Space of k-Commutative Matrices

Marvin Marcus² and N. A. Khan³

(August 14, 1959)

Let [A, X] = AX - XA and $[A, X]_{k} = [A, [A, X]_{k-1}]$. Those matrices X which "k-commute" with a fixed matrix A are investigated. In particular, the dimension of the null space of the linear transformation $T(X) = [A, X]_k$ when A is nonderogatory is determined.

1. Introduction

Let A be a fixed N-square complex matrix and let $[A, X] = AX - XA, [A, X]_{k} = [A, [A, X]_{k-1}].$ It is easily checked that

$$[A,X]_{k} = \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^{s} \binom{k}{s} A^{k-s} X A^{s}.$$
(1.1)

It is clear that the set of X such that $[A, X]_k = 0$ is a linear subspace of the space M_N of all N-square complex matrices. This subspace is denoted by $\Omega_k(\hat{A})$. In theorem 1 is determined the dimension of $\mathbf{Q}_k(A)$ in terms of the degrees of the elementary divisors of A when there is exactly one elementary divisor for each eigenvalue. Let E_q denote the set of matrices in M_N with precisely q distinct eigenvalues. In theorem 2 it is shown that in case k > 2(N-q)+1, then

$$\min_{A \in E_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap M_N} \dim_k(A) = R(Q+1)^2 + (q-R)Q^2$$

where N = Qq + R, $0 \le R < q$. The maximum is also found.

2. Results

Let T denote the linear transformation on M_N defined by T(X) = [A, X] and we note that $T^k(X) = [A, X]_k$. With respect to the basis E_{ij} in M_N dered lexicographically, we check that T has the matrix representation $I_N \otimes A - A' \otimes I_N$. The notation is the following: E_{ij} is the N-square matrix with 1 in position i, j, 0 elsewhere; $I_N \otimes A$ denotes the Veconclere product of the N square identity matrix Kronecker product of the N-square identity matrix I_N with A. It is clear that one may assume A is in Jordan canonical form

$$A = \sum_{s=1}^{q} \bullet J_s, \qquad (2.1)$$

where Σ^{\bullet} indicates direct sum and the J_s are the Jordan blocks corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues λ_s , $s=1, \ldots, q$, of A. If $T_k(X)=0$ and X is partitioned conformally with the partitioning (2.1) of A, Roth ⁴ shows that

$$X = \sum_{s=1}^{q} \bullet X_s,$$

where X_s is the same size as J_s , $s=1, \ldots, q$. If, further, each J_s is decomposed into a direct sum of companion matrices of the elementary divisors corresponding to λ_s one may also effect a conformal partitioning of the corresponding λ_s . It is also clear that one may take $\lambda_s = 0$ in examining the structure of X_s since $[J_s, X_s]_k$ remains invariant upon transla-tion of J_s . We are thus reduced to considering the following situation in determining the dimension of $\mathfrak{Q}_k(A)$: Let

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{l} {}^{\bullet} U_{r_i} \qquad r_1 \geq \ldots \geq r_l,$$

where U_{r_i} is an r_i -square auxiliary unit matrix, an unbroken line of 1's along the first super-diagonal 0's elsewhere, and suppose $[U, Y]_k = 0$. Partition Y conformally with $U, Y = (Y_{ij}) \quad i, j = 1, \dots, l$ where Y_{ij} is $r_i \times r_j$, and by (1.1)

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^{s} \binom{k}{s} U_{\tau_{i}}^{k-s} Y_{ij} U_{\tau_{j}}^{s} = 0 \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, l$$
(2.2)

is equivalent to $[U, Y]_k = 0$. The problem then is to determine the number of arbitrary parameters in each Y_{ij} .

Equation (2.2) for a fixed i, j represents a linear transformation mapping Y_{ij} into 0, and with respect to a suitably chosen basis this transformation has the matrix representation T_{ij}^k where

$$T_{ij} = (I_{r_i} \otimes U_{r_j} - U'_{r_j} \otimes I_{r_j}).$$

$$(2.3)$$

To simplify the notation, put $r_i = n$, $r_j = m$ where it can be assumed without loss of generality that $n \ge m$. The similarity invariants of T_{ij} as computed by

¹This work was supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant NSFG-5416. ² Present address: The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. ³ Present address: Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

⁴ W. E. Roth, On k-commutative matrices, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 39, 483 (1936).

