[~]**Calorimetric Properties of Some Alkali Pentaborate Hydrates From 15 to 370 °K**

George T. Furukawa, Martin L. Reilly, and Jeanette H. Piccirelli^{*}

(February 24, 1964)

Measurements of the heat capacity of ammonium pentaborate tetrahydrate (NH₄B₅O_s.4H₂O), potassium pentaborate tetrahydrate (KB₅O_s.4H₂O), and sodium pentaborate pentahydrate (NaB₅O_s.5H₂O) were made in the range of about 15 to 370 °K and the data were used to obtain a table 370 °K. The measurements on sodium pentaborate pentahydrate were terminated at 345 °K because the temperature drifts that were observed above this temperature were considered to arise from gradual volatilization of the water of hydration.

1. **Introduction**

As a part of the program at the National Bureau of Standards to provide thermodynamic data on boron compounds, measurements of the heat capacity have been made on ammonium pentaborate tetrahydrate $(NH_4B_5O_8.4H_2O)$, potassium pentaborate tetrahydrate $(KB₅O₈·4H₂O)$, and sodium pentaborate pentahydrate (Na $\mathrm{B_{5}O_{8}\cdot5H_{2}O}$). (Henceforth, the abbreviations APT, PPT, and SPP will be used synonymously with the three respective alkali pentaborate hydrates.) These substances have the highest percentage of boric oxide (B_2O_3) content of the commonly available hydrated borates. The data were used to obtain smoothed values of heat capacity, enthalpy, enthalpy function, entropy, Ibbs (ree energy, and Gibbs free energy function from 0 to 370° ^oK.

The hydrates of the alkali pentaborates investigated would be more properly formulated as
 $(NH_4)H_4B_5O_{10}.2H_2O$, $KH_4B_5O_{10}.2H_2O$, and NaH_4B_5 $(O_{10}\cdot3\widetilde{H}_2O.$ The "hydrated" pentaborate ion, H_4B_5 - O_{10} , consists of two six-atom rings lying in perpendicular planes joined by a common tetrahedrally coordinated boron atom $[1]$ ¹ Each of the four trigonal boron atoms is attached to two oxygen atoms in the ring and to a hydroxyl group

The water of hydration (two each in ammonium and potassium and three in sodium pentaborate) seems to be associated in some way with the oxygen atoms of the tetrahedral boron [2, 3]. The dihydrate of

*Formerly Jeanette M. Henning.
1 Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

the sodium compound has not been isolated [3]. The trihydrate of lithium pentaborate and the dihydrates of rubidium and cesium pentaborates have been observed [3]. The anhydrous compound $KB₅O₈$ is known $[4]$ but the anhydrate of $\widehat{\mathbf{APT}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{SPP}}$ has not been isolated [3]. The thermodynamics of these and other hydrated polyborates should be of interest for comparison with hydrated polysilicates, polyphosphates, and other structurally related substances.

2. **Apparatus a nd Method**

The heat-capacity measurements were made in an adiabatic calorimeter similar in design to that described previously [5]. The sample container was suspended within the adiabatic shield system by means of a nylon string instead of the filling tube shown in the above reference. Details of the calorimeter used and its operation will be described in a subsequent publication.

Briefly, the sample was sealed in a copper container of about 125 cm^3 capacity. The method for filling and the subsequent sealing of the container is shown schematically in figure 1. The sample was poured through the $\frac{1}{4}$ in. opening in the threaded member G, which was later sealed by means of a 0.01 in. thick gold disk F and the accessory supporting components D and E. During the scaling process, the mushroom-shaped member E was held securely from turning by means of A and B so that the gold disk F would be pressed tightly, without turning, against the sealing edge of G . The polished ridge on E decreased the "turning" friction between D and E. The screw-cap D was tightened against E by turning the knurled knob of wrench C. When the container was sealed, the sealing assembly (A, B, C, and H) was removed. Previous tests on simulated systems have shown that the seal was vacuum tight under the conditions of temperature cycling in the temperature range of the measurements and that the gold disk could be used three or four times or more without leakage. In addition a helium-gas leak detector was used to test the screw-cap seal with each sample through the auxiliary tube 1.

