ implies by (1) that $k = v - 1$, we have a contradiction.

Case 2. $C^T=C$; v odd, say $v=2r+1$.

In this case the matrix C has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{r-1} & c_r & c_r & c_{r-1} & \dots & c_2 & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} & c_r & c_r & \dots & c_3 & c_2 \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & \dots & c_{r-3} & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} & c_r & \dots & c_4 & c_3 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

The inner product of row 1 and row 2 shows that $\lambda \equiv c_r \pmod{2}$.

The inner product of row 1 and row 3 shows that $\lambda \equiv c_1 \pmod{2}$. Continuing in this way we see that

$$\lambda \equiv c_j \pmod{2}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Since the c 's are either 0 or 1, we conclude that $c_1=c_2=\dots=c_r$. Since by (3) $k=c_0+2(c_1+\dots+c_r)$ and $k \geq 2$, this common value must be 1. But then $k=v$ or $k=v-1$ and we have a contradiction.

Case 3. $C^T=PC$, v even, $v=2r$.

Comparing the first rows of C^T and PC , we see that $c_j=c_{r-1-j}$, $j=0, 1, \dots, v-1$. The matrix C then has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{r-1} & c_{r-1} & \dots & c_2 & c_1 & c_0 \\ c_0 & c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} & \dots & c_3 & c_2 & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_0 & c_0 & \dots & c_{r-3} & c_{r-2} & \dots & c_4 & c_3 & c_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

The inner product of row 1 and row 3 shows λ must be even. The inner product of row 1 and row 2 implies $\lambda \equiv c_0 + c_{r-1} \pmod{2}$. Hence $c_0=c_{r-1}$. The inner product of row 1 and row 4 yields $c_1=c_{r-2}$. In general, $c_j=c_{r-1-j}$, $j=0, 1, \dots, r-1$. Hence the first row of C has the form

$$c_0, c_1, \dots, c_1, c_0, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_1, c_0.$$

The inner product of row 1 and row $(r+1)$ then gives

$$\lambda = c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_1 + c_0 + c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_1 + c_0 = k.$$

But $\lambda = k$ is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

If t is a multiplier of a difference set D with parameters v, k , and λ , then $(t, v)=1$. Moreover it is easily verified that the set of multipliers modulo v form a multiplicative group M which is a subgroup of the multiplicative group G of all integers modulo v which are prime to v . Let $|M|$ denote the order of M and $\varphi(v)$ the Euler function of v , that is the number of positive integers not greater than and prime to v . Then

COROLLARY 1: M is a proper subgroup of G and hence $|M| \leq \frac{\varphi(v)}{2}$.

PROOF: -1 is an element of G but by the theorem not of M .

A (v, k, λ) -matrix is a v -square matrix of 0's and 1's which satisfies

$$AA^T = (k - \lambda)I + \lambda J,$$

where $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$. In the proof of the theorem we have shown that there do not exist (v, k, λ) -matrices A which are circulants and satisfy $A^T = P^s A$ where $0 \leq s \leq v - 1$. In particular there do not exist symmetric circulant (v, k, λ) -matrices.

We are indebted to Morris Newman for pointing out to us the following consequence. A Hadamard matrix H is a v -square matrix of $+1$'s and -1 's such that $HH^T = vI$. It has been conjectured [3] that a Hadamard matrix cannot be a circulant for $v > 4$. In this regard we have

COROLLARY 2: A Hadamard matrix cannot be a symmetric circulant for $v > 4$.

PROOF: For, if H is a symmetric Hadamard circulant, then

$$K = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$$

is a symmetric circulant (v, k, λ) -matrix with $k = \frac{v \pm \sqrt{v}}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{v \pm 2\sqrt{v}}{4}$, unless $k=0, 1, v-1, v$.

But it is easily checked that these requirements cannot be satisfied for $v > 4$. This proves the corollary.

Part of the work for this paper was done while the author was a National Research Council—National Bureau of Standards Postdoctoral Research Associate, 1964-1965. The author wishes to thank Morris Newman for helpful comments concerning the exposition of this paper.

Note added in proof: In the Canadian Journal of Mathematics (Vol. **16**, 1964) E. C. Johnsen in his

paper "The Inverse Multiplier For Abelian Group Difference Sets" investigates a problem similar to ours for the more general abelian group difference sets. In the then special case of our circumstances he obtains by nonelementary means a proof of the theorem in our paper.

References

- [1] B. Gordon, W. H. Mills, and L. R. Welch, Some new difference sets, *Can. J. Math.* **14**, 614-625 (1962).
- [2] M. Newman, Multipliers of difference sets, *Can. J. Math.* **15**, 121-124 (1963).
- [3] H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Mathematics*, Carus Math. Monograph, No. 14, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1963).

(Paper 69B1-138)