Heat Capacity of Potassium Borohydride (KBH₄) From 15 to 375° K. Thermodynamic Properties From 0 to 700° K

George T. Furukawa, Martin L. Reilly, and Jeanette H. Piccirelli*

(August 4, 1964)

The heat capacity of potassium borohydride (KBH₄) was determined from about 15 to 375 °K by means of an adiabatic calorimeter. A table of thermodynamic functions was calculated up to 700 °K using the data obtained along with those at high temperatures found in the literature. A solid-solid (order-disorder) transition was observed at 77.16 ± 0.02 °K and the entropy change associated with this transition was found to be 2.92 J/deg-mole. A broad transition from about 200 to 450 °K is attributed to a continuation of the order-disorder transition.

1. Introduction

The results of heat-capacity investigations on potassium borohydride (KBH₄) presented in this paper are a part of the program at the National Bureau of Standards to furnish thermodynamic data on various boron compounds.

Johnston and Hallett [1]¹ reported in their heatcapacity measurements on sodium borohydride $(NaBH_4)$ a λ -type solid-phase transition at 189.9 °K with an entropy change of 1.22 cal/deg-mole. (Henceforth the unit of entropy in cal/deg-mole will be abbreviated to e.u.) Stockmayer and Stephenson [2] interpreted this transition as an order-disorder type involving changes in the orientation of the BH_4^- ion group and predicted, on the bases of the heat-capacity data in the neighborhood of the transition and on their previous investigations of ammonium halides ($N\dot{H}_4Cl$, ND_4Cl , and NH_4I) [3,4], that the crystal structure of sodium borohydride below the λ point would be tetragonal. Abrahams and Kalnajs [5] found from x-ray diffraction measurements that sodium, potassium, rubidium, and cesium borohydrides have the face-centered cubic structure at room temperature and that sodium borohydride has the body-centered tetragonal structure below the λ point. The results of x-ray measurements of Ford and Powell [6] on sodium and potassium borohydrides at 90 and 293 °K are in agreement with those of Abrahams and Kalnajs [5]. Unfortunately, the measurements of Ford and Powell [6] were not made below the λ point (77.16 ± 0.02 °K, obtained in the work presented here) of potassium borohydride.

Stephenson et al. [3,4] obtained between 1.0 and 1.5 e.u. for the entropy of transition at the λ point for ammonium and deutero-ammonium halides. They have interpreted these values, which are close to the theoretical value of Rln2, as arising from an order-disorder transition involving two possible orientations of the NH₄⁺ tetrahedron in the ammonium halide lattice. Below the λ point all of the NH₄⁺ tetrahedrons have one orientation and above the λ

*Formerly Jeanette M. Henning. ¹Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper. point they have either of the two orientations. Infrared spectra [7] and neutron diffraction [8,9] measurements on the ammonium halides support the above interpretation.

Stephenson, Rice, and Stockmayer [10] found, from cooling and warming curve investigations, transitions in KBH₄ at 76 ± 1 °K, RbBH₄ at 44 ± 3 °K, and CsBH₄ at 27 ± 1 °K and interpreted these transitions to be the order-disorder type interpreted for the λ transitions in NaBH₄ and the ammonium halides. The alkali metal borohydrides have, in contrast with the ammonium halides, the face-centered cubic structure (NaCl) in the region above the λ transition [5]. (LiBH₄ has the orthorhombic structure [11].) The ammonium halides exist in the body-centered cubic form (CsCl) in the region above the λ transition and transform by first-order transition into the face-centered cubic form only at higher temperatures [3,9]. From heat-capacity measurements, Shigi [12] found the entropy associated with the transition in KBH_4 to be 0.771 e.u. which is considerably lower than the Rln2 or 1.38 e.u. for the order-disorder transition. Shigi [12] ascribed the observation to an order-disorder transition involving BH_4^- ions as interpreted by Stockmayer and Stephenson [2] for the λ transition in NaBH₄.

In this paper, the results of new measurements on the heat capacity of KBH_4 from 16 to 376 °K are presented. A relatively low heat of λ transition, comparable to that observed by Shigi [12], was obtained. The low-temperature heat-capacity data were compared and anlyzed with the relative enthalpy data (0 to 400 °C) reported by Douglas and Harman [13]. The "broad" transition extending from about 200 to 450 °K is interpreted as a continuation of the order-disorder transition still incomplete at 77.16 °K.

2. Apparatus and Method

The heat-capacity measurements were conducted in an adiabatic calorimeter similar in design to that described by Scott et al. [14]. The calorimeter vessel, which was filled externally and sealed by means

^{743 - 261 - 64 - 8}

of a specially designed gold-gasket closure [15], was suspended within the adiabatic shield system by means of a linen cord instead of the filling tube shown in the above reference [14]. The design and operation of the calorimeter will be given in detail in a subsequent publication.

The platinum-resistance thermometer used in the measurements was calibrated on the International Practical Temperature Scale of 1948 [16]. The temperatures in degrees Kelvin (°K) were obtained by adding 273.15 deg to the temperatures in degree Celsius (°C). Below 90 °K, the thermometer was calibrated on the NBS-1955 provisional scale which is numerically 0.01 deg lower than the NBS-1939 provisional scale [17]. The resistance measurements were made to the nearest 0.00001 ohm by means of a Mueller bridge and a galvanometer of high sensitivity.

The electrical power introduced into the calorimeter heater (100 ohms of constantan wire) was measured by means of a Wenner potentiometer in conjunction with a volt box, standard resistor, and saturated standard cells. The duration of each heating interval was measured by means of an interval timer of high precision operated on the 60-cycle frequency standard provided at the National Bureau of Standards. The 60-cycle frequency standard is derived from a 100 kc/s quartz oscillator which is stable to 0.5 ppm. The operation of the interval timer was checked periodically with seconds signals also derived from the same 100 kc/s oscillator. The variations were not more than 0.02 sec for any time interval. The heating periods were never less than $2 \min$.

The observed gross (calorimeter vessel plus sample) heat-capacity values were corrected for curvature wherever significant by a method previously described [18]. The values of net heat capacity (heat capacity of sample only) were obtained by subtracting the values of tare heat capacity (heat capacity of empty calorimeter vessel) from those of the gross heat capacity at the corresponding temperatures. The values of the tare heat capacity at the various temperatures were obtained by fourpoint Lagrangian interpolation in a table of tare heat-capacity values previously obtained. Corrections were applied for the heat capacity of helium gas and for the small difference in the mass of the calorimeter vessel between the gross and tare measurements. The values of net heat capacity were corrected for the KBO₂ impurity and converted to molal basis using the atomic weights based on C-12 These converted values will be referred to as [19].observed molal heat capacity. Whenever a reference to the energy unit calorie is made, the defined calorie (4.1840 absolute joules) is intended.

