Numerical Investigation of the Equivalent Impedance of a Wire Grid Parallel to the Interface Between Two Media

Tove Larsen

Contribution From Laboratory of Electromagnetic Theory, Technical University, Copenhagen, Denmark

(Received December 1, 1960; revised August 8, 1961)

Based on a formula derived by Wait, a numerical investigation of the equivalent impedance of a wire grid parallel to the plane interface between two homogeneous media (ground and air) has been carried out. The calculations, which are of special interest to ground wire system design, are carried out for the grid placed in the air as well as in the ground.

1. Introduction

Many authors have investigated the electromagnetic properties of plane wire grids. Among these, Wait, in particular, has considered the case of a wire grid placed parallel to the plane interface between two homogeneous media, a configuration which is of great interest in investigations of ground wire systems for antennas. It is the purpose of this paper to describe numerical computations which have been carried out on the basis of Wait's formulas for the above-mentioned case.

2. Formulas Derived by Wait

In three papers Wait (1956, 1957, 1958) has treated the case of a plane wire grid placed parallel to the interface between two homogeneous media.

Wait shows that under certain circumstances the two media and the grid may be considered equivalent to a composite transmission line being shunted with a certain impedance defined as the equivalent grid impedance. This description is valid for (a) oblique incidence, when the electric vector is parallel to the wires, (b) normal incidence for any polarization, (c) perfectly reflecting interface, for any angle of incidence and polarization, and (d) oblique incidence, when the magnetic vector is perpendicular to the wires.

The system which will be investigated in this paper is shown in figure 1a. It is a system which is of interest for a simple radial ground wire system of a vertical monopole. The incident wave is polarized in the plane of incidence, and this plane is parallel to the wires; i.e., the case considered here corresponds to the case mentioned above under (d). The equivalent transmission-line description is therefore valid. The equivalent circuit is shown in figure 1b, K_i and K_2 being the characteristic impedances, Γ_1 and Γ_2 the propagation constants of the two transmission lines, and Z_g being the equivalent impedance of the grid. The grid consists of infinitely many, identical, equidistant circular wires of infinite length, with the radius a and with the distance d between adaptent wires. The grid is placed in the distance h from the interface. The material parameters of the wires are ϵ' , μ' and σ' , whereas the medium 1 has the parameters ϵ_1 , μ_1 and σ_1 and the medium 2 the parameters ϵ_2 , μ_2 , and σ_2 , where ϵ is the dielectric constant, μ the permeability, and σ the specific conductivity. It is assumed that $\mu'=\mu_1=\mu_2=4\pi\cdot 10^{-7}$ H/m; i.e. the permeability of free space. The angle of incidence of the primary field is called θ_1 . The corresponding refraction angle θ_2 is obtained from Snell's law;

$$\sin\theta_2 = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \sin\theta_1, \qquad (1)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the specific propagation constants of the two media. Using as time factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ we define the specific propagation constant by

$$k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \epsilon \left(1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega \epsilon}\right)}, \qquad (2)$$

where ω is the angular frequency. The characteristic impedance ζ for the two media is given by

$$\zeta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon \left(1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega \epsilon}\right)}} \,. \tag{3}$$

The wavelength $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in the two media is defined by the equation

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k}; \tag{4}$$

and it is assumed that

$$a \ll d \ll |\lambda|. \tag{5}$$

609993 - 62 - 2

Under the above assumptions the magnitudes occurring in the equivalent diagram in figure 1b are expressed in the following way:

The characteristic impedances of the equivalent transmission lines are

$$K_2 = \zeta_2 \cos \theta_2 , \qquad (6)$$

$$K_1 = \zeta_1 \cos \theta_1 , \qquad (7)$$

and the corresponding specific propagation constants are given by

$$\Gamma_2 = -ik_2\cos\theta_2 , \qquad (8)$$

$$\Gamma_1 = -ik_1 \cos \theta_1 \,. \tag{9}$$

The equivalent grid impedance is given by

$$Z_{g} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d\cos^{2}\theta_{1}}{2\pi} \left\{ \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} - R^{\circ}T + \Delta \right\},$$
(10)

where Z_i is the internal impedance per unit length of the wires

$$Z_i = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \sqrt{\frac{\mu\omega}{2\sigma'}} (1-i). \tag{11}$$

The coefficient R° is given by

and

$$N_1 = \sqrt{m^2 - \left(\frac{d\cos\theta_1}{\lambda_1}\right)^2}, \qquad (16)$$

$$N_2 = \sqrt{m^2 - \left(\frac{d\cos\theta_2}{\lambda_2}\right)^2} \cdot \tag{17}$$

The formulas are valid for media with arbitrary constants. The following considerations are mainly confined to the case of medium 1 being air and medium 2 being ground.