Roth ⁵ are $f_1(x) = \ldots = f_{mn-m}(x) = 1$, $f_{mn-m+p}(x) = x^{\Delta+2p-1}$, $p=1,\ldots,m$ and $\Delta=n-m$. Hence T_{ij} is similar to the direct sum of the companion matrices of these nontrivial similarity invariants,

$$T_{ij} \cong \sum_{p=1}^{m} {}^{\bullet} C(x^{\Delta + 2p-1}).$$

$$(2.4)$$

The sizes of these companion matrices arranged in decreasing order are $\Delta + 2m - 1$, $\Delta + 2m - 3$, ..., $\Delta + 3, \quad \Delta + 1.$

Now, if $k \ge \Delta + 2p - 1$, then $(C(x^{\Delta + 2p - 1}))^k = 0$. If $k < \Delta + 2p - 1$, then

$$\rho(\{C(x^{\Delta+2p-1})\}^k) = \Delta + 2p - 1 - k,$$

where ρ denotes rank.

Let η denote nullity.

 $\eta(T_{ij}^k) = km$ if $1 \leq k < \Delta$, LEMMA 1: (a) (b) $\eta(T_{ij}^k) = km - \left(\frac{k-\Delta}{2}\right)^2 + C$ *if* $\Delta < k < m + n - 1$, $\eta(T_{ij}^k) = mn$ if $k \ge m + n - 1$, (c)

where C is 0 or 1/4 according as $(k-\Delta)$ is even or odd.

PROOF:

 $1 \leq k \leq \Delta$. (a)

Then

$$\begin{split} \rho(T^{k}_{ij}) = & \sum_{p=1}^{m} (\Delta + 2p - 1 - k) = mn - mk, \\ \eta(T^{k}_{ij}) = mk. \end{split}$$

and

 $\Delta \leq k \leq n+m-1$. (b)

Assume $k - \Delta$ is odd and observe that the size of the $(m-(k-\Delta+1)/2)$ th companion matrix in (2.4) is

$$\Delta + 2m - \left(2\left(m - \frac{(k - \Delta + 1)}{2}\right) - 1\right) = k + 2,$$

and the size of the next companion matrix is k. Hence,

$$\begin{split} \rho \left(T^{k}_{ij} \right) \! = \! \left(\Delta \! + \! 2 \, m \! - \! 1 \! - \! k \right) \! + \! \left(\Delta \! + \! 2 \, m \! - \! 3 \! - \! k \right) \! + \! \ldots \\ + \! \left(k \! + \! 2 \! - \! k \right) . \end{split}$$

The last term in this sum is the rank of the kth power of the $(m-(k-\Delta+1)/2)$ th companion matrix in (2.4). Thus,

$$\begin{split} \rho(T^k_{ij}) =& \left(\frac{2m - k + \Delta - 1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2m - k + \Delta + 1}{2}\right) \\ =& \left(m - \frac{(k - \Delta)}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \end{split}$$

In case $k - \Delta$ is even, it is observed that the size of the $(m-(k-\Delta)/2)$ th companion matrix in (2.4) is k+1. Also the size of the next companion matrix is k-1. Hence,

$$p(T_{ij}^k) = (\Delta + 2m - 1 - k) + (\Delta + 2m - 3 - k) + \dots + (k + 1 - k)$$

= $\left(m - \frac{(k - \Delta)}{2}\right)^2$.

Hence, in either case

$$\eta(T_{ij}^k) = mn - \rho(T_{ij}^k)$$

$$= mn - \left(m - \frac{(k - \Delta)}{2}\right)^2 + C$$

$$= mk - \left(\frac{k - \Delta}{2}\right)^2 + C$$

where C is 0 or 1/4 depending on whether $k-\Delta$ is even or odd.

(c)
$$k \ge m + n - 1$$
.