3. Sample

The potassium borohydride sample used in the investigation was purchased from Metal Hydrides, Inc. The analyses furnished by the supplier showed the sample to contain 99.5 percent of the theoretical hydrogen by a gasometric method and 100.5 and 100.7 percent of the alkali and boron, respectively, by acid-base titration. The supplier believed the sample to have a purity no less than 99.5 percent. The analyses furnished with the sample are summarized in table 1. A portion of the above sample was used for the low-temperature measurements and another portion of the same sample was used by Douglas and Harman [13] in the high-temperature measurements previously reported. After the completion of the measurements, a separate set of chemical analysis was obtained, however, on the portion that was actually used in the low-temperature measurements because of the relatively low stability of the substance.

The sample was received, sealed with paraffin, in a screw-cap jar. The jar was opened approximately two weeks after receipt in an inert atmosphere box containing dry argon and a portion of the sample was transferred without further treatment into a calorimeter vessel. The sample was pumped in the vessel for two days, purged with helium gas several times, and finally sealed wish a small quantity of helium gas. The helium gas serves to increase the rate of temperature equilibrium in the sample during the heat-capacity measurements.

TABLE 1. Analyses of the potassium borohydride sample

Gram formula weight=53.94488 g

Elements	Percentage by weight a			
	Analysis	Theoretical		
Potassium Boron Hydrogen Chloride	71.2 (flame photometry) 72.8 (titration) 20.2 (titration) 7.44 (gasometry) 0.10 (titration)	72. 49 20. 04 7. 474		
	Percentage in weight of KBH ₄ ^b			
Methods	Specimen A Specimen			
Hydrogen (by evolution) Boric acid titration	98, 69 98, 73 99, 56 99, 70	99.1299.1299.91100.00		

^a These values were supplied by the Metal Hydrides, Inc.; the percentages of potassium borohydride, based on potassium (titration), boron, and hydrogen, are 100.4, 100.8, and 99.5, respectively. ^b These analyses were obtained by R. A. Paulson of the Applied Analytical Research Section of the National Bureau of Standards. Specimen A was sampled from the breth or a full sectore result.

from the top and specimen B from the bottom of the storage jar.

After completion of the heat-capacity measurements the purity of the sample was redetermined by hydrogen-evolution analysis and by titration of the boric acid. The analyses were performed by R. A. Paulson of the Applied Analytical Research Section of the Bureau. In the hydrogen analysis, the hydrogen was liberated by hydrolysis with 6Nhydrochloric acid. The liberated hydrogen gas was swept by nitrogen through an absorber containing Ascarite and Anhydrone to remove any acid and

water. The dried hydrogen gas was then oxidized on copper oxide at 700 °C to water which was absorbed on Anhydrone and weighed. For the boric acid analysis, the sample was first titrated with standard hydrochloric acid to the methyl red end point, and after the addition of several grams of mannitol, was titrated with standard sodium hydroxide to the phenolphthalein end point.

The analyses were not done immediately after the completion of the heat measurements; the composition of the sample investigated is therefore somewhat uncertain. The sample upon removal from the calorimeter vessel was stored in a screw-cap jar in a desiccator containing Drierite and the analyses were performed approximately one year later. Two samples taken from the top of the jar analyzed 98.69 and 98.73 percent by hydrogen analysis and 99.56 and 99.70 percent by titration of the boric acid. Another pair of samples from the bottom of the jar both analyzed 99.12 percent by hydrogen analysis and 99.91 and 100.00 percent by titration of the boric acid. These analyses suggest that the heat-capacity measurements were made on a sample that was at least 99.1 percent KBH₄, probably 99.5 percent.

Most literature information indicates that KBH₄ is stable, but a test sample exposed continuously for 48 days to the laboratory atmosphere changed in KBH_4 content from 98.4 to 96.7 percent according to hydrogen analysis. Considering the analyses given for the sample by the supplier and those obtained approximately one year later, the composition of the sample investigated was taken to be 99.5 percent KBH_4 and 0.5 percent KBO_2 possibly formed according to the reactions:

and

$$\mathrm{KBH}_4 + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} = \mathrm{KBO}_2 + 4\mathrm{H}_2 \tag{1}$$

k

$$\mathrm{KBH}_4 + 2\mathrm{O}_2 = \mathrm{KBO}_2 + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}.$$
 (2)

Corrections were made to the observed values of heat capacity on the basis that the heat capacities of KBH_4 and KBO_2 were additive. Because of the lack of heat-capacity data on KBO₂, the corrections were calculated on the basis that the ratio of the heat capacity of KBO₂ to KBH₄ is the same as that of $NaBO_2$ [20] to $NaBH_4$ [1].

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Heat Capacity

The observed values of molal heat capacity from about 15 to 375 °K are summarized in table 2 and plotted in figure 1. The heat-capacity curve indicates a broad transition starting from about 200 °K and begins to flatten from about 300 °K. A part of the same transition was observed by Douglas and Harman [13] in their measurements of the enthalpy relative to 273 °K up to 673 °K. Figure 2 shows how the smoothed low-temperature values of heat

TABLE 2. Observed heat capacity of potassium borohydride 59.04400 m / dom 17 - + dom (1 979.11