FIGURE 1. Screw-cap seal and sealing assembly for the sample $container$

A. Adjustable arrest with slot to keep rod B from turning.

E. Mushroom-shaped D. Wrench for turning screw-cap D. F against the sealing

edge of the threaded tube G. Rod B prevents E from turning.

H. Wrench held during t

The final seal was made by pinching and cutting the $\frac{1}{16}$ in copper tubing I which was previously tinned on both inner and outer surfaces. The pinching was done over about $\frac{1}{2}$ in. of the tubing and a hot soldering tool was applied along the pinched portion of the tubing so that the tin on the inner surface would form a tight seal before the cutting was done at the pinched portion. Additional solder was applied at the cut edge as an added precaution against leakage.

In order to attain a rapid temperature equilibrium, tinned copper vanes were arranged radially from the central well to the outer wall of the container and held in place by a thin coating of pure tin applied to the inner surfaces. The radially arranged vanes were terminated in the plane indicated by J in figure 1 to permit easy distribution of sample when poured through the opening in G. A small quantity of helium gas was also sealed in with the sample to facilitate temperature equilibrium. The central well contained a heater-platinum resistance thermometer assembly (shown as K, L, and M in $fig. 1)$.

The outer surface of the container and the adjacent inner surface of the adiabatic shield, within which the container was suspended by means of a nylon string, were gold plated and polished to minimize radiative heat transfer. The space around the container and shield was evacuated to a pressure of 10^{-5} torr or less (1 torr=1/760 atm=1 mm Hg) to make negligible the heat transfer by gaseous conduction and convection. During the heat-capacity experiments the temperature of the shield was maintained as close as possible to that of the container surface by means of shield heaters and constantan-Chromel-P differential thermocouples. Two sets of thermocouples, one of three junctions and the other of two, and three individual heaters were used in the control of the adiabatic shield and lead-wire temperatures.

The electrical power input was measured by means of a Wenner potentiometer in conjunction with a standard cell, volt box, and standard resistor. The volt box was assembled from two standard resistors, 100 and 10,000 ohms, the voltage being measured across the 100-ohm resistor. Since this is a relatively low-resistance voltage box, the resistance of the potential leads to the calorimeter heater was determined as a function of temperature. Over the temperature range of measurements, the volt-box "factor" changed up to 2 to 3 parts in $10,000$ because of the change in the resistance of the potential leads with temperature. The volt-box factor was determined to better than 1 part in $10⁵$.

The time interval of heating was measured by means of a precision timer operated on a 60 Hz frequency based on a 100 kHz quartz oscillator maintained at the National Bureau of Standards. The oscillator is stable to 0.5 ppm. The timer was compared periodically with seconds signals based also on the 100 kHz quartz oscillator. The timer deviations were never greater than 0.02 sec per heating period, which was never less than 2 min.

Temperatures were measured by means of a platinum-resistance thermometer and a high-precision Mueller bridge. The thermometer was calibrated by the Temperature Physics Section of the NBS. The calibration above 90 °K was in accordance with the 1948 International Practical Temperature Scale [6], and between 10 and 90 K in accordance with the NBS-1955 provisional scale, which is maintained by a set of platinum-resistance thermometers that had been compared with a helium-gas thermometer.

At the Tenth General Conference held in 1954, the General Conference on Weights and Measures adopted a new definition of the thermodynamic temperature scale by assigning the temperature 273.16 ^oK to the triple-point temperature of water The provisional temperature scale as it is $[6]$. presently maintained at the National Bureau of Standards, and referred to as degrees K (NBS-1955), is numerically 0.01 deg lower than the former NBS-1939 scale [7]. The observed temperatures given in this paper conform with these new definitions of the temperature scales. The temperatures in degrees Kelvin above 90 $\,^{\circ}\text{K}$ were obtained by adding 273.15 deg to the temperatures in degrees Celsius (In tern ational Practical Temperature Scale $[6]$.

The 1961 atomic weights based on C^{12} were used to convert the mass of samples investigated to molal basis [8].

3 . Analysis of Experimental Measurements

The measurements of heat capacity were made in
e range of about 15 to 370 $\,^{\circ}\text{K}$. Two sets of the range of about 15 to 370 K . measurements were made, one on the container filled with sample and the other on the empty container. The usual precaution was observed to maintain the temperature increment of heating sufficiently small to minimize the correction for curvature of the heat-capacity function. The curvature correction was made wherever significant according to the procedure previously described [9].