Then

and

 $\eta(T_{ij}^k) = mn.$

 $\{C(x^{\Delta+2m-1})\}^{k}=0$

THEOREM 1. Assume A is N-square with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q$ and let $(x-\lambda_j)^{\epsilon_j}$ be the elemen-tary divisors of $A, j=1, \ldots, q, \epsilon_1 \ge \epsilon_2 \ge \ldots \ge \epsilon_q$. Partition the integers $1, \ldots, q$ so that

$$\epsilon_1 = \ldots = \epsilon_{q_1} > \epsilon_{q_1+1} = \ldots$$

= $\epsilon_{q_2} > \ldots > \epsilon_{q_{l-1}} + 1 = \ldots = \epsilon_{q_l} = \epsilon_q.$
Then

(i) dim
$$\mathbf{Q}_k(A) = kN - q\left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C\right)$$
 if $k < 2\epsilon_q - 1$,

(*ii*) dim
$$\Omega_k(A) = k \sum_{j=1}^{q_{t-1}} \epsilon_j + \sum_{j=q_{t-1}+1}^{q} \epsilon_j^2 - q_{t-1} \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C\right)$$

if $2\epsilon_{q_t} - 1 \le k < 2\epsilon_{q_{t-1}} - 1$,

(*iii*) dim
$$\Omega_k(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_j^2$$
 if $k \ge 2\epsilon_1 - 1$, where *C* is 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ according as *k* is even or odd

⁵ W. E. Roth, On direct product matrices, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 40, 461 (1934). 0 or ¼ according

PROOF: Since there is only one elementary divisor of A for each eigenvalue of A it may be assumed, as in (2.1), that

$$A = \sum_{s=1}^{q} \bullet J_s,$$

where $J_s = \lambda_s I_{\epsilon_s} + U_{\epsilon_s}$, U_{ϵ_s} the ϵ_s -square auxiliary unit matrix, $s = 1, \ldots, q$. Then, if X is contained in $\Omega_k(A)$,

$$X = \sum_{s=1}^{q} \bullet X_s,$$

where X_s is ϵ_s -square, $s=1, \ldots, q$. By lemma 1 we know that the number of arbitrary parameters in X_s is (by putting $m=n=\epsilon_s$)

(b)
$$n_s = \epsilon_s k - \frac{k^2}{4} + C$$
 if $k < 2\epsilon_s - 1$,

(c) ϵ_s^2 if $k \ge 2\epsilon_s - 1$.

Consider (i) first: $k < 2\epsilon_q - 1$. Then $k < 2\epsilon_{q_i} - 1$ $j=1, \ldots, l$, and hence X_s has n_s arbitrary parameters in it. There are $q_{\sigma} - q_{\sigma-1}$ values of s such that $n_s = n_{q_s}$ ($q_0 = 0$ for convenience). Hence,

$$\dim \, \Omega_k(A) = \sum_{s=1}^q n_s = \sum_{\sigma=1}^l (q_\sigma - q_{\sigma-1}) n_{q_\sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma=1}^l (q_\sigma - q_{\sigma-1}) \left(k \epsilon_{q_\sigma} - \frac{k^2}{4} + C \right)$$
$$= k \sum_{\sigma=1}^l (q_\sigma - q_{\sigma-1}) \epsilon_{q_\sigma} - q \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C \right)$$
$$= k \sum_{j=1}^q \epsilon_j - q \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C \right)$$
$$= k N - q \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C \right).$$

Next assume that (ii) $2\epsilon_{q_t} - 1 \le k \le 2\epsilon_{q_{t-1}} - 1$.

In this case $n_{q_{\sigma}} = \epsilon_{q_{\sigma}}^2$, $\sigma = t, ..., l$ and $n_{q_{\sigma}} = k \epsilon_{q_{\sigma}} - \frac{k^2}{4} + C$, $\sigma = 1, ..., t-1$. Hence,

$$\dim \, \Omega_k(A) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{t-1} \, (q_\sigma - q_{\sigma-1}) n_{q_\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma=t}^l \, (q_\sigma - q_{\sigma-1}) \, \epsilon_{q_\sigma}^2$$
$$= k \sum_{s=1}^{q_{t-1}} \, \epsilon_s + \sum_{s=q_{t-1}+1}^q \, \epsilon_s^2 - q_{t-1} \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - C\right) \cdot$$

If (*iii*) $k \ge 2\epsilon_1 - 1$, then $k \ge 2\epsilon_j - 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, q$ and

$$\dim \, \mathbf{Q}_k(A) = \sum_{s=1}^{\mathsf{v}} \epsilon_s^2$$

In case there is more than one elementary divisor corresponding to a particular eigenvalue there does not seem to be any simple formula for dim $\Omega_k(A)$ in terms of the degrees of the elementary divisors of A. However, by repeated use of lemma 1, it is possible to compute dim $\Omega_k(A)$ for any particular A. For example, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \dot{+} (2),$$

then dim $\Omega_k(A) = 37$ for k = 3.

