

# Kantorovich's Inequality<sup>1</sup>

Morris Newman

(August 18, 1959)

An elementary proof with a generalization of an inequality of Kantorovich is given.

Let  $A$  be a hermitian positive definite matrix with smallest eigenvalue  $\alpha$  and largest eigenvalue  $\beta$ . Then Kantorovich's inequality<sup>2</sup> states that for all vectors  $x$  of unit norm,

$$(Ax, x)(A^{-1}x, x) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^2. \quad (1)$$

In this note we give an elementary proof of (1) which allows an easy generalization. The results obtained do not depend on the order of  $A$  and this is accordingly left unspecified.

We assume only that  $A$  is hermitian, and that the eigenvalues of  $A$  are contained in the closed interval  $m \leq t \leq M$ .

Let  $f(t)$ ,  $g(t)$  be real functions such that

$$0 < f(t), g(t) < \infty \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq M, \quad (2)$$

$$f(t), g(t) \text{ are convex} \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq M. \quad (3)$$

Then (2) implies that the matrices  $F=f(A)$ ,  $G=g(A)$  are well-defined and are hermitian positive definite. We shall prove:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $x$  be any vector of unit norm. Put*

$$K = (Fx, x)(Gx, x).$$

*Then for every positive  $c$ ,*

$$2K^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \max(cf(m) + \frac{1}{c}g(m), cf(M) + \frac{1}{c}g(M)). \quad (4)$$

*If in addition  $f(M) - f(m)$  and  $g(M) - g(m)$  are of opposite sign, then*

$$2K^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq rf(m) + \frac{1}{r}g(m), \quad (5)$$

where

$$r = \left( -\frac{g(M) - g(m)}{f(M) - f(m)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $A$  is hermitian,  $A$  is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that  $A = (a_i \delta_{ij})$ , where  $m \leq a_i \leq M$ . Then also  $F = (f(a_i) \delta_{ij})$  and  $G = (g(a_i) \delta_{ij})$ . Suppose that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of  $x$  is  $x_i$  and set  $t_i = |x_i|^2$ , so that

$$t_i \geq 0, \quad \sum t_i = 1, \quad (6)$$

$x$  being of unit norm. Then  $K$  becomes

$$K = \sum f(a_i) t_i \sum g(a_i) t_i.$$

Let  $c > 0$  be arbitrary and rewrite  $K$  as

$$K = \sum cf(a_i) t_i \sum \frac{1}{c} g(a_i) t_i.$$

Then

$$2K^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 \left( \sum cf(a_i) t_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum \frac{1}{c} g(a_i) t_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum cf(a_i) t_i + \sum \frac{1}{c} g(a_i) t_i$$

$$\leq \max \left( cf(a_i) + \frac{1}{c} g(a_i) \right),$$

by (6).

We now consider the function

$$u = cf(t) + \frac{1}{c}g(t), \quad m \leq t \leq M.$$

Because of assumptions (2) and (3) and the fact that  $c$  is positive, we have that  $u$  is convex and positive for  $m \leq t \leq M$ . This implies that

$$\max_{m \leq t \leq M} u = \max(u(m), u(M))$$

which proves (4).

If in addition  $f(M) - f(m)$  and  $g(M) - g(m)$  are of opposite sign, the choice  $c = r$  is permissible and makes

$$rf(m) + \frac{1}{r}g(m) = rf(M) + \frac{1}{r}g(M),$$

<sup>1</sup> The preparation of this paper was supported (in part) by the Office of Naval Research.

<sup>2</sup> L. V. Kantorovich, Functional analysis and applied mathematics, Uspekhi Math. Nauk 3, 89 (1948).

which gives (5). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Kantorovich's inequality (1) is the case  $f(t)=t$ ,  $g(t)=\frac{1}{t}$  for which  $r=(mM)^{-1/2}$  (which is permissible when  $A$  is positive definite).

Ky Fan in a written communication to the author points out that the theorem can be generalized as follows:

Let  $A$  be a hermitian matrix of order  $n$  with all its eigenvalues contained in the closed interval  $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be vectors in unitary  $n$ -space such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2 = 1.$$

Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be positive convex functions for  $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$ .

If  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  are positive numbers satisfying  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_m = 1$ , then

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k (f_i(A) x_j, x_j) \right\}^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{m} \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(\alpha), \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(\beta) \right\}.$$

Furthermore if  $A$  is positive definite and  $\alpha > 0$ , Kantorovich's inequality can be replaced by

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k (Ax_i, x_i) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k (A^{-1}x_i, x_i) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^2.$$

WASHINGTON, D.C.

(Paper 64B1-17)