3. Discussion of Formulas

The above-mentioned formulas were derived by Wait for the case, where the grid is placed in the medium 1 (air) in front of (seen from the generator) the interface as shown in figure 1. The equivalent impedance of the grid when placed in medium 2 (ground) may be derived from these formulas by replacing index 1 by 2 and index 2 by 1. Numerical signs have been put around h in the formulas as we will let positive values of h correspond to a grid in the air, and negative values of h to a buried grid. We thus obtain for the two equivalent impedances Z_{ga} (grid placed in air) and Z_{gg} (grid placed in ground)

$$R^{0} = \frac{\left[1 + \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \left[1 - \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] + \sin^{2}\theta_{1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \left[1 - \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] - \sin^{2}\theta_{1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}.$$
 (12)

The coefficient T is given by

$$T = \ln \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{a}(2|h| + a)} \right), \tag{13}$$

and Δ , which is a correction term that is negligible for $d\ll|\lambda|$, is given by

$$\Delta = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 + R_m e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d}N_1}}{N_1} - \frac{1 + R^{\circ} e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d}m}}{m} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$Z_{ga} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d \cos^{2}\theta_{1}}{2\pi} \left\{ \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} - R_{a}^{0}T + \Delta_{a} \right\}$$
(18)
$$Z_{gg} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d \cos^{2}\theta_{2}}{2\pi} \left\{ \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} - R_{g}^{0}T + \Delta_{g} \right\},$$
(19)

where R° and Δ have the subscripts corresponding to air and ground, respectively.

In order to discuss these formulas more thoroughly the variation of some of the terms $(R^{\circ}, R_m, T, \Delta)$ will at first be considered, whereafter some simplified expressions for the impedance valid in special parameter cases will be worked out.

$$R_{m} = \frac{\left[N_{1} + N_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]\left[N_{1} - N_{2}\left(\frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] + m^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{1}\left[1 - \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[N_{1} + N_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]\left[N_{1} + N_{2}\left(\frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] - m^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{1}\left[1 - \left(\frac{k_{1}\cos\theta_{1}}{k_{2}\cos\theta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}$$
(15)







3.1. Discussion of Single Terms

For the sake of briefness we will introduce the following quantities

$$x = \sin^2 \theta_1 \tag{20}$$

$$q = \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right)^2 \tag{21}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \tag{22}$$

$$\beta = \frac{m}{N_1}.$$
 (23)

3.1.1. The Coefficient R°

The expression (12) for the coefficient R° given by Wait may be further condensed. We find

$$R^{0}_{a} = \frac{1-q}{1+q} \frac{x}{1-x} = \frac{k_{2}^{2}-k_{1}^{2}}{k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{2}} tg^{2}\theta_{1}$$
(24)

and

$$R_g^0 = -\frac{1-q}{1+q} \frac{xq}{1-xq} = \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_1^2 + k_2^2} tg^2\theta_2$$
(25)

From these expressions it is seen that for $\theta_1 = 0^{\circ}$ (perpendicular incidence)

$$R_a^{\circ} = R_g^{\circ} = 0. \tag{26}$$

When the ground is fairly well conducting $(\sigma_2 \gg \omega \epsilon_2)$ the expressions for R° will become simple for all values of the angle of incidence. In this case we have

$$q \simeq -i \frac{\omega \epsilon_1}{\sigma_2}$$

$$|q| \ll 1$$
,

as $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ when medium 1 is air.