After making the curvature corrections for the two sets of measurements, the heat-capacity values of the empty container were plotted on a large scale as deviations from approximate empirical equations. Smoothed values of the heat capacity at equally spaced integral temperatures were then obtained by combining the smooth deviation curves and the empirical equations. The temperature ranges of the empirical equations were overlapped and the values that joined most smoothly were selected. The smoothness of the tabular values was checked by examining the smoothness of the third and fourth differences. Wherever necessary a numerical smoothing process was employed [10] .

The net heat capacities (heat capacity of the sample) were obtained by subtracting the heat capacity of the empty container from that of the container plus sample at corresponding temperatures. The values of heat capacity of the empty container were obtained by interpolation in the smoothed table described above. The net heat capacities were corrected for any differences in the mass of the container in the two sets of measurements. Corrections were made also wherever significant for the heat capacity of helium gas in the container. The net values of the heat capacity were then finally converted to molal basis [8] which are referred to in the following sections of this paper as "observed values of the heat capacity." The heat capacity of the samples in these measurements was 80 ± 3 percent of the "gross" over the entire range of the measurements.

Smoothed values of the heat capacity of each substance were then obtained at eaually-spaced in tegral temperatures by plotting on a large scale the deviations of the observed values from empirical equations and following the procedures similar to those previously outlined for the measurements on the empty container. Debye heat capacity functions, fitted to the experimental values at the lower temperatures. were used for extrapolation to 0° K.

The thermodynamic properties for each substance were derived from the smoothed values of the heat capacity by procedures previously described [11].

4 . Samples

The pentaborate samples obtained from the Pacific Coast Borax Company were in the form of fine crystals. Chemical analyses supplied with the sample are given in tables 1, 2, and $\overline{3}$. Analyses for B_2O_3 , alkali oxide, and water were independently made on the samples by R. A. Paulson of the Applied
Analytical Research Section of the Bureau. These Analytical Research Section of the Bureau. results are summarized also in tables 1, 2, and 3 for comparison. The two sets of analyses are in fair agreement.

The ammonia in APT was analyzed by distilling the ammonia from a sample placed in a Kjeldahl apparatus and titrating with 0.1 N hydrochloric acid solution. The hydrochloric acid solution was standardized with single-crystal ammonium dihydrogen phosphate from which the ammonia was distilled from the Kjeldahl apparatus in the same manner as the APT sample.

^T A B I. ^E1. *Chemical analysis of ammonium penlabomle letmhydmte,* ^N fl.B50 s·4II ²⁰

Gram molecular wcight = 272. 150 g

^a**Pacific Coast Dorax Company.**

TABLE 2. Chemical analysis of potassium pentaborate $tetrahydrate, KB₅O₈·4H₂O$

Gram molecular weight= 293.214 g

^a**Pacific Coast Borax Company.**

TABLE 3. *Chemical analysis of sodium pentaborate* $pentahydrate$, $NaB₅O₈·5H₂O$

Gram molecular weight=295.117 g

a Pacific Coast Borax Company.

The sodium and potassium in the samples were analyzed gravimetrically. The boron in the respective pentaborate was removed by evaporating to dryness six times with hydrochloric acid and methyl alcohol. The borate is removed in the process as volatile methylborate. The NaCl or the KCl formed was finally ignited at 700 °C and weighed.

The boron was analyzed as boric acid. A sample was dissolved in water and the *pH* adjusted to 7.0. Mannitol was added and the boric acid titrated with *0.1 N* NaOH solution which had been standardized with pure boric acid.

The water of hydration was determined by heating a sample in a muffle furnace at 450°C until a constant weight was obtained. The loss of weight of the ammonium compound at the above temperature was more than the expected amount of water. An additional analysis made on the substance in a tube furnace with a stream of dry argon also showed excessive loss of mass. Ievin'sh et al. [12] found that the last trace of water of hydration was not removed in APT until about 250 *°0* and that ammonia began to vaporize from about 140 *°0.* No determination of the water was, therefore, obtained on APT.