Next are determined the largest and smallest values that dim $\Omega_k(A)$ may take on as A varies over E_q , the set of matrices with precisely q distinct eigenvalues, under the condition that $k \ge 2(N-q)+1$.

LEMMA 2. If $\epsilon_1 \geq \ldots \geq \epsilon_q$ are positive integers satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_{j} = N = qQ + R, \qquad 0 \le R \lt q,$$

then

$$R(Q+1)^2 + (q-R)Q^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \epsilon_j^2 \leq (N-q+1)^2 + (q-1).$$

The lower bound is achieved for

$$\epsilon_1 = \ldots = \epsilon_R = Q + 1, \qquad \epsilon_{R+1} = \ldots = \epsilon_q = Q,$$

and the upper bound is achieved for

 $\epsilon_1 = N - q + 1$ and $\epsilon_2 = \ldots = \epsilon_q = 1$.

PROOF. The lower inequality is proved by induction on R. In case R=0, then N/q=Q, and if $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_q$ are regarded as continuous variables, then $\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_j^2$ has a minimum for $\epsilon_j = Q$, $j=1, \ldots, q$. Now suppose the result is true for all remainders obtained by dividing N by q that are less than R. We first claim that there exists an integer $i \leq q$ such that $\epsilon_i > \epsilon_{i+1}$ and $\epsilon_i \geq Q+1$. Clearly the set of integers jsuch that $\epsilon_j \geq Q+1$ is nonempty otherwise (since R > 0),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_j \leq q Q < N.$$

Let *i* be the largest integer *j* such that $\epsilon_j \ge Q+1$; then if *i* were *q*, $\epsilon_1 \ge \ldots \ge \epsilon_q \ge Q+1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_j \ge q(Q+1) > N.$$

532245 - 60 - 5

Hence i < q and from the definition of i, $\epsilon_i > \epsilon_{i+1}$. Let $\mu_j = \epsilon_j$, $j \neq i$ and $\mu_i = \epsilon_i - 1$. Then $\mu_1 \ge \ldots \ge \mu_q$, $\mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_q = N - 1 = Qq + R - 1$, and by induction

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{q} & \mu_{j}^{2} \geq (R\!-\!1) \, (Q\!+\!1)^{2} \!+\! (q\!-\!(R\!-\!1)) \, Q^{2} \!=\! R(Q\!+\!1)^{2} \\ & + (q\!-\!R) \, Q^{2} \!+\! (Q^{2}\!-\!(Q\!+\!1)^{2}). \end{split}$$

Now

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_j^2 - 2\epsilon_i + 1,$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_{j}^{2} \ge R(Q+1)^{2} + (q-R)Q^{2} + 2(\epsilon_{i}-Q-1).$$

Since $\epsilon_i \ge Q+1$, the proof is complete. The upper bound is easily obtained.

THEOREM 2. If $k \ge 2(N-q)+1$, then

$$\min_{A \in E_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap M_N} \dim_k(A) = R(Q+1)^2 + (q-R)Q^2$$

and

 $\max_{A \in E_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap M_N} \dim_k(A) = (N - q + 1)^2 + q - 1,$

where

$$N = qQ + R, \qquad 0 \le R \lt q.$$

PROOF. Let $A \epsilon E_q$ and suppose $\epsilon_1 \geq \ldots \geq \epsilon_q$ are such integers that ϵ_j is the sum of the degrees of all elementary divisors of A corresponding to λ_j , $j=1, \ldots, q$.

Then

 $\sum_{j=1}^{q} \epsilon_{j} = N,$

and hence, $\epsilon_1 \leq N-q+1$ and $2\epsilon_1-1 \leq k$. Thus k is at least $2\mu-1$ where μ is the degree of any elementary divisor of A. From lemma 1 one may check in this case that min dim $\Omega_k(A)$ may be evaluated $A\epsilon E_{q} \cap M_N$

by confining A to those matrices having precisely one elementary divisor for each eigenvalue. Hence $(x-\lambda_j)\epsilon_j$ may be taken as the elementary divisors of A, $j=1, \ldots, q$. By theorem 1 if $A\epsilon E_q$,

dim
$$\Omega_k(A) = \sum_{j=1}^q \epsilon_j^2,$$

and the results follow from lemma 2.

WASHINGTON, D.C.

(Paper 64B1-21)