r

Phase Equilibrium Relations in the Systems Titania-Niobia and Zirconia-Niobia

R. S. Roth and L. W. Coughanour

The systems TiO₂-Nb₂O₅ and ZrO_2 -Nb₂O₅ were studied by means of solid-state reactions and by observation of fusion characteristics. Two binary compounds, TiO₂·Nb₂O₅ and TiO₂·3Nb₂O₅, were found to e TiO₂.3Nb₂O₅, were found to exist in the TiO₂-Nb₂O₅ system. One compound, $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$, was found to exist in the $ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ system. This compound has been found to be essentially isostructural with Solid-solution formation was observed in both systems. A probable equilibrium diagram is presented for each system.

1. Introduction

A study of phase relationships in the systems $TiO₂-Nb₂O₅$ and $ZrO₂-Nb₂O₅$ has been conducted as a part of a program of fundamental studies of ceramic dielectrics.¹ Some data for these systems have been reported by Durbin and Harman $[1]^2$ and Durbin, Wagner, and Harman $[2]$, although no phase diagrams were presented for either system.

X-ray diffraction data, together with the determination of the melting points of the compounds and of the solidus and liquidus temperatures at various compositions across the two systems, have supplied data from which equilibrium diagrams have been constructed. The phase equilibrium studies were of a preliminary nature, in an attempt to locate ceramic bodies of improved dielectric properties; consequently the conclusions should only be considered as tentative.

2. Sample Preparation and Test Methods

The following starting materials were used in the preparation of samples:

 $\overline{{\rm ZrO}_2}$ -Dense $\overline{{\rm ZrO}_2}$, nominal 99 percent purity, obtained from the Titanium Alloy Manufacturing Co.

 $TiO₂$ -Highly purified titania, over 99.9 percent, obtained from the Titanium Division of the National Lead Co.

 $Nb₂O₅$ -High-purity grade niobia, over 99.7 percent, obtained from the Fansteel Metallurgical Corp. The major impurity consisted of Ta_2O_5 .

Calculations of weight composition were made to ± 0.01 percent, no correction being made for the percentage purity of the raw materials.

The starting materials, in sufficient quantities to give a 10-g ample, were weighed to the nearest milligram. They were then mixed together with a binder of a 5-percent soluble-starch solution, and formed into 1-in.-diam disks at a pressure of 5,000

 $lb/in.^2$ The pressed disks were fired for 4 hr at 1,100° C on platinum foil in an air atmosphere, using an electrically-heated furnace wound with 80 percent Pt-20 percent Rh wire.

Following the preliminary heat treatment, the disks were ground, remixed with starch-solution binder, and new disks about $\frac{1}{4}$ in. high were formed at $15,000 \text{ lb/in.}^2$ in a $\frac{1}{2}$ -in.-diam mold. Some of these disks were used for X-ray and petrographic studies, while others were used for the study of solidus and liquidus determinations. Those specimens selected for the X -ray study were refired at a temperature varying from $1,350^{\circ}$ to $1,550^{\circ}$ C for a $time$ period of from 4 to 24 hr, in order to insure equilibrium conditions. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were made using a high-angle recording spectrometer and CuKa radiation.

Specimens for solidus and liquidus determinations were ground in the form of small four-sided pyramids, grooved on each side, as described by Geller, et al. [3]. Tests at temperatures below 1,525° were made in the electrically heated furnace, using a Pt versus 90 Pt-10 Rh thermocouple for temperature measurements. The tests at temperatures above $1,525^{\circ}$ were conducted in a thoria-resistor type furnace [4, 5]. The specimens rested on a disk of a platinumrhodium alloy, which was on a support of $ThO₂$. The heating rate during the tests was about 4 deg C/min until the solidus temperature was approached, C/min until the solidus temperature was approached, at which point it was reduced to about 2 deg C/min, or less. An oxidizing atmosphere existed in the furnace at all times. The temperature and fusion characteristics of the specimens were observed with an optical pyrometer calibrated by the Pyrometry Section of the Bureau. The solidus temperature was recorded as the first sign of liquid formation, as observed by rounding of the corners of the test pyramid. The temperature of complete melting, as observed by the formation of a flat button, was several tests had to be made to determine these two values. The solidus and liquidus temperatures were arrived at by observations through the optical pyrometer and by visual inspection of the cooled specimen.