We thus obtain

which shows that

$$R_a^{\circ} \cong t g^2 \theta_1 \tag{27}$$

$$R_g^{\circ} \simeq -qx, \quad \text{i.e.}, |R_g^{\circ}| \ll 1.$$
 (28)

3.1.2. The Coefficient R_m

Introducing the quantities (20 to 23) in the expression (15) for R_m we find

$$R_{ma} = \frac{[1 - qx + \alpha q \ (1 - x)] \ [1 - qx - \alpha \ (1 - x)] + \beta^2 x \ (1 - q)^2}{[1 - qx + \alpha q \ (1 - x)] \ [1 - qx + \alpha \ (1 - x)] - \beta^2 x \ (1 - q)^2}$$
(29)

and

which show, that R_{ma} and R_{mg} only differ by a change in sign in the first term of the numerators.

3.1.3. The Coefficient T

The coefficient T, which is given by (13)

$$T = \ln (1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} (2|h| + a)}),$$

is seen to vary monotonically with h between the limits given for $|h| \rightarrow \infty$ and for h=0

For $|h| \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$T \rightarrow \ln 1 = 0$$

and for |h| = 0 we get

$$T \cong \ln\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\pi a}{d}\right)\right) = -\ln\frac{d}{2\pi a}.$$
 (31)

$$\Delta_a(h \to \infty) \cong \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 \frac{1-x}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3}$$
(38)

$$\Delta_{g}(h \to -\infty) \cong \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{1-qx}{2q} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{3}}$$
(39)

$$\Delta_a(h=0) \simeq \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 \frac{q^3(1-2x+4x^2)+q^2(3-12x+4x^2)+q(3-2x)+1}{4q(1+q)^2(1-x)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3}$$
(40)

$$\Delta_{g}(h=0) \simeq \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{q^{3}(1-2x+4x^{2})+q^{2}(3-12x+4x^{2})+q(3-2x)+1}{4q(1+q)^{2}(1-qx)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{3}}.$$
(41)

3.1.4. The Correction Term $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$

In the following we shall find the first order approximations for the correction terms Δ_a and Δ_{q} , which are supposed to be vanishingly small when $d \ll |\lambda|$. The terms are given by

$$\Delta_{a} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \beta - 1 + \beta R_{ma} e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d} N_{1}} - R_{a}^{o} e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d} m} \right\}$$
(32)
$$\Delta_{g} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} R_{mg} e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d} N_{2}} - R_{g}^{o} e^{-\frac{4\pi |h|}{d} m} \right\} .$$
(33)

We shall only calculate the sums for the extreme values h=0 and $|h| \rightarrow \infty$.

The quantities α and β given by (22) and (23) are developed in powers of the quotient δ defined by

$$\delta = \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1} \right)^2 \cdot \tag{34}$$

Here and in what follows only the first order terms of δ are considered.

We thus obtain

$$\alpha \cong 1 - \delta \, \frac{1 - q}{2q} \tag{35}$$

$$\cos^2 \theta_1 \Delta_a(h=0) = \cos^2 \theta_2 \Delta_g(h=0) \simeq \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 \frac{q^3(1-2x+4x^2)+q^2(3-12x+4x^2)+q(3-2x)+1}{4q(1+q)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3}$$

where we in the approximation for α have made the further assumption that also

$$\frac{\delta}{|q|} \ll 1. \tag{37}$$

Introducing the expressions (29) and (30) for R_m , the expressions (24) and (25) for R^0 , and the above expressions for α and β in the formulas (32) and (33) for Δ_a and Δ_g we finally find

It is seen that the two last-mentioned sums are equal except for the factors (1-x) and (1-qx) in the denominators as they should be for h=0.

3.2. Common Case

In order to discuss the magnitude of the terms involved in the common expression for Z_g we write (18) and (19) in the form

$$Z_{ga} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \left\{ \cos^{2}\theta_{1} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} - \cos^{2}\theta_{1}R_{a}^{0}T + \cos^{2}\theta_{1}\Delta_{a} \right\}$$

$$(42)$$

 $Z_{gg} = dZ_i$

$$-\frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi}\left\{\cos^2\theta_2\ln\frac{d}{2\pi a}-\cos^2\theta_2 R_g^0T+\cos^2\theta_2\Delta_g\right\}$$
(43)

where

$$\cos^2\theta_1 R^0_a = \frac{1-q}{1+q} x \tag{44}$$

$$\cos^2\theta_2 R_g^0 = -\frac{1-q}{1+q} qx \tag{45}$$

(46)

$$\cos^2\theta_1 \Delta_a(h \to \infty) \cong \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 \frac{(1-x)^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^3} \qquad (47)$$

$$\cos^2\theta_2\Delta_g(h \to -\infty) \cong \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 \frac{(1-qx)^2}{2q} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^3} \quad (48)$$