The analyses on PPT and SPP were normalized to 100 percent shown in the last column of tables 2 and 3, respectively. The low $(NH_4)_2O$ and B_2O_3 content in APT suggests that the impurity is B(OH)_3 . Similarly, in PPT the low B_2O_3 and high H_2O content with almost the theoretical content of K_2O suggest that the impurity is $B(OH)_{3}$. (The B_2O_3) content is lower and H_2O content higher in $B(OH)_3$ than in APT, PPT, or SPP.) The high Na_2O , low B_2O_3 , and high H_2O content in the SPP sample indicate that the impurity is probably $\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ 10H₂O (borax). (Borax has a higher $Na₂O$, lower $B₂O₃$, and higher H_2O content than SPP.) The percentages of

the suspected impurities calculated on the bases of the alkali oxide, boric oxide, and water contents obtained in the chemical analyses are summarized in table 4.

Because of the closeness of the B_2O_3 content of $B(OH)$ ₃ to that of PPT, the error in the analysis of B_2O_3 would indicate directly the uncertainty in the content of $B(OH)_{3}$ impurity in PPT. The comparison of the literature values (range: 15 to $300 \text{ }^{\circ} \text{K}$) of the heat capacity of $B(OH)_{3}$ [13] with the observed values of the PPT sample showed that the heat capacity of $B(OH)_3$ is at most about 17 percent higher than PPT on the basis of mass. Considering also the uncertainty in the analysis of PPT for B_2O_3 , the PPT sample was taken to be 100 percent pure in analyzing the experimental data.

The comparison of the observed heat capacity of the APT sample with that of $B(OH)_{3}$ [13] showed that the heat capacity of the two materials differs generally within ± 2 percent on the basis of mass. Therefore, the APT sample was also considered 100 percent pure in the analysis of the experimental data

TABLE 4. *Percentages of the suspected impurities based on the analyses on alkali oxide, boric oxide and water contents*

Compound	Impurity	Method of Analysis		
		M_2O	B_2O_3	H_2O
APT . PPT SPP	$B(OH)_{3-}$ $B(OH)_{3-}$ $Na_2B_4O_7 \cdot 10H_2O$	1.4 -0.2 2.	4.8 2.6 2.4	04 2.5

No heat-capacity data on borax were found in the literature. The heat capacity of SPP and borax was assumed the same on the basis of mass.

5. Results

5.1. Ammonium Pentaborate Tetrahydrate, $NH_4B_5O_8.4H_2O$

A 126.557 g sample of APT was investigated in A 120.331 g sample of AT is served values of molal heat capacity are given in table 5, and plotted in figure 2. Values of molal heat capacity and derived thermodynamic functions were obtained at equally spaced integral temperatures. These are listed in table 6.

5.2. Potassium Pentaborate Tetrahydrate, $KB_5O_8 \cdot 4H_2O$

A 141.366 g sample of PPT was investigated from about 17 to 370 °K. The observed values of molal heat capacity are listed in table 7 and plotted in figure 3 to show the general shape of the heatcapacity curve. Smoothed values of the heat capacity obtained from the experimental data and derived thermodynamic functions are listed in table 8.

TABLE 5. Observed heat capacities of ammonium pentaborate tetrahydrate $(NH_4B_5O_8.4H_2O)$

Gram molecular weight=272,150 g, T deg K=t deg C + 273.15

 Δ T is the mean temperature of the heating interval.

 \sim 1 is one mean temperature of the casing model with the
rval.
 \sim CP is the observed mean heat capacity over the interval.
 \sim The temperatures given are believed to be accurate to 0.01 °K. The figures
beyond the s

5.3. Sodium Pentaborate Pentahydrate, $NaB₅O₈ · 5H₂O$

A 177.320 g sample of SPP was investigated. Downward temperature drifts were observed in the measurements above 345 °K. Blasdale and Slansky [14] reported that SPP could be heated in an open container up to 70 $^{\circ}$ C without appreciable loss in weight, but when heated to 116 °C it formed a viscous liquid and began to lose water. On the bases of the
observations of Blasdale and Slansky and of the high sensitivity of the calorimeter to any heat
effects (0.0001 W or smaller), it seems likely that the downward temperature drifts observed are due to gradual dehydration of the SPP sample. Therefore, the data above 345 °K are considered inaccurate
and are not reported. The observed molal values of heat capacity are given in table 9 and plotted in figure 4. The derived thermodynamic properties are listed in table 10 from 0 to 345 $\mathrm{^{\circ}K}$.