 $^{\rm 1}$ This study was sponsored by the Office of Ordnance Research, Department $^{\rm 2}$ Figures in brackets indicate literature references at the end of this paper.

The method of determination of such temperatures is subject to a number of sources of error. Among
these are the slight deviations from blackbody conditions, the introduction of small quantities of impurities into the specimens in the forming and grinding operations, the possibility of reduction of $TiO₂$ and $Nb₂O₅$ at high temperatures, and the inherent difficulty in the visual determination of the solidus and liquidus temperatures. It is believed that the temperatures as recorded are accurate to $+10^{\circ}$ C.

3. The $TiO₂-Nb₂O₅ System$

Brauer [6] reported three polymorphic forms of $Nb₂O₅$. The H-form, or high-temperature form, was reported as stable at temperatures above 1,200° to $1,250^{\circ}$ C. In this study wherever free Nb₂O₅ was found, the high-temperature form was the one observed in the heated specimens, although the lowtemperature form was used as the starting material.

Durbin and Harman [1] reported some fusion data for the system $TiO_2-Nb_2O_5$. All of the compositions between 1:4 and 4:1 were shown to begin melting
between $1,440^{\circ}$ and $1,500^{\circ}$ C. One compound. $TiO₂·Nb₂O₅$, was observed. Durbin, Wagner, and Harman [2] reported that X-ray examination showed $TiO₂:Nb₂O₅$ to be a compound while $2TiO₂:Nb₂O₅$ was a mixture containing rutile.

Petrographic analyses in the present study were not relied upon, as all of the phases containing at least 50 percent $Nb₂O₅$ appeared very similar under the microscope. However, X-ray analyses succeeded in differentiating two compounds in the system $TiO_2-Nb_2O_5$. Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the X-ray diagrams for values of 2θ between 16° and 49° for compositions of the following molecular ratios: Nb_2O_5 , $TiO_2:3Nb_2O_5$, $TiO_2:4Nb_2O_5$, $\begin{array}{l} \text{molecular ratios: Nb}_2\mathrm{O}_5, \ \text{TiO}_2\!:\!9\mathrm{Nb}_2\mathrm{O}_5, \ \text{TiO}_2\!:\!3\mathrm{Nb}_2\mathrm{O}_5, \ \text{TiO}_2\!:\!2\mathrm{Nb}_2\mathrm{O}_5, \quad \text{2TiO}_2\!:\!3\mathrm{Nb}_2\mathrm{O}_5, \quad \text{and} \end{array}$ $TiO₂:Nb₂O₅$. These compositions were heated at either $1,350^{\circ}$ or $1,400^{\circ}$ C for 3 hr and quenched

FIGURE 1. *Graphical representation of the X-ray powder diffraction patterns for the compositions* Nb_2O_5 , TiO_2 : $9Nb_2O_5$, TiO_2 : $4Nb_2O_5$, TiO_2 : $3Nb_2O_5$, TiO_2 : $2Nb_2O_5$, $2TiO_2$: $3Nb_2O_5$, and TiO_2 : Nb_2O_5 *from* 16° 2θ *to* 49° 2θ .

Interference maxima for Nb₂O₃ are shown as dotted lines, for TiO₂·3Nb₂O₅ as solid lines, and for TiO₂·Nb₂O₅ as dashed lines. Relative intensity is represented by the height of the lines. TiO₂·Nb₂O₅ T

Although there are many overlapping lines in air. in the diffraction pattern, it can be seen that $TiO₂:3Nb₂O₅$ and $TiO₂:Nb₂O₅$ are probably pure compounds, while the other diagrams are mixtures of the two conjugate phases. The X-ray diffraction data for $TiO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ and $TiO_2 \cdot 3NbO_5$ are given in tables 1 and 2. It is possible that the compound designated $TiO₂ \cdot 3Nb₂O₅$ may actually be intermediate in composition between $TiO₂:3Nb₂O₅$ and

a Relative intensity of diffraction peaks.
 $^{\rm b}$ These peaks were too strong to be completely recorded on the chart.