Run No.	T a	C_p^{c}	Δ <i>T</i> ^b
	Deg K	$Deg^{-1} Mole^{-1}$	Deg K
1	$\begin{smallmatrix} ^{4}81, 9262\\ 91, 4848\\ 103, 4338\\ 114, 3040\\ 124, 4201\\ 134, 6828\\ 145, 9480\\ 158, 0094\\ 169, 5360\\ 181, 4994\\ 193, 9026\\ 205, 8546\\ 218, 2744\\ 231, 0480\\ 243, 6110\\ 256, 1038\\ 268, 2558\\ 280, 5140\\ 292, 9078\\ \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.\ 333\\ 40.\ 047\\ 44.\ 529\\ 48.\ 453\\ 51.\ 861\\ 55.\ 131\\ 58.\ 489\\ 61.\ 922\\ 65.\ 097\\ 68.\ 352\\ 71.\ 710\\ 74.\ 943\\ 78.\ 379\\ 81.\ 848\\ 85.\ 117\\ 88.\ 200\\ 90.\ 902\\ 93.\ 250\\ 95.\ 188 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6. \ 5263 \\ 12. \ 5910 \\ 11. \ 3070 \\ 10. \ 4333 \\ 9. \ 7990 \\ 10. \ 7265 \\ 12. \ 3533 \\ 11. \ 7695 \\ 11. \ 2836 \\ 12. \ 6433 \\ 12. \ 1629 \\ 11. \ 7411 \\ 13. \ 0987 \\ 12. \ 4483 \\ 12. \ 6777 \\ 12. \ 3081 \\ 11. \ 9958 \\ 12. \ 5205 \\ 12. \ 2673 \end{array}$
2	$\begin{array}{c} 80.\ 2196\\ 85.\ 2256\\ 91.\ 7576\\ 100.\ 7470\\ 110.\ 1627\\ 118.\ 8492\\ 129.\ 4421\\ 141.\ 2379\\ 153.\ 6396\\ 165.\ 6021\\ 177.\ 0837\\ 187.\ 8338\\ 200.\ 2511\\ 212.\ 2289\\ 223.\ 8089\\ 235.\ 8074\\ 248.\ 2734\\ 260.\ 5716\\ 272.\ 8466\\ 285.\ 2636\\ 297.\ 7020\\ 311.\ 2642\\ 325.\ 9442\\ 340.\ 4102\\ 354.\ 7243\\ 368.\ 9214\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35.\ 680\\ 37.\ 625\\ 40.\ 170\\ 43.\ 526\\ 46.\ 980\\ 50.\ 003\\ 53.\ 488\\ 57.\ 108\\ 60.\ 683\\ 64.\ 029\\ 67.\ 147\\ 70.\ 068\\ 73.\ 443\\ 76.\ 733\\ 79.\ 890\\ 83.\ 109\\ 86.\ 315\\ 89.\ 274\\ 91.\ 918\\ 94.\ 144\\ 96.\ 045\\ 97.\ 892\\ 98.\ 979\\ 99.\ 754\\ 100.\ 05\\ 100.\ 26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3. \ 2911\\ 6. \ 7209\\ 6. \ 3431\\ 11. \ 6358\\ 7. \ 1956\\ 10. \ 1775\\ 11. \ 0082\\ 12. \ 5834\\ 12. \ 2201\\ 11. \ 7048\\ 11. \ 2584\\ 12. \ 6470\\ 12. \ 1876\\ 11. \ 7680\\ 11. \ 3920\\ 12. \ 6051\\ 12. \ 3267\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 2698\\ 12. \ 3231\\ 14. \ 8014\\ 14. \ 5585\\ 14. \ 3736\\ 14. \ 2546\\ 14. \ 1395\\ \end{array}$
3	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 0784\\ 17.\ 3290\\ 18.\ 9010\\ 20.\ 8022\\ 23.\ 4736\\ 26.\ 3709\\ 29.\ 0787\\ 31.\ 5788\\ 33.\ 9314\\ 36.\ 7419\\ 39.\ 5210\\ 42.\ 6738\\ 46.\ 5295\\ 51.\ 3304\\ 56.\ 3556\\ 60.\ 5536\\ 65.\ 1810\\ 70.\ 9554\\ 75.\ 6252\\ 70.\ 6041 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 150\\ 1.\ 474\\ 1.\ 920\\ 2.\ 617\\ 3.\ 672\\ 4.\ 981\\ 6.\ 293\\ 7.\ 543\\ 8.\ 797\\ 10.\ 296\\ 11.\ 806\\ 13.\ 527\\ 15.\ 694\\ 18.\ 471\\ 21.\ 450\\ 24.\ 097\\ 27.\ 221\\ 31.\ 678\\ 90.\ 625\\ 44.\ 427\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 1323\\ 1.\ 3689\\ 1.\ 7752\\ 2.\ 0273\\ 3.\ 3154\\ 2.\ 4792\\ 2.\ 9364\\ 2.\ 0639\\ 2.\ 6411\\ 2.\ 9364\\ 2.\ 0639\\ 2.\ 64810\\ 2.\ 5781\\ 3.\ 7277\\ 3.\ 9836\\ 5.\ 6182\\ 4.\ 4322\\ 3.\ 9637\\ 5.\ 2913\\ 6.\ 2573\\ 3.\ 0824\\ 4.\ 8754\\ \end{array}$

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 2. Observed heat capacity of potassium borohydride— Continued

Gram formula $wt = 53.94488$	g,	$T \deg K = t \deg G$	2+273.15
------------------------------	----	-----------------------	----------

Run No.	T a	$C_p^{\ \ c}$	ΔT^{b}
	Deg K	$Deg^{-1} Mole^{-1}$	Deg K
4	71.8152	32. 545	3.2608
	74.5014	35. 330	2.1115
	76. 3579	55. 138	1. 6016
	77. 1587	Infinity	0
	11. 8554	67.049	1. 3934
5	68 2424	29 397	5 2497
0	71 6737	$\frac{20.001}{32.179}$	1.6130
	73 9988	34, 714	3.0372
	76.3361	58.618	1.6374
	77.1626	9381.1	.0155
	78.0424	52.498	1.7443
	80. 3469	35.729	2.8646
	83.1655	36.789	2.7726
6	317 3758	98 210	14 8361
0	332 1227	99.143	14 6578
	346 7124	99,653	14 5216
	361, 4790	99. 884	15.0116
	376.1383	99.993	$14.\ 3070$
7	-73.0428	33. 675	3.2367
	75.7802	37.675	2. 2383
	77.2337	347.46	. 6686
	79.0754	35. 393	3.0149

^a T is the mean temperature of the heating interval.

^b ΔT is the temperature interval of heating.

 $^{\rm c}$ C_p is the observed mean heat capacity over the interval $\Delta T.$

^d The temperatures given are believed to be accurate to ± 0.01 deg K. The figures beyond the second decimal are significant only insofar as small temperature differences are concerned.



FIGURE 1. Observed heat capacity of potassium borohydride.

capacity join with those calculated from the heatcapacity equation:

$C_p = 0.9999422 \times 4.1840(80.810 - 0.130681T)$	
$+1.0217\! imes\!10^{-4}T^2\!-\!8,\!303/T) m J/deg-mole,$	(3)

given by Douglas and Harman [13] for the range 273 to 700 °K. (The equation actually given by Douglas and Harman [13] is in cal/deg-mole. The factor 4.1840 for conversion to J/deg-mole was applied to obtain eq (3). The factor 0.9999422 is the ratio of new to old molecular weight of KBH₄.) The inflection point is around 460 °K and above this temperature the heat capacity increases "normally."