Observed heat capacities of ammonium pentaborate FIGURE 2. tetrahydrate, $NH_4B_5O_8.4H_2O$.

Observed heat capacities of potassium pentaborate
tetrahydrate, $KB_5O_8.4H_2O$. FIGURE 3.

FIGURE 4. Observed heat capacities of sodium $pentaborate$ $pentahydrate$, $NaB₅O₈·5H₂O$.

TABLE 7. *Observed heat capacities of potassium pentaborate tetrahydrate* (KB₅O_S·4H₂O)

Gram molecular weight=293.214 g, T deg $K=t$ deg $C + 273.15$

 H_0^C apply to the reference state of the solid at 0 °K.

^a *T* is the mean temperature of the heating interval.

^b *C_F* is the observed mean heat capacity over the interval.

^o The temperatures given are believed to be accurate to 0.01 °K. The figures

beyond the second

6. Discussion

In a series of papers Staveley et al. [15, 16, 17] investigated the contribution of the torsional or rotational motion of the ammonium ion to the heat capacity of ammonium salts with large symmetrical anions. By investigating the heat capacity of the ammonium and the corresponding isomorphous potassium and rubidium salts the heat-capacity contribution from the torsional oscillation or rotation of the ammonium ion was estimated by subtraction, assuming that the heat-capacity contributions from Cp-Cv, internal and torsional motions of the anion, and the lattice vibrations were the same in the two salts. (Hereafter the torsional or rotational heat capacity contribution of the NH4+ ion will be designated $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_4^+)$.) The small contribution from the internal motions of the $NH₄$ ⁺ ion was calculated using the assigned frequencies of Wagner and Hornig [18]. If the residual heat capacity obtained had a limiting value of $\frac{3}{2}R$ or 3R, a free rotation or a classical torsional oscillation, respectively, was suggested. For restricted rotator behavior a rise to a maximum

Run

 N_{0}

 $\overline{5}$

 $\ddot{6}$

 $\overline{7}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$

 $\overline{9}$

 $10\,$

 T^s

 $\begin{array}{c} 0 \ K \\ 41.5702 \\ 45.7972 \\ 50.5750 \end{array}$

55, 3838 60.4812

66.0802

 58.5476
 63.1808

 68.3146 74.2438

80.4764

90.3071 95.4888

153.3534

159 1856

164.8744

170.4274

161.3418

167.1078
172.5546

177.9436

188.3760 193.4302

198.9778
205.5902

911 4899

216.0996

 278.6015

284.7018

290.6984

296, 6560

302.6415

312, 8014 318.6032

324.3276
330.4356

337.3630

344.9336

268.9421

270.9374

272.9196

276.8471

183.5999

 $\frac{202.5076}{221.3134}$

 C_P b

 $J\ deg^{-1}\ mole^{-1}$

 $nole^{-1}$
 54.494
 62.346
 71.141
 79.630

88.489

 85.150
93.159

 $\frac{101.42}{110.53}$

119. 97
127. 70
134. 32

141.09

 211.82

218.60 $\frac{225.19}{231.53}$

220.79

 $\frac{227.40}{233.81}$

239. 86
239. 66
245. 70
251. 54
258. 34

265.70 273.10

279.82

284.99

 $\begin{array}{c} 353.16 \\ 359.50 \end{array}$

365.78
371.93

378.23
384.49 388.84
394.92

 401.10
 408.02

416.08

444.15

 $\begin{array}{c} 342.92 \\ 345.18 \end{array}$

347.45

351.36 353.50

 $\frac{246}{269}$, 22

290.69

107.81

 C_{P} b

 J $deg^{-1} \frac{mole^{-1}}{124.37}$

132.06 137.50

143.41

154.84

 $\frac{166.51}{172.15}$

 $\!\!177.35\!\!\!$ 182.27

187.80

193.69

199.58 $\frac{206.04}{212.94}$

 219.60

226.10

224.39

 $\overline{230}. \, 82 \\ 237. \, 01$

 242.88
 247.49

247.49
255.46
263.77
274.86
283.31
290.09

 $\frac{296.50}{303.08}$

309.68
315.73
321.57
327.98

334.45

319.95

 $\frac{325.54}{331.96}$

338.25

344.61

350.88

363.57

 9.200

11.104

 $\begin{array}{c} 13.\,\,302\\ 15.\,\,540 \end{array}$

 $\frac{18.086}{20.907}$

24.192 $\frac{27.690}{31.546}$

36.349

47.805

86.1680

Gram molecular weight=293.214 g, T deg K=t deg C + 273.15

 H_0^C apply to the reference state of the solid at 0 $\rm ^oK$.