X-ray powder diffraction data for the compound $TiO_2 \cdot 3Nb_2O_5$, quenched from $1,400^{\circ}$ C TABLE 2.

 $(CuKa₁$ radiation) \boldsymbol{d} R. I.^a \boldsymbol{d} $R. I.$ a \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} $\begin{array}{c} 14.2 \\ 9.6 \\ 7.2 \\ 5.13 \\ 4.75 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2.\,090 \\ 2.\,053 \\ 2.\,039 \end{array}$ $\frac{14}{29}$ $\mathbf{5}$ 29 $\frac{18}{43}$ $100 + b$ $\frac{1}{1}$, 907
1.785 $\frac{31}{21}$ $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{75}{2}$
 $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{57}{2}$
 $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{42}{2}$ 1.752 11 68 697 $\frac{10}{15}$ $\overline{42}$ $100 + +b$ 1.682 $\begin{array}{c} 82 \\ 82 \\ 19 \end{array}$ $\frac{1.677}{1.663}$ $\frac{29}{25}$ 3.33 $\begin{array}{c} 1.\,\,574 \\ 1.\,\,551 \\ 1.\,\,448 \end{array}$ 22 2.85 29 2.78
 2.68
 2.62
 2.58 $\frac{49}{10}$ $\boldsymbol{9}$ $\frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{1}{1.}$ 398
1.394 $\frac{8}{9}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2.\,\,56 \\ 2.\,\,47 \\ 2.\,\,38 \end{array}$ 36° 1.298 $\frac{1}{1.135}$
1.051 $\frac{11}{25}$ $\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 11 \end{array}$ 2.30 22

^a Relative intensity of diffraction peaks.

^b These peaks were too strong to be completely recorded on the chart.

 $TiO₂:2Nb₂O₅$; however, the simple ratio of 1:3 is taken as the most logical composition for this compound.

The fusion-behavior data from which the equilibrium diagram is constructed are given in table 3. and the diagram is shown in figure 2. It may be seen that the compound $TiO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ melts congruently at about $1,490^{\circ}$ C and the compound $TiO₂$. $3Nb₂O₅$ melts congruently at about 1,480 °C. At the eutectic temperature, $1,467^{\circ}$ C and 21 mole per-
cent TiO₂, 12 to 13 mole percent TiO₂ is accepted
into solid solution by Nb_2O_5 . At the eutectic temperature, $1,475^{\circ}$ C and 58 mole percent TiO₂, about 17 mole percent $Nb₂O₅$ is found in solid solution in $TiO₂$. Both of the solid solutions decrease to slightly less than 10 percent at room temperature. The

TABLE 3. Fusion characteristics of compositions in the $TiO₂-Nb₂O₅ system$

X-ray examination of quenched		Temperature observed		Composition mole percent	
samples a		Liquidus	Solidus	Nb ₂ O ₅	TiO ₂
		\circ C	\circ C		$\%$
		1,800	1,780	$\substack{\textstyle\heartsuit_0\\5}$	95
			1,510	10	90
			1,490	14.29	85.71
$TiO2·Nb2O5+TiO2 solid$ s olu-	tion.	-----	1,475	20	80
	Do.		1,475	25	75
	Do.	1,500	1,475	33	67
		1,480	1, 470	40	60
	$TiO2·Nb2O5$	1,490	1,490	50	50
$TiO2·3Nb2O5+TiO2·Nb2O5$		1,470	1,465	60	40
	Do.	1, 475	1,465	67	33
	$TiO2$ 3Nb ₂ O ₅ .	1,480	1,480	75	25
$solution+TiO2$	$Nb2O5$ solid 3Nb ₂ O ₅	1,470	1,465	80	20
		1, 475		83.33	16.67
				85.71	14.29
solution $+TiO2$.	$Nb2O5$ solid 3Nb ₂ O ₅	1,485	1,475	90	10
		1, 495	1,485	95	$\overline{5}$
	$Nb2O5$.	1,500	1,500	100	θ

 $\,$ a Specimens for X-ray analyses were quenched, but compounds found may not have been those present at quenching temperature.

equilibrium diagram for the system FIGURE 2. Suggested TiO_{2} -Nb₂O₅.