The values of heat capacity obtained were at helium-gas pressures varying from 50 torr at room temperature to about one-twentieth of this pressure at the lowest temperature and to about 60 torr at the highest temperature. In the case of KBH₄ the conversion of its heat capacity from any of the above pressures to 1 atm pressure would make a negligible change. Therefore, all computations and analyses have been carried out as if the measurements were made at constant 1 atm pressure.

4.2. Heat of Transition

A solid-phase transition was observed and the transition temperature was estimated from the observed values of the heat capacity to be 77.16 ± 0.02 °K. (In this paper, the figure associated with the symbol \pm indicates the uncertainty estimated by examining the precision of the measurements and possible sources of systematic errors. The figure has a 50 percent confidence limit.) The apparent heat capacities in this temperature region are given in table 2 and in figure 1. The heat associated with the transition was evaluated by subtracting the enthalpy change calculated from the "normal" heat-capacity curve, shown as a broken line in figure 1, between 65 and 80 °K from the total change obtained for the temperature interval. The total change in enthalpy between 65 and 80° K was determined by summing the various experimental increments of energy introduced to determine the heat capacity over this range. Adjustments were made to the even 65 to 80 °K temperature interval using the observed values of the heat capac-These results are summarized in table 3 on a ity. molal basis, obtained after subtracting the contribution of the calorimeter vessel to the observed enthalpy increment.

The heat of transition obtained is 225 J/mole (53.8 cal/mole) and the entropy of transition is 2.92 J/deg-mole (0.70 e.u.). These values are in close agreement with 58.4 cal/mole and 0.771 e.u. for the heat and entropy of transition, respectively, reported by Shigi [12] and with the unpublished values 52.8 cal/mole and 0.69 e.u., respectively, obtained by Stull et al. [21].



FIGURE 2. Comparison of heat capacities.

KBH₄, 15° to 375°K, this work. KBH₄, 273° to 673°K, Douglas and Harman [13]. NaBH₄, 15° to 300°K, Johnston and Hallett [1]. NaBH₄, 273° to 673°K, Douglas and Harman [13]. C_{KBH₄} C $_{NaBH₄}$ - C $_{Na}$ + C $_{KF}$, KBH₄ (calculated), LiBH₄, 15° to 300°K, Hallett and Johnston [26].

TABLE 3. Molal enthalpy change of potassium borohydride between 65° and $80^{\circ}K$. The enthalpy and entropy of transition.

Temperature interval	Energy input	Heat capacity correction	${}^{\Delta H}_{65^{\circ} to \ 80} {}^{\circ}{ m K}$
$^{\circ}K$	J/mole	J/mole	J/mole
62.5354 to 82.0418	$\begin{array}{c} 838.\ 94\\ 499.\ 81\\ 747.\ 64\\ 532.\ 82\end{array}$	$-138.39 \\ +201.75 \\ -47.20 \\ +168.26$	$\begin{array}{c} 700.\ 55\\ 701.\ 56\\ 700.\ 44\\ 701.\ 08 \end{array}$
MeanStandard deviation of the mean a Enthalpy change along normal heat ca Enthalpy of transition Entropy of transition =2.92 J/deg-mole	$700. 91 \\ \pm 0. 26 \\ 475. 94 \\ 224. 97$		

Gram formula weight=53.94488 g, $^{\circ}\mathrm{K}{=}t^{\circ}\mathrm{C}{+}273.15^{\circ}$ Transition temperature=77.16 ${\pm}0.02$ $^{\circ}\mathrm{K}$

a Standard deviation of the mean as used above is defined as $[\Sigma d^2/n(n-1)]^{1/2}$; where d is the difference between a single observation and the mean, and n is the number of observations.

4.3. Derived Thermodynamic Properties

The values of the observed molal heat capacity were smoothed and combined with the results of the relative enthalpy measurements between 0 and 400 °C reported by Douglas and Harman [13] (see eq (3)) to calculate the thermodynamic functions from 0 to 700 °K. The smoothing method used with the observed low-temperature values of heat capacity involved a combination of analytical and graphical procedures. Several overlapping empirical equations were fitted to the observed values of heat capacity over the range of measurements and the deviations from the empirical equations plotted. Smooth curves were drawn through the deviation points and the values of heat capacity at equally and closely spaced integral temperatures were obtained by combining the values from the empirical equations and the deviation curves. In the regions where the equations overlapped, the values that joined most smoothly were selected. The tabular values were finally checked for smoothness by examining the differences and wherever necessary they were smoothed further by numerical methods [22].

The smoothed low-temperature values of heat capacity were compared with those obtained from the heat-capacity equation (eq (3)) given by Douglas and Harman [13]. The values from the two sets of measurements were found to join best at about 370 °K (see fig. 2) and were smoothed together by a numerical method (a nine-point cubic smoothing procedure) [22]. The enthalpy change between 0 and 100 °C given in the final table of thermodynamic functions (table 5) is 9779 J/mole and the experimental values reported for this temperature interval by Douglas and Harman [13] are 9818 and 9790

J/mole for samples 1 and 2, respectively. The enthalpy increment based on the low-temperature measurements was calculated to be 9776 J/mole.

T_{ABLE}	4.	Comparison	of	therm	nodyna	mic .	functions	for
potass	sium	borohydride	(K	BH_4)	with	those	reported	by
Dougi	las ar	id Harman [1	3].				1	

Gram formula weight=53.94488 g, T deg K=t deg C + 273.15 1 defined calorie=4.1840 joules

	This work			Douglas and Harman a		
1	<i>C</i>	S	$(H_T - H_0^c)/T$	C	S	$(H_T - H_0^c)/T$
°K 298.15 400 500 600 700	cal/deg- mole 22, 958 24, 125 24, 402 25, 339 27, 531	cal/deg- mole 25. 404 32. 385 37. 794 42. 311 46. 362	$\begin{array}{c} cal/mole\\ 13, 204\\ 15, 896\\ 17, 566\\ 18, 769\\ 19, 847 \end{array}$	cal/deg- mole 23.08 24.13 24.41 25.34 27.54	<i>cal/deg- mole</i> 25. 48 32. 46 37. 87 42. 39 46. 44	$\begin{array}{c} cal/mole\\ 13, 244\\ 15, 925\\ 17, 590\\ 18, 789\\ 19, 765 \end{array}$

^a Gram formula weight used by Douglas and Harman [13] was 53.952 g. Their values were not converted to the new gram molecular weight 53.94488 g. The new molecular weight will lower the values of Douglas and Harman by 0.013 percent, making the variations in the two sets of values smaller.