387

conserved mean heat capacity over the interval.
eratures given are believed to be accurate to 0.01° K. The figures econd decimal are significant only insofar as small temperature concerned.

by a decrease to a limiting value with g temperature is to be generally expected. ulation similar to those presented earlier ley et al. $[15, 16, 17]$ was performed with -capacity results obtained on APT and he results are shown in figure 5. APT and both orthorhombic, Aba $2-C_{2v}^{17}$, with crystal s $a{=}11.324$ Å, $b{=}11.029$ Å, and $c{=}9.235$ Å 11.065 Å, $b=11.171$ Å, and $c=9.054$ Å, ely [19]. The ionic radius of ammonium 8 Å and that of the potassium ion is 1.33 Å ne above crystal constants indicate that fic volume of PPT is about 3 percent smaller than that of APT. The forces between the cation and anion are, therefore, expected to be somewhat

TABLE 10. Molal thermal functions for sodium pentaborate pentahydrate $(NAB_5O_8.5H_2O)$

Gram molecular weight=295.117 g, T deg K=t deg C + 273.15

FIGURE 5. Heat capacity from the torsional or rotational motions of NH_4 ⁺ ion and the heat capacity of a harmonic oscillator.

different in the two salts, and the assumptions regarding the similarity in the contributions to the heat capacity other than from $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_4^+)$ may not be completely valid. The internal and torsional motions of the anion and the water of hydration may be significantly different in the two salts. The rubidium ion with an ionic radius of 1.48 Å [20] would be expected to form a salt with crystal constants close to those of the ammonium salt.

The results in the region of the upper temperature limit of measurements shown in figure 5 suggest that the $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_4^+)$ in APT approximates the value $3R$ of a fully excited classical torsional oscillator. The results reported by Staveley et al. [15, 16, 17] on ammonium and rubidium salts of tetraphenylboron, stannic chloride, stannic bromide, and hexafluorophosphate are considerably below the $3R$ value. In the tetraphenylboron salt [17] the $\Delta C_r(\text{NH}_4^+)$ is shown to be about $\frac{5}{2}R$ at 300 °K, the upper limit of their measurements, and increasing. The ΔC_{τ} $(NH₄⁺)$ of both ammonium stannic chloride and stannic bromide is shown to have a maximum followed by an asymptotic decrease with temperature [16] related to a hindered rotator behavior.

If heat-capacity measurements were made on rubidium pentaborate tetrahydrate (RPT) and the results used to calculate $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_{4}^+)$ the values in the upper temperature region are expected to be higher than those shown in figure 5. The results of the heat-
capacity measurements of Davies and Staveley [17] on ammonium, potassium, and rubidium salts of tetraphenylboron show that above 200 °K the heat capacity of the potassium salt is higher than that of the rubidium salt. The measurements of Morfee et al., [16] show also that the heat capacity of potassium stannic bromide is greater at the higher temperatures (above about $100\degree K$) than that of the corresponding rubidium salt. The considerably higher values than 3R expected for $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_{4}^{+})$, if the heat capacity of RPT were used instead, would

 H_0^C apply to the reference state of the solid at 0 °K.

indicate that the values close to $3R$ obtained for $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_{4}^{+})$ with APT and PPT measurements are fortuitous. For the simpler salts, for example the bromides [21], iodides [21], and acid fluorides [22, 23], the heat capacities of the rubidium salts are higher than those of the potassium salts. Therefore, it seems that the heat-capacity contributions from the various sources in complex salts, such as those of the pentaborate, are dependent in a complicated way on, among others, the cation present.