 $(s. s.=solid solution)$

eutectic between the two binary compounds occurs
about 38 mole percent $TiO₂$ and 1.465° C. Neither about 38 mole percent TiO_2 and 1.465° C. of the two binary compounds shows solid solu tion.

4. The ZrO₂-Nb₂O₅ System

Durbin and Harman [1] reported some fusion data for the system $ZrO_2-Nb_2O_5$. Compositions high in $Nb₂O₅$ were reported as melting between about 1,400 $^{\circ}$ and $1,450^{\circ}$ C while mixtures higher in $\rm ZrO_2$ melted between $1,450^{\circ}$ and $1,550^{\circ}$ C. Durbin, Wagner, and Harman [2] found solid solution of $ZrO₂$ in $Nb₂O₅$.
These authors claimed that X-ray diffraction showed that cubic ZrO_2 was stabilized, indicating solid solution of Nb_2O_5 in ZrO_2 . They report no compounds in this system.

In the present study, X-ray analyses have indicated the presence of a new compound, $6ZrO₂ \t Nb₂O₅$, in the system $ZrO_2-Nb_2O_5$. The X-ray pattern is very similar, both in d values and relative intensities, to that of the compound ZrO_2 ·TiO₂ [7]. The similarity between the compounds becomes apparent if the composition of the compound $6ZrO₂·Nb₂O₅$ is written as $Zr_4(Nb_2,Zr_2)O_{16}O$ and compared with $4ZrTiO_4$ or Zr_4Ti_{Q16} . It seems possible that the structural arrangement of this compound may be capable of accommodating an additional one-fourth of an oxygen ion per formula unit. Because of the similarity of Nb_2O_5 and Ta_2O_5 , a specimen of $6ZrO_{\mathbb{S}^*}$ Ta_2O_5 was prepared and studied by X-ray diffraction. This composition proved to be isostructural with $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ and $ZrO_2 \cdot TiO_2$. All three compounds are orthorhombic with unit cell parameters as shown in table 4. The X-ray powder diagram for the compound $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ is given in table 5. Another possible interpretation of the structure occurring at the composition $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ is that of a solid solution of $\bar{Z}rO_2$. This would be similar to the case of the cubic ZrO_2 solid solutions in the systems involving ZrO_2 and oxides of divalent ions like CaO, involving ZrO₂ and oxides of divalent ions like CaO, MgO, MnO, and TiO. However, the identity of $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}\text{rTiO}_{2}$ as a compound seems to be fully established [7,8]. The similarity of the structures of the two compositions justifies the assumption of $6ZrO₂$. $Nb₂O₅$ as a true compound. Apparently the cubic $ZrO₂$ solid solution reported by Durbin, et al. [2] in this system was actually the compound $6ZrO₂·Nb₂O₅$.
Their interpretation may be explained by the fact that the strongest diffraction lines for the compound are close to what would be expected for a cubic

 $ZrO₂$ solid solution.
The equilibrium diagram for the $ZrO₂-Nb₂O₅$ system, as determined from the present study, is shown in figure 3 and the fusion-behavior data from

TABLE 4. *Unit cell parameters for the isostructural compounds,*
 $ZrO_2 \cdot TiO_2$, $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$, and $6ZrO_2 \cdot Ta_2O_5$

Compound	ZrO_2 -TiO ₂	$6ZrO_2$ Nb ₂ O ₅	$6ZrO_2$ -Ta ₂ O ₅
	4.802 5.034	4.964 5.120	4.961 5.117
	5.483	5.289	5.278

TABLE 5. X-ray powder diffraction data for the compound $6ZrO₂\cdot \text{Nb}₂O₅$, quenched from $1,550^{\circ}$ C

"

.1

 \mathbb{Q}

,)

I

I'

 \triangle R. I. is the intensity of each diffraction peak relative to the strongest peak.

FIGURE 3. *Suggested equilibrium diagram for the system* $\rm ZrO_{2^-}Nb_2O_{5^*}$

(s. s.=solid solution)

212

which the diagram was constructed are listed in table 6. It is seen that the compound $6ZrO₂·Nb₂O₅$ melts incongruently at about 1,670° C. The eutectic point for the system is located at about 25 mole percent $ZrO₂$ and 1,435° C. Rather extensive solid-
solution formation is noted at the higher temperatures. $Nb₂O₅$ accepts about 16 percent $ZrO₂$ into solid solution at the eutectic temperature, and there is considerable solid solution between the $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$ compound and $Nb₂O₅$. This solid solution is apparently not maintained by quenching, as X-ray patterns of compositions within this field show two phases. ZrO₂ apparently also accepts a small amount of $Nb₂O₅$ in solid solution at the higher temperatures, but loses most of it on cooling.