These values indicate that the low-temperature measurements are on the average in this range about 0.3 percent lower than the average of the two high-temperature measurements. Above about 370 °K, the thermodynamic functions given in table 5 are derived from eq (3) obtained by Douglas and Harman [13]. A few of the values from table 5 are given in table 4 for comparison with those reported by Douglas and Harman. The differences in the values arise primarily from the revised entropy and enthalpy at 298.15 °K given in the present paper. The earlier preliminary entropy and enthalpy values that were furnished Douglas and Harman [13] had not been corrected for the impurities.

The values of heat capacity below the lower limit of measurements (16 $^{\circ}$ K) were obtained by extrapolation using a Debye heat-capacity function fitted to the experimental values between 16 and 30 $^{\circ}$ K. The equation used was

$$C = 58.3 \ D\left(\frac{250}{T}\right) \text{J/deg-mole.}$$
(4)

TABLE 5. Molal thermodynamic functions for potassium borohydride (KBH4)Gram formula wt = 53.94488 g, T deg K = t deg C+273.15

T	C _p	$({H}_{T} - H_{0}^{c})$	$({H_T}\!\!-\!\!H_0^c)/T$	$({S}_T - S_0^c)$	$-(G_T - H_0^c)$	$-\left(G_{T}-H_{\delta} ight)/T$	
Solid phase							
$\begin{array}{c} deg \ K \\ 0.\ 00 \\ 5.\ 00 \\ 10.\ 00 \\ 15.\ 00 \\ 20.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} deg^{-1} \\ 0.\ 000 \\ .\ 036 \\ .\ 290 \\ .\ 978 \\ 2.\ 312 \end{array}$	$J \\ 0.\ 000 \\ .\ 045 \\ .\ 725 \\ 3.\ 670 \\ 11.\ 531$	$J \ deg^{-1} \\ 0. \ 000 \\ . \ 009 \\ . \ 072 \\ . \ 245 \\ . \ 577 \\ \end{cases}$	$J \ deg^{-1} \\ 0.\ 000 \\ .\ 012 \\ .\ 097 \\ .\ 326 \\ .\ 769$	$egin{array}{c} J \ 0.\ 000 \ .\ 015 \ .\ 242 \ 1.\ 223 \ 3.\ 853 \end{array}$	$J \ deg^{-1} \\ 0.\ 000 \\ .\ 003 \\ .\ 024 \\ .\ 082 \\ .\ 193$	
$\begin{array}{c} 25.\ 00\\ 30.\ 00\\ 35.\ 00\\ 40.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4. \ 327 \\ 6. \ 733 \\ 9. \ 356 \\ 12. \ 059 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.\ 928\\ 55.\ 468\\ 95.\ 617\\ 149.\ 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 117\\ 1.\ 849\\ 2.\ 732\\ 3.\ 728 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 493\\ 2.\ 490\\ 3.\ 723\\ 5.\ 148 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.\ 388\\ 19.\ 238\\ 34.\ 680\\ 56.\ 787\end{array}$.376 .641 .991 1.420	
$\begin{array}{c} 45.\ 00\\ 50.\ 00\\ 55.\ 00\\ 60.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.\ 820\\ 17.\ 690\\ 20.\ 625\\ 23.\ 735 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 216.\ 02\\ 297.\ 26\\ 393.\ 02\\ 503.\ 83 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 801 \\ 5.\ 945 \\ 7.\ 146 \\ 8.\ 397 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 721 \\ 8.\ 430 \\ 10.\ 253 \\ 12.\ 179 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 411\\ 124.\ 24\\ 170.\ 90\\ 226.\ 94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 920\\ 2.\ 485\\ 3.\ 107\\ 3.\ 782 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 65. \ 00 \\ 70. \ 00 \\ 75. \ 00 \\ 77. \ 16 \end{array}$	27. 092 30. 5 * 33. 3 * 34. 3 *	$\begin{array}{c} 630.\ 79\\ 774.\ 89\\ 934.\ 45\\ 1007.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.\ 704 \\ 11.\ 070 \\ 12.\ 459 \\ 13.\ 057 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.\ 210\\ 16.\ 344\\ 18.\ 545\\ 19.\ 505 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 292.\ 87\\ 369.\ 22\\ 456.\ 42\\ 497.\ 52\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.506 \\ 5.25 \\ 6.086 \\ 6.448 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 77.\ 16\\ 80.\ 00\\ 85.\ 00\\ 90.\ 00\\ 95.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34. \ 3 \ * \\ 35. \ 583 \\ 37. \ 544 \\ 39. \ 476 \\ 41. \ 384 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1232.\ 4\\ 1331.\ 7\\ 1514.\ 6\\ 1707.\ 1\\ 1909.\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 972\\ 16.\ 647\\ 17.\ 818\\ 18.\ 968\\ 20.\ 098 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.\ 420\\ 23.\ 684\\ 25.\ 900\\ 28.\ 101\\ 30.\ 287 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 497.\ 52\\ 562.\ 99\\ 686.\ 95\\ 821.\ 96\\ 967.\ 94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 448 \\ 7.\ 037 \\ 8.\ 082 \\ 9.\ 133 \\ 10.\ 189 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 100,\ 00\\ 105,\ 00\\ 110,\ 00\\ 115,\ 00\\ 120,\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.\ 261\\ 45.\ 108\\ 46.\ 922\\ 48.\ 686\\ 50.\ 392 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2120. \ 9\\ 2341. \ 8\\ 2571. \ 9\\ 2811. \ 0\\ 3058. \ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.\ 209\\ 22.\ 303\\ 23.\ 381\\ 24.\ 443\\ 25.\ 489 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.\ 457\\ 34.\ 613\\ 36.\ 753\\ 38.\ 878\\ 40.\ 986 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1124.\ 8\\ 1292.\ 5\\ 1470.\ 9\\ 1660.\ 0\\ 1859.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.\ 248\\ 12.\ 309\\ 13.\ 372\\ 14.\ 435\\ 15.\ 497 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 125.\ 00\\ 130.\ 00\\ 135.\ 00\\ 140.\ 00\\ 145.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.\ 048\\ 53.\ 661\\ 55.\ 224\\ 56.\ 733\\ 58.\ 205\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3314.\ 8\\ 3579.\ 1\\ 3851.\ 3\\ 4131.\ 3\\ 4418.\ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.\ 519\\ 27.\ 532\\ 28.\ 528\\ 29.\ 509\\ 30.\ 473 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.\ 077\\ 45.\ 150\\ 47.\ 205\\ 49.\ 240\\ 51.\ 257\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2069.\ 8\\ 2290.\ 4\\ 2521.\ 3\\ 2762.\ 4\\ 3013.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 559\\ 17.\ 618\\ 18.\ 676\\ 19.\ 732\\ 20.\ 784 \end{array}$	