The numerical value of the factors $\cos^2 \theta_1 R^\circ$ and $\cos^2 \theta_2 R_g^\circ$ will always be less than unity, as the real part of q never becomes negative. Therefore, as the most significant value of T is $-\ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}$, the greatest

value of the second term in the bracket is $\ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}$,

the same as the greatest value of the first term in the brackets. The terms $\cos^2 \theta_1 \Delta_a$ and $\cos^2 \theta_2 \Delta_g$ are as will be shown numerically in the next section always very small as compared to the other terms in the brackets and may usually be neglected.

The term dZ_i is usually much smaller than the other term in the expression for Z_q . Only for large positive h $(T\rightarrow 0)$ in the case of θ_1 approaching 90° $\left(\cos^2\theta_1 \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}\rightarrow 0\right) dZ_i$ becomes significant. Because of this, the phase angle of Z_q is very near to -90° except for $\theta_1 = 90^\circ$, $h \rightarrow +\infty$, where it is -45° .

3.3. Special Cases

We shall now work out some simpler expressions for the equivalent impedances valid in special cases. The correction term Δ will be omitted.

3.3.1. Grid in Interface, h=0

In this case the two impedances Z_{ga} and Z_{gg} are equal and given by

$$Z_{ga} = Z_{gg} = dZ_i - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^2 \theta_{1,2} (1 + R^0_{a,g}). \quad (49)$$

If the ground is assumed to be fairly well conducting, so that $R_a^{\circ} \simeq tg^2 \ \theta_1$ we get the following expression for the impedance.

$$Z_{g} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}, \qquad (50)$$

which shows, that Z_g in this case is independent of the angle of incidence θ_1 and of σ_2 , provided only that $\sigma_2 \gg \omega \epsilon_2$.

However, the same expression for Z_q is obtained when the ground is poorly conducting, but only for $\theta_1=0^\circ$, as R° in this case is zero and $\cos^2 \theta_1=1$.

3.3.2. Grid in Ground

When |h| becomes large we have that $T \rightarrow 0$ and consequently get

$$Z_{gg} = dZ_i - \frac{i\,\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^2\theta_2. \tag{51}$$

For the fairly well-conducting ground we have $|R_g^o| \ll 1$, and as the greatest value of |T| is the same as the other term $\left(\ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}\right)$ in the brackets we may neglect the term $R_g^o T$ and therefore get

$$Z_{gg} = dZ_i - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a'}, \tag{50}$$

the same value as was obtained above for h=0. There is consequently no variation of Z_{gg} with θ_1 , h or σ_2 when the grid is placed in a ground, which is fairly well-conducting. Independent of the conductivity σ_2 we have $R_g^\circ = 0$ for perpendicular incidence, $\theta_1 = 0$, and as $\cos^2\theta_2 = 1$ in this case we again get the value

$$Z_{gg} = dZ_i - \frac{i\,\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}.$$
(50)

3.3.3. Grid in Air

When |h| becomes large we have $T \rightarrow 0$; we therefore obtain

$$Z_{ga} = dZ_i - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^2 \theta_1, \qquad (52)$$

which is independent of the ground constants. From this expression it is seen, that for $\theta_1 \rightarrow 90^\circ$ the equivalent grid impedance is exclusively determined by the internal impedance Z_i and the distance between adjacent wires

$$Z_{ga} = dZ_i. \tag{53}$$

As $R^{\circ}=0$ for perpendicular incidence, $\theta_1=0^{\circ}$, we again get the value

$$Z_{ga} = dZ_i = -\frac{i\,\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}.$$
(50)

3.3.4. Survey of Formulas for Special Cases

A. The equivalent grid impedance is given by the expression

$$Z_{\mathbf{g}} = dZ_i - \frac{\imath \,\omega \mu d}{2\pi} \quad \frac{d}{2\pi a} \tag{50}$$

in the following cases:

(a) $\theta_1 = 0^\circ$, h, and σ_2 arbitrary.