TABLE 6. *Fusion characteristics oj compositions in the* Zr02-Nb20 ⁵*system*

Composition mole percent			Temperature observed	X-ray examination of quenched samples ^a
ZrO ₂	Nb ₂ O ₅	Solidus	Liquidus	
$\%$ 95 90 87.56 85.71 83.33 80 75 67 60 50	$\%$ $\overline{5}$ 10 12.50 14.29 16.67 20 25 33 40 50	\circ_C >1,850 1,725 1,675 1,670 1,650 1,625 1,600 1,550 1,460 1,440	\circ_C 1,670 1,600	$6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5 + \text{monoclinic } ZrO_2.$ Do. $6ZrO_2 \cdot Nb_2O_5$. $6ZrO_2$ -Nb ₂ O ₅ solid solution. Nb_2O_5 solid solution+6ZrO ₂ . $Nb2O5$ solid solution. $Nb2O5$ solid solution $+6ZrO2$. $Nb2O5$ solid solution.
40 33 25 20 16.67 14.29 10 $\overline{5}$	60 67 75 80 83.33 85.71 90 95	1,435 1,435 1,435 1,435 1,445 1.455 1,475	1.480 1,440 1.450 1,460 1,465 1, 475 1,490	Nb_2O_5 solid solution $+6ZrO_2$ $Nb2O5$ solid solution. Nb_2O_5 solid solution +6ZrO ₂ . $Nb2O5$ solid solution.

• Specimens for X-ray analyses were quenched but compounds found may not have been those present at quenching temperatu re.

l

I

5. Summary

The systems $TiO₂-Nb₂O₅$ and $ZrO₂-Nb₂O₅$ were studied by means of solid-state reactions, fusion characteristics and X-ray diffraction data. The probable existence of two compounds in the $TiO₂$ probable existence of two compounds in the $TiO₂$ -
Nb₂O₅ system was shown. They are $TiO₂$ -Nb₂O₅ which melts congruently at about $1,490^{\circ}$ C. and $TiO₂·3Nb₂O₅$ which melts congruently at about 1,480 $^{\circ}$ C. The three eutectic points are located as follows: 21 mole percent $TiO₂$ and $1,467^{\circ}$ C., about 38 mole percent TiO_2 and $1,465^{\circ}$ C, and about 58 mole percent TiO_2 and $1,475^{\circ}$ C. Partial solid-solution for-

mation was noted in this system.
One compound, $6ZrO₂·Nb₂O₅$, was found to occur
in the $ZrO₂·Nb₂O₅$ system. The compound is essenin the $ZrO_2-Nb_2O_5$ system. The compound is essentially isostructural with $ZrO_2 \cdot TiO_2$ and melts incongruently at about 1,670° C. The eutectic point for the system was located at about 25 mole percent $ZrO₂$ and 1,435° C. Extensive solid-solution formation was noted.

6. References

- [1] E. A. Durbin and C. G. Harman, Battelle Memorial Inst. **791** (Dec. 15, 1952).
[2] E. A. Durbin, H. E. Wagner, and C. G. Harman, BMI-
- [2] E. A. Durbin, H. E. Wagner, and C. G. Harman, BMI-792 (Dec. 15, 1952).
- [3] R. F. Geller, P. J. Yavorsky, B. L. Steierman, and A. S.
- R. F. Geller, J. Research NBS 27, 555 (1941) RP1443.
- [5] S. M. Lang and R. F. Geller, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 34, 193 $(1951).$
-
- [6] G. Brauer, Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem. 248, 1–31 (1941).

[7] L. W. Coughanour, R. S. Roth, and V. A. DeProsse, J. Research NBS 52, 37 (1954) RP2470.

[8] F. H. Brown and P. Duwez, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 37, 129
- (1954).

WASHINGTON, May 27, 1955.