TABLE 5. Molal thermodynamic functions for potassium borohydride (KBH₄)-Continued

T	C_p	$(H_T - H_0^c)$	$(H_T - H_0^c) / T$	$(S_T - S_0^c)$	$-(G_T - H_0)$	$-(G_T - H_0^c)/T$	
Solid phase							
deg K	deg^{-1}	J	$J \ deg^{-1}$	$J \ deg^{-1}$	J	$J~deg$ $^{-1}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 150.\ 00\\ 155.\ 00\\ 160.\ 00\\ 165.\ 00\\ 170.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59.\ 643\\ 61.\ 066\\ 62.\ 476\\ 63.\ 858\\ 65.\ 213\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4713.\ 2\\ 5015.\ 0\\ 5323.\ 9\\ 5639.\ 7\\ 5962.\ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.\ 422\\ 32.\ 355\\ 33.\ 274\\ 34.\ 180\\ 35.\ 073 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53.\ 255\\ 55.\ 234\\ 57.\ 195\\ 59.\ 138\\ 61.\ 065\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3275. \ 0\\ 3546. \ 2\\ 3827. \ 3\\ 4118. \ 1\\ 4418. \ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.\ 833\\ 22.\ 879\\ 23.\ 920\\ 24.\ 958\\ 25.\ 992 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 175.\ 00\\ 180.\ 00\\ 185.\ 00\\ 190.\ 00\\ 195.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66. \ 574 \\ 67. \ 941 \\ 69. \ 304 \\ 70. \ 656 \\ 72. \ 001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6291. \ 9\\ 6628. \ 2\\ 6971. \ 3\\ 7321. \ 2\\ 7677. \ 8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35. \ 954 \\ 36. \ 823 \\ 37. \ 683 \\ 38. \ 533 \\ 39. \ 373 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62. \ 975 \\ 64. \ 869 \\ 66. \ 750 \\ 68. \ 616 \\ 70. \ 468 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4728.\ 7\\ 5048.\ 3\\ 5377.\ 4\\ 5715.\ 8\\ 6063.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27. \ 021 \\ 28. \ 046 \\ 29. \ 067 \\ 30. \ 083 \\ 31. \ 095 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 200,\ 00\\ 205,\ 00\\ 210,\ 00\\ 215,\ 00\\ 220,\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.\ 355\\ 74.\ 722\\ 76.\ 108\\ 77.\ 489\\ 78.\ 849 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8041.\ 2\\ 8411.\ 4\\ 8788.\ 5\\ 9172.\ 5\\ 9563.\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.\ 206\\ 41.\ 031\\ 41.\ 850\\ 42.\ 663\\ 43.\ 470 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.\ 308\\ 74.\ 137\\ 75.\ 954\\ 77.\ 761\\ 79.\ 558 \end{array}$	6420.5 6786.6 7161.8 7546.1 7939.4	$\begin{array}{c} 32.\ 102\\ 33.\ 105\\ 34.\ 104\\ 35.\ 098\\ 36.\ 088 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 225,\ 00\\ 230,\ 00\\ 235,\ 00\\ 240,\ 00\\ 245,\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80.\ 229\\ 81.\ 575\\ 82.\ 883\\ 84.\ 186\\ 85.\ 470 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9961. \ 0\\ 10366\\ 10777\\ 11194\\ 11619 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.\ 271\\ 45.\ 068\\ 45.\ 858\\ 46.\ 643\\ 47.\ 423 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81.\ 345\\ 83.\ 123\\ 84.\ 892\\ 86.\ 650\\ 88.\ 400 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8341.\ 7\\ 8752.\ 8\\ 9172.\ 9\\ 9601.\ 7\\ 10039 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37. \ 074 \\ 38. \ 056 \\ 39. \ 034 \\ 40. \ 007 \\ 40. \ 977 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 250,\ 00\\ 255,\ 00\\ 260,\ 00\\ 265,\ 00\\ 270,\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 717\\ 87.\ 922\\ 89.\ 085\\ 90.\ 201\\ 91.\ 257 \end{array}$	$12049 \\12486 \\12928 \\13376 \\13830$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.\ 196\\ 48.\ 963\\ 49.\ 724\\ 50.\ 477\\ 51.\ 222 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 90.\ 139\\ 91.\ 868\\ 93.\ 587\\ 95.\ 294\\ 96.\ 990 \end{array}$	$10486 \\ 10941 \\ 11404 \\ 11877 \\ 12357$	$\begin{array}{c} 41. \ 943 \\ 42. \ 905 \\ 43. \ 863 \\ 44. \ 817 \\ 45. \ 768 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 273.\ 15\\ 275.\ 00\\ 280.\ 00\\ 285.\ 00\\ 290.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91.\ 892\\ 92.\ 253\\ 93.\ 187\\ 94.\ 060\\ 94.\ 870\\ \end{array}$	$14119\\14289\\14752\\15221\\15693$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.\ 688\\ 51.\ 960\\ 52.\ 687\\ 53.\ 406\\ 54.\ 114 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 98.\ 052\\ 98.\ 674\\ 100.\ 34\\ 102.\ 00\\ 103.\ 64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12665\\ 12846\\ 13344\\ 13850\\ 14364 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.\ 365\\ 46.\ 714\\ 47.\ 657\\ 48.\ 596\\ 49.\ 531 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 295. \ 00\\ 298. \ 15\\ 300. \ 00\\ 310. \ 00\\ 320. \ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 95.\ 617\\ 96.\ 055\\ 96.\ 300\\ 97.\ 466\\ 98.\ 402\\ \end{array}$	$16169 \\ 16471 \\ 16649 \\ 17618 \\ 18598$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.\ 811\\ 55.\ 244\\ 55.\ 497\\ 56.\ 832\\ 58.\ 117\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 105.\ 27\\ 106.\ 29\\ 106.\ 89\\ 110.\ 07\\ 113.\ 18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14886\\ 15220\\ 15418\\ 16503\\ 17619 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.\ 462 \\ 51.\ 047 \\ 51.\ 393 \\ 53.\ 235 \\ 55.\ 060 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 330,\ 00\\ 340,\ 00\\ 350,\ 00\\ 360,\ 00\\ 370,\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 99.\ 134\\ 99.\ 653\\ 99.\ 966\\ 100.\ 19\\ 100.\ 42 \end{array}$	$19585 \\ 20580 \\ 21578 \\ 22579 \\ 23582$	$59. \ 350 \\ 60. \ 528 \\ 61. \ 651 \\ 62. \ 718 \\ 63. \ 734$	$116. 22 \\119. 18 \\122. 08 \\124. 90 \\127. 65$	$18766 \\19943 \\21150 \\22385 \\23647$	$\begin{array}{c} 56.\ 867\\ 58.\ 657\\ 60.\ 427\\ 62.\ 179\\ 63.\ 912 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 373.\ 15\\ 380.\ 00\\ 390.\ 00\\ 400.\ 00\\ 425.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.\ 49\\ 100.\ 63\\ 100.\ 80\\ 100.\ 94\\ 101.\ 19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23898 \\ 24587 \\ 25594 \\ 26603 \\ 29130 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64. \ 044 \\ 64. \ 702 \\ 65. \ 626 \\ 66. \ 507 \\ 68. \ 540 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 128.\ 50\\ 130.\ 33\\ 132.\ 94\\ 135.\ 50\\ 141.\ 62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24051 \\ 24937 \\ 26254 \\ 27596 \\ 31061 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64. \ 453 \\ 65. \ 624 \\ 67. \ 317 \\ 68. \ 990 \\ 73. \ 084 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} 450. \ 00 \\ 475. \ 00 \\ 500. \ 00 \\ 550. \ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 101.\ 41\\ 101.\ 70\\ 102.\ 10\\ 103.\ 52 \end{array}$	$31662 \\ 34201 \\ 36748 \\ 41885$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.\ 360\\ 72.\ 002\\ 73.\ 496\\ 76.\ 154 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 147.\ 41\\ 152.\ 90\\ 158.\ 13\\ 167.\ 92 \end{array}$	$34674 \\ 38429 \\ 42317 \\ 50472$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.\ 054\\ 80.\ 903\\ 84.\ 635\\ 91.\ 767\end{array}$	
600. 00 650. 00 700. 00	$\begin{array}{c} 106.\ 02\\ 109.\ 86\\ 115.\ 19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47118 \\ 52509 \\ 58129 \end{array}$	78. 530 80. 784 83. 041	$\begin{array}{c} 177.\ 03\\ 185.\ 66\\ 193.\ 98\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59098 \\ 68167 \\ 77658 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 98.\ 497\\ 104.\ 87\\ 110.\ 94 \end{array}$	