(b) Well-conducting ground, grid in ground (including h=0), h, θ_1 , and σ_2 arbitrary.

B. When the grid is placed in the ground-airinterface, h=0, of a poorly-conducting ground the impedance is

$$Z_{g} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^{2}\theta_{1,2} (1 + R_{a,g}^{\circ}).$$
(49)

C. When the grid is placed in the ground and $h \rightarrow -\infty$ the impedance is

$$Z_{\mathbf{g}} = dZ_i - \frac{i\,\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^2\theta_2. \tag{51}$$

D. When the grid is placed in the air and $h \rightarrow \infty$ the impedance is

$$Z_{g} = dZ_{i} - \frac{i\omega\mu d}{2\pi} \ln \frac{d}{2\pi a} \cos^{2} \theta_{1}.$$
 (52)

E. When the grid is placed in the air, $\theta_1 = 90^{\circ}$ and $h \rightarrow \infty$ the impedance is

$$Z_g = dZ_i. \tag{53}$$

4. Numerical Computations

In order to give a more instructive picture of the variation of the grid impedance numerical computations have been carried out for the following parameter values:

Frequency $f=200 \ kHz$

Radius of the wires $a=0.001 \ m$ Specific conductivity of the wires $\sigma'=5.8\cdot10^7 \ S/m$ (copper) Modume 1 is given with

Medium 1 is air with

 $\epsilon_1 = 8.854 \cdot 10^{-12} F/m$ $\sigma_1 = 0 S/m.$

Medium 2 is ground with

 $\epsilon_2 = 10 \ \epsilon_1 = 8.854 \cdot 10^{-11} F/m$ $\sigma_2 = 10^{-2} S/m$ or $10^{-5} S/m$ (Fairly well conducting and poorly conducting ground, respectively).

The distance d between the wires varies from small values (determined by the condition $d \gg a$) up to 8 m, and as the wavelength in air is 1,500 m, the condition $\frac{d}{\lambda_1} \ll 1$ is fullfilled.

The coefficient $q = \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right)^2$ will for the two sorts of ground be given by:

$$q \simeq \begin{cases} -i \ 0.0011 \ (\sigma_2 = 10^{-2} \ S/m) \\ 0.1 \ (\sigma_2 = 10^{-5} \ S/m) \end{cases}$$

so we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ |q| \end{bmatrix}_{\max} \approx \begin{cases} 2.6 \cdot 10^{-2} \ (\sigma_2 = 10^{-2} S/m) \\ 2.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \ (\sigma_2 = 10^{-5} S/m), \end{cases}$$

which shows that the condition (37) is fulfilled for both sorts of ground.

The correction term $\Delta \cos^2 \theta$, which is proportional to d^2 will be calculated for d=8m, the greatest value of d used in this investigation. As we have

we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} = 1.202 \dots$$

h

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta\cos^2\theta & \Delta\cos^2\theta \\ (\theta_1=0^\circ) & (\theta_1=90^\circ) \end{array}$$

$$\sigma_{2} = 10^{-2} S/m \begin{cases} +\infty & 1.7 \cdot 10^{-5} & 0\\ 0 & i \ 7.8 \cdot 10^{-3} & i \ 7.8 \cdot 10^{-3}\\ -\infty & i \ 1.6 \cdot 10^{-2} & i \ 1.6 \cdot 10^{-2} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_2 = 10^{-5} S/m \begin{cases} +\infty & 1.7 \cdot 10^{-5} & 0 \\ 0 & 9.4 \cdot 10^{-5} & 8.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \\ -\infty & 1.7 \cdot 10^{-4} & 1.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \end{cases}$$

These quantities should in all cases except for $h=+\infty$, $\theta_1=90^\circ$, where all terms in the brackets are zero, be compared with a term of the order of magnitude $\ln \frac{d}{2\pi a}$, which for d=8m equals 7.2. This shows that in the present computations

 $\Delta \cos^2 \theta$ could be neglected in the case of the poorly conducting earth and that $\Delta \cos^2 \theta$ has a small influence in the case of the fairly well-conducting ground, however, the influence is so small that $\Delta \cos^2 \theta$ in most calculations may be neglected. This has been done in the computations described below.