The $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_4^+)$ obtained was compared with the heat capacity of a harmonic oscillator. Although the $NH₄⁺$ ion in APT is in an asymmetric environ-
ment, the best average frequency was determined. In figure 5 the Einstein heat capacity with $\theta = 300$ deg is compared with $\Delta C_{\tau}(\mathrm{NH}_4^+)$. The values of $\widetilde{C}_r(\widetilde{NH_4}^+)$ differ by $+100$ percent at 10 °K and $+8$ percent at 300 $\,^{\circ}\text{K}$. It is seen that $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_{4}^{+})$ behaves considerably different from the heat capacity of a simple torsional oscillator. An attempt was also made to fit the $\Delta C_{\tau}(\text{NH}_{4}^+)$ values obtained by Davies and Staveley [17] on ammonium tetraphenylboron, where the $NH₄⁺$ ion is in a more symmetric environment, with the heat capacity of a harmonic oscillator. Although the agreement is better, the discrepancies indicate that the oscillation is not simple and that the heat-capacity contributions for the constituents of a system are affected in a complicated way by any substituent.

The authors are indebted to Rolf A. Paulson of the Analysis and Purification Section for the chemical analyses on the samples. The gift of the samples investigated, by the Pacific Coast Borax Company, through the courtesy of M. H. Pickard, is gratefully acknowledged.

(Paper 68A4-286)

7. References

- [1] J. O. Edwards and V. Ross, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 15, 329 (1960).
- [2] A. A. Silvidi and J. W. McGrath, J. Chem. Phys. 30, 1028 (1959).
[3] P. H. Kemp, The Chemistry of Borates. Part I, Borax
- ¹ Consolidated Limited, London (1956).

[4] J. Krogh-Moe, Acta Cryst. **14,** 68 (1961).

[5] R. B. Scott, C. H. Meyers, R. D. Rands, Jr., F. G.
-
- Briekwedde, and N. Bekkedahl, J. Res. NBS $35, 39$
(1945).
-
- $[6]$ H. F. Stimson, J. Res. NBS 65A, 139 (1961).
[7] H. J. Hoge and F. G. Brickwedde, J. Res. NBS 22, 351 (1939)
- [8] IUPAC revises atomic weight values, Chem. Eng. News 39, 42 (1961).
- [9] G. T. Furukawa, T. B. Douglas, R. E. McCoskey, and
- D. C. Ginnings, J. Res. NBS 57, 67 (1956).
[10] E. Whittaker and G. Robinson, The calculus of observations, A Treatise on Numerical Mathematics (Blackie and Son, London and Glasgow, 1944) .
- [11] G. T. Furukawa, D. C. Ginnings, R. E. McCoskey, and R. A. Nelson, J. Res. NBS **46,** 195 (1951).
- N eorgan. Khim. 1, 2236 (1956).
[13] H. L. Johnston and E. C. Kerr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72.
- 4733 (l 950).
- [14] W. C. Blasdale and C. M. Slansky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 61, 917 (1939).
[15] L. A. K. Staveley, N. R. Grey, and M. J. Layzell, Zeit.
- [15] L. A. K. Staveley, N. R. Grey, and M. J. Layzell, Zeit. Naturforschg. 18A, 148 (1963).
- [16] R. G. S. Morfee, L. A. K. Staveley, S. T. Walters, and D. L. Wigley, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **13,** 132 (1960). [17] T. Davies and L. A. K. Staveley, Trans. Faraday Scc. **53,** 19 (1957).
- **53,** 19 (1957).
[18] E. L. Wagner and D. F. Hornig, J. Chem. Phys. **18,**
- 296 (J9 50).
- [19] W. R. Cook, Jr., and H. Jaffe, Acta Cryst. 10, 705 (1957) .
[20] L. Pauling, The Nature of the Chemical Bond and the
- Structure of Molecules and Crystals: An Introduction to Modern Structure Chemistry, Third Edition, Cornell University Press (1960).
- [21] K. Clusius, J. Goldmann and A. Perlick, Zeit. Natur-forsch. $4A$, 424 (1949).
- [22] E. F. Westrum, Jr., and K. S. Pitzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 1940 (1949).
- [23] G. A. Burney and E. F. Westrum, Jr., J. Phys. Chem. 65, 349 (1961).