*Integration over the temperature interval 65 to 80 deg K is based upon the estimated base line heat capacity. While the distribution of energy in this temperature interval is less accurate, the value of the enthalpy at 80 deg accurately represents the experimentally observed increment over the temperature interval. H_0^{ϵ} and S_0^{ϵ} are the reference states at absolute zero of temperature.

The thermodynamic functions were calculated from the values of heat capacity according to the following thermodynamic relations:

$$H_T - H_0^e = \int_0^T C dT + \Delta H_{tr} \tag{5}$$

$$S_T - S_0^c = \int_0^T C(dT/T) + \Delta H/T_{tr}$$
(6)

$$(G_T - H_0^c) = -\int_0^T (S_T - S_0^c) dT - TS_0^c = (H_T - H_0^c) - T(S_T - S_0^c) - TS_0^c.$$
(7)

The functions $(H_T - H_0^c)/T$ and $(G_T - H_0^c)/T$ were obtained by dividing the respective quantities given in eqs (5) and (7) by the corresponding temperatures. The Gibbs energy was calculated using the two equivalent relations given in eq (7) to check the internal consistency of the calculations. The equations were evaluated by step-wise numerical integration and subtabulated using four-point Lagrangian integration coefficients [23] on the IBM 7094 computer at the Bureau.

Between 65 and 80 °K where the solid-phase transition was observed, the thermodynamic functions were calculated on the basis of the "normal" heat-capacity curve shown as a broken line in figure 1. At 77.16 °K the heat and entropy of transition were added in accordance with eqs (5) and (6) and the evaluation continued to the higher temperatures.

4.4. Reliability of the Results

The uncertainty in the final smoothed values of heat capacity obtained from the results of the measurements was estimated to be ± 0.3 percent. At temperatures below about 50 °K the uncertainty increases because of the decrease in sensitivity of the thermometric method used. At the lowest temperature (16 °K) of the measurements, the uncertainty was estimated to be as much as ± 1 percent. A precision of 0.02 percent or better can generally be achieved, however, with the calorimetric system in which the measurements were made. With a stable substance of high chemical and phase purity, and considering possible systematic errors, the accuracy of 0.1 percent or better is expected, except at the lowest temperatures for reasons mentioned above. In the present investigations the uncertainty in the chemical composition of the sample contributed more to the uncertainty of the final values than the uncertainty in the measurements.

The thermodynamic functions derived from the heat capacity are as reliable as the heat capacity. The calculations were performed with an accuracy greater than the precision of the measurements. Some discrepancies may be present in the tabulated thermodynamic functions because of the rounding.

5. Discussion of the Results

The λ -type solid-phase transition (transition temperature 189.9 °K, $\Delta S = 1.22$ e.u.), observed in NaBH4 by Johnston and Hallett [1], was interpreted by Stockmayer and Stephenson [2] as an order-disorder transition involving two orientations of the tetrahedral BH_4^- ions. Stephenson et al. [10] similarly interpreted the transition found in KBH_4 at 76 ± 1 °K from cooling and warming curve investigations. The heat capacity investigations on KBH_4 presented in this paper show an entropy of transition of 0.70 e.u. at 77.16 °K, which is about one-half of the expected 1.38 e.u. $(\vec{R} \ln 2)$ for completely random arrangement of the BH_4^- tetrahedra between the two orientations. This suggests that the tetrahedra in KBH₄ may still remain essentially paired with local ordering just above the transition temper ture From the consideration of the larger lattice parameters of KBH_4 relative to those of $NaBH_4$ [5, 6], the interactions between the BH_4^- ions in KBH_4 would be expected to be weaker and the rearrangement less hindered. The low entropy increment for the transition in KBH_4 indicates, however, that the interactions between the BH_4^- ions are somewhat more complex and involve more than simple interpretations based on lattice parameters.