Figures 2a and b and figures 3a and b show the variation of Z_g with the distance h of the grid from the ground surface with the angle of incidence θ_1 as a parameter. Figure 2 applies for the fairly well-conducting ground ($\sigma_2=10^{-2}S/m$), whereas figure 3 applies for the rather poorly conducting ground ($\sigma_2=10^{-5}S/m$). In both cases curves have been given for the numerical value as well as for the phase of Z_g . The figures show that the grid impedance varies considerably with the angle of incidence when the grid is placed above the ground surface, whereas this variation is small when the grid is placed on or



FIGURE 2. Equivalent grid impedance as a function of the distance from the wire grid to the ground-air interface (negative values of h correspond to a buried grid).

Well-conducting ground $\sigma_2=10^{-2}S/m$. a, Numerical value of impedance. b, Phase angle of impedance. below the surface; in the case where the ground is a good conductor there is practically no variation when the grid is placed in the ground, as found in the foregoing section.

The strong variation in the numerical value of the grid impedance in the case where the grid is placed in air is illustrated further by figures 4, 5, and 6. In figure 4 $|Z_g|$ has been plotted as a function of θ_1 with the specific conductivity of the ground σ_2 and the distance d between the wires as parameters in the case where the wires are placed on the ground surface (h=0), and when the presence of the ground surface is not taken into account $(h=\infty)$. Figure 5 shows corresponding curves for d=1m and for various values of h.

Finally figure 6 shows $|Z_g|$ as a function of the distance d between the wires in some of the special cases mentioned in section 3.3.4., namely the cases A, B for $\theta_1=90^\circ$, and E. Curves of the phase of Z_g corresponding to the three last mentioned sets of curves have been omitted since the variation of the phase angle in these cases is very small.





Poorly conducting ground, $\sigma_2=10^{-5}S/m$. a, Numerical value of impedance. b, Phase angle of impedance.



FIGURE 4. Numerical value of equivalent grid impedance as a function of the angle of incidence, when the grid is placed in the air.



FIGURE 5. Numerical value of the equivalent grid impedance as a function of the angle of incidence, when the grid is placed in the air.



FIGURE 6. Numerical value of the equivalent grid impedance in some special cases as a function of the distance between adjacent wires.

 $Z_g(\mathbf{A})$ is valid for

 (a) θ₁=0°, h and σ₂ arbitrary
 (b) Well-conducting ground, grid in ground (including h=0), h, θ₁, and σ₂ arbitrary.
 Z_g(B) is valid for h=0, σ₂=10⁻⁵S/m.
 Z_g(E) is valid for a grid placed in the air, h→∞, θ₁=90°, ∞ arbitrary. σ2 arbitrary.

5. Conclusion

The equivalent impedance of a wire grid placed parallel to the plane interface between air and ground has been investigated numerically, and curves have been plotted of this impedance as a function of the dimensions of the grid and the parameters of the ground.

The computations show that the approximation usually made in calculations regarding ground wire systems, namely to use the grid impedance corresponding to perpendicular incidence, is justified when the wire system is placed in the ground, but that considerable errors may occur when the grid is placed above the ground surface.

This investigation was carried out at the Laboratory of Electromagnetic Theory at the Technical University of Denmark by means of a grant from Den polytekniske Læreanstalts ingeniørvidenskabelige fond og G. A. Hagemanns Mindefond and by means of a support from the Air Force Cambridge Research Center, United States Air Force. Jesper Hansen assisted in preparing the final manuscript.

6. References

Wait, J. R., On the theory of reflection from a wire grid parallel to an interface between homogeneous media I, Appl. Sci. Research **B6** 259–275 (1956).

Wait, J. R., The impedance of a wire grid parallel to a dielectric interface, Trans. I.R.E. **MTT-5** 99–102 (1957). Wait, J. R., On the theory of reflection from a wire grid parallel to an interface between homogeneous media II,

Appl. Sci. Research **B7** 355–360 (1958).

(Paper 66D1-169)