The heat capacity of KBH_4 shown in figure 2 suggests that another "transition" occurs from about 200 °K to as high as 450 °K. This transition is interpreted as the continuation of the order-disorder orientations of the tetrahedral BH_4^- ions. The x-ray diffraction investigations by Ford and Powell [6] show, however, that the crystal structure of KBH₄ is the same at 90 and 293 °K. The lower temperature limit of this broad transition is higher than the transition temperature (189.9 °K) found for NaBH₄ by Johnston and Hallett [1], which indicates further that the BH_4^- ions in KBH₄ interact more than in NaBH₄.

The transition at the higher temperatures was expected to contain the remaining 0.7 e.u. The estimation of the entropy contribution of the transition, based on the subtraction from the observed heat capacities the contributions of $C_p - C_v$, lattice vibra-tions, and internal vibrations and torsional oscillations of the BH_4^- ion, was not satisfactory. For the $C_p - C_r$ and lattice vibration contributions, the heat capacity of KF [24] was taken. For the contributions from internal vibrations in BH_4^- , the frequency values given by Altshuller [25] for $NaBH_4$ were used. For the torsional oscillations the value 350 cm^{-1} estimated for BH_4^- in NaBH₄ by Stockmayer and Stephenson [2] was used. The sum of the various contributions was found to be considerably lower than the expected heat capacity. The heat capacity of KBH_4 is expected to be slightly above that of $NaBH_4$ (see figure 2). For example, at 300 °K the difference between the expected heat capacity and the sum of the heat capacity of KF and the contributions from the internal vibrations in BH_{4}^{-} is larger than the classical limit for an oscillator (three degrees of freedom). The results at 400 °K are the same. This indicates that the frequencies used for the internal vibrations in BH_4^- are too high or that the observed resultant heat capacities are considerably more complex than the simple summation of the contributions given earlier.

The heat capacities obtained from the following relation were found to be fairly satisfactory:

$$C_{\mathrm{KBH}_4} = C_{\mathrm{NaBH}_4} - C_{\mathrm{NaF}} + C_{\mathrm{KF}}, \qquad (8)$$

where the symbol C represents the heat capacity corresponding to the substance indicated by the subscript [1, 26, 24]. The results are plotted in figure 2 (solid line). The entropy difference in the inteval 200 to 500 °K between the observed values for KBH_4 and that calculated according to eq (8) is 1.11 e.u. This value is somewhat larger than the expected value (0.7 e.u.). The calculations based on eq (8) probably yielded heat capacities that are too low. The two values are sufficiently close to suggest that the higher-temperature transition contains the remaining order-disorder transition.

For comparison, heat-capacity measurements should be interesting on the other alkali-metal (Rb and Cs) borohydrides, which have the face-centered cubic crystal structure similar to KBH₄ and NaBH₄ [5]. Stephenson et al. [10] observed transitions in RbBH₄ and CsBH₄ in cooling and warming curve investigations. Neither the entropy of these transitions nor the higher-temperature broad transitions have been investigated. Lithium borohydride, $LiBH_4$, which is orthorhombic [11], does not exhibit any transition between 16 and 303 °K [27] similar to those found in $NaBH_4$ or KBH_4 . (See fig. 2.) A close examination of the $LiBH_4$ data at the upper limit of measurements [27] does, however, show a slight indication of an on-set of a possible transition. The indication may be just a scatter in experimental data. Further measurements on $LiBH_4$ above 300 °K would also be interesting.

(Paper 68A–314)

6. References

- [1] H. L. Johnston and N. C. Hallett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **75**, 1467 (1953).
- [2] W. H. Stockmayer and C. C. Stephenson, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1311 (1953)
- [3] C. C. Stephenson, L. A. Landers, and A. G. Cole, J.
- Chem. Phys. 20, 1044 (1952).
 [4] C. C. Stephenson, R. W. Blue, and J. W. Stout, J. Chem Phys. 20, 1046 (1952).
- [5] S. C. Abrahams and J. Kalnajs, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 434 (1954).
- [6] P. T. Ford and H. M. Powell, Acta Cryst. 7, 604 (1954).
- [7] E. L. Wagner and D. F. Hornig, J. Chem. Phys. 18, 296,
- 305 (1950). [8] H. A. Levy and S. W. Peterson, Phys. Rev. 86, 766 (1952).
- [9] H. A. Levy and S. W. Peterson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 1536 (1953).
- [10] C. C. Stephenson, D. W. Rice, and W. H. Stockmayer, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1960 (1955).
 [11] P. M. Harris and E. P. Meibohm, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 69, 1231 (1947).
- [12] T. Shigi, Busseiron Kenkyu 92, 43 (1956)
- [13] T. B. Douglas and A. W. Harman, J. Res. NBS 60, 117 (1958).
- . B. Scott, C. H. Meyers, R. D. Rands, Jr., F. G. Brickwedde, and N. Bekkedahl, J. Res. NBS **35**, 39 [14] R. (1945)
- [15] G. T. Furukawa, M. L. Reilly, and J. H. Piccirelli, [16] H. F. Stimson, J. Res. NBS 65A, 139 (1961).
 [17] H. J. Hoge and F. G. Brickwedde, J. Res. NBS 22, 351

- [17] H. (1939).
 [18] G. T. Furukawa, D. C. Ginnings, R. E. McCoskey, and R. A. Wilson, J. Res. NBS 46, 195 (1951)
 [19] IUPAC Revises Atomic Weight Values, Chem. Eng.
- News **39**, 42 (1961). [20] G. Grenier and E. F. Westrum, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 78, 6226 (1956).
- [21] D. R. Stull, private communication.
- [22] E. T. Whittaker and G. Robinson, The Calculus of Observations. A Treatise on Numerical Mathematics, Fourth Edition, pp. 285-316 (Blackie and Son, London, 1944).
- [23] Tables of Lagrangian Interpolation Coefficients (Columbia University Press, New York, 1944).
 [24] E. F. Westrum, Jr. and K. S. Pitzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 1940 (1949).
 [25] A. P. Altshuller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77, 5455 (1955).
 [26] F. G. K. Chem. Soc. 77, 5455 (1955).

- [26] E. G. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 2056 (1957).
 [27] N. C. Hallett and H. L. Johnston, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 1496 (1953).